



Polymerization

Heterotelechelic Polymers by Ring-Opening Metathesis and Regioselective Chain Transfer

Peng Liu, Mohammad Yasir, Albert Ruggi, and Andreas F. M. Kilbinger*

Abstract: Heterotelechelic polymers were synthesized by a kinetic telechelic ring-opening metathesis polymerization method relying on the regioselective cross-metathesis of the propagating Grubbs' first-generation catalyst with cinnamyl alcohol derivatives. This procedure allowed the synthesis of hetero-bis-end-functional polymers in a one-pot setup. The molecular weight of the polymers could be controlled by varying the ratio between cinnamyl alcohol derivatives and monomer. The end functional groups can be changed using different aromatically substituted cinnamyl alcohol derivatives. Different monomers were investigated and the presence of the functional groups was shown by NMR spectroscopy and MALDI-ToF mass spectrometry. Labeling experiments with dyes were conducted to demonstrate the orthogonal addressability of both chain ends of the heterotelechelic polymers obtained.

elechelic polymers, that is, those carrying functional groups at either chain end, have been prepared using olefin metathesis since before well-defined ruthenium, molybdenum, and tungsten (and many other) carbene complexes were reported mainly by Grubbs and Schrock. It was realized early on that adding acyclic olefins to a polymerization mixture of strained cyclic olefins would lower the molecular weight of the resulting polymer via chain transfer events. Furthermore, depending on the nature of the acyclic olefin, functional groups could be placed on the chain ends of the synthesized polymers.

Early examples for telechelic polymers by ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) were reported by Hillmyer and Grubbs. There, cyclooctadiene was polymerized in the presence of suitably protected 1,4-*cis*-butenediols using either well-defined tungsten or ruthenium carbene complexes.^[1] Alternatively, statistical copolymers between cyclooctadiene and dioxepines were prepared and the polymeric acetals hydrolyzed in a post-polymerization step.^[2] More recently, other functional groups such as epoxides and methacrylates,^[3] carboxylic acids and amines,^[4] trithiocarbonate RAFT initiators^[5] or nitroxide controlled radical polymerization initiators^[6] have been introduced by chain-transfer agents (CTA). Carpentier and Guillaume most recently reported the syntheses of α,ω -bis(trialkoxysilyl) telechelic copolyolefins.^[7]

All of these synthetic methods rely on so-called backbiting or secondary metathesis reactions (chain transfer to the polymer) and can be carried out with catalytic amounts of transition-metal–carbene complexes. Owing to the mechanistic necessity to undergo secondary metathesis reactions, narrow-molecular-weight dispersities ($D \ll 2.0$) of the polymers cannot be achieved using this equilibrium-driven synthetic strategy.

Depending on the metal–carbene initiator employed, polymers from norbornene and its derivatives do not readily undergo secondary metathesis reactions. However, using WCl₆/SnMe₄^[8] or Grubbs' second-generation ruthenium carbene complex,^[9] telechelic poly(norbornene)s could be synthesized following the thermodynamically controlled procedure described above.

Polymers not undergoing secondary metathesis reactions can in principle be prepared in a living fashion, that is, with narrow-molecular-weight distributions. For norbornenes, the so-called pulsed monomer addition has been successfully employed to prepare telechelic polymers with narrow molecular-weight dispersity.^[10] There, the transition-metal–carbene complex is first pre-functionalized with an excess of a symmetrical functional CTA before the first pulse of monomer is added. Functional initiation is followed by complete consumption of the monomer and subsequent chain transfer to the CTA thereby preparing a telechelic polymer and a new functional initiator. Upon addition of a second pulse of monomer, a second polymer is prepared, and so on. While the carbene complex is recycled, and used for several monomer pulses, the method is not catalytic in metal complex as such.

Heterotelechelic polymers carry two different functional groups at either chain end. Such polymers are important macromolecular flexible spacers that allow the attachment of biologically active molecules,^[11,12] fluorescent and other labels or other functional compounds to one end of the polymer while the other is attached to macroscopic surfaces, nano-particle surfaces, proteins, and so on. The attachment of proteins to surfaces is very important for applications in proteomics or biomaterials synthesis and long hetero-bisendfunctional spacers, that is, heterotelechelic polymers are an efficient way of connecting the two.

Heterotelechelic polymers have been prepared via many living polymerization techniques, such as RAFT,^[13] ATRP,^[14] carbanionic polymerization,^[15] and cationic polymerization.^[16] Few synthetic strategies have been reported that allow the synthesis of heterotelechelic polymers using ROMP. Non-catalytic methods involve the functional initiation of a polymerization followed by functional termination with

^[*] P. Liu, M. Yasir, Dr. A. Ruggi, Prof. Dr. A. F. M. Kilbinger Department of Chemistry, University of Fribourg Chemin du Musée 9, 1700 Fribourg (Switzerland) E-mail: andreas.kilbinger@unifr.ch

Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for
the author(s) of this article can be found under: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201708733.

a different functional group or moiety.^[17] Catalytic polymerizations using mono-functionalized CTAs and sterically demanding cyclooctene derivatives produced mixtures of di and mono-end-functional polymers, the latter representing heterotelechelic polymers.^[18] Hillmyer and co-workers used a particularly elegant approach employing a 3-substituted cyclooctene undergoing regioregular secondary metathesis reactions and a regioselective chain transfer to an acyclic functional olefin. This resulted in the synthesis of polymers with two different chain ends in a thermodynamically driven catalytic process. While mechanistically a heterotelechelic polymer was produced, one of the polymer end-groups was an alkyl chain that did not allow for post-polymerization functionalization.^[19] Katayama et al. reported true heterotelechelic ROMP polymers using functional vinyl ethers, vinyl thioethers, and vinyl acetates that undergo regioselective chain transfer. Heterotelechelic poly(norbornene)s were obtained in a kinetically controlled catalytic process.^[20] Both former cases rely either on specially synthesized monomers^[19] or chain transfer agents,^[20] both typically prepared over several synthetic steps and are compatible with norbornene derivatives.

Herein, we describe a straightforward synthesis of kinetically controlled heterotelechelic polymers using derivatives of commercially available cinnamyl alcohol as strictly regioselective chain transfer agents in ROMP. All of the cinnamyl alcohol derivatives reported herein can be synthesized in a few high-yielding steps (see the Supporting Information).

We obtained our first indication that cinnamyl alcohol undergoes regioselective cross-metathesis by using it as a terminating reagent for a first-generation (G1; Figure 1) initiated poly(*exo-N*-methyl norbornene imide) (poly(MNI)). The polymerization reaction of MNI (G1/monomer = 1:30) was carried out in an NMR tube. Unreacted G1-benzylidene (20.02 ppm) as well as the propagating ruthenium carbene signal (19.43–19.47 ppm) could be observed, as expected. After addition of 50 equiv of cinnamyl alcohol, the signal of the propagating carbene vanished within 5 min and the intensity of the G1-benzylidene signal increased (polymer 1; see the Supporting Information). MALDI-ToF mass spectrometry showed that only alcohol terminated polymer chains were generated in the terminal cross-metathesis reaction (Supporting Information).

When the same experiment was repeated with Grubbs' third generation initiator (G3; Figure 1) no regioselective



Figure 1. Two commercially available metathesis catalysts: Grubbs' first-generation (G1) and third-generation (G3) ruthenium benzylidene complexes.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 914-917

cross-metathesis step was observed (polymer **2**; see the Supporting Information). Two mass distributions, one with an alcohol and another with a phenyl end-group, could be distinguished in the MALDI ToF mass spectrum (Supporting Information). A similar difference in selectivity was recently observed for the reaction of G1 and G3 with vinyl acetates.^[21] Furthermore, neither cinnamic aldehyde nor cinnamic acid showed any regioselectivity in the reaction with G1-initiated poly(MNI).

To be able to synthesize heterotelechelic polymers using a regioselective chain transfer step, polymers must be chosen for which the backbone olefins do not undergo secondary metathesis reactions such as poly(norbornene)s. During telechelic polymer syntheses under thermodynamic control, the chain equilibria shown in Scheme 1 (chain transfer to the



Scheme 1. Generalized synthesis of telechelic polymers by ring-opening metathesis polymerization under thermodynamic control. [M] = transition-metal-carbene complex, R = functional group of a chain-transfer agent, k_{ROMP} = rate constant of ring-opening metathesis polymerization, k_{CT} = rate constant of chain transfer/cross-metathesis.

polymer) are essential for equilibration of the molecular weight distribution. If these chain equilibria occur in a nonregioselective manner (as is the case for the symmetrical cyclic olefin shown in Scheme 1), heterotelechelic polymers cannot be obtained.

The relative rates of ring opening (k_{ROMP}) and chain transfer (k_{CT}) become important in the case where the chain equilibria are absent, as is the case for a norbornene polymerization. Under such conditions heterotelechelic polymers can be obtained if a regioselective cross-metathesis step to a CTA is involved. The molecular weights of the resulting heterotelechelic polymers will be determined by the relative rates k_{ROMP} and k_{CT} (Scheme 1) and can be influenced by the monomer to CTA ratio. Even though the [monomer]/[CTA] ratio does not equate to the obtained molecular weights under kinetically controlled polymerization conditions, a good control over the molecular weight was achieved (Figure 2).

We therefore synthesized chain transfer agents CTA 1-6 (Scheme 2) and investigated them towards their ability to



Figure 2. Polymer molecular weight (GPC; see Table 1, entries 1–8) vs. monomer (MNI) to CTA ratio. Dotted line: linear regression through the origin.

produce heterotelechelic polymers in ROMP. CTA 2 was chosen to optimize reaction conditions. As can be seen in Table 1, varying the ratio of monomer (MNI) to CTA 2 allowed the control over the resulting polymer molecular weight. For entries 1–8, relatively low amounts of CTA 2 with respect to G1 were employed, which made it necessary to prefunctionalize benzylidene catalyst G1 with CTA 2 (A in Scheme 2) so as not to introduce the non-functional phenyl end-groups of the G1 catalyst.

In entries 9–12, the CTA **2** to G1 ratio (200) was high enough to not introduce detectable amounts (by MALDI ToF mass spectrometry) of non-functional phenyl end-groups. In these cases, no pre-functionalization of G1 was necessary. The pre-functionalization of the G1 catalyst was optimized in NMR tube reactions varying the CTA/G1 ratio, reaction time, and temperature. Addition of an internal standard (1,3,5trimethoxybenzene) allowed us to quantify and account for catalyst decomposition.

Two reaction conditions were found to give optimum results: G1 was added to 35 equiv of the CTA in $[D_6]$ benzene and the mixture heated from 40 to 55 °C (ca. 20 min). In this case, the new carbene complex was formed in 69% yield (5% of residual G1-benzylidene). Alternatively, G1 was added to 35 equiv of the CTA in $[D_2]$ dichloromethane at room temperature for 4 h. This gave the new carbene complex in 63%



Scheme 2. Mechanism for the synthesis of heterotelechelic ROMP polymers using cinnamyl alcohol derivatives. A = pre-functionalized G1 catalyst, B = propagating polymer, C = regioselective chain transfer, D = heterotelechelic polymer.

Table 1: Polymerization results and GPC data for polymers of different molecular weight. [a]

Entry	G1/CTA 2/MNI	M _{n max.} ^[b]	$M_n^{[c]}$	PDI ^[c]	Yield [%] ^[d]
1	1:35:10	1770	3300	1.2	70
2	1:35:25	4425	3500	1.8	83
3	1:35:35	6195	5300	1.9	84
4	1:70:70	12390	5300	2.1	77
5	1:100:100	17700	5600	2.4	82
6	1:35:175	30975	10300	3.1	94
7	1:35:350	61 950	28 500	2.2	98
8	1:35:700	123 900	40 700	2.7	97
9	1:200:200	35 400	5000	1.9	99
10	1:200:1000	177000	13 300	3.0	99
11	1:200:200	35 400	8100	1.8	54
12	1:200:1000	177000	17300	1.9	77

[a] All of the reactions were carried out with G1 (8.22 mg, 0.01 mmol, 1.0 equiv), CTA **2** and MNI in degassed dichloromethane; entries 1–10 were carried out at room temperature and entries 11 and 12 were carried out at -20 °C (for more details, see the Supporting Information). [b] $M_{n max} = [MNI]/[G1]$ is the maximum theoretical molecular weight under non-catalytic conditions. [c] Measured by GPC with chloroform. [d] The yields were calculated after precipitation.

yield (3% of residual G1-benzylidene). All of the optimization results can be found in the Supporting Information.

All of the polymers obtained showed monomodal mass distributions by GPC (chloroform) and isotopically resolved MALDI ToF mass spectra matching the end groups introduced by the respective CTA (Supporting Information). Broader molecular weight dispersities (around D=2, see Table 1) are expected for a kinetically controlled catalytic synthesis of heterotelechelics. Lowering the reaction temperature to -20 °C (Table 1, entries 11,12) slightly improved the molecular weight dispersity but also lowered the overall yield.

Different monomers, such as exo-N-hexyl norbornene imide (HNI; polymer 5, $M_{n \text{ GPC}}$ (CHCl₃) = 4100 g mol⁻¹, \mathbf{D} = 1.7, $M_{\text{peak}}(\text{MALDI-ToF}) = 2495.51 \text{ gmol}^{-1}$, exo-N-cyclohexyl norbornene imide (CHNI) (polymer 6, $M_{n \text{ GPC}}$ (CHCl₃) = 2700 mol⁻¹, D = 1.9, $M_{\text{peak}}(\text{MALDI-ToF}) = 2232.23 \text{ gmol}^{-1})$, exo-N-methyl oxanorbornene imide (OMNI) (polymer 7, $M_{n \text{ GPC}}(\text{CHCl}_3) = 2400 \text{ mol}^{-1}, \text{ } \text{D} = 1.7, M_{\text{peak}}(\text{MALDI-ToF}) =$ 1882.65 gmol⁻¹), *exo*-ethyl-5-norbornene carboxylate (ENC) $M_{\rm n \, GPC}(\rm CHCl_3) = 6600 \ mol^{-1},$ (polymer 8, D = 1.3. $M_{\text{peak}}(\text{MALDI-ToF}) = 5254.38 \text{ g mol}^{-1})$, and 5-norbornene-2,3-bis(triisopropyl)silylmethanol (endo/exo mixture. NDSM) (polymer 9, $M_{n \text{ GPC}}$ (CHCl₃) = 4400 mol⁻¹, D = 1.9, $M_{\text{peak}}(\text{MALDI-ToF}) = 2604.10 \text{ gmol}^{-1})$ were polymerized using CTA 1, and all showed isotopically resolved MALDI ToF MS spectra matching the two expected end-groups (Supporting Information).

Theoretical molecular weights of the polymers that would have been obtained under non-catalytic conditions are reported as $M_{n \max}$ in Table 1 ($M_{n \max} = [\text{monomer}]/[\text{cata$ $lyst}]$). Divergence of the observed molecular weights (M_n in Table 1) to lower values than given by $M_{n \max}$ indicates the catalytic behavior of the polymerization.

CTA **3–6** were investigated in polymerizations with MNI. All polymers gave monomodal GPC traces and their MALDI ToF mass spectra matched exactly with the predicted heterotelechelic structures (CTA **3**: polymer **20**, CTA **4**: polymer **21**,

916 www.angewandte.org

© 2018 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Angewandte International Edition Chemie

CTA 5: polymer 22, and CTA 6: polymer 23; see the Supporting Information).

To demonstrate the orthogonal addressability of end groups in such heterotelechelic polymers, labeling experiments with dyes were conducted with polymer 13 (Table 1, entry 4). Rhodamine B was reacted with N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC), 4-(dimethylamino)-pyridine (DMAP) and polymer 13 in dichloromethane at room temperature to give polymer **26** $(M_{n \text{ GPC}}(\text{CHCl}_3) = 5800 \text{ mol}^{-1}, \text{ } \text{D} = 1.8,$ $M_{\text{peak}}(\text{MALDI-ToF}) = 3387.62 \text{ gmol}^{-1})$ as a red solid. Next, the TIPS protecting group was removed (polymer 27, $M_{n \text{ GPC}}$ - $(CHCl_3) = 5600 \text{ g mol}^{-1}, \quad D = 1.8,$ $M_{\text{peak}}(\text{MALDI-ToF}) =$ 2346.48 gmol⁻¹) and subsequently reacted with Coumarin 343 to give the bis-functionalized polymer 29 ($M_{n \text{ GPC}}$ - $(CHCl_3) = 5700 \text{ g mol}^{-1}, \quad D = 1.9,$ $M_{peak}(MALDI-ToF) =$ 3321.12 gmol⁻¹; see also the Supporting Information). Each reaction step was confirmed by ¹H NMR and isotopically resolved MALDI ToF mass spectrometry (see the Supporting Information). Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) from the excited Coumarin to the Rhodamine dye confirmed the spatial proximity of the two heterofunctional polymer end-groups (Supporting Information).

In conclusion, we have discovered that cinnamyl alcohol and its aromatic derivatives react regioselectively with Grubbs' first-generation catalyst. This allowed us to prepare heterotelechelic polymers of norbornene derivatives via a kinetically controlled procedure using only catalytic quantities of Grubbs' catalyst. The molecular weight dispersities of the heterotelechelic polymers are necessarily broader for such a kinetically controlled catalytic procedure. However, this heterotelechelic polymer synthesis process can easily be scaled up to multi gram quantities starting from easily accessible starting materials.

To Confirm the orthogonal reactivity of end groups, a heterotelechelic polymer carrying two different dyes at either chain end was synthesized. This new method presented herein is one of the most convenient methods for the synthesis of heterotelechelic ROMP polymers to date. The catalytic nature of the process reduces the levels of residual ruthenium which makes these heterotelechelic polymers attractive for potential applications in the biomedical area.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Swiss National Science Foundation for funding.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: catalytic polymerization · cross-metathesis · heterotelechelic polymers · olefin metathesis · ring-opening polymerization

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 914–917 Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 926–929

- a) M. A. Hillmyer, R. H. Grubbs, *Macromolecules* 1993, 26, 872–874; b) M. A. Hillmyer, R. H. Grubbs, *Macromolecules* 1995, 28, 8662–8667; c) M. A. Hillmyer, S. T. Nguyen, R. H. Grubbs, *Macromolecules* 1997, 30, 718–721.
- [2] C. Fraser, M. A. Hillmyer, E. Gutierrez, R. H. Grubbs, *Macro-molecules* 1995, 28, 7256–7261.
- [3] B. R. Maughon, T. Morita, C. W. Bielawski, R. H. Grubbs, *Macromolecules* 2000, *33*, 1929–1935.
- [4] T. Morita, B. R. Maughon, C. W. Bielawski, R. H. Grubbs, *Macromolecules* 2000, *33*, 6621–6623.
- [5] M. K. Mahanthappa, F. S. Bates, M. A. Hillmyer, *Macromolecules* 2005, 38, 7890-7894.
- [6] S. M. Banik, B. L. Monnot, R. K. Weber, M. K. Mahanthappa, *Macromolecules* 2011, 44, 7141–7148.
- [7] a) X. Michel, S. Fouquay, G. Michaud, F. Simon, J.-M. Brusson, J.-F. Carpentier, S. M. Guillaume, *Polym. Chem.* 2016, 7, 4810–4823; b) X. Michel, S. Fouquay, G. Michaud, F. simon, J.-M. Brusson, P. Roquefort, T. Aubry, J.-F. Carpentier, S. M. Guillaume, *Polym. Chem.* 2017, 8, 1177–1187.
- [8] H. Cramail, M. Fontanille, A. Soum, J. Mol. Catal. 1991, 65, 193– 203.
- [9] C. W. Bielawski, D. Benitez, T. Morita, R. H. Grubbs, *Macro-molecules* 2001, 34, 8610-8618.
- [10] a) W. E. Crowe, J. P. Mitchell, V. C. Gibson, R. R. Schrock, *Macromolecules* 1990, 23, 3534–3536; b) V. C. Gibson, T. Okada, *Macromolecules* 2000, 33, 655–656; c) J. B. Matson, S. C. Virgil, R. H. Grubbs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, 131, 3355–3362; d) N. Hanik, A. F. M. Kilbinger, *J. Polym. Sci. Part A* 2013, 51, 4183–4190.
- [11] D. C. González-Toro, S. Thayumanavan, Eur. Polym. J. 2013, 49, 2906–2918.
- [12] G. N. Grover, H. D. Maynard, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2010, 14, 818–827.
- [13] C. Boyer, J. Liu, V. Bulmus, T. P. Davis, C. Barner-Kowollik, M. H. Stenzel, *Macromolecules* **2008**, *41*, 5641–5650.
- [14] O. Altintas, T. Rudolph, C. Barner-Kowollik, J. Polym. Sci. Part A **2011**, 49, 2566–2576.
- [15] Y. Li, W.-B. Zhang, J. E. Janoski, X. Li, X. Duong, C. Wesdemiotis, R. P. Quirk, S. Z. D. Cheng, *Macromolecules* 2011, 44, 3328–3337.
- [16] H. Shohi, M. Sawamoto, T. Higashimura, *Macromolecules* 1992, 25, 58–63.
- [17] a) S. K. Yang, A. V. Ambade, M. Weck, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1637–1645; b) S. Hilf, A. F. M. Kilbinger, Macromolecules 2010, 43, 208–212.
- [18] a) A. K. Diallo, L. Annunziata, S. Fouquay, G. Michaud, F. Simon, J.-M. Brusson, S. M. Guillaume, J.-F. Carpentier, *Polym. Chem.* 2014, *5*, 2583–2591; b) A. D. Diallo, X. Michel, S. Fouquay, G. Michaud, F. Simon, J.-M. Brusson, J.-F. Carpentier, S. M. Guillaume, *Macromolecules* 2015, *48*, 7453–7465.
- [19] M. R. Radlauer, M. E. Matta, M. A. Hillmyer, *Polym. Chem.* 2016, 7, 6269–6278.
- [20] a) H. Katayama, H. Urushima, F. Ozawa, *Chem. Lett.* **1999**, *28*, 369–370; b) H. Katayama, Y. Fukuse, Y. Nobuto, K. Akamatsu, F. Ozawa, *Macromolecules* **2003**, *36*, 7020–7026.
- [21] P. Liu, M. Yasir, H. Kurzen, N. Hanik, M. Schäfer, A. F. M. Kilbinger, J. Polym. Sci. Part A 2017, 55, 2983–2990.

Manuscript received: August 24, 2017 Revised manuscript received: November 8, 2017 Accepted manuscript online: December 6, 2017 Version of record online: December 27, 2017