ISSN 1070-4272, Russian Journal of Applied Chemistry, 2012, Vol. 85, No. 4, pp. 656–660. © Pleiades Publishing, Ltd., 2012. Original Russian Text © Yu.A. Titova, O.V. Fedorova, I.G. Ovchinnikova, G.L. Rusinov, V.N. Charushin, 2012, published in Zhurnal Prikladnoi Khimii, 2012, Vol. 85, No. 4, pp. 641–645.

ORGANIC SYNTHESIS AND INDUSTRIAL ORGANIC CHEMISTRY

Catalytic Effect of Nanosized Metal Oxides on the Knoevenagel Reaction

Yu. A. Titova, O. V. Fedorova, I. G. Ovchinnikova, G. L. Rusinov, and V. N. Charushin

Postovskii Institute of Organic Synthesis, Ural Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, Yekaterinburg, Russia e-mail: titova@ios.uran.ru

Received June 10, 2011

Abstract—The effect of nanosized metal oxides (Al, Mg, Cu, Ni oxides) on the synthesis of chalcones that are key intermediate in the synthesis of Nitrendipine and Felodipine drugs was examined.

DOI: 10.1134/S1070427212040209

Substituted dihydropyridines Ia and Ib of the Nifedipine series are widely used in medical practice for the treatment of cardiovascular diseases [1]. Industrial synthesis of these substances is multistep:



where $R = NO_2$, R' = H (**Ia**, **IIa**); R = R' = Cl (**Ib**, **IIb**). The synthesis of benzylidene intermediate **II** (Knoevenagel reaction) is the most labor-consuming and expensive step. The reaction is performed in alcohol on heating in the presence of two catalysts (a weak base and a weak acid); the yield is 70–80% [2, 3]. Procedures have been suggested for performing Knoevenagel condensation using Lewis acids (TiCl₄, ZnCl₂, HClO₄–SiO₂) [4–6], ionic liquids [7], heterogeneous catalysts (zeolites, clays, supported organic catalysts) [8, 9], and ultrasonic and microwave radiation [10, 11]. Some of the suggested procedures are indeed efficient and ensure high yields of the target product. However, complex structure, difficult availability, and high cost of the majority of the catalysts do not allow these procedures to become generally accepted in industry and in laboratory practice.

The effect of nanosized metal oxides having highly developed surface and containing various active sites on the regio- and stereoselectivity of the Nitrendipine synthesis was examined. It was shown that nanosized alumina activates the starting reactants in the Hantzsch synthesis (nitro-substituted benzaldehyde, acetoacetic acid ester, and especially ammonia) and enhances the reactivity of the intermediate chalcone **II** and enamine **III** [12].

In this study we examined the effect of nanosized metal oxides on the synthesis of the key intermediate of the Nitrendipine synthesis, chalcone **IIa** ($R = 3-NO_2$), and optimized the conditions of its preparation. We found that, under the conditions of heterogeneous catalysis, the reaction yields cyclohexanone **Va** as by-product.

The ratio of the starting aldehyde **IVa**, target product **IIa**, and by product (cyclohexanone **Va**) and the isomer ratio were determined from the integral intensities of the characteristic proton signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 1):

where $R = NO_2$ (IIa, IVa, Va); R = R' = Cl (IIb, IVb,



Vb).

Because the catalytic properties of nanosized metal oxide can depend on feature of the metal, we performed experiments with a series of nanosized oxides (Cu, Al, Mg, Ni oxides). For comparison, we examined the catalytic properties of bulk aluminum and magnesium oxides. We found that nanosized metal oxides are more effective catalysts of the Knoevenagel reaction compared to bulk oxides (Table 2, entry nos. 1, 17–19). This can be attributed to the more developed surface of nanosized

oxides, to the presence of a large amount of active surface groups, and hence to high sorption power, compared to bulk samples. Nanosized alumina appeared to be the best (Table 2, entry no. 1). In the presence of nanosized magnesia, relatively large amount of the by-product, cyclohexanone **Va**, is formed along with the desired chalcone **IIa**; furthermore, a large amount of the starting aldehyde remains unchanged. Nanosized copper and nickel oxides probably form a complex with **IIa**, because in the ¹H NMR spectra all the signals are broadened.

Table 1. Characteristic proton signals of IIa, IVa, and Va

| Compound | Proton signal, δ, ppm | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|--------|--|--|--|
| | <u>н</u> С=О | - <u>CH</u> 2-CH3 | -CH ₂ - <u>CH</u> ₃ | СН | Me | | |
| IVa | 10.16 | _ | _ | _ | _ | | |
| IIa | _ | 4.28 q | Z-Isomer 1.21 t <i>E</i> -Isomer 1.29 t | | Z-Isomer 2.49 s <i>E</i> -Isomer 2.40 s | | |
| Va | _ | 3.83; 3.94 m | 0.86; 0.96 t | 5.13 s | 1.30 s | | |

RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF APPLIED CHEMISTRY Vol. 85 No. 4 2012

| Entry no. | τ, h | <i>T</i> , °C | Solvent | Nanosized metal oxide (0.1 mol) | Catalyst (0.1 mol) | Relative content of chal- cone IIa, % (ratio of E and Z isomers) | Yield of cyclo- hexanone Va , % |
|--------------|------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--|---|
| 1 | 20 | 60 | CH ₃ CN | Al ₂ O ₃ | Morpholine | 87.5 (1.0:4.5) | Traces |
| 2 | 20 | 60 | CH ₂ CI ₂ | Al_2O_3 | Morpholine | 84.8 (1.0:3.7) | Traces |
| 3 | 20 | 60 | C ₆ H ₆ | Al_2O_3 | Morpholine | 75.8 (1.0:4.1) | Traces |
| 4 | 20 | 60 | THF | Al_2O_3 | Morpholine | 66.6 (1.0:3.3) | 13.3 |
| 5 | 20 | 60 | DMF | Al_2O_3 | Morpholine | 60.6 (1.0:2.4) | 18.8 |
| 6 | 20 | 60 | H ₂ O | Al_2O_3 | Morpholine | 50.0 (1.0:2.0) | 22.5 |
| 7 | 20 | 60 | CH ₃ OH | Al_2O_3 | Morpholine | 49.3 (1.0:2.0) | 34.9 |
| 8 | 20 | 60 | CH ₃ CN | Al_2O_3 | HC1 | 72.4 (1.0:2.3) | None |
| 9 | 20 | 60 | CH ₃ CN | Al_2O_3 | Acetic acid | 44.7 (1.0:1.5) | Traces |
| 10 | 20 | 60 | CH ₃ CN | Al_2O_3 | Anabasine | 33.3 (1.0:2.0) | 36.4 |
| 11 | 20 | 60 | CH ₃ CN | Al_2O_3 | Pyridine | 26.6 (1.0:2.4) | None |
| 12 | 20 | 60 | CH ₃ CN | Al_2O_3 | No catalysts | 8.0 (1.0:7.0) | None |
| 13 | 10 | 60 | CH ₃ CN | Al_2O_3 | Morpholine | 71.9 (1.0:4.2) | Traces |
| 14 | 30 | 60 | CH ₃ CN | Al_2O_3 | Morpholine | 82.6 (1.0:6.5) | Traces |
| 15 | 50 | 60 | CH ₃ CN | Al_2O_3 | Morpholine | 89.2 (1.0:4.0) | Traces |
| 16 | 20 | 60 | CH ₃ CN | No n/o | Morpholine | 78.1 (1.0:3.1) | 8.6 |
| 17 | 20 | 60 | CH ₃ CN | Bulk Al_2O_3 | Morpholine | 70.9 (1.0:3.7) | Traces |
| 18 | 20 | 60 | CH ₃ CN | MgO | Morpholine | 22.5 (1.0:1.0) | 45.9 |
| 19 | 20 | 60 | CH ₃ CN | Bulk MgO | Morpholine | 45.8 (1.0:2.7) | 27.5 |
| 20 | 20 | 60 | CH ₃ CN | NiO | Morpholine | All signals are broade | |
| 21 | 20 | 60 | CH ₃ CN | CuO | Morpholine | The same | |
| 22 | 20 | 40 | CH ₃ CN | Al_2O_3 | Morpholine | 96.2 (1.0:3.7) | Traces |
| 23 | 20 | 22 | CH ₃ CN | Al_2O_3 | Morpholine | 77.5 (1.0:4.0) | Traces |
| 24 | 40 | 40 | CH ₃ CN | Al_2O_3 | Morpholine | 96.3 (1.0:3.7) | Traces |

Table 2. Optimization of the synthesis of IIa

We found that, in the presence of nanosized alumina, Z-isomer of **IIa**, whose structure is the most favorable for the formation of *S*-enantiomer of Nitrendipine [12], is always formed in excess.

As seen from Table 2, the best solvent for the Knoevenagel reaction is acetonitrile. With strongly polar solvents such as methanol, DMF, and water, the reaction direction is shifted toward formation of cyclohexanone **Va** by attack of one more acetoacetic acid ester molecule on chalcone IIa, followed by condensation.

Despite the fact that, according to published data, the Knoevenagel reaction occurs under basic conditions, under the conditions of heterogeneous catalysis, namely, in the presence of nanosized alumina, the reaction is catalyzed by both the base and the acid. Acid catalysts ensure excellent regioselectivity of the process (Table 2, entry nos. 8 and 9). It is interesting that, in the presence of the commonly used catalyst of the Knoevenagel reac-

tion, pyridine, the yield of the target product was as low as 26.6% (Table 2, entry no. 11), with the crude product free of even traces of side cyclohexanone, according to the ¹H NMR data. Structurally related anabasine showed not only low yield, but also low regioselectivity of the reaction (36.4% cyclohexanone, Table 2, run no. 10). Morpholine showed better catalytic properties in the presence of nanosized alumina (Table 2, run no. 1).

As expected, the target product yield increased with an increase in the reaction time (Table 2, run nos. 13–15). At temperatures over 60°C, the starting reactants practically fully transform into the undesirable by-product, cyclohexanone. The highest yield of chalcone **Ha** was attained at 40°C (Table 2, run no. 22).

In some experiments, we found that a decrease in the solvent volume leads to a decrease in the reaction time. The reaction is more complete at the solvent volume sufficient only for dissolving the starting reactants.

Thus, the optimal conditions for preparation of chalcone **Ha** are morpholine and nanosized alumina as catalysts, temperature of 40°C, acetonitrile as solvent, and reaction time of 20 h.

In addition, we examined the possibility of preparing under similar conditions compound **IIb**, a key intermediate in the synthesis of Felodipine drug. We found that, when the reaction was performed under the abovedescribed conditions at 40°C, the major product (yield 85%) was cyclohexanone Vb. At the process temperature decreased to 22–25°C, the process became fully regiospecific, because the ¹H NMR spectra of crude product **IIb** contained only signals from the target chalcone, with no signals from the starting aldehyde **IVb** and side cyclohexanone **Vb**. The *E* to *Z* isomer ratio for **IIb** was 1.0 : 2.4.

EXPERIMENTAL

Experiments were performed with 3-nitrobenzaldehyde (99%), 2,3-dichlorobenzaldehyde (99%), (–)-anabasine (94%; Lancaster), ethyl acetoacetate (99%), morpholine (98%), and pyridine (98%; Acros Organics). As catalysts we took Al₂O₃ (analytically pure grade) and MgO (chemically pure grade) produced by Khimsnab association and nanosized Al₂O₃, MgO, NiO, and CuO

(particle size 50–80 nm) prepared by the gas-phase procedure [13].¹

The melting points measured with combined Boëtius stages were not subjected to additional correction. The ¹H NMR spectra were recorded with an Avance DRX-400 spectrometer (Bruker) operating at 400 MHz.

Preparation of chalcones II (general procedure). Appropriate aldehyde, ethyl acetoacetate, nanosized metal oxide, and catalyst were mixed in 1 : 1 : 0.1 : 0.1 molar ratio, and the solvent was added. The mixture was stirred at the required temperature in a flask equipped with a reflux condenser, after which the solvent was distilled off and the crude product (yellow oil) was analyzed by ¹H NMR.

If necessary, the desired chalcone **II** was precipitated from the oil with diethyl ether, filtered off, dried, and recrystallized from ethanol.

Ethyl 2-acetyl-3-(3-nitrophenyl)acrylate (chalcone IIa). *Z* Isomer. ¹H NMR spectrum (DMSO- d_6), δ , ppm: 1.21 t [3H, C(O)CH₂CH₃], 2.49 s (3H, CH₃), 4.28 q [2H, C(O)CH₂CH₃], 7.99 s (H, -CH=), 7.80 t [H, C(5) Ar], 7.93 d [H, C(6) Ar], 8.32 dd [H, C(4) Ar], 8.40 s [H, C(2) Ar].

E-Isomer. ¹H NMR spectrum (DMSO- d_6), δ , ppm: 1.29 t [3H, C(O)CH₂C<u>H₃]</u>, 2.40 s (3H, CH₃), 4.28 q [2H, C(O)C<u>H</u>₂CH₃], 7.79 s (H, -CH=), 7.73 t [H, C(5) Ar], 7.88 d [H, C(6) Ar], 8.28 dd [H, C(4) Ar], 8.40 s [H, C(2) Ar]; mp 105–106°C. Found, %: C 59.11, H 4.97, N 5.29. C₁₃H₁₃O₅N. Calculated, %: C 59.32, H 4.94, N 5.32.

2,4-Bis(ethoxycarbonyl)-5-hydroxy-5-methyl-3-(**3-nitrophenyl)cyclohexanone Va.** ¹H NMR spectrum (DMSO-*d*₆), δ , ppm: 0.86 t, 0.96 t [6H, 2C(O)CH₂CH₃]; 1.30 s (3H, CH₃); 2.38 d, 2.97 d [2H, C(6), cyclohexanone]; 3.47 d [1H, C(4), cyclohexanone]; 3.83 m, 3.94 m [4H, 2C(O)CH₂CH₃]; 4.01 t [1H, C(3), cyclohexanone]; 4.13 d [1H, C(2), cyclohexanone]; 5.02 s (1H, OH); 5.13 s [H, C(3)]; 7.60 t [H, C(5) Ar]; 7.77 d [H, C(6) Ar]; 8.21 dd [H, C(4) Ar]; 8.27 s [H, C(2) Ar]; mp 143–146°C. Found, %: C 58.05, H 5.85, N 3.56. C₁₇H₂₃O₈N. Calculated, %: C 58.01, H 5.85, N 3.56.

Ethyl 2-acetyl-3-(2,3-dichlorophenyl)acrylate (chalcone IIb). Z Isomer. ¹H NMR spectrum (DMSO d_6), δ, ppm: 1.06 t [3H, C(O)CH₂CH₃], 2.47 s (3H, CH₃), 4.12 q [2H, C(O)CH₂CH₃], 7.89 s (H, -CH=), 7.35 dd [H, C(6) Ar], 7.45 t [H, C(5) Ar], 7.73 dd [H, C(4) Ar].

E-Isomer. ¹H NMR spectrum (DMSO-*d*₆), δ, ppm: 1.28 t [3H, C(O)CH₂CH₃], 2.31 s (3H, CH₃), 4.29 q

¹ Samples were prepared at the Institute of Metal Physics, Ural Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, laboratory headed by Prof. A.E. Ermakov.

[2H, C(O)C \underline{H}_2 CH₃], 7.76 s (H, -CH=), 7.28 dd [H, C(6) Ar], 7.40 t [H, C(5) Ar], 7.73 dd [H, C(4) Ar]. Found, %: C 53.58, H 4.19. C₁₃H₁₂O₃Cl₂. Calculated, %: C 54.35, H 4.18.

2,4-Bis(ethoxycarbonyl)-5-hydroxy-5-methyl-3-(2,3-dichlorophenyl)cyclohexanone Vb. ¹H NMR spectrum (DMSO- d_6), δ , ppm: 0.80 t, 0.93 t [6H, 2 C(O) CH₂CH₃]; 1.25 s (3H, CH₃); 2.38 d, 2.81 d [2H, C(6), cyclohexanone]; 3.35 d [1H, C(4), cyclohexanone]; 3.82 m, 3.88 m [4H, C(O)CH₂CH₃]; 4.03 d [1H, C(2), cyclohexanone]; 4.63 d [1H, C(3), cyclohexanone]; 5.02 s (1H, OH); 7.38 t [H, C(5) Ar]; 7.50 d [H, C(6) Ar]; 7.74 d [H, C(4) Ar]; mp 130–133°C. Found, %: C 54.50, H 5.18. C₁₇H₂₂O₆Cl₂. Calculated, %: C 54.67, H 5.27.

CONCLUSIONS

(1) Nanosized metal oxides are novel catalysts of the Knoevenagel reaction.

(2) Processes for preparing chalcones, intermediate products in the synthesis of Nitrendipine and Felodipine cardiotropic agents, were optimized.

(3) The results obtained can be used for optimizing the Knoevenagel reaction with other reactants.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The study was financially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project no. 10-03-90026-Bel_a), Russian Federation President (Program for Support o Leading Scientific Schools, project no. NSh-65261.2010.3), and Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (project nos. 09-I-3-2004, 09-P-23-2001, 09-P-3-2001).

REFERENCES

- 1. Janis, R.A., Silver, P.J., and Triggle, D.J., *Adv. Drug Res.*, 1987, vol. 16, p. 309.
- 2. UR Patent 5808084.
- 3. US Patent 5942624.
- 4. Lehnert, W., Tetrahedron, 1974, vol. 30, pp. 301-305.
- 5. Shanthan Rao, P. and Venkataratnam, R.V., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1991, vol. 32, pp. 5821–5822.
- 6. Kantevari, S., Bantu, R., and Nagarapu, L., J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2007, vol. 269, pp. 53–57.
- Wang, Y., Shang, Z., Wu, T., et al., J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2006, vol. 253, pp. 212–221.
- Reddy, T.I. and Varma, R.S., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, vol. 38, no. 10, pp. 1721–1724.
- Dong, F., Li, Y., and Day, R., Chin. Chem. Lett., 2007, vol. 18, pp. 266–268.
- McNulty, J., Steere, J.A., and Wolf, S., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, vol. 39, no. 44, pp. 8013–8016.
- 11. Kim, S.-Y., Kwon, P.-S., Kwon, T.-W., et al., *Synth. Commun.*, 1997, vol. 27, pp. 533–541.
- Fedorova, O.V., Koryakova, O.V., Valova, M.S., et al., *Kinet. Katal.*, 2010, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 1–7.
- Kharchuk, V.G., Koryakova, O.V., Khmara, E.F., and Uimin, M.A., *Anal. Kontrol*, 2005, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 423–427.