Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



# Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmcl

## Discovery of novel steroidal histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists/inverse agonists. Part 2. Versatile steroidal carboxamide derivatives



István Ledneczki\*, Zsolt Némethy, Pál Tapolcsányi, János Éles, István Greiner, Eszter Gábor, Balázs Varga, Ottilia Balázs, Viktor Román, György Lévay, Sándor Mahó

Chemical Works of Gedeon Richter Plc, 30-32 Gyömrői Street, Budapest H-1103, Hungary

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
Keywords:	To further proceed with our previous work, novel steroid-based histamine $H_3$ receptor antagonists were iden-
Steroid	tified and characterized. Using an 'amine-to-amide' modification strategy at position 17, in vitro and in vivo
Carboxamide	potent monoamino steroid derivatives were found during the lead optimization. Usage of the non-basic amide
Histamine H <sub>3</sub> receptor	molect resulted in beneficial effects both in activity and selectivity. The $15\alpha$ -carboxamido derivative 10 was not
Antagonist/inverse agonist	only highly active at human and rat $H_0$ recentors, but also showed negligible activity at rat muscarinic recentors
Dipsogenia test	Surfarmore it proved to be considerable stable in human and rat microsomes and showed significant in vivo
Water-labyrinth test	potency in the pharmacodynamic rat dipsogenia test and in the water-labyrinth cognitive model. Based on all of these considerations, compound <b>10</b> was appointed to be a preclinical candidate.

Histamine exerts its biological actions through the modulation of four G-protein-coupled receptors  $(H_1-H_4)$ .<sup>1</sup> Among them,  $H_3$  receptors are deeply involved in the regulation of cognitive processes,<sup>2</sup> wakefulness,<sup>3</sup> feeding behavior,<sup>4</sup> and body weight.<sup>5</sup> Application of compounds acting on  $H_3$  receptors has been proposed for the treatment of Parkinson's disease,<sup>6</sup> schizophrenia,<sup>7</sup> addiction,<sup>8</sup> sleeping disorders,<sup>9</sup> or attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).<sup>10</sup> Therefore, significant research activities were concentrated upon the discovery of novel  $H_3$  receptor-acting ligands, resulting in a wide variety of preclinical projects and clinical candidates.<sup>11</sup> Until now, a single  $H_3$  antagonist, pitolisant proved to be effective in narcolepsy and was registered.<sup>12</sup> and launched under the trademark of Wakix<sup>®</sup>.

Novel active and selective steroid-based  $H_3$  antagonists with potency values in the subnanomolar range have already been identified by Gedeon Richter Plc. (a detailed summary of this activity was already published in a previous paper).<sup>13</sup> During the optimization campaign, significant affinity of the dibasic compounds towards rat muscarinic receptors appeared as a major drawback. This disadvantageous feature was decreased by reducing the basicity of the molecules.

The present paper aims at giving a summary on the continuation of this approach by complete elimination of one basic center from the structure. To achieve this goal, amides – instead of amines – were synthesized. Usage of the non-basic (but slightly acidic) amide moiety was expected to provide beneficial effects both on activity and selectivity. Furthermore, application of monobasic compounds can significantly reduce the known risk of phospholipidosis – a feature that may be attributed to molecules with amphiphilic nature.

Starting from the former lead molecule **1** (although this compound was already presented in our preceeding paper, its pharmacodynamic result is disclosed here first, and it is used as a reference molecule for the new derivatives), an 'amine-to-amide' modification strategy was attempted either in position 17 or at the end of the linker connected to carbon 3. Results of the biological characterization of the representative examples is summarized in Table 1.

In cases when the left-hand side of the molecule contained a cyclic amide (2), the *in vitro* binding affinity to both rat (rH<sub>3</sub>) and human H<sub>3</sub> (hH<sub>3</sub>) receptors remained high. However, the affinity to muscarinic receptors also remained significant; it proved to be even higher than that of compound **1**. The usage of lactam in position 17 (3) resulted in much weaker rH<sub>3</sub> binding, but the affinity to muscarinic receptors also decreased. Based on this promising finding, synthesis and characterization of another amide type – namely the 17β-carboxamido derivatives – was attempted. In spite of the fact that compound **4** (a potent binder to both rat and human H<sub>3</sub> receptors) showed only a 25-fold binding selectivity to rH<sub>3</sub> over rat muscarinic receptors, it seemed clear that the carboxamido group could be a viable alternative of the amino (pyrrolidine) moiety in terms of potency.

Another amide derivative – namely the 15 $\beta$ -acetamido compound 5 – showed weaker affinity to muscarinic receptors with strong affinity to  $H_3$  receptors. Although the suboptimal metabolic stability in rat

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2019.126643

Received 22 May 2019; Received in revised form 23 August 2019; Accepted 27 August 2019 Available online 28 August 2019 0960-894X/ © 2019 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +36 1 431 4369; fax: +36 1 260 5000. *E-mail address*: ledneczki@richter.hu (I. Ledneczki).

#### Table 1

Derivatives with 'amine to amide' modification strategy.

Compound	Steroid structure $12$ $CH_3$ $17$ $16$ $14$ $H_3$ $17$ $16$ $14$ $H_3$ $H_4$ $15$ $16$ $14$ $H_4$ $15$ $16$ $14$ $H_4$ $15$ $16$ $14$ $H_4$ $15$ $16$ $14$ $H_4$ $15$ $16$ $16$ $16$ $16$ $16$ $16$ $16$ $16$	hH <sub>3</sub> K <sub>i</sub> (nM)	rH <sub>3</sub> K <sub>i</sub> (nM)	rmAChR Ki (nM) or %@3 μM	μS F <sub>M</sub> % (h/r)	hERG inh. IC <sub>50</sub> (μM)	Dipsogenia inh. (p.o) ED <sub>50</sub> (mg/kg)
1		0.6	2.4	110	-	7.5	°19% 12 (i.p.)
2	H <sub>5</sub> C N H <sub>5</sub> C N H <sub>6</sub> C N	9	22	700	77/85	-	-
3		-	135	51%	-	-	-
4		2	18	454	-	-	-
5		10	39	20%	85/46	-	-
6		9	24	24%	62/66	4,1	2.26

 $^{\rm a}\,$  Measured at 30 mg/kg (p.o.).



Scheme 1. General synthetic route to 15-carboxamides (6–20): (a) 1. (COCl)<sub>2</sub>, DMF, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 2. amine<sup>2</sup>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, Et<sub>3</sub>N; (b) H<sub>2</sub>, Pd/C, EtOH; (c) Br(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>Br, KOH, H<sub>2</sub>O, THF, reflux; (d) amine<sup>1</sup>, EtOH, reflux.

### Table 2

15-Carboxamido derivatives.



ID	n	m	R <sup>1</sup> R <sup>2</sup> N	R <sup>3</sup> R <sup>4</sup> N	X <sup>1</sup>	X <sup>2</sup>	hH <sub>3</sub> K <sub>i</sub> (nM)	rH <sub>3</sub> K <sub>i</sub> (nM)	rmAChR K <sub>i</sub> (nM) or %@3 µM	μS F <sub>M</sub> % (h/r)	hERG inh. IC <sub>50</sub> (μM)	Dipsogenia inh. ED <sub>50</sub> (mg/kg)
6	3	0	СНа	N CH <sub>3</sub>	Н	Н	9	24	24%	62/66	4.1	2.3
7	3	0		H N	Н	Н	9	13	27%	90/76	4.1	-
8	3	0	СНа	N	Н	Н	5	19	1708	48/50	-	-
9	3	0	СНа	H CH <sub>3</sub>	OMe	Н	9	28	7%	96/96	11.4	2.5
10	3	0	СНа	N CH <sub>3</sub>	0		3	9	4700	99/99	17.5	0.47
11	3	0		N CH <sub>3</sub>	0		21	-	-	-	-	-
12	3	0	N C····3	N CH <sub>3</sub>	0		230		-	-	-	-
13	3	0	N F	H N H	0		530		-	-	-	-
14	3	0	F F	N CH <sub>3</sub>	0		1371		-	-	-	-
15*	3	0		N CH <sub>3</sub>	0		43	-	-	-	-	-
16	3	1		N CH <sub>3</sub>	ОМе	Н	4	20	6%	59/80	-	-
17	4	0		N CH <sub>3</sub>	0		234		17%	-	-	-
18	2	0		N CH <sub>3</sub>	0		260		4%	-	-	-
19	3	0		H	0		30	9	16%	75/85	80	0.6
20	3	0		N CH <sub>3</sub>	0		34	44%	-	-	-	-
			N 3	— UП <sub>3</sub>								

\*\*Measured at @300 nM.

\* In this single case the configuration of C15 was beta.

microsomes averted further development of this molecule, synthesis of certain 15-carboxamido derivatives seemed to be a rational approach. Indeed, 15 $\alpha$ -carboxamido compound **6** exhibited one-digit nanomolar affinity to hH<sub>3</sub> receptors with good selectivity vs. rat muscarinic receptors. Furthermore, it showed a robust efficacy in the pharmacodynamic dipsogenia test *in vivo* (ED<sub>50</sub> = 2.26 mg/kg, p.o.) which is a

significant improvement compared to compound **1** (19% inhibition at 30 mg/kg, p.o. or  $ED_{50} = 12 \text{ mg/kg}$ , i.p.). Unfortunately, two drawbacks – namely the relatively low microsomal metabolic stability and the robust inhibitory potential on the hERG channel activity – were also identified. Still, usage of the carboxamido moiety in position 15 $\alpha$  resulted in a very promising compound that was selected for further

#### Table 3

Physico-chemical properties of compound 10.

Molecular weight	Melting point (°C)	Solubility in DMSO (mM)	Kinetic solubility (μM)	Measured Log P	Measured Log D (pH 7.4)	$[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$ (c = 0.1%, CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> )
466.7	122–124	> 50	250	4.2	1.7	+75,1°



**Fig. 1.** Effect of compound **10** (3 mg/kg) on the scopolamine-induced amnesia in the rat water labyrinth test (n = 9-10). + + + p < 0.001 vs. control, \*\*p < 0.01 vs. scopolamine-treated group (ANOVA, followed by Duncan-test).

exploration.

Based on our previous findings, the central (estrane) core was left unchanged, since this structural element had already proved to be the best choice.<sup>9</sup> In order to further explore the chemical space around the steroidal core, modifications at position 17 ( $X^1$  and  $X^2$ ), usage of several different amines at the end of the linkers ( $R^1R^2N$  and  $R^3R^4N$ ) and different linkers' lengths (m, n) were tested.

The general synthetic route is summarized in Scheme 1. The benzylprotected carboxylic acid starting materials were transformed to the desired amides through the corresponding acyl chlorides by reacting with various amines. After debenzylation and subsequent formation of the aminopropoxy moiety, the desired carboxamido derivatives were easily obtained (detailed chemical syntheses of the different starting materials (**21a–d**) and a representative compound (**10**) are described in the Supplementary Material).

Main characteristics of the synthesized derivatives are summarized in Table 2. Compounds 6, 7 and 8 did not have any functional group on carbon 17 (both  $X^1$  and  $X^2$  are hydrogens). However, this feature seemed to be the reason of suboptimal metabolic stability and hERG liability, as improved characteristics were found in the case of the 17methoxy (9) and 17-oxo (10) derivatives. Most probably, increasing the molecules' polarity resulted in beneficial effects not just on metabolic stability and hERG liability (the hERG IC50 values reached [9] or even exceeded [10] the 10 µM limit), but also on in vitro potency. In addition, both compounds showed activity in the dipsogenia test in vivo. It was particularly true for compound 10, which showed extraordinary in vivo potency with an  $ED_{50}$  value of 0.47 mg/kg. To further proceed with the optimization, effects of other R<sup>1</sup>R<sup>2</sup>N moieties were tested. Usage of the S-2-methylpyrrolidne enantiomer resulted in a somewhat decreased (however, still significant) affinity to hH3 receptors in case of compound 11. However, the replacement with several fluorinated pyrrolidine and piperidine moieties (compounds 12, 13 and 14) resulted in significant loss of binding affinity to rH<sub>3</sub> receptors. In case of 15 (which is a 15β-carboxamide compound), the in vitro hH<sub>3</sub> K<sub>i</sub> was increased with

one order of magnitude compared to the  $15\alpha$ -carboxamide compound **10**. Thus, the best *in vitro* potency values were found among the  $15\alpha$ -carboxamido-*R*-2-methylpyrrolidine derivatives.

Having attempted to provide the structure with more flexibility by lengthening the chain with one carbon at position 15 (16) resulted in high  $H_3$  and low muscarinic affinity. However, metabolic stability was lower than what was seen with the corresponding methoxy derivative 9. Furthermore, lengthening and shortening (n = 2, 4) the original propoxy linker with one carbon in compounds 17 and 18 seemed to be not beneficial for  $rH_3$  binding.

Various  $R^3R^4N$  groups may be tolerated regarding the binding activity at  $hH_3$  receptors. The overall characteristics of pyrrolidino (19) derivative was almost as good as that of compound 10. The cyclopropylmethylamino (7) and cyclobutylmethylamino (8) derivatives were also very potent *in vitro*. Only the *N*,*N*-diethylamino derivative (20) showed weak affinity towards  $rH_3$  receptors.

As we demonstrated above, the best derivatives were among the 17oxo and 17-metoxy analogues. They possessed more advantageous properties than the 17-deoxo derivatives, however the presence of the oxo and the hydroxy functions might raise the issue of possible binding to various hormone receptors. As compound 10 showed ten times higher affinity to  $hH_3$  receptors than that of compound 19, in-depth characterization of 10 was carried out with special emphasis on its physico-chemical properties and binding affinity to various steroid receptors. Physico-chemical properties of compound 10 showed promising data (molecular weight, solubility, lipophilicity) concerning its drug-likeness (Table 3). Furthermore, detailed receptor profiling of 10 did not reveal any affinity to other steroid receptors (data not shown, as a more detailed summary on the pharmacological properties of 10 is planned to be published in a separate paper). As it is evident from the data summarized in Table 2, compound 10 was not only highly active at hH<sub>3</sub> and rH<sub>3</sub> receptors, but also showed negligible activity at rat muscarinic receptors ( $K_i = 4700 \text{ nM}$ ). Furthermore, 10 proved to be considerably stable in human and rat microsomes ( $F_M$ % = 99 in both species) and acted in a very potent manner in the rat dipsogenia test following p.o. administration with an ED<sub>50</sub> value of 0.47 mg/kg.

Oral bioavailability following 3 or 10 mg/kg (iv. and po.) administration of compound **10** was investigated in fasted male Wistar rats. Oral bioavailability of compound **10** was 62.3%, calculated from the  $AUC_{0-24h}$  values of the 3 mg/kg iv. and 3 mg/kg po. treatments. Drug exposure ( $AUC_{0-24h}$ ) increased more than dose proportionally between 3 and 10 mg/kg both after iv. and po. administrations; the increase was 64% (iv.) and 39% (po.) higher than it would have been in case of linearity. Clearance (Cl) and volume of distribution (Vd) decreased, elimination half-life ( $T_{half}$ ) of the compound slightly increased with dose. Brain penetration of compound **10** was investigated at 10 mg/kg oral dose. Compound **10** showed rapid brain penetration with maximal brain concentrations at 1 hr. Brain to plasma  $AUC_{0-inf}$  ratio was 0.24, t<sub>half</sub> was 3.02–3.58.

To demonstrate beneficial effects on cognitive performance, compound **10** was also tested in the water-labyrinth test.<sup>14</sup> in rats. At the dose of 3 mg/kg, compound **10** significantly improved the scopolamineinduced impairment on Day 2 with significant (52.3%) restoring effect (Fig. 1). Further models (including place and novel object recognition paradigms as well as inhibitory avoidance test) will be discussed in detail in a separate paper.

In summary, as a continuation of our previous work, novel, estrane

based steroidal  $H_3$  receptor antagonists with *in vivo* activity in the dipsogenia and water labyrinth tests were identified. The best compound **10** was appointed to be preclinical candidate and detailed pharmacological characterization was initiated which is also about to be published in a separate paper.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge Éva Schmidt, Mónika Vastag, László Fodor, Gábor Wágner, Zoltán Béni, György Domány and Béla Kiss for their contributions, assistance and support on this project.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2019.126643.

#### References

- 1. Haas H, Panula P. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2003;4:121.
- 2. Esbenshade TA, Fox GB, Cowart MD. Mol Interv. 2006;6:77.
- Celanire S, Wijtmans M, Talaga P, Leurs R, de Esch IJ. Drug Discovery Today. 2005;10:1613.
- 4. Hancock AA, Bennani YL, Bush EN, et al. Eur J Pharmacol. 2004;487:183.
- 5. Schlicker E, Kathmann M. Handb Exp Pharmacol. 2017;241:277.
- 6. Arnulf I. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2009;19:S204.
- 7. Fox GB, Esbenshade TA, Pan JB, et al. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2005;313:176.
- 8. Ellenbroek BA, Ghiabi B. Trends Neurosci. 2014;37:191.
- 9. Panula P, Chazot PL, Cowart M, et al. Pharmacol Rev. 2015;67:601.
- 10. Sander K, Kottke T, Stark H. Biol Pharm Bull. 2008;31:2163.
- 11. Lazewska D, Kiec-Kononowicz K. Expert Opin Ther Pat. 2014;24:89.
- Dauvilliers Y, Bassetti C, Lammers GJ, et al. Lancet Neurol. 2013;12:1068.
  Ledneczki I, Tapolcsányi P, Gábor E, et al. Biorg Med Chem Lett. 2017;27:4525.
- 14. Paróczai M, Kiss B, Kárpáti E. Brain Res Bull. 1998;45:475.