<u>LETTERS</u>

Achieving Site Selectivity in Metal-Catalyzed Electron-Rich Carbene Transfer Reactions from *N*-Tosylhydrazones

Naijing Su,[†] Tianning Deng,[†] Donald J. Wink,[†] and Tom G. Driver^{*,†,‡}

[†]Department of Chemistry, University at Illinois at Chicago, 845 West Taylor Street, Chicago, Illinois 60607, United States [‡]Institute of Next Generation Matter Transformation, College of Chemical Engineering, Huaqiao University, 668 Jimei Boulevard, Xiamen, Fujian 361021, People's Republic of China

(5) Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Catalyst control of the site-selectivity of electron-rich alkyl, aryl disubstituted carbenes generated in situ from *o*-alkenyl-substituted *N*-tosylhydrazones was achieved in this study. Exposure of these substrates to copper iodide triggered the formation of α -alkoxy 2*H*-naphthalenones. This investigation established that changing the catalyst to a rhodium(II) carboxylate turned off cyclization and migration of the electron-rich metal carbene with the β -carboxylate and turned on allylic C–H bond functionalization to diastereoselectively afford 1*H*-indenes. Examination of the scope of this reaction revealed that ethereal, aminomethylene, and unac



this reaction revealed that ethereal, aminomethylene, and unactivated 2° C-H bonds could be functionalized.

he ability of site-selective transition-metal-catalyzed reactions to produce divergent products from a single reactant by changing the identity of the catalyst continues to inspire significant research interest.^{1,2} Catalyst-dependent transformations of carbenes derived from α -diazocarboxylates have emerged to control whether the electron-deficient metal carbene participates in a cycloaddition, cyclopropanation, or C-H bond insertion reaction.^{2f,3} In contrast, controlling the chemoselectivity of electron-rich metal carbenes remains nascent.4,5 The generation of electron-rich alkyl-substituted carbenes introduces the potential for β -hydride elimination,⁶ which have been leveraged in cross-coupling reactions and sigmatropic rearrangements.^{7,8} Accordingly, the use of these in sp³-C-H bond functionalization reactions remains rare.^{9,10} As part of our interest of metal divalent catalytic intermediates,¹¹ we investigated the reactivity of o-alkenyl N-tosylhydrazone 1 to determine if metal aryl carbene 2 could participate in domino cyclization-migration reactions and found that copper iodide triggered the formation of α -methoxy 2*H*-naphthalene 4 through the rearrangement of epoxide 3 (Scheme 1).¹² At the conclusion of this study, we were curious if changing the identity of the catalyst could trigger sp³-C-H bond functionalization to construct 1H-indenes from the same Ntosylhydrazone starting materials.

Our investigation into the catalyst that selects for allylic sp³-C-H bond insertion instead of cyclization-migration of the electron-rich alkyl, aryl disubstituted carbene was initiated using *o*-alkenyl-substituted *N*-tosylhydrazone **9a** (Table 1). This substrate was chosen to determine the optimal conditions because hydrazones have been established as safe sources of alkyl diazo compounds through a Bamford-Stevens-Shapiro reaction,^{4a,13} and **9a** is readily available from a Suzuki-Miyaura Scheme 1. Potential for Divergent Reactivity of Electron-Rich Metal Alkyl, Aryl Carbene Catalytic Intermediates



cross-coupling reaction between arylboronic acid 7a and vinyl triflate 8a. Because of their ability to trigger C–H bond functionalizations of metal carbenes,¹⁴ we decided to first examine rhodium(II) carboxylates. While exposure of 9a to 5 mol % of $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ and LiO-*t*-Bu (1.1 equiv) afforded only decomposition, C–H bond functionalization was observed when the identity of the carboxylate ligand was changed to triphenylacetate or pivaloate (entries 2–4). The yield of 1*H*-indene 10a was increased to 44% using 5 mol % of $Rh_2(esp)_2$ as the catalyst.¹⁵ Although varying the reaction temperature or the alkoxide base did not improve the reaction outcome (entries 6–8), the identity of the solvent was found to play a critical role. A screen of chlorinated, polar aprotic, and ethereal solvents revealed that the best yield and stereoselectivity

Received: June 5, 2017

	1.	MeO ₂ C		MeO ₂	C
7 a	Bpin Me O 2. H ₂ NNHTs	n. 9a NN	<i>condition</i> Me	5 	Н
entry	catalyst (amt (mol %))	solvent	base ^a	temp (°C)	yield (%) ^b
1		PhMe	LiO-t-Bu	90	dec
2	$Rh_2(OAc)_4$ (5)	PhMe	LiO-t-Bu	90	dec
3	$Rh_2(tpa)_4(5)$	PhMe	LiO-t-Bu	90	14
4	$Rh_2(Piv)_4(5)$	PhMe	LiO-t-Bu	90	25
5	$Rh_2(esp)_2(5)$	PhMe	LiO-t-Bu	90	44
6	$Rh_2(esp)_2(5)$	PhMe	LiO-t-Bu	120	40
7	$Rh_2(esp)_2(5)$	PhMe	LiOMe	90	30
8	$Rh_2(esp)_2(5)$	PhMe	LHMDS	90	dec
9	$Rh_2(esp)_2(5)$	DCE	LiO-t-Bu	90	47
10	$Rh_2(esp)_2(5)$	chloroform	LiO-t-Bu	90	71
11	$Rh_2(esp)_2(5)$	MeCN	LiO-t-Bu	90	67
12	$Rh_2(esp)_2(5)$	1,4-dioxane	LiO-t-Bu	90	99 ^c
13	$Rh_2(esp)_2(2)$	1,4-dioxane	LiO-t-Bu	90	97 ^c

Table 1. Optimization of the C–H Bond Functionalization Reaction

^{*a*}1.1 equiv of base used. ^{*b*}As determined using ¹H NMR spectroscopy with CH₂Br₂ as an internal standard. ^{*c*}Product isolated as a 15:1 mixture of diastereomers.

occurred in 1,4-dioxane (entries 9-12). Using this solvent, the catalyst loading could be reduced to 2 mol % and produce 1*H*-indene **10a** in 97% yield as a 15:1 mixture of diastereomers.¹⁶

Next, the scope and limitations of this reaction were examined using *N*-tosylhydrazones derived from orthosubstituted aryl ketones (Table 2). First, the dependence of the reaction outcome on the electronic nature of **9** was determined by changing the identity of the R^1 and R^2 substituents (entries 1–10). The C–H bond functionalization reaction was found to be insensitive to the electron-with-drawing effect of the R^1 substituent (entries 1–6). In contrast to the high yields and diastereoselectivities obtained from **9a**–**f**,

Table 2. Exploration of the Scope and Limitations of theAryl Hydrazone Moiety

	MeO ₂ C				MeO ₂ C	
R ¹			Rh ₂ (esp) ₂ (2 mol %) LiO <i>t</i> -Bu (1.1 equiv)		R1	
R ² 9	R ³ NNH	e ITs	1,4-dioxane, §	⊃° 0°	R ² 10 R ^{3 Me} H	H
entry	compd	\mathbb{R}^1	R ²	R ³	yield (%) ^a	dr ^b
1	a	Н	Н	Н	93 (84) ^c	15:1
2	b	CF_3	Н	Н	97	17:1
3 ^d	c	Cl	Н	Н	88	13:1
4	d	F	Н	Н	96	13:1
5	e	Ph	Н	Н	86	20:1
6	f	Me	Н	Н	90	17:1
7	g	MeO	Н	Н	20	13:1
8	h	Н	Cl	Н	100	17:1
9	i	Н	Me	Н	100	20:1
10	j	Н	MeO	Н	96	20:1
11	k	Н	Н	F	96	13:1
12	1	Н	Н	MeO	dec	

^{*a*}Isolated yield of **10** after neutral alumina chromatography. ^{*b*}dr determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ^{*c*}1 mmol scale. ^{*d*}5 mol % of Rh₂(esp)₂ used.

exposure of hydrazone **9g** bearing an \mathbb{R}^1 methoxy group to the reaction conditions resulted in a significantly reduced yield of 1*H*-indene **10g** (entry 7). This electron-releasing substituent appears to attenuate the electrophilicity of the carbene intermediate to react with the allylic C–H bond. In contrast, the electronic identity of the \mathbb{R}^2 substituent did not affect the yield or the diastereselectivity of 1*H*-indenes **10h**-j (entries 8–10). The reaction proved to be sensitive to the identity of the \mathbb{R}^3 substituent (entries 11 and 12). While a fluorine was tolerated, only decomposition was observed when an electron-donating methoxy substituent was present.

The identity of the ortho substituent was varied to further investigate the scope of this reaction (Table 3). We found that the R^{β} group could be changed from a carboxylate to a phenyl without adversely affecting the yield of 1H-indene 12 formation, irrespective of the electronic nature of the aryl ring (entries 1-3). The increased yield of 12c in comparison to 10g suggests that reducing the acidity of the C-H reaction center or weakening the strength of its bond has a positive effect.18 Next, the effect of changing the ring size of the ocycloalkenyl substituent on the reaction outcome was surveyed (entries 4 and 5). While o-cyclopentyl hydrazone 11d was smoothly transformed into 1H-indene 12d, exposure of ocycloheptenyl 11e resulted in a precipitous drop in the stereoselectivity of the process. Substrates bearing a β -methyl substituent or an ortho-unsaturated cyclic ether could be smoothly and stereoselectively transformed into 1H-indenes 12f,g (entries 6 and 7). The site selectivity for the allylic C-H bond could be overridden by conformational restraints: exposure of o-norbornene 11h to the reaction conditions resulted in functionalization of the vinyl sp²-C-H bond to produce 12h as a single diastereomer (entry 8). Our reaction was also found to effectively functionalize other activated C-H bonds. Substrates bearing ethereal, aminomethylene, or benzyl C-H reaction centers were transformed into 12i-k (entries 9-11). Quantitative formation of indane 12k as a single diastereomer highlights the synthetic efficiency of our reaction (entry 11). The success of these substrates encouraged us to examine if functionalization of unactivated sp³-C-H bonds could be achieved. Exposure of o-cyclohexyl N-tosylhydrazone 111 to the optimal reaction conditions produced indane 121 in good yield, albeit as a 3:1 mixture of diastereomers (entry 12).¹⁹ To determine if the diastereoselectivity of the C–H bond functionalization could be improved if the size of the alkyl group on hydrazone was increased from methyl to isopropyl, substrate 11m was examined (entry 13). Unfortunately, only β hydride elimination was observed. Finally, the site selectivity between secondary and tertiary sp³-C-H bonds was investigated with N-tosylhydrazone 11n (entry 14). We found that both positions were reactive, but the reaction occurred primarily at the tertiary C-H bond to produce indane 12n as a single diastereomer (54%) with the secondary C-H bond functionalization indane formed as a 3:1 mixture of diastereomers in 21% yield.

A deuterium labeling experiment was performed to provide insight into the mechanism of the C-H bond functionalization step of the catalytic cycle (eq 1). We anticipated that the



Table 3. Investigation of the Effect of Changing the OrthoSubstituent

	R ¹	Me 1, NNHTs	₂ (esp) ₂ (2 mol %) Dt-Bu (1.1 equiv) 4-dioxane, 90 °C		 /н I
entr	y #	hydrazone 11	indene 12	%, yield ^a	dr ^b
1	a	Ph	Ph	$R^1 = H, 96$	20:1
2	b	R ¹	R^1	$R^1 = Cl, 100$	6:1
3	с	Me	Н	$R^1 = OMe$,	10:1
0	,	ÑNHTs	Me H	90	
		Ph	Ph	1 50	20.1
4	d		J ^{/n}	n = 1, 70	20:1
5	e	Me	H	n = 3, 100	1.1:1
6	f	NNH IS Me Me NNHTS		100	13:1
7	g	Me	Pn O Me ⁻ H	80	>95:5
8	h	H Me NNHTS	H Me	100	>95:5
9	i	OiPr Me NNHTs	H Me H Me	76°	
10	j	N N NNHTs	N Me H	64	2:1
11	k	Ph Me NNHTs	H Me H	100	>95:5
12	1		A H	R ⁴ - Ma 86	3.1
12	m		С	$R^4 = iPr \Omega^d$	5.1
13	111	✓ ↓'' NNHTs	~ _{R4} H''	$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{i} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{i}, 0$	
14	n		H Me H Me	54 ^e	>95:5

^{*a*}Isolated yield of **10** after neutral alumina chromatography. ^{*b*}dr determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ^{*c*}As determined using ¹H NMR spectroscopy with CH₂Br₂ as an internal standard. ^{*d*}93% of the β -hydride elimination product obtained. ^{*e*}21% of the 2° C–H bond functionalization product formed as a 3:1 mixture of diastereomers.

number of diastereomers formed from *N*-tosylhydrazone **11k**- d_2 would reveal if C–H bond functionalization was stepwise or concerted. If the reaction was concerted, then insertion into the β -C–H or β -C–D bond would produce indanes **13** and **14**. In contrast, if the C–H bond functionalization occurred through an H atom abstraction followed by radical recombination or if a carbocation was formed, then the stereochemical information

embedded at the β carbon would be lost and four products would be formed. Alternatively, if the kinetic isotope effect was very large, then two diastereomers of 13 would be observed. In support of a concerted insertion of the electron-rich metal carbene into the C–H bond, exposure of 11k- d_2 to the reaction conditions produced only indanes 13 and 14. Our observed intramolecular kinetic isotope effect of 2.2 is similar to the $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ values of 2.0 and 1.9 reported for the intermolecular insertion of electron-poor carboxylic ester- and iminesubstituted rhodium(II) carbenes.²⁰

In conclusion, we have shown that the reactivity of electronrich metal alkyl, aryl disubstituted carbenes can be controlled by the identity of the metal. While copper aryl, alkyl carbenes react with the *o*-alkenyl substituent through a cyclization–migration pathway to afford α -alkoxy 2*H*-naphthalenones, rhodium(II) carboxylates trigger a stereoselective allylic C–H bond functionalization to produce 1*H*-indenes. This C–H bond functionalization is not limited to allylic C–H bonds, but ethereal, aminomethylene, and even unactivated 2° sp³-C–H bonds can be functionalized.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.7b01694.

Experimental procedures and analytical data (PDF) X-ray data for the allylic alcohol derived from **10a** (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail for T.G.D.: tgd@uic.edu.

ORCID 🔍

Tom G. Driver: 0000-0001-7001-342X

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the National Science Foundation (CHE-1564959), the University of Illinois at Chicago, Office of the Vice-Chancellor of Research, Huaqiao University, Xiamen and the Fujian Hundred Talents Plan for their generous financial support. We thank Mr. Furong Sun (UIUC) for high-resolution mass spectrometry data and Mr. Bryant T. Thurman for helping in the synthesis of *N*-tosylhydrazone **9a** for the 1 mmol scale reaction.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Mahatthananchai, J.; Dumas, A. M.; Bode, J. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2012**, 51, 10954. (b) Scamp, R. J.; Rigoli, J. W.; Schomaker, J. M. Pure Appl. Chem. **2014**, 86, 381. (c) Daugulis, O.; Roane, J.; Tran, L. D. Acc. Chem. Res. **2015**, 48, 1053.

(2) (a) Zhao, Y.; Rodrigo, J.; Hoveyda, A. H.; Snapper, M. L. Nature 2006, 443, 67. (b) Lewis, C. A.; Miller, S. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 5616. (c) Lapointe, D.; Markiewicz, T.; Whipp, C. J.; Toderian, A.; Fagnou, K. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 749. (d) Sun, X.; Lee, H.; Lee, S.; Tan, K. L. Nat. Chem. 2013, 5, 790. (e) Alderson, J. M.; Phelps, A. M.; Scamp, R. J.; Dolan, N. S.; Schomaker, J. M. J. Am.

Organic Letters

Letter

Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 16720. (f) Cheng, Q.-Q.; Yedoyan, J.; Arman, H.; Doyle, M. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 44.

(3) (a) Lim, H.-J.; Sulikowski, G. A. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 2326.
(b) Burgess, K.; Lim, H.-J.; Porte, A. M.; Sulikowski, G. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 220. (c) Davies, H. M. L.; Smith, H. D.; Hu, B.; Klenzak, S. M.; Hegner, F. J. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 6900.
(d) Panne, P.; Fox, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 22. (e) Lian, Y.; Miller, L. C.; Born, S.; Sarpong, R.; Davies, H. M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12422. (f) Jaber, D. M.; Burgin, R. N.; Hepler, M.; Zavalij, P.; Doyle, M. P. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 7623. (g) Jansone-Popova, S.; May, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 17877. (h) Xu, X.; Qian, Y.; Zavalij, P. Y.; Doyle, M. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 1244.

(4) (a) Fulton, J. R.; Aggarwal, V. K.; de Vicente, J. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 2005, 1479. (b) Xiao, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 236.

(5) (a) Greenman, K. L.; Carter, D. S.; Van Vranken, D. L. *Tetrahedron* 2001, *57*, 5219. (b) Aggarwal, V. K.; Fulton, J. R.; Sheldon, C. G.; de Vicente, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, *125*, 6034.
(c) Devine, S. K. J.; Van Vranken, D. L. Org. Lett. 2007, *9*, 2047.
(d) Devine, S. K. J.; Van Vranken, D. L. Org. Lett. 2008, *10*, 1909.
(e) Le, P. Q.; May, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 12219.

(6) (a) Ikota, N.; Takamura, N.; Young, S. D.; Ganem, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1981, 22, 4163. (b) McKervey, M. A.; Ratananukul, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1982, 23, 2509. (c) Taber, D. F.; Herr, R. J.; Pack, S. K.; Geremia, J. M. *J. Org. Chem.* 1996, 61, 2908. (d) DeAngelis, A.; Dmitrenko, O.; Fox, J. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, 134, 11035.

(7) (a) Barluenga, J.; Tomás-Gamasa, M.; Aznar, F.; Valdés, C. Nat. Chem. 2009, 1, 494. (b) Xiao, Q.; Ma, J.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4732. (c) Barluenga, J.; Valdés, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7486. (d) Xiao, Q.; Xia, Y.; Li, H.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1114.

(8) (a) May, J. A.; Stoltz, B. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 12426.
(b) Reddy, A. R.; Hao, F.; Wu, K.; Zhou, C.-Y.; Che, C.-M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1810.

(9) (a) Cheung, W.-H.; Zheng, S.-L.; Yu, W.-Y.; Zhou, G.-C.; Che, C.-M. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2535. (b) Reddy, A. R.; Zhou, C.-Y.; Guo, Z.; Wei, J.; Che, C.-M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 14175. (c) Soldi, C.; Lamb, K. N.; Squitieri, R. A.; González-López, M.; Di Maso, M. J.; Shaw, J. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 15142.

(10) (a) Zhao, X.; Wu, G.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
2011, 133, 3296. (b) Ye, F.; Ma, X.; Xiao, Q.; Li, H.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5742.

(11) (a) Jana, N.; Driver, T. G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 9720.
(b) Kong, C.; Driver, T. G. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 802. (c) Harrison, J. G.; Gutierrez, O.; Jana, N.; Driver, T. G.; Tantillo, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 487. (d) Kong, C.; Jana, N.; Jones, C.; Driver, T. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 13271.

(12) Su, N.; Theorell, J. A.; Wink, D. J.; Driver, T. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 12942.

(13) (a) Bamford, W. R.; Stevens, T. S. J. Chem. Soc. 1952, 4735.
(b) Adlington, R. M.; Barrett, A. G. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1983, 16, 55.
(14) (a) Ye, T.; McKervey, M. A. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 1091.
(b) Davies, H. M. L.; Manning, J. R. Nature 2008, 451, 417. (c) Doyle, M. P.; Duffy, R.; Ratnikov, M.; Zhou, L. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 704.
(d) Davies, H. M. L.; Du Bois, J.; Yu, J.-Q. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1855.

(15) Espino, C. G.; Fiori, K. W.; Kim, M.; Du Bois, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 15378.

(16) DIBAL reduction of **10a** produced a solid allylic alcohol. X-ray crystallographic analysis (CCDC 1543339) confirmed the identity of the major diastereomer. See the Supporting Information for more details.

(17) (a) Bordwell, F. G.; Zhang, X. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1993, 26, 510.
(b) Taft, R. W.; Bordwell, F. G. Acc. Chem. Res. 1988, 21, 463.
(c) Bordwell, F. G. Acc. Chem. Res. 1988, 21, 456.

(18) (a) McMillen, D. F.; Golden, D. M. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 1982, 33, 493. (b) Blanksby, S. J.; Ellison, G. B. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 255. (19) Attempts to lower the temperature of the reaction to improve the diastereoselectivity by employing the analogous *N*-nosylhydrazone were not successful. For recent reports using *N*-nosylhydrazones in metal-catalyzed C atom transfer reactions, see: (a) Tan, H.; Houpis, I.; Liu, R.; Wang, Y.; Chen, Z.; Fleming, M. J. Org. Process Res. Dev. **2015**, *19*, 1044. (b) Liu, Z.; Li, Q.; Yang, Y.; Bi, X. Chem. Commun. **2017**, *53*, 2503.

(20) (a) Spero, D. M.; Adams, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 1143.
(b) Wang, P.; Adams, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 3296. (c) Davies, H. M. L.; Hansen, T.; Churchill, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 3063. (d) Chuprakov, S.; Malik, J. A.; Zibinsky, M.; Fokin, V. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 10352.