

## Reversibility of Disubstituted Vinylidene–Internal Alkyne Isomerization at Cationic Ruthenium and Iron Complexes

Yuichiro Mutoh,\* Kohei Imai, Yusuke Kimura, Yousuke Ikeda, and Youichi Ishii\*

Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Chuo University, 1-13-27, Kasuga, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8551, Japan

Received November 26, 2010

Summary: The cationic disubstituted vinylideneruthenium complexes  $[CpRu\{=C=C(Ph)R\}(dppe)][BAr^{F}_{4}]$ , which are prepared directly from internal alkynes via 1,2-migration of carbon substituents, are shown to undergo disubstituted vinylidene-to-internal alkyne isomerization on reaction with a monophosphine. The observation provides the first examples in which the reversible conversion between internal alkynes and disubstituted vinylidenes is experimentally confirmed, and the kinetic and mechnistic investigations indicated that an uncommon electrophilic 1,2-migration of the carbon substituents takes place in the internal alkyne–disubstituted vinylidene interconversion.

It is well recognized that terminal alkynes are readily and reversibly interconvertible with monosubstituted vinylidenes at transition-metal complexes, and this rearrangement has in fact been utilized in many metal-promoted or -catalyzed transformations of alkynes as the key step.<sup>1</sup> In contrast, prior to our study, migration of carbon substituents in internal alkynes leading to the disubstituted vinylidenes was limited to very few rearrangements of acylalkynes,<sup>2</sup> although similar rearrangements of silyl-,<sup>3</sup> stannyl-,<sup>4</sup> sulfenyl-,<sup>5</sup> and

(4) (a) Venkatesan, K.; Blacque, O.; Fox, T.; Alfonso, M.; Schmalle, H. W.; Kheradmandan, S.; Berke, H. *Organometallics* 2005, *24*, 920–932. (b) Venkatesan, K.; Fox, T.; Schmalle, H. W.; Berke, H. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2005, 901–909.

(5) Miller, D. C.; Angelici, R. J. Organometallics 1991, 10, 79-89.

iodo-substituted<sup>6</sup> alkynes have appeared in the literature. Very recently, we have demonstrated that several iron and ruthenium complexes can affect the vinylidene rearrangement of a wide variety of internal alkynes to give disubstituted vinylidene complexes such as [CpRu{=C=C(Ph)Ar}-(dppe)][BAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>] (1; Cp =  $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, dppe = Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-PPh<sub>2</sub>, Ar<sup>F</sup> = 3,5-(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>).<sup>7</sup> We have also disclosed that this transformation involves an uncommon electrophilic 1,2migration of carbon substituents at the intermediary  $\eta^2$ -alkyne complexes. Meanwhile, the reverse process, i.e., the conversion of vinylidenes to alkynes, is well documented for the monosubstituted vinylidene complexes, especially the  $[(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Ru (PPh_3)_2$ ] system.<sup>8</sup> However, similar conversions of  $\eta^1$ -vinylidenes with two carbon substituents into the  $\eta^2$  internal alkynes have scarcely been explored. The only example reported so far is the *irreversible conversion* of the  $\eta^1$ -vinylidene in  $[CpFe(CO)_2 = C = C(Ph)Me](OTf) (Tf = SO_2CF_3)$  into the corresponding  $\eta^2$ -alkyne complex,<sup>9</sup> although the reversible migration of a silvl group was observed in the  $(\beta,\beta$ -bis(silvl)vinylidene)chromium complex  $[Cr(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)(CO)_2{=}C=C-(SiMe_3)_2}]^{3b}$  and  $(\beta$ -silyl- $\beta$ -arylvinylidene)rhodium complex *trans*-[RhCl{=}C=C(ferrocenyl)SiMe\_3)(P-*i*-Pr\_3)\_2].<sup>3c</sup> Now, we have experimentally demonstrated for the first time that the transformation between alkynes and vinylidenes via carbon substituent migration is potentially reversible (Scheme 1).

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: ymutoh@kc.chuo-u.ac.jp (Y.M.); yo-ishii@kc.chuo-u.ac.jp (Y.I.). (1) (a) Metal Vinylidenes and Allenylidenes in Catalysis: From

 <sup>(</sup>a) Metal Vinylidenes and Allenylidenes in Catalysis: From Reactivity to Applications in Synthesis; Bruneau, C., Dixneuf, P. H., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2008. (b) Bruce, M. I. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 197–257. (c) Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. Acc. Chem. Res. 1999, 32, 311– 323. (d) Valerga, P.; Puerta, M. C. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1999, 193–195, 977– 1025. (e) Wakatsuki, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 2004, 689, 4092–4109. (f) Katayama, H.; Ozawa, F. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2004, 248, 1703–1715. (g) Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2176–2203. (h) Varela, J. A.; Saá, C. Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 6450–6456. (i) Trost, B. M.; McClory, A. Chem. Asian J. 2008, 3, 164–194. (j) Lynam, J. M. Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 8238–8247.

<sup>(2) (</sup>a) King, P. J.; Knox, S. A. R.; Legge, M. S.; Orpen, A. G.; Wilkinson, J. N.; Hill, E. A. *Dalton Trans.* **2000**, 1547–1548. (b) Shaw, M. J.; Bryant, S. W.; Rath, N. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, 3943–3946. (c) Bustelo, E.; de los Rios, I.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. *Organometallics* **2010**, *29*, 1740–1749.

<sup>(3) (</sup>a) Werner, H.; Baum, M.; Schneider, D.; Windmüller, B. Organometallics **1994**, *13*, 1089–1097. (b) Connelly, N. G.; Geiger, W. E.; Lagunas, M. C.; Metz, B.; Rieger, A. L.; Rieger, P. H.; Shaw, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, *117*, 12202–12208. (c) Katayama, H.; Onitsuka, K.; Ozawa, F. Organometallics **1996**, *15*, 4642–4645. (d) Katayama, H.; Ozawa, F. Organometallics **1998**, *17*, 5190–5196. (e) Sakurai, H.; Fujii, T.; Sakamoto, K. Chem. Lett. **1992**, 339–342. (f) Naka, A.; Okazaki, S.; Hayashi, M.; Ishikawa, M. J. Organomet. Chem. **1995**, *499*, 35–41. (g) Werner, H.; Lass, R. W.; Gevert, O.; Wolf, J. Organometallics **1997**, *16*, 4077–4088. (h) Jiménez, M. V.; Sola, E.; Lahoz, F. J.; Oro, L. A. Organometallics **2006**, *24*, 2722–2729. (i) 11g, K.; Paneque, M.; Poveda, M. L.; Rendón, N.; Santos, L. L.; Carmona, E.; Mereiter, K. Organometallics **2006**, *25*, 2230–2236.

<sup>(6)</sup> Miura, T.; Iwasawa, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 518-519.

 <sup>(7) (</sup>a) Ikeda, Y.; Yamaguchi, T.; Kanao, K.; Kimura, K.; Kaminura,
 S.; Mutoh, Y.; Tanabe, Y.; Ishii, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 16856–
 16857. (b) Mutoh, Y.; Ikeda, Y.; Kimura, Y.; Ishii, Y. Chem. Lett. 2009, 38, 534–

<sup>(8)</sup> For representative examples, see the following.  $[(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Ru]$ : (a) Cadierno, V.; Gamasa, M. P.; Gimeno, J.; Pérez-Carreño, E.; García-Granda, S. Organometallics 1999, 18, 2821-2832. (b) Cadierno, V.; Conejero, S.; Gamasa, M. P.; Gimeno, J.; Pérez-Carreño, E.; García-Granda, S. Organometallics 2001, 20, 3175-3189. (c) Cadierno, V.; Gamasa, M. P.; Gimeno, J.; González-Bernardo, C.; Pérez-Carreño, E.; García-Granda, S. Organometallics 2001, 20, 5177-5188. (d) Cadierno, V.; Conejero, S.; Gamasa, M. P.; Gimeno, J.; Falvello, L. R.; Llusar, R. M. Organometallics **2002**, *21*, 3716–3726. (e) Cadierno, V.; Conejero, S.; Gamasa, M. P.; Gimeno, J. Dalton Trans. **2003**, 3060–3066. (f) Nishibayashi, Y.; Imajima, H.; Onodera, G.; Uemura, S. Organometallics 2005, 24, 4106-4109. (g) Gamasa, M. P.; Bassetti, M.; Cadierno, V.; Gimeno, J.; Pasquini, C. Organometallics 2008, 27, 5009-5016. [CpRu]: (h) Bullock, R. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 165–167. [( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)Ru]: (i) Bruce, M. I.; Hall, B. C.; Tiekink, E. R. T. Aust. J. Chem. 1997, 50, 1097–1099. [{tris(pyrazolyborato)}Ru]: (j) Slugovc, C.; Sapunov, V. N.; Wiede, P.; Mereiter, K.; Schmid, R.; Kirchner, K. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1997**, 4209–4216. [CpW] and [CpMo]: (k) Ipaktschi, J.; Mohsseni-Ala, J.; Uhlig, S. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 4313–4320. Other systems: (1) Rappert, T.; Nürnberg, O.; Mahr, N.; Wolf, J.; Werner, H. Organometallics 1992, 11, 4156-4164. (m) Albertin, G.; Antoniutti, S.; Bordignon, E.; Cazzaro, F.; Ianelli, S.; Pelizzi, G. Organome-tallics **1995**, *14*, 4114–4125. (n) Martin, M.; Gevert, O.; Werner, H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1996, 2275-2283.

<sup>(9)</sup> Bly, R. S.; Zhong, Z.; Kane, C.; Bly, R. K. Organometallics 1994, 13, 899–905.

 Table 1. Reaction of Disubstituted Vinylidene Complexes 1

 with L<sup>a</sup>



entry	1	R	L	time	2	GC yield (%)
1	1a	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> OMe- <i>p</i>	PPh <sub>3</sub>	1 h	2a	95
2	1b	$C_6H_4Me-p$	PPh <sub>3</sub>	4 h	2b	99
3	1c	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	PPh <sub>3</sub>	23 h	2c	91
4	1d	$C_6H_5Cl-p$	PPh <sub>3</sub>	22 h	2d	51
5	1e	$C_6H_4CO_2Et-p$	PPh <sub>3</sub>	137 h	2e	81
6	1f	Me	PPh <sub>3</sub>	34 days	2f	21
7	1g	COPh	PPh <sub>3</sub>	3 h	2g	91
8	1c	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	$PMe_2Ph^b$	23 h	2c	92
9	1c	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	$PMe_3^{b}$	19 h	2c	89
10	1c	$C_6H_5$	P(OPh) <sub>3</sub>	23 h	2c	99
11	1c	$C_6H_5$	MeCN	24 h		no reacn

<sup>*a*</sup> Conditions:  $[1]_0 = 10.0 \text{ mM}$ ,  $[L]_0 = 12.0 \text{ mM}$ , in toluene, at 100 °C. <sup>*b*</sup>  $[L]_0 = 20.0 \text{ mM}$ . <sup>*c*</sup> Neat, at reflux temperature.





The migratory aptitude of the alkyl and aryl substituents in this rearrangement has been investigated.

When the disubstituted vinylidene complex [CpRu{=C=C- $(Ph)C_6H_4OMe_p\}(dppe)][BAr_4]$  (1a) was allowed to react with PPh<sub>3</sub> (1.2 equiv) in toluene at 100 °C for 1 h, a color change from red to pale yellow was observed, and the <sup>31</sup>P-<sup>1</sup>H} NMR analysis of the solution indicated the complete consumption of 1a. GC analysis of the reaction mixture showed liberation of the internal alkyne  $PhC \equiv CC_6H_4OMe-p$ (2a) from 1a in 83% yield. The organometallic product  $[CpRu(dppe)(PPh_3)][BAr^F_4]$  (3) was isolated in good yield as pale yellow crystals, which was confirmed by spectroscopic and elemental analysis as well as by an X-ray study (structure not shown). As summarized in Table 1, diarylalkynes 2b-e with various substituents at the para position were also obtained in good yields from the (aryl)(phenyl)-substituted vinylidenes (entries 2-5), where the electron-donating substituents enhanced the reaction rate.  $\beta$ -Alkyl and  $\beta$ -acyl groups were tolerated in the reaction (entries 6 and 7), but the conversion of 1f was much slower than for diarylvinylidene complexes. Similar reactions with other tertiary phosphines such as PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph, PMe<sub>3</sub>, and P(OPh)<sub>3</sub> proceeded smoothly to give the corresponding alkyne and phosphine-ligated complexes  $[CpRu(dppe)(P)][BAr^{F_4}]$  (P = PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph, PMe<sub>3</sub>, P(OPh)<sub>3</sub>) (entries 8-10). In the absence of a phosphine ligand,







disubstituted vinylidenes were stable in MeCN (entry 11), whereas the indenylruthenium disubstituted vinylidene complex  $[(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Ru\{=C=C(Ph)C_6H_4OMe-p\}(dppe)][BArF_4]$ (4) reacted with MeCN to give **2a** and the Ru–NCMe complex  $[(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Ru(dppe)(NCMe)][BArF_4]$  (5) (Scheme 2).<sup>10</sup> Since **1** and **4** are directly obtained by the reaction of [CpRuCl(dppe)] and  $[(\eta^5-C_9H_7)RuCl(dppe)]$  with internal alkynes **2** in the presence of NaBArF<sub>4</sub>,<sup>7b</sup> these observations provide the first examples in which the reversible conversion between internal alkynes and disubstituted vinylidenes has been experimentally confirmed.

Not only the ruthenium complexes **1** and **4** but also the disubstituted vinylideneiron complex [CpFe(=C=C(Ph)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-OMe-*p*)(dppe)][BAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>] (**6**),<sup>7b</sup> which can be derived directly from [CpFeCl(dppe)] and **2a** in the presence of NaBAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>, undergoes vinylidene-to-alkyne rearrangement on treatment with PPh<sub>3</sub> in toluene at 100 °C to give alkyne **2a** and the PPh<sub>3</sub>-ligated complex [CpFe(dppe)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)][BAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>] (**7**) (Scheme 3). It should be noted that only the disubstituted vinylidene-to-alkyne rearrangement was observed at [CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, as already mentioned,<sup>9</sup> while [CpFe(dppe)]<sup>+</sup> can promote both conversions.

The kinetic aspects of the reaction were revealed by following the conversion of the disubstituted vinylidene complex **1c** into the phosphine complex **3** by means of <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectroscopy at 100 °C. No intermediate species was detected, and the reaction could be treated as first order in the concentration of **1c**. As given in Table 2, the observed rate constant ( $k_{obs}$ ) was estimated as [4.65(6)] × 10<sup>-5</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in the presence of 1.2 equiv of PPh<sub>3</sub> (entry 1), and this value was not affected at this temperature either by the concentration of added PPh<sub>3</sub> (entries 1–4) or by that of added **2c** (entry 5). In addition, essentially the same  $k_{obs}$  values were obtained even

<sup>(10)</sup> Although we must await further investigation, this observation may be attributable to the so-called "indenyl effect": (a) Hartwig, J. F. In *Organotransition Metal Chemistry: From Bonding to Catalysis*; University Science Books: Sausalito, CA, 2010; pp 250–253. (b) O'Connor, J. M.; Casey, C. P. *Chem. Rev.* **1987**, *87*, 307–318. (c) Veiros, L. F. *Organotralics* **2000**, *19*, 3127–3136. (d) Zargarian, D. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *233–234*, 157–176. (e) Calhorda, M, J.; Romão, C. C.; Veiros, L. F. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2002**, *8*, 868–875.

Table 2. Effect of Concentration and Types of Phosphine on the First-Order Rate Constants  $k_{obs}$  for the Conversion of 1c into  $3^a$ 



1	PPh <sub>3</sub>	10.3	4.65(6)
2	PPh <sub>3</sub>	26.3	4.61(5)
3	PPh <sub>3</sub>	41.6	4.60(4)
4	PPh <sub>3</sub>	83.1	4.67(5)
$5^b$	PPh <sub>3</sub>	25.2	4.67(7)
6	PMe <sub>2</sub> Ph	23.2	4.67(8)
7	PMe <sub>3</sub>	26.0	4.68(6)
8	$P(OPh)_3$	25.9	4.68(5)

<sup>*a*</sup> Reaction conditions:  $[1c]_0 = 8.47 \pm 0.12$  mM,  $[2c]_0 = 0$  mM, in toluene, at 100 °C for 10 h (ca. 80% conversion of 1c). <sup>*b*</sup>  $[2c]_0 = 25.2$  mM.



when different phosphines were used (entries 2 and 6–8). On the basis of these observations, the present reaction is deduced to be a two-step successive reaction involving the intramolecular isomerization of the starting disubstituted vinylidene complex (1V) to the  $\eta^2$  internal alkyne intermediate (1A) followed by ligand substitution to give 3a (Scheme 4). Judging from the coordinatively saturated nature of 1A, the latter process is considered to proceed by a dissociative mechanism, but the zero-order dependence on the concentration of added phosphine and internal alkyne indicates that the isomerization process from 1V to 1A is the rate-determining step. This view agrees with the fact that the concentration of 1A remains lower than the observation limit by  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR.

To gain further insight into the isomerization mechanism, we next investigated the migratory aptitude of the substituents in disubstituted vinylidene complexes 1 using <sup>13</sup>Cenriched vinylidene complexes 1-<sup>13</sup>C (Table 3). Migrations of the R group in 1-<sup>13</sup>C<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> and phenyl group in 1-<sup>13</sup>C<sub> $\beta$ </sub> (denoted as R migration and Ph migration, respectively) give rise to the internal alkynes 2-<sup>13</sup>C<sub>R</sub>, where the carbon atom next to the R group is labeled with <sup>13</sup>C. Similarly, Ph migration from 1-<sup>13</sup>C<sub> $\beta$ </sub> and R migration from 1-<sup>13</sup>C<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> leads to the formation of 2-<sup>13</sup>C<sub>Ph</sub> with the <sup>13</sup>C-enriched carbon atom next to the phenyl group. Detailed <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR analyses disclosed the ratio of R migration to Ph migration as shown in the table, where the migratory aptitude is in the order COPh > C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>Et-*p* > C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Cl-*p*/C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub> > C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me-*p* > Me > C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-OMe-*p*. In this regard, the order obtained for Ph/Me migration is in accordance with Ph migration at [CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>-{=C=C(Ph)Me}](OTf) rather than Me migration.<sup>9</sup> Hammett analysis of the relative migratory aptitude of aryl groups Table 3. Migratory Aptitude in the Reaction of  $1^{-13}$ C with PPh<sub>3</sub><sup>*a*</sup>



R	time	R migration/Ph migration <sup>b</sup>
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> OMe- <i>p</i>	1 h	3/97
$C_6H_4Me-p$	4 h	25/75
$C_6H_4Cl-p$	44 h	50/50
$C_6H_4CO_2Et-p$	137 h	83/13
Me	34 days	15/85
COPh	3 h	>99/ < 1

<sup>*a*</sup> The reactions were performed as shown in Table 1. The asterisks represent <sup>13</sup>C-enriched carbon atoms. **2-<sup>13</sup>C** were obtained in 8–86% GC yields and **3** in 68–89% isolated yields. <sup>*b*</sup> The ratios were estimated by <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR analysis. See the Supporting Information for details.

indicates an almost linear correlation between  $\sigma_{\rm P}$  and log[(Ar migration)/(Ph migration)] ( $\rho = 2.8$ ).

The above order of the migratory aptitude is opposite to that of common nucleophilic rearrangements in organic chemistry, where the migrating group moves with its bonding electrons.<sup>11</sup> The derived  $\rho$  value denotes substantial development of negative charge in the transition state, reflecting that an electron-withdrawing group enhances migratory aptitude. These tendencies are also observed for internal alkyne-disubstituted vinylidene isomerization at an anionic Ru(P<sub>3</sub>O<sub>9</sub>) complex<sup>7a</sup> and cationic CpRu and CpFe complexes.<sup>7b</sup> Therefore, we suggest that the  $\eta^2$  internal alkyne $-\eta^1$  disubstituted vinylidene isomerization proceeds through an uncommon electrophilic 1,2-shift of the carbon substituents. In this context, it should be mentioned that the mechanism of vinylidene rearrangement of terminal alkynes at ruthenium complexes still remains a subject of discussion; migration of a proton is proposed for the rearrangement of  $[RuCl_2(HC=CH)(PH_3)_2]$ ,<sup>12</sup> while it has been suggested that migration of a hydride rather than a proton could be involved in the  $\eta^1$  monosubstituted vinylidene to  $\eta^2$ -1-alkyne rearrangement at the indenylruthenium complex  $[(\eta^5 C_9H_7$ )Ru(=C=CHAr)(PPh\_3)<sub>2</sub>][PF<sub>6</sub>].<sup>8g</sup>

It should also be noted that the reactivity of disubstituted vinylidenes 1 for the present rearrangement is not controlled by the migratory aptitude of the substituents; **1a** with a C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>OMe-*p* substituent, which shows the lowest migratory aptitude, is the fastest to react. Probably the stability of the vinylidene and  $\eta^2$ -alkyne complexes is increased by an electron-withdrawing group. A similar tendency was observed for the internal alkyne to vinylidene isomerization at [(P<sub>3</sub>O<sub>9</sub>)Ru]<sup>-</sup>, [CpRu]<sup>+</sup>, and [CpFe]<sup>+</sup> complexes.<sup>7</sup>

In summary, we have demonstrated the first reversible interconversion between internal alkynes and disubstituted vinylidenes at cationic ruthenium and iron complexes.

<sup>(11)</sup> Smith, M. B.; March, J. In *March's Advanced Organic Chemistry: Reactions, Mechanisms, and Structure*, 6th ed.; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2007; pp 1568–1570.

<sup>(12)</sup> Wakatsuki, Y.; Koga, N.; Yamazaki, H.; Morokuma, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 8105–8111.

The kinetics and migratory aptitude revealed that an uncommon electrophilic 1,2-shift of carbon substituents takes place in the present isomerization. Further studies on detailed mechanisms and synthetic applications of this reaction will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgment. This work was financially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (No. 20037060, "Chemistry of *Concerto* Catalysis") from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

**Supporting Information Available:** Text, figures, and CIF files giving experimental procedures and crystallographic data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.