

CHEMISTRY A European Journal



WILEY-VCH

Accepted Article Title: Elucidating the Structure-Reactivity Correlations of Phenothiazine based Fluorescent Probes toward CIO□ Authors: Shichao Wang, Boyu Zhang, Wenjing Wang, Gang Feng, Dagiang Yuan, and Xuanjun Zhang This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article. To be cited as: Chem. Eur. J. 10.1002/chem.201800356 Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201800356 **Supported by** ACES

Elucidating the Structure-Reactivity Correlations of Phenothiazine based Fluorescent Probes toward CIO

Shichao Wang,^[a] Boyu Zhang,^[a] Wenjing Wang,^[b] Gang Feng,^[a] and Daqiang Yuan, *^[b] Xuanjun Zhang*^[a]

Abstract: In this work, with the aim to develop effective molecular probes and investigate the structure-reactivity correlation, we designed a short series of phenothiazine based fluorescent probes for detecting CIO- with differing electron push-pull groups. Sensing experiment results and single crystal X-ray analysis with the aid of TD-DFT calculation revealed that substituting groups with increasing electron-withdrawing ability could increase the dihedral angle of phenothiazine moiety and reduce the gap energy of probes, which led to enhanced reactivity towards CIO⁻. Both PT1 and PT2 showed two-color switching upon detection of CIO-. PT1 with strong electron donating group thiophene showed fluorescence color switch from salmon to blue. PT2 with medium electron donating/accepting group benzothiazole showed a fluorescence color switch from red to green. However, both PT1 and PT2 almost had no response to ONOO-. Through introducing strong electron-withdrawing ketone combined with a cyano group, PT3 showed a cyan emission upon detection of CIO- and weak red emission upon detection of ONOO-. HRMS and ¹H NMR results confirmed that **PT1** and **PT2** had the same sensing mode, in which the divalent sulfur of phenothiazine could be oxidized to sulfoxide by CIO⁻. When reacted with CIO⁻, PT3 experienced twostep reactions. It was firstly oxidized into sulphone structure by CIOand then transformed into sulfoxide phenothiazine aldehyde. When encountered ONOO-, PT3 changed into aldehyde structure and some non-fluorescence byproducts. Owing to their special selectively and high sensitivity, PT1 and PT2 were applied to image the endogenous CIO⁻ in macrophage cells and zebrafish larvae. This study was expected to provide a useful guideline for probe design for various applications.

Introduction

the document

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced from molecular oxygen in cellular redox activities, which played a variety of roles in biological and pathological processes. ^[1] Small fluorescent probes are essential molecular tools for detecting the ROS in the

[a]	S. Wang, Dr. B. Zhang, G. Feng, Prof. Dr. X. Zhang Faculty of Health Sciences
	University of Macau
	Taipa, Macau SAR, China
	E-mail: xuanjunzhang@umac.mo
[b]	Dr. W. Wang, Prof. Dr. D. Yuan
	State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of
	Research on the Structure of Matter
	Chinese Academy of Sciences
	Fuzhou 350002, China
	E-mail: ydq@fjirsm.ac.cn
	Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of

biological system as they demonstrated the prominent advantages of high sensitivity and easy accessibility. [2] To date, a great many of new small fluorescent probes for detecting various ROS have been reported. [3] However, due to the aggressive oxidability of ROS, it was challenging to monitor the reactions in sensing process. Hitherto, though the sensing mechanisms of some sole probes were briefly proposed in some reports, there were few literatures presented a relationship between the sensing mechanism and the molecular structures among a series of probes. It brought some confusion that some analogous probes had a great difference in responding speed, sensing mode or fluorescence signal change towards the same ROS. For example, the similar aromatic boronates probes TCFB1 and TCFB2 had a different sensitivity to peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻), TCFB2 even had a great difference in responding to ONOO- and hypochlorous acid (HCIO) in almost the same buffer.^[4] The two-photon fluorescent probe of naphthalimide derivatives with dimethylthiocarbamate group (NDMTC) had a fast respond to HOCI, while similar probe NDMC with dimethylcarbamate group had no response.^[5] The parallel donator-*π*-acceptor (D-π-A) type phenothiazine-based fluorescent probes displayed chaotic detection for hydroxyl radical (·OH), CIO⁻ and disparate reacted products. ^[6] Although significant progress has been made, it was still hard to conclude

an adaptive rule to govern the rational design of probes. In this work, with the aim of exploring sensing rule of probes toward ROS, we designed a short series of phenothiazine based molecular probes for the detection of CIO-, and try to elucidate the structure-reactivity correlation. Phenothiazine as an easyfunctionalized and electron rich donator demonstrated the distinct advantage in the design of molecular probes. [7] CIO- as a hROS, which had aggressive oxidability bearing complex reaction mode with probes. To adjust the reacting activity of probes, we substituted different pushing-drawing electron on the phenothiazine framework bridging by groups cyanostilbene (Scheme 1) to increase the intramolecular charge transfer (ICT). The crystal analysis and theoretical calculation revealed that increased dihedral angle of phenothiazine could enhance the ICT effect and promote reaction activity of probes



Scheme 1. The structures of target probes PT1, PT2 and PT3

towards $\mbox{CIO}\xspace{-},$ thus lead to the different sensing modes for three probes.

Results and Discussion

Photophysical properties, crystal structures and computational studies



Figure 1. (a) Normalized UV–*vis* absorption and fluorescence spectra of **PT1** ~ **PT3** in THF with a concentration of 10^{-5} mol·L⁻¹; (b) Timeresolved fluorescence curves of **PT1** ~ **PT3** in THF with a concentration of 10^{-5} mol·L⁻¹.



Figure 2. The crystal overlook and lateral views of single molecules PT1 ~ PT3, the graphs were obtained by XP software.

Linear absorption spectra of the three molecules in THF was depicted in **Fig. 1** and **Fig S2**. The corresponding photophysical data were summarized in **Table S1**. All three probes displayed dual intense absorption bands in the 310–478 nm range. The high energy absorption bands (310 ~ 340 nm, ε > 10000 mol⁻¹·L·cm⁻¹) were ascribed to π - π * transition of the phenothiazine moiety. ^[8] The latter low energy absorption bands (422 ~ 478 nm, ε > 10000 mol⁻¹·L·cm⁻¹) were considered as intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) transition. **PT2** has a longer absorption band than **PT1** due to the stronger electron-withdrawing ability of benzothiazole than thiophene which lead to stronger ICT. **PT3** had the lowest energy absorption band among three molecules, which indicated that the cyano

combined with a carbonyl group could strengthen the electronwithdrawing ability and thus further red shift its absorption. The fluorescence emission (Fig. 1, Fig. S2b) showed a similar red shift tendency from PT1 to PT3, which also indicated that higher electron-withdrawing groups could increase the ICT in the conjugated system and lower the energy of excited state.

To investigate the relationship between the molecular structures and photophysical properties, single-crystal X-ray diffraction was conducted for all three molecules. The crystals data collection and refinement parameters were listed in **Table S2**. The molecular structures were showed in **Fig. 2** and **Fig. S3**. **PT1** crystallized in *Pbca* system with orthorhombic space group, **PT2** and **PT3** crystallized in *P2(1)/n* system with monoclinic space group. The dihedral angles of phenothiazine moiety in **PT1** to **PT3** were 136.7°, 144.5° and 159.8°, respectively (**Fig. 2**). This result revealed that the stronger electron-withdrawing substituent group could draw the phenothiazine moiety more flat. The rigid flat structure can facilitate the intramolecular charge transfer.^[9]

To elucidate the relationships between the structures and optical properties of three molecules, theoretical calculation (TD-DFT) based on their crystal structures for absorption properties were carried out. The spatial plots of selected TD-DFT frontier molecular orbits were shown in **Fig. 3**. The calculated result of linear absorption of three molecules in the gas phase was listed in **Table S3**.

As shown in **Fig. 3**, the HOMOs (H and H - 1) of **PT1** located across the whole framework, and LUMOs (L) mainly located on the cyanostilbene area and thiophene group. **Table S3** showed that the energy absorption peak (422 nm) of **PT1** experimentally measured was consistent with theoretical value (427.84 nm), where was associated with a charge transfer transition from HOMO-1 to LUMO. For **PT2**, likewise, the HOMO-1 located on the whole structure. HOMO mainly located on phenothiazine moiety and ethylene bond. After excitation, the LUMOs mainly located on the cyanostilbene area. It also indicated **PT2** had a charge transfer transition from phenothiazine to cyanostilbene group. The measured absorption peak (465 nm) well agreed



Figure 3. Spatial plots of selected frontier molecular orbits of PT1 ~ PT3 (TD-DFT/ B3LYP).

with theoretical value (463.10 nm), which was also associated with a charge transfer transition from HOMO-1 to LUMO. The HOMOs of **PT3** located on phenothiazine moiety entirely, and LUMOs located on cyano and carbonyl group, which showed the strongest ICT among three molecules. The excited energy of three molecules shown that **PT3** had the lowest excited energy (2.60 eV) compared with **PT2** (2.68 eV) and **PT3** (2.98 eV). It could be concluded that the strong electron withdrawing group decreased the HOMO or LUMO energy levels, and then decreased the HOMO–LUMO energy gaps. Therefore, it was reasonable that the maximum absorption and emission peaks of **PT3** measured exhibited longer wavelengths than those of **PT1**, **PT2**. In addition, from **PT1** to **PT3**, there will be an increased activity upon external stimuli because of reduced excited energy.

Photophysical properties of PT1 ~ PT3 for CIO⁻ detection

The reactivity of three probes toward different ROS was assessed including NO, H_2O_2 , $\cdot OH$, CIO^- , $ONOO^-$, GSH, and TBHP. Various species of ROS (100 μ M) were added to the solution of **PT1 ~ PT3** (10 μ M) in 0.05 M PBS buffer (pH 7.4, 0.05 M, containing 10% CH₃CN), respectively. The photophysical parameters were summarised in **Table S4**. As shown in **Fig. S4**, upon addition of 10 equivalent ROS species,

the color of the PT1 solution kept stable except for CIOchanged from light yellow to colorless (inset of Fig. S4), accompanied by a blue shift of absorption peak from 423 nm to 378 nm. The fluorescence of PT1 changed from orange (622 nm) to blue (446 nm) emission under a hand-held UV lamp (Fig. S4). PT1 showed a dramatic increase in ratiometric fluorescence response (I446/I622) of 137 folds towards CIO- (Fig. 4(a3)), while other ROS exhibited a negligible response (< 0.02 folds). These result indicated that PT1 had a highly specific selectivity for CIOover other ROS. Similar events were observed with PT2 in the presence of various ROS. Upon reacting with 10 equivalent CIO-, the solution color turned from brown to colorless with the absorption peak shifted from 468 nm to 407 nm (Fig. S5(a)). Meanwhile, the fluorescence changed from red (665nm) to green emission (516 nm) (Fig. S5(b)). The other ROS had little effect on its absorption and fluorescence except for ONOO-, which induced a slight fluorescence change (inset of Fig. S5(b)). But the ratiometric respond (1516/1665) of ONOO- (2.1 folds) was negligible compared with CIO- (47.3 folds), which indicated that PT2 also had an excellent specific selectivity for CIO- (Fig. 4(b3)). Particularly. PT3 had a response to both CIO- and ONOO- with the color change from pink to colorless (inset of Fig. S6(a)). Because of strong ICT effect, PT3 showed a complete guenching fluorescence in a polar medium of PBS



Figure 4. (a1, b1, c1) UV-vis absorption spectrum changes of PT1 ~ PT3 (10 μ M) after reaction with different concentrations of ClO⁻ (0 ~ 35 μ M, 0 ~ 35 μ M, 0 ~ 40 μ M) in 0.05 M PBS:CH₃CN (v:v=9:1) of pH 7.4 for 30 minutes. (a2, b2, c2) Fluorescence spectrum changes of PT1 ~ PT3 responding to absorption in the same condition. (a3, b3, c3) Relative fluorescence intensities of the PT1 ~ PT3 (10 μ M) treated with various ROS and RNS in 0.05 M PBS:CH₃CN (v:v=9:1) of pH 7.4 for 90 min. NO: 100 μ M; H₂O₂: 100 μ M; ·OH: 100 μ M + 100 μ M ferrous ammonium sulfate; OCI⁻: 100 μ M NaClO; ONOO⁻: 100 μ M NaONOO; GSH: 100 μ M; TBHP: 100 μ M. (a4, b4, c4) Time dependent fluorescence intensity changes of PT1 ~ PT3 (10 μ M) in the presence of 10 equiv. ClO⁻ in 0.05 M PBS:CH₃CN (v:v=9:1) of pH 7.4 for 40 min. The fluorescence intensity point was recorded every 5 seconds, 481 points in total. ($\lambda_{ex-PT1} = 380$ nm; $\lambda_{ex-PT1} = 446$ nm; $\lambda_{ex-PT2} = 410$ nm; $\lambda_{ex-PT2} = 516$ nm; $\lambda_{ex-PT3} = 315$ nm, $\lambda_{ex-PT3} = 486$ nm)

buffer. Upon detection of CIO⁻, high-intensity cyano emission (486 nm) was observed. But the probe treated with the same equivalent ONOO⁻ had a very weak orange emission fluorescence (**Fig. S6(b)**). This implied that **PT3** was possibly decomposed into some non-fluorescence byproducts by ONOO⁻. The above results indicated that **PT3** had a higher reactive activity towards ONOO⁻ among three probes.

To investigate the sensing mechanism, the titration experiments of the three probes (10 μ M) were conducted in PBS buffer (pH 7.4, 0.05 M, containing 10% CH₃CN). As shown in Fig. 4(a1), upon addition of CIO⁻ from 0 to 30 μ M, PT1 showed a decreasing absorption peak at 423 nm and an emerging peak at 378 nm increased. Meanwhile, the fluorescence peak intensity at 622 nm decreased and 446 nm increased (Fig. 4(a2)). Based on the fluorescence titration (Fig. S7(a)), the ratio of fluorescence intensity at 446 nm was linearly related to the CIOconcentration between 0 and 30 µM, with a low detection limit of 0.515 uM defined as the concentration corresponding to triple standard deviations of the background signal (3σ/k). PT2 had a similar performance with PT1 in the presence of CIO- from 0 to 35 µM, the original absorption peak at 468 nm decreased and an emerging peak at 407 nm increased gradually. The fluorescence emission at 665 nm decreased and 516 nm increased with the increase of CIO-. The detection limit was calculated as 0.275 µM within linearly related to the CIO- concentration between 0 and 35 uM. PT3 displayed a distinctive absorption spectrum, which showed a decreased peak at 482 nm firstly upon addition of CIO⁻. When the amount of CIO⁻ reached around 20 μ M, a new absorption peak at 410 nm emerged and faded to disappear with the continued increase of CIO⁻ about 40 μ M (Fig. 4(c1)). The fluorescence intensity at 486 nm increased firstly until 25 µM CIO⁻, and then decreased (Fig. 4(c2)). Through fitting the linear relation of CIO⁻ concentration between 0 and 20 μ M, the detection limit of PT3 was obtained as the lowest value of 0.139 µM. Thus, three probes all had a very high sensitivity for CIOand well to detect CIO- in vitro by ratiometric means at low concentrations. The detect limits also indicated an increased reactive sensitivity to CIO- from PT1 to PT3, which was accordance with front speculation of TD-DFT theoretical calculation. Besides, PT3 also had a response to ONOOwithout a regular change of absorption and fluorescence (Fig. S8).

We further tested the time-dependent fluorescence intensity changes of **PT1** ~ **PT3** (10 μ M) in the presence of 10 equivalent CIO⁻ in PBS buffer (pH 7.4, 0.05 M, containing 10% CH₃CN). As shown in **Fig. 4 (a4, b4, c4)**, **PT1**, **PT2**, and **PT3** all had a fast response to CIO⁻ in PBS buffer about 538 s, 100 s, and 304 s, respectively. **PT2** had a faster response to CIO⁻ than **PT1**, which was likely to that the CIO⁻ oxidized phenothiazine of **PT2** to sulfoxide more easily. **PT3** had a relatively long response time due to the possible coexistence of multiple steps oxidation reactions towards CIO⁻ according to its absorption and fluorescence spectrums change. In addition, we proceeded to examine the effect of pH on fluorescence of three probes. Little influence on **PT1** and **PT2** within a wide pH range (4-10), indicated that no disturbance from the variation of pH. But for **PT3**, there was an obvious absorption and fluorescence decrease in pH 9 ~ 10 (inset of Fig. S9). Considering the sensitivity and fluorescence intensity before and after reaction with ClO⁻, both PT1 and PT2 were expected to be useful tools for biological and pathological investigations.

The proposed sensing mechanism of PT1-PT3 towards ROS







Figure 5. (a) The HR-MS spectrum of product of PT1 ~ PT3 (10 μ M) after reacted with 10 equiv. CIO⁻ and ONOO⁻ for 10 minutes, respectively. (b) The ¹H-NMR spectrum of PT1, PT2 treated with 10 equiv. CIO⁻, PT3 treated with10 equiv. CIO⁻ and ONOO⁻ for 10 minutes.

10.1002/chem.201800356

WILEY-VCH

Based on the experimental results, the sensing mechanisms were proposed, which were demonstrated in Scheme 2. **PT1– PT3** all had two activated sites sulfur atom of phenothiazine and double bond which had higher electron density and can be effectively oxidized or cut off by CIO⁻. Besides, we abandoned activated sites sulfur atoms of thiophene (**PT1, PT3**) and benzothiazole (**PT2**) because they were hardly oxidized for their electron-deficiency compared with the sulfur atom on the phenothiazine moiety. ^[10] Here, to clear the sensing mode of probes towards CIO⁻ and ONOO⁻, the reactions products of **PT1** ~ **PT3** were determined by HR-MS (positive mode), ¹H-NMR data and TD-DFT theoretical calculation (**Fig. 5, Fig. S10 ~ Fig. S13, Fig. 6**).

Fig. 5(a) displayed the HR-MS spectrum of probes (10 µM) after reacting with CIO⁻ (100 µM) and ONOO⁻ (100 µM, just for PT3) for 30 minutes. The HPLC HR-MS analysis showed a main mass peak at 377. 0808 for PT1 after treating with CIO-. This peak was assigned as sulfoxide structure (OPT1). PT2 also turned into sulfoxide structure (OPT2) responding to main mass peak at 428.0981 after treating with 10 equivalent CIO⁻. For PT3, in the presence of 10 equivalent CIO⁻ and ONOO⁻, the main mass peak 272.0552 and 256.0822 were generated, respectively. They were taken as sulfoxide aldehyde (OPA) and aldehyde (PA) structures. To detail the reaction process of probes towards CIO-, the HPLC chromatogram (mobile phase CH₃CN: water = 1: 1, v/v; 0.2 ml·min⁻¹) and HR-MS spectrums of probes reacted with different equivalent CIO- for 30 minutes were depicted. The Fig. S10 showed the HPLC chromatogram of different equivalents (25 µM, 50 µM, 100 µM, 200 µM, 300 µM) CIO⁻ reacted with PT1 (10 µM) in pH 7.4 PBS buffer. In the presence of 25 µM of CIO- or below, PT1 partially turned into OPT1. Under 100 µM of CIO⁻ amount, PT1 mainly turned into OPT1 corresponding to mass peak at 377.0808. The generation of OPT1 was also supported by the blue fluorescence. When the concentration of CIO- increased to 200 µM, the sulphone structure product (DOPT1) was generated corresponding to mass at peak 393.0833. At the same time, the mass peak of OPT1 was observed and PT1 disappeared completely. It was interesting to note that when the concentration of CIO- was further increased to 300 µM, OPT1 disappeared and it was transferred into a new product DOPT1. It indicated that PT1 had a two-step oxidation process upon the extra amount of CIO-. In the first oxidation step, the CIO- (100 ~ 200 $\mu M)$ was in the range of physiological concentration (5 ~ 200 $\mu M)$ of hypochlorite. [11] PT2 had a similar two-step oxidation process with PT1 upon the increase of CIO- amount responding main mass peaks of 427.0813 (OPT2) and 444.0941 (DOPT2) (Fig. S11). The green fluorescence mainly came from OPT2. For PT3, treated with different equivalents CIO- (10 µM, 20 µM, 30 µM, 50 µM, 100 µM) in pH 7.4 PBS buffer, showed two retention time peaks in five equivalents CIO- reaction solution simultaneously (Fig. S12). From 10 µM to 30 µM, the peak of around 2.11 second retention time decreased and the peak of 2.44 second retention time kept stable, these two retention peaks corresponded to the main mass peak at 421.0763 which was assigned as DOPT3. The peak of around 1.03 second increased with the increase of CIO- amount, which was corresponded to

the main mass peak at 272.0752 that was identified to OPA. It indicated that DOPT3 and OPA coexisted in low and high CIOconcentrations (10 ~ 100 µM). Similar to PT1 and PT2, PT3 also experienced the first oxidation process and turned into OPT3 in the presence of CIO-. While OPT3 possessed a very short lifetime and then transformed into OPA quickly. The fluorescence signals of probe PT3 upon detection of CIO- was primarily generated by both OPA and DOPT3. Fig. S13 showed the HPLC chromatogram of four equivalents (10 µM, 20 µM, 50 µM, 100 µM) ONOO- after reacting with PT3 for 30 minutes. A peak around 2.52 second retention time existed in all chromatogram, which was corresponded to main mass peak at 256.0822 that hint at aldehyde structure (PA). But the unidentified peak and weak orange fluorescence signal also indicated that there was a large amount of non-fluorescence products were produced. The ¹H NMR spectrum in deuterated acetone (Fig. 5b), the samples of PT1 and PT2 after reacted with 10 equivalent CIO⁻ showed no obvious chemical shift signal at 9.94 ppm, which was assigned as proton peak of aldehyde on sulfoxide phenothiazine. [12] The product of PT3 after reacting with CIO⁻ showed a distinct peak at 9.94 ppm indicative of the aldehyde group in sulfoxide phenothiazine product, which agreed with HRMS spectrum. The 9.84 ppm peak in NMR spectrum of PT3 after treating with ONOO-, combined with HRMS, confirmed the formation of phenothiazine aldehyde. These results revealed that PT1 ~ PT3 had an increased reactive activity towards CIO- across the whole oxidation process regularly, which was predicted by crystal analysis and theoretical calculation.

Subsequently, the theoretical calculation of reacted products of probes towards ROS was demonstrated base on optimized structures (Table S3, Fig. 6, Fig. S14). As shown in Fig. S14, the sulfoxide and sulphone phenothiazine products (OPT1, OPT2, OPT3, DOPT3, OPA) possessed a rich electron density around oxygen atom in ground states and acceptor groups in excited states via ICT. The result of the calculation (Table S3)



Figure. 6.The normalized absorption spectrum of **PT1**(a1), **PT2**(a2), **PT3**(a3) before and after treating with CIO⁻; (a4) **PT3** treating with ONOO⁻. The inset graphs were the frontier molecular orbits of reactants.

had a good agreement with experimental detection absorption after treating with CIO⁻ and ONOO⁻ in **Fig. 6.** The experiment companied with TD-DFT calculation verified the correctness of the sensing mechanism synergistically.

Imaging

Imaging of intracellular CIO-

Murine RAW264.7 macrophages were used as a CIO⁻ detection model because it was known to generate ROS and RNS in the immune system. ^[13] To evaluate the specific nature of **PT1** and PT2 for detecting the endogenous CIO-, RAW264.7 cells were taken for imaging by a confocal fluorescence microscope. Lipopolysaccharides (LPS) and phorbol myristate acetate (PMA) were used as the inducer to prompt the cells to generate the ROS. Before imaging, the cytotoxic behaviour of PT1-2 was examined using the MTT assay with RAW264.7 cells in dose mode. The cells were separately treated with increasing concentration of PT1, PT2 (5, 10, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120 µM) for 24 h. As shown in Fig. S15, there was no obvious cytotoxicity was identified in Raw264.7 cells. The fluorescence imaging of PT1 and PT2 for detecting CIO- in living macrophages Raw264.7cells were demonstrated in Fig.7. Fig. (7(a1), (d1)) displayed a strong red fluorescence emission channel for PT1 and PT2 without any LPS and PMA stimulant in 30 minutes. With the addition of 2 μ g·mL⁻¹ LPS and PWA in 1 hour, the Raw264.7 pretreated with 5 µM PT1 displayed a red



Figure. 7. Confocal fluorescence images for detection of CIO⁻ production in Raw264.7 cells. (a, d) The cell incubated only with 5 μ M **PT1**, **PT2** for 30 minutes, respectively. (b, e) The cells treated with stimulants LPS (2 μ g·mL⁻¹) and PMA (2 μ g·mL⁻¹) for 4 hours in the presence of 5 μ M **PT1**, **PT2**, respectively. (c, f) The cells treated with stimulants LPS (5 μ g·mL⁻¹) and PMA (5 μ g·mL⁻¹) for 4 hours in the presence of 5 μ M **PT1**, **PT2**, respectively. (a5 ~ f5) The ratio images were generated from **Ch2** and **Ch1**. All images were merged by two channels. The scale bar represented 20 μ m.

fluorescence and blue fluorescence in two channels (**Fig.7(b1, b2)**). For **PT2**, the cells displayed a red fluorescence and weak green fluorescence emission in both channels (**Fig.7(e1, e2)**). The result implied that the generation of endogenous CIO⁻ was induced by stimulant in Raw264.7 cells. Upon addition of LPS and PWA to 5 μ g·mL⁻¹, the cells treated with **PT1** displayed a very weak red fluorescence and strong blue fluorescence within 1 hour (**Fig.7(c1, c2)**). The cells treated with **PT2** almost displayed only green fluorescence in the green channel (**Fig.7(f1, f2**)). This response should be attributed to the increased concentration of intracellular CIO⁻ that was stimulated by more LPS and PMA. It confirmed that the **PT1** and **PT2** were capable to detect endogenous CIO⁻ by two channels in living cells.

Imaging of endogenous CIO⁻ in zebrafish larvae

Zebrafish has been proved to be a valuable vertebrate model due to their prominent advantages such as the similarity in physiology to humans, external fertilization and optical transparency of its embryo and larva.^[14] To exhibit the practical application in detecting hypochlorite in intravital imaging, we employed the zebrafish larvae as the animal model. Before we



Figure 8. Fluorescence images of **PT1** and **PT2** to detect CIO⁻ generation by LPS cooperated with PMA induced liver injury in zebrafish larvae. The control group (a1 ~ a4); The zebrafish pretreated with 0 μ g·mL⁻¹LPS (b1 ~ b4, d1 ~ d4) and 2 μ g·mL⁻¹LPS (c1 ~ c4, e1 ~ e4) for 6 hours and then incubated with 10 μ M **PT1**, **PT2** for 2 hours, respectively. The excitation filter in the range of 405 ~ 488 nm, and the emission filter is 420 ~ 750 nm. Every group was repeated three times. The scale bars represent 200 μ m.

applied the probes in zebrafish larvae imaging, the toxicity of **PT1** and **PT2** were firstly accessed for in vivo tests according to the literature method. ^[15] Three groups of zebrafish larvae (4-day, 50 zebrafish per group) were fed with different amounts of **PT1**, **PT2** solutions (10 μ M, 20 μ M, 30 μ M) and were monitored the survival rate for 7 days. It showed that more than 80% survival rate even in the highest concentration, which confirmed that **PT1**

WILEY-VCH

and PT2 were suitable for zebrafish larvae imaging (Fig. S16). LPS as an identified inflammatory mediator will lead to acute liver injury along with HCIO generation in the process of myeloperoxidase enzyme-mediated peroxidation of chloride ions. ^[16] The four-day-old zebrafish larvae were incubated with LPS (2) μg·mL⁻¹) for 6 hours, after that, 10 μM PT1 and PT2 were fed to larvae for 2 hours, respectively. As shown in Fig. 8a, in the control group, there was no any fluorescence signal in the whole body of zebrafish. For larvae which were fed with PT1 and PT2 severally, but not pretreated with LPS, displayed the red fluorescence signals around the abdomen area. In contrast, the larvae pretreated with LPS and PT1 was observed the blue fluorescence signal around the liver and digestive system (white point outline). Likely, the green fluorescence signal was detected around the liver and digestive system of larvae pretreated with LPS and PT2. It indicated that fluorescence transformation of probes in zebrafish larvae was triggered by endogenous CIO-. Probe PT1 and PT2 were organism-permeable and sensitive enough to detect the endogenous CIO- in zebrafish larvae. The result suggested that the two probes had a desirable application for detecting endogenous CIO- in vivo by fluorescence imaging.

Conclusions

Through design and synthesis of a short series of phenothiazine based fluorescent probes PT1 ~ PT3 composed of different electron donor and acceptor, we investigated the response to CIO- and structure-reactivity correlation. The probes with the strong push-pull structure could increase the dihedral angle of phenothiazine framework and ICT effect, meanwhile, increased the reactive activity towards CIO- and ONOO-. The detailed HRMS and ¹H NMR spectrum revealed that PT1 and PT2 had a rapidly specific absorption and fluorescence response towards CIO- by oxidation of sulfur to sulfoxide in phenothiazine moiety. With the more CIO- addition, PT1 and PT2 could be further oxidized to sulphone structures. PT3 went through a complete oxidation process of sulfur to sulphone structures accompanied with sulfoxide phenothiazine aldehyde. PT3 also had a fast response to ONOO- by changing into aldehyde structure and some non-fluorescence byproducts. However, PT1 and PT2 were inert to ONOO-. Besides, owing to the excellent selectivity and sensitivity, PT1 and PT2 were successfully applied to image endogenous CIO- in macrophage cells and zebrafish larvae. In a word, the detailed study of sensing mechanism and structurereactivity correlation in this work will give a guideline for further probe design

Experimental Section

Synthesis

The characterizations for all compounds were displayed in supporting materials.

Instruments

Melting point determination was performed on the Buchi B-545 melting point apparatus. FI-IR spectrum was obtained on the SHIMADZU

IRAffinity-1S spectrophotometer. The ¹H NMR (400 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) spectra recorded on at 25°C using Bruker AV 400 MHz spectrometers were reported as parts per million (ppm) from tetramethylsilane as the internal standard. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were determined by the electro-spray ionization (ESI) technique and high performances liquid chromatography (HPLC) method which was recorded on the Waters Xevo G2-XS Q-Tof matrix-assisted time of flight mass spectrometer. UV-vis absorption spectra were recorded on a SHIMADZU UV-1800 spectrophotometer. Steady-state fluorescence spectra and time-dependent were performed on HORIBA Fluorolog-3 modular spectrofluorometer with a Xe lamp as an excitation source. Absolute measurement of the fluorescence quantum yield (Φ) of solutions determined by integrating sphere on HORIBA Fluorolog-3 modular spectrofluorometer. Time-resolved fluorescence measurements were also performed on HORIBA Fluorolog-3 platform. The decays were analyzed by "least-squares" and iterative reconvolution method. The quality of the exponential fit was evaluated by the goodness of fit (γ 2).

Measurements

The stock solution of probes PT1 ~ PT3 (1 mM) were prepared in acetonitrile. The test probes solution (10 µM) were prepared by dilution of stock solution in 0.05 M PBS buffer (pH = 7.4, contain 10% CH₃CN). All aqueous solutions were prepared in ultrapure water with a resistivity of 18.25 M Ω •cm (purified by the Milli-Q system supplied by Millipore, U.S.A). Various ROS and RNS were prepared according to the previous literature.^[17] Nitric oxide (NO) stock solution was prepared by purging PBS (0.05 M, pH 7.4) with N₂ gas for 30 minutes and then added NOC-5 at 25 °C. CIO- was prepared by dilution of commercial sodium hypochlorite solution (available chlorine 10 ~ 15%) in deionized water and the concentration of CIO- stock solution was determined by measuring the absorbance at 209 nm. H_2O_2 was prepared by dilution of the commercial H₂O₂ solution, the concentration of the stock solution was determined by measuring the absorbance at 240 nm. TBHP were obtained from commercial 70% (w/w) water stock solution. OH (hydroxyl radical) was freshly generated by the Fenton reaction from ferrous ammonium sulfate and hydrogen peroxide. ONOO- was prepared using 3-morpholinosvdnonimine hydrochloride, followed concentration determination by UV analysis with the extinction coefficient at 302 nm, the solution was stored at -20 °C for use. Glutathione (GSH) was prepared by dissolving the solid reduced- glutathione in ultrapure water. All test solutions were waited for 40 minutes for measurement after treating with ROS and RNS.

The detection limit ($3\sigma/k$) was obtained based on linear fitting between the fluorescence intensity at 446 nm for **PT1**, 516 nm for **PT2**, 486 nm for **PT3**, and the concentration of CIO⁻. σ was the standard deviation of the blank measurements (n = 11), *k* was the slope of the fluorescence intensity *versus* CIO⁻ concentration.

Crystallography Determinations

The X-ray diffraction data of three chromophores were collected on a Bruker Smart 1000 CCD area detector diffractometer using graphite monochromated Mo-K_a radiation (λ = 0.71069 Å) at 298(2) K. Empirical absorption corrections were applied to the data. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods on *P*². All the nonhydrogen atoms were located in the trial structure and then refined anisotropically with SHELXTL using the full matrix least-squares procedure. The hydrogen atom positions were geometrically idealized and generated in idealized positions and fixed displacement parameters. Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre

(CCDC) as supplementary publication numbers CCDC PT1-1550369, PT2-1540561, PT3-1540562.

Computational Studies

FULL PAPER

The time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT/B3LYP) calculations of **PT1-3** were performed on the crystal structures in the gas phase. All calculations were performed with the G03 software. ^[18] The TD-DFT calculation of the lowest 25 singlet-singlet excitation energies was calculated with a basis set composed of 6-31G (d, p) for C, N, H, O, S atoms. The lowest 25-spin allowed singlet-singlet transitions, up to the energy of about 5 eV, were taken into account for the calculation of the absorption spectra.

MTT Assay

Raw 264.7 macrophage cells were obtained from FHS, University of Macau. In vitro cytotoxicity of **PT1**, **PT2** to Raw264.7 cells was tested by MTT assay. Raw264.7 cells were cultured in 96 wells microplates with Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum and maintained at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂ for 24 h. The medium was next replaced by fresh medium containing various concentrations of **PT1**, **PT2** (5, 10, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120 μ M) and further cultured another 24 h, respectively. Each concentration was tested in six replicates. Cells were rinsed twice with phosphate buffer saline and incubated with 0.5 mg/mL MTT reagent for 4 hours at 37°C. 100 μ L of DMSO was then added to dissolve formazan. The absorbance at 570 nm was measured in a microplate reader. Cell viability (%) was calculated by the following equation: Cell viability = (mean Abs. of treated wells/mean Abs. of control wells) ×100%.

Cell imaging

Raw264.7 macrophage cells were seeded in 25-Petri dishes at an appropriate density about 1×10^3 cells per dish and allowed to adhere for 36 hours. In the control group, the cells were incubated with PT1, PT2 at concentrations 5 μM and maintained at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 95% and 5% CO₂ air for incubation times ranging for 30 minutes. Then the medium was removed and washed the cells with PBS three times (1 mL \times 3) to clear dyes attached to the surface of the cells. Meanwhile, in the experimental group, after the macrophage cells have been incubated with 2 µg·mL⁻¹ LPS and 2 µg·mL⁻¹ PMA for 4 hours, the cells were further incubated with probes (5 $\mu M)$ for another 2 hours at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 95% and 5% CO2 air. The culture was removed and washed with PBS three times (1 mL \times 3) before observation. The cells were imaged using Carl Zeiss Confocal LSM710 microscopy with oil immersion lenses. (PT1: $\lambda_{\text{ex}}\!:$ 405 nm and 458 nm, collected $\lambda_{\text{em}}\!:$ 400 ~ 500 nm and 600 ~ 700 nm; PT2: λ_{ex} : 405 nm and 458 nm, collected λ_{em} : 450~ 600 nm and 650 ~ 750 nm).

Fluorescence imaging in zebrafish larvae model

All larval zebrafish were provided by the animal facility of University of Macau. All animal experiments were performed in full compliance with international ethical guidelines and approved by the institutional committee. We have taken great effort to reduce the number of animal in this study and reduced animals suffering from pain and discomfort. All the larval zebrafish were anesthetized using MS222 at level III (Deep Anaesthetized) before microscopy imaging. In the control group, healthy zebrafish larvae (4-day post-fertilization and hatched) were transferred to Petri dishes with 200 μ L E3 media (15 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM KCl,1 mM MgSO4, 1 mM CaCl₂, 0.15 mM KH₂PO4, 0.05 mM Na₂HPO4 and 0.7 mM NaHCO₃; pH 7.5) at room temperature for 6 hours. The zebrafish larvae were anesthetized for the subsequent image. To detect the endogenous CIO⁻, in the experimental group, zebrafish larvae were transferred to

Petri dishes with 200 μ L E3 media containing LPS (0 μ g·mL⁻¹, 2 μ g·mL⁻¹) for 6 hours. Then, the media solution was removed and washed the fish three times with 200 μ L E3 media to remove remaining LPS. The zebrafish larvae were incubated with E3 media containing 10 μ M **PT1** probe and **PT2** probe for another 2 hours at room temperature, respectively. After that, the fish were washed for three times with 200 μ L E3 media to remove the residual probes and anesthetized for subsequent fluorescence image. The fluorescence image for zebrafish larvae was carried out on Carl Zeiss Confocal LSM710 microscopy with magnification 5× lenses.

Acknowledgements

[1]

[4]

[5]

This work was supported by the Macao Science and Technology Development Fund under Grant No. 052/2015/A2 and 082/2016/A2; the Multi-Year Research Grant of University of Macau under grant No. MYRG2016-00058-FHS and MYRG2017-00066-FHS.

Keywords: phenothiazine • fluorescence probe • hypochlorous acid • imaging [3g]

- aT. Finkel, N. J. Holbrook, *Nature* **2000**, *408*, 239-247; bB. C. Dickinson, C. J. Chang, *Nat Chem Biol* **2011**, *7*, 504-511; cC. Nathan, *J. Clin. Invest.* **2003**, *111*, 769-778.
- [2] aX. Li, X. Gao, W. Shi, H. Ma, Chem Rev 2014, 114, 590-659; bM. Kaur, D. H. Choi, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 58-77; cY. Tang, D. Lee, J. Wang, G. Li, J. Yu, W. Lin, J. Yoon, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 5003-5015; dX. Chen, F. Wang, J. Y. Hyun, T. Wei, J. Qiang, X. Ren, I. Shin, J. Yoon, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 2976-3016; eD. Wu, L. Chen, N. Kwon, J. Yoon, Chem 2016, 1, 674-698; fY. Yang, Q. Zhao, W. Feng, F. Li, Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 192-270; gL. Yuan, W. Lin, K. Zheng, L. He, W. Huang, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 622-661.
 [3] aX. Jiao, Y. Li, J. Niu, X. Xie, X. Wang, B. Tang, Anal Chem 2017;
 - aX. Jiao, Y. Li, J. Niu, X. Xie, X. Wang, B. Tang, Anal Chem 2017;
 bX. Bai, Y. Huang, M. Lu, D. Yang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2017, 56, 12873-12877; cJ. Zhang, X. Zhen, P. K. Upputuri, M. Pramanik, P. Chen, K. Pu, Adv. Mater. 2017, 29; dP. Wei, W. Yuan, F. Xue, W. Zhou, R. Li, D. Zhang, T. Yi, Chem. Sci. 2018; eY. H. Chen, T. W. Wei, Z. J. Zhang, W. Zhang, J. Lv, T. T. Chen, B. Chi, F. Wang, X. Q. Chen, Chinese Chem. Lett. 2017, 28, 1957-1960; fC. C. Chang, F. Wang, J. Qiang, Z. J. Zhang, Y. H. Chen, W. Zhang, Y. Wang, X. Q. Chen, Sensor Actuat B-Chem 2017, 243, 22-28; gJ. Lv, Y. H. Chen, F. Wang, T. W. Wei, Z. J. Zhang, J. Qiang, X. Q. Chen, Offs, 2018, 148, 353-358.
 - aW. Shu, L. G. Yan, Z. K. Wang, J. Liu, S. Zhang, C. Y. Liu, B. C. Zhu, Sensor Actuat B-Chem 2015, 221, 1130-1136; bA. C. Sedgwick, H. H. Han, J. E. Gardiner, S. D. Bull, X. P. He, T. D. James, Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 12822-12825.
 - B. Zhu, P. Li, W. Shu, X. Wang, C. Liu, Y. Wang, Z. Wang, Y. Wang, B. Tang, Anal. Chem. 2016, 88, 12532-12538.
- [6] aF. Liu, T. Wu, J. Cao, H. Zhang, M. Hu, S. Sun, F. Song, J. Fan, J. Wang, X. Peng, *Analyst* 2013, *138*, 775-778; bF. Liu, J. Du, D. Song, M. Xu, G. Sun, *Chem. Commun.* 2016, *52*, 4636-4639; cH. Xiao, K. Xin, H. Dou, G. Yin, Y. Quan, R. Wang, *Chem. Commun.* 2015, *51*, 1442-1445; dH. Xiao, J. Li, J. Zhao, G. Yin, Y. Quan, J. Wang, R. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. B* 2015, *3*, 1633-1638.
- [7] aC. Liu, X. Jiao, S. He, L. Zhao, X. Zeng, *Talanta* 2017, 174, 234-242; bL. Liang, C. Liu, X. Jiao, L. Zhao, X. Zeng, *Chem. Commun.* 2016, *52*, 7982-7985.
- [8] H. Wang, X. H. Tian, L. J. Guan, Q. Zhang, S. Y. Zhang, H. P. Zhou, J. Y. Wu, Y. P. Tian, *J. Mater. Chem. B* 2016, *4*, 2895-2902.
 [9] J. Dobkowski, W. Rettig, J. Waluk, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*
 - J. Dobkowski, W. Rettig, J. Waluk, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2002, 4, 4334-4339.
- B. Y. Zhang, Z. X. Jiang, J. Li, Y. N. Zhang, F. Lin, Y. Liu, C. Li, J. Catal. 2012, 287, 5-12.
 M. R. McCall, A. C. Carr, T. M. Forte, B. Frei, Arterioscl. Throm.

M. R. McCall, A. C. Carr, T. M. Forte, B. Frei, Arterioscl. Throm. Vas. 2001, 21, 1040-1045.

WILEY-VCH

- M. Tosa, C. Paizs, C. Majdik, L. Novak, P. Kolonits, F. D. Irimie, L. Poppe, *Tetrahedron-Asymmetr.* 2002, 13, 211-221. [12]
- aY. Z. Gao, L. F. Zhao, J. Ma, W. H. Xue, H. Zhao, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2016, 780, 8-15; bS. R. Liu, S. P. Wu, *Org. Lett.* 2013, [13] 15, 878-881; cW. Zhang, W. Liu, P. Li, J. kang, J. Wang, H. Wang, B. Tang, Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 10150-10153.
- B. Tang, O. K. Cohen, J. Yoon, I. Shin, Chem Soc Rev 2011, 40, 2120-2130; bA. D. Vliegenthart, C. S. Tucker, J. Del Pozo, J. W. Dear, Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 2014, 78, 1217-1227; cP. Zhang, X. F. Jiang, X. Nie, Y. Huang, F. Zeng, X. Xia, S. Wu, Biomaterials 2016, 80, 46-56; dY. Huang, P. Zhang, M. Gao, F. Zeng, A. Qin, S. Wu, B. Zang, Z. Cham, Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 2798, 2704. [14] Wu, B. Z. Tang, Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 7288-7291.
- X. Tian, Q. Zhang, M. Zhang, K. Uvdal, Q. Wang, J. Chen, W. Du, B. Huang, J. Wu, Y. Tian, *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 142-149. [15]
- aP. Zhang, H. Wang, Y. Hong, M. Yu, R. Zeng, Y. Long, J. Chen, *Biosens Bioelectron* **2018**, *99*, 318-324; bH. Jaeschke, A. Ramachandran, J. Hepatol. **2011**, *55*, 227-228. [16]
- Z. Mao, H. Jiang, Z. Li, C. Zhong, W. Zhang, Z. Liu, Chem. Sci. [17] 2017, 8, 4533-4538.
- S. Wang, S. Xu, Y. Wang, X. Tian, Y. Zhang, C. Wang, J. Wu, J. Yang, Y. Tian, *Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* **2017**, *173*, 871-879. [18]

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

FULL PAPER

Entry for the Table of Contents

FULL PAPER



Shichao Wang, Boyu Zhang, Wenjing Wang, Gang Feng, Daqiang Yuan, * Xuanjun Zhang *

Page No. – Page No.

Elucidating the Structure-Reactivity Correlations of Phenothiazine based Fluorescent Probes toward CIO⁻

The sensing mechanisms of a series of phenothiazine based fluorescent probes for CIO⁻ were studied in detail.