Cooperative Catalysis Hot Paper

International Edition: DOI: 10.1002/anie.201612493 German Edition: DOI: 10.1002/ange.201612493

An Aluminum Fluoride Complex with an Appended Ammonium Salt as an Exceptionally Active Cooperative Catalyst for the Asymmetric Carboxycyanation of Aldehydes

Daniel Brodbeck, Florian Broghammer, Jan Meisner, Julian Klepp, Delphine Garnier, Wolfgang Frey, Johannes Kästner, and René Peters*

Dedicated to Professor Yoshito Kishi on the occasion of his 80th birthday

Abstract: Al-F bonds are among the most stable σ bonds known, exhibiting an even higher bond energy than Si-F bonds. Despite a stability advantage and a potentially high Lewis acidity of Al-F complexes, they have not been described as structurally defined catalysts for enantioselective reactions. We show that Al-F salen complexes with appended ammonium moieties give exceptional catalytic activity in asymmetric carboxycyanations. In addition to aromatic aldehydes, enal and aliphatic substrates are well accepted. Turnover numbers up to around 10^4 were achieved, whereas with previous catalysts 10^{1} – 10^{2} turnovers were typically attained. In contrast to Al-Me and Al-Cl salen complexes, the analogous Al-F species are remarkably stable towards air, water, and heat, and can be recovered unchanged after catalysis. They possess a considerably increased Lewis acidity as shown by DFT calculations.

Asymmetric 1,2-additions of cyanide to aldehydes have been intensively studied because the enantioenriched cyanohydrin products are valuable chiral building blocks.^[1-3] Although it is industrially employed in enzymatic processes,^[4,5] the direct use of HCN is difficult for safety reasons and thus less volatile HCN equivalents are often utilized, in particular trimethylsilyl cyanide and ethyl cyanoformate.^[2,6,7] Whereas addition of HCN is reversible, the O-protected products formed from TMSCN and EtO(C=O)CN are stable towards the reverse reaction and hence less prone to racemization.^[2] On a production scale, the use of EtO(C=O)CN appears more attractive for practical, safety, and cost reasons.^[8] The installed carboxy groups were also used for elegant further transformations like [3,3]-rearrangements.^[9]

Although very active catalysts have been developed that can be applied to cyanosilylations with very small catalyst

 [*] M. Sc. D. Brodbeck, Dipl.-Chem. F. Broghammer, M. Sc. J. Klepp, Dr. D. Garnier, Dr. W. Frey, Prof. Dr. R. Peters Universität Stuttgart, Institut für Organische Chemie Pfaffenwaldring 55, 70569 Stuttgart (Germany) E-mail: rene.peters@oc.uni-stuttgart.de
 M. Sc. J. Meisner, Prof. Dr. J. Kästner Universität Stuttgart, Institut für Theoretische Chemie Pfaffenwaldring 55, 70569 Stuttgart (Germany)
 Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for

the author(s) of this article can be found under: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201612493. loadings,^[10] for carboxycyanations, the turnover numbers (TONs) are lower, with catalyst loadings usually in the range of 1–10 mol %.^[2a,11] As a general trend, cooperative catalysis has proven to be beneficial for both carboxy- and

carbocyanations.^[12–14] In this Communication, we report a new strategy for the carboxycyanation of aldehydes, which permits unprecedented TONs.^[2] In our approach, a Lewis acid catalyst cooperates with an internal ammonium salt moiety.^[15] The study was driven by the idea that



a "naked" cyanide would facilitate the 1,2-addition to a simultaneously activated aldehyde while the ammonium should promote a face-selective attack.^[15] Key for the high catalyst efficiency is a robust Al–F unit that provides an extraordinarily stable and active catalyst.

Initial experiments (0.1 mmol scale) were conducted with ligands like **4** (5 mol%), which bear two ammonium units (Table 1, entry 1). The Al catalysts were formed in situ using AlMe₃. However, activity and *ee* were poor in CH₂Cl₂ at -50 °C. To improve the catalyst solubility, ligand **5** was studied, which bears a single ammonium unit (entry 2). The reactivity was massively improved by using KCN as an additive, which also has a positive impact on the *ee* (entry 3). A further *ee* enhancement was achieved with ligand **6**, which has a Et₂MeN⁺CH₂ residue (entry 4), and with BF₄⁻ as X⁻ in **7** (entry 5). The influence of longer linkers between the salen core and the ammonium group were studied (entries 6 and 7), and the best results were obtained with the (CH₂)₃ unit in ligand **9**.

"Non-nucleophilic" counterions X^- initially had an unexpected impact. With triflate in ligand **10** (and also PF_6^-) in place of BF_4^- , the enantioselectivity was nearly completely lost (entry 8). In contrast, when using ligand **10** but with Me₂AlCl for complexation, it was largely regained (entry 9). Solvent screening using the catalyst formed from **9** and AlMe₃ revealed that slightly better enantioselectivity is attained in CHCl₃. The amount of **2** and KCN could then be lowered without any negative effect (entry 10).

The so far most selective catalyst formed in situ from 9 $(X^- = BF_4^-)$ and AlMe₃ proved to be a complex mixture of several species as judged by ¹H-/¹⁹F NMR. Our speculation that a much more active {Al-F} catalyst might have been

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 1-6

© 2017 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

	0 H + 1a	(EtO	x x y CN 2	mol% 4-1 mol% R ₂ A equiv. KC H ₂ Cl ₂ , –50	0, AI−Y, N, D °C, 24 h		3a	OEt CN				
4-10	4-10 ^{<i>t</i>Bu} x⊖			NR ² 3	X -	n	ו R¹					
		4	NMe ₂ Bn	Br⁻	1	CH ₂ NMe ₂ Bn/Br						
\sim	N OH		5	NMe ₂ Bn	Br-	1	<i>t</i> Bu					
			6	NEt ₂ Me	Br-	1	<i>t</i> Bu					
	N ОН		7	NEt ₂ Me	BF_4^-	1	t	Bu				
			8	NEt ₂ Me	BF_4^-	2	<i>t</i> Bu					
				NEt ₂ Me	BF_4^-	3	<i>t</i> Bu					
	∫ <i>t</i> Bu			NEt ₂ Me	TfO⁻	3	<i>t</i> Bu					
Entry	Ligand (x) R ₂ A		N-Y	2 (equiv)		Yield	[%] ^[a] ee [%] ^{[b}					
1	4 (5.0)	Me	₂ Al-Me	4	0	9		12				
2	5 (5.0)	Me	₂ Al-Me	4	0	20		15				
3	5 (5.0)	Me	₂ Al-Me	4	2	100		39				
4	6 (5.0)	Me	₂ Al-Me	4	2	100		53				
5	7 (5.0)	Me	₂ Al-Me	4	2	100		85				
6	8 (5.0)	Me	₂ Al-Me	4	2	63		66				
7	9 (5.0)	Me	₂ Al-Me	4	2	100		88				
8	10 (5.0)	Me	₂ Al-Me	4	2	99	12					
9	10 (5.0)	Me	₂ Al-Cl	4	2	99	79					
10 ^[c]	9 (5.0)	Me	₂ Al-Me	2	1	100		90				
11 ^[c,d]	10 (5.0)	Me	₂ Al-F	2	1	100		90				
12 ^[c,d]	10 (1.0)	Me	₂ Al-F	1	0.1	100		92				
13 ^[c,a,e]	10 (0.1)	Me	₂ AI-F	1	0.1	100		93				
14 ^[c,d]	10 (1.0)	Me	₂ Al-Cl	1	0.1	12		69				

Table 1: Development of the model reaction.

formed in the presence of a BF_4^- counterion through a methyl/fluoride exchange was supported by HR-MS and X-ray crystal structure analysis of one of these species (Figure 1, left), which revealed a dimeric aggregate with an anionic F-Al-F-Al-F axis.

A monomeric {Al–F}OTf salen complex featuring a free coordination site was then synthesized from ligand **10** and Me_2AlF .^[16] X-ray crystal structure analysis (Figure 1, right) and PGSE (Pulsed Gradient Spin Echo) NMR experiments



Figure 1. X-ray crystal structure analyses of dimeric $\{Al_2F_3\}BF_4$ (a) and monomeric $\{Al-F\}PF_6$ (b) complexes. H atoms, included solvent molecules and a second monomer per unit cell (for (b); see the Supporting Information) are omitted for clarity.

(see the Supporting Information) confirm a monomeric structure for the {Al–F}X complexes. $^{[17]}$

{Al-F}OTf provided **3a** in a quantitative yield with good *ee* (entry 11). Its superior performance permitted us to employ a catalytic amount of KCN (0.1 equiv) and obviated the need for an excess of **2**, while at the same time improving the *ee* through a reduced catalyst load (entry 12). A quantitative yield was still obtained with 0.1 mol% of {Al-F}OTf (TON = 1000, entry 13). A comparison of {Al-F}OTf with its Al-Cl counterpart revealed that the latter is much less active (entry 14).

The optimized conditions with a standard {Al–F}OTf loading of 0.1 mol% were applied to various aldehydes (Table 2).^[18] Both σ and π donors on aromatic aldehydes were well tolerated (entries 2, 4–7, 9–11). In the case of an *ortho*-positioned donor, the *ee* was slightly reduced, but the reactivity was still useful to high (entries 6, 9). Substrates bearing σ or π acceptors (entries 8, 12–14), as well as 2-furylcarbaldehyde (entry 15), furnished nearly quantitative yields. The catalyst performance is thus not very sensitive towards electronic effects.

High yields and ee values were also attained with enals. With (*E*)-cinnamaldehyde, the product was formed in more

Table 2: Investigation of the substrate scope.



Entry	1/3	R-	x	<i>t</i> [h]	Yield [%] ^[a]	ee [%] ^[b]
1	a	2-naphthyl-	0.1	24	> 99	93
2	Ь	6-MeO-2-naphthyl-	0.1	24	92	93
3	c	Ph-	0.1	24	99	91
4	d	4-Me-C ₆ H₄-	0.1	72	85	88
5	е	3-Me-C ₆ H₄-	0.1	48	98	90
6	f	2-Me-C ₆ H ₄ -	0.1	48	99	82
7	g	4-MeO-C ₆ H ₄ -	0.5	72	78	93
8	h	3-MeO-C ₆ H₄-	0.1	24	>99	92
9	i	2-MeO-C ₆ H ₄ -	0.1	48	61	84
10	j	3,4-Me ₂ -C ₆ H ₃ -	0.1	72	74	86
11	k	3,4-(MeO) ₂ -C ₆ H ₃ -	0.5	72	97	96
12	I –	4-Cl-C ₆ H ₄ -	0.1	48	>99	89
13	m	4-F-C ₆ H ₄ -	0.1	48	>99	89
14	n	3-MeO ₂ C-C ₆ H ₄ -	0.1	48	99	80
15	0	2-furyl-	0.1	48	98	82
16	р	(E)-Ph-CH=CH-	0.1	48	>99	96
17	q	(E)-4-MeO-C ₆ H ₄ -CH=CH-	0.1	48	90	97
18 ^[c]	r	(E)-Me-CH=CH-	0.1	72	96	94
19 ^[d]	r	(E)-Me-CH=CH-	0.01	72	98	93
20 ^[c]	s	Et-	0.1	72	99	81
21 ^[c]	t	PhCH ₂ CH ₂ -	0.1	72	99	90
22 ^[c]	u	[‡] Bu-	0.1	72	99	78

[a] Yield of isolated product after column chromatography. [b] Determined by HPLC. [c] Reaction in $CH_2Cl_2/CHCl_3$ (1:1) at -80 °C. [d] 0.05 equiv of KCN.

www.angewandte.org

© 2017 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

These are not the final page numbers!

[[]a] Determined by ¹H-NMR of the crude product using an internal standard. [b] Determined by HPLC. [c] The reaction was performed in CHCl₃. [d] Preformed catalyst was used. [e] Reaction time: 48 h.

than 99% yield and in highly enantioenriched form (entry 16). A π donor slightly affected the product yield (entry 17). Moreover, the small, linear γ -acidic crotonaldehyde was well accepted (entry 18). It was shown that even lower catalyst loadings are possible without disturbing the catalyst performance. When using as little as 0.01 mol% of {Al-F}OTf, a highly enantioenriched product was formed in an almost quantitative yield (TON = 9800, entry 19). The method is also applicable to enolizable substrates like propanal and dihydrocinnamaldehyde (entries 20–21), as well as bulky aliphatic substrates like pivaldehyde (entry 22).

To study the preparative value of {Al–F}OTf, gram-scale experiments were conducted using cinnamaldehyde in analogy to Table 2, entry 16. The product was again isolated in quantitative yield and with 96% *ee*. Efficient stirring proved to be essential to maintain high yields, which is ascribed to the phase-transfer catalysis (PTC) character of this reaction. {Al–F}OTf was recovered unchanged (characterized again by ¹H-/¹⁹F-NMR and HR-MS) in 72% yield through precipitation from the reaction mixture and reused under identical conditions, providing **3p** in 88% yield and with 95% *ee*. The lower yield is ascribed to an incomplete precipitation of the tiny catalyst amount. The catalyst recycling needs to be technically optimized, but the data establish a proof of principle.

Moreover, five additional experiments were conducted on a 200 mg scale at -50 °C using 0.1 mol% {Al–F}OTf and almost identical results were obtained as on the 0.1 mmol scale reported in Table 2 [**1a** (72 h): 100%, 93% *ee*; **1c** (72 h): 98%, 91% *ee*; **1e** (48 h): 100%, 90% *ee*; **1p** (72 h): 100%, 96% *ee*; **1q** (72 h): 92%, 96% *ee*].

Control experiments were conducted with the chiral Al salen complex **11**, which lacks an appended ammonium moiety (Table 3).^[19] **11** furnished very small amounts of nearly racemic **3a**, even at high catalyst loadings and working with an excess of **2** and relatively large amounts of KCN (entry 1). By adding [Et₄N]OTf, the reactivity was increased. However, nearly no enantioselectivity was found and the reactivity was much lower than with the bifunctional {Al–F}OTf (entry 2). [Et₄N]OTf also catalyzed the reaction in the absence of **11**, but with decreased activity (entry 3). The results support the essential role of the ammonium moiety in the dual activation catalyst {Al–F}OTf.

Table 3: Control experiments.



[a] Determined by ¹H-NMR of the crude product using an internal standard. [b] Determined by HPLC.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 1-6

© 2017 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

12

einheim www.angewandte.org These are not the final page numbers!

Scheme 1 shows a possible mechanism. Addition of KCN likely improves the reactivity by generating {Al–F}CN.^[20,21] **1** is activated through coordination to the Al center, thereby facilitating a quasi-intramolecular attack by cyanide.^[22] Product release and catalyst regeneration are achieved through carboxylation by **2**.



Scheme 1. Possible mechanism.

The superior performance of {Al–F}OTf is ascribed to two factors: increased stability and Lewis acidity. Al–F bonds are among the most stable σ bonds, with reported bond energies of 659–672 kJ mol^{-1.[23]} This stability is reflected by the high robustness of {Al–F}OTf, which is remarkably stable to water, air (over several months at room temperature), and melted without decomposition (judged by ¹H-/¹⁹F NMR) at 221 °C. In contrast to the related {Al–Cl} and {Al–Me} catalysts, it can be recovered unchanged after the title reaction.

The Al–F bond was investigated by means of density functional theory $(DFT)^{[24]}$ and intrinsic bond orbitals $(IBOs)^{[25]}$ which lead to useful Lewis structures and are appropriate to interpret quantum chemical calculations.^[26] The structurally reduced Al salen complexes **12** were studied to describe the binding situation around the aluminum center for X = F, Cl and Me (Figure 2).

The calculated Al–F distance of 1.69 Å is in very good agreement with the crystal structure (1.688–1.702 Å). The IBO analysis shows that the Al–F σ bond is strongly polarized towards the F atom: only 0.23 of the corresponding bond charge (12%) is located at the Al atom (for Cl 0.38, for Me

Figure 2. Intrinsic bond orbitals for the Al-X bonds in complexes 12:

top right: Al-F; bottom left: Al-Cl; bottom/right: Al-Me.

0.50). It follows that the Al atom in the Al–F complex possesses a higher partial charge (+1.26) than in the respective Cl and Me complexes (+1.09 and +1.11), thus confirming a higher Lewis acidity. A Mulliken population analysis shows the same trend, as shown in the Supporting Information. This suggests that the Al–F bond is more ionic than the Al–Cl and Al–C bonds. This is supported by the lower Wiberg bond order of 0.58 for the Al–F bond compared to 0.77 and 0.74 for the Al–Cl and Al–C bonds.

In conclusion, we have reported an exceptionally active, broadly applicable catalyst for the asymmetric carboxycyanation of aldehydes, which gives unprecedented TONs of up to around 10⁴. Our results suggest that a Lewis acidic Al center cooperates with an internal ammonium salt moiety. Key for the high efficiency is the Al–F unit. This is explained by 1) a high Lewis acidity as found by DFT studies and 2) a remarkable catalyst stability, which also allows catalyst recovery. As a result of these advantages, {Al–F} catalysts might be of technical interest. To our knowledge, this is the first application of a structurally defined Al catalyst containing an Al–F σ bond in asymmetric catalysis. Even higher enantioselectivity might be possible with alternative catalyst cores. We expect that Al–F catalysts will find wide application.

Acknowledgments

The Carl-Zeiss-Stiftung is gratefully acknowledged for a Ph.D. fellowship to D.B. We thank Dr. José Cabrera-Crespo for initial studies on asymmetric cyanations.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: Al–F bond \cdot cyanide \cdot dual activation \cdot ion pairs \cdot phase transfer catalysis

- [2] Reviews: a) N. Kurono, T. Ohkuma, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 989;
 b) T. Ishikawa in Comprehensive Chirality, Vol. 6 (Eds.: K. Maruoka, M. Shibasaki), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2012, pp. 194–213; c) W. Wang, X. Liu, L. Lin, X. Feng, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 4751; d) M. North, D. L. Usanov, C. Young, Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 5146; e) T. R. J. Achard, L. A. Clutterbuck, M. North, Synlett 2005, 1828; f) J.-M. Brunel, I. P. Holmes, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2752; Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 2810.
- [3] Early studies using enzymes: F. Effenberger, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 1555; Angew. Chem. 1994, 106, 1609.
- [4] P. Poechlauer, W. Skrang, M. Wubbolts in Asymmetric Catalysis on Industrial Scale (Eds.: H. U. Blaser, E. Schmidt), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004, pp. 151–164.
- [5] Non-enzymatic catalytic asymmetric hydrocyanations: a) K. Tanaka, A. Mori, S. Inoue, J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 181; b) N.

Kurono, T. Yoshikawa, M. Yamasaki, T. Ohkuma, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1254.

- [6] Early asymmetric carboxycyanation with ethyl cyanoformate: S.-K. Tian, L. Deng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 6195.
- [7] An interesting alternative is cyanophosphorylation: A. Baeza, J. Casas, C. Nájera, J. M. Sansano, J. M. Saá, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 3143; Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, 3251.
- [8] a) Y. N. Belokon', A. J. Blacker, P. Carta, L. A. Clutterbuck, M. North, *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60*, 10433; b) T. Kull, R. Peters, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2007**, *349*, 1647.
- [9] J. Tian, N. Yamagiwa, S. Matsunaga, M. Shibasaki, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3021.
- [10] Highly active catalysts (loadings $\leq 0.2 \text{ mol }\%$) for highly enantioselective cyanosilylations: a) Y. N. Belokon', S. Caveda-Cepas, B. Green, N.S. Ikonnikov, V.N. Khrustalev, V.S. Larichev, M. A. Moscalenko, M. North, C. Orizu, V. I. Tararov, M. Tasinazzo, G. I. Timofeeva, L. V. Yashkina, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 3968; b) Y. N. Belokon', M. North, T. Parsons, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1617; c) F.-X. Chen, H. Zhou, X. Liu, B. Qin, X. Feng, G. Zhang, Y. Jiang, Chem. Eur. J. 2004, 10, 4790; d) N. Kurono, K. Arai, M. Uemura, T. Ohkuma, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6643; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 6745; e) M. North, M. Omedes-Pujol, Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 4452; f) Z. Zhang, Z. Wang, R. Zhang, K. Ding, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 6746; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 6898; g) N. Kurono, M. Uemura, T. Ohkuma, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 1455; h) T. Ohkuma, N. Kurono, Synlett 2012, 1865; i) M. Uemura, N. Kurono, Y. Sakai, T. Ohkuma, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 2023.
- [11] High TONs were achieved in carbocyanations with NaCN and acetic anhydride: see Ref. [10f].
- [12] Selected studies: a) J. Tian, N. Yamagiwa, S. Matsunaga, M. Shibasaki, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 3636; Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 3788; b) Y. N. Belokon', A. J. Blacker, L. A. Clutterbuck, M. North, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4505; c) J. Casas, A. Baeza, J. M. Sansano, C. Nájera, J. M. Saá, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2003, 14, 197; d) N. Yamagiwa, J. Tian, S. Matsunaga, M. Shibasaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 3413; e) S. Lundgren, E. Wingstrand, M. Penhoat, C. Moberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 11592; f) Y. N. Belokon', E. Ishibashi, H. Nomura, M. North, Chem. Commun. 2006, 1775; g) S. Gou, X. Chen, Y. Xiong, X. Feng, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 5732; h) S. Gou, J. Wang, X. Liu, W. Wang, F.-X. Chen, X. Feng, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 343; i) Y. N. Belokon', W. Clegg, R. W. Harrington, E. Ishibashi, H. Nomura, M. North, Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 9724; j) with a-ketonitriles: S. Lundgren, E. Wingstrand, C. Moberg, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 364; k) ref. [10f]; l) J. Wang, W. Wang, W. Li, X. Hu, K. Shen, C. Tan, X. Liu, X. Feng, Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 11642; m) N. H. Khan, A. Sadhukhan, N. C. Maity, R. I. Kureshy, S. H. R. Abdi, S. Saravanan, H. C. Bajaj, Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 7073; n) E. Wingstrand, C. Moberg, Synlett 2010, 355.
- [13] Interesting results were also obtained with chiral bisammonium salts: R. Chinchilla, C. Nájera, F. J. Ortega, S. Tarí, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2009, 20, 2279.
- [14] Cooperative Catalysis-Designing Efficient Catalysts for Synthesis (Ed.: R. Peters), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2015.
- [15] Our research group has introduced the strategy of cooperative asymmetric intramolecular Lewis acid/aprotic onium salt catalysis: a) T. Kull, R. Peters, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 5461; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 5541; b) T. Kull, J. Cabrera, R. Peters, Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 9132; c) P. Meier, F. Broghammer, K. Latendorf, G. Rauhut, R. Peters, Molecules 2012, 17, 7121; d) F. Broghammer, D. Brodbeck, T. Junge, R. Peters, Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 1156; related catalyst systems: e) M. Mechler, R. Peters, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 10303; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 10442; f) this concept is also very useful in macromolecular chemistry see, e.g.: M. Winnacker, S. Vagin,

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 1-6

www.angewandte.org

© 2017 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Early study: M. Hayashi, Y. Miyamoto, T. Inoue, N. Oguni, J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1515.

B. Rieger in *Cooperative Catalysis–Designing Efficient Catalysts for Synthesis* (Ed.: R. Peters), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2015**; g) another application: K. Ohmatsu, S. Kawai, N. Imagawa, T. Ooi, *ACS Catal.* **2014**, *4*, 4304.

- [16] Me₂AlF: K. Ziegler, R. Köster, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1957, 608, 1.
- [17] T. Augenstein, F. Dorner, K. Reiter, H. E. Wagner, D. Garnier, W. Klopper, F. Breher, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, 22, 7935.
- [18] The (*R*)-configuration of **3** was determined by comparison to published optical rotation data (see the Supporting Information).
- [19] Early applications of homochiral Al salen complexes: a) M. S. Sigman, E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 5315; b) J. K. Myers, E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 8959.
- [20] Reviews on asymmetric onium salt catalysis: a) S. Shirakawa, K. Maruoka, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, *52*, 4312; *Angew. Chem.* 2013, *125*, 4408; b) K. Maruoka, *Org. Process Res. Dev.* 2008, *12*, 679.
- [21] We tried to detect {Al-F}CN, after prestirring {Al-F}OTf with KCN, by IR, ¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR (K¹³CN), and MS, but it could not be detected so far. The equilibrium is far on the {Al-F}OTf

side. This is also the reason why {Al-F}OTf is recovered unchanged after the catalytic reaction. {Al-F}CN is probably short-lived but much more reactive than {Al-F}OTf.

- [22] The absence of a non-linear effect supports a mechanism in which only one catalyst molecule is involved (see the Supporting Information).
- [23] a) R. T. Sanderson, *Polar Covalence*, Academic Press, New York, **1983**, p. 155; b) L. Pauling, *The Nature of The Chemical Bond*, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York, **1960**, p. 85.
- [24] A detailed description of the computational details is given in the Supporting Information.
- [25] G. Knizia, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2013, 9, 4834.
- [26] G. Knizia, J. E. M. N. Klein, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 5518; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 5609. For an application, see: M. Ayerbe Garcia, W. Frey, M. Ringenberg, M. Schwilk, R. Peters, Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 16806.

Manuscript received: December 29, 2016 Final Article published:

GDCh

Communications

Communications

Cooperative Catalysis

D. Brodbeck, F. Broghammer, J. Meisner, J. Klepp, D. Garnier, W. Frey, J. Kästner, R. Peters* ______ III - III

An Aluminum Fluoride Complex with an Appended Ammonium Salt as an Exceptionally Active Cooperative Catalyst for the Asymmetric Carboxycyanation of Aldehydes

AlF has landed: In comparison to its{Al-Me} and {Al-Cl} analogues, a structurally defined {Al-F} complex is unusually stable towards air, moisture and heat, probably as a result of the very strong Al-F bond. In asymmetric carboxycyanations, the {Al-F} complex shows extraordinary catalytic activity.

6 www.angewandte.org

© 2017 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 1-6

These are not the final page numbers!