Tetrahedron Letters 54 (2013) 1579-1583

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

tlet

etrahedro

Aerobic oxidative deprotection of benzyl-type ethers under atmospheric pressure catalyzed by 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ)/*tert*-butyl nitrite

Zhenlu Shen^{a,*}, Lili Sheng^a, Xiaochu Zhang^a, Weimin Mo^a, Baoxiang Hu^a, Nan Sun^a, Xinquan Hu^{a,b,*}

^a College of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, China ^b State Key Laboratory for Oxo Synthesis and Selective Oxidation, Lanzhou Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 5 November 2012 Revised 7 January 2013 Accepted 11 January 2013 Available online 17 January 2013

Keywords: Deprotection 2,3-Dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) *tert*-Butyl nitrite (TBN) Oxygen Benzyl-type ether

The selective and efficient protection and deprotection of hydroxyl groups play a crucial role in organic synthesis, as these methods have been extensively utilized in different areas of complex syntheses of natural products, pharmaceutical chemicals, and materials.¹ Protection of hydroxyl groups as benzyl-type ethers has long been developed as a valuable reaction,² which is mainly due to that benzyl-type protecting groups provide a number of significant advantages such as ease of introduction, stability toward both acidic and basic reaction conditions, and relative insensitivity to various oxidizing and reducing agents. These benzyl-type protecting groups include benzyl (Bn), diphenylmethyl, trityl, p-methoxybenzyl (PMB), m-methoxybenzyl, p-phenylbenzyl (PPB), *p*-halobenzyl, 3,5-dimethoxylbenzyl, and 2-naphthylmethyl, etc.³ There are various methods for the removal of benzyl-type protecting groups. Lewis acids. including SnCl₄-PhSH.⁴ AlCl₃-EtSH,⁵ CeCl₃·7H₂O-NaI,⁶ NaCNBH₃-BF₃·Et₂O,⁷ ZrCl₄-CH₃CN,⁸ or Ce(OTf)₃-CH₃NO₂,⁹ etc, have been introduced to facilitate the cleavage of benzyl-type ethers. TfOH, a Bronsted acid, also can mediate p-methoxybenzyl group demasking.¹⁰ The use of stoichiometric oxidants, such as 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ),¹¹ ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN),¹² or phenyliodine bis(trifluoroacetate) (PIFA),¹³ for the oxidative

ABSTRACT

A facile and efficient protocol for the oxidative deprotection of benzyl-type ethers has been developed. The reaction was performed with catalytic amounts of 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) and *tert*-butyl nitrite (TBN) under atmospheric pressure of O₂. Under the optimal reaction conditions, a variety of *p*-methoxybenzyl (PMB), *p*-phenylbenzyl (PPB), and benzyl (Bn) ethers can be deprotected to their corresponding alcohols in excellent conversions and selectivities.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

cleavage of benzyl-type ethers remains a popular protocol. Among these oxidants, DDQ is used most frequently. An example of oxidative deprotection of PMB ethers with DDQ in large-scale can be found in the synthesis of discodermolide by Novartis.¹⁴ However, the use of stoichiometric DDQ results in the isolation problem because of the concomitant by-product 2,3-dichloro-5,6dicyano-hydroquinone (DDHQ). To avoid this inherent disadvantage, a combination of catalytic amount of DDQ and a lessexpensive co-oxidant is an interesting alternative. Several inorganic oxidants can be acted as the co-oxidant to regenerate DDHQ, and the combination of DDQ-FeCl₃,¹⁵ DDQ-Mn(OAc)₃,¹⁶ or DDQ-MnO₂¹⁷ can be effectively used for the removal of PMB or 3,4-dimethoxybenzyl group. However, using of a great amount of these inorganic oxidants brings new environmental effluents.

In the recent years, using the catalytic oxidation systems employing catalytic amounts of DDQ and a co-catalyst with molecular oxygen serving as the terminal oxidant to replace the stoichiometric DDQ has received interests. These catalytic oxidation systems have remarkable environmental and economic benefits, and have been successfully applied in oxidative dehydrogenation,¹⁸ alcohol oxidation,¹⁹ and oxidative cross-dehydrogenative coupling reactions.²⁰ With our previous TEMPO-catalyzed aerobic oxidation,²¹ we recently reported DDQ/*tert*-butyl nitrite (TBN) catalyst system for the aerobic oxidation of alcohols and oxidative deprotection of PMB ethers in autoclave.²² For the purpose of simplifying the



^{*} Corresponding authors. Tel.: +86 571 88320416; fax: +86 571 88320103.

E-mail addresses: zhenlushen@zjut.edu.cn (Z. Shen), xinquan@zjut.edu.cn (X. Hu).

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter \circledcirc 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2013.01.045



Scheme 1. Oxidative deprotection of benzyl-type ethers with DDQ/TBN/O2.

equipment and extending the substrate scope, we investigated the aerobic oxidative deprotection of several benzyl-type ethers at atmospheric pressure of O_2 in the presence of DDQ/TBN (Scheme 1). The successful results are described in this Letter.

In our initial screening experiments, oxidative deprotection of PMB ether 1a with DDQ/TBN/O2 was selected as the model reaction. The optimized results of the influence of various parameters including solvent, the amounts of DDQ and TBN, reaction time, and reaction temperature are summarized in Table 1. Firstly, the reaction was carried out in different solvents with 5 mol % DDQ and 5 mol % TBN under atmospheric pressure of O₂ in balloon at 100 °C. Results in Table 1 showed the solvent can greatly affect the oxidative deprotection of PMB ether. It was found that chlorobenzene, ethylene glycol diethyl ether, and 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane led to excellent conversion of **1a** and selectivity to 2phenylethanol (entries 1, 2, 5). Chlorobenzene might be the most suitable solvent, in which oxidative deprotection of 1a could be completed in 1.5 h. In ethylene glycol monoethyl ether, moderate conversion of **1a** was obtained in 3 h (entry 3). Other solvents such as ethylene glycol, xylenes, DMF, and *n*-BuOH, resulted in poor conversions (entries 4, 6-8). Further study indicated that decreasing the load of DDQ and TBN from 5 to 3 mol %, a longer reaction time was needed and the selectivity to 2-phenylethanol was slightly decreased. Afterward, the effect of reaction time was investigated. At 80 °C, the reaction rate was slowed down, and a 96% conversion of 1a was obtained in 3.5 h. When the reaction temperature was increased to 120 °C, the reaction time could be shorten to 1 h, while the selectivity to 2-phenylethanol was decreased to 95% (entry 11). On the basis of these experimental data, we concluded that 5 mol % of DDQ and 5 mol % TBN in chlorobenzene at 100 °C were suitable for oxidative deprotection of PMB ether under atmospheric pressure of O₂.²³

PMB is almost the most frequently used alcohol protecting group in the type of benzyl series, and the results of oxidative deprotection of a variety of PMB ethers with the optimized

reaction conditions are summarized in Table 2. PMB ethers of 1-octanol, 2-octanol, and cyclohexanol (1b-d) underwent a complete deprotection of PMB group to furnish the corresponding alcohols in excellent selectivity (entries 2-4). When PMB ethers 1e and 1f with high steric hindrance were subjected to deprotection, increasing the catalyst loading was needed (entries 5 and 6). PMB ethers containing a heterocyclic moiety (1g-i) could also be fully deprotected. The successful deprotection of 1g showed that the oxidative deprotection can endure the Boc group (entry 7). Diacetone-D-glucose 2i, bounding two acid-sensitive isopropylidene group as well as a glycosidic ring, could be obtained from its PMB ether 1j in 94% isolated yield (entry 10). The substrates with two different hydroxyl protecting groups (11-o) were also submitted to the oxidative deprotection reactions. The results showed that using this procedure the PMB ethers were selectively cleaved, giving the corresponding alcohols without affecting the other functional groups (entries 12–15). In case of entry 16, the PMB ether of *p*-chlorophenol (**1p**) gave very poor conversion (<5%) in deprotection under our reaction condition. Similar result was obtained when the PMB ether of phenol was used as the substrate. Thus, we thought that this method might be proposed to selectively deprotect alkyl PMB ether in the presence of aryl PMB ether, and PMB ether of 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)phenol (1q) with two PMB groups was subjected to the oxidative deprotection. The desired product **2q** was obtained in 81% isolated yield (entry 17).

Comparing with PMB group, the PPB group is more compatible under acidic conditions.^{11c} Thus, the oxidative deprotection of a series of PPB ethers catalyzed with DDQ/TBN under dioxygen atmosphere (balloon) at 100 °C was studied. The results are listed in Table 3. It was found that all the PPB ethers (3a-n) could be completely deprotected in an appropriate reaction time with excellent selectivities (entries 1-14). It should be noted that a longer reaction time was needed to complete deprotection of a PPB ether than that of a PMB ether. For example, for the cleavage of 1-octyl PPB ether and 1octyl PMB ether, the reactions were complete in 5 h (Table 2, entry 2) and 3 h (Table 1, entry 2), respectively. When PPB ether 3j was used as the substrate, dosage of DDQ and TBN should be increased to 12 mol % to shorten the reaction time and maintain the selectivity to 2j. Relatively low isolated yield of 2j (80%) was due to the hydrolysis of isopropylidene groups in the weak acidic reaction system. Then, the cleavage of benzyl protecting group of alcohols was performed in the same way. Bn ethers of 2-phenylethanol (4a) and 1-octanol (4b) were successfully deprotected to give the desired alcohols (entries 15 and 16). Thus, we believe that other benzyl-type

Table 1

Optimization of reaction conditions for the oxidative deprotection of 1a^a

	OPMB DDQ, TBN O ₂ -Balloon OH							
		1a		2a				
Entry	Solvent	DDQ (mol %)	TBN (mol %)	T (°C)	Time (h)	Conv. (%)	Select. (%)	
1	PhCl	5	5	100	1.5	100	>99	
2	EtO(CH ₂) ₂ OEt	5	5	100	3	99	>99	
3	EtO(CH ₂) ₂ OH	5	5	100	3	67	90	
4	HOCH ₂ CH ₂ OH	5	5	100	3	11	90	
5	CHCl ₂ CHCl ₂	5	5	100	3	92	94	
6	Xylenes	5	5	100	6	10	74	
7	DMF	5	5	100	6	29	85	
8	n-BuOH	5	5	100	6	28	94	
9	PhCl	3	3	100	3	100	98	
10	PhCl	5	5	80	3.5	96	98	
11	PhCl	5	5	120	1	100	95	

^a Reaction conditions: **1a** (2 mmol), solvent (5 mL), DDQ (5 mol %), TBN (5 mol %), O2 (balloon, 1 atm). The conversion and selectivity were determined by GC with area normalization.

Table 2

Oxidative deprotection of PMB ethers^a

			DDQ, TBN				
		PMBO-R	O₂-Balloon	R-OH			
		1	- 2	2			
Entry	Substrate	Produ	uct		Time (h)	Conv. (%)	Select. ^b (%)
	OPMB	\land	,OH				
1		Í	Ĩ		1.5	100	>99
	1a		2a				
2	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₇ OPMB (1b)	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₇ OH (2b)		3	100	>99
3			\sim \sim γ		4.5	100	>99
	OPMB 1c		OH 2c				
4	ОРМВ)—он		3.5	100	>00
4	1d		2d		5.5	100	- 33
	ОРМВ		ОН				
5 ^c		_/			2	100	>99
			2e				
			$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$				
6 ^c		\langle			3	100	>99
	ОРМВ	\square	OH				
7		\checkmark	N BOC 20		2	100	>99 (95)
		/	2g				
8	ОРМВ		OH		1	100	>99
	0 1h	0	2h				
9 ^c		CI			2.5	100	97 (84)
	OPMB 1i	01	OH 2i				
	0-	C)				
		\times					
10 ^c		/			2	100	(94)
	PMBO O \ 1j		HO O \	2j			
11	PMBO(CH ₂) ₆ OPMB (1k)	HO(C	H ₂) ₆ OH (2k)	3	6	100	>99
12	$MeO(CH_2)_6OPMB$ (11)	MeO($(CH_2)_6OH(2I)$		3	100	>99
13	$ACO(CH_2)_6OPMB(IIII)$ B2O(CH_2)_6OPMB(IIII)	ACU() BzO()	$(H_2)_6 OH (2III)$		4	100	>99 (93)
15	$MOMO(CH_2)_{c}OPMB(10)$	MON	$10(CH_{2})_{c}OH(2n)$		2	100	98
10			OH		2	100	50
16			Í		5	<5%	_
-	Cl 1p	CI	~2р				
				Н			
17	Ĩ Ĩ				5	100	(81)
	PMB0	lq PME	30	2q			

^a Reaction conditions: PMB ether (2 mmol), chlorobenzene (5 mL), DDQ (5 mol %), TBN (5 mol %), O₂ (balloon, 1 atm), 100 °C. The conversion and selectivity were determined by GC with area normalization.

^b Values in parentheses are isolated yields.

^c DDQ (8 mol %), TBN (8 mol %).

protecting groups, which can be removed by stoichiometric DDQ, will be demasked using this procedure. Compared with Bn and PPB groups, PMB group was easy to demask. Entries 17 and 18 showed that the PMB group was selectively cleaved substantially without affecting the Bn and PPB groups. The isolated yields of BnO(CH₂)₆OH (**2r**) and PPBO(CH₂)₆OH (**2s**) were 89% and 86%, respectively.

In conclusion, we have developed a methodology for the oxidative deprotection of benzyl-type ethers catalyzed with DDQ/ TBN under atmospheric pressure of O_2 . Under the optimal reaction conditions, a variety of PMB, PPB, and Bn ethers can be deprotected to their corresponding alcohols in high conversions and selectivities. The substrates with different hydroxyl protecting groups can selectively cleave the benzyl-type groups without affecting the other functional groups. The notable features of this methodology including environmental friendliness and operational simplicity make this protocol more resourceful for future applications.

Table 3

Oxidative deprotection of benzyl-type ethers^a

Entry	Substrate	Product	Time (h)	Conv. (%)	Select. ^b (%)
1		2a	5	100	>99
2			-	100	
2		20	5	100	>99
3	OPPB 3c	2c	5	100	>99
4	ОРРВ 3d	2d	3	100	>99
E ^C		20	2	100	>00
5		26	Z	100	~99
65	\frown	2f	4	100	200
0	OPPB 3f	21	4	100	~55
7	ОРРВ	2~	7	100	200
/	∕_N _{Boc} 3g	zg	1	100	299
8	ОРРВ	2h	45	100	>99
-	0 3h				
9 ^c	CI	2i	3	100	97 (82)
	OPPB 3i				
10 ^d		2j	3	100	(80)
	BPPO 'O' 3j				
11 ^c	PPBO(CH ₂) ₆ OPPB (3k)	2k	6	100	>99
12	$MeO(CH_2)_6OPPB$ (31)	21	5	100	>99
13	$AcO(CH_2)_6OPPB$ (3m)	2m	6.5	100	>99 (97)
14	$BZU(CH_2)_6OPPB(3n)$	2n	5	100	>99 (95)
45	UDII		2	100	
15	4a	2a	3	100	>99
16	$CH_2(CH_2)_2OBn$ (4b)	2b	4	100	>99
17	$BnO(CH_2)_cOPMB$ (5a)	BnO(CH ₂) _e OH ($2\mathbf{r}$)	3	100	97 (89)
18 ^c	$PPBO(CH_2)_6OPMB (5b)$	$PPBO(CH_2)_6OH(2s)$	2.5	100	(86)
	(2)3 ()	270 . (- 7			. /

^a Reaction conditions: PMB or Bn ether (2 mmol), chlorobenzene (5 mL), DDQ (5 mol %), TBN (5 mol %), O₂ (balloon, 1 atm), 100 °C. The conversion and selectivity were determined by GC or HPLC with area normalization.

^b Values in parentheses are isolated yields.

^c DDQ (8 mol %), TBN (8 mol %).

^d DDQ (12 mol %), TBN (12 mol %).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundations of China (NSFC 21146001), and the Key Science and Technology Innovation Team of Zhejiang Province (2009R09002-04).

Supplementary data

Supplementary data (experimental details and copies of ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, HRMS for the compounds 1q, 2q, 2r, 2s, 3a-n, 5a, 5b) associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2013.01.045.

References and notes

- 1. (a) Greene, T. W. Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis; Wiley: New York, 1991; (b) Kocienski, P. J. Protecting Groups; Thieme: Stuttagart New York, 1994.
- 2. For a review, see: Jarowicki, K.; Kocienski, P. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2001, 2109.
- 3. For a review, see: Steven, A. W.; Daniel, Z. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 7833.
- 4. Yu, W.; Su, M.; Gao, X.; Yang, Z.; Jin, Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 4015.
- 5. Bouzide, A.; Sauvé, G. Synlett 1997, 1153.
- Cappa, A.; Marcantoni, E.; Torregiani, E.; Bartoli, G.; Belucci, M. C.; Bosco, M.; 6. Sambri, L. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 5696.
- 7. Srikrishna, A.; Viswajanani, R.; Sattigeri, J. A.; Vijaykumar, D. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 5961.
- 8
- Sharma, G. V. M.; Reddy, C. G.; Krishna, P. R. J. Org. Chem. **2003**, 68, 4574. Bartoli, G.; Dalpozzo, R.; De Nino, A.; Maiuolo, L.; Nardi, M.; Procopio, A.; 9. Tagarelli, A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 2176.
- 10. Jung, M. E.; Koch, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 6051.

- (a) Oikawa, Y.; Yoshioka, T.; Yonemitsu, O. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1982**, *23*, 885; (b) Oikawa, Y.; Tanaka, T.; Horita, K.; Yoshioka, T.; Yonemitsu, O. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, *25*, 5393; (c) Horita, K.; Yoshioka, T.; Tanaka, T.; Oikawa, Y.; Yonemitsu, O. *Tetrahedron* **1986**, *42*, 3021; (d) Sharma, G. V. M.; Rakesh *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 5571.
- 12. Johansson, R.; Samuelsson, B. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1984, 2371.
- 13. Watanabe, K.; Katoh, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 5393.
- Caron, S.; Dugger, R. W.; Ruggeri, S. G.; Ragan, J. A.; Ripin, D. H. B. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 2943.
- 15. Chandrasekhar, S.; Sumithra, G.; Yadav, J. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 1645.
- 16. Sharma, G. V. M.; Lavanya, B.; Mahalingam, A. K.; Krishna, P. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 10323.
- 17. Liu, L.; Floreancig, P. E. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4686.
- (a) Zhang, W.; Ma, H.; Zhou, L. P.; Sun, Z. Q.; Du, Z. T.; Miao, H.; Xu, J. Molecules 2008, 13, 3245; (b) Zhang, W.; Ma, H.; Zhou, L. P.; Miao, H.; Xu, J. Chin. J. Catal. 2009, 30, 86.

- 19. Wang, L. Y.; Li, J.; Yang, H.; Lv, Y.; Gao, S. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 790.
- 20. Alagiri, K.; Devadig, P.; Prabhu, K. R. Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 5160.
- (a) Xie, Y.; Mo, W. M.; Xu, D.; Shen, Z. L.; Sun, N.; Hu, B. X.; Hu, X. Q. J. Org. Chem.
 2007, 72, 4288; (b) He, X. J.; Shen, Z. L.; Mo, W. M.; Sun, N.; Hu, B. X.; Hu, X. Q. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 89.
- Shen, Z. L; Dai, J. L; Xiong, J.; He, X. J.; Mo, W. M.; Hu, B. X.; Sun, N.; Hu, X. Q. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 3031.
- 23. General procedure for the deprotecion of 1a: To a 25 mL flask equipped with a condenser was added 0.48 g of 1-methoxy-4-(phenethoxymethyl)benzene (1a, 2 mmol), 22.7 mg of DDQ (0.1 mmol, 5 mol %), 12 μL of TBN (10.3 mg, 0.1 mmol, 5 mol %), and 5 mL of chlorobenzene. Before reaction, air was replaced by purging with a stream of O₂. Then the solution was stirred under dioxygen atmosphere (balloon) at 100 °C for 1.5 h. After cooling to room temperature, the sample from the reaction mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, and the conversion and selectivity were detected by GC without any purification. The GC result showed that the reaction was completed.