

Rare *Streptomyces* N-Formyl Amino-salicylamides Inhibit Oncogenic K-Ras

Angela A. Salim,[†] Kwang-Jin Cho,[‡] Lingxiao Tan,[‡] Michelle Quezada,[†] Ernest Lacey,[§] John F. Hancock,[‡] and Robert J. Capon^{*†}


[†]Institute for Molecular Bioscience, The University of Queensland, St. Lucia, QLD 4072, Australia

[‡]Integrative Biology and Pharmacology, The University of Texas Medical School, Houston, Texas 77030, United States,

[§]Microbial Screening Technologies Pty. Ltd., Building C 28-54 Percival Road, Smithfield, NSW 2164, Australia

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: During a search for inhibitors of oncogenic K-Ras, we detected two known and two new examples of the rare neoantimycin structure class from a liquid cultivation of *Streptomyces orinoci*, and reassigned/assigned structures to all based on detailed spectroscopic analysis and microscale C₃ Marfey's and C₃ Mosher chemical degradation/derivatization/analysis. SAR investigations inclusive of the biosynthetically related antimycins and respirantin, and synthetic benzoxazolone, documented a unique N-formyl amino-salicylamide pharmacophore as a potent inhibitor of oncogenic K-Ras.



Ras GTPases, which are ubiquitously expressed as three isoforms (H-Ras, N-Ras, and K-Ras), act as key molecular switches that regulate cell growth, proliferation, and differentiation.¹ Constitutively activated K-Ras is involved in 90% of pancreatic, 45% of colorectal, and 35% of lung carcinomas.² Ras signaling mechanisms have been researched extensively, and studies have shown that preventing Ras plasma membrane (PM) localization blocks all oncogenic activity.³ Although an attractive anticancer target, the development of potent and selective inhibitors of Ras protein PM localization with clinical application has proven both challenging and elusive.

To address this challenge, we employed a high-throughput, high-content assay to screen a library of microbial extracts, establishing *Streptomyces orinoci* (MST-AS4461) as a source of secondary metabolites that inhibit Ras protein PM localization.⁴ Bioassay-guided fractionation of an 8 L liquid fermentation yielded the known secondary metabolites neoantimycin (1) and neoantimycin F (2), along with two new exemplars, neoantimycin G (3) and neoantimycin H (4) (Figure 1), as exceptionally potent (IC₅₀ 3–10 nM) inhibitors of K-Ras PM localization. Planar structures were assigned to 1–4 on the basis of detailed spectroscopic analysis, with microscale C₃ Marfey's and C₃ Mosher analyses permitting assignment of absolute configurations, including revisions to 1⁵ and 2.⁶ To support structure–activity relationship (SAR) investigations into the K-Ras modulatory properties of neoantimycins, we obtained the biosynthetically related microbial metabolites, antimycin A2a (5), antimycin A4a (6), and respirantin (7), and prepared the novel benzoxazolone analog 8 (Figure 1). SAR studies established that the natural products 1–7 were all potent inhibitors of K-Ras PM localization and correlated this activity with the presence of an N-formyl amino-salicylamide moiety. Of note, this latter functionality is restricted in the natural products literature to the neoantimycins, antimycins, and respirantin.




Figure 1. Neoantimycins and analogs (available to this study).

What follows is an account of our chemical and biological studies into 1–8.

HRESI(+)-MS measurements supported by analysis of NMR (DMSO-*d*₆ and CDCl₃) data (Supporting Information (SI), Tables S1a-b and S2a-b) permitted assignment of planar structures to 1 (C₃₆H₄₆N₂O₁₂) and 2 (C₃₅H₄₄N₂O₁₂), consistent with those previously ascribed to neoantimycin^{3,7} and neoantimycin F.⁶ Comparison of [α]_D measurements for the cometabolites 1 (+58.7) and 2 (+55.2), with that published for neoantimycin (+58.3),^{7b} supported the proposition that these

Received: August 11, 2014

Published: September 19, 2014

compounds belong to a common antipodal series. Comparable HRESI(+)MS and NMR analyses (SI, Tables S3 and S4) permitted assignment of planar structures to 3 ($C_{37}H_{48}N_2O_{12}$) and 4 ($C_{36}H_{44}N_2O_{12}$), consistent with two new neoantimycin homologues allocated the trivial names neoantimycin G and H respectively. All the cometabolites 1–4 incorporate an aromatic and two aliphatic hydroxycarboxylic acid residues, embedded in a macrolactone ring featuring a threonine bearing a pendant *N*-formyl aminosalicylic acid residue. Identification and assembly of these residues were greatly assisted by diagnostic COSY and HMBC correlations (Figure 2). In advance of chemical




Figure 2. Diagnostic 2D NMR ($DMSO-d_6$) correlations for 1–4.

degradation, derivatization, and analytical studies designed to assign absolute configurations to 1–4, it is useful to briefly review the history of the neoantimycin scaffold.

The neoantimycins are a rare class of microbial natural product, biosynthetically related to the ring-contracted antimycins (e.g., 5–6) and ring-expanded respirantin (e.g., 7).⁸ Isolated in 1967 from a South American soil isolate of *Streptomyces* (formerly *Streptoverticillium*) *orinoci*,^{7c} the partial configuration of neoantimycin was assigned in 1969 by preparative-scale (1 g) degradation that yielded methyl (*S*)-2-hydroxyisovalerate and methyl (2*S*,3*S*)-2-hydroxy-3-methylvalerate.^{7b} At that time an *L*-Thr configuration was also asserted, but not proven.^{7b} A subsequent 1998 study used NMR to (incorrectly, see below) attribute a 3*S*,4*S* configuration to the 3,4-dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-5-phenylvaleric acid (DDPVA) residue in neoantimycin.⁵ Only a handful of neoantimycin-like metabolites have since been reported in the scientific literature (Figure 3). Planar structures for SW-163A (9) and SW-163B (10) were reported in 2001⁹ from a Japanese soil *Streptomyces* sp. (SNA15986), while the planar structures for prunustatin A (11), and JBIR-04 (12) and JBIR-05 (13), were reported in 2005¹⁰ and 2007¹¹ respectively from the Okinawan soil *Streptomyces violaceoniger* (4521-SVS3), as down-regulators of the molecular chaperone GRP-78. The absolute configurations of 9 and 11 were subsequently determined in 2007¹² by chemical degradation and derivatization. Neoantimycins D (14), E (15), and F (2) were reported in 2013⁶ using a genome mining approach on *S. orinoci*, although configurational assignments made at that time




Figure 3. Reported neoantimycin-like metabolites (9–15).

were inferred rather than proven. In summary, despite a history extending back several decades, inclusive of chemical, spectroscopic, biosynthetic, and pharmacological investigations across nine metabolites (1–2 and 9–15), knowledge of the neoantimycin structures (in particular relative and absolute configuration) remains incomplete. In furthering our investigations into the chemistry and pharmacology of 1–4, we applied a rapid microscale analytical methodology capable of unambiguously assigning absolute configurations across the full array of chiral centers within the neoantimycins (and related classes).

To assign the threonine configuration in 1–4 we employed a C_3 Marfey's analysis. Microscale (50 μ g) acid hydrolysates from 1–4 were derivatized with the *L* enantiomer of Marfey's reagent (*L*-FDAA), as were authentic standards of *L*-Thr and *L*-*allo*-Thr. To prepare chromatographically equivalent surrogates for *L*-FDAA derivatives of *D*-Thr and *D*-*allo*-Thr, authentic standards of *L*-Thr and *L*-*allo*-Thr were derivatized with the *D* enantiomer of Marfey's reagent (*D*-FDAA). Subsequent C_3 Marfey's analyses (HPLC-DAD-ESIMS) confirmed that 1–4 incorporate an *L*-Thr residue (SI Figure S8).

In addition to *L*-Thr, the microscale acid hydrolysis of 1 yielded (*S*)-2-hydroxyisovaleric acid (16) and (2*S*,3*S*)-2-hydroxy-3-methylvaleric acid (17) (Figure 4).^{7b} By contrast, acid hydrolysis of 2–4 yielded one or both of these carboxylic acids, or their enantiomers. To assign absolute configurations to these residues in 2–4, a sample of the acid hydrolysate from 1 was derivatized with the *R* enantiomer of Mosher's reagent to yield authentic standards of the (*R*)-MTPA esters 16a and 17a, while a duplicate




Figure 4. Hydrolysis products and Mosher esters from 1–4.

sample was derivatized with (*S*)-MTPA to yield authentic standards of the (*S*)-MTPA esters **16b** and **17b** (the latter being chromatographic surrogates for the (*R*)-MTPA esters of the enantiomers of **16** and **17** respectively) (Figure 4). Both sets of standards were subjected to C_3 Mosher analysis, confirming resolution of all four diastereomeric MTPA esters (Figure 5A).




Figure 5. Single ion extracts (SIE) from C_3 Mosher HPLC-ESIMS chromatograms of analytes prepared from (A) **1** [(i) m/z 333 $[M-H]^-$ (**16a–b**), (ii) m/z 347 $[M-H]^-$ (**17a–b**), (iii) m/z 437 $[M+H]^+$ (**18a–b**)]; (B) **2** [(i) 333 $[M-H]^-$ (**16a**) and (ii) m/z 437 $[M+H]^+$ (**18a**)]; (C) **3**, [(i) m/z 347 $[M-H]^-$ (**17a**) and (ii) m/z 437 $[M+H]^+$ (**18a**)]; and (D) **4** [(i) m/z 333 $[M-H]^-$ (**16a**) and (ii) m/z 347 $[M-H]^-$ (**17a**)].

Subsequent derivatization of acid hydrolysates from **2–4** with (*R*)-MTPA followed by C_3 Mosher analysis confirmed that **2** incorporates two residues of **16** (Figure 5B), **3** incorporates two residues of **17** (Figure 5C), and **4** incorporate one residue each of **16** and **17** (Figure 5D).

Alkaline hydrolysis of **1** had been reported in 1975 to yield the DDPVA lactone **18**,^{7a} for which a 1998 study applied NOE measurements to assign a 3*S*,4*S* configuration,⁵ enantiomeric with the 3*R*,4*R* lactone produced during a 2007 alkaline hydrolysis of SW-163A (**9**).¹² Given this unexpected stereo-complexity, we elected to use alkaline hydrolysis to independently investigate the absolute configuration of the DDPVA residues in **1–4**. Alkaline hydrolysis of **1** (5 mg) yielded the lactone **18**, which was derivatized to yield authentic samples of the (*R*)-MTPA ester **18a** and the (*S*)-MTPA ester **18b**. The 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) data for **18a–b** recovered from **1** proved identical with esters reported from **9** (SI Table S5),¹² with a Mosher NMR ($\delta_S - \delta_R$) analysis (Figure 4) establishing a 3*R*,4*R* configuration (Figure 1), revising the 1998 3*S*,4*S* assignment.⁵ Application of a microscale (50 μ g) alkaline hydrolysis and C_3 Mosher analysis revealed a common 3*R*,4*R* configuration about the DDPVA residue in **2** and **3** (Figure 5B and 5C).

To complete our configurational investigations, a sample of **1** was subjected to Dess–Martin oxidation to yield a product identical with **4** (SI Figure S9), confirming both the structure and absolute configuration of **4** (Figure 1). An unexpected byproduct of Dess–Martin oxidation was identified as the benzoxazolone **8**

($C_{36}H_{42}N_2O_{12}$). Key differences in the 1H NMR ($DMSO-d_6$) data for **8** versus **4** included the absence of formamide ($NHCHO$) and phenolic ($ArOH$) resonances and changes in the chemical shifts for the aminosalicylic acid protons H-5 ($\Delta\delta -0.76$), H-6 ($\Delta\delta +0.32$), and H-7 ($\Delta\delta -0.68$) (SI Table S6). As neoantimycins bearing benzoxazolones have not been described previously, the formation of **8** represented a valuable SAR opportunity.

To advance SAR investigations further, we sourced and characterized authentic samples of the biosynthetically related antimycins A2a (**5**) and A4a (**6**), and respirantin (**7**) (Figure 1, SI Figures S10–S11). The antimycin structure class was first reported in 1949 (as an inseparable complex),¹³ with the planar structure for antimycin A₁ assigned in 1961¹⁴ and the absolute configuration in 1972.¹⁵ With several dozen antimycins reported to date, selected antimycins have been attributed antifungal,¹³ insecticidal,¹⁶ nematocidal,¹⁷ and piscicidal¹⁸ activity, as well as exhibiting binding to cytochrome *c* oxidoreductase to inhibit the electron-transfer activity of ubiquinol in the mitochondrial respiratory chain¹⁹ and to Bcl-2 to induce apoptotic cell death.²⁰ By comparison, literature accounts of respirantin (**7**) are limited and include its initial 1993 discovery as an insecticidal antibiotic from a Japanese soil *Streptomyces* sp.²¹ and its 2007 rediscovery (along with kitastatin) as a cytotoxic anticancer agent from an Alaskan soil *Kitasatospora* sp.²²

Historically, the assignment of absolute configurations to antimycins and respirantin has proven problematic as in the case for neoantimycins (see above) and would clearly benefit from a more efficient and reliable methodology. Significantly, the C_3 Marfey's and C_3 Mosher methodology described above meet all these criteria and are equally applicable to neoantimycins, antimycins, and respirantin.

We employed quantitative confocal imaging to measure the ability of **1–8** to mislocalize oncogenic mutant K-Ras (mGFP-K-RasG12V) from the PM of intact Madin–Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells.⁴ These experiments established **1–7** as exceptionally potent inhibitors of K-Ras PM localization (Table 1), as

Table 1. K-Ras PM Mislocalization and MTT Cytotoxicity Assay Results for **1–8**

	K-Ras PM mislocalization		MTT cytotoxicity		FR ^b
	E_{max} ^a	IC ₅₀ (nM)	SW620 IC ₅₀ (μ M)	SW620 Ad300 IC ₅₀ (μ M)	
1	0.60 ± 0.03	2.9 ± 0.7	0.06	0.23	3.8
2	0.56 ± 0.01	9.9 ± 0.2	0.16	0.61	3.8
3	0.62 ± 0.01	7.9 ± 0.5	0.04	0.26	6.5
4	0.60 ± 0.02	6.4 ± 0.5	0.07	0.19	2.7
5	0.78 ± 0.02	7.9 ± 0.3	0.15	0.32	2.1
6	0.79 ± 0.02	3.7 ± 0.3	0.12	0.44	3.7
7	0.78 ± 0.02	0.7 ± 0.1	0.01	0.03	3.0
8	inactive	inactive	>30	<i>c</i>	

^a E_{max} quantifies the maximum extent of mislocalization of K-Ras from the PM to the endomembrane. ^bFR: fold resistance is calculated as the IC₅₀ ratio for SW620 Ad300 versus SW620. ^cNot tested due to limited amount of compound.

compared to the reported inhibitory properties of spiroxanthromycin (IC₅₀ 27 μ M)²³ and staurosporine (IC₅₀ 0.42 nM).⁴ These results were particularly informative as they indicate that the *N*-formyl amino-salicylic acid residue, common to **1–7** (but not **8**), and restricted in the natural products literature to the neoantimycins, antimycins and respirantin, correlates with K-Ras

mislocalization. Furthermore, we determined that selected neoantimycins, antimycins, and respirantin exhibited increased cytotoxicity toward human lung cancer cells that feature a mutated oncogenic K-Ras (e.g., A549) versus those that are not K-Ras mutated (e.g., H522) (1, 50-fold; 5, >26-fold; and 7, >33-fold).

Finally, as up-regulation of ABC transporters such as P-glycoprotein (P-gp) in cancers can lead to accelerated drug efflux and multidrug resistance (MDR), we assayed 1–7 against the colon cancer cell line SW620 and its P-gp overexpressing daughter cell line SW620 Ad300. In control studies we confirmed that SW620 Ad300 cells were 22-fold (FR = 22) less sensitive to doxorubicin than SW620 cells and that coadministration with the P-gp inhibitor verapamil quenched this efflux effect (FR = 2.4). By comparison, 1–7 exhibited comparable cytotoxicity toward both SW620 and SW620 Ad300 cell lines (FR = 2.1–6.5), confirming that they were largely unaffected by P-gp mediated-MDR (Table 1).

In conclusion, this study explored the rare neoantimycin, antimycin, and respirantin structure classes, developing new microanalytical methodology for assigning absolute configurations, revising structures for known, and discovering and assigning structures to new neoantimycins. Significantly, this study discovered a new class of low nM K-Ras inhibitor that is not subject to P-gp mediated drug efflux.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

These data include general experimental procedures, NMR spectroscopic data, C_3 Marfey's and C_3 Mosher methods, and K-Ras and MTT cytotoxicity assays. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: r.capon@uq.edu.au.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank S. E. Bates and R. W. Robey (NIH, Bethesda, MD) for providing SW620 and SW620 Ad300, A. M. Piggott (UQ) for HRMS and NMR spectroscopic support, and D. Vuong and A. E. Lacey (MST) for assistance with the fermentation and metabolite purification. This research was funded in part by The University of Queensland, the Institute for Molecular Bioscience, the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (RP130059), and the Australian Research Council (DP120100183 and LP120100088).

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Hancock, J. F. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2003**, *4*, 373.
- (2) Bodemann, B. O.; White, M. A. *Curr. Biol.* **2013**, *23*, R17.
- (3) Baines, A. T.; Xu, D.; Der, C. J. *Future Med. Chem.* **2011**, *3*, 1787.
- (4) Cho, K.-J.; Park, J.-H.; Piggott, A. M.; Salim, A. A.; Gorfe, A. A.; Parton, R. G.; Capon, R. J.; Lacey, E.; Hancock, J. F. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2012**, *287*, 43573.
- (5) Takeda, Y.; Masuda, T.; Matsumoto, T.; Takechi, Y.; Shingu, T.; Floss, H. G. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1998**, *61*, 978.
- (6) Li, X.; Zvanych, R.; Vanner, S. A.; Wang, W.; Magarvey, N. A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2013**, *23*, 5123.

- (7) (a) Benedetti, E.; Ganis, P.; Bombieri, G.; Caglioti, L.; Germain, G. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B* **1975**, *B31*, 1097. (b) Caglioti, L.; Misiti, D.; Mondelli, R.; Selva, A.; Arcamone, F.; Cassinelli, G. *Tetrahedron* **1969**, *25*, 2193. (c) Cassinelli, G.; Grein, A.; Orezzi, P.; Pennella, P.; Sanfilippo, A. *Arch. Mikrobiol.* **1967**, *55*, 358.
- (8) Vanner, S. A.; Li, X.; Zvanych, R.; Torchia, J.; Sang, J.; Andrews, D. W.; Magarvey, N. A. *Mol. Biosyst.* **2013**, *9*, 2712.
- (9) Takahashi, K.; Tsuda, E.; Kurosawa, K. *J. Antibiot.* **2001**, *54*, 867.
- (10) Umeda, Y.; Chijiwa, S.; Furihata, K.; Furihata, K.; Sakuda, S.; Nagasawa, H.; Watanabe, H.; Shin-ya, K. *J. Antibiot.* **2005**, *58*, 206.
- (11) Izumikawa, M.; Ueda, J.-y.; Chijiwa, S.; Takagi, M.; Shin-ya, K. *J. Antibiot.* **2007**, *60*, 640.
- (12) Umeda, Y.; Furihata, K.; Sakuda, S.; Nagasawa, H.; Ishigami, K.; Watanabe, H.; Izumikawa, M.; Takagi, M.; Doi, T.; Nakao, Y.; Shin-ya, K. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 4239.
- (13) Dunshee, B. R.; Leben, C.; Keitt, G. W.; Strong, F. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1949**, *71*, 2436.
- (14) (a) Birch, A. J.; Cameron, D. W.; Harada, Y.; Rickards, R. W. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1961**, 889. (b) Van Tamelen, E. E.; Dickie, J. P.; Loomans, M. E.; Dewey, R. S.; Strong, F. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1961**, *83*, 1639.
- (15) Kinoshita, M.; Aburaki, S.; Umezawa, S. *J. Antibiot.* **1972**, *25*, 373–376.
- (16) Kido, G. S.; Spyhalski, E. *Science* **1950**, *112*, 172.
- (17) Shiomi, K.; Hatae, K.; Hatano, H.; Matsumoto, A.; Takahashi, Y.; Jiang, C.-L.; Tomoda, H.; Kobayashi, S.; Tanaka, H.; Omura, S. *J. Antibiot.* **2005**, *58*, 74.
- (18) Cumming, K. B.; Burrell, R. M.; Gilderhus, P. A. *Prog. Fish Cult.* **1975**, *37*, 81.
- (19) Wikstrom, M. K. F.; Berden, J. A. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg.* **1972**, *283*, 403.
- (20) Tzung, S.-P.; Kim, K. M.; Basanez, G.; Giedt, C. D.; Simon, J.; Zimmerberg, J.; Zhang, K. Y. J.; Hockenbery, D. M. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2001**, *3*, 183.
- (21) Urushibata, I.; Isogai, A.; Matsumoto, S.; Suzuki, A. *J. Antibiot.* **1993**, *46*, 701.
- (22) Pettit, G. R.; Tan, R.; Pettit, R. K.; Smith, T. H.; Feng, S.; Doubek, D. L.; Richert, L.; Hamblin, J.; Weber, C.; Chapuis, J.-C. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2007**, *70*, 1069.
- (23) Salim, A. A.; Xiao, X.; Cho, K.-J.; Piggott, A. M.; Lacey, E.; Hancock, J. F.; Capon, R. J. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2014**, *12*, 4872.