Effective 1,5-Asymmetric Induction in Tin(IV) Chloride Promoted Reactions Between Aldehydes and (4-Alkoxy-2-alkenyl)tributylstannanes

Alan H. McNeill, Eric J. Thomas*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, England Received 1 November 1993, revised 4 January 1994

Transmetallation of (S)-4-benzyloxy-2-pentenyl(tributyl)stannane (1) using tin(IV) chloride generates an allyltin trichloride which reacts in situ with aldehydes to give 1-substituted syn-(3Z)-5-benzyloxyhexenols with excellent stereoselectivity. With chiral aldehydes, the stereoselectivity of the reaction is dominated by the reagent, except for 2-alkoxyaldehydes which show matching and mismatching consistent with preferred Felkin-Anh diastereofacial selectivity.

Reactions of allylstannanes and aldehydes are being developed for the stereoselective synthesis of homoallylic alcohols.¹ Noncatalysed reactions require high temperatures and proceed via six-membered, cyclic transition states.² In contrast, open-chain processes are believed to be involved in Lewis acid catalysed reactions.³ Transmetallation to generate more reactive allylmetal species is also well established.⁴ For 1-substituted allylstannanes, effective diastereofacial selectivity is found for both non catalysed (thermal) and Lewis acid catalysed reactions.⁵

Bu₃Sn
$$\xrightarrow{OH}$$
 OBn 73
OBn $\xrightarrow{150^{\circ}C, 18 \text{ h}}$ OH $\xrightarrow{27}$ OBn $\xrightarrow{27}$ OBn $\xrightarrow{3}$

However, the noncatalysed reaction of (S)-4-benzyloxy-2-pentenyl(tributyl)stannane (1) and p-nitrobenzaldehyde at 150°C shows only modest diastereofacial selectivity giving a mixture of the two 1,2-anti-products 2 and 3, ratio 73:27.6 We now report details of tin(IV) chloride promoted reactions between aldehydes and the stannane 1. These are believed to involve transmetallation to generate a reactive allyltin trichloride, and proceed with excellent 1,5-asymmetric induction.

Reactions with Achiral Aldehydes

Preliminary studies of reactions between stannane 1 and benzaldehyde promoted by boron trifluoride—diethyl ether complex gave only low yields of products, 1,4-elimination to give benzyl alcohol and penta-1,3-diene dominating the reaction. However, reactions promoted by tin(IV) chloride gave completely different results. Optimum conditions were to add a cooled solution of tin(IV) chloride to a solution of the stannane at $-78\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ followed, after 5 min, by benzaldehyde. Workup after 1 h gave the syn-(3Z)-5-benzyloxyhexenol 4 (90%) containing only traces (ca. 2%) of its anti-diastereoisomer 5.

The structure of the *syn*-(3Z)-product **4** was consistent with spectroscopic data. This *cis*-geometry of the double bond was established by the observation of NOE enhancements between the allylic protons. The configuration of the hydroxy-bearing carbon C-1 was initially assigned by the relative ¹H NMR chemical shifts of the corre-

sponding (R)- and (S)-acetylmandelates 6 and 7,8 and was confirmed by ozonolysis, with a reductive workup, of the acetate 8. This gave a mixture of the alcohols 9 and 10 in yields of 47 and 97%, respectively. The configuration of the alcohol 9 was established by conversion into the known (+)-hydroxysilyl ether 11, $[\alpha]_D + 27.4$ (c = 0.76, CHCl₃) [cf. lit. $^9 + 24.7$ (c = 0.76, CHCl₃)]. To check the absolute configuration of the silyl ether, cinnamyl alcohol was converted into the epoxide 12 using L-(+)-diisopropyl tartrate following the Sharpless procedure. 10 Reduction of the epoxide with Red-Al and selective silylation of the primary alcohol also gave the (+)-hydroxysilyl ether 11.

A mixture of the 1,5-syn- and 1,5-anti-products 4 and 5 was prepared to check that the two isomers could be distinguished by NMR. Lithiation of (\pm) -3-benzyloxybutyne (14) and reaction with the (\pm) -styrene epoxide 13 gave the hexynol 15 as a mixture of diastereoisomers together a mixture of side-products, possibly the regioisomers 16. Hydrogenation of 15 using a Lindlar catalyst gave a mixture of the syn- and (3Z)-anti-5-benzyloxyhexenols 4 and 5 which, although inseparable by chromatography, were clearly different by ¹H NMR. Comparison with the product mixture obtained from the reaction of the stannane 1 with benzaldehyde, confirmed that the minor (ca. 2%) component was the anti-isomer 5.

Ph OBn
$$n$$
-BuLi ph OBn OBn OBn H_2 Lindlar cat. H_3 H_4 H_5 H_6 H_6 H_8 H_8

The use of other conditions for the reaction between benzaldehyde and the stannane 1 was less satisfactory. To get good yields of product, it is important to add the aldehyde to the reaction mixture at $-78\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ approximately 5 min after the addition of the tin(IV) chloride. If the addition of the aldehyde is delayed, say by 20 min, lower yields are obtained. Similarly if the stannane is added to a mixture of the aldehyde and tin(IV) chloride, less satisfactory results are obtained with elimination of benzyl alcohol from the stannane becoming more important.

The stereoselective formation of 1-substituted syn-(3Z)-5-benzyloxyhexenols using stannane 1 and tin(IV) chloride was found to be general for a wide range of aldehydes, see Table 1.7 Excellent stereoselectivity in favour

Biographical Sketches



Eric J. Thomas studied for his B. A. and Ph. D. in Cambridge, the latter under the supervision of Dr. I. Fleming. In 1971, he went to Oxford, where he worked as a Departmental Post-doctoral Research Assistant in the Dyson Perrins Laboratory carrying out research under the supervision of Dr. G. H. Whitham into the synthesis of trans-cyclooctenes. In 1973, he was appointed as a University Lecturer in Organic Chemistry at King's College, London, where he carried out research into aspects of the chemistry of β -lactams (in collaboration with the late Dr. D. I. John) and embarked on several natural product syntheses. In 1979, he moved back to Oxford as a University Lecturer in Organic Chemistry and Official Tutorial Fellow of Exeter College. In 1988, he was appointed Professor of Organic Chemistry at the University of Manchester. His research interests are concerned with synthetic organic chemistry, both the total synthesis of natural products and the development of new methods, particularly for asymmetric synthesis. He was awarded the Hickinbottom Fellowship of the Royal Society of Chemistry in 1982 and a Pfizer Prize in 1986.



Alan H. McNeill was born in 1963 in Dundee, Scotland, and received his B. Sc. in Chemistry from Dundee in 1985. After 4 years in industry, he moved to Manchester University and completed his Ph. D. in 1992. He is currently a Post-doctoral Research Assistant at Nottingham University.

324 Feature Article SYNTHESIS

of the 1,5-syn-products 17a-j was observed with only minor amounts of the anti-isomers 18a-j being detected.

The syn- and anti-products obtained from aromatic aldehydes and methyl glyoxalate were distinguished by ¹H NMR, whereas the products from the reactions with aliphatic aldehydes could only be distinguished by ¹³CNMR. A mixture of 17g and 18g was prepared by hydrogenation of the corresponding alkyne and were separated by chromatography. The configuration of the hydroxy-bearing carbon for the major products 17d,f,g,i obtained from furfural, butanal, 2-methylpropanal, and methyl glyoxalate, was established by comparison of the ¹H NMR spectra of their (R)- and (S)-acetylmandelates 19d,f,g,i and 20d,f,g,i.8 In all cases the relative chemical shifts were consistent with the major products having the 1,5-syn-stereochemistry, see Table 2. The cis-geometry of the double bonds in 17a-j was consistent with absorptions at $v = 696-699 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in their IR spectra, and vinylic coupling constants of ca. 11 Hz in the ¹H NMR spectra of their acetylmandelates. The structures of the other products were assigned by analogy. Finally, the structure of the p-nitrobenzoate 21 of the product 17j prepared from 2-naphthaldehyde, was confirmed by Xray difraction.11

Reactions with Chiral Aldehydes

Tin(IV) chloride promoted reactions of the (4S)-stannane 1 and a series of chiral aldehydes were investigated to see whether the stereochemical preference of the reagent or the diastereofacial bias of the aldehyde would determine the overall stereoselectivity of the reactions. ¹² Reactions with 2- and 3-alkoxyaldehydes with chiral centres at both the 2- and 3-positions were investigated, and the results are shown in Table 3.

Excellent stereoselectivity in favour of the 1,5-syn-products was observed in all cases except for reactions with the (2R)-2-alkoxypropanals 27a-c. These each gave a mixture of two products in a ratio of about 70:30, the major product corresponding to the (3Z)-syn-diastereo-isomer 33a-c, the minor product being identified as the (3Z)-anti-isomer 34a-c.

The (R)- and (S)-acetylmandelates 35-48 were synthesised to establish the configurations of the hydroxy-bearing carbons in the products 28-34. The relative ¹H chemical shifts observed for protons near to the hydroxy bearing chiral centre are shown in Table 2.8 The acetylmandelates obtained from the minor products from reactions with the (2R)-2-alkoxypropanals 27a-c show a reversal in relative chemical shift compared with the acetylmandelates prepared from all the other products. Following the mnemonic in the literature, 8 this suggests that the minor products have the (S)-configuration at the hydroxy-bearing carbon indicative of 1,5-anti-stereochemistry, all the other products having the 1,5-syn-stereochemistry. Coupling constants between the vinylic protons of 11 Hz were observed for the acetylmandelates confirming the cis-alkene geometry.

The structures of the major products 32a and 33a obtained from the (2S)- and (2R)-2-benzyloxypropanals 26a and 27a and the (4S)-benzyloxystannane 1 were confirmed by correlation with known compounds. The tin(IV) chloride promoted reaction between 2-benzyloxypropanal 26a and allyltrimethylsilane is known to give a mixture of the syn- and anti-alcohols 49 and 50 in which the chelation-controlled syn-product 49 is the major component, 49:50 = 35:1.13 In our hands, the analogous reaction using allyltributylstannane was less stereoselective but still gave the syn-alcohol 49 as the major component, 49:50 = 78:22, and was useful in that it gave access to both stereoisomers which could be separated. Acetylation and ozonolysis with a reductive workup gave the acetates 53 and 54 in which the acetyl group had migrated to the primary position. Ozonolysis of the acetates 55 and 56, prepared from the major products 33a and 32a of the

reactions of stannane 1 with the (2R)- and (2S)-2-benzyloxypropanals 27a and 26a, gave the enantiomer of acetate 53, and the acetate 54, respectively. These correlations confirmed the stereochemical assignments made to the products of the reactions from the 2-alkoxypropanals 26 and 27.

Mechanistic Discussion

The major products in the reactions of stannane 1 and aldehydes promoted by tin(IV) chloride are 1-substituted syn-(3Z)-5-benzyloxyhexenols, i.e. 4, 17a-j, and 28-33, see Tables 1 and 3, most reactions proceeding with excellent stereoselectivity. The formation of these products is consistent with transmetallation of the allyltributylstannane 1 to give the allyltin trichloride 57 which then reacts with the aldehyde via the cyclic transition state 59. It is suggested that this intermediate allyltin trichloride is stabilised by coordination of the oxygen of the benzyloxy group to the electron-deficient tin,14 and that it is formed stereoselectively so that the methyl and vinyl groups are trans-disposed about the 4-membered ring. The 4-membered ring is not excessively strained because the pentacoordinated tin is trigonal bipyramidal with the allyl fragment equatorial and the oxygen ligand axial. Indeed an X-ray structure of a 4-membered ring oxastannane has recently been published. 15 The allyltin trichloride 57 reacts with aldehydes via the cyclic transition state 59 in which the group α to tin is in the pseudo-axial position. This preference leads to the formation of products which have cis-double bonds, and controls the facial selectivity of the attack on the aldehyde.

The origin of the stereoselectivity of the first step in this sequence, the formation of the allyltin trichloride 57, has not been studied. It may be that 57 is more stable than its cis-diastereoisomer 58, and that an initially formed cis-trans mixture equilibrates to give more of the transstereoisomer which then reacts with the aldehyde. Moreover, the reaction of the trans-allyltin trichloride 57 with an aldehyde may be faster than the analogous reaction of its cis-diastereoisomer 58 due to less steric hindrance

to the approaching aldehyde. Alternatively, the stereoselectivity of transmetallation may be due to kinetic control, the greater stability of the *trans*-allyltin trichloride intermediate being reflected in the transition state for its formation if, for example, the tin(IV) chloride is delivered to the double bond of the tributylstannane 1 by the oxygen of the benzyloxy substituent.

The preference of the group α to tin to adopt the axial position in the transition state 59 has precedent in the noncatalysed reactions of α -substituted allylstannanes, e.g. 61, and aldehydes. However, in the transition states 62 of these latter reactions, the tin is pentacoordinated, not hexacoordinated as it is in transition state 59, and so may not provide a very convincing analogy.

The first formed product of the reaction between the allyltin trihalide 57 and an aldehyde is likely to be the 8-membered-ring-containing intermediate 60. This would be hydrolysed on workup and is probably much more stable than its *trans*-double-bond-containing isomer. It is possible that this difference in stability is reflected in the transition states for reactions of the allyltin trihalide 57 with aldehydes. Preliminary molecular modelling calculations on analogues of 60 and its *trans*-double-bond isomer, indicate that the *cis*-isomer 60 is the much more stable.

The tin(IV) chloride promoted reactions of the stannane 1 and chiral alkoxyaldehydes show a strong preference for the formation of the 1,5-syn-isomer, i. e. for the reagent-controlled product, irrespective of the configuration of the aldehyde. This is surprising when compared with the high degree of chelation control observed in reactions of alkoxyaldehydes and unsubstituted allyltin trihalides. For example, the 3-benzyloxypropanal 24 reacts with prop-2-enyltin trichloride to give the anti- and syn-prod-

ucts 64 and 65, ratio $64:65 = 98:2.^{16}$ This preference for chelation control is not observed in reactions of the alkoxyallyltin trihalide 57. It would appear that the internal coordination proposed for the allyltin trichloride precludes chelation control in reactions with alkoxyaldehydes.

Indeed, the matching and mismatching observed for the 2-alkoxypropanals 26a-c and 27a-c is consistent with a preference for Cram (Felkin-Anh) selectivity. The minor products 34a-c from the mismatched (2R)-aldehydes 27a-c may have been formed by equilibration of the allyltin trihalide 57 with its epimer 58. This can then react with the (2R)-aldehydes via the Felkin-Anh mode to give the observed minor products.

Present work is concerned with extending the scope of the tin(IV) halide promoted reactions of (alkoxyallyl)stannanes to include 1,6- and 1,7-asymmetric induction, ^{18,19} and to apply this chemistry to develop new strategies for the stereoselective synthesis of open-chain compounds. ²⁰ Allylstannanes with 4-amino and 5-thiosubstituents have also been found to react with efficient 1,5-asymmetric induction. ^{21,22}

¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC-300 or a Varian XL-300 spectrometer and ¹³C spectra on the Bruker AC-300 operating at 75 MHz in solution in CDCl₃. ¹⁹F spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity-500 operating at 470 MHz. IR spectra were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 1710 FT spectrometer as evaporated

Table 1. Tin(IV) Chloride Promoted Reactions of Stannane 1 and Aldehydes

R	Products	Yield (%)	1,5-syn: 1,5-anti
Ph	4, 5	90	98 : 2ª
p-ClC ₆ H ₄	17a, 18a	77	94 : 6ª
p-O ₂ NC ₆ H ₄	17b, 18b	77	95:5ª
p-MeOC ₆ H ₄	17c, 18c	77	97:3ª
2-furyl	17d, 18d	72	95 : 5ª
PhCH=CH	17e, 18e	64	95 : 5 ⁶
Pr	17 f, 18 f	84	95 : 5°
i-Pr	17g, 18g	84	93 : 7°
cyclohexyl	17h, 18h	78	92 : 8°
MeO ₂ C	17 i, 18 i	68	98:2°
2-naphthyl	17j, 18j	72	95 : 5ª

^a Determined by ¹H NMR.

Table 2. Relative ¹H Chemical Shifts of (R)- and (S)-Acetylmandelates; δ (R-mandelate)- δ (S-mandelate)

Parent Alcohol	1-H, 1'-H	2-Н, 2'-Н	3-Н	4-H	5-H	6-H	7-H, 7'-H
4	_	0.19, 0.05	0.2	0.2	0.09	0.03	0.12, 0.10
17 d	_	0.22, 0.22	0.23	0.37	0.18	0.11	0.19, 0.18
17 f	- 0.12	0.16, 0.16	0.22	0.34	0.15	0.07	0.17, 0.14
17g	- 0.24	0.18, 0.18	0.41	0.27	0.21	0.08	0.22, 0.20
17i		0.00, 0.00	0.19	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00, 0.03
28	- 0.10	0.22, 0.22	0.34	0.21	0.18	0.04	a
29	-0.12, -0.15	0.19, 0.19	0.33	0.02	0.17	0.02	a
30	$-0.15 (-0.22)^{b}$	0.18, 0.18	0.41	0.31	0.16	0.12	a
31	$-0.06 (-0.2)^{b}$	0.24, 0.24	0.33	0.33	0.18	0.1	a
32 a	$-0.25 (-0.27)^{b}$	0.07, 0.07	0.37	0.26	0.1	0.02	a
32 b	$-0.21 (-0.25)^{b}$	0.03, 0.03	0.47	0.32	0.16	0.09	0.2, 0.21
32 c	$-0.22(-0.24)^{b}$	0.12, 0.12	0.4	0.26	0.15	0.09	0.23, 0.18
33 a	$-0.19 (-0.31)^{b}$	0.16, 0.16	0.41	0.27	0.15	0.03	a
33 b	$-0.2(-0.38)^{b}$	0.27, 0.06	0.45	0.28	0.15	0.09	0.17, 0.17
33 c	$-0.22 (-0.33)^{b}$	0.12, 0.12	0.41	0.24	0.16	0.05	0.19, 0.14
34 a	0.23 (0.19) ^b	-0.09, -0.09	- 0.4	- 0.27	- 0.17	- 0.12	*
34 b	0.21 (0.25)b	-0.22, -0.06	-0.49	-0.34	 0.17	- 0.08	-0.2, -0.21
34 c	0.23 (0.22) ^b	-0.05, -0.05	-0.4	-0.28	-0.16	-0.12	-0.16, -0.14

 $^{^{\}text{a}}$ Presence of two PhCH $_2$ groups made comparison of δ (CHHPh) unreliable.

b By analogy.

^c Determined by ¹³C NMR.

b The relative chemical shift of the methyl group attached to C(1) (numbering as in the Table).

Table 3. Products and Their Acetylmandelates from Tin(IV) Chloride Promoted Reactions of Allylstannane 1 and Chiral Aldehydes

Aldehyde	Products	Yield (%)	1,5-syn: 1,5-anti	Acetylmandelates (R'=CH(OAc)Ph
по	BnO	76	96 : 4	BnO O ₂ CR'
22 CHO	28 ÖBn OH BnO	85	96 : 4	35(R) 36(S) ÓBn
23 CHO	29 ÖBn OH BnO	55	96 : 4ª	37(<i>R</i>) 38(S) ÓBn O₂CR'
24 CHO	30 OH BnO	66	96 : 4ª	39(R) 40(S) O ₂ CR'
25 CHO	31 OH	90 72	96 : 4 96 : 4	BnO OBn 41(<i>R</i>) 42(<i>S</i>) O₂CR'
OR 26a R = Bn 26b R = SiMe ₂ Bu ^t 26c R = MOM	OR	72	96 : 4	ÖR ÖBn 43a (R) 44a (S) R = Bn 43b (R) 44b (S) R = SiMe₂Bu¹ 43c (R) 44c (S) R = MOM
CHO O	OH OH OH	89 65 68 DBn	70:30 ^b 70:30 ^b 65:35 ^b OR	O ₂ CR' O ₂ CR' O ₃ CR'
7a R = Bn 17b R = SiMe ₂ Bu ^t 17c R = MOM	33a R = Bn 34a R = 8 33b R = SiMo ₂ Bu ¹ 34b R = 9 33c R = MOM 34c R = 8	SiMe₂Bu ^t	45a 45i	a (R) 46a (S) 47a (R) 48a (S) R = Bn b (R) 46b (S) 47b (R) 48b (S) R ≈ SiMe₂Bu ^t c (R) 46c (S) 47c (R) 48c (S) R = MOM

Partial racemisation of aldehydes 24 and 25 gave 5-7% of crossover products.
 Ratio corresponds to 33:34.

Table 4. Physical Data for 1-Substituted syn-(3Z)-5-Benzyloxy-3-hexenols^a

Prod- uct	IR v _{max} (cm ⁻¹)	1 H NMR (CDCl ₃) δ , J (Hz)	MS m/z (%)
4	3408, 3029, 1071, 736, 699	1.12 (3 H, d, $J = 7.5$, 6-H ₃), 2.21 (1 H, d, $J = 2.5$, OH), 2.50 (2 H, m, 2-H ₂), 4.23 (1 H, m, 5-H), 4.36 and 4.51 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 4.71 (1 H, m, 1-H), 5.58 (2 H, m, 3-H, 4-H), 7.35 (10 H, m, aromatic H)	300 (M ⁺ + 18, 36), 197 (39), 192 (100),
17 a	3408, 3029, 1090, 831, 737, 698	(21, m, 5-H, 4-H), 7.35 (10 H, m, aromatic H) 1.18 (3 H, d, $J = 7.5$, 6-H ₃), 2.30 (1 H, br s, OH), 2.41 and 2.50 (each 1 H, m, 2-H), 4.22 (1 H, m, 5-H), 4.38 and 4.50 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CH HPh), 4.69 (1 H, dd, $J = 8$, 5, 1-H), 5.57 (2 H, m, 3-H, 4-H), 7.20-7.38 (9 H, m, aromatic H)	157 (100) 334 (M ⁺ + 18, 1), 317 (M ⁺ + 1, 1), 207 (32), 139 (99),
17 b	3410, 1605, 1520, 1346, 1070, 856, 741, 699	1.21 (3 H, d, $J = 7.5$, 6-H ₃), 2.49 (2 H, m, 2-H ₂), 2.74 (1 H, br s, OH), 4.25 (1 H, m, 5-H), 4.44 and 4.49 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CH HPh), 4.80 (1 H, m, 1-H), 5.61 (2 H, m, 3-H, 4-H), 7.35 (5 H, m, aromatic H), 7.45 and 8.18 (each 2 H, d, $J = 7.5$, aromatic H)	113 (100) 345 (M ⁺ + 18, 61), 328 (M ⁺ + 1, 3),
17 c	3418, 3063, 1722, 1611, 1513, 1248, 1072, 737, 699	1.13 (3 H, d, $J = 7.5$, 6-H ₃), 2.04 (1 H, br s, OH), 2.42 and 2.52 (each 1 H, m, 2-H), 3.80 (3 H, s, OCH ₃), 4.23 (1 H, m, 5-H), 4.36 and 4.50 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 4.69 (1 H, t, $J = 7.5$, 1-H), 5.55 (2 H, m, 3-H, 4-H), 6.88 and 7.25 (each 2 H, d, $J = 9$, aromatic H), 7.35 (5 H, m, aromatic H)	310 (42), 108 (100) 312 (M ⁺ , 2), 187 (100), 137 (97)
17 d	3411, 1067, 1026, 731, 696	1.22 (3 H, d, $J = 7.5$, 6-H ₃), 2.18 (1 H, br s, OH), 2.62 (2 H, m, 2-H ₂), 4.30 (1 H, m, 5-H), 4.41 and 4.56 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 4.73 (1 H, t, $J = 7.5$, 1-H), 5.58 (2 H, m, 3-H, 4-H), 6.23 (1 H, d, $J = 3$), 6.33 (1 H, dd, $J = 2$, 3.5), 7.25 – 7.40 (6 H, m, aromatic H)	290 (M ⁺ + 18, 3), 255 (4), 147 (100)
17e	3397, 3062, 3027, 1495, 1453, 1071, 1028, 967, 749, 696	1.28 (3 H, d, $J = 7.5$, 8-H ₃), 1.93 (1 H, br s, OH), 2.41 (2 H, m, 4-H ₂), 4.32 (2 H, m, 3-H and 7-H), 4.41 and 4.56 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.2 (2 H, m, H-5 and 6-H), 6.22 (1 H, dd, $J = 6.5$, 16, 2-H), 6.59 (1 H, d, $J = 16$, 1-H), 7.30 (10 H, m, aromatic H)	326 (M ⁺ + 18, 2), 199 (29), 183 (100)

Table 4. (Continued)

Prod- uct	$IR v_{max} (cm^{-1})$	1 H NMR (CDCl ₃) δ , J (Hz)	MS m/z (%)
17 f	3397, 1069, 735, 697	0.94 (3 H, t, $J = 7$, 1-H ₃), 1.28 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 9-H ₃), 1.43 (4 H, m, 2-H ₂ and 3-H ₂), 1.60 (1 H, br s, OH), 2.20 (2 H, t, $J = 6.5$, 5-H ₂), 3.63 (1 H, m, 4-H), 4.31 (1 H, m, 8-H), 4.41 and 4.56 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.59 (2 H, m, 6-H and 7-H), 7.30 (5 H, m, aromatic H)	266 (M ⁺ + 18, 6), 249 (M ⁺ + 1, 35), 158 (69), 141 (100)
17g	3452, 1072, 736, 698	0.93 (6 H, d, $J = 7$, CH Me_2), 1.28 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 1.68 (2 H, m, 2-H and OH), 2.19 (2 H, t, $J = 6.5$, 4-H ₂), 3.39 (1 H, q, $J = 6$, 3-H), 4.31 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.42 and 4.56 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.60 (2 H, m, 5-H and 6-H), 7.30 (5 H, m, aromatic H)	266 (M ⁺ + 18, 4), 228 (32), 139 (99)
17 h	3451, 1071, 735, 698	0.95-1.35 (6 H, m), 1.27 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 6-H ₃), 1.61-1.85 (6 H, m), 2.21 (2 H, t, $J = 6.5$, 2-H ₂), 3.38 (1 H, m, 1-H), 4.31 (1 H, m, 5-H), 4.41 and 4.56 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.60 (2 H, m, 3-H and 4-H), 7.32 (5 H, m, aromatic H)	306 (M ⁺ + 18, 31), 289 (M ⁺ + 1, 10), 198 (26), 181 (26), 179 (100)
17 i	3434, 1737, 1210, 1088, 736, 698	1.28 (3 H, d, $J = 7.5$, 7-H ₃), 2.50 (2 H, m, 3-H ₂), 2.83 (1 H, d, $J = 6.5$, OH), 3.79 (3 H, s, OMe), 4.28 (2 H, m, 2-H and 6-H), 4.38 and 4.54 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CH HPh), 5.58 (2 H, m, 4-H and 5-H), 7.31 (5 H, m, aromatic H)	282 (M ⁺ + 18, 27), 265 (M ⁺ + 1, 3), 174 (100)
17j	3406, 3059, 1071, 858, 820, 747, 698	1.14 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 6-H ₃), 2.32 (1 H, br s, OH), 2.50-2.70 (2 H, m, 2-H ₂), 4.27 (1 H, m, 5-H), 4.37 and 4.50 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 4.89 (1 H, t, $J = 6.5$, 1-H), 5.54 (1 H, dd, $J = 9$, 11, 4-H), 5.65 (1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 3-H), 7.29-7.88 (12 H, m, aromatic H)	350 (M ⁺ + 18, 12), 332 (M ⁺ , 12), 207 (100)
28	3465, 3030, 1092, 736, 698	1.25 (6 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃ and 9-H ₃), 1.62 (2 H, m, 3-H ₂), 2.10 – 2.30 (2 H, m, 5-H ₂), 2.83 (1 H, d, $J = 2$, OH), 3.83 (1 H, m, 2-H), 3.95 (1 H, m, 4-H), 4.26 (1 H, m, 8-H), 4.36, 4.44, 4.53 and 4.61 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.50 (1 H, m, 7-H), 5.60	355 (M ⁺ + 1, 14), 337 (24), 247 (100)
29	3470, 3029, 1092, 736, 698	(1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 6-H), 7.30 (10 H, m, aromatic H) 1.23-1.24 (6 H, overlapping d, each $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃ , 9-H ₃), 1.61 (2 H, m, 3-H ₂), 2.17 (2 H, m, 5-H ₂), 3.80 (3 H, m, 2-H, 4-H and OH), 4.27 (1 H, m, 8-H), 4.35, 4.41, 4.53, and 4.65 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CH HPh), 5.49 (1 H, m, 7-H), 5.60 (1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 6 H), 7.30 (10 H, m, aromatic H)	355 (M ⁺ + 1, 28), 247 (100)
30	3450, 3030, 1093, 736, 698	6-H), 7.30 (10 H, m, aromatic H) 0.87 (3 H, d, $J = 7$, 2-CH ₃), 1.22 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 1.83 (1 H, m, 2-H), 2.20 (2 H, m, 4-H ₂), 3.30 (1 H, d, $J = 3$, OH), 3.39 – 3.58 (3 H, m, 1-H ₂ and 3-H), 4.25 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.35 (1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 4.48 (2 H, s, CH ₂ Ph), 4.52 (1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.49 (1 H, dd, $J = 11$, 9, 6-H), 5.66 (1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 5-H), 7.30 (10 H, m, aromatic H)	355 (M ⁺ + 1, 12), 247 (100)
31	3458, 1093, 736, 698	(10 H, III, aromatic H) 0.93 (3 H, d, $J = 7$, 2-CH ₃), 1.25 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 1.88 (1 H, m, 2-H), 2.07 – 2.32 (2 H, m, 4-H ₂), 2.63 (1 H, d, $J = 3$, OH), 3.50 (2 H, m, 1-H ₂), 3.81 (1 H, m, 3-H), 4.28 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.38 (1 H, d, $J = 12$, CH HPh), 4.50 (2 H, s, CH ₂ Ph), 4.55 (1 H, d, $J = 12$, CH HPh), 5.50 (1 H, dd, $J = 11$, 9, 6-H), 5.63 (1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 5-H), 7.30 (10 H, m, aromatic H)	372 (M ⁺ + 18, 1), 355 (M ⁺ + 1, 5), 196 (82), 91 (100)
32 a	3451, 1074, 736, 698	1.09 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃), 1.18 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 1.62 (1 H, br s, OH), 2.13 (2 H, m, 4-H ₂), 3.42 (1 H, qd, $J = 6.5$, 4, 2-H), 3.64 (1 H, m, 3-H), 4.2 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.31, 4.41, 4.47, and 4.53 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.44 (1 H, dd, $J = 11$, 9, 6-H), 5.55 (1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 5-H), 7.21 (10 H, m, aromatic H)	358 (M ⁺ + 18, 59), 233 (100)
32 b	3432, 1257, 1088, 836, 776, 734, 697	0.07 and 0.08 (each 3 H, s, SiMe), 0.90 (9 H, s, SiCMe ₃), 1.09 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃), 1.28 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 1.61 (1 H, br s, OH), 2.18 (2 H, m, 4-H ₂), 3.51 (1 H, m, 2-H), 3.77 (1 H, m, 3-H), 4.30 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.40 and 4.58 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.52 (1 H, dd, $J = 11$, 9, 6-H), 5.65 (1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 5-H), 7.23 - 7.33	365 (M ⁺ + 1, 7), 73 (100) ^b
32 c	3456, 1100, 1035, 919, 737, 698	(5 H, m, aromatic H) 1.15 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃), 1.28 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 2.20 (2 H, m, 4-H ₂), 2.45 (1 H, br s, OH), 3.38 (3 H, s, OMe), 3.66 (2 H, m, 2-H and 3-H), 4.30 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.41 and 4.58 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, $CHHPh$), 4.67 and 4.70 (each 1 H, d, $J = 7$, OCHHO), 5.53 (1 H, dd, $J = 11$, 9, 6-H), 5.68 (1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 5-H), 7.30 (5 H, m, aromatic H)	295 (M ⁺ + 1, 1), 91 (100) ^c
33 a	3450, 3064, 1073, 736, 698	1.17 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃), 1.25 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 2.23 (2 H, m, 4-H ₂), 2.40 (1 H, br s, OH), 3.38-3.52 (2 H, m, 2-H and 3-H), 4.28 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.38, 4.43, 4.55 and 4.65 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.51 (1 H, dd, $J = 11$, 9, 6-H), 5.66	358 (M ⁺ + 18, 9), 281 (42), 233 (59), 91 (100)
33 b	3473, 1072, 837, 777, 735, 697	(1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 5-H), 7.30 (10 H, m, aromatic H) 0.08 and 0.09 (each 3 H, s, SiMe), 0.90 (9 H, s, SiCMe ₃), 1.14 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃), 1.27 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 2.20 (2 H, t, $J = 6.5$, 4-H ₂), 3.32 (1 H, q, $J = 6.5$, 3-H), 3.68 (1 H, m, 2-H), 4.29 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.40 and 4.57 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.52 (1 H, dd, $J = 11$, 9, 6-H), 5.68 (1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 5-H), 7.25-7.35 (5 H, m, aromatic H)	365 (M ⁺ + 1, 5), 257 (34), 239 (42), 131 (100) ^b
33 c	3455, 1100, 1036, 919, 737, 699	1.17 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃), 1.28 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 2.21 (2 H, m, 4-H ₂), 2.72 (1 H, d, $J = 2$, OH), 3.39 (3 H, s, OMe), 3.47 (1 H, m, 3-H), 3.55 (1 H, m, 2-H), 4.30 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.41 and 4.53 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 4.67 and 4.72 (each 1 H, d, $J = 7$, OCHHO), 5.52 (1 H, m, 6-H), 5.68 (1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 5-H), 7.30 (5 H, m, aromatic H).	312 (M ⁺ + 18, 8), 295 (M ⁺ + 1, 13), 155 (100)

Table 4. (Continued)

Prod- uct	IR v _{max} (cm ⁻¹)	¹H NMR (CDCl ₃) δ, J (Hz)	MS m/z (%)
34 a	3452, 1072, 736, 698	1.17 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃), 1.28 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 2.05 (1 H, br s, OH), 2.22 (2 H, t, $J = 6.5$, 4-H ₂), 3.50 (1 H, m, 2-H), 3.73 (1 H, td, $J = 6.5$, 4, 3-H), 4.30 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.38, 4.50, 4.54 and 4.62 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.52 (1 H, m, 6-H), 5.63 (1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 5-H), 7.30 (10 H, m, aromatic H)	358 (M ⁺ + 18, 15), 341 (M ⁺ + 1, 2), 233 (100)
34 b	3461, 1256, 1093, 837, 777, 736, 698	0.08 (6 H, s, SiMe ₂), 0.90 (9 H, s, SiCMe ₃), 1.08 (3 H, d, J = 6.5, 1-H ₃), 1.27 (3 H, d, J = 6.5, 8-H ₃), 1.58 (1 H, br s OH), 2.18 (2 H, m, 4-H ₂), 3.50 (1 H, m, 2-H), 3.78 (1 H, m, 3-H), 4.32 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.40 and 4.54 (each 1 H, d, J = 12, CH HPh), 5.52 (1 H, dd, J = 11, 9, 6-H), 5.65 (1 H, dt, J = 11, 7, 5-H), and 7.24 – 7.33 (5 H, m, aromatic H)	365 (M ⁺ + 1, 4), 239 (43), 43 (100) ^b
34 c	3464, 1100, 1036, 919, 737, 699	1.18 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃), 1.31 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 2.25 (2 H, m, 4-H ₂), 3.41 (3 H, s, OMe), 3.70 (2 H, m, 2-H and 3-H), 4.30 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.45 and 4.60 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 4.70 and 4.73 (each 1 H, d, $J = 7$, OCHHO), 5.8 (1 H, dd, $J = 11$, 9, 6-H), 5.69 (1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 5-H), and 7.30 (5 H, m, aromatic H)	312 (M ⁺ + 18, 17), 295 (M ⁺ + 1, 26), 155 (100)

^a All compounds except 17 e gave HRMS $m/z \pm 0.0014$.
^b FAB.
^c EI.

Table 5. Yields and Physical Data for Representative O-Acetylmandelates

Prod- uct	Yield (%)	IR $v_{\text{max}} \text{ (cm}^{-1}\text{)}$	1 H NMR δ , J (Hz)	MS m/z (%)
6	82	3033, 1747, 1372, 1232, 1207, 1175, 1057, 739, 698	1.05 (3 H, d, $J = 7.5$, 6-H ₃), 2.19 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 2.54 and 2.68 (each 1 H, m, 2-H), 4.11 (1 H, m, 5-H), 4.27 and 4.46 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.48 (2 H, m, 3-H and 4-H), 5.77 (1 H, t, $J = 7$, 1-H), 6.00 (1 H, s, CHOAc), 6.95 (2 H, m), 7.18 (3 H, m), 7.35 (10 H, m, aromatic H)	476 (M ⁺ + 18, 69) 351 (35), 157 (100)
7ª	85	3033, 1746, 1230, 1207, 1174, 1056, 737, 697	1.02 (3 H, d, $J = 7.5$, 6-H ₃), 2.19 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 2.49 (2 H, m, 2-H ₂), 4.02 (1 H, m, 5-H), 4.15 and 4.36 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.28 (2 H, m, 3-H and 4-H), 5.77 (1 H, t, $J = 7$, 1-H), 6.00 (1 H, s, CHOAc), 7.30 (10 H, m, aromatic H), 7.40 (3 H, m), 7.50 (2 H, m)	476 (M ⁺ + 18, 16), 351 (18), 197 (14), 157 (100)
19 d	86	1747, 1372, 1232, 1206, 1175, 1055, 741, 698	1.21 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 6-H ₃), 2.22 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 2.80 (2 H, t, $J = 7$, 2-H ₂), 4.31 (1 H, m, 5-H), 4.40 and 4.58 (1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.53 (2 H, m, 3-H and 4-H), 5.92 (1 H, t, $J = 7$, 1-H), 5.97 (1 H, s, CHOAc), 6.14 (1 H, d, $J = 3$), 6.29 (1 H, dd, $J = 3$, 2), 7.30–7.42 (11 H, m, aromatic H)	466 (M ⁺ + 18, 4), 147 (100)
20 d	92	1747, 1372, 1231, 1206, 1175, 1055, 741, 698	1.10 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 6-H ₃), 2.17 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 2.58 (2 H, m, 2-H ₂), 4.13 (1 H, m, 5-H), 4.21 and 4.40 (1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.16 (1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 3-H), 5.30 (1 H, dd, $J = 11$, 9, 4-H), 5.83 (1 H, t, $J = 7$, 1-H), 5.91 (1 H, s, CHOAc), 6.31 (2 H, s, furyl H), 7.21 – 7.47 (11 H, m, aromatic H)	466 (M ⁺ + 18, 5), 147 (100)
19f ^b	76	1746, 1372, 1233, 1211, 1179, 1058, 737, 698	0.71 (3 H, t, $J = 7.5$, $1-H_3$), 0.97 (2 H, m, $2-H_2$), 1.25 (3 H, d, $J = 7.5$, $9-H_3$), 1.39 (2 H, m, $3-H_2$), 2.20 (3 H, s, COCH ₃), 2.34 (2 H, m, $5-H_2$), 4.27 (1 H, m, $8-H$), 4.38 and 4.56 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CH HPh), 4.91 (1 H, m, $4-H$), 5.51 (2 H, m, $6-H$ and $7-H$), 5.88 (1 H, s, CH OAc), $7.25-7.50$ (10 H, m, aromatic H)	442 (M ⁺ + 18, 17), 317 (76), 123 (100)
20 f ^b	59	1746, 1372, 1233, 1211, 1179, 1058, 737, 698	0.90 (3 H, t, $J = 7.5$, 1-H ₃), 1.18 (3 H, d, $J = 7.5$, 9-H ₃), 1.31 and 1.51 (each 2 H, m), 2.18 (2 H, t, $J = 7$, 5-H ₂), 2.20 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 4.12 (1 H, m, 8 H), 4.21 and 4.42 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 4.92 (1 H, m, 4-H), 5.17 and 5.29 (each 1 H, m, 6-H, 7-H), 5.88 (1 H, s, CHOAc), 7.25-7.50 (10 H, m, aromatic H)	442 (M ⁺ + 18, 18), 317 (93), 123 (100)
19g ^b	86	1746, 1371, 1233, 1210, 1179, 1058, 737, 698	0.60 and 0.62 (each 3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, CHMe), 1.24 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 1.59 (1 H, m, 2-H), 2.20 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 2.30 (2 H, m, 4-H ₂), 4.28 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.38 and 4.58 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 4.79 (1 H, dt, $J = 7.5$, 5, 3-H), 5.51 (2 H, m, 5-H and 6-H), 5.91 (1 H, s, CHOAc), 7.21 – 7.48 (10 H, m, aromatic H)	442 (M ⁺ + 18, 19), 317 (90), 123 (100)
20 g ^b	93	1746, 1372, 1234, 1210, 1179, 1056, 738, 698	0.89 (6 H, d, $J = 6.5$, CH Me_2), 1.16 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 1.83 (1 H, m, 2-H), 2.12 (2 H, t, $J = 6.5$, 4-H ₂), 2.19 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 4.09 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.16 and 4.38 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 4.77 (1 H, q, $J = 6$, 3-H), 5.10 (1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 5-H), 5.23 (1 H, dd, $J = 11$, 9, 6-H), 5.90 (1 H, s, CHOAc),	442 (M ⁺ + 18, 9), 317 (60), 123 (100)
19 i ^b	79	1752, 1372, 1231, 1203, 1173, 1053, 738, 698	7.20 – 7.49 (10 H, m, aromatic H) 1.23 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 7-H ₃), 2.20 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 2.60 (2 H, m, 3-H ₂), 3.58 (3 H, s, OMe), 4.22 (1 H, m, 6-H), 4.32 and 4.52 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.11 (1 H, dd, $J = 7.5$, 2-H), 5.52 (2 H, m, 4-H and 5-H), 5.98 (1 H, s, CHOAc), 7.22 – 7.50 (10 H, m, aromatic H)	458 (M ⁺ + 18, 90), 333 (100)

Table 5. (Continued)

Prod- uct	Yield (%)	$IR v_{max} (cm^{-1})$	1 H NMR δ , J (Hz)	MS m/z (%)
20 i ^b	85	1752, 1372, 1229, 1137, 1086, 740, 699	1.22 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 7-H ₃), 2.21 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 2.60 (2 H, m, 3-H ₂), 3.76 (3 H, s, OMe), 4.21 (1 H, m, 6-H), 4.32 and 4.49 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CH HPh), 5.11 (1 H, dd, $J = 7$, 5, 2-H), 5.33 (1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 4-H), 5.49 (1 H, dd, $J = 11$, 9, 5-H), 6.10 (1 H, s, CH OAc), 7.30 – 7.55 (10 H, m, aromatic H)	458 (M ⁺ + 18, 100)
35 ⁶	86	1745, 1373, 1233, 1210, 1178, 1060, 738, 698	H) 1.00 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃), 1.25 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 9-H ₃), 1.60 (2 H, m, 3 H ₂), 2.20 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 2.40 (2 H, m, 5-H ₂), 2.97 (1 H, m, 2-H), 3.82 and 4.19 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 4.28 (1 H, m, 8-H), 4.36 and 4.54 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.24 (1 H, m, 4-H), 5.53 (2 H, m, 6-H and 7-H), 5.90 (1 H, s, CHOAc), 7.20-7.50 (15 H, m, aromatic H)	548 (M ⁺ + 18, 6), 529 (M ⁺ - 1, 12), 91 (100) ^c
36 ⁶	77	1743, 1372, 1233, 1209, 1178, 1059, 737, 697	1.17 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃), 1.21 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 9-H ₃), 1.70 (2 H, t, $J = 6.5$, 3-H ₂), 2.18 (2 H, m, 5-H ₂), 2.20 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 3.61 (1 H, sex, $J = 6.5$, 2-H), 4.10 (1 H, dq, $J = 9$, 6.5, 8-H), 4.20, 4.32, 4.40 and 4.49 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.19 (1 H, dt, $J = 11.5$, 7, 6-H), 5.27-5.37 (2 H, m, 4-H and 7-H), 5.90 (1 H, s, CHOAc), 7.31-7.52 (15 H, m, aromatic H)	548 (M ⁺ + 18, 29), 229 (46), 106 (100)
37 ^b	75	1745, 1373, 1232, 1209, 1178, 1059, 737, 697	0.98 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃), 1.23 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 9-H ₃), 1.51 (1 H, ddd, $J = 14$, 7.5, 5, 3-H), 1.86 (1 H, m, 3-H), 2.18 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 2.37 (2 H, m, 5-H ₂), 3.05 (1 H, sex, $J = 6.5$, 2-H), 4.13 (1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 4.22 (1 H, m, 8-H), 4.28, 4.35, and 4.54 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.02 (1 H, m, 4-H), 5.50 (2 H, m, 6-H and 7-H), 5.85 (1 H, s, CHOAc), 7.21-7.48 (15 H, m, aromatic H)	548 (M ⁺ + 18, 66), 229 (100)
38 ^b	83	1745, 1373, 1233, 1210, 1178, 1059, 737, 698	1.14 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃), 1.21 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 9-H ₃), 1.63 and 2.01 (each 1 H, m, 3-H), 2.18 (2 H, m, 5-H ₂), 2.20 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 3.55 (1 H, sex, $J = 6.5$, 2-H), 4.05 (1 H, m, 8-H), 4.19, 4.37, 4.39, and 4.54 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.04 (1 H, m, 4-H), 5.17 (1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 6-H), 5.30 (1 H, m, 7-H), 5.85 (1 H, s, CHOAc), 7.23-7.48 (15 H, m, aromatic H)	548 (M ⁺ + 18, 54), 229 (100)
39 ^b	61	1745, 1371, 1232, 1210, 1178, 1059, 737, 698	0.71 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 2-Me), 1.23 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 1.96 (1 H, m, 2-H), 2.13 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 2.38 (2 H, t, $J = 6$, 4-H ₂), 2.97 (1 H, m, 1-H), 3.11 (1 H, dd, $J = 9.5$, 5.5, 1'-H), 4.20 (2 H, s, CH ₂ Ph) 4.24 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.36 and 4.55 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CH HPh), 4.98 (1 H, q, $J = 6$, 3-H), 5.52 (2 H, m, 5-H and 6-H), 5.89 (1 H, s, CH OAc), 7.21-7.48 (15 H, m, aromatic H)	548 (M ⁺ + 18, 19), 91 (100)
40 ^b	67	1745, 1372, 1233, 1210, 1178, 1088, 737, 698	0.93 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 2-Me), 1.13 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 2.11 (1 H, m, 2-H), 2.16 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 2.20 (2 H, m, 4-H ₂), 3.30 and 3.41 (each 1 H, dd, $J = 9$, 6, 1-H), 4.08 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.16, 4.38, 4.41 and 4.45 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CH HPh), 4.99 (1 H, m, 3-H), 5.11 and 5.21 (each 1 H, m, 5-H, 6-H), 5.88 (1 H, s, CHOAc), 7.21-7.49 (15 H, m, aromatic H)	548 (M ⁺ + 18, 28), 229 (100)
41 ^b	72	1745, 1327, 1233, 1210, 1179, 1088, 1060, 738, 698	0.72 (3 H, d, $J = 7$, 2-Me), 1.23 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 1.89 (1 H, m, 2-H), 2.20 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 2.25-2.52 (2 H, m, 4-H ₂), 2.79 (1 H, t, $J = 9$, 1-H), 2.97 (1 H, dd, $J = 9$, 6.5, 1'-H), 4.13 and 4.19 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 4.25 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.36 and 4.55 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.17 (1 H, m, 3-H), 5.49 (2 H, m, 5-H and 6-H), 5.90 (1 H, s, CHOAc), 7.15-7.49	548 (M ⁺ + 18, 12), 229 (54), 106 (100)
42 ^b	76	1744, 1371, 1233, 1209, 1178, 1058, 737, 698	(15 H, m, aromatic H) 0.92 (3 H, d, $J = 7$, 2-Me), 1.13 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 1.95 (1 H, m, 2-H), 2.14 (2 H, m, 4-H ₂), 2.17 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 3.20 (2 H, d, $J = 7$, 1-H ₂), 4.07 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.15, 4.33, 4.35 and 4.43 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.06-5.26 (3 H, m, 3-H, 5-H, 6-H), 5.86 (1 H, s, CHOAc), 7.36-7.48 (15 H, m, aromatic H)	548 (M ⁺ + 18, 10), 229 (91), 91 (100)
43 a ^b	90	1746, 1372, 1232, 1209, 1179, 1057, 737, 698	0.91 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃), 1.22 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 2.16 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 2.40 (2 H, m, 4-H ₂), 3.41 (1 H, dq, $J = 4$, 6.5, 2-H), 4.18-4.26 (3 H, m, 7-H and CH ₂ Ph), 4.34 and 4.54 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.00 (1 H, m, 3-H), 5.50 (2 H, m, 5-H and 6-H), 5.89 (1 H, s, CHOAc), 7.07-7.46 (15 H, m, aromatic H)	534 (M ⁺ + 18, 35), 91 (100)
44 a ^b	82	1746, 1372, 1233, 1209, 1179, 1088, 736, 697	1.18 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃), 1.20 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 2.20 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 2.33 (2 H, t, $J = 6.5$, 4-H ₂), 3.66 (1 H, m, 2-H), 4.12 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.18 and 4.39 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 4.54 (2 H, s, CH ₂ Ph), 4.95 (1 H, m, 3-H), 5.13 (1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 5-H), 5.24 (1 H, dd, $J = 11$, 9, 6-H), 5.90 (1 H, s, CHOAc), 7.19-7.49 (15 H, m, aromatic H)	534 (M ⁺ + 18, 8), 106 (100)
45 a ^b	93	1746, 1372, 1232, 1209, 1179, 1057, 737, 698	0.84 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃), 1.23 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 2.19 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 2.42 (2 H, m, 4-H ₂), 3.48 (1 H, m, 2-H), 4.27 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.28, 4.35, 4.41 and 4.56 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 4.99 (1 H, m, 3-H), 5.50 (2 H, m, 5-H and 6-H), 5.92 (1 H, s, CHOAc), 7.16-7.50 (15 H, m, aromatic H)	534 (M ⁺ + 18, 31) 91 (100)
46 a ^b	85	1746, 1372, 1233, 1209, 1179, 1056, 737, 698	1.15 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃), 1.20 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 2.20 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 2.26 (2 H, m, 4-H ₂), 3.67 (1 H, m, 2-H), 4.12 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.18, 4.38, 4.49 and 4.61 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 4.99 (1 H, m, 3-H), 5.09 (1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 5-H), 5.23 (1 H, dd, $J = 11$, 9, 6-H), 5.92 (1 H, s, CHOAc), 7.22-7.51 (15 H, m, aromatic H)	534 (M ⁺ + 18, 32) 91 (100)

Table 5. (Continued)

Prod- uct	Yield (%)	IR v _{max} (cm ⁻¹)	¹H NMR δ, J (Hz)	MS m/z (%)
47 a ^b	92	1745, 1372, 1232, 1209, 1178, 1064, 737, 699	1.10 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃), 1.16 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 2.19 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 2.32 (2 H, m, 4-H ₂), 3.64 (1 H, m, 2-H), 4.12 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.24 and 4.45 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, $CHHPh$), 4.53 (2 H, s, CH_2Ph), 4.95 (1 H, m, 3-H), 5.12 (1 H, dt, $J = 11$, 7, 5-H), 5.25 (1 H, dd, $J = 11$, 9, 6-H), 5.88 (1 H, s, $CHOAc$), 7.22-7.50 (15 H, m, aromatic H)	534 (M ⁺ + 18, 5), 91 (100)
48 a ⁵	82	1746, 1372, 1232, 1209, 1179, 1060, 737, 698	0.91 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 1-H ₃), 1.28 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$, 8-H ₃), 2.18 (3 H, s, CH ₃ CO), 2.41 (2 H, m, 4-H ₂), 3.41 (1 H, m, 2-H), 4.23 and 4.28 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 4.29 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.34 and 4.54 (each 1 H, d, $J = 12$, CHHPh), 5.00 (1 H, m, 3-H), 5.52 (2 H, m, 5-H and 6-H), 5.92 (1 H, s, CHOAc), 7.13-7.51 (15 H, m, aromatic H)	534 (M ⁺ + 18, 96), 91 (100)

^{*} Mp 85°C; C, H, N \pm 0.3 %.

films. Mass spectra were recorded on a Kratos Concept mass spectrometer using chemical ionisation ($\mathrm{NH_3}$) unless otherwise stated. Melting points were determined on a Koffler block apparatus, and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured on an Optical Activity AA100 polarimeter.

Chromatography refers to flash chromatography using Merck silica gel $60 \, \text{H} \, (40-63 \, \text{mm}^3; \, 230-400 \, \text{mesh})$ or May and Baker Sorbsil C60 silica gel $(40-60 \, \text{mm}^3)$. Light petroleum refers to the fraction which distills at $40-60 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}$. All nonaqueous reactions were carried out under argon.

1-Substituted (3Z)-5-Benzyloxy-3-hexenols 4, 17a-j, 28-34; General Procedure:

A cooled solution of tin(IV) chloride (28 mg, 0.108 mmol) in anhydr. CH₂Cl₂ (0.3-0.5 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of (4-benzyloxypent-2-enyl)tributylstannane (1) 0.108 mmol) in anhydr. CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL) at -78 °C, and the mixture stirred for 5 min. A cooled solution of the aldehyde (0.108 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ was then added, and the mixture stirred at - 78 °C for 1 h. Sat. aq NaHCO₃ was added, the mixture was allowed to warm to r.t. and was then extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic extracts were combined and washed twice with water and brine, and dried (MgSO₄). After concentration under reduced pressure, flash chromatography of the residue, using Et, O-light petroleum (1:3) as eluant, gave the products as colourless oils. Spectroscopic data are listed in Table 4. Product ratios were obtained by analysis of samples of the crude reaction products before chromatography, and are given in Tables 1 and 3.

Acetylmandelates 6, 7, 19, 20, 35-48; General Procedure:

(R)- or (S)-O-Acetylmandelic acid (2 mol equiv) and DMAP (2 mg) were added to a solution of the alcohol (typically 20 mg) in anhydr. CH₂Cl₂ (ca. 0.25 mL) followed by a solution of DCC (2 mol equiv) in anhydr. CH₂Cl₂ (ca. 0.25 mL) at 0 °C. After being stirred for 5 min, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to r.t. and stirred for 24 h. The mixture was then filtered, the filtrate washed with hexane, and the combined organic extracts washed with aqueous HCl (1 N) and sat. aq NaHCO₃, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure. Chromatography of the residue using Et₂O – light petroluem (1:2) as eluant gave the acetylmandelate (Tables 2 and 5).

(1R,5S,3Z)-1-Acetoxy-5-benzyloxy-1-phenyl-3-hexene (8):

 Ac_2O (72 mg, 7.10 mmol), Et_3N (179 mg, 17.73 mmol) and DMAP (2 mg, 0.002 mmol) were added to a solution of the alcohol 4 (100 mg, 3.55 mmol) in anhydr. CH_2Cl_2 at 0 °C. The mixture was allowed to warm to r. t., and was stirred for 4 h before being poured into water (5 mL). The mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 100 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed with aq HCl (1 N; 2 × 10 mL), water (15 mL), and brine (2 × 10 mL).

After drying (MgSO₄), the extracts were concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by chromatography using Et₂O-light petroleum (1:3) as eluant to give the acetate 8 (114 mg, 98%) as an oil.

 $C_{21}H_{28}NO_3$ calc. M, 342.2069. Found: $M + NH_4^+$, 342.2055.

IR: $v = 1737, 1371, 1235, 1074, 1026, 737, 698 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

MS: m/z (%) = 342 (M⁺ + 18, 43), 217 (27), 197 (33), 157 (100). ¹H NMR: δ = 1.09 (3 H, d, J = 7.5, 6-H₃), 2.08 (3 H, s, CH₃CO), 2.55 and 2.69 (each 1 H, m, 2-H), 4.18 (1 H, m, 5-H), 4.31 and 4.49 (each 1 H, d, J = 12, CHHPh), 5.49 (2 H, m, 3-H and 4-H), 5.79 (1 H, t, J = 6, 1-H), 7.31 (10 H, m, aromatic H).

(2S,3S)-2-Benzyloxy-5-hexen-3-yl Acetate (51):

Following the above procedure, Ac_2O (62 mg, 0.612 mmol), Et_3N (154 mg, 1.53 mmol), DMAP (3 mg, 0.025 mmol) and the alcohol 49 (63 mg, 0.306 mmol) gave the acetate 51 (69 mg, 91%) as an oil. $[\alpha]_D + 12.8$ (c = 1, CHCl₃).

IR: v = 1738, 1643, 1376, 1240, 1072, 1028, 917, 737, 698 cm⁻¹ MS: m/z = 266 (M⁺ + 18, 100).

¹H NMR: δ = 1.17 (3 H, d, J = 6.5, 1-H₃), 2.06 (3 H, s, CH₃CO), 2.28-2.50 (2 H, m, 4-H₂), 3.62 (1 H, qd, J = 6.5, 4.5, 2-H), 4.50 and 4.64 (each 1 H, d, J = 12, CHHPh), 4.96-5.10 (3 H, m, 6-H₂ and 3-H), 5.72 (1 H, ddt, J = 17.5, 10, 7, 5-H), 7.32 (5 H, m, aromatic H).

(2S,3R)-2-Benzyloxy-5-hexen-3-yl Acetate (52):

Following the above procedure, Ac_2O (43 mg, 0.417 mmol), Et_3N (105 mg, 1.04 mmol), DMAP (3 mg, 0.025 mmol) and the alcohol 50 (43 mg, 0.209 mmol) gave the acetate 52 (40 mg, 77%) as an oil. $[\alpha]_D - 7.6$ (c = 1, CHCl₃)

IR: v = 1739, 1643, 1375, 1240, 1068, 1028, 736, 698 cm⁻¹.

MS: $m/z = 266 \text{ (M}^+ + 18, 70), 249 \text{ (100)}.$

¹H NMR: δ = 1.21 (3 H, d, J = 6.5, 1-H₃), 2.10 (3 H, s, CH₃CO), 2.40 (2 H, m, 4-H₂), 3.62 (1 H, qd, J = 6.5, 4.5, 2-H), 4.58 (2 H, s, CH₂Ph), 5.06 (3 H, m, 6-H₂ and 3-H), 5.75 (1 H, ddt, J = 17, 10, 7, 5-H), 7.34 (5 H, m, aromatic H).

(2S,6R,7R,3Z)-6-Acetoxy-2,7-dibenzyloxyoct-3-ene (55):

Following the above procedure, the alcohol 33a (100 mg, 0.275 mmol) was acetylated using Ac_2O (56 mg, 0.550 mmol), Et_3N (139 mg, 1.38 mmol), and DMAP (3 mg, 0.025 mmol) to give the acetate 55 (100 mg, 95%). [α]_D -27.9 (c=1, CHCl₃).

 $C_{24}H_{34}NO_4$ calc. M, 400.2488. Found: M + NH₄, 400.2488.

IR: v = 1738, 1374, 1239, 1094, 1029, 736, 698 cm⁻¹.

MS: $m/z = 400 \text{ (M}^+ + 18, 89), 275 \text{ (100)}.$

¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.19$ (3 H, d, J = 6.5, 8-H₃), 1.27 (3 H, d, J = 6.5, 1-H₃), 2.05 (3 H, s, CH₃CO), 2.40 (2 H, m, 5-H₂), 3.64 (1 H, m,

^b HRMS $m/z \pm 0.0035$.

c FAB.

Feature Article SYNTHESIS

7-H), 4.30 (1 H, m, 2-H), 4.38, 4.51, 4.54 and 4.67 (each 1 H, d, J = 12, CHHPh), 5.01 (1 H, m, 6-H), 5.50 (2 H, m, 3-H and 4-H), 7.31 (5 H, m, aromatic).

(2S,6R,7S,3Z)-6-Acetoxy-2,7-dibenzyloxyoct-3-ene (56):

Following the above procedure, the alcohol 32a (114 mg, 0.313 mmol) was acetylated using Ac_2O (64 mg, 0.626 mmol), Et_3N (158 mg, 1.57 mmol), and DMAP (3 mg, 0.025 mmol), to give the acetate 56 (101 mg, 84%). [α]_D - 10.2 (c=1, CHCl₃).

 $C_{24}H_{34}NO_4$ calc. M, 400.2488. Found M + NH₄, 400.2481.

IR: v = 1738, 1372, 1237, 1094, 1028, 736, 698 cm⁻¹.

MS: $m/z = 400 \text{ (M}^+ + 18, 48), 275 (100).$

¹H NMR: δ = 1.18 (3 H, d, J = 6.5, 8-H₃), 1.23 (3 H, d, J = 6.5, 1-H₃), 2.04 (3 H, s, CH₃CO), 2.28-2.52 (2 H, m, 5-H₂), 3.60 (1 H, 2d, J = 6.5, 4.5, 7-H), 4.28 (1 H, m, 2-H), 4.35 and 4.53 (each 1 H, d, J = 12, CHHPh), 4.55 (2 H, s, CH₂Ph), 5.03 (1 H, m, 6-H), 5.45-5.58 (2 H, m, 3-H and 4-H), 7.31 (5 H, m, aromatic H).

(3R)-3-Acetoxy-3-phenylpropan-1-ol (9):

Ozonolysed air was bubbled through a solution of the acetate **8** (109 mg, 0.336 mmol) in CHCl₃ (8 mL) at -60° C for 30 min. Air was then blown through the solution for 10 min, and dimethyl sulfide (208 mg, 3.36 mmol) was added. The mixture was allowed to warm to r. t., and was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and a solution of NaBH₄ (53 mg, 1.32 mmol) in aq EtOH (3 mL) was added at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at 20 °C, and acidified by the addition of aq HCl (1 N; 3 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 15 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by chromatography using Et₂O-light petroleum as eluant (1:1) to give the alcohol **9** (30 mg, 47%), as a colourless oil. [α]_D + 79.2 (c = 1, CHCl₃).

C₁₁H₁₄O₃ calc. M, 194.0943. Found: M, 194.0938.

IR: $\nu = 3426, 3034, 1736, 1374, 1239, 1048, 1027, 760, 701 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

MS: $m/z = 212 \text{ (M}^+ + 18, 4), 177 (58), 152 (100), 117 (76).$

¹H NMR: $\delta = 2.0-2.2$ (3 H, m, 2-H₂ and OH), 2.10 (3 H, s, CH₃CO), 3.62 (2 H, t, J = 7.5, 1-H₂), 5.95 (1 H, dd, J = 7.5, 9, 3-H), 7.31 (5 H, m, aromatic H).

(2S)-2-Benzyloxypropan-1-ol 10 (54 mg, 97 %) was also isolated as a colourless oil: $[\alpha]_D$ + 44.83 (c = 6.4, CHCl₃).

(2S,3S)-5-Acetoxy-2-benzyloxypentan-3-ol (53):

Following the above procedure, ozonolysis of the acetate 51 (65 mg, 0.262 mmol) gave the hydroxyacetate 53 (46 mg, 70 %), as a colourless oil. $[\alpha]_D + 4.8$ (c = 1, CHCl₃).

IR: v = 3451, 1738, 1369, 1245, 1073, 738, 699 cm⁻¹.

MS: m/z = 270 (M⁺ + 18, 53), 253 (100).

¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.21$ (3 H, d, J = 6.5, 1-H₃), 1.68-1.88 (2 H, m, 4-H₂), 2.05 (3 H, s, CH₃CO), 2.70 (1 H, br s, OH), 3.41 (1 H, m, 2-H), 3.57 (1 H, m, 3-H), 4.24 (2 H, m, 5-H₂), 4.44 and 4.68 (each 1 H, d, J = 12, CHHPh), and 7.31 (5 H, m, aromatic H).

(2S,3R)-5-Acetoxy-2-benzyloxypentan-3-ol (54):

Following the above procedure, ozonolysis of acetate 52 (36 mg, 0.145 mmol) gave the hydroxyacetate 54 (25 mg, 68 %). $[\alpha]_D + 40.1$ (c = 1, CHCl₃).

IR: v = 3459, 1737, 1370, 1245, 1049, 740, 700 cm⁻¹.

MS: $m/z = 270 \text{ (M}^+ + 18, 55), 253 (100).$

¹H NMR: δ = 1.19 (3 H, d, J = 6.5, 1-H₃), 1.65-1.87 (2 H, m, 4-H₂), 2.05 (3 H, s, CH₃CO), 2.25 (1 H, br s, OH), 3.53 (1 H, qd, J = 6.5, 4, 2-H), 3.80 (1 H, dt, J = 10, 3.5, 3-H), 4.24 (2 H, m, 5-H₂), 4.50 and 4.63 (each 1 H, d, J = 12, CHHPh), and 7.30 (5 H, m, aromatic H).

Ozonolysis of Acetate 55:

Following the above procedure, the acetate 55 (95 mg, 0.249 mmol) gave the hydroxyacetate ent-53 (43 mg, 69%), $[\alpha]_D - 14.8$ (c = 1, CHCl₃), with spectroscopic data identical with those of its enantiomer 53 prepared by ozonolysis of acetate 51.

 $C_{14}H_{21}O_4$ calc. M, 253.1440. Found M + H, 253.1442.

Ozonolysis of Acetate 56:

Following the above procedure, the acetate **56** (86 mg, 0.225 mmol) gave the hydroxyacetate **54** (36 mg, 65%); $[\alpha]_D + 40.4$ (c = 1, CHCl₃) with spectroscopic data identical with those of a sample prepared by ozonolysis of acetate **52**.

 $C_{14}H_{21}O_4$ calc. M, 253.1440. Found M + H, 253.1430.

(1R)-1-Phenyl-3-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxypropan-1-ol (11):

From Acetoxyalcohol 9: Imidazole (21 mg, 0.31 mmol) and tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (28 mg, 0.186 mmol) were added to a solution of the alcohol 9 (24 mg, 0.124 mmol) in anhydr. DMF (2 mL) at 0 °C and the mixture stirred for 12 h at 20 °C. Water (15 mL) and CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) were added, and the organic layer separated, washed with water (2 × 10 mL), and dried (MgSO₄). After concentration under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by chromatography using Et_2O -light petroleum (1:10) as eluant to give (1R)-1-acetoxy-3-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxypropane (30 mg, 79 %) as a colourless oil.

IR: v = 1742, 1235, 1102, 834, 777, 699 cm⁻¹.

MS: m/z = 266 (M⁺ – 43, 100), 117 (92).

¹HNMR: $\delta = 0.00$ [6 H, s, Si(CH₃)₂], 0.86 [9 H, s, SiC(CH₃)₃], 1.95 and 2.10 (each 1 H, m, 2-H), 2.02 (3 H, s, CH₃O), 3.69 (2 H, m, 3-H₂), 5.86 (1 H, dd, J = 6, 7.5, 1-H), 7.31 (5 H, m, aromatic H).

Aqueous $\rm K_2CO_3$ (0.12 N, 0.8 mL) was added to a solution of this acetate (30 mg, 0.097 mmol) in MeOH (4 mL), and the mixture stirred for 2 h at 20 °C. EtOAc (10 mL) and water (10 mL) were added, and the aqueous layer extracted with more EtOAc (2 × 5 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by chromatography using Et₂O-light petroleum (1:10) as eluant to give the silyloxypropanol 11 (23 mg, 95 %) as a colourless oil; $[\alpha]_D + 27.4$ (c = 0.76, CHCl₃) [lit. $^9 + 24.7$ (c = 0.76, CHCl₃).

From Cinnamyl Alcohol: Activated, powered 4 Å molecular sieves (400 mg), titanium(IV) isopropoxide (106 mg, 0.373 mmol) and t-BuOOH (6.0 M in CH₂Cl₂; 2.49 mL) were added to a solution of L-(+)-diisopropyl tartrate (131 mg, 0.560 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (70 mL) at $-20\,^{\circ}$ C. The mixture ws stirred at $-20\,^{\circ}$ C for 1 h, and a solution of freshly distilled cinnamyl alcohol (1.0 g, 7.46 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added dropwise over 1 h. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-20\,^{\circ}$ C for 3 h, and NaOH in brine (10 %; 5.6 mL) and Et₂O (8 mL) were added. After allowing the mixture to warm to $10\,^{\circ}$ C, MgSO₄ (5.6 g) and Celite were added, and the mixture stirred for 15 min. The solids were removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure. Toluene was added to the residue, and removed under reduced pressure to leave the epoxy alcohol 12 (840 mg, 75 %) which was crystallised from Et₂O-light petroleum, mp 51 °C (lit. 51.5–53 °C); $[\alpha]_D^{26}$ -47.3 (c = 2.4, CHCl₃) [lit. 10 -49.6 (c = 2.4, CHCl₃)].

Red-Al (3.4 M in toluene; 0.86 mL, 2.94 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of the epoxide 12 (400 mg, 2.67 mmol) in DME (15 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 20 °C for 3 h, diluted with Et₂O (10 mL), and aq HCl (5 %; 2 mL) was added. After 30 min, the mixture was filtered, and the filtrate extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure to leave a pale yellow oil identified as (1*R*)-1-phenylpropane-1,3-diol (382 mg, 94 %). $[\alpha]_D$ + 49.6 (c = 1, CHCl₃).

IR: v = 3349, 1050, 752, 701 cm⁻¹.

MS: $m/z = 170 \text{ (M}^+ + 18, 26), 152 (100).$

¹H NMR: δ = 2.0 (2 H, m, 2-H₂), 2.52 (2 H, br s, 2 × OH), 3.88 (2 H, t, J = 5.5, 3-H₂), 4.98 (1 H, dd, J = 8.5, 4, 1-H), 7.3 (5 H, m, aromatic H).

This diol (2.09 mg, 1.51 mmol) was silylated using tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (288 mg, 1.5 mmol) using the procedure outlined above to give the mono-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether 11 (277 mg, 76%) with spectroscopic data identical with those of a sample prepared from the alkoxy alcohol 9; $[\alpha]_D + 31.5$ (c = 0.76, CHCl₃).

5-Benzyloxy-1-phenyl-3-hexyn-1-ol (15):

Butyllithium (1.52 M in hexane; 8.36 mL, 12.5 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of 3-benzyloxybut-1-yne (14) (2.01 g, 12.5 mmol) in anhydr. THF (35 mL) at $-78\,^{\circ}$ C. After 20 min, BF₃-Et₂O (1.78 g, 12.5 mmol) was added followed, after 15 min, by a solution of styrene oxide (13) (1.66 g, 13.8 mmol) in anhydr. THF (8 mL). After 1.5 h at $-78\,^{\circ}$ C, sat. aq NaHCO₃ (2 mL) was added, the mixture allowed to warm to r.t. and added to water (20 mL). The mixture was extracted with Et₂O (2 × 25 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (25 mL) and dried (MgSO₄). Concentration under reduced pressure gave an oil which was separated by chromatography using Et₂O-light petroleum (1:1) as eluant to give 5-benzyloxy-1-phenyl-3-hexyn-1-ol (15) (714 mg, 20 %) as an oil.

 $C_{19}H_{24}NO_2$ calc. M, 298.1807. Found: M + NH₄, 298.1806. IR: $\nu = 3425$, 3031, 1372, 1162, 1085, 1050, 740, 699 cm⁻¹. MS: m/z = 298 (M⁺ + 18, 100).

¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.44$ (3 H, d, J = 7.5, 6-H₃), 2.32 (1 H, d, J = 2.5, OH), 2.71 (2 H, d, J = 7.5, 2-H₂), 4.20 (1 H, m, 5-H), 4.41 (1 H, overlapping d, J = 12, CHHPh of each diastereoisomer), 4.69 (1 H, d, J = 12, CHHPh), 4.89 (1 H, m, 1-H), 7.35 (10 H, m, aromatic H).

Also isolated was a second fraction which was shown to be a mixture of products (430 mg) by ¹H NMR including the regioisomers 16.

Hydrogenation of Alkyne 15:

A suspension of Lindlar catalyst (10 mg) in a solution of the alkyne 15 (100 mg, 0.357 mmol) in EtOH (2 mL) was stirred vigorously under hydrogen for 8 h. The mixture was filtered through Celite, and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography of the residue using $\rm Et_2O$ -light petroleum (1:3) as eluant gave a mixture of the syn- and anti-5-benzyloxy-3-hexenols 4 and 5 (90 mg, 89 %).

¹H NMR: δ = 1.13 and 1.22 (each 1.5 H, d, J = 7.5, 6-H₃ of each isomer), 2.20 (1 H, br s, OH), 2.51 (2 H, m, 2-H₂), 4.22 (1 H, m, 5-H), 4.22, 4.37, 4.41 and 4.51 (each 0.5 H, d, J = 12, CHHPh of each isomer), 4.71 (1 H, t, J = 7.5, 1-H), 5.48 – 5.65 (2 H, m, 3-H and 4-H), 7.30 (10 H, m, aromatic H).

syn- and anti-7-Benzyloxy-2-methyl-5-octen-3-ol (17 g) and (18 g): Following the above procedure, 7-benzyloxy-2-methyl-5-octyn-3-ol (20 mg, 0.081 mmol) was hydrogenated to give the alkenols 17 g and 18 g (18 mg, 90 %) which were separated by flash chromatography using Et_2O -light petroleum (1:3) as eluant. The less polar isomer was identified as the syn-isomer 17 g.

¹³C NMR: $\delta = 17.5$, 18.9, 21.5, 32.7, 33.4, 70.0, 70.2, 76.2, 127.6, 128.0, 128.5, 128.95, 135.1, 138.9.

The more polar isomer was identified as the anti-diastereoisomer 18 g

MS: $m/z = 266 \text{ (M}^+ + 18, 26), 249 \text{ (M}^+ + 1, 25), 196 (100).}$

¹H NMR: $\delta = 0.92$ and 0.93 (each 3 H, d, J = 6.5, CH₃), 1.29 (3 H, d, J = 6.5, 8-H₃), 1.58 (1 H, br. s, OH), 1.48 (1 H, m, 2-H), 2.20 (2 H, m, 4-H₂), 3.39 (1 H, m, 3-H), 4.30 (1 H, m, 7-H), 4.39 and 4.56 (each 1 H, d, J = 12, CHHPh), 5.59 (2 H, m, 5-H and 6-H), 7.30 (5 H, m, aromatic H).

¹³C NMR: δ = 17.6, 19.0, 21.6, 32.9, 33.4, 70.0, 70.3, 76.4, 127.9, 128.1, 128.6, 128.9, 135.2, 138.9.

(1R,5S,3Z)-5-Benzyloxy-1-(2-naphthyl)-3-hexen-1-ol p-Nitrobenzoate (21):

p-Nitrobenzoyl chloride (62 mg, 0.332 mmol) was added to a solution of the 1-(2-naphthyl)hexenol 17j (50 mg, 0.151 mmol), Et₃N (31 mg, 0.332 mmol), and DMAP (3 mg, 0.025 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.6 mL) at 0 °C. The solution was stirred for 6 h at 20 °C, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography of the residue using Et₂O-light petroleum (1:3) as eluant gave the p-nitrobenzoate 21 (68 mg, 94%) which was recrystallised from CH₂Cl₂-hexane, mp 90-91 °C. [α]_D - 47.6 (c = 1, CHCl₃).

C₃₀H₂₇NO₅ calc. C 74.8 H 5.6 N 2.9 (481) found 74.5 5.9 3.1 IR: v = 1718, 1605, 1525, 1270, 1118, 1102, 748, 719, 699 cm⁻¹. MS: m/z = 499 (M⁺ + 18, 10), 207 (100).

¹H NMR: δ = 1.3 (3 H, d, J = 6.5, 6-H₃), 2.86 and 3.01 (each 1 H, m, 2-H), 4.29 (1 H, m, 5-H), 4.31 and 4.47 (each 1 H, d, J = 12, CHHPh), 5.45 – 5.69 (2 H, m, H-3 and H-4), 6.26 (1 H, t, J = 6.5, 1-H), 7.25 – 8.30 (16 H, m, aromatic H).

Reaction Between 2-Propenytin Trichloride and (S)-2-Benzyloxypropanal (26a):

A cooled solution of tin(IV) chloride (47 mg, 0.182 mol) in anhydr. CH_2Cl_2 (0.21 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of prop-2-enyl(tributyl)stannane (60 mg, 0.182 mmol) in anhydr. CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL) at $-78\,^{\circ}C$. After 5 min, a cooled solution of (S)-2-benzyl-oxypropanal (26a) (30 mg, 0.182 mmol) was added, and the mixture stirred for 1 h at $-78\,^{\circ}C$. Aq NaHCO₃ (1 mL) was added, and the mixture allowed to warm to r. t. The reaction mixture was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL), washed with water (2 × 8 mL) and brine (8 mL), and dried (MgSO₄). Concentration under reduced pressure gave an oil which on chromatography using Et_2O -light petroleum (1:3) as eluant gave the syn- and anti-alcohols 49 and 50 (30 mg, 80%).

(2S,3S)-2-Benzyloxy-5-hexen-3-ol (49):

 $[\alpha]_D + 27.2 \ (c = 1, \text{CHCl}_3).$

 $C_{13}H_{22}NO_2$ calc. M, 224.1650. Found: M + NH₄, 224.1664.

IR: $v = 3441, 3068, 3031, 1641, 1072, 1029, 993, 914, 736, 698 cm⁻¹. MS: <math>m/z = 224 (M^+ + 18, 56) 182 (100).$

¹H NMR: δ = 1.22 (3 H, d, J = 7, 1-H₃), 2.15 – 2.41 (2 H, m, 4-H₂), 2.50 (1 H, br. s, OH), 3.46 (1 H, m, 2-H), 3.54 (1 H, m, 3-H), 4.46 and 4.68 (each 1 H, d, J = 12, CHHPh), 5.08 – 5.12 (2 H, m, 6-H₂), 5.89 (1 H, ddt, J = 17, 10, 7, 5-H), and 7.32 (5 H, m, aromatic H).

(2S,3R)-2-Benzyloxy-5-hexen-3-ol (50):

 $[\alpha]_D + 23.6 \ (c = 1, \text{CHCl}_3).$

IR: v = 3425, 1641, 1071, 1028, 915, 698 cm⁻¹.

MS: $m/z = 224 \text{ (M}^+ + 18, 100).$

¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.20$ (3 H, d, J = 7, 1-H₃), 1.82 (1 H, br s, OH), 2.28 (2 H, m, 4-H₂), 3.52 (1 H, m, 2-H), 3.79 (1 H, m, 3-H), 4.52 and 4.64 (each 1 H, d, J = 12, CHHPh), 5.14 (2 H, m, 6-H₂), 5.83 (1 H, ddt, J = 17, 10, 7, 5-H), 7.30 (5 H, m, aromatic H).

We thank the SERC for an "Instant" studentship (to A. H. McN.), Dr. A. C. Regan for molecular modelling studies on analogues of the medium-ring intermediate 60 and its trans-double-bond isomer, and Dr. M. Helliwell for the X-ray structure of the p-nitrobenzoate 21.

- (1) Roush, W.R. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Vol. 2, Heathcock, C.H., Ed.; Pergamon: 1991, p1. Pereyre, M.; Quintard, J.P.; Rahm, A. Tin in Organic Synthesis; Butterworths: London, 1987.
- (2) Servens, C.; Pereyre, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 35, C20.
- (3) Yamamoto, Y.; Yatagai, H.; Ishihara, Y.; Maeda, N.; Maruyama, K. Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 2239; Denmark, S. E.; Weber E. J.; Wilson, T. M.; Willson, T. M. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 1053
- (4) Keck, G. E.; Andrus, M. B.; Castellino, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1989, 111, 8136.

Denmark, S.E.; Wilson, T.; Willson, T.M. J. Amer. Chem Soc. 1988, 110, 984.

Keck, G. E.; Abbott, D. E.; Boden, E. P.; Enholm, E. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 3927.

Boaretto, A.; Marton, D.; Tagliavini, G.; Ganis P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 321, 199.

Boaretto, A.; Marton, D.; Tagliavini, G.; Gambaro, A. *Inorg Chim. Acta* 1983, 77, L196.

Gambaro, A.; Boaretto, A.; Marton, D.; Tagliavini, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 260, 255.

334 Feature Article SYNTHESIS

- (5) Pratt, A. J.; Thomas, E. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1989, 1521.
 - Jephcote, V. J.; Pratt, A. J.; Thomas, E. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1989, 1529.
 - Marshall, J. A.; Gung, W. Y. *Tetrahedron* 1989, 45, 1043. Gung, B. W.; Smith, D. T.; Wolf, M. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1991, 32, 13.
 - Gung, B. W.; Peat, A. J.; Snook, B. M.; Smith, D. T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 453.
- (6) Mortlock, S. V.; Thomas, E. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 2479.
- (7) Preliminary communication: McNeill, A. H.; Thomas, E. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 6239.
- (8) Trost, B. M.; Belletire, J. L.; Godleski, S.; McDougal, P. G.; Balkovec, J. M.; Baldwin, J. J.; Christy, M. E.; Ponticello, G. S.; Varga, S. L.; Springer, J. P. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 2370.
- (9) Mukaiyama, T.; Tominori, K.; Oriyama, T. Chem. Lett. 1985, 1359.
- (10) Gao, Y.; Hanson, R. M.; Klunder, J. M.; Ko, S. Y.; Masamune, H.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5765.
- (11) Details of the X-ray structure will be published elsewhere.
- (12) Preliminary communication: McNeill, A.H.; Thomas, E.J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 1369.
- (13) Heathcock, C.H.; Kiyooka, S-i.; Blumenkopf, T.A. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 4214.

- (14) Ochiai, M.; Iwaki, S.; Ukita, T.; Matsuura, Y.; Shiro, M.; Nagao, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4606.
 Ochiai, M.; Iwaki, S.; Nagao, Y.; Kitagawa, S.; Munakata, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 4945.
 Vedejs, E.; Duncan, S. M.; Haight, A. R. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 3046.
- (15) Kawashima, T.; Iwama, N.; Okazaki, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 2507.
- (16) Keck, G. E.; Abbott, D. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 1883. Keck, G. E.; Castellino, S.; Wiley, M. R. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 5478.
- (17) Cherest, M.; Felkin, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 2205.
 Cherest, M.; Felkin, H.; Prudent, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 2199.
 Anh, N. T.; Eisenstein, O., Nouveau J. Chem., 1977, 1, 61.
 Wu, Y.-D.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 908.
- (18) Carey, J.S.; Thomas, E.J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 3935.
- (19) Carey, J.S.; Thomas, E.J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, accepted for publication.
- (20) McNeill, A. H.; Thomas, E. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 1669.
- (21) Stanway, S. J.; Thomas, E. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, accepted for publication.
- (22) Stanway, S. J.; Thomas, E. J., unpublished observations.