ORIGINAL ARTICLE



# Fluorescent Styryl Dyes from 4-Chloro-2-(Diphenylamino)-1, 3-Thiazole-5-Carbaldehyde—Synthesis, Optical Properties and TDDFT Computations

Nagaiyan Sekar<sup>1</sup> · Prashant G. Umape<sup>1</sup> · Sharad R. Patil<sup>1</sup>

Received: 8 July 2015 / Accepted: 14 September 2015 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2015

Abstract 4-Chloro-2-(diphenylamino)-1,3-thiazole-5carbaldehyde was reacted with an active methylene compounds, cyanomethyl benzimidazole, cyanomethyl benzothiazole, barbituric acid and Meldrum's acid under Knoevenagel conditions to give novel push-pull styryl chromophores 8a-8d. The synthesized styryl chromophores were characterized by FT-IR, Mass and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral analysis. The photophysical characteristics of these styryl chromophores were evaluated. The effect of solvent polarity and viscosity on the absorption and emission properties of these chromophores was studied. The structural, molecular, electronic and photophysical parameters of the push-pull dyes were studied by using density functional theory (DFT) and time dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) computations. The ratio of the ground to the excited state dipole moment of the synthesized novel styryl dyes were calculated by Bakhshiev and Bilot-Kawski correlations.

**Keywords** Styryl dyes · Fluorescence · Solvatochromism · Computational studies

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s10895-015-1668-0) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

☑ Nagaiyan Sekar n.sekar@ictmumbai.edu.in; nethi.sekar@gmail.com

### Introduction

The styryl class of dyes has some of the brightest hues and they are the lightfast dyes with a compact molecular structure. These properties lead them as ideal dyes for acetate and polyester fibers [1]. Due to their low molecular mass they are also applied for thermal transfer printing on polyester fabrics [2]. Monostyryl dyes are especially used as yellow colorants for reproducing electrically recorded color photographs [3]. The styryl molecules are characterized by the presence of a styryl group as the chromophoric system. In the commercially important dyes of this class the styryl core is usually accompanied by a suitable donor (D) and acceptor (A) groups. The first electronically excited state with positive and negative charges has the positive charge on the donor residue and the negative charge on the acceptor residue consequently generating a large dipole moment in the excited state. Such a phenomenon induces a large dipole moment difference on laser irradiation, a necessary requirement for nonlinear optical materials [4]. Thus the light absorption results as depicted in the Chart 1.

Styryl dyes due to their bright hue, high photostability and excellent light fastness as well as endearing photophysical properties find applications in textile dyeing and in hi-tech areas like NLO materials [5, 6], optical data storage devices and laser dyes [7, 8], probe materials for biological applications [9, 10], dye sensitized solar cells [11] and metal ion sensors [12, 13]. Dyes containing styryl chromophoric groups in conjugation with a heterocyclic ring imparts bright colors. Also several publications report that the styryl colorants with diphenyl amino substitution pattern have appreciable properties for hi-tech applications [14, 15].

We have extended our contribution to synthesis of different heterocyclic colorants [16–19]. Here, we have reported an efficient synthesis of novel fluorescent styryl dyes **8a-8d** (Table 1) and further experimental investigation on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Dyestuff Technology, Institute of Chemical Technology, (Formerly UDCT), Nathalal Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400 019, India

$$D \left( CH = CH \right)_n C = A$$
  $\longrightarrow$   $D \left( CH = CH \right)_n C = A$ 

Chart 1 Charge transfer mechanism in donor-acceptor styryl molecules

solvatochromism, solvatofluorism, and quantum yields of the synthesized dyes in various solvents. Lippert-Mataga and related models [20–29] were used to derive the ground and excited states dipole moments. The DFT computations [B3LYP/ 6-31G(d)] were carried out to study the geometrical and electronic properties of the synthesized molecules. TD-DFT based approach has been used to have more understanding of the geometry in the ground and excited states, photophysical and dipole moment properties of these four dyes.

## **Experimental Section**

# **Materials and Equipments**

All the commercial reagents and solvents were procured from S.D. fine chemicals (India). The reactions were monitored by TLC using 0.25 mm E-Merck silica gel 60  $F_{254}$  precoated plates, which were visualized with UV light. Melting points were measured on standard melting point apparatus from Sunder industrial product Mumbai, and are uncorrected. The FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 100 FT-IR Spectrometer. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on VXR

300 MHz instrument respectively using TMS as an internal standard. The visible absorption spectra of the compounds were recorded on a Spectronic Genesys 2 UV-Visible spectrophotometer. Simultaneous DSC-TGA measurements were performed out on SDT Q600 v8.2 Build 100 model of TA instruments Waters (India) Pvt. Ltd. The Quantum yields were determined in different solvents by using Rhodamine B ( $\Phi$ =0.97 in ethanol) [30] as a reference standard using the comparative method.

The photophysical properties were investigated using solvatochromic and solvatofluoric behaviors of the dyes. The solvatochromic data was used to determine the ground and excited state dipole moments of the dyes **8a-8d** by using Lippert-Mataga, Bakhshiev and Bilot-Kawski correlations.

### **Computational Details**

All the computations were performed using the Gaussian 09 package [31]. The ground state (S0) geometry of the synthesized dyes in their C1 symmetry was optimized in vacuum using DFT [32]. The functional used was B3LYP. The B3LYP method combines Becke's three parameter exchange functional (B3) [31] with the nonlocal correlation functional by Lee, Yang and Parr (LYP) [33]. The basis set used for all the atoms was 6-31G(d). The vibrational analysis was performed using the same method to verify that the optimized structures correspond to local minima on the energy surface. The vertical excitation energies and oscillator strengths were obtained for the lowest 10 singlet-singlet transitions at the

Table 1 Synthesized 4-chloro-2-(diphenylamino)-1, 3-thiazole-5-carbaldehyde based styryl derivatives



optimized ground state equilibrium geometries by using TDDFT with the same hybrid functional and basis set [34–36].

The low-lying first singlet excited states (S1) of the dyes were relaxed using the TDDFT to obtain their minimum energy geometries. All the computations in solvents of different polarities were carried out using the Self-Consistent Reaction Field (SCRF) under the Polarizable Continuum Model (PCM) [37, 38]. The electronic absorption spectra, including wavelengths, oscillators strengths, and main configuration assignment, were systematically investigated using TDDFT with PCM model on the basis of the optimized ground state geometries.

# Synthesis and Characterization

### Synthesis of N, N-Diphenyl-N'-Benzoyl Thiourea (4)

To a stirred solution potassium thiocyanate (5.0 g, 51.4 mmol)in 50 ml acetone, a solution of benzoyl chloride 1 (5.8 ml, 50.0 mmol) in 30 ml of acetone was added slowly. The reaction mass was stirred at reflux for 1 h to give benzoyl isothoicyanate 2 and allowed to attain room temperature. To this, a solution of diphenyl amine 3 (8.46 g 50.0 mmol) in 30 ml acetone was added slowly in 30 min. The resultant reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h and the reaction mass was filtered to remove potassium chloride (KCl) and the filtrate was poured into water with constant stirring. The yellow precipitate obtained was filtered and washed well with water and dried. The crude product obtained was purified from ethanol.

**Yield**=85 %, **Melting point**=121–123 °C (122–124 °C l) [39, 40].

# Synthesis of 2-(Diphenylamino)Thiazole-4(5H)-One (5)

*N*, *N*-Diphenyl-*N'*-benzoyl thiourea **4** (10.0 g, 30.12 mmol) and chloroacetyl chloride (2.64 ml, 33.13 mmol) are mixed thoroughly and heated over a water bath at 60–70 °C until a brown sticky mass was obtained, heating continued for next 30 min. The reaction was monitored by TLC, hot acetone was poured into the gummy mass and stirred. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool. 2-(Diphenylamino)thiazole-4(*5H*)-one **5** crystallized out was filtered and recrystallized from ethanol.

**Yield**=88 %, **Melting point**=192–194 °C (198 °C 1) [41, 42].

Synthesis of 4-Chloro-2-(Diphenylamino) Thiazole-5-Carbaldehyde (6)

 $POCl_3$  (5.19 ml, 54.98 mmol) was added to DMF (20.27 ml, 261.8 mmol) at 0 °C within 15 min and stirred for 30 min at 0 °C. 2-(Diphenylamino)thiazole-4(*5H*)-one **5** (7 g,

26.18 mmol) dissolved in DMF was added slowly at 0-5 °C and stirred for 30 min. The reaction mixture was then heated to 70–75 °C for 2–3 h and the progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. The reaction mass was poured into ice, stirred, neutralized with sodium bicarbonate, filtered and dried. The crude aldehyde was recrystallized from ethanol.

**Yield**=95 %, **Melting point**=146–148 °C (144–145 °C l) [43].

# Synthesis of (E)-2-(1H-Benzo[d]Imidazol-2-yl) -3-(4-Chloro-2-(Diphenylamino) Thiazol-5-yl)Acrylonitrile (8a)

4-Chloro-2-(diphenylamino) thiazole-5-carbaldehyde (1.0 g, 3.18 mmol) **6** and 2-cyanomethyl benzimidazole (0.50 g, 3.18 mmol) were stirred in ethanol, a drop of piperidine was added and refluxed for 2 h. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. After the completion of the reaction the product was filtered, washed with water and dried. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using silica gel 100–200 mesh and toluene as eluent system.

Yield=76 %; Melting point=236–238 °C.

**FT-IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)**=3278 (NH), 2211 (CN), 1576 (Ar), 1247 (C-N).

**Mass**=m/z 454 (M+1).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)= $\delta$  7.30–7.49 (m, 12H), 7.74–7.84 (s, 2H), 8.52 (s, 1H), 9.32 (bs, 1H).

Synthesis of (E)-2-(Benzo[d]Thiazol-2-yl) -3-(4-Chloro-2-(Diphenylamino)Thiazol-5-yl) Acrylonitrile (8b)

4-Chloro-2-(diphenylamino)thiazole-5-carbaldehyde (1.0 g, 3.18 mmol) **6** and 2-cyanomethyl benzothiazole (0.62 g, 3.18 mmol) were stirred in ethanol, a drop of piperidine was added and refluxed for 2 h. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. After the completion of the reaction the product was filtered, washed with water and dried. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using silica gel 100–200 mesh and toluene as eluent system.

Yield=80 %; Melting point=decomposes at 200 °C.

**FT-IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)**=2210 (CN), 1569 (Ar), 1313 (C-N). **Mass**=*m/z* 471 (M+1).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)= $\delta$  7.35–7.49 (m, 12 H), 7.86 (d, 1H, J=7.7 Hz), 8.03 (d, 1H, J=7.7).

Synthesis of 5-((4-Chloro-2-(Diphenylamino)Thiazol-5-yl) Methylene)-2,2-Dimethyl-1,3-Dioxane-4,6-Dione (8c)

4-Chloro-2-(diphenylamino)thiazole-5-carbaldehyde (1.0 g, 3.18 mmol) **6** and Meldrum's acid (0.53 g, 3.18 mmol) were stirred in ethanol, a drop of piperidine was added and refluxed for 2 h. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC.

Scheme 1 Synthesis of styryl dyes from 4-chloro-2-(diphenylamino)-1, 3-thiazole-5carbaldehyde 6



After the completion of the reaction the product was filtered, washed with water and dried. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using silica gel 100–200 mesh and toluene as eluent system.

Yield=67 %; Melting point=224–226 °C. FT-IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)=1726, 1692 (C=O), Mass=m/z 441 (M+1).



Fig. 1 Solid state emission spectra of the dyes 8a-8c

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)**=δ 1.25(s, 6H), 7.36–7.40 (m, 6H), 7.45–7.48 (m, 4H).

 Table 2
 Photo-physical properties of the synthesized dye 8a in different solvents

| Solvents          | $\lambda_{abs}^{maxa}$ (nm) | $\lambda_{ems}^{maxb}$ (nm) | Stokes shift<br>(nm) | Φ <sub>f</sub> <sup>c</sup><br>(%) |  |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| THF               | 437                         | 520                         | 83                   | 0.3                                |  |
| DCM               | 437                         | 521                         | 84                   | 0.3                                |  |
| CHCl <sub>3</sub> | 440                         | 526                         | 86                   | 0.4                                |  |
| EtOAc             | 434                         | 521                         | 87                   | 1.1                                |  |
| Acetone           | 428                         | 522                         | 94                   | 0.1                                |  |
| MeOH              | 437                         | 518                         | 81                   | 0.3                                |  |
| EtOH              | 437                         | 521                         | 84                   | 0.5                                |  |
| ACN               | 434                         | 521                         | 87                   | 0.2                                |  |
| DMF               | 437                         | 523                         | 86                   | 0.5                                |  |
|                   |                             |                             |                      |                                    |  |

<sup>a</sup> Absorption wavelength maxima

<sup>b</sup> Fluorescence emission maxima

<sup>c</sup> Fluorescence quantum yield

 Table 3
 Photo-physical properties of the synthesized dye 8b in different solvents

| Solvents          | $\lambda_{abs}^{maxa}$ (nm) | $\lambda_{ems}^{maxb}$ (nm) | Stokes shift<br>(nm) | Φ <sub>f</sub> <sup>c</sup><br>(%) |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| THF               | 455                         | 549                         | 94                   | 0.14                               |
| DCM               | 455                         | 541                         | 86                   | 0.69                               |
| CHCl <sub>3</sub> | 455                         | 539                         | 84                   | 0.59                               |
| EtOAc             | 449                         | 535                         | 86                   | 0.31                               |
| Acetone           | 449                         | 543                         | 94                   | 0.31                               |
| МеОН              | 449                         | 546                         | 97                   | 0.20                               |
| EtOH              | 452                         | 542                         | 90                   | 0.04                               |
| ACN               | 449                         | 545                         | 96                   | 0.23                               |
| DMF               | 455                         | 551                         | 96                   | 0.62                               |

<sup>a</sup> Absorption wavelength maxima

<sup>b</sup> Fluorescence emission maxima

<sup>c</sup> Fluorescence quantum yield

# Synthesis of 5-((4-Chloro-2-(Diphenylamino)Thiazol-5-yl) Methylene)Pyrimidine 2,4,6(1H,3H,5H)-Trione (8d)

4-Chloro-2-(diphenylamino)thiazole-5-carbaldehyde (1.0 g, 3.18 mmol) **6** and barbituric acid (0.48 g, 3.18 mmol) were stirred in ethanol, a drop of piperidine was added and refluxed for 2 h. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. After the completion of the reaction the product was filtered, washed with water and dried. The crude product was purified by heating in hot water and then recrystallized from DMF.

Yield=84 %; Melting point=246–248 °C.

**FT-IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)**=3029 (NH), 1743, 1700 (C=O), 1644 (N-H).

Mass = m/z 346 (loss of phenyl ring).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)= $\delta$  7.29–7.48 (m, 11 H), 10.19 (s, 2 H).

**Table 4**Photo-physical properties of the synthesized dye 8c indifferent solvents

| Solvents          | $\lambda_{abs}^{maxa}$ (nm) | $\lambda_{ems}^{maxb}$ (nm) | Stokes shift<br>(nm) | ${\Phi_{\rm f}}^{\rm c}$<br>(%) |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| THF               | 449                         | 546                         | 97                   | 0.54                            |
| DCM               | 449                         | 541                         | 92                   | 2.14                            |
| CHCl <sub>3</sub> | 452                         | 552                         | 100                  | 0.93                            |
| EtOAc             | 446                         | 543                         | 97                   | 0.45                            |
| Acetone           | 446                         | 528                         | 82                   | 0.21                            |
| MeOH              | 446                         | 531                         | 85                   | 0.03                            |
| EtOH              | 449                         | 537                         | 88                   | 0.25                            |
| ACN               | 443                         | 517                         | 74                   | 0.09                            |
| DMF               | 446                         | 503                         | 57                   | 0.07                            |
|                   |                             |                             |                      |                                 |

<sup>a</sup> Absorption wavelength maxima

<sup>b</sup> Fluorescence emission maxima

<sup>c</sup> Fluorescence quantum yield

 Table 5
 Photo-physical properties of the synthesized dye 8d in different solvents

| Solvents          | $\lambda_{abs}^{maxa}$ (nm) | $\lambda_{ems}^{max b}$ (nm) | Stokes shift<br>(nm) | Φ <sub>f</sub> <sup>c</sup><br>(%) |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| THF               | 458                         | 543                          | 85                   | 1.13                               |
| DCM               | 464                         | 547                          | 83                   | 1.99                               |
| CHCl <sub>3</sub> | 467                         | 552                          | 85                   | 0.98                               |
| EtOAc             | 458                         | 542                          | 84                   | 0.66                               |
| Acetone           | 458                         | 543                          | 85                   | 0.29                               |
| EtOH              | 461                         | 548                          | 87                   | 0.34                               |
| MeOH              | 458                         | 539                          | 81                   | 0.12                               |
| ACN               | 458                         | 537                          | 79                   | 0.19                               |
| DMF               | 461                         | 525                          | 64                   | 0.17                               |

<sup>a</sup> Absorption wavelength maxima

<sup>b</sup> Fluorescence emission maxima

<sup>c</sup> Fluorescence quantum yield

# **Results and Discussion**

#### Synthesis and Characterization of Synthesized Dyes 8a-8d

The styryl dyes **8a-8d** are prepared from the key intermediate 4-chloro-2-(diphenylamino)-1, 3-thiazole-5-carbaldehyde **6** by the Knoevenagel condensation [26] with different active methylene moieties.

Synthesis of **6** was carried out as follows. Potassium thiocyanate was first refluxed with benzoyl chloride **1** in acetone to yield benzoyl isothoicyanate **2** which was further reacted with diphenyl amine **3** in refluxing acetone to give *N*-(diphenylcarbamothioyl)benzamide **4** [39, 40]. The compound **4** was heated with chloroacetyl chloride to yield 2-(diphenylamino)-1, 3-thiazol-4(5*H*)-one **5** [41, 42]. The thiazole intermediate **5** was subjected to Vilsmeier formylation to obtain **6** [43]. The aldehyde **6** was subsequently reacted with different active methylene compounds by the classical Knoevenagel condensation to get desired styryl dyes **8a-8d** (Table 1). The synthetic route is well described in Scheme 1.



Fig. 2 Absorption spectra of the dyes 8a-8d in DMF



Fig. 3 Absorption spectra of the dye 8a in different solvents

The dyes were purified by column chromatography. The synthesized dyes were characterized by using FT-IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR, and mass spectral analysis. DSC-TGA analysis showed these dyes are having good thermal stability. Photophysical properties of the synthesized molecules are also evaluated.

### **Optical Properties**

The synthesized styryl dyes **8a-8c** show solid state fluorescence while the compound **8d** with barbituric acid incorporation does not fluoresce in solid state (Fig. 1). The dye **8a** shows solid state absorption maxima at 437 nm and emission maxima at 591 nm. In the case of dye **8b** solid state absorption maxima observed at 449 nm and emission maxima at 578 nm. The absorption maxima of the dye **8c** in solid state is 446 nm and it shows emission at 592 nm. The emission intensity of the dyes **8a** and **8b** is higher than dye **8c** (Table 6). The absorption maxima of the dyes **8a-8d** (N, N-diphenyl amino donor) is 2– 20 nm red shifted than our previous reported morpholine donor dyes [19]. The emission intensity of the dyes **8a-8d** (N, N-diphenyl amino donor) is 15–40 nm red shifted than the morpholine donor dyes (Table 7).



Fig. 4 Absorption spectra of the dye 8b in different solvents



Fig. 5 Absorption spectra of the dye 8c in different solvents

The photophysical properties of a chromophoric system is greatly affected by the nature of substituent, extent of charge transfer, and microenvironment such as solvent polarity, viscosity, pH, temperature, hydrogen bonding etc. Here, we have investigated effect of solvent polarity and viscosity on the absorption, emission and quantum yield of the synthesized chromophores. Further we have calculated the ratio of the excited state dipole moment to the ground state dipole moment by solvatochromic method.

#### Effect of Solvent Polarities on Absorption Properties

The effect of solvent polarity on the photophysical properties of the synthesized styryl dyes **8a-8d** were analyzed by recording the UV–Vis absorption, fluorescence emission and fluorescence excitation spectra at concentration  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> in different solvents of varying polarities. The data are summarized in Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5 and the spectra are shown in Figs. 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6.

The synthesized styryl colorants has donor- $\pi$ -acceptor (D- $\pi$ -A) structural system. 4-Chloro-2-(diphenylamino)-1,3-thiazole ring acts as the electron donating unit, while cyano, benzimidazole, benzothiazole, barbituric or meldrum acid act as the electron withdrawing units conjugated through the



Fig. 6 Absorption spectra of dye 8d in different solvents

Table 6Solid state fluorescence data of dye 8a, 8b and 8c

| Dye | $\lambda_{abs}^{maxa}$ (nm) | $\lambda_{ems}^{maxb}$ (nm) | Ems. intensity |
|-----|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| 8a  | 437                         | 591                         | 85.22          |
| 8b  | 449                         | 578                         | 87.80          |
| 8c  | 446                         | 592                         | 50.74          |

<sup>a</sup> Absorption wavelength maxima

<sup>b</sup> Fluorescence emission maxima

olefinic bond. The styryl molecules 8a-8d absorb in 428-467 nm range (Tables 6 and 7). The dye 8a has a blue shifted absorption compared to the dye 8b indicating that the benzothiazolyl ring is a better acceptor than the benzimidazolyl ring. These experimental results are supported by TD-DFT and the results are in good agreement (Tables 8 and 9) with the computed values. The dye 8a shows red shifted absorption in non-polar solvent as CHCl<sub>3</sub> (440 nm) than polar protic solvent as MeOH (431) and polar aprotic solvent as DMF (428 nm). But, in the case of morpholine donor dye do not shifted significantly. Similar results were obtained for the dyes 8b, 8c and 8d. The experimental absorption maxima are not close to the vertical excitation data obtained from TD-DFT computations. The emission maxima of the synthesized molecules were in the range 503–552 nm. The dyes 8a-8d in different solvents of increasing polarity do not show any abrupt change in stokes shift. But, in the case of morpholine donor dyes shows sudden change in stokes shift. The dve 9d as shorter Stokes shift of 29–39 nm (in different solvents) while 9c has higher Stokes shift in the range of 112-195 nm (in different solvents) (Table 6). Absorption spectra of the dyes 8a-8d in different solvents of increasing polarity do not show any abrupt change in the absorption wavelength (Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5). But it has been observed that 8a has maximum absorption intensity in chloroform, while in DMF it absorbs with the lowest absorption intensity (Fig. 3). In the case of the compound 8b there is a slight red shift observed in

 Table 8
 Observed UV-Visible absorption, emission, computed vertical excitation of the dye 8a in different solvents

|                   |                        | TD-B3LYP/6-31G(d) |          |        |        |   |                        |  |
|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------|--------|--------|---|------------------------|--|
| Solvent           | $\lambda_{abs}^{maxa}$ | Verti             | cal exci | tation | f      | <sup>c</sup> Major  | $\lambda_{ems}^{maxb}$ |  |
|                   | (nm)                   | nm                | eV       | % D    |        | contribution  | (nm)                   |  |
| Gas               | _                      | 441               | 2.811    | _      | 1.0776 | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7025)$  | _                      |  |
| THF               | 437                    | 459               | 2.701    | -5.03  | 1.2126 | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7037)$  | 520                    |  |
| DCM               | 440                    | 459               | 2.701    | -4.32  | 1.2162 | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{e}} \left( 0.7038 \right)$ | 521                    |  |
| CHCl <sub>3</sub> | 440                    | 460               | 2.695    | -4.55  | 1.2208 | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7038)$  | 526                    |  |
| EtOAc             | 437                    | 458               | 2.707    | -4.81  | 1.2059 | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7037)$  | 521                    |  |
| Acetone           | 428                    | 457               | 2.713    | -6.78  | 1.2014 | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7037)$  | 522                    |  |
| MeOH              | 431                    | 456               | 2.719    | -5.80  | 1.1938 | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7037)$  | 518                    |  |
| EtOH              | 431                    | 457               | 2.713    | -6.03  | 1.2017 | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7037)$  | 521                    |  |
| ACN               | 431                    | 456               | 2.719    | -5.80  | 1.1972 | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7037)$  | 521                    |  |
| DMF               | 428                    | 459               | 2.699    | -7.24  | 1.215  | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}}{\rightarrow}\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{e}}\left(0.7038\right)$    | 523                    |  |

<sup>a</sup> $\lambda_{abs}^{max}$  (Experimental absorption wavelength in nm)

<sup>b</sup> $\lambda_{ems}^{max}$  (Experimental emission wavelength in nm)

<sup>c</sup> Electronic transition (CI expansion coefficient for given excitation)  $^{d}$  *H* HOMO

<sup>e</sup>L LUMO, *f* is oscillator strength, (% D) % Deviation between experimental absorption and vertical excitation computed by DFT

THF, CHCl<sub>3</sub>, DCM and DMF with absorption at 455 nm, while in acetonitrile, ethyl acetate, acetone and MeOH maximum absorption was observed at 449 nm with a blue shift of 6 nm (Table 3). In the case of the compound **8c**, blue shift is observed in DMF and red shifted in CHCl<sub>3</sub> by 16 nm (Table 4). The dye **8d** has shown blue shift in ethyl acetate, acetone, MeOH and acetonitrile compared to the CHCl<sub>3</sub> by 9 nm (Table 5). The compound **8c** absorbs with higher intensity in EtOH (Fig. 5) while **8d** absorbs with higher intensity acetone (Fig. 6).

The chromophores **8a-8d** absorb in the range 428–461 nm (Fig. 2), while the emission is observed in the range 503–551 nm in DMF (Fig. 7). Here, Fig. 2 clearly indicates that

Table 7Comparativephotophysical properties of thesubstituting a diphenylaminogroup and substituting amorpholine group (given inbracket) based dyes in differentsolvents

| Solvent           | Dye 8a (9a)                 |                             | Dye 8b (9b)                 |                             | Dye 8d (9d)                 |                             |  |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
|                   | $\lambda_{abs}^{maxa}$ (nm) | $\lambda_{ems}^{maxb}$ (nm) | $\lambda_{abs}^{maxa}$ (nm) | $\lambda_{ems}^{maxb}$ (nm) | $\lambda_{abs}^{maxa}$ (nm) | $\lambda_{ems}^{maxb}$ (nm) |  |
| THF               | 437 (428)                   | 520 (505)                   | 455 (449)                   | 549 (507)                   | 458 (428)                   | 543 (556)                   |  |
| DCM               | 437 (430)                   | 521 (503)                   | 455 (446)                   | 541 (507)                   | 464 (430)                   | 547 (577)                   |  |
| CHCl <sub>3</sub> | 440 (430)                   | 526 (511)                   | 455 (446)                   | 539 (508)                   | 467 (430)                   | 552 (566)                   |  |
| EtOAc             | 434 (430)                   | 521 (505)                   | 449 (446)                   | 535 (506)                   | 458 (427)                   | 542 (541)                   |  |
| Acetone           | 428 (430)                   | 522 (502)                   | 449 (446)                   | 543 (510)                   | 458 (430)                   | 543 (544)                   |  |
| MeOH              | 437 (427)                   | 518 (495)                   | 449 (445)                   | 546 (504)                   | 458 (430)                   | 539 (542)                   |  |
| EtOH              | 437 (428)                   | 521 (506)                   | 452 (446)                   | 542 (501)                   | 461 (428)                   | 548 (542)                   |  |
| ACN               | 434 (427)                   | 521 (484)                   | 449 (430)                   | 545 (506)                   | 458 (421)                   | 537 (616)                   |  |
| DMF               | 437 (430)                   | 523 (495)                   | 455 (451)                   | 551 (521)                   | 461 (430)                   | 525 (613)                   |  |

<sup>a</sup> Absorption wavelength maxima

<sup>b</sup> Fluorescence emission maxima

 Table 9
 Observed UV-Visible absorption, emission, computed vertical excitation of the dye 8b in different solvents

|                        | TD-B3LYP/6-31G(d)  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
|------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| $\lambda_{abs}^{maxa}$ | Vert   | ical exc   | itation   | f   | <sup>c</sup> Major contribution  | $\lambda_{ems}^{max \ b}$  |  |
| (nm)                   | nm   | eV   | % D   |   |  | (nm)   |  |
| _                      | 438  | 2.831  | _   | 1.148   | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7026)$   | _  |  |
| 455                    | 462  | 2.683  | -1.54   | 1.262   | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7038)$   | 549  |  |
| 455                    | 463  | 2.677  | -1.76   | 1.265   | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7037)$   | 541  |  |
| 455                    | 463  | 2.677  | -1.76   | 1.273   | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7037)$   | 539  |  |
| 449                    | 461  | 2.689  | -2.67   | 1.257   | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7036)$   | 535  |  |
| 449                    | 460  | 2.695  | -2.45   | 1.249   | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7036)$   | 543  |  |
| 449                    | 459  | 2.701  | -2.23   | 1.242   | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7036)$   | 546  |  |
| 452                    | 460  | 2.695  | -1.77   | 1.249   | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7036)$   | 542  |  |
| 449                    | 460  | 2.695  | -2.45   | 1.245   | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{e}} \left( 0.7036 \right)$  | 545  |  |
| 455                    | 462  | 2.679  | -1.54   | 1.263   | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7037)$   | 551  |  |
|                        | $\lambda_{abs}^{maxa}$<br>(nm)<br>-<br>455<br>455<br>455<br>449<br>449<br>449<br>452<br>449<br>455 | $\lambda_{abs}^{maxa}$ $Verti$<br>(nm) nm<br>- 438<br>455 462<br>455 463<br>455 463<br>455 463<br>449 461<br>449 460<br>449 459<br>452 460<br>449 460<br>455 462 | $\begin{array}{c c} & TD-B3LYP\\ \hline \lambda^{maxa}_{abs} & Vertical excl(nm) nm eV \\ \hline - 438 2.831 \\ 455 462 2.683 \\ 455 463 2.677 \\ 455 463 2.677 \\ 455 463 2.677 \\ 449 461 2.689 \\ 449 460 2.695 \\ 449 460 2.695 \\ 449 460 2.695 \\ 449 460 2.695 \\ 455 462 2.679 \end{array}$ | TD-B3LYP/6-31G           λmaxa         Vertical excitation           (nm)         nm         eV         % D           -         438         2.831         -           455         462         2.683         -1.54           455         463         2.677         -1.76           455         463         2.677         -1.76           449         461         2.689         -2.67           449         460         2.695         -2.45           449         460         2.695         -1.77           449         460         2.695         -1.74           452         460         2.695         -2.45           449         459         2.701         -2.23           452         460         2.695         -1.77           449         459         2.701         -2.23           455         462         2.695         -1.54 | TD-B3LYP/6-31G(J)           λmaxa         Vertical excitation         f           (nm)         nm         eV         % D           -         438         2.831         -         1.148           455         462         2.683         -1.54         1.262           455         463         2.677         -1.76         1.265           455         463         2.677         -1.76         1.273           449         461         2.689         -2.67         1.257           449         460         2.695         -2.45         1.249           449         460         2.695         -2.45         1.249           449         459         2.701         -2.23         1.242           449         459         2.695         -1.77         1.249           449         460         2.695         -2.45         1.249           449         460         2.695         -2.45         1.249           449         460         2.695         -2.45         1.249           449         460         2.695         -2.45         1.245 | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } & TD-B3LYP/6-31G(d) \\ \hline & TD-B3LYP/6-31G(d) \\ \hline & Vertical excitation \\ \hline & nm & eV & \% D \\ \hline & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$ |  |

<sup>a</sup> Absorption wavelength maxima

<sup>b</sup> Fluorescence emission maxima

<sup>c</sup> Fluorescence quantum yield

<sup>d</sup> H HOMO

<sup>e</sup>L LUMO, *f* is oscillator strength, (% D) % Deviation between experimental absorption and vertical excitation computed by DFT

the styryl derivatives show bathochromic shift when benzimidazole unit is replaced by benzothiazole unit. The longest absorption is observed in the styryl derivative with barbituric group. This absorption and emission characteristics are observed because of the intramolecular charge transfer from donor to acceptor ends. Also in DMF, Stokes shift observed in the range of 64 to 96 nm.

The solvatochromism study of chromophore **8a** shows that there is a blue shift in the absorption maxima (428 to 440 nm) from non-polar to polar solvents. The red shifted absorption in chloroform and dichloromethane is observed at 440 nm, while for ethyl acetate and THF it is at 437 nm. In the rest of the solvents it is observed at 428 and 431 nm (Table 2). In chloroform **8a** absorbs with maximum absorption intensity and lowest absorption intensity is seen in DMF (Fig. 3). From



Fig. 7 Emission spectra of the dyes 8a-8d in DMF



Fig. 8 Emission spectra of the dye 8a in different solvents

the evaluated solvatochromism data for the dye **8b**, it is clear that solvent polarity does not affect the absorption maxima of the synthesized dye, the absorption is observed either at 455 or 449 nm (Table 3), and here the maximum absorption intensity is observed in ethanol (Fig. 4). Similarly the dye **8c** does not show any significant change in the absorption maxima with the change in polarity of the solvents except in chloroform a bathochromic shift of 9 nm, the absorption is seen (Table 4). The absorption overlay spectra of dye **8c** shown in Fig. 5 which illustrates that no significant absorption intensity change is observed with the polarity of solvent. The dye **8d** shows bathochromic absorption in chloroform 452 nm while hypsochromic shift is observed in DMF at 436 nm and it absorbs at 446–449 nm in other solvents (Table 5, Fig. 6).

#### Effect of Solvent Polarity on Fluorescence Emission

Solvatofluorism data tabulated in Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5 illustrate that the synthesized dyes **8a-8d** emits in the range of 503–552 nm. The overlay emission spectra of these dyes in DMF indicate that a bathochromic shift of 28 nm occurs when benzimidazole unit is replaced by benzothiazole unit. The barbituric acid incorporated derivative also has bathochromic shift in emission maxima than the styryl derivative with meldrum's acid incorporation with very low emission



Fig. 9 Emission spectra of the dye 8b in different solvents



Fig. 10 Emission spectra of the dye 8c in different solvents

intensity as compared to benzimidazole and benzothiazole substituted derivatives (Figs. 7, 8 and 9).

The emission pattern of the dve 8c in the solvent media of different polarity shows that the blue shifted emission is observed in polar solvents such as DMF with emission maxima 503 nm and bathochromic emission maxima of 552 nm is observed in non-polar solvent chloroform, it clearly indicates the negative solvatofluorism property of the dye 8c (Table 4). Also it emits with stronger emission intensity in dichloromethane at 541 nm (Fig. 10). Stokes shift data also follows the same pattern as seen in the emission maxima. Largest Stokes shift of 100 nm is observed in chloroform and smallest value 67 nm is observed in DMF for dye 8c (Table 4). Similarly, 8d also shows negative solvatofluorism, it has bathochromic absorption maxima of 552 nm in chloroform, while in DMF 8d has blue shifted emission maxima at 525 nm (Table 5). The strongest emission intensity was observed in chloroform at 547 nm (Fig. 11). Stokes shift of 87 nm has been observed in ethanol; and in chloroform, THF and acetone Stokes shift of 85 nm was observed. The lowest value of stokes shift is found in DMF 64 nm (Table 5).

For the dye **8b** bathochromic emission maxima observed in DMF at 551 nm, while blue shifted emission spectra are observed for ethyl acetate and chloroform at 535 and 539 nm



Fig. 11 Emission spectra of the dye 8d in different solvents

 Table 10
 Observed UV-Visible absorption, emission, computed vertical excitation and computed emission of the dye 8c in different solvents

|                   |                        | TD-B3LYP/6-31G(d) |         |         |       |   |                        |  |
|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|-------|---|------------------------|--|
| Solvent           | $\lambda_{abs}^{maxa}$ | Verti             | cal exc | itation | f     | <sup>c</sup> Major contribution   | $\lambda_{ems}^{maxb}$ |  |
|                   | (nm)                   | nm                | eV      | % D     |       |   | (nm)                   |  |
| Gas               | _                      | 406               | 3.054   | _       | 0.676 | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{e}} \left( 0.6993 \right)$ | _                      |  |
| THF               | 449                    | 426               | 2.910   | 5.12    | 0.781 | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7020)$  | 546                    |  |
| DCM               | 449                    | 426               | 2.910   | 5.12    | 0.784 | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{e}}(0.7021)$               | 541                    |  |
| CHCl <sub>3</sub> | 452                    | 426               | 2.910   | 5.75    | 0.791 | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7022)$  | 552                    |  |
| EtOAc             | 446                    | 425               | 2.917   | 4.71    | 0.775 | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{e}} \left( 0.7019 \right)$ | 543                    |  |
| Acetone           | 446                    | 425               | 2.917   | 4.71    | 0.769 | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7017)$  | 528                    |  |
| MeOH              | 446                    | 424               | 2.924   | 4.93    | 0.762 | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7016)$  | 531                    |  |
| EtOH              | 449                    | 425               | 2.917   | 5.35    | 0.769 | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7017)$  | 537                    |  |
| ACN               | 443                    | 424               | 2.924   | 4.29    | 0.766 | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{e}} \left( 0.7016 \right)$ | 517                    |  |
| DMF               | 446                    | 426               | 2.907   | 2.29    | 0.783 | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}}{\rightarrow}\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{e}}\left(0.7020\right)$    | 503                    |  |

<sup>a</sup> Absorption wavelength maxima

<sup>b</sup> Fluorescence emission maxima

<sup>c</sup> Fluorescence quantum yield

 $^{\rm d}H$  HOMO

<sup>e</sup>L LUMO, *f* is oscillator strength, (% D) % Deviation between experimental absorption and vertical excitation computed by DFT

respectively, hence it has positive solvatofluoric effect with increasing solvent polarity (Table 3). Also strong emission

 Table 11
 Observed UV-Visible absorption, emission, computed vertical excitation and computed emission of the dye 8d in different solvents

|                   |                        | TD-B3LYP/6-31G(d)   |       |      |                                    |  |      |
|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------|------|------------------------------------|--|------|
| Solvent           | $\lambda_{abs}^{maxa}$ | Vertical excitation |       | f    | <sup>c</sup> Major<br>contribution | $\lambda_{ems}^{maxb}$   |      |
|                   | (nm)                   | nm                  | eV    | % D  |                                    |  | (nm) |
| Gas               | _                      | 417                 | 2.973 | _    | 0.6818                             | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{e}} \left( 0.6994 \right)$  | _    |
| THF               | 458                    | 437                 | 2.837 | 4.59 | 0.7992                             | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7025)$   | 546  |
| DCM               | 464                    | 437                 | 2.837 | 5.82 | 0.8029                             | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}}{\rightarrow}\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{e}}\left(0.7025\right)$     | 541  |
| CHCl <sub>3</sub> | 467                    | 437                 | 2.837 | 6.42 | 0.8088                             | $H^{d} \rightarrow L^{e} (0.7026)$   | 552  |
| EtOAc             | 458                    | 436                 | 2.844 | 4.8  | 0.7924                             | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}} \!\rightarrow\! \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{e}}\left(0.7024\right)$ | 543  |
| Acetone           | 458                    | 435                 | 2.850 | 5.02 | 0.7874                             | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{e}} \left( 0.7023 \right)$  | 528  |
| MeOH              | 458                    | 435                 | 2.850 | 5.02 | 0.7799                             | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}}{\rightarrow}\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{e}}\left(0.7021\right)$     | 531  |
| EtOH              | 461                    | 436                 | 2.844 | 5.42 | 0.7878                             | $H^d \rightarrow L^e (0.7023)$   | 537  |
| ACN               | 458                    | 435                 | 2.850 | 5.02 | 0.7836                             | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}} \!\rightarrow\! \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{e}}\left(0.7022\right)$ | 517  |
| DMF               | 461                    | 437                 | 2.835 | 5.21 | 0.803                              | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}}{\rightarrow}\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{e}}\left(0.7025\right)$     | 503  |

<sup>a</sup> Absorption wavelength maxima

<sup>b</sup> Fluorescence emission maxima

<sup>c</sup> Fluorescence quantum yield

 $^{\rm d}H$  HOMO

 $^{e}L$  LUMO, *f* is oscillator strength, (% D) % Deviation between experimental absorption and vertical excitation computed by DFT

intensity is observed in DMF dye for the dye **8b** (Fig. 9). The dye **8b** shows the largest Stokes shift in methanol 97 nm followed by DMF and acetonitrile 96 nm, lowest value of 84 nm is seen in chloroform (Table 3). In case of the dye **8a**, there is no such significant change in the emission maxima with the change in the solvent polarity; it emits in the range 518 nm in methanol and 526 nm in chloroform. Stokes shift values given in the Table 2 indicate that Stokes shift increases

with an increase in the solvent polarity (80 nm in chloroform and 95 nm in DMF). Here stronger emission intensity was observed in chloroform and DMF while lower emission intensity is observed in acetonitrile (Fig. 8).

Fluorescence excitation maxima of the dyes **8a-8d** were observed from 417 to 469 nm. The dye **8a** has average excitation at 434 nm the excitation maxima are very close to absorption maxima except excitation maxima observed in





methanol where a difference of 16 nm is obtained (Table 2). For the dye **8b** the emission maxima in dichloromethane differ by 14 nm otherwise it is almost same as absorption maxima (Table 3). The dye **8c** has a considerable difference of 15 and 19 nm in acetone and DMF respectively, here the excitation was observed at lower wavelength than the absorption wavelength (Table 4). The dye **8d** has significant difference in the excitation and absorption but in dichloromethane a difference of 26 nm is observed (Table 5).

In benzimidazole derivative 8a substituting morpholine group by diphenyl group there is red shift in emission wavelength as well as in stokes shift also stokes shift increases with polarity reverse is observed in morpholino derivatives. The red shift in emission wavelength is seen from 15 nm in chloroform to 37 nm in acetonitrile, there is rise in red shift with increasing polarity. Similar trend is seen in stokes shift, in chloroform 5 nm rise in stokes shift while 33 nm rise in stokes shift is observed in Acetonitrile. In case of Benzthiazole derivative **8b** also there is red shift in emission wavelength as well as in stokes shift. The observed red shift in emission wavelength is in 29 (Ethyl acetate) to 42 nm (THF and methanol) range and that of stokes shift is 20 (in acetonitrile) to 38 nm (in methanol) range. As well as in case for barbituric acid derivative 8d the rise in emission wavelength is observed ranging from 32 nm (in DMF) to 61 nm (Chloroform and Ethanol), While stokes shift increased in the range 29 nm (DMF) to 58 (Ethanol) (Table 7). The red shift in the emission wavelength and rise in stokes shift may be due to the presence of two benzene rings instead of aliphatic chain, also here the benzene rings are twisted and thiazole ring is in the plane of nitrogen hence there is sufficient intermolecular charge transfer from nitrogen to electron withdrawing group present in thiazole ring.

#### Quantum Yield

The relative fluorescence quantum yields of the synthesized chromophores in different solvents of different polarity are calculated and summarized in Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5. As discussed earlier, the photophysical properties of the fluorophores are largely affected by polarity of solvent. Here we have investigated the effect of solvent polarity on the quantum yield of the synthesized fluorophore. Fluorescein is used as a reference standard (Tables 10, 11, and 12).

The dye **8c** has largest quantum yield of 0.0214 (2.14 %) in dichloromethane among all the synthesized styryl derivatives. Its quantum yield decreases with the increasing solvent polarity. The quantum efficiency in chloroform is 0.0093 while in polar solvents methanol, DMF and acetonitrile quantum yields of 0.0003, 0.0007 and 0.0009 are obtained respectively (Table 4). The dye **8d** also has high quantum efficiency in non-polar solvents 0.0119 (1.19 %) in dichloromethane, 0.0113 (1.13 %) in THF, 0.0098 (0.98 %) in chloroform, and 0.0066 (0.66 %) in ethyl acetate. Here a lower value of quantum yields are estimated in polar solvents such as 0.0012 (0.12) in methanol, 0.0017 (0.17 %) in DMF, 0.0019 (0.19) in acetonitrile (Table 5). The dye **8a** has highest quantum yield in ethyl acetate, 0.0114 (1.14 %) and lower value is obtained in acetone, 0.0015 (0.15 %) (Table 2). Similarly for the dye **8b** appreciable quantum efficiency is observed in dichloromethane, 0.0069 (0.69 %), DMF 0.0062 (0.62 %), and chloroform 0.0059 (0.59 %) while lowest value of 0.0004 (0.04 %) is evaluated in ethanol (Table 3).

# Effect of Viscosity on Photophysical Properties of Dyes 8a-8d

The molecules has number of molecular motions, these motions are responsible for diminishing fluorescence of fluorophores by collisions and other non-radiative pathways. The viscosity of solvent media plays a crucial role in enhancing the fluorescence intensity by restricting the molecular motions and with that loss of energy responsible for non-radiative emission. Glycerin is a viscous media hence increased concentration of glycerin increases the viscosity of solvent media. Such enhancement in the viscosity confines the molecular motion, hence lowers the loss of excitation energy of excited species by non-radiative ways.

Here, we have verified the effect of increasing viscosity on fluorescence intensity of synthesized novel fluorophores. The absorbance and emission spectra were recorded in an increased concentration of glycerin from 0 to 50 %. In order to verify the linearity of change in fluorescence intensity with viscosity graph of absorption intensity and emission intensity were plotted against increasing concentration of glycerin in methanol (Figs. 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17).

The overlay fluorescence emission spectra of the dye **8b** clearly show that as viscosity increases fluorescence intensity increases (Fig. 12). The graph of absorption intensity and fluorescence emission intensity vs. percentage of glycerol in



Fig. 12 Fluorescence emission spectra of dye 8b at different % of glycerol in methanol



Fig. 13 Graph of absorption intensity and fluorescence emission intensity vs. percentage of glycerol in methanol solvent for dye 8a

methanol solvent for dye **8b** (Fig. 14) shows that enhancement in the absorption value with a slope of 0.0049, with that the emission intensity increases with the slope of 0.0322. The linear regression for absorption is 0.59 and for emission 0.8892. From Fig. 13 for dye **8a**, we have obtained increment in the emission intensity with a slope of 0.0329 and absorption intensity enhances with 0.0014 slope value, linear regression was found to be 0.9025 and 0.0792 respectively. Fluorophore **8d** has slope value of 0.0247 and 0.0034 for emission and absorption intensity with a linear regression of 0.8944 and 0.1676 respectively (Fig. 16), while emission and absorption intensity of dye **8c** has slope of 0.0505 and 0.0017 and the linear regression of 0.4761 and 0.413 (Fig. 15).

From all the above mentioned values of slope, it is evident that there is effective enhancement in fluorescent intensity with viscosity as compared with absorption intensity which is direct indication of enhancement in quantum yield of fluorophores with increasing viscosity of solvent medium.

Here, we evaluated the ratio of ground sate to the excited state dipole moment of the synthesized novel styryl dyes **8a-d** using Bakhshiev [27], and Bilot-Kawski correlations [29, 44]. It is to be noted that the formation of molecular complexes and hydrogen bonding does not account in the Lippert–Mataga



Fig. 14 Graph of absorption intensity and fluorescence emission intensity vs. percentage of glycerol in methanol solvent for dye 8b



Fig. 15 Graph of absorption intensity and fluorescence emission intensity vs. percentage of glycerol in methanol solvent for dye 8c

relationship. A detailed theory for the calculation of dipole moment is described in supporting information [29]. All the solvatochromism and solvatofluorism details of the dyes 8a-**8b** such as absorption wavelength  $(cm^{-1})$ , emission wavelength (cm<sup>-1</sup>), Stokes shift (cm<sup>-1</sup>) and arithmetic mean of absorption and emission wavelengths (cm<sup>-1</sup>) with solvent parameter and Bakhshiev and Bilot-Kawski functions of solvents are tabulated in Table S1. The graphs of Stokes shift  $(\Delta \nu)$  of dyes **8a-8d** verses Bakhshiev's polarity parameter  $f_{I}$  $(\varepsilon, \eta)$  (Figure S1) and of  $((\overline{\nu}_a + \overline{\nu}_f)/2)$  with Bilot-Kawski solvent polarity parameter  $f_2(\varepsilon,\eta)$  (Figure S2) were plotted and their slopes are calculated as  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  respectively. The calculated slope values, their constants and correlation coefficients are compiled in Table S2, and finally ratio of ground sate to the excited state dipole moment are computed and tabulated in Table S3.

From Table S3, it is evident that dyes 8a and 8b have higher dipole moment in exited state than the ground state. In other words we can say that excited state of dyes 8a and 8b is more polarized than the ground state, indicating good extent of charge transfer in excited state. However dipole moment ratio of dyes 8c and 8d indicates that they have lower dipole moment in exited state than ground state.



Fig. 16 Graph of absorption intensity and fluorescence emission intensity vs. percentage of glycerol in methanol solvent for dye 8d



Fig. 17 Overlay TGA graph of dyes 8a-8d

# **Thermal Properties**

The thermal stability of synthesized dyes **8a-8d** was evaluated by thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning colorimeter (DSC). The analysis is carried out between 0 and 600 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. Figure 17 represents the overlay TGA graphs of the synthesized derivatives **8a-8d**. Dye **8a** show two stage decomposition pattern, in first stage steep weight loss starts at 230 °C and at 264 °C 88 % decomposition occurs. Second stage of sharp weight loss starts at 371 °C. Similarly dye **8d** also shows two stages of weight loss first sharp weight loss occurs at 220 °C up to 91 % and then in second stage steep weight loss starts at 250 °C. Dye **8c** shows a sharp weight loss last more than 600 °C. In case of **8b** the molecule is thermally stable up to 309 °C after which it shows gradual weight loss.

# Conclusion

In summary, we have developed an efficient and simple protocol for the synthesis of fluorescent styryl derivatives from 4chloro-2-(diphenylamino)-1, 3-thiazole-5-carbaldehyde. The synthesized D- $\pi$ -A type styryl derivatives were confirmed by FTIR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and Mass spectral analysis. The evaluated photophysical properties of these derivatives in different solvents of varying polarity confirms that the absorption occurs in the range 428–461 nm leading to the emission ranging from 503 to 551 nm with the Stokes shift ranging from 67–100 nm. The dye 8a absorbs with blue shift with increasing solvent polarity. Similarly, dyes 8d and 8c show negative solvatofluorism, Stokes shift of dye 8d also has hypsochromic effect with increasing solvent polarity, While dye 8b have bathochromic effect of increasing solvent polarity. The dyes 8a and 8b are polar in excited state than the ground state, while dyes 8c and 8d have polar ground state than the excited state. Dye 8d has large quantum efficiency in the series and it decreases with increasing solvent polarity. The synthesized dyes have good thermal stability.

**Acknowledgments** The authors Prashant Umape is thankful to UGC for providing fellowship under UGC-SAP programme and Sharad R. Patil is thankful to University Grant Commission (UGC-NET SRF) for providing Senior research fellowship.

#### References

- Deligeorgiev T, Vasilev A, Kaloyanova S, Vaquero J (2010) Styryl dyes—synthesis and applications during the last 15 years. Color Technol 126:55
- Venkataraman K (1970) The chemistry of synthetic dyes III. Academic, London, p 449
- 3. Mees CEK, James TH (1979) The theory of the photographic process, Part 1 (H. Tao trans.), Science Press: Beijing
- Jaung J, Matsuoka M, Fukunishi K (1996) Syntheses and properties of new styryl dyes derived from 2, 3-dicyano-5-methylpyrazines. Dyes Pigments 31:141
- Fitilis I, Fakis M, Polyzos I, Giannetas V, Persephonis P, Vellis P, Mikroyannidis (2007) A two-photon absorption study of fluorene and carbazole derivatives. The role of the central core and the solvent polarity. J Chem Phys Lett 447:300
- Andrade AA, Yamaki SB, Misoguti L, Zilio SC, Teresa DZ, Atvars ON, Oliveira X Jr, Mendonca CR (2004) Two-photon absorption in diazobenzene compounds. Opt Mater 27:441
- 7. Li Q, Peng BX (1994) Photogr Sci Photochem 12:150
- 8. Ying JL, Zhu H, Yao ZG (1990) Chem J Chin Univ 11:286
- Styren SD, Hamilton RL, Styren GC, Klunk (2000) X-34, a fluorescent derivative of Congo red: a novel histochemical stain for Alzheimer's disease pathology. J Histochem Cytochem 48:1223
- 10. Higuchi M, Iwata N, Matsuba Y, Sato K, Sasamoto K, Saido TC (2005)  $^{19}\text{F}$  and  $^1\text{H}$  MRI detection of amyloid  $\beta$  plaques in vivo. Nat Neurosci 8:527
- Velusamy M, Thomas KRJ, Lin JT, Hsu Y, Ho K (2005) Organic dyes incorporating low-band-gap chromophores for dye-sensitized solar cells. Org Lett 7:1899
- Yang JS, Lin YH, Yang CS (2002) Palladium-catalyzed synthesis of trans-4-(N, N-Bis(2-pyridyl)amino)stilbene. A New intrinsic fluoroionophore for transition metal ions. Org Lett 4:777
- Yang JS, Lin YD, Lin YH, Liao FL (2004) Zn(II)-induced groundstate π-deconjugation and excited-state electron transfer in N, Nbis(2-pyridyl)amino-substituted arenes. J Org Chem 69:3517
- 14. Shirota Y (2000) Organic materials for electronic and optoelectronic devices. J Mater Chem 10:1
- Shirota Y (2005) Photo- and electroactive amorphous molecular materials—molecular design, syntheses, reactions, properties, and applications. J Mater Chem 15:75
- Patil SR, Choudhary AS, Sekar N (2015) Disperse styryl and azo dyes for polyester and nylon fibre: synthesis, optical properties having the 1, 2, 4-triketo naphthoquinone skeleton. Fibers Polym 16:1068
- 17. Choudhary AS, Patil SR, Sekar N (2015) Study on synthesis and fluorescence of novel Benzofused phenazine  $\pi$ -conjugated skeleton with coumarin and Isophoron cores. J Fluoresc 25:481
- Choudhary AS, Malik MK, Patil SR, Prabhu KH, Deshmukh RR, Sekar N (2014) Phenazines and thiazine: green synthesis, photophysical properties and Dichroic behavior in nematic host. Can Chem Trans 2:365
- Sekar N, Umape PG, Padalkar VS, Tayade RP, Ramasami P (2014) Synthesis of novel styryl derivatives from 4-chloro-2-(morpholin-4-yl)-1,3-thiazole-5- carbaldehyde. Study of their photophysical properties and TD-DFT computations. J Lumin 150:8–18

- Mataga N, Kaifu Y, Koizumi M (1956) Solvent effects upon fluorescence spectra and the dipole moments of excited molecules. Bull Chem Soc Jpn 29:465
- Lippert E (1957) Spektroskopische bestimmung des dipolmomentes aromatischer verbindungen im ersten angeregten singulettzustand. Z Elektrochem 61:962
- Mataga N (1963) Solvent effects on the absorption and fluorescence spectra of naphthylamines and isomeric aminobenzoic acids. Bull Chem Soc Jpn 36:654
- Mataga N, Kaifu Y, Koizumi M (1955) The solvent effect on fluorescence spectrum change of solute-solvent interaction during the lifetime of excited solute molecule. Bull Chem Soc Jpn 28:690
- 24. Lakowicz JR (1999) Principles of fluorescence spectroscopy, 2nd edn. Kluwer, New York
- Reichardt C (1994) Solvatochromic dyes as solvent polarity indicators. Chem Rev 94:2319
- Bakhshiev NG (1964) Universal intermolecular interactions and their effect on the position of the electronic spectra of molecules in two component solutions. Opt Spektrosk 16:821
- 27. Kawski A (1966) der Wellenzahl von Elecktronenbanden Lumineszierenden Moleküle. Acta Phys Polon 29:507
- Chamma A, Viallet PCR (1970) Determination du moment dipolaire d'une molecule dans un etat excite singulet. CR Acad Sci Paris Ser C 270:1901
- 29. Kawski A (1964) Dipol momente einiger naphthole im grund- und anregungszustand. Naturwissenschaften 51:82
- Jose J, Burgess K (2006) Syntheses and properties of water-soluble Nile red derivatives. J Org Chem 71:7835
- 31. Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Schlegel HB, Scuseria GE, Robb MA, Cheeseman JR, Scalmani G, Barone V, Mennucci B, Petersson GA, Nakatsuji H, Caricato M, Li X, Hratchian HP, Izmaylov AF, Bloino J, Zheng G, Sonnenberg JL, Hada M, Ehara M, Toyota K, Fukuda R, Hasegawa J, Ishida M, Nakajima T, Honda Y, Kitao O, Nakai H, Vreven T, Montgomery JA Jr, Peralta JE, Ogliaro F, Bearpark M, Heyd JJ, Brothers E, Kudin KN, Staroverov VN,

Kobayashi R, Normand J, Raghavachari K, Rendell A, Burant JC, Iyengar SS, Tomasi J, Cossi M, Rega N, Millam NJ, KleneM Knox JE, Cross JB, Bakken V, Adamo C, Jaramillo J, Gomperts R, Stratmann RE, Yazyev O, Austin AJ, Cammi R, Pomelli C, Ochterski JW, Martin RL, Morokuma K, Zakrzewski VG, Voth GA, Salvador P, Dannenberg JJ, Dapprich S, Daniels AD, Farkas O, Foresman JB, Ortiz JV, Cioslowski J, Fox DJ (2010) Gaussian 09 revision C01. Gaussian Inc, Wallingford

- Treutler O, Ahlrichs R (1995) Efficient molecular numerical integration schemes. J Chem Phys 102:346
- Lee C, Yang W, Parr RG (1988) Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density. Phys Rev B 37:785
- 34. Hehre WJ, Radom L, Schleyer PR, Pople J (1986) Ab Initio molecular orbital theory. Wiley, New York
- Bauernschmitt R, Ahlrichs R (1996) Treatment of electronic excitations within the adiabatic approximation of time dependent density functional theory. Chem Phys Lett 256:454
- Furche F, Rappaport D (2005) Density functional theory for excited states: equilibrium structure and electronic spectra. In: Olivucci M (ed) Computational photochemistry. Elsevier, Amsterdam, p 16, chapter 3
- Tomasi J, Mennucci B, Cammi R (2005) Quantum mechanical continuum solvation models. Chem Rev 105:2999
- Hernandez W, Spodine E, Vega R, Richter R, Griebel J, Kirmse R, Schröder U, Beyer LZ (2004) Anorg Allg Chem 630:1381
- Hernandez W, Spodine E, Munoz JC, Beyer L, Schröder U, Ferreira J, Pavania M (2003) Bioinorg Chem Appl 1:3
- 40. Dixon AE, Taylor J (1912) J Chem Soc 101:558
- 41. Dixon AE, Taylor J (1912) Proc Chem Soc Lond 28:45
- 42. Zimmermann T, Fischer WJF (1990) J Prakt Chem 332:540
- 43. Wang LY, Zhang XG, Jia YQ, Zhang ZX (2003) Chin Chem Lett 14:1116
- 44. Chamma A, Viallet PCR (1970) Acad Sci Paris Ser C 270:1901