Y. Li et al.

Paper

Iodobenzene-Catalyzed Oxidative Cyclization for the Synthesis of Highly Functionalized Cyclopropanes

Α

Yang Li Hao Guo* Renhua Fan*

Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, 2005 Songhu Road, Shanghai 200438, P. R. of China rhfan@fudan.edu.cn



Received: 22.12.2019 Accepted after revision: 09.01.2020 Published online: 29.01.2020 DOI: 10.1055/s-0039-1690809; Art ID: ss-2019-m0700-op

Abstract An iodobenzene-catalyzed oxidative cyclization of Michael adducts of activated methylene compounds with nitroolefins or chalcones is developed. *m*CPBA is used as oxidant together with Bu₄NI for the generation of a highly reactive iodine(III) species to mediate the cyclopropanation via a ligand exchange and reductive elimination process. A range of highly functionalized cyclopropanes are synthesized with high diastereoselectivities.

Key words iodobenzene, cyclization, cyclopropane, iodine, oxidation

Cyclopropanes are frequently found as basic skeletons in a wide range of biologically active natural compounds and pharmaceuticals.¹ Moreover, as highly constrained cycles, they are versatile intermediates to undergo various transformations for the synthesis of cyclic and acyclic compounds.² In last decades, great effort have been devoted to develop efficient methods for their synthesis.³ Among these methods, oxidative cyclization is one of the most straightforward way to access these three-membered cyclic compounds because the desired carbon-carbon bonds can be formed directly from two carbon-hydrogen bonds without extra chemical transformations.⁴ Recently, we reported an iodine(III)-mediated oxidative cyclization for the synthesis of a variety of cyclic compounds. In this transformation, hypervalent iodine compounds such as PhI(OAc)₂, PhI(OCOCF₃)₂, or PhIO were used together with tetrabutylammonium salts such as Bu₄NI or Bu₄NBr to mediate oxidative cyclization via a ligand exchange and reductive elimination process.⁵ However, the use of stoichiometric amount of or even excess amounts of hypervalent iodine compounds are required, and equimolecular amounts of iodoarenes are produced as waste. These issues have apparently limited the application of this reaction in scalable reactions.

On the other hand, since the first example reported by Fuchigami and Fujita in 1994,⁶ iodobenzene-catalyzed reaction has attracted great interest of chemists. Various chemical transformations, such as α -acetoxylation of ketones,⁷ functionalization of alkenes and alkynes,⁸ oxidation of alcohols,⁹ or enantioselective dearomatization reactions,¹⁰ can be catalyzed by iodobenzene or chiral iodoarenes. In this paper, we report on an iodobenzene-catalyzed oxidative cyclization for the synthesis of highly functionalized cyclopropanes using *m*CPBA as oxidant together with Bu₄NI (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1 Iodobenzene-catalyzed oxidative cyclization for the synthesis of highly functionalized cyclopropanes

In search of suitable catalyst and reaction conditions, a Michael adduct of dimethyl malonate with nitroolefin was used as the standard substrate. In an initial test, 0.2 equivalent of iodobenzene was used together with 1.2 equivalents *m*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (*m*CPBA) and 1.2 equivalents Bu₄NI; the desired cyclopropane **2**a was isolated from the reaction using 2,2,2-trifluroethanol as the solvent, albeit in a low yield (Table 1, entry 1). The addition of 1 equivalent of *t*BuOK increased the yield to 51% (entry 2). When peroxyacetic acid (MeCO₃H) or hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) was used instead of *m*CPBA, the formation of **2** was not observed (entries 3 and 4). When Bu₄NI was replaced by KI or NaI, the yield decreased dramatically (entries 5 and 6). The use of KOH, NaH, or CF₃CH₂OK did not give better yields (entries 7–9). The catalytic efficiency of a range of iodoarenes was evaluated (entries 10–15), and iodobenzene proved to be the best catalyst (entry 16). The generation of iodine was observed in the reaction. The reaction in the presence of 0.2 equivalent of Bu₄NI afforded only **2a** in 11% yield. No reaction occurred in the absence of Bu₄NI. The best ratio of substrate, PhI, *m*CPBA, Bu₄NI, and *t*BuOK was 1:0.2:2.5:2:1.2, improving the yield to 78% (entry 16). The relative *trans*stereochemistry was confirmed by ¹H NMR analysis.

The scope of the present iodobenzene-catalyzed oxidative cyclopropanation was investigated under the optimized conditions and these results are summarized in Scheme 2. Reaction of a range of Michael adducts of activated methylene compounds with nitroolefins proceeded smoothly leading to the formation of the corresponding cyclopropanes in good yields with high diastereoselectivities. The reaction was found to tolerate a range of substituents with different electronic demands on the aryl group of substrates, and a moderate electronic substrate effect was observed. Reaction of substrates bearing an electron-withdrawing substituent gave better yields than reactions of those bearing an electron-donating substituent. For example, reaction of 4-methoxylphenyl-substituted Michael adduct afforded **2c** in 64% yield, while the reaction of 4chloro- or 4-nitrophenyl-substituted Michael adduct afforded **2e** and **2f** in 88% or 71% yield, respectively. Reaction of substrates derived from aliphatic nitroolefins also proceeded smoothly leading to the formation of **2m** and **2n** in



В

© 2020. Thieme. All rights reserved. Synthesis 2020, 52, A-E

Syn<mark>thesis</mark>

Y. Li et al.

Table 1 Evaluation of Catalysts and Conditions

MeO ₂ C CO ₂ Me		PhI (20 mol%), oxidant (1.2 equiv) salt (1.2 equiv), base (1 equiv)			NeO ₂ C CO ₂ Me
	1a			-	2a
Entry	Arl	Oxidant	Salt	Base	2a Yield (%) ^a
1	PhI	mCPBA	Bu ₄ NI		26
2	PhI	mCPBA	Bu ₄ NI	<i>t</i> BuOK	51
3	PhI	AcOOH	Bu ₄ NI	<i>t</i> BuOK	0
4	PhI	H_2O_2	Bu ₄ NI	<i>t</i> BuOK	0
5	PhI	mCPBA	KI	<i>t</i> BuOK	32
6	PhI	mCPBA	Nal	<i>t</i> BuOK	36
7	PhI	mCPBA	Bu ₄ NI	КОН	22
8	PhI	mCPBA	Bu ₄ NI	NaH	45
9	PhI	тСРВА	Bu ₄ NI	CF ₃ CH ₂ OK	49
10	2-PhC ₆ H ₄ I	тСРВА	Bu ₄ NI	tBuOK	41
11	2-MeC ₆ H ₄ I	тСРВА	Bu ₄ NI	tBuOK	47
12	4-MeC ₆ H ₄ I	тСРВА	Bu ₄ NI	tBuOK	43
13	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ I	тСРВА	Bu ₄ NI	tBuOK	31
14	4-CIC ₆ H ₄ I	тСРВА	Bu ₄ NI	tBuOK	27
15	4-BrC ₆ H ₄ I	тСРВА	Bu ₄ NI	tBuOK	28
16 ^b	PhI	тСРВА	Bu ₄ NI	tBuOK	78

^a Isolated yields

^b mCPBA (2.5 equiv), Bu₄NI (2 equiv), and tBuOK (1.2 equiv) were used.

76% and 77% yield, respectively. When the sterically hindered di-*tert*-butyl malonate derivative was employed, cyclopropane **2j** was obtained in a low yield. While the reaction of 2,4-pentanedione derivative gave **2k** in moderate yield, the reaction of malononitrile derivative did not occur. Michael adducts of malonate with chalcones were all suitable substrates affording the corresponding highly functionalized cyclopropanes **20–w** in good yields. When the substrate derived from 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one was employed, the reaction is complex, and the formation of cyclopropane **2x** was not observed.



A proposed reaction pathway for the iodobenzenecatalyzed oxidative cyclopropanation is shown in Scheme 3. The oxidation of iodobenzene by *m*CPBA in the presence of Bu₄NI leads to the in situ generation of an iodine(III) species I. Michael adduct reacts with this highly reactive iodine(III) compound via a ligand exchange reaction to form an intermediate II. With the aid of base, the reductive elimination of this intermediate affords the highly functionalized cyclopropanes and regenerates iodobenzene.

In conclusion, we have developed an iodobenzene-catalyzed oxidative cyclization of Michael adducts of activated methylene compounds with nitroolefins or chalcones for the synthesis of highly functionalized cyclopropanes. *m*CPBA is used as oxidant together with Bu₄NI to generate a highly reactive iodine(III) species to mediate the cyclopropanation via a ligand exchange and reductive elimination process. The current direction for future research is aimed at extending the scope and potential synthesis applications, as well as investigation of asymmetric transformations.

All reactions were performed in Schlenk tubes under N₂ atmosphere. Flash column chromatography was performed using silica gel (60 Å pore size, 32–63 µm, standard grade). Analytical TLC was performed using glass plates pre-coated with 0.25 mm 230–400 mesh silica gel impregnated with a fluorescent indicator (254 nm). TLC plates were visualized by exposure to ultraviolet light. Organic solutions were concentrated on rotary evaporators at ~20 Torr (house vacuum) at 25–35 °C. Commercial reagents and solvents were used as received. NMR spectra are recorded in parts per million from internal TMS on the δ scale.

Highly Functionalized Cyclopropanes; General Procedure

In a round-bottomed flask, a solution of Michael adduct **1** (0.1 mmol) in CF₃CH₂OH (2 mL) was mixed with PhI (0.02 mmol), mCPBA (0.25 mmol), Bu₄NI (0.2 mmol), and *t*BuOK (0.12 mmol). The reaction was allowed to stir at 25 °C for 12 h. Upon completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (5–15% EtOAc in hexanes) to provide the corresponding cyclopropane **2**.

Dimethyl 2-Nitro-3-phenylcyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate (2a)¹¹

Yield: 22 mg (78%); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.31–7.34 (m, 3 H), 7.27–7.30 (m, 2 H), 5.37 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.19 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.85 (s, 3 H), 3.55 (s, 3 H).

Diethyl 2-Nitro-3-p-tolylcyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate (2b)¹² Yield: 23 mg (72%); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ = 7.16 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.12 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 5.39 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.28–4.35 (m, 2 H), 4.17 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.96–4.05 (m, 2 H), 2.32 (s, 3 H), 1.30 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.01 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

Diethyl 2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-nitrocyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate (2c) $^{\rm 5b}$

Yield: 21 mg (64%); colorless oil.

Y. Li et al.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.19 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 6.875 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 5.38 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.29–4.37 (m, 2 H), 4.15 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.01–4.06 (m, 2 H), 3.79 (s, 3 H), 1.31 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.05 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

Diethyl 2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-3-nitrocyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate $(2d)^{\rm 5b}$

Yield: 28 mg (86%); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.27–7.30 (m, 2 H), 7.02–7.08 (m, 2 H), 5.39 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.28–4.35 (m, 2 H), 4.15 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.99–4.07 (m, 2 H), 1.31 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.03 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

Diethyl 2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-nitrocyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate (2e)^{5b}

Yield: 30 mg (88%); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 5.36 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.28–4.35 (m, 2 H), 4.15 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.98–4.08 (m, 2 H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.05 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

$\label{eq:linear} Diethyl 2-Nitro-3-(4-nitrophenyl)cyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate (2f)^{\rm 5b}$

Yield: 25 mg (71%); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.22 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 5.44 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.26–4.38 (m, 2 H), 4.25 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.02–4.10 (m, 2 H), 1.33 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.07 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

Diethyl 2-(2-Bromophenyl)-3-nitrocyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate (2g)^{5b}

Yield: 28 mg (74%); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.60 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.28–7.33 (m, 1 H), 7.21 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 5.35 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.30–4.37 (m, 2 H), 4.18 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.04–4.10 (m, 2 H), 1.32 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.06 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

Diethyl 2-(Naphthalen-1-yl)-3-nitrocyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate (2h)^{5b}

Yield: 26 mg (73%); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.42$ (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.79–7.85 (m, 2 H), 7.62 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.51 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.38–7.42 (m, 2 H), 5.58 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.53 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.41 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 3.66–3.75 (m, 2 H), 1.35 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H), 0.56 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

Diethyl 2-(Furan-2-yl)-3-nitrocyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate (2i)^{5b}

Yield: 14 mg (46%); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.36 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.34 (dd, *J* = 3.8, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.32 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 1 H), 5.35 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.25–4.34 (m, 2 H), 4.10–4.18 (m, 2 H), 4.08 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 1.29 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.15 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

Di-*tert*-butyl 2-Nitro-3-phenylcyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate (2j)^{5b}

Yield: 14 mg (38%); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.27–7.37 (m, 5 H), 5.32 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.12 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 1.51 (s, 9 H), 1.21 (s, 9 H).

Paper

2-Nitro-3-phenylcyclopropane-1,1-diylbis(ethan-1-one) (2k)^{5b} Yield: 13 mg (54%); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =7.33–7.421 (m, 3 H), 7.22–7.26 (m, 2 H), 5.77 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.66 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.56 (s, 3 H), 2.05 (s, 3 H).

Diethyl 2-Isobutyl-3-nitrocyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate (2m)^{5b} Yield: 22 mg (76%); colorless oil.

H NMR (400 MHz CDC1) $\cdot \delta = 4.85$ (d. I = 9

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4.85 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 0.37 H), 4.80 (d, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 0.63 H), 4.17–4.34 (m, 4 H), 2.88 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 5.9 Hz, 0.63 H), 2.04–2.14 (m, 0.74 H), 1.66–1.74 (m, 1.26 H), 1.41–1.53 (m, 1.37 H), 1.24–1.31 (m, 6 H), 0.89–0.99 (m, 6 H).

Diethyl 2-Nitro-3-pentylcyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate (2n)5b

Yield: 27 mg (77%); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4.84 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 0.41 H), 4.79 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 0.59 H), 4.20–4.33 (m, 4 H), 2.87 (td, *J* = 7.3, 6.2 Hz, 0.59 H), 2.09–2.15 (m, 0.82 H), 1.80–1.87 (m, 0.41 H), 1.48–1.66 (m, 1.18 H), 1.24–1.33 (m, 12 H), 0.85–0.91 (m, 3 H).

Diethyl 2-Benzoyl-3-phenylcyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate (20)¹³

Yield: 30 mg (81%); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.12 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.61 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.267–7.34 (m, 5 H), 4.12–4.17 (m, 3 H), 4.00 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.90 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.11 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 0.98 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

Diethyl 2-Benzoyl-3-p-tolylcyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate (2p)5c

Yield: 30 mg (78%); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.12 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.59 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.50 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.12 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 4.15(q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 4.09 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.98–4.05 (m, 2 H), 3.85 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.33 (s, 3 H), 1.12 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.01 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

Diethyl 2-Benzoyl-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate (2q) $^{\rm Sc}$

Yield: 31 mg (79%); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.09–8.12 (m, 2 H), 7.63 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 6.82 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 4.14 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 4.07 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.00–4.06 (m, 2 H), 3.84 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.78 (s, 3 H), 1.12 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3 H), 1.01 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3 H).

Diethyl 2-Benzoyl-3-(4-chlorophenyl)cyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate $(2r)^{\mathrm{5c}}$

Yield: 34 mg (85%); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.10 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.60 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.50 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.20–7.30 (m, 4 H), 4.13 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 4.09 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.01–4.08 (m, 2 H), 3.86 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.10 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.03 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

Diethyl 2-Benzoyl-3-(2-chlorophenyl)cyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate $(2s)^{\mbox{\scriptsize 5c}}$

Yield: 32 mg (80%); colorless oil.

Y. Li et al.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.11 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.60 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.53 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.34–7.40 (m, 1 H), 7.20–7.25 (m, 3 H), 4.12–4.18 (m, 3 H), 4.01–4.08 (m, 2 H), 3.96 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.11 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.03 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

Diethyl 2-(4-Methoxybenzoyl)-3-phenylcyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate (2t) $^{\rm Sc}$

Yield: 31 mg (78%); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.11 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.27–7.34 (m, 5 H), 6.98 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 4.14 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 4.08 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.00 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.83–3.89 (m, 4 H), 1.11 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 0.987 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

Diethyl 2-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)-3-phenylcyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate $(2u)^{\rm Sc}$

Yield: 33 mg (83%); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.06 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.47 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.27–7.33 (m, 5 H), 4.14 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 4.05 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.01 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.90 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.13 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 0.99 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

Diethyl 2-(4-Nitrobenzoyl)-3-phenylcyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate $(2\nu)^{\rm 5c}$

Yield: 28 mg (69%); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.36 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 8.27 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.27–7.35 (m, 5 H), 4.12–4.19 (m, 2 H), 4.09 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.03 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.92 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.13 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.01 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

Diethyl 2-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate $(2w)^{\rm 5c}$

Yield: 35 mg (81%); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.04 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.49 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 6.83 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 4.11–4.16 (m, 2 H), 4.00–4.06 (m, 3 H), 3.82 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.78 (s, 3 H), 1.11 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.02 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

Funding Information

Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21572033) and the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (19ZR1403400) is greatly appreciated.

Supporting Information

Supporting information for this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0039-1690809.

References

 (a) Patai, S.; Rappoport, Z. The Chemistry of the Cyclopropyl Group; Wiley: New York, **1987**. (b) Davies, H. M. L. Tetrahedron **1993**, 49, 5203. (c) Doyle, M. P.; Forbes, D. C. Chem. Rev. **1998**, 98, 911. (d) Salaün, J. Top. Curr. Chem. **2000**, 207, 1. (e) Faust, R. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2001**, 40, 2251. (f) Ebner, C.; Carreira, E. M. Chem. Rev. **2017**, 117, 11651. (g) Wu, W.; Lin, Z.; Jiang, H. Org. Biomol. Chem. **2018**, 16, 7315.

- (2) (a) Goldschmidt, Z.; Crammer, B. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1988, 17, 229.
 (b) Wong, H. N. C.; Hon, M.-Y.; Tse, C.-W.; Yip, Y.-C.; Tanko, J.; Hudlicky, T. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 165. (c) Piers, E. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, Vol. 5; Trost, B. M., Ed.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1991, 971. (d) Hudlicky, T.; Fan, R.; Reed, J.; Gadamasetti, K. G. Org. React. 1992, 41, 1. (e) Davies, H. M. L. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 5203. (f) Nonhebel, D. C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1993, 22, 347. (g) Gothelf, K. V.; Jørgensen, K. A. Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 863. (h) Liu, J.; Liu, R.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Trends Chem. 2019, 1, 779.
- (3) (a) Li, A. H.; Dai, L. X.; Aggarwal, V. K. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 2341.
 (b) Salaün, J. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 1247. (c) Padwa, A.; Krumpe, K. E. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 5385. (d) Lautens, M.; Klute, W.; Tam, W. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 49. (e) Doyle, M. P. In Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis; Ojima, I., Ed.; VCH: Weinheim, 1993, 63.
 (f) Aggarwal, V. K.; Alsono, E.; Fang, G.; Ferrara, M.; Hynd, G.; Porcelloni, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1433. (g) Davies, H. M. L.; Antoulinakis, E. Org. React. 2001, 57, 1. (h) Lebel, H.; Marcoux, J.-F.; Molinaro, C.; Charette, A. B. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 977. (i) Pietruszka, J. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1051. (j) Gnad, G.; Reiser, O. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1603. (k) Wessjohann, L. A.; Brandt, W.; Thiemann, T. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1625. (l) Roy, A.; Goswami, S. P.; Sarkar, A. Synth. Commun. 2018, 48, 2003.
- (4) Tang, M.-C.; Zou, Y.; Watanabe, K.; Walsh, C. T.; Tang, Y. Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 5226.
- (5) (a) Fan, R.; Ye, Y. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 1526. (b) Fan, R.; Ye, Y.; Li, W.; Wang, L. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 2488. (c) Ye, Y.; Zheng, C.; Fan, R. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3156. (d) Ye, Y.; Wang, H.; Fan, R. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2802. (e) Ye, Y.; Wang, L.; Fan, R. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 1760.
- (6) Fuchigami, T.; Fujita, T. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 7190.
- (7) Ochiai, M.; Takeuchi, Y.; Katayama, T.; Sueda, T.; Miyamoto, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12244.
- (8) (a) Zhong, W.; Liu, S.; Yang, J.; Meng, X.; Li, Z. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3336. (b) Miyamoto, K.; Sei, Y.; Yamaguchi, K.; Ochiai, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 1382. (c) Alhalib, A.; Kamouka, S.; Moran, W. J. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1453. (d) Braddock, D. C.; Cansell, G.; Hermitage, S. A. Chem. Commun. 2006, 2483. (e) Chen, C.; You, M.; Chen, H. Synth. Commun. 2016, 46, 73. (f) Rodriguez, A.; Moran, W. J. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2220.
- (9) (a) Jain, N.; Xu, S.; Ciufolini, M. A. Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 4542.
 (b) Uyanik, M.; Akakura, M.; Ishihara, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 251.
- (10) (a) Wang, M.; Chen, S.; Jiang, X. Chem. Asian J. 2018, 13, 2195.
 (b) Dohi, T.; Takenaga, N.; Nakae, T.; Toyoda, Y.; Yamasaki, M.; Shiro, M.; Fujioka, H.; Maruyama, A.; Kita, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 4558. (c) Dohi, T.; Maruyama, A.; Takenaga, N.; Senami, K.; Minamitsuji, Y.; Fujioka, H.; Caemmerer, S. B.; Kita, Y. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3787. (d) Dohi, T.; Maruyama, A.; Yoshimura, M.; Morimoto, K.; Tohma, H.; Kita, Y. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 6193. (e) Quideau, S.; Lyvinec, G.; Marguerit, M.; Bathany, K.; Ozanne-Beaudenon, A.; Buffeteau, T.; Cavagnat, D.; Chenede, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 4605. (f) Uyanik, M.; Yasui, T.; Ishihara, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2175. (h) Uyanik, M.; Yasui, T.; Ishihara, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 66, 5841. (i) Boppisetti, J. K.; Birman, V. B. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1221.
- (11) McCooey, S. H.; McCabe, T.; Connon, S. J. J. Org. Chem. **2006**, 71, 7494.
- (12) Le Menn, J. C.; Tallec, A. S.; Sarrazin, J. Can. J. Chem. **1991**, 69, 761.
- (13) Villemin, D.; Thibault-Strarzyk, F.; Hachemi, M. Synth. Commun. **1994**, 24, 1425.