Trifluoroacetic Anhydride Promoted Tandem Conjugate Addition of Boronic Acids/Acetal Ring Opening[†]


Silvia Roscales and Aurelio G. Csáky*

Laboratorio de Síntesis Orgánica, Unidad de Cartografía Cerebral, Instituto Pluridisciplinar, Universidad Complutense, 28040 Madrid, Spain

csaky@quim.ucm.es

Received November 24, 2011

ABSTRACT



A new stereoselective tandem reaction consisting of the metal-free conjugate addition of boronic acids followed by an intramolecular ring opening of a cyclic acetal has been disclosed. Optically pure polysubstituted tetrahydropyrans have been synthesized diastereoselectively by this new reaction. Two new C-C bonds and up to three stereocenters are formed in a single step, allowing the generation of quaternary stereocenters.

The conjugate addition of carbon nucleophiles to electrondeficient alkenes constitutes one of the most relevant synthetic methods for C–C bond formation.¹ Among the different reagents that have been developed for this purpose, boronic acids have attracted a great deal of attention due to their low toxicity, thermal stability, and ample compatibility with functional groups that are normally labile to other organometallic nucleophiles.² Direct conjugate addition of boronic acids is normally precluded by their low nucleophilicity, and activation is normally required. This has been achieved by transmetalation to transition metals, mainly Rh and Pd, in catalytic cycles.^{3,4} Although less common, promotion of the conjugate addition reaction of boronic acids by organic molecules has also been

ORGANIC LETTERS

2012 Vol. 14, No. 5

1187-1189

[†] Dedicated to Prof. Carmen Nájera (Universidad de Alicante) in honor of her 60th birthday.

⁽¹⁾ For recent reviews, see: (a) Carreira, E. M.; Kvaerno, L. In *Classics in Stereoselective Synthesis*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2009; Chapter 12, p 389. (b) Thaler, T.; Knochel, P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 645. (c) Jerphagnon, T.; M. Pizzuti, G.; Minnaard, A. J.; Feringa, B. L. Chem. Soc. Rev. **2009**, *38*, 1039. (d) Hawner, C.; Alexakis, A. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 7295.

^{(2) (}a) Hall, D. G. In *Boronic Acids*; Hall, D. G., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2005; Chapter 1, p 1. (b) Ishiyama, T.; Miyaura, N. In *Boronic Acids*; Hall, D. G., Ed.: Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2005; Chapter 2, p 101. (c) Miyaura, N. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 2008, *81*, 1535. (d) Primas, N.; Bouillon, A.; Rault, S. *Tetrahedron* 2010, 66, 8821. (e) Molander, G. A.; Trice, S. L. J.; Dreher, S. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, *132*, 17701.

⁽³⁾ For recent reviews on Rh-catalyzed reactions, see: (a) Fagnou, K.; Lautens, M. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 169. (b) Hayashi, T.; Yamasaki, K. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2829. (c) Hayashi, T. Pure Appl. Chem. 2004, 76, 465. (d) Hayashi, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2004, 77, 13. (e) Yoshida, K.; Hayashi, T. In Modern Rhodium-Catalyzed Organic Reactions; Evans, P. A., Ed.: Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2005; Chapter 3, p 55. (f) Yoshida, K.; Hayashi, T. In Boronic Acids; Hall, D. G., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2005; Chapter 4, p 171. (g) Edwards, H. J.; Hargrave, J. D.; Penrose, S. D.; Frost, C. G. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 2093. (h) Hargrave, J. D.; Allen, J. C.; Frost, C. G. Chem. Asian J. 2010, 5, 386. (i) Magano, J.; Dunetz, J. M. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 2177.


⁽⁴⁾ For recent reviews on Pd-catalyzed reactions, see: (a) Yamamoto,
Y.; Nishikata, T.; Miyaura, N. J. Synth. Org. Chem. Jpn. 2006, 64, 1112.
(b) Gutnov, A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 4547. (c) Yamamoto, Y.; Nishikata, T.; Miyaura, N. Pure Appl. Chem. 2008, 80, 807. (d) Miyaura, N. Synlett 2009, 2039.

^{(5) (}a) Hara, S.; Hyuga, S.; Aoyama, M.; Sato, M.; Suzuki, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 247. (b) Hara, S.; Shudoh, H.; Ishimura, S.; Suzuki, A. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1998**, *71*, 2403.

^{(6) (}a) Wu, T. R.; Chong, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 3244.
(b) Wu, T. R.; Chong, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 4908.
(c) Pellegrinet, S. C.; Goodman, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 3116. (d) Paton, R. S.; Goodman, J. M.; Pellegrinet, S. C. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 5078. (e) Kim, S.-G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 6148. (f) Lee, S.; MacMillan, D.W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 15438. (g) Inokuma T.; Takasu, K.; Sakaeda, T.; Takemoto, Y. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2425.
(h) Sugiura, M.; Tokudomi, M.; Nakajima, M. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 7799. (i) Lundy, B. J.; Jansone-Popova, S.; May, J. A. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4958.

⁽⁷⁾ See for example: (a) Seiple, I. B.; Rodríguez, R. A.; Gianastassio, R.; Fujiwara, Y.; Sobel, A. L.; Baran, P. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 13194. (b) Dickschat, A.; Studer, A. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3972. (c) Sorin, G.; Martínez Mallorquín, R.; Contie, Y.; Baralle, A.; Mallacria, M.; Goddard, J.-P.; Fensterbank, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 8721. (d) Molander, G. A.; Colombel, V.; Braz, V. A. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1852. (e) Lüthy, M.; Darmency, V.; Renaud, P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 547. (f) Fujiwara, Y.; Domingo, V.; Seiple, I. B.; Gianastassio, R.; Del Bel, M.; Baran, P. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 3292 and references therein.

Table 1. Tandem Conjugate Addition/Acetal Ring Opening^a



entry	$1 (\mathbf{R}^1)$	2	R^2, R^3	\mathbb{R}^4	$3 (\mathrm{dr})^b$	yield ^{c} (%)
1	1a (PhCH=CH)	2a	Me, Me	Ph	3aa (>98:02)	80
2	1b (biphenyl-CH=CH)	2a	Me, Me	Ph	3ba (>98:02)	70
3	$1c(p-F-C_6H_4CH=CH)$	2a	Me, Me	Ph	3ca (>98:02)	85
4	$1d(p-Me-C_6H_4CH=CH)$	2a	Me, Me	Ph	3da (>98:02)	70
5	$1e(p-Cl-C_6H_4CH=CH)$	2a	Me, Me	Ph	3ea (>98:02)	75
6	$1f(p-MeO-C_6H_4CH=CH)$	2a	Me, Me	Ph		
7	1a (PhCH=CH)	2b	-(CH ₂) ₅ -	Ph	3ab (>98:02)	75
8	1b (biphenyl-CH=CH)	2b	-(CH ₂) ₅ -	Ph	3bb (>98:02)	75
9	$1c(p-F-C_6H_4CH=CH)$	2b	-(CH ₂) ₅ -	Ph	3cb (>98:02)	75
10	1a (PhCH=CH)	2c	Me, Et	Ph	3ac $(90:10)^d$	80
11	$1c(p-F-C_6H_4CH=CH)$	2c	Me, Et	Ph	$3cc (95:05)^d$	65
12	$1d(p-Me-C_6H_4CH=CH)$	2c	Me, Et	Ph	3dc $(90:10)^d$	75
13	1a (PhCH=CH)	2d	Me, Me	Me		
14	1g (Ph)	2a	Me, Me	Ph	f	

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: **1** (1.25 equiv), **2** (1.0 equiv), (CF₃CO)₂O (3.0 equiv), CH₂Cl₂ (1.7 mL/mmol), rt, 18 h. ^{*b*} Determined by integration of the ¹H NMR spectra (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) of the reaction crudes. ^{*c*} Isolated yield after column chromatography. ^{*d*} Diastereomers separated by column chromatography. ^{*f*} **1f** (4.0 equiv).

reported, either in stoichiometric⁵ or catalytic variants.⁶ Generation of radicals from boronic acids and their derivatives has also been used to effect some 1,4-additions.⁷

Among the different types of conjugate addition reactions, tandem processes are particularly attractive,⁸ as these methods permit the construction of several C–C bonds in a one-pot process and the simultaneous generation of various stereocenters without isolation of intermediates. We disclose herein a new stereoselective tandem reaction which consists of the conjugate addition of boronic acids followed by the intramolecular ring opening of acetals⁹ under metalfree conditions (trifluoroacetic anhydride-promotion). Two new C–C bonds and up to three stereocenters are formed in this single-step process, which allows the generation of quaternary sterocenters. Optically pure polysubstituted tetrahydropyran rings are synthesized diastereoselectively. These constitute important synthetic targets, as the tetrahydropyran skeleton is found among a wide variety of biologically relevant natural products and pharmaceutically active ingredients. Consequently, considerable efforts have been made in recent times toward the stereoselective preparation of these compounds.¹⁰

The results of the conjugate addition of several boronic acids 1 to compounds 2 are given in Table 1. The addition of boronic acids 1a-d to the isopropylidene acetal 2a in the presence of trifluoroacetic anhydride afforded exclusively the corresponding tetrahydropyrans in high yield and as a single diastereomer (entries 1-5).

On the other hand, no reaction was observed when the reaction was carried out with boronic acid **1f** (entry 6). Extensive protodeborylation of this electron-rich boronic acid in the presence of the trifluoroacetic acid generated in the process may account for this result.² When the isopropylidene acetal was replaced by a cyclohexylidene acetal (compound **2b**), tetrahydropyran formation also took place in high yield and diastereoselectivity (entries 7–9).

We observed that this reaction was also of use for the stereoselective generation of a quaternary stereocenter in a highly efficient fashion (entries 10–12). For this purpose, we chose compound **2c** as starting material,¹¹ with a very small difference in the electronic or steric character of R^2 (Me) and R^3 (Et). We found that these reactions took place with good dr. Only two of all possible diastereomers were generated, which only differed in the relative disposition of R^2 and R^3 . In particular, we noticed that the reaction of **2c**

⁽⁸⁾ For recent reviews, see: (a) Guo, H.-C.; Ma, J.-A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 354. (b) Enders, D.; Grondal, C.; Hüttl, M. R. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1570. (c) Miura, T.; Murakami, M. Chem. Commun. 2007, 217. (d) Touré, B. B.; Hall, D. G. Chem. Rev. 2009, 99, 4439. (e) Youn, S. W. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 2597. (f) Fustero, S.; Sanchez-Rosello, M.; del Pozo, C. Pure Appl. Chem. 2010, 82, 669.

⁽⁹⁾ See for example: (a) Carreira, E. M.; Kvaerno, L. In *Classics in Stereoselective Synthesis*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2009; Chapter 6, p 187.
(b) Kobayashi, S.; Arai, K.; Yamakwa, T.; Chen, Y. -J.; Salter, M. M.; Yamashita, Y. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2011, *353*, 1927 and references therein.

⁽¹⁰⁾ For recent leading references, see for example: (a) Jørgensen,
K. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3558 (review). (b) Yang, X. -F.;
Mague, J. T.; Li, C. -J. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 739. (c) Haustedt, L. O.;
Hartung, I. V.; Hoffmann, H. M. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 2711. (d) Clarke, P. A.; Santos, S. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 2045 (review).
(e) Trost, B. M.; Machacek, M. R.; Faulk, B. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6745. (f) Wang, L.; Li, P.; Menche, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 9270. (g) Clarke, P. A. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 4959(Editorial, Symposium-in-Print) and references therein.


⁽¹¹⁾ Compound 2c was used as a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers, epimers at carbon-2 of the [1,3]dioxolan-4-yl moiety.

with the electron-deficient boronic acid **1c** gave the corresponding tetrahydropyran **3cc** (entry 11) with very high dr.

On the other hand, no reaction was observed for the methyl ketone 2d (entry 13) under similar conditions. The reaction of 2a with phenylboronic acid 1g (entry 14) led to deprotection of the acetal group of the starting material together with the conjugate addition product of 1f to the latter (10% yield, 50:50 of epimers at the β -carbon).

A reaction mechanism which accounts for the formation of compounds **3** and the observed stereochemistry is proposed in Scheme 1. Reaction of $(CF_3CO)_2O$ with the boronic acid **1** may give a mono- or a diacylboronate¹² intermediate **A**, where the Lewis acidity of the boron atom is enhanced with respect to **1**. Coordination of this species¹³ with the γ -oxygen of **2** gives intermediate **B**. The intramolecular delivery (*syn*-addition, *vide infra*) of the R¹ group will be facilitated by the lone pair on the δ -oxygen, leading to intermediate **C**. Intramolecular ringclosure finally accounts for the formation of compounds **3**.

Scheme 1. Proposed Mechanism



With regard to the stereochemistry, the conjugate addition of carbon nucleophiles to acyclic γ -alkoxysubstituted- α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds to afford either the *syn* or the *anti* products has been amply documented.^{14,15}

(12) (a) Ishihara, K.; Ohara, S.; Yamamoto, H. J. Org. Chem. 1996,
61, 4196. (b) Arnold, K.; Davies, B.; Giles, R. L.; Grojean, C.; Smith,
G. E.; Whiting, A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 813. (c) Al-Zoubi,
R. M.; Marion, O.; Hall, D. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2876.

(13) For the coordination of boronic acid derivatives with acetals, see:

(a) Mitchell, T. A.; Bode, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2009**, 131, 18057. (b) Vo, C.-V. T.; Mitchell, A.; Bode, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 14082.

(14) (a) Roush, W. R.; Michaelides, M. R.; Tai, D. F.; Lesur, B. M.;
Chong, W. K. M.; Harris, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 2984.
(b) Yamamoto, Y.; Chounan, Y.; Nishii, S.; Ibuka, T.; Kitahara, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7652. (c) Mengel, A.; Reiser, O. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 1191. (d) Kireev, A. S.; Manpadi, M.; Kornienko, A. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 2630 and references therein.

(15) For the *anti*-selective conjugate addition of boronic acids to γ , δ -oxygen-substituted α , β -enoates under Rh(I) catalysis, see: Segura, A.; Csáky, A. G. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 3667.


The stereochemistry has been found to depend on both the substrate and reagent structures. In this reaction, we have observed a highly selective *trans* relative disposition between R^1 and OH in the final cyclic product **3**. This is consistent with a substrate-controlled chelated *syn* conjugate addition step, as depicted on intermediate **B** (Scheme 1).

The final cyclization can be envisioned by an approach of the enolate to the sp² carbon of the electrophilic moiety in a chairlike transition state **D**. The pseudoequatorial disposition of the substituents minimizes the steric interaction between R^1 and the enolate, affording a *trans* relative disposition of R^1 and COPh in the tetrahydropyrans **3**.

In the case of the reactions with 2c as starting material,¹¹ the high dr in the generation of the quaternary stereocenter can be understood by placement of the smallest substituent ($R^2 = Me$) in a pseudoaxial disposition.

Finally, it is also worth mentioning that formation of ethers **4** *via* cross-coupling of the boronic acids with the acetal function (Scheme 2) was not observed under these reaction conditions.¹³

Scheme 2. Formation of Ethers 4



In conclusion, we have disclosed an unprecedented tandem process initiated by the conjugate addition of a boronic acid under metal-free conditions that affords polysubstituted tetrahydropyran rings in high dr and permits the formation of quaternary stereocenters. The reaction generates two C–C bonds and up to three stereocenters in a onepot process. The corresponding tetrahydropyrans are prepared enantioselectively, as the reactions are carried out using enantiopure reactants easily derived from the chiral pool. The functionalization present in these tetrahydropyrans 3 can be further manipulated, thus adding synthetic value to the process.

Acknowledgment. S.R. is thankful to the government of Spain and for FPU grant No. AP20090051. Project CTQ-2010-16170 from the Spanish government (MICINN) and GR35/10-A from UCM are gratefully acknowledged for financial support. Prof. J. Plumet (Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid) is thanked for useful comments and suggestions.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedures, characterization of all new compounds and assignment of the stereochemistry. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.