The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Hypervalent Iodine Mediated para-Selective Fluorination of Anilides

Tian Tian, Wen-He Zhong, Shuai Meng, Xiang-Bao Meng,* and Zhong-Jun Li*

The State Key Laboratory of Natural and Biomimetic Drugs, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100191, P R China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A metal-free method for the direct regioselective fluorination of anilides has been developed. In the presence of bis(tert-butylcarbonyloxy)-iodobenzene (PhI(OPiv)₂) and hydrogen fluoride-pyridine, the *para*-fluorination products of anilides were obtained in moderate to good yields. Because of its operational safety and the use of readily available reagents, this new procedure provides facile access to a variety of *para*-fluorinated anilides.



F luorinated aromatic compounds are often prepared as bioactive and pharmaceutical agents.¹ Generally, molecular fluorine and electrophilic fluorinating reagents, such as XeF₂, AcOF, CF₃OF, and CsSO₄F, are used in the direct fluorination of aromatic compounds, forming the C-F bond through electrophilic fluorination. However, these unstable fluorinating reagents are difficult to handle, and the electrophilic fluorination usually provides low regioselectivity for electronrich aromatics; a mixture of o/p-isomers is obtained in most cases.² Other electrophilic fluorinating reagents such as Selectfluor (F-TEDA-BF₄), NFSi, and N-fluoro pyridinium salts are commercially available, easy-to-handle, and stable. Moreover, these reagents demonstrated high regioselectivity and efficiency for fluorination. Nevertheless, they are expensive.³ In order to prepare various fluorinated compounds, great efforts have been dedicated to the formation of C-F bond. More than 80 years ago, the Balz-Schiemann rection⁴ was discovered to convert diazonium tetrafluoroborate salts into fluorinated arenes. In industry, the Halex process (halogen exchange) is a common method for the synthesis of fluorinated compounds by employing inexpensive inorganic fluoride sources.⁵ Palladium-catalyzed nucleophilic fluorination achieves C-F bond formation via reductive elimination.⁶ In addition, Ag-mediated aryl stannane⁷ and arylboronic acids⁸ fluorinations emerge as important methods for the preparation of a variety of fluorinated compounds. However, facile methods with mild conditions, high efficiency, and environmental protection are still needed.

In recent years, hypervalent iodine reagents have been widely used in oxidation synthesis, owing to their strong oxidizing properties and availability.⁹ Recently, Kikugawa et al.¹⁰ and Gu's group¹¹ reported the *para*-oxidation of anilides mediated by hypervalent iodine reagents. In 2011, Kitamura's group developed a simple, practical, and convenient method for the fluorination of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds, achieved by direct utilization of aqueous hydrofluoric acid and iodosylbenzene (PhIO).¹² At the same time, Mtiyamoto's group reported the hypervalent phenyl- λ 3-iodane mediated *para*-selective aromatic fluorination of 3-phenylpropyl ethers, via neighboring group participation of alkoxy substituents.¹³ Very recently, Gouverneur et al. developed a metal-free method for the oxidative fluorination of phenols mediated by hypervalent iodine.¹⁴ Hypervalent iodine reagents have also attracted the attention of our group.¹⁵ In continuation of our interest in hypervalent iodine chemistry, herein we report a new method for the direct fluorination of aromatic amines using hypervalent iodine reagents.

The fluorination was conducted by treating a mixture of a hypervalent iodine compound and fluorine sources with anilides in dichloromethane. As shown in Table 1, evaluation of different fluorine sources indicated that HF·Py afforded good



	-			
	NHR		NHR	
		hypervalent iodine/ad	dditive	
		CH ₂ Cl ₂ , rt		
			F	
	1a R = Ac		2a R = Ac	
	1b R = Piv	1	26 R = Piv	
		hypervalent iodine		yield ^b
entry	substrates	(equiv)	additive	(%)
1	1a	$PhI(OAc)_2$ (1.2)	HF·Py	56
2	1a	$PhI(OAc)_2$ (1.5)	HF·Py	66
3	1a	$PhI(OAc)_2$ (1.5)	$BF_3 \cdot Et_2O/H_2O$	nd ^c
4	1a	$PhI(OAc)_2$ (1.5)	AgF	nd ^c
5	1a	$PhI(OAc)_2$ (1.5)	MgF_2	nd ^c
6	1a	$PhI(OAc)_2$ (1.5)	K ₂ HF	nd ^c
7	1a	$PhI(OAc)_2$ (1.5)	LiF	nd ^c
8	1a	PhIO (1.5)	HF·Py	58
9	1a	$PhI(OCOCF_3)_2$	HF·Py	44
10	1a	$PhI(OPiv)_2$ (1.5)	HF·Py	68
11	1b	$PhI(OPiv)_2$ (1.5)	HF·Py	85
12	1b	$PhI(OAc)_{2}$ (1.5)	HF·Pv	42

^aReaction conditions: 1a or 1b (0.5 mmol), additive (6 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL), rt, 12 h. ^bIsolated yields. ^cNo desired product was detected by NMR analysis.

Received: September 27, 2012

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

yields of fluorination products, while $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ and other villiaumites produced no fluorination product.

Specifically, we first employed PIDA as the hypervalent iodine reagent for its chemical stability and easy availability. After **1a** (1 mmol) was mixed with HF·Py (55%, 6 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL), PhI(OAc)₂ (1.2 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. After purification by column chromatography, **2a** was obtained in 56% yield; meanwhile, starting materials and some byproducts, *para*-acetoxylation product **6a** and *N*-iodophenylation product **6b**, were detected^{10,11a} (Scheme 1). The yield was increased to

Scheme 1. Reaction of Acetanilide with PhI(OAc)₂



66% by using 1.5 mmol PhI(OAc)₂ under the same condition. When other hypervalent iodine reagents such as PhI- $(OCOCF_3)_2$, PhI(OPiv)₂ ,and PhIO (Table 1, entries 8–10) were employed in this reaction, moderate yields were also obtained. Gratifyingly, when **1b** was subjected to the reaction in the presence of PhI(OPiv)₂, the side reactions were inhibited and the product **2b** was obtained in 85% yield (Table 1, entry 11).

In order to investigate the effect of protecting groups, a series of aniline derivatives with different protecting groups were subjected to the reaction. As summarized in Table 2, the results showed that the pivaloyl substrate (1b) provided the highest yield (entry 2). When trifluoroacetyl was used as the protecting group, a 36% yield was obtained (entry 3). As for 1d and 1e with the sulfonyl protecting groups, the reaction formed complex mixtures, and only trace amounts of desired products

Table 2. para-Fluorination of Aniline with Different Protecting Groups^a

	ŅHR	N	HR
		$\frac{Phl(OPiv)_2/HF \cdot Py}{CH_2Cl_2, rt}$	
	1a-l	2 a-I	
entry	substrates	R group	yield ^{b} (%)
1	1a	Ac-	68
2	1b	Piv-	85
3	1c	CF ₃ CO-	36
4	1d	Ts-	nd ^c
5	1e	Ms-	nd ^c
6	1f	EtOCO-	nd ^c
7	1g	Bz-	53
8	1h	4-Cl-C ₆ H ₄ CO-	54
9	1i	4-C ₆ H ₅ -C ₆ H ₄ CO-	53
10	1j	4-Br-C ₆ H ₄ CO-	52
11	1k	4-NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₄ CO-	50
12	11	2-naphthoyl-	13

^aReaction conditions: 1a-1 (0.5 mmol), PhI(OPiv)₂ (1.5 equiv), HF·Py (6 equiv), and CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) at room temperature, 12 h. ^bIsolated yields. ^cNo desired product was detected by NMR analysis. were obtained (entries 4 and 5). Furthermore, carbonate protected aniline also formed a complex mixture, and no target product was detected. We also tried some benzoyl-type protecting groups, and the fluorination procedure usually afforded products in moderate yields (entries 7-11). However, the naphthoyl substrate furnished the product in only 13% yield (entry 12).

To explore the scope of the fluorination reaction, several aromatic amines were used. The results are summarized in Table 3. We found that this reaction was tolerant to various functional groups, such as alkyl, halogen, and ester groups. The fluorination of pivaloanilide with a methyl group at the orthoposition provided 4a in 80% yield (entry 1). Due to steric effect, the reaction afforded 4b in 70% yield when the methyl group was at the meta-position of pivaloanilide (entry 2). Moreover, anilide with an o-ethyl group was fluorinated to give 4h in 64% yield (entry 8). In addition, reaction of disubstituted anilides 3g and 3i proceeded smoothly to produce the parafluorinated products 4g and 4i in 78% and 72% yields, respectively (entries 7 and 9). When the substrates contained electron-withdrawing groups, such as halogen and ester groups, moderate yields were obtained. The reaction mixture was more complex and byproducts of para-pivaloylation and Niodophenylation were detected. These observations indicated that the electronegativity of anilide substituent may have a great impact on the reaction (entries 3–6 and 10). Furthermore, for anilides with o-halogen substituents (entries 3 and 4), prolonged reaction time was needed to finish the conversion. We attempted the reaction with 3,4-dimethy-N-pivaloylanilines 3n; however, no desired products were detected.

To further demonstrate the synthetic applicability of our new fluorination method, deprotection of the pivaloyl group of **2b** was examined. After treatment with 6 N HCl in aqueous solution under reflux, the product 4-fluoroaniline **5** was isolated in 82% yield (Scheme 2).

Based on our results and previous literature studies,^{10,11} a possible mechanism for the *para*-fluorination of anilide is shown in Scheme 3. The anilide first undergoes nucleophilic attack by PhI(OPiv)₂, forming the intermediate A. Cleavage of the N–I bond releases PhI and generates nitrenium ion intermediate B. As stabilized by the phenyl ring, the charge delocalized intermediate C is preferred. Finally, the intermediate C was trapped by HF to give the *para*-fluorination product.

In conclusion, we have developed a straightforward regioselective metal-free method for the synthesis of *para*-fluorinated anilides. By employing commercially available $PhI(OPiv)_2$ in the presence of HF·Py, the *para*-selective fluorination of anilides was achieved. This convenient procedure provides a new access to the construction of *para*-fluorinated aromatic amines.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Methods. All chemicals were purchased as reagent grade and used without further purification, unless otherwise noted. Dichloromethane was distilled over calcium hydride. Analytical TLC was performed on silica gel60 F₂₅₄ precoated on glass plates, with detection by fluorescence and/or by staining with 5% concentrated sulfuric acid in EtOH. Column chromatography was performed employing silica gel (230–400 mesh). ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on Advance spectrometers at 25 °C. Chemical shifts (in ppm) were referenced with tetramethylsilane ($\delta = 0$ ppm) in deuterated chloroform and deuterated dimethyl sulfoxide ($\delta = 2.50$ ppm). ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained by using the same NMR





^{*a*}Reaction conditions: **3a-n** (0.5 mmol), PhI(OPiv)₂ (1.5 equiv), HF·Py (6 equiv), and CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) at room temperature. ^{*b*}Isolated yields. ^{*c*}The reaction was carried out for 24 h. ^{*d*}No desired product was detected by NMR analysis.

Scheme 2. Deprotection of 4-Fluoro-N-pivaloylaniline^a



^{*a*}Reaction conditions: **2b** (0.5 mmol), HCl (6 M, 2.5 mL) at refluxing temperature.

spectrometers and were calibrated with CDCl₃ (δ = 77.00 ppm) and DMSO (δ = 39.52 ppm). High-resolution mass spectrometry was performed on FTICR mass spectrometer.

General Procedure A for Fluorination of Anilides. To a solution of acetylaniline (0.5 mmol) and HF·Py (270 μ L, 3 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.0 mL) was added a solution of PhI(OPiv)₂ (305 mg, 0.75 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3.0 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h followed by quenching with 0.2 equiv of Et₃N. After the solvent was removed under vacuum, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on aluminum oxide (pH 10) eluted with EtOAc/hexane.

General Procedure B for Fluorination of Anilides (3j). To a solution of 3j (0.5 mmol) and HF·Py (270 μ L, 3 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.0 mL) was added a solution of PhI(OPiv)₂ (305 mg, 0.75 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3.0 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room





temperature for 12 h. The reaction mixture was poured into CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), neutralized with NaHCO₃, and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (6 mL × 3). The combined organic layer was washed with saturated salt water and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on aluminum oxide (pH 10) eluted with EtOAc/hexane.

4-Fluoroacetanilide (2a). Using general procedure A, compound **2a** was isolated in 68% yield (52.0 mg, white solid); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.62 (s, 1H), 7.50–7.38 (m, 2H), 6.99 (t, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 2.16 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.5, 158.1,

133.8, 121.8, 115.6, 24.3; ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –118.0. These spectroscopic data correspond to previously reported data. 16

4-Fluoro-N-pivaloylaniline (2b). Using general procedure A, compound **2b** was isolated in 85% yield (83.0 mg, white solid); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.48 (m, 2H), 7.28 (s, 1H), 7.02 (m, 2H), 1.32 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 121.8, 121.8, 115.6, 115.4, 27.6; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –118.3. These spectroscopic data correspond to previously reported data.¹⁷

4-Fluorotrifluoroacetanilide (2c). Using general procedure A, compound **2c** was isolated in 36% yield (37.3 mg, white solid); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) *δ* 8.12 (s, 1H), 7.53 (ddd, *J* = 10.2, 5.1, 2.7 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (m, 2H), corresponding to previously reported data;¹⁸ ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) *δ* 161.8, 159.3, 131.0, 122.6, 122.6, 116.3, 116.1, 114.3; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) *δ* –75.7, –114.7, corresponding to previously reported data.¹⁸

N-(4-Fluorophenyl)-benzamide (2g). Using general procedure A, compound 2g was isolated in 53% yield (57.0 mg, colorless crystal); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 10.32 (s, 1H), 7.95 (m, 2H), 7.80 (m, 2H), 7.59 (dd, *J* = 5.0, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.20 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 166.1, 160.1, 157.7, 136.2, 136.1, 135.4, 132.2, 129.0, 128.2, 122.8, 122.8, 115.9, 115.6, 40.7, 40.5, 40.3, 40.1, 39.9, 39.7, 39.5; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ -118.9. These spectroscopic data correspond to previously reported data.¹⁹

4-Chloro-N-(4-fluorophenyl)-benzamide (2h). Using general procedure A, compound **2h** was isolated in 54% yield (67.4 mg, colorless crystal); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.37 (s, 1H), 7.98 (m, 2H), 7.78 (m, 2H), 7.61 (m, 2H), 7.20 (t, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 164.0, 136.1, 134.9, 133.1, 129.2, 128.1, 121.9, 121.8, 115.0, 114.7; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ –118.7. These spectroscopic data correspond to previously reported data.²⁰

N-(4-fluorophenyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide (2i). Using general procedure A, compound 2i was isolated in 53% yield (77.2 mg, colorless crystal); mp 232.0−234.5 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.35 (s, 1H), 8.06 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.82 (ddd, J = 7.1, 6.7, 4.2 Hz, 4H), 7.76 (m, 2H), 7.51 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (dd, J = 12.2, 5.6 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 165.7, 160.1, 157.7, 143.8, 139.7, 136.1, 134.2, 129.7, 129.0, 128.8, 127.5, 127.2, 122.9, 122.8, 115.9, 115.7; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ −118.9. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₉H₁₄FNNaO [M + Na]⁺: 314.0951, found 314.0952.

4-Bromo-N-(4-fluorophenyl)-benzamide (2j). Using general procedure A, compound **2***j* was isolated in 52% yield (76.5 mg, colorless crystal); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 10.36 (s, 1H), 7.90 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.77 (ddd, *J* = 15.6, 9.4, 5.1 Hz, 4H), 7.19 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 165.1, 160.2, 157.8, 135.9, 134.4, 132.0, 130.4, 126.0, 122.9, 122.8, 115.9, 115.7, 40.8, 40.5, 40.3, 40.1, 39.9, 39.7, 39.5; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ –118.6. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₃H₁₀BrFNO [M + Na]⁺: 293.9925, found 293.9924.

4-Nitro-*N***-(4-fluorophenyl)-benzamide (2k).** Using general procedure A, compound **2k** was isolated in 50% yield (65.1 mg, colorless crystal); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 10.62 (s, 1H), 8.37 (m, 2H), 8.19 (m, 2H), 7.80 (m, 2H), 7.22 (dd, *J* = 12.2, 5.6 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 164.4, 160.4, 158.0, 149.8, 141.0, 135.6, 129.8, 124.2, 123.0, 123.0, 116.0, 115.8, 40.8, 40.6, 40.3, 40.1, 39.9, 39.7, 39.5; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ –118.2. These spectroscopic data correspond to previously reported data.²⁰

N-(4-Fluorophenyl)-2-naphthalenecarboxamide (2l). Using general procedure A, compound 2l was isolated in 13% yield (17.2 mg, colorless crystal); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 10.63 (s, 1H), 8.19 (m, 1H), 8.08 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (m, 1H), 7.85 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 5.0 Hz, 2H), 7.76 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (m, 3H), 7.23 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 167.8, 160.1, 157.7, 136.3, 135.2, 133.8, 130.2, 129.0, 127.6, 127.0, 126.1, 125.7, 125.6, 122.3, 122.2, 116.0, 115.8, 40.8, 40.5, 40.3, 40.1, 39.9, 39.7, 39.5. These spectroscopic data correspond to previously reported data.^{21 19}F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ –118.8.

N-Pivaloyl-4-fluoro-2-methylaniline (4a). Using general procedure A, compound 4a was isolated in 80% yield (83.7 mg, white solid); mp 96.2–98.3 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.67 (dd, *J* = 9.7, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (s, 1H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 2.23 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 9H), corresponding to previously reported data;^{22 13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.6, 158.7, 132.20, 131.6, 125.2, 117.0, 116.8, 113.3, 113.1, 39.6, 27.7, 17.8; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –117.6; HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₂H₁₇FNO [M + H]⁺: 210.1289, found 210.1290.

N-Pivaloyl-4-fluoro-3-methylaniline (4b). Using general procedure A, compound 4b was isolated in 70% yield (73.2 mg, white solid); mp 112.5–114.8 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42 (dd, J = 6.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (s, 1H), 7.23 (m, 1H), 6.93 (t, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 1.30 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.6, 159.1, 156.7, 133.6, 125.3, 125.1, 123.4, 123.3, 119.1, 119.0, 115.1, 114.9, 39.5, 27.6, 14.6, 14.6; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –122.5; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₂H₁₇FNO [M + H]⁺: 210.1292, found 210.1289.

N-Pivaloyl-2,4-difluoroaniline (4c). Using general procedure A, compound 4c was isolated in 40% yield (42.6 mg, yellow oil); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.26 (td, J = 9.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (s, 1H), 6.86 (m, 2H), 1.33 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.8, 159.8, 159.7, 157.4, 157.3, 154.1, 153.9, 151.5, 123.0, 123.0, 122.9, 122.9, 111.4, 111.4, 111.2, 111.2, 103.8, 103.5, 103.5, 103.3, 40.0, 27.7; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –115.5, –115.5, –127.2, –127.2; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₁H₁₄F₂NO [M + H]⁺: 214.1042, found 214.1038.

N-Pivaloyl-2-chloro-4-fluoroaniline (4d). Using general procedure A, compound 4d was isolated in 48% yield (55.0 mg, slight yellow oil); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.36 (dd, J = 9.2, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.13 (dd, J = 8.0, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (ddd, J = 9.1, 8.0, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 1.34 (s, 9H); ¹³C (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.7, 159.6, 157.2, 131.4, 123.7, 122.9, 122.8, 116.4, 116.2, 114.9, 114.6, 40.2, 27.7; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -116.7; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₁H₁₄CIFNO [M + H]⁺: 230.0749, found 230.0742.

N-Pivaloyl-3-chloro-4-fluoroaniline (4e). Using general procedure A, compound 4e was isolated in 55% yield (63 mg,slight yellow solid); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.71 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (s, 1H), 7.31 (dd, *J* = 6.8, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (t, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 1.30 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.9, 155.9, 153.4, 134.6, 134.6, 122.6, 120.0, 119.9, 116.5, 116.2, 39.6, 27.5; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –116.6; HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₁H₁₄ClFNO [M + H]⁺: 230.0748, found 230.0742.

N-Pivaloyl-5-chloro-4-fluoro-2-methylaniline (4f). Using general procedure A, compound 4f was isolated in 43% yield (52.2 mg, slight yellow solid); mp 107.2–109.4 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.88 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (s, 1H), 6.95 (d, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 1.32 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.6, 156.2, 153.8, 132.2, 130.0, 130.0, 125.2, 117.8, 117.6, 39.6, 27.6, 17.3; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –120.4; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₁₂H₁₆ClFNO [M + H]⁺: 244.0904, found 244.0899.

N-Pivaloyl-4-fluoro-2,5-dimethylaniline (4g). Using general procedure A, compound 4g was isolated in 78% yield (87.0 mg, white solid); mp 116.5–117.8 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.46 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 1.30 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.7, 159.6, 157.1, 131.1, 129.7, 129.6, 126.9, 126.8, 122.5, 122.3, 116.4, 116.2, 39.4, 27.5, 17.2, 14.1, 14.1; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –121.9; HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₃H₁₉FNO [M + H]⁺: 224.1445, found 224.1446.

N-Pivaloyl-2-ethyl-4-fluoroaniline (4h). Using general procedure A, compound 4h was isolated in 64% yield (71.7 mg,slight yellow solid); mp 103.5–104.0 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.58 (dd, J = 8.6, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (m, 2H), 2.53 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.30 (s, 9H), 1.20 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.8, 161.5, 159.1, 138.5, 138.4, 131.0, 130.9, 126.2, 126.1, 114.9, 114.7, 113.0, 112.8, 77.3, 77.0, 76.7, 39.4, 27.5, 24.2, 13.4; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –117.0; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₃H₁₉FNO [M + H]⁺: 224.1445, found 224.1445.

N-Pivaloyl-4-fluoro-2,6-dimethylaniline (4i). Using general procedure A, compound 4i was isolated in 72% yield (80.7 mg, white solid); mp 124.5 –126.0 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.03 (s, 1H), 6.72 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 2.11 (s, 6H), 1.30 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.1, 161.4, 159.0, 137.0, 136.9, 129.2, 113.7, 113.5, 76.5, 76.2, 75.9, 38.3, 26.8, 17.5, 17.5; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –116.5; HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₃H₁₉FNO [M + H]⁺: 224.1449, found 224.1445.

6-Fluoro-3-[(2,2-dimethyl-1-oxopropyl)amino]-benzoic Acid Ethyl Ester (4j). Using general procedure B, compound 4j was isolated in 51% yield (68.4 mg, slight yellow oil); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.88 (m, 2H), 7.50 (s, 1H), 7.08 (dd, J = 10.7, 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.37 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.39 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.32 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.0, 164.2, 159.7, 157.1, 134.2, 134.1, 126.6, 126.5, 123.3, 117.6, 117.4, 77.5, 77.2, 76.9, 61.6, 39.7, 27.7, 14.4; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –115.0; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₁₄H₁₉FNO₃ [M + H]⁺: 268.1344, found 268.1344.

4-Fluoroaniline (5). The 4-fluoro-*N*-pivaloylaniline **2b** (97.6 mg, 0.5 mmol) was suspended in 6 N HCl(2 mL) and stirred overnight at 100 °C. The yellow solution was cooled to room temperature and diluted with water (2.5 mL), and then concentrated sodium hydroxide was added dropwise until the pH was alkaline. The reaction mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (6 mL × 3). The combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography eluted with EtOAc/ hexane. Compound **5** was isolated in 82% yield (45.6 mg, yellow liquid); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.84 (m, 2H), 6.60 (m, 2H), 3.50 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.51, 155.17, 142.35, 116.02, 115.94, 115.71, 115.48, 77.32, 77.00, 76.68; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –126.85 (m). These spectroscopic data correspond to previously reported data.²³

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

All experimental procedures and data for compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: xbmeng@bjmu.edu.cn; zjli@bjmu.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by grants from the National Basic Research Program of China (Grant No. 2012CB822100) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 20972012).

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Müller, K.; Faeh, C.; Diederich, F. Science 2007, 317, 1881.
(b) Fluorine and Health: Molecular Imaging, Biomedical Materials and Pharmaceuticals; Tressaud, A., Haufe, G., Eds.; Elsevier Science: Amsterdam, 2008.

(2) (a) Banks, R. E; Mohialdin-Khaffaf, S. N.; Lal, G. S.; Sharif, I.; Syvret, R. G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1992**, 595. (b) Jahnisch, K.; Baerns, M.; Hessel, V.; Ehrfeld, W.; Haverkamp, V.; Lowe, H.; Wille, C.; Guber, A. J. Fluorine Chem. **2000**, 105, 117. (c) Chambers, R. D. Fluorine in Organic Chemistry; Oxford: New York, 2004. (d) Furuya, T.; Kuttruff, C. A.; Ritter, T. Curr. Opin. Drug Discovery Dev. **2008**, 11, 803.

(3) (a) Karam, O.; Jacquesy, J. C.; Jouannetaud, M. P. Tetrahedron Lett. **1994**, 35, 2541. (b) Stavber, S.; Jereb, M.; Zupan, M. Synlett **1999**, 9, 1375. (c) Nyffeler, P. T.; Durón, S. G.; Burkart, M. D.; Vincent, S. P.; Wong, C. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2005**, 44, 192. (4) (a) Balz, G.; Schiemann, G. Ber. Deutsch. Chem. Ges. 1927, 60, 1186. (b) Swain, C. G.; Rogers, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 799.
(c) Adams, D. J.; Clark, J. H. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1999, 28, 225.
(d) Sandford, G. J. Fluorine Chem. 2007, 128, 90.

(5) (a) Horwitz, J. P.; Tomson, A. J. J. Org. Chem. 1961, 26, 3392.
(b) Barlin, G. B.; Young, A. C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1972, 1269. (c) Pike, V. W.; Aigbirhio, F. I. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 21, 2215. (d) Shah, A.; Pike, V. W.; Widdowson, D. A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 2043. (e) Yamada, S.; Gavryushin, A.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2215.

(6) (a) Stille, J. K.; Lau, K. S. Y. Acc. Chem. Res. 1977, 10, 434.
(b) Differding, E.; Wehrli, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 3819.
(c) Lal, G. S. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 2791. (d) Hull, K. L.; Anani, W. Q.; Sanford, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 7134. (e) Furuya, T.; Ritter, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 10060. (f) Kaspi, A. W.; Yahav-Levi, A.; Goldberg, I.; Vigalok, A. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 5.

(7) (a) Furuya, T.; Strom, A. E.; Ritter, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 1662. (b) Furuya, T.; Ritter, T. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2860.

(8) (a) Cairns, M. A.; Dixon, K. R.; McFarland, J. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1975, 1159. (b) Stille, J. K.; Lau, K. S. Y. Acc. Chem. Res. 1977, 10, 434. (c) Grushin, V. V. Chem.—Eur. J. 2002, 8, 1006.

(9) (a) Wirth, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 3656.
(b) Richardson, R. D.; Wirth, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4402. (c) Uyanik, M.; Ishihara, K. Chem. Commun. 2009, 2086.
(d) Pouységu, L.; Deffieux, D.; Quideau, S. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 2235. (e) Zhdankin, V. V. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 1185.

(10) Itoh, N.; Sakamoto, T.; Miyazawa, E.; Kikugawa, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 7424.

(11) (a) Liu, H.; Wang, X.; Gu, Y. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 1614.
(b) Liu, H.; Xie, Y.; Gu, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 4324.

(12) Kitamura, T.; Kuriki, S.; Morshed, M. H.; Hori, Y. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2392.

(13) Saito, M.; Miyamoto, K.; Ochiai, M. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 3410.

(14) Gao, Z.; Lim, Y. H.; Tredwell, M.; Li, L.; Verhoog, S.; Hopkinson, M.; Kaluza, W.; Collier, T. L.; Passchier, J.; Huiban, M.; Gouverneur, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2012**, *51*, 6733.

(15) (a) Zhong, W.; Yang, J.; Meng, X.; Li, Z. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 9997. (b) Zhong, W.; Liu, S.; Yang, J.; Meng, X.; Li, Z. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 3336.

(16) Tang, P.; Ritter, T. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 4449.

(17) Fier, P. S.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 10795.

(18) Salazar, J.; Lopez, S. E.; Rebollo, O. J. Fluorine Chem. 2003, 124, 111.

(19) Xiao, F.; Liu, Y.; Tang, C.; Deng, G. J. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 984.
(20) Waisser, K.; Kuneš, J.; Roman, M.; Buděšínský, M.; Exner, O.

Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. **1994**, 97, 71.

(21) Gonec, T.; Bobal, P.; Sujan, J.; Pavlacka, L.; Vesely, L.; Kreckova, E.; Kos, J.; Jampilek, J.; Pesko, M.; Guo, J.; Coffey, A.; Kralova, K.; Kollar, P.; Imramovsky, A.; Placek, L. *Molecules* **2012**, *17*, 613.

(22) Gilday, J. P.; Negri, J. T.; Widdowson, D. A. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 4605.

(23) Shimizu, Y.; Morimoto, H.; Zhang, M.; Ohshima, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8564.