

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

#### **Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry**



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmc

# Synthesis and pharmacological evaluation of several ring-contracted amantadine analogs

Pelayo Camps<sup>a</sup>, María D. Duque<sup>a</sup>, Santiago Vázquez<sup>a,\*</sup>, Lieve Naesens<sup>b</sup>, Erik De Clercq<sup>b</sup>, Francesc X. Sureda<sup>c</sup>, Marta López-Querol<sup>c</sup>, Antoni Camins<sup>d</sup>, Mercè Pallàs<sup>d</sup>, S. Radhika Prathalingam<sup>e</sup>, John M. Kelly<sup>e</sup>, Vanessa Romero<sup>f</sup>, Dolores Ivorra<sup>f</sup>, Diego Cortés<sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratori de Química Farmacèutica (Unitat Associada al CSIC), Facultat de Farmàcia, and Institute of Biomedicine (IBUB), Universitat de Barcelona, Av. Diagonal, 643, Barcelona E-08028, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Rega Institute for Medical Research, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium

<sup>c</sup> Unitat de Farmacologia, Facultat de Medicina i Ciències de la Salut, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, c./St. Llorenç 21, Reus E-43201, Spain

<sup>d</sup> Unitat de Farmacologia i Farmacognòsia. Facultat de Farmàcia, Institute of Biomedicine (IBUB) and CIBERNED, Universitat de Barcelona, Av. Diagonal, 643, Barcelona E-08028, Spain

e London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Department of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK

<sup>f</sup>Departamento de Farmacología, Facultad de Farmacia, Universidad de Valencia, 46100 Burjassot, Valencia, Spain

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 June 2008 Revised 6 October 2008 Accepted 12 October 2008 Available online 17 October 2008

Keywords: Amantadine NMDA receptor antagonist Influenza Memantine Polycyclic cage compounds Trypanosomiasis

#### 1. Introduction

#### ABSTRACT

The synthesis of several (3-noradamantyl)amines, [(3-noradamantyl)methyl]amines, (3,7-dimethyl-1bisnoradamantyl)amines, and [(3,7-dimethyl-1-bisnoradamantyl)methyl]amines is reported. They were evaluated against a wide range of viruses and one of them inhibited the cytopathicity of influenza A virus at a concentration similar to that of amantadine. Several of the new polycyclic amines show an interesting activity as NMDA receptor antagonists. A rimantadine analogue displayed significant trypanocidal activity. Moreover, to further characterize the pharmacology of these compounds, their effects on dopamine uptake were also assessed.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1-Adamantylamine (amantadine) and ( $\alpha$ -methyl-1-adamantyl)methylamine (rimantadine) have prophylactic and therapeutic activity in influenza A virus infections.<sup>1</sup> Related adamantane derivatives also show antiviral activity.<sup>2</sup> Adamantane derivatives are inexpensive, but resistance against the drugs develops readily and treatment is frequently complicated by central nervous system (CNS) side-effects. In fact, amantadine and its 3,5-dimethyl analogue memantine are NMDA receptor antagonists and are approved for the treatment of Parkinson's and Alzheimer's disease, respectively.<sup>3</sup> Thus, the design of new amantadine-related antiinfluenza agents without CNS side-effects is a highly desirable goal. Amantadine, rimantadine, memantine, and related polycyclic amines also possess trypanocidal activity (Fig. 1).<sup>4</sup>

Biological activity has also been found in other polycyclic cage amines. For example, compounds **1–4** have anti-influenza activ-

ity,<sup>5</sup> **5** is a MAO-B inhibitor,<sup>6</sup> and **6** is a NMDA receptor antagonist (Fig. 2).<sup>7</sup>

For more than 20 years two of us (P.C. and S.V.) have worked on a project aimed at exploring the structure and the reactivity of noradamantane<sup>8</sup> and bisnoradamantane derivatives.<sup>9</sup> Up to now, our work on this topic has been done from a purely synthetic point of view. For example, we have developed several general entries to these skeletons. However, systematic studies directed towards the synthesis of biologically active noradamantane and bisnoradamantane derivatives have not yet been carried out (Fig. 3).<sup>10</sup>



Figure 1. Amantadine, memantine, and rimantadine.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 934024533; fax: +34 934035941. *E-mail address:* svazquez@ub.edu (S. Vázquez).

<sup>0968-0896/\$ -</sup> see front matter  $\odot$  2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2008.10.028



Figure 2. Polycyclic cage amines with biological activity.



Figure 3. Noradamantane and bisnoradamantane.

It is well known in medicinal chemistry that when drugs contain cyclic systems, it is generally worth synthesizing analogues where the ring is opened, expanded or contracted by one unit, because these analogues show similar activity to the parent compound.<sup>11</sup> Tricyclo[3.3.1.0<sup>3,7</sup>]non-3-ylamine [(3-noradamantyl)amine] and tricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>3,7</sup>]oct-1-ylamine [(1-bisnoradamantyl)amine] may be viewed as ring contracted analogs of amantadine, featuring a skeleton with one and two carbon less than the model, respectively. For this reason, in this paper, we describe the preparation of a series of (3-noradamantyl)amines, (1-bisnoradamantyl)amines and related compounds as well as the results of their antiviral, trypanocidal, NMDA receptor antagonist, and dopamine reuptake inhibitory activities.

#### 2. Results and discussion

#### 2.1. Chemistry

Starting from the known amine **7**,<sup>10,12</sup> we have prepared noradamantane amines **8**–**12** using classical methods in amine chemistry. Thus, reductive alkylation of **7** with several aromatic aldehydes afforded secondary amines **8a–f** in moderate to high yields. Dimethylated derivative **10** was prepared as previously described in the literature.<sup>13</sup> Monomethyl derivative **12** was synthesized from **8a** by reductive alkylation followed by catalytic debenzylation in good overall yield. Finally, piperidine derivative **11** was prepared by



**Scheme 1.** Reageants and conditions: (a) aldehyde, NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN, acetic acid, methanol, 18 h; 92% for **8a**, R = phenyl; 79% for **8b**, R = 4-methoxyphenyl; 44% for **8c**, R = 2-methoxyphenyl; 78% for **8d**, R = 3-methoxyphenyl; 77% for **8e**, R = 4-fluorophenyl; 63% for **8f**, R = 2-thienyl; (b) formaldehyde, NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN, acetic acid, acetonitrile, 4 h; 91%; (c) anhyd Et<sub>3</sub>N, 1,5-dibromopentane, DMF, 60 °C, 26 h, 48%. (d) H<sub>2</sub> (1 atm), Pd/C, ethanol, 89%.

alkylation of primary amine **7** with 1,5-dibromopentane in 51% yield (Scheme 1).

Starting from the known amide **13**,<sup>14</sup> a series of 3-(noradamantyl)methylamines were synthesized. Thus, reduction of amide **13** with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> followed by acidic work-up led to the hydrochloride of amine **14** in 90% yield. Reductive alkylation of **14** with benzaldehyde and NaCNBH<sub>3</sub> in methanol gave **15** in 77% yield. Reductive methylation of **15** followed by catalytic hydrogenation led to **19** in high yield. Reductive methylation of **14** with formic acid and formaldehyde furnished dimethyl derivative **17** in 68% yield. Finally, reaction of **14** with 1*H*-pyrazol-1-carboxamidine led to guanidine **18** in 84% yield (Scheme 2).

On the other hand, starting from the known acid **20**,<sup>9g</sup> we have prepared amines **21–23**, **25–28**, and **30–36** using classical methods in amine chemistry. Although the synthesis of amine **21** from acid **20** was very low yielding using the classical Schmid's or Curtius' reactions (14% and 24% yield, respectively), application of the Yamada's modification of the Curtius reaction allowed us to obtain and fully characterize the hydrochloride of amine **21** in 73% yield.

From this amine, reductive alkylation with benzaldehyde led to **22a** in 60% yield. Similarly, reductive alkylation with 2-thiophenecarbaldehyde led to **22b** in 69% yield. Reductive methylation of **22a** followed by catalytic debenzylation furnished secondary amine **28** in high yield. Dimethylated derivative **26** was obtained in 83% yield by treating amine **21** with excess of formic acid and formaldehyde. Finally, dibenzylated compound **27** was prepared by double alkylation of **21** with benzyl chloride in 73% yield (Scheme 3).



Scheme 2. Reageants and conditions: (a) LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, THF, reflux, 15 h, 90%; (b) benzaldehyde, NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN, acetic acid, methanol, 18 h; 77%; (c) formaldehyde, NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN, acetic acid, acetonitrile, 4 h; 96%; (d) formaldehyde, formic acid, diethyl ether, 80 °C, 10 h, 68%; (e) anhyd Et<sub>3</sub>N, 1*H*-pyrazol-1-carboxamidine hydrochloride, acetonitrile, reflux, 6 h, 70%; (f) H<sub>2</sub> (1 atm), Pd/C, ethanol, 78%.



Scheme 3. Reageants and conditions: (a) Diphenylphosphorylazide, Et<sub>3</sub>N, toluene, reflux, 3 h; then 6 N HCl, reflux, 24 h, 73%; (b) aldehyde, NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN, ACOH, MeOH, 18 h; 60% for 22a, R = phenyl; 69% for 22b, R = 2-thienyl; (c) 37% aqueous formaldehyde, NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN, AcOH, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 4 h, 88%; (d) MeLi, anhyd Et<sub>2</sub>O, 0 °C to reflux, 16 h, 19%; (e) 37% aqueous formaldehyde, formic acid, Et<sub>2</sub>O, 80 °C, 10 h, 83%; (f) benzyl chloride, NaI, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, reflux, 24 h, 41%; (g) H<sub>2</sub>, Pd/C, EtOH, 89%; (h) NH<sub>2</sub>OH, NaOH, EtOH; then, LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O, reflux, 16 h, 43%.

Moreover, reaction of **20** with methyllithium gave ketone **24** in low yield. Reaction of **24** with hydroxylamine followed by reduction of the obtained oxime with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> gave amine **25**, a compound that can be viewed as a ring contracted analog of the antiviral rimantadine (Scheme 3).

Finally, reduction of the amide **29**, easily available from acid **20**, with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> followed by acidic work-up gave the hydrochloride of amine **30** in 70% overall yield. Following a similar sequence of the previously used with amine **21**, amines **31**, **32**, **33**, and **36** were obtained in high yields. Piperidine derivative **34** was obtained by alkylation of amine **30** with 1,5-dibromopentane in 53% yield. Finally, reaction of **30** with 1*H*-pyrazol-1-carboxamidine led to guanidine **35** in 90% yield (Scheme 4).

The structure of all new compounds was confirmed by elemental analysis or accurate mass measurement, IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, and mass spectral data.

#### 2.2. Trypanocidal activity

The tsetse fly-transmitted protozoan parasite *Trypanosoma brucei* is the causative agent of Human African Trypanosomiasis (HAT). After a major upsurge of the disease in the late 1990s throughout many parts of sub-Saharan Africa, annual infections have now fallen to 70,000 as a result of major surveillance and treatment programmes.<sup>15</sup> However, over 60 million people remain at risk, and in some areas death rates exceed those from HIV/AIDS and malaria. The drugs currently available to treat HAT require administration under medical supervision and are characterized by limited effi-

cacy, toxicity, and resistance. For example, the arsenical drug melarsoprol, which is used to treat late stage disease, can result in a reactive encephalopathy which kills up to 10% of patients. In the absence of treatment, HAT is invariably fatal and new drugs are therefore urgently required. Recently, it was reported that the anti-influenza virus drug rimantadine was active in vitro against bloodstream form *T. brucei*, and that other aminoadamantane derivatives had enhanced activity.<sup>4,16</sup> To extend these observations, we have tested several new bisnoradamantanes and related compounds for activity against bloodstream form *T. brucei*.

The noradamantylamines and [(3-noradamantyl)methyl]amines described in this paper and the birnoradamantyl derivatives **21**, **22a**, **23**, **27**, **28**, **32**, **34**, and **36** were found to have no significant activity against cultured bloodstream from *T. brucei* at concentrations up to 5  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>. Compounds **26**, **30**, and **31** showed transient effect on growth at 5  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>, but cells grew to normal density. Rimantadine analog **25** was the most active of the compounds tested and we established its IC<sub>50</sub> (6.02 ± 0.36  $\mu$ M) and IC<sub>90</sub> (9.48 ± 2.64  $\mu$ M) values. Amine **25** was found to be slightly more active than rimantadine (IC<sub>50</sub> = 7.04 ± 0.12  $\mu$ M; IC<sub>90</sub> = 13.97 ± 1.68  $\mu$ M) and at least 20 times more active than amantadine (IC<sub>50</sub> > 130  $\mu$ M).

#### 2.3. NMDA receptor antagonist activity

NMDA receptor antagonists are highly interesting compounds since these receptors have been involved in several neurodegenerative disorders. In fact, memantine is widely used in therapeutics to slow down the progression of Alzheimer's disease.<sup>17</sup>



Scheme 4. Reageants and conditions: (a) SOCl<sub>2</sub>, reflux, 2 h; then NH<sub>4</sub>OH, CHCl<sub>3</sub>, rt, 15 h, 84%; (b) LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, THF, reflux, 15 h, 83%; (c) benzaldehyde, NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN, AcOH, MeOH, rt, 18 h, 68% (d) 37% aqueous formaldehyde, NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN, AcOH, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, reflux, 4 h, 70%; (e) 37% aqueous formaldehyde, formic acid, Et<sub>2</sub>O, 80 °C, 10 h, 61%; (f) Et<sub>3</sub>N, 1,5-dibromopentane, DMF, 60 °C, 26 h, 53%; (g) Et<sub>3</sub>N, 1*H*-pyrazol-1-carboxamidine, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, reflux, 6 h, 90%; (h) H<sub>2</sub>, Pd/C, EtOH, 38 atm, 85%.

The activity of the different new polycyclic compounds was assayed on cerebellar granule neurons loaded with the calcium-sensitive probe Fura-2.<sup>18</sup> Addition of glutamate or NMDA (100  $\mu$ M) in the presence of glycine (10  $\mu$ M) produced a robust and stable increase in intracellular calcium that was challenged with cumulative additions of the compounds to be tested. Although all the noradamantyl derivatives and several of the bisnoradamantyl compounds were able to inhibit calcium entry through NMDA receptors, none of the compounds was more potent than memantine against glutamate- or NMDA-induced calcium increase in cerebellar granule neurons (Table 1).

In general, the bisnoradamantane derivatives are more potent as NMDA receptor antagonists than the noradamantane amines. For example, amine **21** is 4 times more potent as NMDA receptor antagonist than 3-noradamantylamine, **7**, and the guanidine derivative **35** is 3.5 times more potent than its corresponding noradamantyl analog, **18**.

Bisnoradamantylamines were usually more active than their corresponding (bisnoradamantyl)methylamine analogs as exemplified by the pairs **21/30**, **26/33**, and **28/36**, and alkyl substitution causes a reduction in the potency (e.g., series **21/28/26** or **30/36/33**). The guanidine derivative **35** was the more potent compound, being 10 times more potent than amantadine and 5 times less potent than memantine. Attempts to synthesize a guanidine derivative from **21** were not successful.

#### 2.4. Antiviral activity

None of the synthesized compounds was found to have antiviral activity against the enveloped DNA viruses herpes simplex virus (type 1 or type 2) or vaccinia virus; the enveloped RNA viruses fe-

#### Table 1

IC50 (µM) values for selected polycyclic amines as NMDA antagonists.<sup>a,b</sup>

Compound	Glutamate (100 µM)	NMDA (100 μM)	
7	>500	92 ± 19	
8c	>500	92 ± 30	
8d	>500	87 ± 46	
8f	384 ± 130	17 ± 4	
9	>500	36 ± 2	
10	>500	173 ± 4	
11	>500	45 ± 4	
12	205 ± 25	65 ± 15	
15	>500	138 ± 16	
16	>500	178 ± 25	
18	453 ± 113	25 ± 8	
19	>500	71 ± 6	
21	$274 \pm 68$	23 ± 2.2	
22a	143 ± 62	$70 \pm 9.3^{\circ}$	
22b	204 ± 13	80 ± 15	
25	94 ± 29	37 ± 10	
26	185 ± 69	153 ± 18	
28	NE <sup>d</sup>	35 ± 3	
30	>500	128 ± 39	
31	NA <sup>e</sup>	47 ± 15 <sup>c</sup>	
32	NA	$160 \pm 24^{c}$	
35	104 ± 31	$7.1 \pm 0.4$	
Amantadine	358 ± 130	92 ± 29	
Memantine	55 + 12	$15 \pm 01$	

<sup>a</sup> Functional data were obtained from primary cultures of cerebellar granule neurons using the method described in Section 4 by measuring the intracellular calcium concentration. Cells were challenged with glutamate (2nd column) or NMDA (3rd column) as indicated. Data shown are means ± SEM of at least three separate experiments carried out on three diferent batches of cultured cells.

<sup>b</sup> Compounds **8a**, **8b**, **8e**, **14**, **17**, **23**, **33**, **34**, and **36** were found to have low potency as NMDA receptor antagonists ( $IC_{50} > 200 \text{ mM}$ ), while compound **27** was found not active at the highest concentration tested.

<sup>c</sup> Only 60% maximal inhibition due to insolubility in the assay buffer.

<sup>d</sup> NE, not evaluated.

<sup>e</sup> NA, not active at the highest concentration tested.

#### Table 2

Antiviral activity against influenza virus in MDCK cells.

Compound	Antivi	Antiviral $EC_{50}^{a}$ in $\mu M$		Cytotoxicity (MCC <sup>b</sup> in MDCK)
	A/H1N1	A/H3N2	В	
7	196 ± 109	26.5 ± 22.5	NA <sup>c</sup>	>575
14	36 ± 11	52 ± 16	NA	>530
Amantadine	77 ± 21	2.7 ± 1.1	NA	>100
Rimantadine	29 ± 18	0.85 ± 1.1	NA	>100

<sup>a</sup> EC<sub>50</sub>: compound concentration producing 50% antiviral effect, as determined by microscopic scoring of the virus-induced cytopathic effect.

<sup>b</sup> MCC, minimum cytotoxic concentration, or compound concentration causing minimal changes in cell morphology.

<sup>c</sup> NA, not active at subtoxic concentrations, or at the highest concentration tested.

line coronavirus, parainfluenza-3 virus, respiratory syncytial virus, vesicular stomatitis virus, sindbis virus, or Punta Toro virus; or the non-enveloped RNA viruses Coxsackievirus B4 and Reovirus-1. In the influenza virus assays, only compounds **7** and **14**, two primary amines, displayed reasonable activity against the influenza A/H1N1 and A/H3N2 subtypes, secondary and tertiary amines were not active (Table 2). The antiviral data obtained by microscopy were confirmed by a colorimetric cell viability assay (data not shown). The highest selectivity was noted with compound **7** tested against the A/H3N2 subtype. As anticipated, all compounds proved to be inactive against influenza B virus, which is known to be insensitive to amantadine and rimantadine.

#### 2.5. Dopamine

It is known that amantadine increases extracellular dopamine levels by antagonism of the NMDA receptor,<sup>19</sup> although the exact mechanism has not been fully elucidated. As several of our new amines showed NMDA receptor antagonist activity with  $IC_{50}$  similar or even lower than amantadine, we have determined their effect on [<sup>3</sup>H]dopamine uptake in rat striatal synaptosomes (Table 3). At the concentration tested (100 µM), several of the compounds were able to inhibit [<sup>3</sup>H]dopamine uptake in some manner, show-

Table 3 Effect of compounds on dopamine uptake (at 100  $\mu$ M).

Compound	$[^{3}H]$ Dopamine uptake, % control ± SEM ( $n = 3$ )	
7	84.9 ± 1.6	
8a	31.7 ± 6.1	
8b	63.9 ± 4.2	
8c	58.3 ± 2.9	
8d	45.8 ± 0.5	
8e	81.1 ± 8.5	
8f	47.7 ± 4.2	
9	47.2 ± 3.4	
10	71.3 ± 2.6	
11	$68.5 \pm 2.3$	
12	$65.2 \pm 4.8$	
14	50.1 ± 3.5	
15	57.9 ± 4.6	
16	45.0 ± 2.5	
17	$62.5 \pm 1.2$	
18	118.7 ± 7.3	
19	76.3 ± 3.1	
21	54.8 ± 14.3	
25	72.2 ± 10.9	
26	75.2 ± 6.9	
27	74.3 ± 5.3	
28	$74.6 \pm 6.6$	
30	39.1 ± 2.1	
34	39.8 ± 7.4	
36	57.3 ± 6.7	
Amantadine	$47.4 \pm 2.0$	
Memantine	53.7 ± 2.6	

ing similar values of inhibition than amantadine or memantine. However, it seems that no correlation exists with their potency as antagonists at the NMDA receptor. Probably, other mechanisms are being involved in the regulation of dopamine release, like different activities at D<sub>2</sub> receptors or through inhibition at the dopamine transporter.

#### 3. Conclusions

In summary, we have synthesized and fully characterized several (3-noradamantyl)amines, (3-noradamantyl)methylamines, (3-bisnoradamantyl)amines, and (3-bisnoradamantyl)methylamines. Although these compounds were less potent than memantine against NMDA-induced calcium increase in cerebellar granule neurons, several compounds were more potent than amantadine, the bisnoradamantane amines being more potent than the corresponding noradamantane amines. Interestingly, none of those compounds showed antiviral activity, while compound **14**, that displayed reasonable activity against the influenza A/H1N1 and A/H3N2 subtypes, showed no NMDA receptor antagonist activity. Moreover, none of the compounds were significantly more potent, at the tested concentration, than amantadine or memantine as inhibitors of the dopamine uptake.

Amantadine displays both anti-influenza activity and NMDA receptor antagonism. As selectivity is usually highly desirable in drugs, the amines herein reported open the way for the design of new aminopolycyclic compounds with selective anti-influenza or NMDA receptor antagonist activity.

Interestingly, amine **25**, that is 2.5 times more potent than amantadine as NMDA receptor antagonist, also displayed trypanocidal activity, being slightly more active than rimantadine and at least 21 times more active than amantadine.

Guanidine **35** is a polycyclic cage compound with selective NMDA receptor antagonist activity ( $IC_{50} = 7.1 \mu M$ ) without antiviral and trypanocidal activities.

The synthesis and pharmacological evaluation of more polycyclic cage amines is in progress to reach more potent and selective derivatives.

#### 4. Experimental

#### 4.1. Chemistry

#### 4.1.1. General

Melting points were determined in open capillary tubes. Unless otherwise stated, NMR spectra were recorded in CD<sub>3</sub>OD in the following spectrometers: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz), <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz). Chemical shifts  $(\delta)$  are reported in ppm related to internal tetramethylsilane (TMS). Assignments given for the NMR spectra are based on DEPT, COSY <sup>1</sup>H/<sup>1</sup>H, and HETCOR <sup>1</sup>H/<sup>13</sup>C (HSQC and HMBC sequences for one bond and long range  ${}^{1}H/{}^{13}C$  heterocorrelations, respectively) and NOESY experiments for selected compounds. For the MS and GC/MS analyses the sample was introduced directly or through a gas chromatograph. For GC/MS analyses a 30-m column [5% diphenyl-95% dimethylpolysiloxane, conditions: 10 psi, initial temperature: 35 °C (2 min), then heating at a range of 8 °C/min till 300 °C, then isothermic at 300 °C] was used. The electron impact (70 eV) or chemical ionization (CH<sub>4</sub>) techniques were used. Only significant ions are given: those with higher relative ratio, except for the ions with higher m/z values. Accurate mass measurements were obtained using ESI technic. Absorption values in the IR spectra (KBr) are given as wave-numbers (cm<sup>-1</sup>). Column chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 Å (35–70 mesh). For the thin-layer chromatography (TLC) aluminum-backed sheets with silica gel 60 F<sub>254</sub> were used and spots were visualized with UV light and/or 1% aqueous solutions of KMnO<sub>4</sub>.

### 4.1.2. *N*-Benzyl(tricyclo[3.3.1.0<sup>3,7</sup>]non-3-yl)amine hydrochloride (8a·HCl)

To a solution of 7 HCl (600 mg, 3.46 mmol) in MeOH (10 mL), NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (95%, 445 mg, 6.72 mmol), AcOH (0.3 mL), and benzaldehyde (0.5 mL, 4.92 mmol) were added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. An additional portion of NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (95%, 220 mg, 3.33 mmol) and benzaldehyde (0.25 mL, 2.46 mmol) were added, the mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight and concentrated to dryness. Water (20 mL) was added to the residue, the suspension was basified with 1 N NaOH and was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10$  mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine  $(2 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ , dried with anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was taken in EtOAc and the amine 8a was precipitated as its hydrochloride (839 mg, 92% vield) by adding an excess of Et<sub>2</sub>O·HCl. The analytical sample of 8a·HCl was obtained by crystallization from MeOH, mp >300 °C (dec). IR: 2942, 2757, 2656, 2600, 2428, 2363, 2340, 1458, 1425, 1331, 728, 694 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.64 (dquint, I = 13.2 Hz, I' = 2.5 Hz, 1H, 9-H<sub>svn</sub>), 1.73 (dd,  $J = 11.0 \text{ Hz}, J' = 2.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, 6(8)-\text{H}_{\alpha}$ ], 1.75 (overlapped dm, 1H, 9-H<sub>anti</sub>), 2.04 [m, 2H, 6(8)-H<sub>B</sub>], 2.10 [m, 2H, 2(4)-H<sub>B</sub>], 2.14 [dd,  $I = 10.5 \text{ Hz}, I' = 2.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H, 2(4)-H_{\alpha}$ , 2.45 [broad s, 2H, 1(5)-H], 2.48  $[tt, J = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, J' = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, 7\text{-H}], 4.21 (s, 2\text{H}, CH_2C_6H_5), 7.44-7.50$ [complex signal, 3H, Ar-3(5)-H, and Ar-4-H], 7.55 [m, 2H, Ar-2(6)-H]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR 35.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C9), 38.8 [CH, C1(5)], 43.66 (CH, C7), 43.73 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C6(8)], 46.3 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(4)], 48.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 71.9 (C, C3), 130.3 [CH, Ar-C3(5)], 130.6 (CH, Ar-C4), 130.9 [CH, Ar-C2(4)], 133.2 (C, Ar-C1). MS (EI), m/z (%): 228 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 30), 227 (20), 185 ([M-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 83), 184 (52), 91 (C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>7</sub><sup>+</sup>, 100). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N HCl (263.81): C, 72.85; H, 8.41; N, 5.31; Cl, 13.44. Found: C, 72.90; H, 8.31; N, 5.18; Cl, 13.96.

### 4.1.3. *N*-(4-Methoxybenzyl)(tricyclo[3.3.1.0<sup>3,7</sup>]non-3-yl)amine hydrochloride (8b·HCl)

From **7**·HCl (173 mg, 1.00 mmol), NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (95%, 142 mg, 2.15 mmol). AcOH (0.3 mL). and 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (0.18 mL, 1.48 mmol) in MeOH (7 mL) and following the above procedure, 8b HCl was obtained (233 mg, 79% yield). The analytical sample of **8b** HCl was obtained by crystallization from 2-propanol, mp >300 °C (dec). IR: 2926, 2794, 2751, 2716, 2678, 2592, 2578, 2443, 1613, 1588, 1514, 1460, 1440, 1333, 1306, 1272, 1248, 1178, 1040, 993, 826, 814, 767 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.63 (dquint, I = 13.1 Hz, J' = 2.5 Hz, 1H, 9-H<sub>svn</sub>), 1.72 [dd, J = 11.5 Hz, J' = 2.2 Hz, 2H, 6(8)- $H_{\alpha}$ ], 1.74 (overlapped dm, 1H, 9- $H_{anti}$ ), 2.00–2.09 [complex signal, 4H, 2(4)-H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>, and 6(8)-H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>], 2.12 [dd, *J* = 10.5 Hz, *J'* = 2.2 Hz, 2H, 2(4)-H<sub>a</sub>], 2.43–2.46 [complex signal, 3H, 1(5)-H, and 7-H], 3.82 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.14 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 7.01 [m, 2H, Ar-3(5)-H], 7.45 [m, 2H, Ar-2(6)-H]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz) 35.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C9), 38.8 [CH, C1(5)], 43.7 (CH, C7), 43.7 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C6(8)], 46.4 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(4)], 48.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 55.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 71.6 (C, C3), 115.6 [CH, Ar-C3(5)], 124.9 (C, Ar-C1), 132.4 [CH, Ar-C2(6)], 162.1 (C, Ar-C4). MS (EI), m/z (%): 257 (M<sup>+</sup>, 38), 214 ([M-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 48), 121 [(CH<sub>3</sub>OC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>)<sup>+</sup>, 100]. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO·1.05 HCl (295.7): C, 69.06; H, 8.20; N, 4.74; Cl, 12.59. Found: C, 68.69; H, 8.19; N, 4.70: Cl. 12.73.

### 4.1.4. *N*-(2-Methoxybenzyl)(tricyclo[3.3.1.0<sup>3,7</sup>]non-3-yl)amine hydrochloride (8c·HCl)

From **7**·HCl (173 mg, 1.00 mmol), NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (95%, 142 mg, 2.15 mmol), AcOH (0.3 mL), and 2-methoxybenzaldehyde (0.18 mL, 1.46 mmol) in MeOH (7 mL) and following the procedure described for **8a**, **8c**·HCl was obtained (128 mg, 44% yield). The analytical sample of **8c**·HCl was obtained by crystallization from 2-propanol, mp 262–263 °C. IR: 2956, 2921, 2717, 2678, 2582, 2433,

1605, 1584, 1496, 1466, 1456, 1446, 1435, 1332, 1292, 1253, 1121, 1050, 1031, 771, 752 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.63 (dquint, I = 13.4 Hz, l' = 2.5 Hz, 1H, 9-H<sub>syn</sub>), 1.73 [dd, ] = 11.0 Hz, ]' = 2.5 Hz, 2H, 6(8)- $H_{\alpha}$ ], 1.76 (overlapped dm, 1H, 9- $H_{anti}$ ), 1.99–2.05 [complex signal, 2H, 6(8)-H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>], 2.07 [dm, J = 10.5 Hz, 2H, 2(4)-H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>], 2.14 [dd, J = 10.5 Hz, J' = 2.5 Hz, 2H, 2(4)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>], 2.44 [broad s, 2H, 1(5)-H], 2.49 (tt, J = 6.7 Hz, J' = 1.7 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.94 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.19 (s, 2H,  $CH_2C_6H_5$ ), 7.03 (td, J = 7.7 Hz, J' = 1.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-5-H), 7.11 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-3-H), 7.42 (dd, *J* = 7.2 Hz, *J*' = 1.7 Hz, 1H, Ar-6-H), 7.46 (ddd, J = 8.4 Hz, J' = 7.4 Hz, J'' = 1.6 Hz, 1H, Ar-4-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 35.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C9), 38.7 [CH, C1(5)], 43.5 (CH, C7), 43.8 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C6(8)], 44.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 46.3 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(4)], 56.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 71.9 (C, C3), 112.1 (CH, Ar-C3), 121.1 (C, Ar-C1), 122.0 (CH, Ar-C5), 132.6 (CH, Ar-C6), 132.7 (CH, Ar-C4), 159.4 (C, Ar-C2). MS (EI), m/z (%): 257 (M<sup>+</sup>, 35), 214 ([M-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 57), 121 ([CH<sub>3</sub>OC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 100), 91 (55). Anal. Calcd for C17H23NO·HCl (293.83): C, 69.49; H, 8.23; N, 4.77: Cl. 12.07. Found: C. 69.21: H. 8.29: N. 4.80: Cl. 12.32.

### 4.1.5. *N*-(3-Methoxybenzyl)(tricyclo[3.3.1.0<sup>3,7</sup>]non-3-yl)amine hydrochloride (8d·HCl)

From 7·HCl (173 mg, 1.00 mmol), NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (95%, 142 mg, 2.15 mmol), AcOH (0.3 mL), and 3-methoxybenzaldehyde (0.18 mL, 1.45 mmol) in MeOH (7 mL) and following the procedure described for 8a, 8d HCl was obtained (228 mg, 78% yield). The analytical sample of 8d HCl was obtained by crystallization from 2-propanol, mp >257 °C (dec). IR: 3002, 2923, 2873, 2792, 2734, 2708, 2678, 2585, 2446, 1606, 1599, 1588, 1494, 1460, 1437, 1331, 1306, 1272, 1256, 1174, 1035, 851, 794 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.63 (dquint, I = 13.3 Hz, J' = 2.6 Hz, 1H, 9-H<sub>syn</sub>), 1.72 (broad d, J = 11.0 Hz, 2H, 6(8)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>), 1.74 (overlapped dm, 1H, 9-H<sub>anti</sub>), 2.05 [m, 2H, 6(8)-H<sub>B</sub>], 2.09–2.14 [complex signal, 4H, 2(4)-H $_{\alpha}$ , and 2(4)-H $_{\beta}$ ], 2.44 [broad s, 2H, 1(5)-H], 2.50 (tt, *J* = 1.6 Hz, *J*' = 6.7 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 3.84 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.18 (s, 2H,  $CH_2C_6H_5$ ), 7.00 (ddd, I = 8.5 Hz, I' = 2.5 Hz, I'' = 1.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-4-H), 7.12 (dt, / = 7.5 Hz, / = 1.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-6-H), 7.17 (t, / = 2.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-2-H), 7.38 (pseudo t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-5-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 35.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C9), 38.8 [CH, C1(5)], 43.66 (CH, C7), 43.72 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C6(8)], 46.3 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(4)], 48.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 55.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 71.9 (C, C3), 116.0 (CH, Ar-C4), 116.4 (CH, Ar-C2), 122.8 (CH, Ar-C6), 131.5 (CH, Ar-C5), 134.5 (C, Ar-C1), 161.8 (C, Ar-3). MS (EI), *m*/*z* (%): 258 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 31), 257 (M<sup>+</sup>, 25], 215 ([M-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 55), 214 ([M-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 41), 121 ([CH<sub>3</sub>OC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 100], 91 (17). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO·1.1HCl· 0.1H<sub>2</sub>O (299.28): C, 68.23; H, 8.18; N, 4.68; Cl, 13.03. Found: C, 68.13; H, 8.34; N, 4.69; Cl, 12.91.

### 4.1.6. *N*-(4-Fluorobenzyl)(tricyclo[3.3.1.0<sup>3,7</sup>]non-3-yl)amine hydrochloride (8e-HCl)

From 7.HCl (150 mg, 0.86 mmol), NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (95%, 125 mg, 1.89 mmol), AcOH (0.3 mL), and 4-fluorobenzaldehyde (0.14 mL, 1.31 mmol) in MeOH (8 mL) and following the procedure described for 8a, 8e HCl was obtained (186 mg, 77% yield). The analytical sample of **8e**·HCl was obtained by crystallization from ethyl acetate, mp >300 °C (dec). IR: 2947, 2920, 2797, 2754, 2580, 2446, 1604, 1590, 1514, 1457, 1440, 1429, 1334, 1232, 1163, 1127, 830, 778 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.64 (dquint, J = 13.2 Hz, J' = 2.5 Hz, 1H, 9-H<sub>syn</sub>), 1.73 (dd, J = 11.0 Hz, J' = 2.5 Hz, 2H, 6(8)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>), 1.76 (overlapped dm, 1H, 9-H<sub>anti</sub>), 2.00-2.06 [m, 2H, 6(8)-H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>], 2.08 [dm, J = 10.5 Hz, 2H,  $2(4)-H_{\beta}$ ], 2.13 [dd, J = 10.2 Hz, J' = 1.7 Hz, 2H, 2(4)-H<sub>{\alpha}</sub>], 2.45 [broad s, 2H, 1(5)-H], 2.47 [overlapped tt, *J* = 6.7 Hz, *J*' = 1.7 Hz, 1H, 7-H], 4.21 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 7.22 (tt, J = 8.7 Hz, J' = 2.2 Hz, 2H, Ar-3(5)-H), 7.58 (m, 2H, Ar-2(6)-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 35.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C9), 38.8 [CH, C1(5)], 43.67 (CH, C7), 43.72 [CH2, C6(8)], 46.3 [CH2, C2(4)], 48.0  $(CH_2, CH_2-C_6H_5)$ , 71.8 (C, C3), 117.1 [CH, d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 22.4 Hz, Ar-C3(5)], 129.3 (C, Ar-C1), 133.3 [CH, d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 9.0 Hz, Ar-C2(6)], 164.8 (C, d,  ${}^{1}J_{CF}$  = 247.7 Hz, Ar-C4). MS (EI), m/z (%): 245 (M<sup>+</sup>, 32), 203 (16), 202 ([M-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 100), 109 ([FC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 100), 106 (20).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{16}H_{20}NF \cdot 1.1HCl \cdot 0.33H_2O$  (291.45): C, 65.94; H, 7.53; N, 4.81; Cl, 13.38; F, 6.52. Found: C, 66.01; H, 7.67; N, 4.83; Cl, 13.31; F, 6.34.

### 4.1.7. *N*-(2-Thenyl)(tricyclo[3.3.1.0<sup>3,7</sup>]non-3-yl)amine hydrochloride (8f·HCl)

From 7·HCl (150 mg, 0.86 mmol), NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (95%, 125 mg, 1.89 mmol), AcOH (0.3 mL), and 2-thiophenecarbaldehyde (0.12 mL, 1.37 mmol) in MeOH (8 mL) and following the procedure described for 8a, 8f HCl was obtained (145 mg, 66% yield). The analytical sample of 8f HCl was obtained by crystallization from EtOAc, mp 278 °C (dec). IR: 2939 2917, 2790, 2747, 2442, 1589, 1457, 1440, 1429, 1334, 1256, 1194, 1126, 984, 854, 695 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.64 (dquint, *J* = 13.0 Hz, *J*' = 2.5 Hz, 1H, 9-H<sub>syn</sub>), 1.73 (dd, J = 11.0 Hz, J' = 2.5 Hz, 2 H, 6(8)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>), 1.75 (overlapped dm, 1H, 9- $H_{anti}$ ), 2.00–2.05 [m, 2H, 6(8)- $H_{B}$ ], 2.07 [dm, J = 10.0 Hz, 2H, 2(4)- $H_{B}$ ], 2.12 [dd, I = 10.0 Hz, I' = 2.5 Hz, 2H, 2(4)- $H_{\alpha}$ ], 2.44 [broad s, 2H, 1(5)-H], 2.46 (overlapped tt, *J* = 8.5 Hz, *J*' = 2.0 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 4.46 (s, 2H,  $CH_2C_4H_3S$ ), 7.12 (dd, J = 5.0 Hz, J' = 4.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-4-H), 7.34 (dm, /= 3.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-3-H), 7.57 (dd, /= 5.0 Hz, / = 1.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-5-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 35.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C9), 38.8 [CH, C1(5)], 42.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>3</sub>S), 43.7 (CH, C7), 43.7 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C6(8)], 46.2 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(4)], 71.8 (C, C3), 128.7 (CH, Ar-C4), 129.2 (CH, Ar-C5), 131.5 (CH, Ar-C3), 134.0 (C, Ar-C1). MS (EI), *m*/*z* (%): 233 (M<sup>+</sup>, 56), 190  $([M-C_3H_7]^+, 78)$ , 106 (45), 97  $[(C_4H_3SCH_2)^+, 100]$ . Anal. Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NS 1.05HCl 0.25H<sub>2</sub>O (276.17): C, 60.89; H, 7.50; N, 5.07; S, 11.61; Cl, 13.48. Found: C, 60.99; H, 7.59; N, 5.09; S, 11.28; Cl, 13.49.

### 4.1.8. *N*-Benzyl-*N*-methyl(tricyclo[3.3.1.0<sup>3,7</sup>]non-3-yl)amine hydrochloride (9·HCl)

To a solution of 8a·HCl (395 mg, 1.5 mmol) in acetonitrile (10 mL), formaldehyde (1.18 mL, 37% wt. in water solution, 15 mmol), and NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (95%, 238 mg, 4.28 mmol) were added. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature, AcOH (0.3 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. An additional portion of NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (95%, 283 mg, 4.28 mmol) was added and the mixture was further stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The mixture was concentrated to drvness, 2 N NaOH (20 mL) was added and the suspension was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3× 20 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with  $H_2O$  (2× 20 mL), dried with anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was taken in EtOAc and the amine 9 was precipitated as its hydrochloride (327 mg, 91% yield) by adding an excess of Et<sub>2</sub>O·HCl. The analytical sample of 9 HCl was obtained by crystallization from 2-propanol, mp 258-259 °C (dec). IR: 3042, 2927, 2872, 2850, 2608, 2553, 2520, 2487, 2472, 2391, 1498, 1458, 1405, 1332, 994, 748, 696  $\rm cm^{-1}.$   $^1\rm H$  NMR 1.64 (dquint, *J* = 13.5 Hz, *J*′ = 2.6 Hz, 1H, 9-H<sub>syn</sub>), 1.78 (dd, *J* = 11.5 Hz, *J*′ = 3.0 Hz, 2 H, 6(8)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>), 1.79 (dm, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H, 9-H<sub>anti</sub>), 2.09–2.29 [complex signal, 6 H, 6(8)-H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>, 2(4)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>, and 2(4)-H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>], 2.50 [broad s, 2 H, 1(5)-H], 2.73 (s, 3 H, N-CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.75 (tt, J = 7.0 Hz, J' = 2.0 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 4.09 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H) and 4.60 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H) (CH<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 7.49-7.53 [complex signal, 3 H, Ar-3(5)-H and Ar-4-H], 7.59 [m, 2 H, Ar-2(6)H]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR 35.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C9), 36.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>, NCH3), 38.8 [CH, C1(5)], 42.8 (CH, C7), 43.8 [CH2, C6(8)], 44.4 (broad signal, CH<sub>2</sub>) and 45.4 (broad signal, CH<sub>2</sub>) (C2 and C4), 58.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 79.9 [C, C3), 130.3 (CH, Ar-C3(5)], 131.1 (CH, Ar-C4), 131.3 (C, Ar-C1), 132.4 [C, Ar-C2(6)]. MS (EI), m/z (%): 241  $(M^{+}, 70), 199 (25), 198 ([M-C_{3}H_{7}]^{+}, 100), 185 (40), 120 (17), 91$ (96). Anal. Calcd for C17H23N·HCl (277.84): C, 73.49; H, 8.71; N, 5.04; Cl, 12.76. Found: C, 73.61; H, 8.74; N, 5.04; Cl, 12.99.

### **4.1.9.** *N*-(Tricyclo[3.3.1.0<sup>3,7</sup>]non-3-yl)piperidine hydrochloride (11 HCl)

To a solution of 7·HCl (173 mg, 1.00 mmol) in DMF (2.5 mL), anhyd Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.4 mL, 2.9 mmol) was added and the suspension was

9931

stirred at room temperature for 2 h. 1,5-Dibromopentane (0.17 mL, 1.2 mmol) was added and the mixture was heated at 60 °C for 26 h. To the cold mixture, water (15 mL) was added and the solution was washed with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10$  mL). The aqueous phase was basified with 2 N NaOH (5 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate (3×10 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with water  $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ , dried with anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and excess of Et<sub>2</sub>O·HCl was added. The solution was concentrated in vacuo to dryness to give 11 HCl (117 mg, 48% yield). The analytical sample of **11** HCl was obtained by crystallization from MeOH/Et<sub>2</sub>O, mp >264 °C (dec). IR: 2941, 2930, 2871, 2851, 2634, 2530, 2451, 2401, 1471, 1455, 1351, 1333, 1187, 1124, 1016, 992, 858, 708 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.54 (dm, J = 13.0 Hz, 1H, 4'-H<sub>ax</sub>), 1.62 (dquint, J = 13.3 Hz, 1H, 9-H<sub>syn</sub>), 1.72 [dd, J = 11.5 Hz, J' = 3.0 Hz, 2H, 6(8)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>], 1.74 (dm,  $J = 13.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, 9-\text{H}_{\text{anti}}$ , 1.84-2.02 [complex signal, 9 H, 6(8)-H<sub>β</sub>, 2(4)-H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>, 4'-H<sub>eq</sub>, 3'(5')-H<sub>ax</sub>, and 3'(5')-H<sub>eq</sub>], 2.15 [dm, J = 10.0 Hz, 2H, 2(4)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>], 2.44 [broad signal, 2H, 1(5)-H], 2.65 [tt, *J* = 6.7 Hz, J' = 1.8 Hz, 1H, 7-H], 3.05 [broad t, J = 12.0 Hz, 2H, 2'(6')-H<sub>ax</sub>], 3.51  $[d, J = 12.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, 2'(6')-\text{H}_{eq}]$ . <sup>13</sup>C NMR 23.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C4'), 24.6 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C3'(5')], 35.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C9), 38.7 [CH, C1(5)], 42.0 (CH, C7), 43.7 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C6(8)], 44.8 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(4)], 51.0 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2'(6')], 78.8 (C, C3). MS (EI), m/z (%): 205 (M<sup>+</sup>, 15), 163 (14), 162 ([M-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 100), 149 (26). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N·HCl (241.80): C, 69.54; H, 10.00; N, 5.79. Found: C, 69.60; H, 10.0; N, 5.78.

### 4.1.10. *N*-Methyl(tricyclo[3.3.1.0<sup>3,7</sup>]non-3-yl)amine hydrochloride (12·HCl)

A solution of 9 HCl (275 mg, 0.99 mmol) and 5% Pd/C (50% in water, 10 mg) in absolute EtOH (25 mL) was hydrogenated at 1 atm for 24 h. The suspension was filtered, the residue was washed with EtOH, and the organic layer was concentrated in vacuo to give a solid. Crystallization from MeOH/Et<sub>2</sub>O gave 12 HCl (165 mg, 89% yield), mp 165-166 °C. IR: 2947, 2922, 2870, 2856, 2763, 2728, 2692, 2587, 2516, 2458, 1466, 1420, 1348, 1332, 1311, 1258, 1160, 1111, 1094, 1060, 1018, 996, 630 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.61 (dquint, J = 13.0 Hz, J' = 2.5 Hz, 1H, 9-H<sub>svn</sub>), 1.71 (dd,  $l = 12.0 \text{ Hz}, l' = 2.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, 6(8)-\text{H}_{\alpha}$ , 1.74 (overlapped m, 1H, 9-H<sub>anti</sub>), 1.96-2.03 [complex signal, 6H, 6(8)-H<sub>B</sub>, 2(4)-H<sub>a</sub>, and 2(4)-H<sub>B</sub>], 2.42 [complex signal, 3H, 1(5)-H, and 7-H], 2.68 (s, 3H, N-CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 29.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>, N-CH<sub>3</sub>), 34.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C9), 38.7 [CH, C1(5)], 43.1 (CH, C7), 43.8 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C6(8)], 45.8 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(4)], 71.5 (C, C3). MS (EI), m/z (%): 151 (M<sup>+</sup>, 15), 108 ([M-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 100). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N·HCl·0.33H<sub>2</sub>O (193.66): C, 62.02; H, 9.71; N, 7.23. Found: C, 62.13; H, 9.63; N, 7.20.

## 4.1.11. [(Tricyclo[3.3.1.0<sup>3,7</sup>]non-3-yl)methyl]amine hydrochloride (14 HCl)

To a cold (0 °C) solution of 13 (600 mg, 3.64 mmol) in anhyd THF (50 mL), LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (443 mg, 11.1 mmol) was added and the suspension was heated under reflux for 15 h. The suspension was cooled (ice bath), carefully basified with 10 N NaOH (10 mL), and stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The precipitate was filtered and washed with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3× 25 mL). The combined filtrate and washings were dried with anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and excess of Et<sub>2</sub>O·HCl was added. The solution was concentrated in vacuo to give a solid that was crystallized from MeOH/Et<sub>2</sub>O to give 14 HCl (613 mg, 90% yield), mp >300 °C (dec). IR: 3019, 2926, 2867, 1597, 1499, 1384, 1337, 1116, 981, 864 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.61–1.72 (complex signal, 6H, 9-H<sub>syn</sub>, 9-H<sub>anti</sub>, 6(8)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>, and 2(4)-H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>], 1.75  $[dd, J = 10.5 \text{ Hz}, J' = 3.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, 2(4)-\text{H}_{\alpha}], 1.81 [m, 2\text{H}, 6(8)-\text{H}_{\beta}],$ 2.18 [t, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H, 7-H], 2.29 [broad signal, 2H, 1(5)-H], 3.08 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>N). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 35.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C9), 38.9 [CH, C1(5)], 43.2 (CH, C7), 44.6 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C6(8)], 47.4 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(4)], 48.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 48.8 (C, C3). MS (EI), m/z (%): 153 (24), 152 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 36], 151 (20), 135  $[(C_{10}H_{15})^{\dagger}, 53], 134 (70), 119 (29), 96 (45), 95 (59), 94 (33),$ 93 (57), 92 (100), 91 (58), 79 (74), 77 (40). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N·HCl (187.71): C, 63.99; H, 9.66; N, 7.46; Cl, 18.89. Found: C, 64.07; H, 9.66; N, 7.43; Cl, 18.97.

### 4.1.12. *N*-Benzyl[(tricyclo[3.3.1.0<sup>3,7</sup>]non-3-yl)methyl]amine hydrochloride (15<sup>.</sup>HCl)

From 14 HCl (500 mg, 2.67 mmol), MeOH (10 mL), NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (95%, 380 mg, 5.74 mmol), AcOH (0.3 mL), benzaldehyde (0.4 mL, 3.92 mmol), an additional portion of NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (95%, 190 mg, 2.87 mmol), and benzaldehyde (0.2 mL, 1.96 mmol) and following the procedure described for 8a, 15 HCl was obtained (567 mg, 77% yield). The analytical sample of 15 HCl was obtained by crystallization from EtOAc, mp >277 °C (dec). IR: 2931, 2865, 2781, 1588, 1446, 750, 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.58–1.70 [complex signal, 6H, 2(4)-H\_{\beta}, 6(8)-H\_{\alpha}, and 9-H\_{syn} and 9-H\_{anti}], 1.76–1.81 [complex signal, 4H, 2(4)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> and 6(8)-H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>], 2.15 [t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H, 7-H], 2.27 [broad s, 2H, 1(5)-H], 3.11 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-N), 4.28 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 7.45-7.50 [complex signal, 3H, Ar-4-H and Ar-3(5)-H], 7.55-7.57 [m, 2H, Ar-2(6)-H]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR 35.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C9), 39.0 [CH, C1(5)], 44.0 (CH, C7), 44.4 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C6(8)], 47.8 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(4)], 48.4 (C, C3), 53.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 55.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 130.3 [CH, Ar-C3(5)], 130.8 (CH, Ar-C4), 131.5 [CH, Ar-C2(6)], 132.0 (C, Ar-C1). MS (EI), m/z (%): 241 ( $M^{+}$ , 6), 240 ( $[M-H]^{+}$ , 8), 150 [ $(C_{10}H_{16}N)^{+}$ , 10], 120 (54), 106  $[(C_7H_8N)^+, 58), 91 [(C_7H_7)^+, 100]$ . Anal. Calcd for C17H23N 1.1HCl 0.1H2O (283.3): C, 72.08; H, 8.65; N, 4.94; Cl, 13.77. Found: C, 72.03; H, 8.56; N, 4.85, Cl, 13.94.

### 4.1.13. *N*-Benzyl-*N*-methyl[(tricyclo[3.3.1.0<sup>3.7</sup>]non-3-yl)methyl]amine hydrochloride (16-HCl)

From 15 HCl (465 mg, 1.67 mmol), acetonitrile (10 mL), formaldehyde (1.31 mL, 37% wt. in water solution, 16.7 mmol), and two portions of NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (95%, 314 mg, 4.75 mmol) and following the procedure described for 9, the amine 16 was obtained (411 mg, 96% yield). Its hydrochloride was obtained by adding an excess of Et<sub>2</sub>O·HCl to a solution of the amine in EtOAc, followed by concentration in vacuo to dryness. The analytical sample of 16 HCl was obtained by crystallization from EtOAc. mp 251–252 °C. IR: 3042. 2924, 2865, 2698, 2641, 2550, 2530, 1458, 1423, 1337, 1085, 906, 745, 701 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.46 [d, I = 9.0 Hz, 1H, 2-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> or 4-H<sub>a</sub>], 1.59–1.70 [complex signal, 4H, 6-H<sub>a</sub>, 8-H<sub>a</sub>, 9-H<sub>svn</sub>, and 9-H<sub>anti</sub>], 1.71–1.78 [complex signal, 3H, 4-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> or 2-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>, 6-H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>, and 8-H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>], 1.87 [m, 2 H, 2-H<sub>B</sub> and 4-H<sub>B</sub>], 2.10 [t, I = 6.7 Hz, 1H, 7-H], 2.27 (broad s, 1H) and 2.30 (broad s, 1H) (1-H and 5-H), 2.94 (s, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>-N), 3.32 (d, *J* = 13.5 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>a</sub>N), 3.46 (d, *J* = 13.5 Hz, 1H,  $CH_bN$ ), 4.37 (d, I = 12.2 Hz, 1H,  $CH_aC_6H_5$ ), 4.43 (d, I = 12.2 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>b</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 7.50–7.52 [complex signal, 3H, Ar-4-H and Ar-3(5)-H], 7.59 [m, 2H, Ar-2(6)H]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR 35.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C9), 39.1 (CH) and 39.4 [CH, C1 and C5], 43.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>-N), 44.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C6, and C8), 46.0 (CH, C7), 48.6 (C, C3), 48.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C2, and C4), 62.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 65.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>-N), 130.4 [CH, Ar-C3(5)], 130.9 (C, Ar-C1), 131.4 (CH, Ar-C4), 132.6 [CH, Ar-C2(6)]. MS (EI), m/z (%): 255 (M<sup>+</sup>, 10), 135 (17), 134 ([C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N(CH<sub>3</sub>)=CH<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 100), 120  $([C_6H_5CH=NHCH_3]^+, 34), 91 [(C_7H_7)^+, 89].$  Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N·HCl (291.86): C, 74.07; H, 8.98; N, 4.80; Cl, 12.15. Found: C, 74.15; H, 8.96; N, 4.81; Cl, 12.43.

#### 4.1.14. *N*,*N*-Dimethyl[(tricyclo[3.3.1.0<sup>3,7</sup>]non-3-yl)methyl]amine hydrochloride (17 HCl)

To a cold (0 °C) solution of **14** (128 mg, 0.68 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL), formaldehyde (1.38 mL, 37% wt. in water solution, 17.6 mmol) and, dropwise, formic acid (1.17 mL, 30.5 mmol) were added and the mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 10 h. To the cold mixture Et<sub>2</sub>O (15 mL) was added, 5 N NaOH (5 mL) was added dropwise and the suspension was stirred at room temperature for 15 min. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (4× 10 mL). The combined organic phases were dried with anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and an excess of Et<sub>2</sub>O·HCl

was added. Concentration in vacuo gave **17**·HCl (100 mg, 68% yield). The analytical sample of **17**·HCl was obtained by crystallization from MeOH/Et<sub>2</sub>O, mp >260 °C (dec). IR (KBr): 2930, 2915, 2868, 2847, 2681, 2570, 2468, 2360, 1471, 1413, 1338, 1306, 1225, 1062, 971, 946 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.62–1.71 [complex signal, 4H, 9-H<sub>syn</sub>, 9-H<sub>anti</sub>, and 6(8)-H<sub>α</sub>], 1.71–1.76 [dm, *J* = 10.5 Hz, 2H, 2(4)-H<sub>β</sub>], 1.83 [m, 2H, 6(8)-H<sub>β</sub>], 1.87 [dd, *J* = 10.5 Hz, *J'* = 3.0 Hz, 2H, 2(4)-H<sub>α</sub>], 2.19 [t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H, 7-H], 2.32 [broad signal, 2H, 1(5)-H], 2.95 [s, 6H, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 3.38 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>N). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 35.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C9), 39.2 [CH, C1(5)], 44.1 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C6(8)], 45.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>N), 46.0 (CH, C7), 48.3 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(4)], 48.6 (C, C3), 67.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>N). MS (EI), *m/z* (%): 179 (M<sup>++</sup>, 16), 91 (7), 79 (8), 77 (7), 58 ([CH<sub>2</sub>=N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 100). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N·1.05HCl·0.25H<sub>2</sub>O (222.09): C, 64.90; H, 10.23; N, 6.31; Cl, 16.76. Found: C, 64.77; H, 10.42; N, 6.32; Cl, 16.70.

### 4.1.15. *N*-[(Tricyclo[3.3.1.0<sup>3,7</sup>]non-3-yl)methyl]guanidine hydrochloride (18·HCl)

To a cold (0 °C) solution of 14 HCl (150 mg, 0.8 mmol) in acetonitrile (2.5 mL), anhyd Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.2 mL, 1.45 mmol), and 1H-pyrazol-1carboxamide hydrochloride (140 mg, 0.94 mmol) were added. The suspension was heated at 70 °C for 6 h and cooled at 0 °C for 24 h. The precipitate was filtered and washed with cold acetonitrile ( $2 \times$ 5 mL) to give 18 HCl (129 mg, 70% yield). The above product was taken in EtOAc, excess Et<sub>2</sub>O·HCl was added, and the solvent was eliminated in vacuo. The analytical sample of **18** HCl was obtained by crystallization from MeOH/Et<sub>2</sub>O, mp 298–299 °C. IR (KBr): 3357, 3262, 3169, 2926, 2861, 1664, 1623, 1578, 1458, 1356, 1094, 690 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.61–1.68 [complex signal, 6H, 6(8)-H<sub>α</sub>, 2(4)- $H_{\beta}$ , 9- $H_{svp}$ , and 9- $H_{anti}$ ], 1.72 [dd, J = 10.7 Hz, J' = 2.7 Hz, 2H, 2(4)- $H_{\alpha}$ ], 1.81 [m, 2H, 6(8)- $H_{\beta}$ ], 2.12 [t, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H, 7-H], 2.26 [broad s, 2H, 1(5)-H], 3.30 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>N). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz) 36.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C9), 38.8 [CH, C1(5)], 42.8 (CH, C7), 44.8 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C6(8)], 47.7 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(4)], 49.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 50.2 (C, C3), 159.1 (C, C guanidine). MS (EI), *m*/*z* (%): 194 (12), 193 (M<sup>+</sup>, 60), 150 ([M–C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 24), 93 (21), 92 (30), 91 (44), 79 (36), 77 (29), 72 [(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>N<sub>3</sub>)<sup>+</sup>, 49], 60 ([CH<sub>6</sub>N<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 100). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>3</sub>·HCl (229.75): C, 57.51; H, 8.77; N, 18.29: Cl. 15.43. Found: C. 57.65: H. 8.88: N. 18.23: Cl. 15.68.

### 4.1.16. *N*-Methyl[(tricyclo[3.3.1.0<sup>3,7</sup>]non-3-yl)methyl]amine hydrochloride (19-HCl)

From 16·HCl (267 mg, 0.91 mmol), 5% Pd/C (50% in water, 10 mg), and absolute EtOH (30 mL) and following the procedure described for 12, 19 HCl (143 mg, 78% yield) was obtained after crystallization from MeOH/Et<sub>2</sub>O, mp >224 °C (dec). IR: 2931, 2866, 2770, 2435, 1668, 1611, 1460, 1430, 1398, 1338, 1302, 1154, 1028, 732 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.61–1.73 [complex signal, 6H,  $2(4)-H_{\beta}$ ,  $6(8)-H_{\alpha}$ ,  $9-H_{svn}$ , and  $9-H_{anti}$ ], 1.75 [dd, J = 10.5 Hz, J' = 3.0 Hz, 2H, 2(4)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>], 1.81 [m, 2H, 6(8)-H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>], 2.19 [t, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H, 7-H], 2.30 [broad s, 2H, 1(5)-H], 2.75 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>N), 3.16 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-N). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 35.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>N), 35.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C9), 39.0 [CH, C1(5)], 43.7 (CH, C7), 44.5 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C6(8)], 47.7 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(4)], 48.5 (C, C3), 58.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>-N). MS (EI), *m/z* (%): 166 (13), 165 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100), 164 ([M-H]<sup>+</sup>, 48), 135 (20), 134 (87), 108 (54), 93 (46), 92 (86), 91 (55), 79 (60), 77 (39). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N·HCl·0.25H<sub>2</sub>O (206.24): C, 64.06; H, 10.02; N, 6.79. Found: C, 64.02; H, 10.23; N. 6.86.

### 4.1.17. 3,7-Dimethyl(tricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>3,7</sup>]oct-1-yl)amine HCl (21·HCl)

To a solution of acid **20** (389 mg, 2.16 mmol) in toluene (6.5 mL), Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.4 mL, 2.9 mmol), and diphenylphosphorylazide (875 mg, 3.18 mmol) were added and the mixture was heated under reflux for 3 h. The cold (ice-bath) solution was washed with cold 1 N HCl ( $10 \times 5$  mL). Then, 6 N HCl (9 mL) was added to the organic solution and the mixture was heated under reflux for 24 h.

The organic layer was separated, the aqueous layer was washed with  $Et_2O(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$  and the water was removed in a freeze-dryer giving **21** HCl as a white solid (296 mg, 73% yield). The analytical sample was obtained by crystallization from water, mp 210-211 °C. IR: 2959, 2945, 2891, 2863, 2765, 2685, 2579, 1602, 1493, 1480, 1460, 1308, 1285, 1163, 984 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.20 (s, 6H, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.44 [dd, J = 8.5 Hz, J' = 3.0 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>], 1.70-1.73 [complex signal, 4H, 2(8)-H<sub>a</sub>, and 2(8)-H<sub>b</sub>], 1.75 [dd,  $J = 8.5 \text{ Hz}, J' = 3.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, 4(6)-\text{H}_{B}$ , 2.34 [t, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H, 5-H], 4.85 (s, mobile H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 16.4 [CH<sub>3</sub>, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 44.1 (CH, C5), 48.1 [C, C3(7)], 53.8 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C4(6)], 56.7 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(8)], 61.4 (C, C1). MS (EI), *m/z* (%): 152 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 3), 151 (M<sup>+</sup>, 2), 138 (14), 137 (12), 124 (27), 123 (25), 111 (57), 110 (88), 109 (53), 97 (81), 96 (100), 95 (70), 94 (29), 91 ([C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>7</sub><sup>+</sup>], 23), 82 (36), 81 (30), 79 (26), 77 (36), 67 (24), 55 (44), 53 (35). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N·HCl (187.71): C. 63.99: H. 9.67: N. 7.46: Cl. 18.89. Found: C. 63.71: H. 9.70: N. 7.48: Cl. 18.88.

### 4.1.18. *N*-Benzyl-3,7-dimethyl(tricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>3,7</sup>]oct-1-yl)amine hydrochloride (22a·HCl)

To a solution of **21**·HCl (770 mg, 4.11 mmol) in MeOH (15 mL), NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (95%, 585 mg, 8.86 mmol), AcOH (0.3 mL), and benzaldehyde (653 mg, 6.16 mmol) were added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18 h. The solution was concentrated to dryness, water (30 mL) was added to the residue and the mixture was extracted with  $Et_2O$  (3× 25 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with 2 N NaOH ( $3 \times 25$  mL) and brine ( $2 \times$ 25 mL), dried (anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel; hexane/ EtOAc, 97/3) to give amine 22a (594 mg, 60% yield). An analytical sample of 22a HCl was obtained by adding an excess of Et<sub>2</sub>O HCl to a solution of 22a in EtOAc and filtration of the formed precipitate, mp >258 °C (dec). IR: 2957, 2934, 2917, 2911, 2759, 2739, 2732, 2725, 2626, 2619, 2578, 2423, 1459, 1452, 1308, 1160, 1028, 731, 693 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.23 (s, 6H, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.50 [dd,  $J = 9.0 \text{ Hz}, J' = 3.0 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}, 4(6) \text{-H}_{\alpha}$ , 1.79 [dd, J = 9.0 Hz, J' = 3.0 Hz, J' = 3.0 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H<sub>B</sub>], 1.82–1.86 [complex signal, 4H, 2(8)-H<sub>a</sub>, and 2(8)- $H_{B}$ , 2.51 [t, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H, 5-H], 4.21 (s, 2H,  $CH_{2}C_{6}H_{5}$ ), 4.85 (s, mobile H), 7.42-7.48 [complex signal, 3H, Ar-3(5)-H, and Ar-4-H], 7.52 [dd, J = 8.0 Hz, J' = 2.0 Hz, 2H, Ar-2(6)-H]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR 16.4 [CH<sub>3</sub>, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 43.6 (CH, C5), 47.8 [C, C3(7)], 49.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>-C6H<sub>5</sub>), 53.6 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C4(6)], 54.9 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(8)], 68.2 (C, C1), 130.3 [CH, Ar-C3(5)], 130.6 (CH, Ar-C4), 130.8 (CH, Ar-C2(6)], 133.1 (C, Ar-C1). MS (EI), m/z (%): 241 (M<sup>+</sup>, 2), 227 (3), 226 (3), 213 (6), 200 (34), 199 (35), 91 ( $[C_6H_5CH_2]^+$ , 100). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{17}H_{23}N \cdot HCl$ (277.83): C, 73.49; H, 8.71; N, 5.04; Cl, 12.76. Found: C, 73.83; H, 8.78; N, 5.02; Cl, 12.92.

### 4.1.19. *N*-(2-Thenyl)-3,7-dimethyl(tricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>3.7</sup>]oct-1-yl)amine hydrochloride (22b·HCl)

From **21**·HCl (187.7 mg, 1.00 mmol), NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (95%, 198 mg, 3 mmol), acetic acid (0.3 mL), and 2-thiophenecarbaldehyde (0.15 mL, 1.65 mmol) in methanol (10 mL) and following the above procedure, 22b HCl (196 mg, 69% yield) was obtained. The analytical sample of 22b HCl was obtained by crystallization from MeOH/ Et<sub>2</sub>O, mp >255 °C (dec). IR: 3062, 2952, 2919, 2887, 2727, 2689, 2549, 2451, 1583, 1477, 1440, 1375, 1307, 1280, 1247, 1159, 1068, 1007, 937, 855, 837, 731, 712, 701 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.23 (s, 6 H, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.49 [dd, I = 8.5 Hz, I' = 3.0 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>], 1.79  $[dd, I = 8.5 Hz, I' = 3.0 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H_B], 1.84$  [complex signal, 4H, 2(8)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>, and 2(8)-H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>], 2.51 [t, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1H, 5-H], 4.46 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 4.86 (s, mobile H), 7.11 (dd, *J* = 5.0 Hz, *J'* = 4.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-4-H), 7.33 (dm, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-3-H), 7.56 (dd, J = 5.0 Hz, J' = 1.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-5-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 16.4 [CH<sub>3</sub>, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 43.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 43.6 (CH, C5), 47.8 [C, C3(7)], 53.6 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C4(6)], 54.9 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(8)], 68.0 (C, C1), 128.7 (CH, Ar-C4), 129.2 (CH, Ar-C5), 131.4 (CH, Ar-C3), 134.0 (C, Ar-C1). MS (EI), m/z (%): 247 (M<sup>+</sup>, 2), 233 (3), 219 (7), 206 (50), 205 (30), 192 (11), 97 ([C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>3</sub>SCH<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 100). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NS·1.1HCl·0.1H<sub>2</sub>O (289.30): C, 62.27; H, 7.77; N, 4.84; S, 11.08; Cl, 13.48. Found: C, 62.25; H, 7.69; N, 4.85; S, 10.95; Cl, 13.07.

### 4.1.20. *N*-Benzyl-*N*,3,7-trimethyl(tricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>3,7</sup>]oct-1-yl)amine hydrochloride (23-HCl)

From a solution of 22a HCl (635 mg, 2.29 mmol), acetonitrile (15 mL), formaldehyde (1.81 mL, 37% wt. in water solution, 23 mmol), two portions of NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (95%, 455 mg, 6.88 mmol) and following the procedure described for 9, the amine 23 (516 mg, 88.5% yield) was obtained. An analytical sample of 23 HCl was obtained by adding an excess of Et<sub>2</sub>O·HCl to a solution of 23 in EtOAc followed by filtration of the obtained precipitate, mp >228 °C (dec). IR: 2952, 2886, 2680, 2439, 2372, 1456, 1310, 749. 705, 695 cm<sup>-1</sup>, <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.25 [s, 6H, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 1.56 [dd,  $I = 9.0 \text{ Hz}, I' = 3.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, 4(6) - \text{H}_{\alpha}$ , 1.83 [dd, I = 9.0 Hz, I' = 3.0 Hz, I' = 3.0 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H<sub>B</sub>], 1.92–1.95 [complex signal, 4H, 2(8)-H<sub>a</sub>, and 2(8)-H<sub>B</sub>], 2.71 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>N), 2.74 [t, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1H, 5-H], 4.09 (broad signal, 1H) and 4.61 (broad signal, 1H) (CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 4.84 (s, mobile H), 7.49-7.51 [complex signal, 3H, Ar-3(5)-H and Ar-4-H], 7.53-7.56 [m, 2H, Ar-2(6)-H]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR 16.5 [CH<sub>3</sub>, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 37.2 (CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>N), 43.3 (CH, C5), 47.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 53.5 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C4(6)], 53.7 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(8)], 58.7 [C, C3(7)], 76.2 (C, C1), 130.3 [CH, Ar-C3(5)], 131.1 (CH, Ar-C4), 131.2 (C, Ar-C1), 132.1 [CH, Ar-C2(6)]. MS (EI), m/z (%): 255 (M<sup>+</sup>, 3), 254 ([M-H]<sup>+</sup>, 3), 240 (7), 226 (7), 213 (82), 212 (28), 91 ([C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 100). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N·0.95HCl (290.04): C, 74.54; H, 9.02; N, 4.83; Cl, 11.61. Found: C, 74.63; H, 9.13; N, 4.84; Cl, 11.60.

### 4.1.21. 1-(3,7-Dimethyltricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>3,7</sup>]oct-1-yl)ethylamine hydrochloride (25·HCl)

4.1.21.1. 1-(3,7-Dimethyltricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>3,7</sup>]oct-1-yl)-1-ethanone (24). To a suspension of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (189 mg, 2.55 mmol) in H<sub>2</sub>O (40 mL) solid 20 (900 mg, 5.00 mmol) was added and the resulting suspension was stirred for 48 h at room temperature. The water of the resulting solution was removed in a freeze-drver giving the lithium salt of 20 as a white solid. This salt was added to anhyd Et<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL) and the resulting suspension was cooled to 0 °C. Methyllithium (18.8 mL, 1.6 M in Et<sub>2</sub>O, 30 mmol) was added dropwise and the suspension was heated under reflux for 18 h. To the cold (ice-bath) mixture, water (15 mL) was added dropwise, and the mixture was further stirred for 15 min. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with  $Et_2O$  (3× 15 mL). The combined organic phases were dried (anhyd  $Na_2SO_4$ ) and concentrated in vacuo at room temperature to give ketone 24 (382 mg, 43% yield; 57% yield based on unrecovered starting material). The aqueous layer was made acidic and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (4× 10 mL). The combined organic phases were dried (anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated in vacuo to give starting acid 20 (223 mg). IR: 2956, 2885, 1716, 1699, 1438, 1360, 1302, 1220 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz) 1.18 (s, 6H, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.37 [dd,  $J = 8.5 \text{ Hz}, J' = 3.6 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, 4(6)-\text{H}_{\alpha}$ ], 1.56–1.62 [complex signal, 4H, 2(8)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> and 4(6)-H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>], 1.70 [dm, J = 7.8 Hz, 2(8)-H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>], 2.16 (d, J = 0.6 Hz, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 2.60 [t, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H, 5-H]. MS (EI), m/z(%): 178 (M<sup>+</sup>, 3), 163 ([M–CH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 7), 136 (11), 135 ([C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>15</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 23), 123 (20), 122 (31), 107 (21), 95 (41), 93 (27), 43 ([CH<sub>3</sub>CO]<sup>+</sup>, 100). Accurate mass measurement (ESI<sup>+</sup>) calcd for  $[C_{12}H_{18}O+H]^+$ : 179.1430. Found: 179.1431.

**4.1.21.2. 1-(3,7-Dimethyltricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>3,7</sup>]oct-1-yl)-1-ethanone oxime.** To a solution of ketone **24** (168 mg, 0.94 mmol) in ethanol (1 mL), hydroxylamine hydrochloride (103 mg, 1.49 mmol), water (0.1 mL), and powdered NaOH (190 mg, 4.75 mmol) were added and the mixture was heated under reflux for 5 min. The cold solu-

tion (ice-bath) was added to a cold solution (ice bath) of concd HCl (0.64 mmol, 7.72 mmol) and water (3.5 mL). The obtained precipitate was filtered, washed with cold water ( $2 \times 2$  mL) and dried in vacuo over P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>10</sub> to give the title oxime (135 mg, 74% yield) that was used without further purification in the next step. IR: 3234, 2949, 2881, 1667, 1446, 1371, 1018, 918, 893, 775 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

4.1.21.3. 1-(3,7-Dimethyltricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>3,7</sup>]oct-1-yl)ethylamine To a suspension of LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (110 mg, hydrochloride (25 HCl). 2.90 mmol) in anhyd Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 mL) a solution of the above oxime (135 mg, 0.70 mmol) in anhyd THF (2 mL) was added dropwise and the mixture was heated under reflux for 16 h. To the cold mixture, water (0.1 mL, 5.55 mmol) was added dropwise and the suspension was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The formed precipitate was filtered through Celite® and washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O  $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ . The filtrate was dried (anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), an excess of Et<sub>2</sub>O·HCl was added and the precipitate was filtered to give **25**·HCl (66 mg, 44% global yield from 24), mp >278 °C (dec). IR: 2952, 2932, 2881, 2567, 1601, 1511, 1479, 1458, 1386, 1320, 1292, 1202, 1162, 1062 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.192 (s, 3H) and 1.195 (s, 3H) [C3-CH<sub>3</sub> and C7-CH<sub>3</sub>], 1.32 [d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>CH], 1.38-1.60 (complex signal, 8H, methylene protons), 2.26 [t, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H, 5-H], 3.54 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, CHN), 4.86 (s, mobile H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 16.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>CH), 16.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>) and 16.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>) (C3-CH<sub>3</sub> and C7-CH<sub>3</sub>), 42.1 (CH, C5), 48.4 (C) and 48.5 (C) (C3 and C7), 51.3 (CH, CHN), 54.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>) and 54.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>) (C4 and C6), 54.7 (C, C1), 55.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>) and 55.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>) (C2 and C8). MS (EI), *m*/*z* (%): 179 (M<sup>+</sup>, 2), 178 ([M-H]<sup>+</sup>, 3), 164 (36), 147 (50), 122 (36), 121 (77), 119 (37), 109 (45), 108 (32), 107 (100), 106 (63), 105 (73), 93 (34), 91 (76), 83 (69), 80 (30), 79 (46), 77 (36), 70 (51). Anal. Calcd for C12H21N·1.05HCl·0.1H2O (219.39): C, 65.70; H, 10.22; N, 6.38; Cl, 16.97. Found: C, 65.53; H, 10.49; N, 6.36; Cl, 16.86.

### 4.1.22. *N*,*N*-3,7-Tetramethyl(tricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>3,7</sup>]oct-1-yl)amine hydrochloride (26·HCl)

From amine 21 (151 mg, 1.0 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL), formaldehvde (1.8 mL, 37% wt. in water solution, 22.8 mmol), and formic acid (1.5 mL 39 mmol) and following the procedure described for 17, the amine 26 was obtained as its hydrochloride. The analytical sample of 26 HCl (180 mg, 83.5% yield) was obtained by crystallization from MeOH/Et<sub>2</sub>O, mp 173-174 °C. IR 2999, 2955, 2933, 2886, 2628, 2569, 2540, 2518, 2468, 1472, 1455, 1439, 1312, 1054 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.22 [s, 6 H, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 1.51 [dm, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>], 1.75 [dd, I = 9.0 Hz, I' = 3.0 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>], 1.81 [m, 4H, 2(8)-H<sub>2</sub>], 2.59 [t, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H, 5-H], 2.88 (s, 6H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 16.5 [CH<sub>3</sub>, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 40.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N), 42.5 (CH, C5), 47.7 [C, C3(7)], 53.2 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(8)], 53.5 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C4(6)], 75.4 (C, C1). MS (EI), *m/z* (%): 179 (M<sup>+</sup>, 2), 178 ([M–H]<sup>+</sup>, 4), 164 (14), 150 (18), 138 (22), 137 (100), 136 (79), 123 (46), 122 (45), 108 (35), 77 (24), 55 (35). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N·HCl (215.77): C, 66.80; H, 10.28; N, 6.49; Cl, 16.43. Found: C, 66.81; H, 10.30; N, 6.41; Cl, 16.65.

### 4.1.23. *N*,*N*-Dibenzyl-3,7-dimethyl(tricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>3,7</sup>]oct-1-yl)amine hydrochloride (27 HCl)

A mixture of **21**·HCl (178 mg, 0.95 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (1.03 g, 7.5 mmol), benzyl chloride (0.29 mL, 2.5 mmol) and NaI (100 mg, 0.67 mmol) in acetonitrile (10 mL) was heated under reflux for 24 h. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo and EtOAc (30 mL) was added to the residue. The organic solution was washed with water (2× 20 mL), dried (anhy Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel; hexane/EtOAc, 99:1) to give amine **27** (130 mg, 41% yield). An analytical sample of **27**·HCl was obtained by adding an excess of Et<sub>2</sub>O·HCl to a solution of **27** in EtOAc and filtration of the formed precipitate, mp 191–192 °C. IR: 3034, 2959, 2920, 2887, 2864,

2806, 2674, 2590, 2546, 1526, 1482, 1457, 1420, 1400, 1312, 1162, 1014, 921, 859, 742, 692 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.25 (s, 6H, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.55 [broad d, I = 9.0 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>], 1.86 [dd, I = 9.0 Hz,  $l' = 3.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H, 4(6)-H_8$ ], 2.05 [s, 4H, 2(8)-H<sub>2</sub>], 2.73 [t, l = 3.0 Hz, 2.05 Hz1H, 5-H], 4.38 [d, J = 13.5 Hz, 2H) and 4.60 [d, J = 13.5 Hz, 2H) (CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)], 4.86 (s, mobile H), 7.23 [dm, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H, Ar-2(6)-H], 7.34 [tm, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H, Ar-3(5)-H], 7.39 (tt, J = 7.5 Hz, J' = 2.0 Hz, 2H, Ar-4-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 16.5 [CH<sub>3</sub>, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 44.2 (CH, C5), 47.3 [C, C3(7)], 53.6 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C4(6)], 54.5 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(8)], 58.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 78.0 (C, C1), 130.2 [CH, Ar-C3(5)], 130.9 (CH, Ar-C4), 131.9 [CH, Ar-C2(6)], 132.0 (C, Ar-C1). MS (EI), m/z (%): 331  $[M^{+}, 1], 316$  (2), 289 (43), 198 ( $[(C_6H_5CH_2)_2NH_2]^{+}, 10), 91$ ([C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 100). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N·1.4HCl (382.55): C, 75.34; H, 8.01; N, 3.66; Cl, 12.99. Found: C, 75.34; H, 8.10; N, 3.60: Cl. 13.09. Accurate mass measurement (ESI<sup>+</sup>) calcd for [C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N+H]<sup>+</sup>: 332.2372. Found: 332.2382.

### 4.1.24. *N*-3,7-Trimethyl(tricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>37</sup>]oct-1-yl)amine hydrochloride (28 HCl)

A mixture of 23 HCl (390 mg, 1.33 mmol) and 10% Pd/C (50% in water, 10 mg) in absolute EtOH (80 mL) was hydrogenated at 38 atm and 100 °C for 24 h. The suspension was filtered, the residue was washed with EtOH and the organic laver was concentrated in vacuo to give a solid. Crystallization from MeOH/Et<sub>2</sub>O gave 28 HCl (240 mg, 89% yield). An analytical sample of 28 HCl was obtained by crystallization from THF, mp 167–168 °C. IR (KBr) 2961, 2939, 2807, 2739, 2521, 2431, 2392, 2370, 1458, 1310, 1161, 1099, 1077, 878 cm  $^{-1}$ .  $^1\text{H}$  NMR 1.22 [s, 6H, C3(7)-CH\_3], 1.47 [dd,  $J = 8.5 \text{ Hz}, J' = 2.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, 4(6)-\text{H}_{\alpha}$ , 1.75-1.79 [complex signal, 6 H, 4(6)-H<sub>B</sub>, 2(8)-H<sub>a</sub> and 2(8)-H<sub>B</sub>], 2.47 [t, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H, 5-H], 2.69 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>–N), 4.85 (s, mobile H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz) 16.5 [CH<sub>3</sub>, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 30.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>-N), 43.0 (CH, C5), 47.7 [C, C3(7)], 53.6 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C4(6)], 54.4 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(8)], 68.1 (C, C1). MS (EI), m/z (%): 165 (M<sup>+</sup>, 1), 164 ([M–H]<sup>+</sup>, 8), 150 ([M–CH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 24), 136 (33), 124 (23), 123 (100), 122 (76), 109 (56), 108 (44), 94 (27). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N·HCl·0.15H<sub>2</sub>O (204.44): C, 64.63; H, 10.01; N, 6.85. Found: C, 64.71; H, 9.92; N, 6.86.

#### 4.1.25. (3,7-Dimethyltricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>3,7</sup>]oct-1-yl)carboxamide (29)

A solution of acid 20 (1.12 g, 6.22 mmol) in thionyl chloride (18 mL, 0.24 mmol) was heated under reflux for 2 h. The excess thionyl chloride was removed under vacuum, the residue was taken in toluene (5 mL) and evaporated to dryness (twice). The oily vellow residue (1.16 g) was dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub>, the solution was cooled to 0 °C and NH<sub>4</sub>OH (60 mL, 25% aqueous solution) was added dropwise. After stirring for 15 h at room temperature, the suspension was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (4× 30 mL). The aqueous layer was made acidic with concd HCl and extracted with EtOAc  $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ . The combined EtOAc extracts were dried (anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo to give the starting acid **20** (438 mg). The combined CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> extracts were washed with brine  $(2 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ , dried (anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated in vacuo to give amide 29 (572 mg, 51% yield, 84% yield taking into account the recovered starting acid). An analytical sample of 29 was obtained by crystallization from EtOAc, mp 105–106 °C. IR, 3458, 3410, 3348, 3298, 3199, 3000, 2950, 2883, 1655, 1613, 1478, 1397, 1304, 1160, 790 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 1.17 (s, 6H, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.37 [dd, J = 8.5 Hz, J' = 3.5 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>], 1.61 [dd, J = 7.5 Hz, J' = 3.5 Hz, 2H, 2(8)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>, 1.62 [dd, J = 8.5 Hz, J' = 3.5 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H<sub>B</sub>], 1.70 [dm, J = 11.5 Hz, 2H, 2(8)-H<sub>B</sub>], 2.56 [t, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H, 5-H], 5.50 (broad signal, 1H), 5.72 (broad signal, 1H) (CONH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 16.3 [CH<sub>3</sub>, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 43.5 (CH, C5), 47.9 [C, C3(7)], 53.5 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C4(6)], 54.5 (C, C1), 57.1 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(8)], 177.9 (C, CO). MS (EI), m/z (%): 179 (M<sup>+</sup>, 4), 164 (5), 138 (24), 135  $([C_{10}H_{15}]^+, 21), 124 (39), 123 (42), 95 (100), 93 (38), 91 (34), 81$ 

(72), 80 (33), 79 (60), 77 (40). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO (179.26): C, 73.70; H, 9.56; N, 7.81. Found: C, 73.64; H, 9.55; N, 7.74.

### 4.1.26. [(3,7-Dimethyltricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>3,7</sup>]oct-1-yl)methyl]amine hydrochloride (30·HCl)

To a cold (0 °C) solution of 29 (570 mg, 3.18 mmol) in anhyd THF (70 mL), LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (388 mg, 9.72 mmol) was added and the suspension was heated under reflux for 15 h. The suspension was cooled (ice bath), carefully basified with 10 N NaOH (5 mL) and stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The precipitate was filtered and washed with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3× 25 mL). The combined filtrate and washings were dried (anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and excess of Et<sub>2</sub>O HCl was added. The solution was concentrated in vacuo to give a solid that was crystallized from MeOH/Et<sub>2</sub>O to give **30** HCl (534 mg, 83% yield), mp >270 °C (dec). IR 2952, 2881, 1600, 1505, 1480, 1457, 1389, 1322, 1292 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.19 (s, 6 H, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.39  $[dd, l = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, l' = 4.0 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}, 4(6) \text{-H}_{\alpha}], 1.43 \ [dd, l = 8.0 \text{ Hz},$  $l' = 4.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, 2(8)-\text{H}_{\alpha}$ , 1.49 [dm,  $l = 7.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, 2(8)-\text{H}_{\beta}$ ], 1.62  $[dd, J = 8.0 Hz, J' = 3.0 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H_{B}], 2.20 [t, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H, 5-$ H], 3.19 (s, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 4.85 (s, mobile H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.4 MHz) 16.8 [CH<sub>3</sub>, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 42.8 (CH, C5), 43.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 48.6 [C, C3(7)], 49.7 (C, C1), 54.6 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C4(6)], 56.7 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(8)]. MS (EI), m/z (%): 166 (1), 165 (M<sup>+</sup>, 1), 152 (3), 151 (3), 148 (8), 136 (26), 135  $([C_{10}H_{15}]^+, 46)$ , 133 (30), 107 (82), 106 (41), 105 (43), 93 (100), 92 (38), 91 (91), 79 (49), 77 (51), 71 (41). Anal. Calcd for C11H19N HCl 0.5H2O (210.75): C, 62.69; H, 10.04; N, 6.65; Cl, 16.82. Found: C, 62.38; H, 9.71; N, 6.58, Cl, 16.55.

### **4.1.27.** *N*-Benzyl[(3,7-dimethyltricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>37</sup>]oct-1-yl)methyl]amine hydrochloride (31 HCl)

From **30**·HCl·0.5H<sub>2</sub>O (500 mg, 2.37 mmol), MeOH (10 mL), NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (95%, 335 mg, 5.3 mmol), AcOH (0.3 mL), and benzaldehyde (395 mg, 3.68 mmol) and following the procedure described for 22a, 31 HCl (489 mg, 68% yield) was obtained, mp >270 °C (dec). IR: 2948, 2880, 2781, 2371, 1586, 1478, 1447, 1420, 1382, 1355, 1321, 1289, 1237, 1084, 1025, 748, 698 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.18 (s, 6H, 3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.37 [dd, I = 8.5 Hz, I' = 3.5 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>], 1.44 [dd, I = 8.0 Hz, I' = 3.5 Hz, 2H, 2(8)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>], 1.49 [dm, I = 8.0 Hz, 2H, 2(8)-H<sub>B</sub>], 1.61 [dd, I = 8.5 Hz, I' = 3.0 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H<sub>B</sub>], 2.18 [t,  $I = 3.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, 5\text{-H}, 3.25 \text{ (s, 2H, CH}_2\text{N}), 4.26 \text{ (s, 2H, CH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_5),$ 4.86 (s, mobile H), 7.46-7.50 [complex signal, 3H, Ar-3(5)-H and Ar-4-H], 7.55 [m, 2H, Ar-2(6)-H]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR 16.7 [CH<sub>3</sub>, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 43.5 (CH, C5), 48.6 [C, C3(7)], 48.9 (C, C1), 51.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 53.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 54.6 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C4(6)], 57.1 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(8)], 130.3 [CH, Ar-C3(5)], 130.8 (CH, Ar-C4), 131.4 [CH, Ar-C2(6)], 132.1 (C, Ar-C1). MS (EI), *m*/*z* (%): 255 (M<sup>++</sup>, 3), 254 ([M−H]<sup>+</sup>, 2), 199 (10), 120 ([C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>NH=CH<sub>2</sub>], 67), 93 (18), 91 ([C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 100). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N·HCl·0.05 H<sub>2</sub>O (292.76): C, 73.85; H, 8.99; N, 4.78; Cl, 12.11. Found: C, 73.58; H, 8.91; N, 4.70; Cl, 12.47.

#### 4.1.28. *N*-Benzyl-*N*-methyl[(3,7-dimethyltricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>3,7</sup>]oct-1-yl)methyl]amine hydrochloride (32<sup>-</sup>HCl)

From a solution of **31**·HCl (400 mg, 1.37 mmol), acetonitrile (10 mL), formaldehyde (1.08 mL, 37% wt. in water solution, 13.7 mmol) and two portions of NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (95%, 272 mg, 4.11 mmol) and following the procedure described for **9**, the amine **32** (260 mg, 70.5% yield) was obtained. Its hydrochloride was obtained by adding an excess of Et<sub>2</sub>O·HCl to a solution of the amine in EtOAc followed by concentration to dryness in vacuo. The analytical sample of **32**·HCl was obtained by crystallization from EtOAc, mp 215–216 °C. IR: 3030, 3011, 2946, 2879, 2862, 2695, 2635, 2512, 1478, 1455, 1070, 917, 906, 746, 697 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.18 [s, 6H, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 1.40 [dd, J = 8.5 Hz, J' = 3.5 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>], 1.45-1.60 [complex signal, 4H, 2(8)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>, and 2(8)-H<sub> $\beta$ </sub>], 1.62 [dd, J = 8.5 Hz, J' = 3.0 Hz, 1H, 5-H], 2.89 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>N), 3.43 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H) and 3.54 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H) (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 4.33 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H)

and 4.43 (d, *J* = 12.5 Hz, 1H) ( $CH_2C_6H_5$ ), 7.49–7.52 [complex signal, 3H, Ar-3(5)-H and Ar-4-H], 7.57 [m, 2H, Ar-2(6)-H]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR 16.6 [CH<sub>3</sub>, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 42.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>–N), 44.8 (CH, C5), 48.5 [C, C3(7)], 48.7 (C, C1), 54.4 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C4(6)], 57.8 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(8)], 60.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 62.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 130.4 [CH, Ar-C3(5)], 130.8 (C, Ar-C1), 131.3 (CH, Ar-C4), 132.5 [CH, Ar-C2(6)]. MS (EI), *m/z* (%): 269 (M<sup>++</sup>, 7), 213 (15), 134 (87), 120 (13), 107 (10), 93 (15), 92 (13), 91 ([C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 100). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N·HCl (305.89): C, 74.60; H, 9.23; N, 4.58; Cl, 11.59. Found: C, 74.51; H, 9.26; N, 4.55; Cl, 11.74.

#### 4.1.29. N,N-Dimethyl[(3,7-dimethyltricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>3,7</sup>]oct-1yl)methyl]amine hydrochloride (33·HCl)

From a cold (0 °C) solution of **30** HCl (80 mg, 0.48 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL), formaldehyde (1.0 mL, 37% wt. in water solution, 12.7 mmol) and formic acid (0.85 mL, 22 mmol) and following the procedure described for 17. 33 HCl was obtained. The analytical sample of 33 HCl (68 mg, 61% vield) was obtained by crystallization from THF, mp >250 °C. IR (KBr): 2952, 2884, 2691, 1479, 1412, 1316, 1211, 1136, 973, 948 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.20 [s, 6H, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 1.43 [dd, *J* = 8.5 Hz,  $l' = 3.5 \text{ Hz}, 2H, 4(6)-H_{\alpha}$ , 1.52 [dd, l = 7.5 Hz, l' = 3.5 Hz, 2H, 2(8)- $H_{\alpha}$ ], 1.57 [dm, l = 7.5 Hz, 2H, 2(8)- $H_{\beta}$ ], 1.65 [dd, l = 8.5 Hz, J' = 3.0 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H<sub>β</sub>], 2.25 [t, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H, 5-H], 2.93 (s, 6H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N), 3.47 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 4.85 (s, mobile H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 16.6 [CH<sub>3</sub>, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 44.7 (CH, C5), 45.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>N), 48.5 [C, C3(7)], 54.5 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C4(6)], 57.7 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(8)], 62.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>N). The signal corresponding to C1 was not observed. MS (EI), m/z (%): 193 (M<sup>+</sup>, 3), 137 (5), 107 (7), 93 (12), 91 (11), 58 ([Me<sub>2</sub>NCH<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 100). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N·1.6HCl (251.67): C, 62.04; H, 9.85; N, 5.57. Found: C, 62.23; H, 10.16; N, 5.76. Accurate mass measurement (ESI<sup>+</sup>) calcd for [C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N+H]<sup>+</sup>: 194.1903. Found: 194.1909.

### 4.1.30. *N*-[(3,7-Dimethyltricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>3,7</sup>]oct-1-yl)methyl]piperidine hydrochloride (34-HCl)

From 30 HCl (201 mg, 1.00 mmol), DMF (2.5 mL), anhyd Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.4 mL, 2.9 mmol), 1,5-dibromopentane (0.17 mL, 1.2 mmol) and following the procedure described for 11, 34 HCl (111 mg, 41% vield) was obtained. The analytical sample of **34** HCl was obtained by crystallization from 2-propanol, mp >265 °C (dec), IR: 2948. 2879, 2857, 2644, 2580, 2534, 1477, 1455, 1438, 1376, 1318,  $950 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.20 [s, 6H, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 1.43 [dd, l = 8.5 Hz, l' = 3.5 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>], 1.52 [dd, l = 7.5 Hz, l' = 3.5 Hz, 3 H, 2(8)- $H_{\alpha}$ ], 1.50–1.55 (overlapped m, 1H, 4'- $H_{ax}$ ], 1.57 [dm, I = 7.5 Hz, 2H, 2(8)-H<sub>B</sub>], 1.65 [dd, I = 9.0 Hz, I' = 3.0 Hz, 2 H, 4(6)-H<sub>B</sub>], 1.75-1.97 [broad signal, 5 H, 4'-Heq, 3'(5')-Hax, and 3'(5')-Heq], 2.24 [t, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H, 5-H], 3.02 [broad signal, 2 H, 2'(6')-H<sub>ax</sub>], 3.42 (s, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.53 [broad signal, 2 H, 2′(6′)-H<sub>eq</sub>], 4.85 (s, mobile H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 16.6 [CH<sub>3</sub>, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 22.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>, C4'), 23.8 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C3'(5')], 45.1 (CH, C5), 48.4 [C, C3(7)], 49.4 (C, C1), 54.5 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C4(6)], 55.3 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2'(6')], 58.1 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(8)], 61.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>N). MS (EI), *m*/*z* (%):233 (M<sup>+</sup>, 9), 177 (14), 98 ([C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>10</sub>NCH<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 100), 93 (13), 91 (13), 84 ([C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>10</sub>N]<sup>+</sup>, 17). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N·HCl (269.86): C, 71.21; H, 10.46; N, 5.19; Cl, 13.14. Found: C, 71.19; H, 10.45; N, 5.17; Cl, 13.12.

### 4.1.31. *N*-[(3,7-dimethyltricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>3,7</sup>]oct-1-yl)methyl]guanidine hydrochloride (35-HCl)

From a solution of **30**·HCl (150 mg, 0.74 mmol), acetonitrile (2.5 mL), anhyd Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.2 mL, 1.45 mmol) and 1*H*-pyrazol-1-carboxamidine hydrochloride (130 mg, 0.89 mmol) and following the procedure described for **18**, **35**·HCl (139 mg, 77% yield) was obtained. The analytical sample of **35**·HCl was obtained by crystallization from MeOH/Et<sub>2</sub>O, mp 214–215 °C. IR: 3600–3000 (max at 3376, 3247, 3170), 2948, 2879, 1646, 1456, 1354, 1319, 1291, 1160 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.18 [s, 6H, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 1.37 [dd, *J* = 7.5 Hz, *J'* = 3.5 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H<sub>α</sub>], 1.39-1.46 [complex signal, 4H, 2(8)-H<sub>α</sub> and 2(8)-H<sub>β</sub>], 1.61 [dd, *J* = 7.5 Hz, *J'* = 3.0 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H<sub>β</sub>], 2.12 [t,

*J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H, 5-H], 3.41 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 4.86 (s, mobile H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 16.9 [CH<sub>3</sub>, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 42.7 (CH, C5), 45.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 48.5 [C, C3(7)], 51.5 (C, C1), 54.8 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C4(6)], 56.9 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(8)], 158.9 (C, C guanidine). MS (EI), *m/z* (%): 209 (9), 208 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 9), 195 (5), 194 (5), 167 (14), 166 (15), 154 (12), 153 (12), 148 (17), 133 (31), 119 (22), 107 (81), 106 (42), 105 (48), 93 (83), 92 (38), 91 (100), 79 (46), 77 (66), 75 (39), 74 (38), 63 (47), 62 (68), 61 (48). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub>·HCl·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O (270.80): C, 53.22; H, 9.30; N, 15.52. Found: C, 53.37; H, 9.03; N, 15.52.

### 4.1.32. N-Methyl[(3,7-dimethyltricyclo[3.3.0.0<sup>3,7</sup>]oct-1-yl)methyl]amine hydrochloride (36·HCl)

From 32 HCl (200 mg, 0.65 mmol), 10% Pd/C (50% in water, 10 mg) and absolute ethanol (25 mL) and following the procedure described for 28, 36 HCl (120 mg, 85% yield) was obtained after crystallization from MeOH/Et<sub>2</sub>O, mp >255 °C. IR: 2952, 2880, 2764, 1588, 1458, 1427, 1385, 1325, 1292, 1088, 1022, 806 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 1.19 [s, 6H, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 1.40 [dd, J = 8.5 Hz, J' = 3.5 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>], 1.43-1.46 [dd, J = 7.5 Hz, J' = 3.5 Hz, 2H, 2(8)-H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>], 1.50 [dm, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, 2(8)-H<sub>B</sub>], 1.61 [dd, J = 8.5 Hz, J' = 3.0 Hz, 2H, 4(6)-H<sub>B</sub>], 2.22 [t, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1H, 5-H], 2.74 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>N), 3.27 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 4.86 (s, mobile H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 16.7 [CH<sub>3</sub>, C3(7)-CH<sub>3</sub>], 34.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>N), 43.2 (CH, C5), 48.6 [C, C3(7)], 54.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 54.6 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C4(6)], 57.0 [CH<sub>2</sub>, C2(8)]. The signal corresponding to C1 was not observed. MS (EI), *m*/*z* (%): 179 (M<sup>.+</sup>, 4), 165 (5), 164 (4), 148 (6), 147 (6), 136 (15), 135 ([C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>15</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 34), 134 (32), 133 (27), 124 (26), 123 (29), 107 (71), 106 (35), 105 (44), 93 (100), 92 (38), 91 (97), 79 (42), 77 (56). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N·HCl·0.5H<sub>2</sub>O (224.77): C, 64.12; H, 10.31; N, 6.23. Found: C, 64.22; H, 10.24; N, 6.35.

#### 4.2. Trypanosoma brucei culturing and drug test

Cultures of bloodstream form *T. brucei* (strain 427) were maintained at 37 °C in modified Iscove's medium (pH 7.4).<sup>20</sup> Trypanocidal activity was assessed by growing parasites for 48 h in the presence of various drug concentrations and determining the levels which inhibited growth by 50% (IC<sub>50</sub>) and 90% (IC<sub>90</sub>). In the case of untreated cultures (volume 4 mL), cell densities increased from  $0.25 \times 10^5$  to  $1 \times 10^6$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup> over this period. Experiments were performed in triplicate. Cell densities at each drug concentration were determined using a hemocytometer (Weber Scientific International Ltd), and drug sensitivity was expressed as a percentage of growth of control cells.

#### 4.3. NMDA receptor antagonist activity

The functional assay of antagonist activity at NMDA receptors was performed using primary cultures of cerebellar granule neurons, which were prepared according to established protocols.<sup>18</sup> Cells were grown on 10 mm poly-L-lysine coated glass cover slips, and used for the experiments after 7-14 days in vitro. Cells were loaded with 6 µM Fura-2 AM (Invitrogen-Molecular Probes) for 45 min. Afterwards, the coverslip was mounted on a quartz cuvette containing a Locke-Hepes buffer using a special holder. Measurements were performed using a PerkinElmer LS-50B fluorometer equipped with a fast-filter accessory, under mild agitation and at 37 °C. Analysis from each sample was recorded real-time during 1200 s. After stimulation with NMDA or glutamate (100  $\mu$ M, in the presence of 10 µM glycine), increasing cumulative concentrations of the compound to be tested were added. The percentages of inhibition at every tested concentration were analyzed using a non-linear regression curve fitting (variable slope) by using the software GraphPad Prism 4.0.

#### 4.4. Antiviral evaluation

The antiviral activity of the compounds was determined in established cell culture assays using a selection of DNA and RNA viruses, including three subtypes of influenza virus [A/Puerto Rico/8/34 (H1N1); A/Hong Kong/7/87 (H3N2) and B/Hong Kong/ 5/72].<sup>21</sup> The compounds' inhibitory effect on virus replication as well as their cytotoxicity were monitored by microscopical examination, and confirmed by the colorimetric MTS cell viability assay.

#### 4.5. Dopaminergic evaluation

#### 4.5.1. Synaptosomal preparation

Female Wistar rats (200–250 g) were used throughout. Briefly, rats were killed by decapitation and the striatum was dissected and homogenized in 10 volumes (w/v) of 0.32 M sucrose using a Potter-Elveihem. The resulting crude synaptosomal preparation was centrifuged at 1000g for 10 min. The supernatant was stored and the pellet was resuspended in 10 volumes of 0.32 M sucrose and recentrifuged. The two supernatants were combined and the mixture centrifuged at 16,000g for 30 min. The resultant pellet was suspended in 10 volumes of ice-cold Krebs medium. Protein concentrations were determined using the Bradford protein assay.

#### 4.5.2. [<sup>3</sup>H]DA uptake assay

[<sup>3</sup>H]Dopamine uptake was evaluated on aliquots of the synaptosomal preparation. After a 10 min preincubation at 37 °C in Krebs buffer containing 10 µM pargyline (to block metabolism of dopamine by monoamine oxidase), [<sup>3</sup>H]dopamine (47 Ci/mmole, Amersham) was added to a final 0.5 nM concentration. Ten minute incubations were stopped by dilution into ice-cold Krebs medium. Samples were filtered rapidly through Grade 30 fiberglass filters (Schleicher & Schuell) using a Brandel cell harvester (model M-24, Biochemical Research and Development Laboratories, Inc.). Filters were washed twice with 3 mL cold Krebs medium and dried. Non-specific [<sup>3</sup>H]DA uptake was determined in duplicate samples in the presence of 10 µM nomifensine (dopamine uptake inhibitor). Filters were placed into scintillation mixture (Optiphase 'Hisafe' 2, Perkin-Elmer) and radioactivity was determined by scintillation spectrometry.

#### Acknowledgments

Financial support from Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (P.C. and S.V.: Project CTQ2005-02192; F.X.S. and M.P.: Project SAF 2006-13092-C02-01; A.C.: Project SAF2005-01604; D.C. and D.I.: Project SAF 2007-63142), the Centros de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Neuro-degenerativas (CIBERNED) and Comissionat per a Universitats i Recerca (P.C. and S.V.: Project 2005-SGR-00180, F.X.S., M.P., and A.C.: Project 2005-SGR-00893) is gratefully acknowledged. M.D.D. thanks to the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (FPU Program). S.R.P. and J.M.K. acknowledge Wellcome Trust for support.

#### **References and notes**

- (a) Aoki, F. Textbook of Influenza. In Nicholson, K. G., Webster, R. G., Hay, A. J., 1. Eds.; Blackwell Science: Oxford, 1998; pp 457-476; (b) De Clercq, E. Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery 2006. 5. 1015.
- (a) Geluk, H. W.; Schut, J.; Schlatmann, J. L. M. A. J. Med. Chem. 1969, 12, 712; (b) 2. Aldrich, P. E.; Hermann, E. C.; Meier, W. E.; Paulshock, M.; Prichard, W. W.;

Snyder, J. A.; Watts, J. C. J. Med. Chem. 1971, 14, 535; (c) Tilley, T. W.; Levitan, P.; Kramer, M. J. J. Med. Chem. 1979, 22, 1009; (d) Kolocouris, N.; Foscolos, G. B.; Kolocouris, A.; Marakos, P.; Pouli, N.; Fytas, G.; Ikeda, S.; De Clercq, E. J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 2896; (e) Kolocouris, N.; Kolocouris, A.; Foscolos, G. B.; Fytas, G.; Neyts, J.; Padalko, E.; Balzarini, J.; Snoeck, R.; Andrei, G.; De Clercq, E. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 3307; (f) Van Derpoorten, K.; Balzarini, J.; De Clercq, E.; Poupaert, J. H. Biomed. Pharmacother. 1997, 51, 464; (g) Scholtissek, C.; Quack, G.; Klenk, H. D.; Webster, R. G. Antiviral Res. 1998, 37, 83; (h) Burstein, M. E.; Serbin, A. V.; Khakhulina, T. V.; Alymova, I. V.; Stotskaya, L. L.; Bogdan, O. P.; Manukchina, E. E.; Jdanov, V. V.; Sharova, N. K. Antiviral Res. 1999, 41, 135; (i) Stylianakis, I.; Kolocouris, A.; Kolocouris, N.; Fytas, G.; Foscolos, G. B.; Padalko, E.; Neyts, J.; De Clercq, E. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2003, 13, 1699; (j) El-Emam, A. A.; Al-Deeb, O. A.; Al-Omar, M.; Lehmann, J. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2004, 12, 5107.

- (a) Danysz, W.; Parsons, C. G.; Kornhuber, J.; Schmidt, W. J.; Quack, G. Neurosci. 3. Biobehav. Rev. 1997, 21, 455; (b) Palmer, G. C. Curr. Drug Targets 2001, 2, 241.
- (a) Kelly, J. M.; Miles, M. A.; Skinner, A. C. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1999, 43, 985; (b) Kelly, J. M.; Quack, G.; Miles, M. A. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2001. 45. 1360.
- (a) Martínez, A. G.; Vilar, E. T.; Fraile, A. G.; Cerezo, S. D.; Herrero, M. E. R.; Ruiz, P. M.; Subramanian, L. R.; Gancedo, A. G. J. Med. Chem. 1995, 38, 4474; (b) Miller, J. A.; Ullah, G. M.; Welsh, G. M.; Hall, A. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 7503; (c) Gregory, W. A. U.S. Patent 3 558 704, 1971.; (d) Aigami, K.; Inamoto, Y.; Takaishi, N.; Fujikura, Y.; Takatsuki, A.; Tamura, G. J. Med. Chem. 1976, 19, 536.
- Zhou, J. J. P.; Li, J.; Upadhyaya, S.; Eaton, P. E.; Silverman, R. B. J. Med. Chem. 1997, 40, 1165.
- (a) Geldenhuys, W. J.; Malan, S. F.; Bloomquist, J. R.; Marchand, A. P.; van der 7. Schyf, C. J. Med. Res. Rev. 2005, 25, 21; (b) Geldenhuys, W. J.; Malan, S. F.; Bloomquist, J. R.; van der Schyf, C. J. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2007, 15, 1525.
- (a) Camps, P.; Muñoz-Torrero, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 3187; (b) Camps, P.; Font-Bardia, M.; Muñoz-Torrero, D.; Solans, X. Liebigs Ann. 1995, 523; (c) Camps, P.; Muñoz-Torrero, D.; Muñoz-Torrero, V. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 1917.
- (a) Camps, P.; Figueredo, M. Can. J. Chem. 1984, 62, 1184; (b) Camps, P.; Iglesias, C.; Rodríguez, M. J.; Grancha, M. D.; Gregori, M. E.; Lozano, R.; Miranda, M. A.; Figueredo, M.; Linares, A. Chem. Ber. 1988, 121, 647; (c) Camps, P.; Estiarte, M. A.; Vázquez, S.; Pérez, F. Synth. Commun. 1995, 25, 1287; (d) Camps, P.; Font-Bardia, M.; Pérez, F.; Solans, X.; Vázquez, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 912; (e) Camps, P.; Luque, F. J.; Orozco, M.; Pérez, F.; Vázquez, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 8605; (f) Camps, P.; Lukach, A.; Vázquez, S. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 2419; (g) Camps, P.; Lukach, A.; Pujol, X.; Rossi, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 5366; (h) Camps, P.; Pujol, X.; Vázquez, S. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 10081; (i) Ayats, C.; Camps, P.; Duque, M. D.; Font-Bardia, M.; Muñoz, M. R.; Solans, X.; Vázquez, S. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 8715; (j) Camps, P.; Muñoz, M. R.; Vázquez, S. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 1945; (k) Camps, P.; Fernández, J. A.; Font-Bardia, M.; Solans, X.; Vázquez, S. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 3593; (1) Ayats, C.; Camps, P.; Font-Bardia, M.; Muñoz, M. R.; Solans, X.; Vázquez, S. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 7436; (m) Camps, P.; Muñoz, M. R.; Vázquez, S. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 7645; (n) Ayats, C.; Camps, P.; Fernández, J. A.; Vázguez, S. Chem. Eur. J. 2007, 13, 1522; (o) Camps, P.; Colet, G.; Delgado, S.; Muñoz, M. R.; Pericàs, M. A.; Solà, Ll.; Vázquez, S. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 4669; (p) Ayats, C.; Camps, P.; Font-Bardia, M.; Solans, X.; Vázguez, S. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 8027.
- 10. We found a patent that claimed antiviral activity for some bisnor- and noradamantane derivatives, but activity details are not given. See, Hoover, J. R. E. U.S. Patent 3496228, 1970. For the anti-influenza activity of 7 see Ref. 2g.
- 11. Patrick, G. L. An Introduction to Medicinal Chemistry; Oxford University Press: Oxford, 2005. pp 204-205.
- 12. Jawdosiuk, M.; Kovacic, P. Synth. Commun. 1983, 13, 53.
- Casanova, J.; Devi, P. Synth. Commun. 1993, 23, 245.
  Okazaki, T.; Isobe, H.; Kitagawa, T.; Takeuchi, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1996, 69, 2053
- 15. Barret, M. P. Lancet 2006, 367, 1377.
- (a) Kolocouris, N.; Zoidis, G.; Foscolos, G. B.; Fytas, G.; Prathalingam, R.; Kelly, J. 16. M.; Naesens, L.; De Clercq, E. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2007, 17, 4358; (b) Papanastasiou, I.; Tsotinis, A.; Kolocouris, N.; Prathalingam, R.; Kelly, J. M. J. Med. Chem. 2008. 51. 1496.
- Robinson, D. M.; Keating, G. M. Drugs 2006, 66, 1515. 17.
- Canudas, A. M.; Pubill, D.; Sureda, F. X.; Verdaguer, E.; Camps, P.; Muñoz-18 Torrero, D.; Jiménez, A.; Camins, A.; Pallàs, M. Exp. Neurol. 2003, 180, 123.
- 19. Mizoguchi, K.; Yokoo, H.; Yoshida, M.; Tanaka, T.; Tanaka, M. Brain Res. 1994, 662.255.
- 20. Hirumi, H.; Hirumi, K. J. Parasitol. 1989, 75, 985.
- (a) De Clercq, E.; Cools, M.; Balzarini, J.; Márquez, V. E.; Borcherding, D. R.; 21. Borchardt, R. T.; Drach, J. C.; Kitaoka, S.; Konno, T. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1989, 33, 1291; (b) Setaki, D.; Tataridis, D.; Stamatiou, G.; Kolocouris, A.; Foscolos, G. B.; Fytas, G.; Kolocouris, N.; Padalko, E.; Neyts, J.; De Clercq, E. Bioorg. Chem. 2006, 34, 248.