# Dalton Transactions

## PAPER



**Cite this:** *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **43**, 13392

Received 7th May 2014, Accepted 10th July 2014 DOI: 10.1039/c4dt01363h

www.rsc.org/dalton

### Introduction

Nucleophilic substitution with a transition-metal centered nucleophile is arguably one of the easiest ways to form a transition-metal carbon  $\sigma$ -bond. For more than five decades metal carbonyl anions, carbonylmetallates, have been widely used for that purpose due to their nucleophilic reactivity, availability and better stability, compared to other transition metal anions.<sup>1-7</sup> Besides aliphatic substitution, carbonylmetallates can also be used to create a transition metal–sp<sup>2</sup>-carbon bond in nucleophilic vinylic and aromatic substitution reactions. Among the notable examples are the reactions of carbonylmetallates with  $Cr(CO)_3 \pi$ -complexes of haloarenes leading to heterobimetallic complexes with a bridging  $\sigma$ , $\pi$ -arene ligand.<sup>8-10</sup> Complexation with  $Cr(CO)_3$  activates haloarene towards nucleophilic attack,<sup>11,12</sup> and these reactions display rather a rich and interesting chemistry of competitive nucleophilic

<sup>b</sup>A.N. Nesmeyanov Institute of Organoelement Compounds (INEOS), Russian Academy of Sciences, Vavilov Street 28, 119991 Moscow, Russia



Petr K. Sazonov,\*<sup>a</sup> Vasiliy A. Ivushkin,<sup>a</sup> Victor N. Khrustalev,<sup>b</sup> Natal'ya G. Kolotyrkina<sup>c</sup> and Irina P. Beletskaya\*<sup>a</sup>

The paper provides the first example of formal nucleophilic substitution by the halogenophilic pathway in  $Cr(CO)_3$  complexes of haloarenes with metal carbonyl anions. All metal carbonyl anions examined attack  $[(\eta^6-iodobenzene)Cr(CO)_3]$  at halogen, which is shown by aryl carbanion scavenging with *t*-BuOH. The reaction with K[CpFe(CO)\_2] gives only the dehalogenated arene, but the reaction with K[Cp\*Fe(CO)\_2] (Cp\* =  $\eta^5-C_5Me_5$ ) results in nucleophilic substitution to give  $[(\eta^6-C_6H_5FeCP^*(CO)_2)Cr(CO)_3]$ . Reaction with Na[Re(CO)\_5] quantitatively gives the iodo(acyl)rhenate anion Na[ $(\eta^6-C_6H_5CO)Rel(CO)_4$ )Cr(CO)\_3] and in the case of K[Mn(CO)\_5] a mixture of  $\sigma$ -aryl complexes  $[(\eta^6-C_6H_5Mn(CO)_5)Cr(CO)_3]$  and K[ $(\eta^6-C_6H_5Mn(CO)_4$ ])Cr(CO)\_3]. An analogous rhenium complex Na[ $(\eta^6-C_6H_5Re(CO)_4$ ])Cr(CO)\_3] is formed from the initial iodo(acyl)rhenate upon prolonged standing at 20 °C, and its structure (in the form of [NEt\_4]<sup>+</sup> salt) is established by X-ray diffraction analysis. The reaction of  $[(\eta^6-chlorobenzene)Cr(CO)_3]$  with K[CpFe(CO)\_2], in contrast, proceeds by the common S<sub>N</sub>2Ar mechanism.

aromatic substitution and haloarene reduction. It was concluded, however, that more detailed studies are required to define both the mechanism of the substitution and the relationship between the substitution and dehalogenation processes.<sup>8</sup>

On the other hand, transition metal carbonyl anions gained significant importance as model systems in organometallic chemistry, and were used by our group to study the mechanisms of nucleophilic vinylic and aromatic substitution by metal-centered nucleophiles. In the reactions with halopenta-fluorobenzenes<sup>13,14</sup> and bromotrifluoroethylene<sup>15</sup> we were able to prove a halogenophilic mechanism of nucleophilic substitution. In this pathway, carbonylmetallate first attacks not at the carbon atom, but at the halogen, and the "normal" nucleophilic substitution product is formed in the second step by the coupling of carbanions and metal carbonyl halide intermediates (Scheme 1).

Later it was shown that the halogenophilic attack is one of the major pathways in the reactions of carbonylmetallates with vinyl halides.<sup>16,17</sup> However, the scope of the same mechanism in aromatic substitution with carbonylmetallates remained unexplored, the known examples are still limited to the pentafluorophenyl halides. The aim of this work is to study the reaction mechanism of carbonylmetallates with  $Cr(CO)_3$  $\pi$ -complexes of chloro- and iodobenzene, and to seek evidence for or against the halogenophilic pathway in both substitution and competing reduction processes.



View Article Online

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Chemistry Department of the M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119992 Moscow, Russia. E-mail: beletska@org.chem.msu.ru, petr@elorg.chem.msu.ru; Fax: +7 495 939 3618

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>ND Zelinsky Institute of Organic Chemistry, Department of Structural Studies (IOC), Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow 119991, Russia

<sup>†</sup>Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 999498. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c4dt01363h



Scheme 1 The halogenophilic mechanism of nucleophilic vinylic substitution.

### **Results and discussion**

The reaction of  $Cr(CO)_3$  complexes of chlorobenzenes with  $K[CpFe(CO)_2]$  (KFp) was studied most extensively by Heppert *et al.*<sup>8</sup> The reaction usually gives the nucleophilic substitution products (ArFp) in good yields accompanied by minor amounts of reduced haloarene (ArH) and  $[Fp]_2$ . To test for aryl carbanion intermediates in this reaction we performed it in the presence of an "anion scavenger" – *t*-BuOH – the test previously used by us<sup>13–16</sup> and others<sup>18–20</sup> to detect the halogenophilic reaction mechanism (Scheme 1). The yield of the ArFp complex and the substitution–reduction product ratio (ArFp/Fp<sub>2</sub>) did not change in the presence of *t*-BuOH (entry 2, Table 1),‡ which effectively rules out the halogenophilic pathway for the nucleophilic substitution reaction.

Haloarene reduction becomes the only observed process in the reaction of KFp with  $[(\eta^{6}\text{-iodobenzene})Cr(CO)_{3}]$ , with no substitution product detected in the reaction mixture. A considerable amount of ArH formed without an added alcohol may be explained by aryl carbanion proton abstraction from the Cp group of Fp<sub>2</sub> or FpI. If this is indeed the case, one can try to exclude this proton source (and aryl carbanion sink) by replacing KFp with its pentamethylated analog, K[C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>Fe-(CO)<sub>2</sub>] (K[Fp\*]).

We were pleased to find that the reaction of  $K[Fp^*]$  with  $[(\eta^6-C_6H_5I)Cr(CO)_3]$  gave the  $[(\eta^6-C_6H_5Fp^*)Cr(CO)_3]$  complex with 60% yield (Scheme 2). The addition of *t*-BuOH to the reaction mixture suppressed its formation, completely, proving the halogenophilic pathway for this substitution reaction. The amount of ArH formed in the presence of *t*-BuOH (0.5 mol per mol of K[Fp^\*]) corresponds to a stoichiometry of halogenophilic reaction when it gives  $[Fp^*]_2$  (eqn (1)).

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ArI} + 2 \operatorname{K}[\operatorname{Fp}^{*}] &\to [\operatorname{ArK} + \operatorname{Fp}^{*}I] + \operatorname{K}[\operatorname{Fp}^{*}] \\ &\xrightarrow{t^{\text{-BuOH}}} \operatorname{ArH} + [\operatorname{Fp}^{*}]_{2} + \operatorname{KI} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Table 1 Products of the reaction of  $(\eta^6-C_6H_5X)Cr(CO)_3$  (X = Cl, I) with K[(C\_5R\_5)Fe(CO)\_2] (R = H, CH\_3), THF, 22 °C

Entry	ArX	Reagent and additive	Product yields <sup><i>a</i></sup> /% (Ar = $(\eta^6 - C_6H_5)Cr(CO)_3$ )		
			ArFp or ArFp*	ArH	$Fp_2 \text{ or} (Fp^*)_2$
1	$(C_6H_5Cl)Cr(CO)_3$	KFp, no additive	80	7	16
2	(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Cl)Cr(CO) <sub>3</sub>	KFp, t-BuOH	80	11	16
3	(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> I)Cr(CO) <sub>3</sub>	KFp, no additive	_	25	~100
4	(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> I)Cr(CO) <sub>3</sub>	K[Fp*], no additive	60	15	25
5	$(C_6H_5I)Cr(CO)_3$	K[Fp*], <i>t</i> -BuOH	_	50	$\sim 100$

 $^a$  Product yields were determined by  $^1{\rm H}$  NMR spectroscopy using durene as the internal standard.



Scheme 2 Reaction of  $(\eta^6\text{-}C_6H_5I)Cr(CO)_3$  with  $K[(C_5R_5)Fe(CO)_2]$  (R = H, CH\_3).

 $Cr(CO)_3$  complexes of chlorobenzenes were previously found to be unreactive towards Na[Re(CO)<sub>5</sub>] and other less nucleophilic carbonylmetallate anions.<sup>8</sup> Bearing in mind that iodoarenes are much more reactive in halogenophilic processes than chloroarenes (by a factor of ~10<sup>6</sup>),<sup>17</sup> we studied the



Scheme 3 lodo(acyl)rhenate formation in the reaction of Na[Re(CO)\_5] with  $(\eta^6-C_6H_5I)Cr(CO)_3$ .

<sup>‡</sup>An increase of ArH yield from 7 to 11% in the presence of *t*-BuOH shows some aryl carbanions participation in the haloarene reduction process.



Scheme 4 The reaction of  $[(\eta^6-C_6H_5I)Cr(CO)_3]$  with K[Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub>]. Effect of the "anion scavenger".

reaction of  $[(\eta^{6}\text{-iodobenzene})Cr(CO)_{3}]$  with the pentacarbonylrhenate anion, Na[Re(CO)\_{5}]. The reaction actually gave a single product with a quantitative yield, the iodo(acyl)rhenate anion§ expected for the halogenophilic mechanism (Scheme 3). The isolation of the iodo(acyl)rhenate was not attempted, instead it was characterized in solution by IR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR (Fig. S1 and S2 of the ESI†) and HR ESI MS spectra. Halo(acyl)rhenate results from the nucleophilic addition of carbanion to the equatorial CO ligand of [Re(CO)\_5X],<sup>14,15</sup> and thus provides direct evidence of the intermediates generated in the halogenophilic attack.

The reactions of  $[(\eta^{6}\text{-iodobenzene})\operatorname{Cr}(\operatorname{CO})_{3}]$  with K[Fp\*] or Na[Re(CO)<sub>5</sub>] are instantaneous at room temperature, but its reaction with less nucleophilic K[Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub>] is not, its progress can be monitored spectroscopically and in this case a more complex picture arises. Its main product is not the iodo(acyl) manganate, by the analogy with Na[Re(CO)<sub>5</sub>], but the iodo (aryl)manganate anion, K[ArMnI(CO)<sub>4</sub>] (Ar = ( $\eta^{6}\text{-C}_{6}\text{H}_{5}$ )Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>) (Scheme 4, Table 2). The anionic product is easily identified by its characteristic CO-signal patterns in IR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra, closely resembling that of halo(acyl)metallates, but with no bridging acyl carbon signal ( $\delta > 250$  ppm, Fig. S3 and S4 of the ESI†). In contrast to acylate anions, addition of 18-crown-6 has a negligible effect on the IR and NMR spectra of K[ArMnI(CO)<sub>4</sub>], indicating that it forms solvent-separated ion pairs in THF solution.

Also present in the mixture is the neutral pentacarbonylmanganese  $\sigma$ -aryl complex, [ArMn(CO)<sub>5</sub>], together with the iodoarene reduction product, [( $\eta^6$ -C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>]. However, K[ArMnI(CO)<sub>4</sub>] and [ArMn(CO)<sub>5</sub>] are not the initial products, as they are both absent at the early stages of the reaction (10–30 min) whereas the signals of the two intermediates are seen in <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (Fig. S5 of the ESI<sup>†</sup>).

The intermediate, appearing first and decaying faster (~1 h), exhibits signal patterns characteristic for a iodo(acyl) manganate anion: the <sup>13</sup>C NMR signal of the bridging CO group at 285.6 ppm, the signal of C-*para* of the C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>-group at 95.8 ppm, in lower field than that of *ortho* and *meta* carbons

Table 2 Yields of the arene products in the reaction of  $[(\eta^6-C_6H_5I)Cr~(CO)_3]$  with K[Mn(CO)\_5], THF, 22 °C

	Additive	Product yields/% (Ar = $(\eta^6-C_6H_5)Cr(CO)_3$ )			
Entry		K[ArMnI(CO) <sub>4</sub> ]	[ArMn(CO) <sub>5</sub> ]	ArH	
1 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	_	40	18	15	
$2^{b}$	18-crown-6	50	_	20	
3	t-BuOH	—	—	78	

<sup>*a*</sup> Minor amounts of  $[Mn(CO)_5I]$  and  $[Mn_2(CO)_{10}]$  were also detected by IR spectroscopy. <sup>*b*</sup> Minor amount of  $K[Mn(CO)_4I_2]$  also detected by IR.

(94.8, 91.7 ppm)¶. The IR band at 2073 cm<sup>-1</sup> observed in the first few minutes of reaction presumably also belongs to this intermediate and is replaced towards the end of the reaction (4 h) by the band at 2060 cm<sup>-1</sup> belonging to K[ArMnI(CO)<sub>4</sub>] (Fig. S6 of the ESI<sup>†</sup>).

Upon the addition of 18-crown-6 the band at 2073 cm<sup>-1</sup> is immediately replaced by the band at 2060 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which may be explained by the dissociation of contact ion pairs of the iodo (acyl)manganate intermediate (Fig. S7 and S8 of the ESI†). Signals presumably belonging to this intermediate are also observed in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of the reaction performed in the presence of 18-crown-6 (93.63, 92.20, and 93.50 ppm). The final product's composition in the presence of 18-crown-6 is simpler and contains only K[ArMnI(CO)<sub>4</sub>] and ArH (entry 2, Table 2) and minor amount of K[Mn(CO)<sub>4</sub>I<sub>2</sub>].

These observations lead one to conclude that acylmanganate, K[ArC(O)MnI(CO)<sub>4</sub>] is the initial product of the reaction, which then transforms into [ArMn(CO)<sub>5</sub>] and K[ArMnI(CO)<sub>4</sub>]. Besides, this is in good agreement with the previous data on the facile decomposition of halo(acyl)manganates into  $\sigma$ -aryl complexes in the reactions of K[Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub>] with C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>X (X = Br, I).<sup>14</sup>

Halogenophilic character of the  $[(\eta^6-C_6H_5I)Cr(CO)_3]$  reaction with K[Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub>] was further confirmed by the aryl carbanion intermediate trapping with the proton donor. With *t*-BuOH added, no  $\sigma$ -aryl manganese complexes were formed, and

<sup>§</sup>Acylrhenate anions (and acylmetallates in general) are often described as oxycarbene complexes because of a large contribution of metal carbene resonance structure, as evidenced by the downfield shift of acyl CO resonance in <sup>13</sup>C NMR.

 $<sup>\|\</sup>delta(C_{para}) > \delta(C_{ortho})$  is the trend observed for iodo(acyl)rhenate as opposed to  $\sigma$ -aryl metal complexes, in which *C-ortho* signal is shifted downfield because of the contribution of the metal carbene resonance structure (Fig. 1).



 $\label{eq:scheme 5} Scheme 5 \quad Pathway for iodo(aryl) manganate formation in the reaction of [(\eta^6-C_6H_5l)Cr(CO)_3] with K[Mn(CO)_5].$ 

 $[(\eta^6\text{-}C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_3]$  became the only arene product (Scheme 4, entry 3, Table 2), while  $[Mn(CO)_5I]$  was mainly trapped in the form of K[*t*-BuOC(O)Mn(CO)\_4I]^{14} and [Mn\_2(CO)\_{10}] according to the IR spectrum.

A rate plot consistent with second-order kinetics is observed for the reaction of  $[(\eta^6-C_6H_5I)Cr(CO)_3]$  with K[Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub>] in the presence of t-BuOH (Fig. S9 and S10 of the ESI<sup>†</sup>), allowing for the second order rate constant ( $k_{obs} = 0.031 \text{ l mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) to be calculated from these data. However, the same reaction without an added proton donor is definitely slower ( $k_{obs}$  = 0.010-0.015) and deviates from the second order rate law. The reversible halogen-metal exchange step in the case of relatively weak K[Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub>] nucleophile may be the cause underlying this kinetic behavior. We will refer here to the general Scheme 1 because it shows the same mechanism; a reversible halogen-metal exchange step means that  $k_{-1} > k_2$ . When the intermediate carbanion is captured by the proton donor, and provided this step is sufficiently fast  $(k_{prot} > k_{-1})$ , the first step becomes irreversible and the overall rate increases ( $k_{obs}$  =  $k_{\rm HME}$ ).

The transformation of acylmanganate  $K[ArC(O)MnI(CO)_4]$ into arylmanganate may go through the elimination of KI followed by any migration, to give the neutral  $\sigma$ -any complex in which the CO-ligand is then substituted by the iodide anion (Scheme 5). However, [ArMn(CO)<sub>5</sub>] was not observed as the intermediate, *i.e.* its signals appeared only when the signals of the K[ArMnI(CO)<sub>4</sub>] product were already visible in the spectrum (Fig. S5 of the ESI<sup>†</sup>). Yet, this alternative possibility of direct elimination of CO from K[ArC(O)MnI(CO)<sub>4</sub>] seems less likely. DFT calculations showed that the barrier for the CO elimination from  $Na[(\eta^6-C_6H_5C(O)MnI(CO)_4)Cr(CO)_3]$  is much higher (32.9 kcal  $mol^{-1}$ ) than the barrier for elimination of NaI (19.8 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). Moreover, the reaction of [Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub>Br] with  $[(C_6H_5Li)Cr(CO)_3]$  in the presence of  $P(OMe)_3$  was reported to give the product of bromide (not CO) substitution in the intermediate bromo(acyl)manganate, i.e. [cis-((MeO)<sub>3</sub>P)  $Mn(CO)_4(\eta^6-C_6H_5C(O))Cr(CO)_3]^{.23,24}$ 

The K[ArMnI(CO)<sub>4</sub>] complex was not isolated and therefore was characterized in solution with spectroscopic methods (IR,  $^{13}$ C NMR and HR ESI MS). However, it was observed that the

iodo(acyl)rhenate, Na[ArC(O)ReI(CO)<sub>4</sub>], on prolonged standing in THF solution was also transformed into analogous iodo (aryl)rhenate. After 6 months, only 17% of iodo(acyl)rhenate was left and iodo(aryl)rhenate, Na[ArReI(CO)<sub>4</sub>], was formed in 58% yield (eqn (2)). It was isolated in the solid state as an  $[Et_4N]^+$  salt (using the method from ref. 10) and its structure was unambiguously established by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis.

$$\begin{split} &\text{Na}[(\eta^6\text{-}C_6\text{H}_5\text{C}(\text{O})\text{Re}(\text{CO})_4\text{I})\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_3] \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{THF, 6 month}}_{-\text{CO}}\text{Na}[(\eta^6\text{-}C_6\text{H}_5\text{Re}(\text{CO})_4\text{I})\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_3] \end{split} \tag{2}$$

It should be pointed out that the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR signal patterns of the K[ArMnI(CO)<sub>4</sub>] and of the isolated iodo(aryl)-rhenate are quite similar, which confirms the identification of the former.

The downfield shift of the signals of *ortho*-protons (~6.05 ppm) and *ortho*-carbons (~113 ppm) is a distinctive spectrum feature and reflects the contribution of the metal carbone resonance structure (Fig. 1) in these complexes.

The structure of the iodo(aryl)rhenate complex is shown in Fig. 2. The coordination around rhenium is approximately octahedral, slightly distorted by an "umbrella" effect, the displacement of the two mutually *trans* carbonyl groups (C12–O6 and C11–O5) towards the aryl ring.<sup>25–27</sup> The octahedral rhenium moiety adopts a "staggered" conformation relative to the plane of the aryl ring (C12–Re–C1–C2 torsion angle 51.7°). Iodine and the aryl group are expectedly in the *cis*-position, which is the preferred configuration for [XYM(CO)<sub>4</sub>] (M = Mn, Re) complexes. The Re1–C13 distance is significantly shorter (1.898 Å) than the other three Re–C<sub>CO</sub> distances (1.978–2.007 Å), reflecting the stronger *trans*-effect of CO and aryl ligands compared to the iodide ligand.



M= Mn, Re

Fig. 1 Resonance with a metal carbene structure for iodo(aryl) metallates.

 $<sup>\|</sup>$  Both computational model reactions lead to the intermediate structures with  $\eta^2$ -coordination of the acyl group. The calculations were performed using the DFT method with a PBE functional^{21} and a triple- $\zeta$  all-electron basis set, including polarization functions, TZ2P using the PRIRODA program package.<sup>22</sup>



**Fig. 2** Molecular structure of  $[Et_4N][(\eta^6-C_6H_5Rel(CO)_4)Cr(CO)_3]$ . Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Re1–I1 2.8321(3), Re1–C1 2.214(3), Re1–C12 2.007(4), Re1–C13 1.899(4), Re1–C10 1.978(5), Cr1–C1 2.321(3), C1–C2 1.436(5), C2–C3 1.401(5), Cr1–C4 2.238(4), Cr1–C7 1.824(4), C11–Re1–C12 172.9(1), C13–Re1–I 177.2(1), C12–Re1–C1–C2 51.7(2).

There is no significant shortening of the Re1–C1<sub>aryl</sub> bond (2.214 Å) in comparison with the known rhenium  $\sigma$ -aryl complexes (2.22–2.23 Å),<sup>28</sup> and it is significantly longer than that found in rhenium carbene complexes (2.09–2.13 Å).<sup>29–31</sup> However, the contribution of metal carbene resonance structure (Fig. 1) can be traced in the slight elongation of C1–C6 (1.435 Å) and C1–C2 (1.424) bonds adjacent to rhenium, compared to 1.409 Å average for the other four C–C bonds in the aromatic ring and a slight slipping of the Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub> unit away from C1 by 0.08 Å.

### Conclusions

The reactions of the iodobenzene  $Cr(CO)_3 \pi$ -complex with carbonylmetallates show an illustrative example of halogenophilic attack in nucleophilic aromatic substitution. Depending on the carbonylmetallate anion, the final product of the reaction may be the  $\sigma$ -aryl complex (with K[(C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>]), the iodo (acyl)rhenate anion (with Na[Re(CO)<sub>5</sub>]) or the iodo(aryl)manganate anion (with K[Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub>]), but in all these cases the reaction proceeds *via* initial attack of the carbonylmetallate anion at halogen in [( $\eta^6$ -iodobenzene)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>]. Thus, the halogenophilic pathway of nucleophilic substitution is common for aryl halides with different types of activation – polyfluorinated and  $\pi$ -coordinated.

### Experimental

#### General

 $^{1}$ H NMR (400.13 MHz) and  $^{13}$ C NMR (100.61 MHz) spectra were obtained on a Bruker Avance spectrometer at 22 °C and referenced to the signals of the solvent. IR spectra were

recorded on a Thermo Nicolet IR-200 spectrometer in THF in a  $0.2 \text{ mm CaF}_2$  cell. High resolution mass spectra (HR MS) were recorded on the Bruker micrOTOF II instrument using electrospray ionization (ESI). The measurements were performed in negative ion mode for anionic complexes and in positive ion mode for neutral complexes (3200 V); external or internal calibration was done with an Electrospray Calibrant Solution (Fluka).

All operations with metal carbonyl anion salts were performed in "all-fused" glassware using vacuum-line techniques. Break-seal ampoules were used for the transfer of substances. Potassium salts of metal carbonyl anions were obtained quantitatively (95–98%) by reductive cleavage of the corresponding dimers [ $M(CO)_nL$ ]<sub>2</sub> with excess of the NaK<sub>2.8</sub> alloy (0.15 ml per 1 mmol of dimer) in THF. Na[Re(CO)<sub>5</sub>] was prepared by the reduction of Re<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub> with 0.5% NaHg (30–50% excess) and purified by the low temperature crystallization from THF. The dicarbonyl( $\eta^5$ -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)iron dimer was prepared by the modified literature procedure by the reaction of pentamethylcyclopentadien with [Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub>] (1:2 molar ratio) in refluxing xylene.<sup>32</sup>

NMR spectroscopy (<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C) was used as a primary tool for the analysis of the reaction mixtures usually combined with the IR spectra in the metal carbonyl stretching region (1550–2200 cm<sup>-1</sup>). Samples of the reaction mixture were transferred under vacuum into thin-walled glass tubes (~3.5 mm diameter) which were sealed-off with flame and placed into standard NMR tubes containing acetone- $d_6$  for the lock signal. Product yields were determined by the integration of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the reaction solutions containing an internal standard (durene). The signals were referenced to the individual products by comparison with the spectra of the isolated compounds or literature data.

[(η<sup>6</sup>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>I)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>] was prepared according to the published procedure<sup>33</sup> by metallation of [(η<sup>6</sup>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>] with *n*-BuLi in THF and subsequent quenching of the resulting aryllithium with iodine. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (THF, 400.13 MHz), δ: 5.77 (m, 2H), 5.40 (m, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (THF, 100.6 MHz), δ: 233.39 (CO), 94.39 (C<sub>quat</sub>), 101.41, 95.24, 91.61 (CH). IR (THF),  $\nu/\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 1901 vs ( $\varepsilon$  = 4130), 1973 vs ( $\varepsilon$  = 3830).

#### Reactions of $[(\eta^6-haloarene)Cr(CO)_3]$ with $K[(\eta^5-C_5R_5)Fe(CO)_2]$ (R = H, CH<sub>3</sub>)

Reactions were performed by adding haloarene (0.2 mmol) to a THF solution of carbonylmetallate (0.2 mmol in 3 ml THF) prepared *in situ* by the reduction of the dimer with NaK<sub>2.8</sub>. Product yields determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR are given in Table 1. The nucleophilic substitution product was isolated by column chromatography on silica gel (Merck 60) using petroleum ether-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 2:3 as the eluent. [( $\eta^{6-(C_5Me_5)(CO)_2FeC_6H_5$ )-Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>], <sup>1</sup>H NMR (THF, 400.13 MHz),  $\delta$ : 5.27 (m, 4H), 5.14 (m, 1H), 1.71 (s, 15H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (THF, 100.6 MHz),  $\delta$ : 235.88 (3CO), 216.97 (2CO), 137.30 (*ipso*-Ar), 107.14, 96.79, 90.96 (CH), 97.31 (*ipso*-Cp<sup>\*</sup>), 9.55 (CH<sub>3</sub>). IR (THF),  $\nu/cm^{-1}$ : 1873 vs, 1950 vs, 1959 vs, 2011 vs. HR ESI MS, *m/z* (relative intensity, %): 498.9683  $[M + K]^+$  (50%), 482.9945  $[M + Na]^+$  (100%). Calcd for  $C_{21}H_{20}CrFeKO_5$  498.9702, for  $C_{21}H_{20}CrFeNaO_5$  482.9963.

#### Reaction of $[(\eta^6-C_6H_5I)Cr(CO)_3]$ with Na[Re(CO)<sub>5</sub>]

Reaction of  $[(\eta^6\text{-}C_6H_5I)\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_3]$  (24 mg, 0.071 mmol) with Na[Re(CO)<sub>5</sub>] (0.311 g of THF solution, 0.0648 mmol) produced a single product, quantitatively formed in solution, Na[ $(\eta^6$ - $C_6H_5C(O)Re(CO)_4I)Cr(CO)_3$ ]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (THF, 400.13 MHz),  $\delta$ : 5.82 (m, 2H), 5.49 (m, 3H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (THF, 100.6 MHz),  $\delta$ : 259.58 (1CO), 233.95 (3CO), 189.11 (2CO), 188.45 (1CO), 187.38 (1CO), 122.05 (C<sub>quat</sub>), 94.74 (1C), 94.63 (2C), 92.98 (2C) (CH). IR (THF),  $\nu/cm^{-1}$ : 1894 vs, 1915 s, 1967 sh, 1979 vs, 2084 m. IR (THF + 18-crown-6),  $\nu/cm^{-1}$ : 1890 vs, 1912 s, 1966 vs, 1982 vs, 2082 m. HR ESI MS, m/z (relative intensity, %): 554.8174 [M - $4CO^{-}$  (60%), 526.8222  $[M - 5CO^{-}$  (80%), 498.8275 [M -6CO]<sup>-</sup> (100%). Calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CrIO<sub>4</sub>Re 554.8196, for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CrIO<sub>3</sub>Re 526.8246, for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CrIO<sub>2</sub>Re 498.8298. When the THF solution of the iodo(acyl)rhenate complex was reanalyzed by NMR after standing for 6 months at room temperature its main component was another complex,  $Na[(\eta^6-C_6H_5Re(CO)_4I)]$ **Cr(CO)**<sub>3</sub>]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (THF, 400.13 MHz), δ: 6.05 (m, 2H), 5.12 (m, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (THF, 100.6 MHz), δ: 237.57 (3CO), 189.95 (2CO), 189.31 (1CO), 188.45 (1CO), 129.81 (C<sub>quat</sub>), 113.41, 96.37, 92.14 (CH). This iodo(aryl)rhenate was isolated in the form of [Et<sub>4</sub>N]<sup>+</sup> salt using a modified literature procedure.<sup>10</sup> THF was replaced by an equal volume (0.2 ml) of degassed water, the turbid solution obtained was filtered, and the product precipitated by the addition of [Et<sub>4</sub>N]I (20 mg in 0.1 ml H<sub>2</sub>O) in the form of yellowish oil, which soon solidified and was dried in a vacuum.  $[Et_4N][(\eta^6-C_6H_5Re(CO)_4I)Cr(CO)_3]$ , IR (THF),  $\nu/cm^{-1}$ : 1861 s, 1942 s (Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>), 1913 s, 1965 vs, 1980 vs, 2081 m (Re(CO)<sub>4</sub>). Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were grown by slow diffusion of petroleum ether into the solution of the complex in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.

#### Reaction of $[(\eta^6-C_6H_5I)Cr(CO)_3]$ with K[Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub>]

Reactions were performed in THF on the same 0.1 mmol scale as with other carbonylmetallates, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic product yields are given in Table 2. The neutral  $Mn(CO)_5 \sigma$ -aryl complex was isolated by column chromatography on silica gel (Merck 60) using petroleum ether-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 2:1 (increasing to 1:2) as the eluent.  $K[(\eta^6-C_6H_5Mn(CO)_4I)Cr(CO)_3]$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (THF, 400.13 MHz), *δ*: 6.02 (m, 2H), 5.14 (m, 2H), 5.10 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (THF, 100.6 MHz), δ: 237.42 (3CO), 224.9 br (1CO), 217.5 br (2CO), 216.1 br (1CO), 137.86 (C<sub>quat</sub>), 112.82, 95.50, 91.95 (CH). IR (THF),  $\nu/cm^{-1}$ : 1865 vs, 1942 vs (Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>), 1921 s, 1965 s, 1980 s, 2060 m (Mn(CO)<sub>4</sub>). [K·18-crown-6]  $[(\eta^{6}-C_{6}H_{5}Mn(CO)_{4}I) Cr(CO)_{3}]$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (THF, 400.13 MHz),  $\delta$ : 6.00 (m, 2H), 5.13 (m, 2H), 5.08 (m, 1H).  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR (THF, 100.6 MHz), & 237.27 (3CO), 224.9 br (1CO), 217.7 br (2CO), 216.2 br (1CO), 137.74 (Cquat), 112.64, 95.38, 91.72 (CH). IR (THF),  $\nu/cm^{-1}$ : 1865 s, 1942 s (Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>), 1921 s, 1965 s, 1980 s, 2060 m (Mn(CO)<sub>4</sub>). HR ESI MS, m/z (relative intensity, %): 366.8161  $[M - 5CO]^-$  (100%). Calcd for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CrIO<sub>2</sub>Mn 366.8048.  $[(\eta^6-C_6H_5Mn(CO)_5)Cr(CO)_3]$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (THF, 400.13 MHz), δ: 5.49 (m, 2H), 5.33 (m, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (THF,

100.6 MHz),  $\delta$ : 235.51 (CO), 210.1 br (CO), 130.4 (C<sub>quat</sub>), 108.87 (2C), 95.95 (2C), 93.24 (1C) (CH). IR (THF),  $\nu/\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 2123 m, 2065 w, 2027 vs, 2009 sh (Mn(CO)<sub>4</sub>), 1955 vs, 1878 vs (Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>). Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CrMnO<sub>8</sub>: C 41.20, H 1.23. Found: C 41.55, H 1.48. ESI MS, *m*/*z* (relative intensity, %): 388 [*M* - 3CO, +CH<sub>3</sub>CN, +Na]<sup>+</sup> (100%), 338 [*M* - 4CO, +CH<sub>3</sub>CN, +H]<sup>+</sup> (60%), 332 [*M* - 5CO, +CH<sub>3</sub>CN, +Na]<sup>+</sup> (30%).

#### Crystal structure determination of the complex $[Et_4N]$ -[ $(\eta^6$ -C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Re(CO)<sub>4</sub>I)Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>]

The crystal of  $0.10 \times 0.12 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}^3$  (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>25</sub>CrINO<sub>7</sub>Re, M = 768.52) is monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/n$ , at T = 100 K: a =7.5063(2) Å, b = 29.7019(8) Å, c = 11.2833(3) Å,  $\beta = 95.6170(10)^{\circ}$ ,  $V = 2503.55(12) \text{ Å}^3$ , Z = 4,  $d_{\text{calc}} = 2.039 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , F(000) = 1464,  $\mu = 6.537 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ . 32 904 total reflections (7288 unique reflections,  $R_{int} = 0.039$ ) were measured on a three-circle Bruker APEX-II CCD diffractometer ( $\lambda$ (MoK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>)-radiation, graphite monochromator,  $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scan mode,  $2\theta_{\text{max}} = 60^{\circ}$ ) and corrected for absorption using the SADABS program ( $T_{min} = 0.441$ ;  $T_{\text{max}} = 0.561$ <sup>34</sup> The structure was determined by direct methods and refined by the full-matrix least squares technique on  $F^2$  with anisotropic displacement parameters for nonhydrogen atoms. All hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined within the riding model with fixed isotropic displacement parameters  $[U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$  for the  $CH_3$ -groups and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$  for the other groups]. The final divergence factors were  $R_1 = 0.029$  for 6493 independent reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  and  $wR_2 = 0.064$  for all independent reflections, S = 1.002. All calculations were carried out using the SHELXTL program.35

Crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center, CCDC 999498.

### Acknowledgements

Financial support of this work by the Program of the President of the Russian Federation for the State Support of Leading Research Schools (grant no. HIII- 2783.2014.3) and the Russian Academy of Sciences Program 1-OX is gratefully acknowledged.

### Notes and references

- 1 J. E. Ellis, Organometallics, 2003, 22, 3322-3338.
- 2 R. B. King, J. Organomet. Chem., 1975, 100, 111-125.
- 3 R. D. Theys, M. E. Dudley and M. M. Hossain, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **253**, 180–234.
- 4 M. J. Schweiger, T. Ederer, K. Sunkel and W. Beck, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1997, 545, 17–25.
- 5 W. Beck, B. Niemer and M. Wieser, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1993, **32**, 923–949.
- 6 R. Chukwu, A. D. Hunter and B. D. Santarsiero, Organometallics, 1991, 10, 2141–2152.
- 7 W. Beck, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 2013, 639, 2117-2124.

- 8 J. A. Heppert, M. A. Morgenstern, D. M. Scherubel, F. Takusagawa and M. R. Shaker, *Organometallics*, 1988, 7, 1715–1723.
- 9 J. A. Heppert, M. E. Thomas-Miller, D. M. Scherubel, F. Takusagawa, M. A. Morgenstern and M. R. Shaker, *Organometallics*, 1989, 8, 1199–1206.
- 10 J. A. Heppert, M. E. Thomas-Miller, P. N. Swepston and M. W. Extine, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1988, 280– 282.
- 11 R. D. Pike and D. A. Sweigart, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **187**, 183–222.
- M. F. Semmelhack, in *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, ed.
  B. M. Trost and I. Fleming, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1991, vol. 4, pp. 517–549.
- 13 G. A. Artamkina, P. K. Sazonov, V. A. Ivushkin and I. P. Beletskaya, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1998, 4, 1169–1178.
- 14 V. A. Ivushkin, P. K. Sazonov, G. A. Artamkina and I. P. Beletskaya, J. Organomet. Chem., 2000, 597, 77–86.
- 15 P. K. Sazonov, G. A. Artamkina, V. N. Khrustalev, M. Y. Antipin and I. P. Beletskaya, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2003, 681, 59–69.
- 16 P. K. Sazonov, G. A. Artamkina and I. P. Beletskaya, *Theor. Exp. Chem.*, 2011, 46, 350–358.
- 17 P. K. Sazonov, G. A. Artamkina and I. P. Beletskaya, *Russ. Chem. Rev.*, 2012, 81, 317–335.
- 18 R. P. Hughes, S. M. Maddock, I. A. Guzei, L. M. Liable-Sands and A. L. Rheingold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, 123, 3279–3288.
- 19 K. R. Wursthorn, H. G. Kuivila and G. F. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 2779–2789.
- 20 J. I. Dickstein and S. I. Miller, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1972, 37, 2168–2175.

- 21 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, 77, 3865–3868.
- 22 D. N. Laikov and Y. A. Ustynyuk, Russ. Chem. Bull., 2005, 54, 820–826.
- 23 S. Lotz, M. Schindehutte and P. H. Vanrooyen, Organometallics, 1992, 11, 629–639.
- 24 P. H. Vanrooyen, M. Schindehutte and S. Lotz, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1993, **208**, 207–211.
- 25 M. Weidenbruch, A. Stilter, K. Peters and H.-G. von Schnering, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1996, 622, 534.
- 26 L. R. Sita, R. Xi, G. P. A. Yap, L. M. Liable-Sand and A. L. Rheingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 756.
- 27 V. N. Khrustalev, I. A. Portnyagin, M. S. Nechaev, S. S. Bukalov and L. A. Leites, *Dalton Trans.*, 2007, 3489.
- 28 R. D. Adams, B. Captain and W. C. Pearl Jr., J. Organomet. Chem., 2008, 693, 1636–1644.
- 29 A. J. Schultz, K. Srinivasan, R. G. Teller, J. M. Williams and C. M. Lukehart, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1984, **106**, 999–1003.
- 30 P. R. Elowe, N. M. West, J. A. Labinger and J. E. Bercaw, *Organometallics*, 2009, **28**, 6218–6227.
- 31 G. L. Miessler, S. Kim, R. A. Jacobson and R. J. Angelici, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, 26, 1690–1695.
- 32 R. B. King and M. B. Bisnette, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1967, 8, 287–297.
- 33 R. J. Card and W. S. Trahanovsky, J. Org. Chem., 1980, 45, 2560–2566.
- 34 G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS, v. 2.03, Bruker/Siemens Area Detector Absorption Correction Program, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, 2003.
- 35 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Fundam. Crystallogr., 2008, 64, 112–122.