DOI: 10.1002/asia.201100219

Organocatalytic Approach for the Syntheses of Corynantheidol, Dihydrocorynantheol, Protoemetinol, Protoemetine, and Mitragynine

Xuefeng Sun and Dawei Ma*^[a]

Dedicated to Professor Eun Lee on the occasion of his retirement and 65th birthday

Abstract: *O*-Trimethylsilyl (TMS)-protected diphenylprolinol-catalyzed Michael addition of a functionalized alkylidene malonate and *n*-butanal affords an aldehyde. This adduct can serve as the common intermediate for the assembly of secologanin tryptamine and dopamine alkaloids; this is demonstrated by the total syntheses of corynantheidol, dihydrocorynantheol, protoemetinol, and protoemetine, and the formal synthesis of mitragynine. The key steps include reductive amination

Keywords: alkaloids • Michael addition • natural products • organocatalysis • total synthesis of this aldehyde with tryptamine, condensation of this aldehyde with 4-methoxytryptamine, condensation of dimethoxyphenethylamine with a lactone derived from this aldehyde, and subsequent Bischler–Napieralski cyclization and reduction.

Introduction

In recent years, great progress has been made in the development of organocatalytic Michael addition reactions of aldehydes with electron-deficient olefins. Among the catalysts examined, O-trimethylsilyl (TMS)-protected diphenylprolinol has received increasing attention because of its convenient availability and excellent asymmetric induction ability. Owing to relatively poor reactivity of the imine intermediates generated from aldehydes and O-TMS-protected diphenylprolinol, the Michael acceptors are limited to those highly reactive electron-deficient olefins, such as nitroolefines,^[1] 1,1-bis(benzenesulfonyl)ethylene,^[2] maleimides,^[3] arylidene malonates,^[4] α -keto- α , β -unsaturated esters,^[5] and γ keto- α , β -unsaturated esters.^[6] Clearly, this drawback would greatly limit their applications in the syntheses of complex molecules. However, we believe that some simple chiral building blocks can be elaborated in a facile and less expensive manner by using these newly developed Michael addi-

[a] X. Sun, Prof. Dr. D. Ma State Key Laboratory of Bioorganic and Natural Products Chemistry Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry Chinese Academy of Sciences 354 Fenglin Lu, Shanghai 200032 (P.R. China) Fax: (+86)21-64166128 E-mail: madw@mail.sioc.ac.cn
Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/asia.201100219.

tion reactions and will find applications in the synthesis of natural products.

Secologanin tryptamine and dopamine alkaloids are two structurally diverse classes of natural products that share functionalized monoterpene glucoside as the biosynthetic precursor (Scheme 1).^[7] These natural products, as well as their derivatives, possess significant analgesic,^[8] anti-inflammatory,^[9] antiarthritic,^[10] antiallergenic,^[11] antibacterial,^[12]



Scheme 1. Biosynthesis of secologanin-derived alkaloids. Glu=glucosyl.

2158

CHEMISTRY

and antiviral^[13] activities. More importantly, some of these compounds are therapeutically useful. For instance, mitragynine (**6**; Scheme 2) has been used as a substitute for opioids in the treatment of pain in Thailand.^[16f] During recent de-



Scheme 2. New strategy for syntheses of tryptamine- and dopamine-derived alkaloids.

cades, a considerable number of reports have appeared regarding the total synthesis of these alkaloids. However, most approaches to these alkaloids were investigated on a caseby-case basis. Quite recently, Williams and English developed a general strategy for elaborating both families of alkaloids from a common intermediate, although the synthesis was performed in a racemic manner.^[144] Herein, we wish to demonstrate that diester **3**—a Michael adduct of *n*-butanal with alkylidene malonate **2**—could be utilized for asymmetric syntheses of the tryptamine-derived alkaloids corynantheidol (**4**),^[14] dihydrocorynantheol (**5**),^[15] and mitragynine (**6**),^[16] as well as dopamine-derived alkaloids protoemetinol (**7**)^[17] and protoemetine (**8**).^[18]

Scheme 3 illustrates our detailed retrosynthetic analysis of these alkaloids. We focused our attention on the advanced intermediates **11a** and **11b**, which could be transformed into the corresponding target natural products **10** by Bischler–Napieralski cyclization.^[19] We envisioned that **11a** could be obtained by a diastereoselective hydrogenation of unsaturated lactam **12**, whereas **11b** could be assembled from lactone **13**. Both **12** and **13** could be prepared by using aldehyde **3** as a common intermediate.

Abstract in Chinese:

在本文中我们描述了一个由有机小分子催化的迈克加成产物可 以作为一个共用的中间体来合成开联番木鳖苷类色胺生物碱和 多巴胺生物碱。这个合成策略通过5个生物碱的合成来说明。



Scheme 3. Retrosynthetic analysis of secologanin tryptamine and dopamine alkaloids. Bn=benzyl.

Results and Discussion

With this idea in mind, we first explored the Michael reaction of *n*-butanal with alkylidene malonate 2. The Córdova group^[4] has demonstrated that O-TMS-protected diphenylprolinol 1 was the best catalyst for promoting the Michael addition of aldehydes to simple arylidene malonates in terms of diastereo- and enantioselectivity.^[20] Under their optimized conditions, we found that the desired adduct 3 could be obtained with a slight decrease in the stereochemical outcome (Table 1, entry 1). Changing the reaction media from acetonitrile to water slightly improved the diastereoselectivity without significantly decreasing the efficiency (Table 1, entry 2). However, other solvent systems gave worse results (Table 1, entries 3-7). Unfortunately, we observed that the enantioselectivity dropped to 81.6% ee once the reaction was carried out on a 1 mmol scale (Table 1, entry 8), although the reason for this difference was not clear.

Although the optical purity for our synthesized 3 was not excellent (81.6% *ee*), we continued our synthesis by con-

Table 1. Michael addition of ${\bf 2}$ and n-butanal under different condition- $s^{[a]}$

Entry	Solvent	<i>t</i> [h]	Conversion ^[b] [%]	d.r. ^[b]	ee ^[c] [%]
1	CH ₃ CN	12	>99	5.4:1	89.5
2	H_2O	10	>95	6.2:1	91.0
3	CHCl ₃	12	90	4.1:1	91.5
4	$(CH_2Cl)_2$	12	>99	4.3:1	79.3
5	CH ₃ CN/H ₂ O (10:1)	12	>99	4.6:1	85.3
6	toluene	12	60	3.5:1	86.2
7	dioxane	12	60	2.9:1	76.5
8	H_2O	10	>95	6.2:1	81.6 ^[d]

[a] Reaction conditions: 2 (0.1 mmol), *n*-butanal (0.5 mmol), 1 (0.02 mmol), solvent (0.2 mL), 0 °C. [b] The diastereomer ratio (d.r.) was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis of the crude product. [c] The enantiomeric excess (*ee*) was determined by chiral-phase HPLC analysis of its derivative **15**. [d] The reaction was carried out on a 1 mmol scale.

necting it with tryptamine. Reductive amination of 3 with tryptamine and subsequent spontaneous lactamization afforded the desired product 14 in 76% yield as an inseparable diastereomeric mixture (Scheme 4). In this case, a side



Scheme 4. Total synthesis of 4 and 5. THF = tetrahydrofuran.

product, 15, was isolated in 9% yield, which should have resulted from a condensative cyclization/elimination cascade. This observation offered us the chance to tune the geometry of the substituents in the piperidine core (see below). Saponification of 14 and subsequent decarboxylation in toluene at reflux produced lactam 16. Two diastereomers in a ratio of about 2:1 were detected by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. This result indicated that partial epimerization occurred during the transformation steps. Next, Bischler-Napieralski cyclization of lactam 16 followed by reduction with NaBH₄ provided separable tetracyclic compounds 17a and 17b. Pd/C-catalyzed hydrogenolysis of 17a and 17b delivered 4 and 5, respectively. The analytical data (¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra) of synthetic 4 and 5 were consistent with those previously reported for these two natural products.^[14,15] Thus, we achieved a four-step synthesis of 4 and 5 from tryptamine and the Michael adduct 3.

In the above synthesis, two separable isomers were obtained in a ratio of about 2:1 after decarboxylation, which was acceptable because both of them could be used for synthesizing target alkaloids. Indeed, most secologanin tryptamine alkaloids exist naturally with two diastereomers at the side chains of the right-handed piperidine cycles, and therefore, the aldehyde **3** could be used as a common intermediate for the assembly of these alkaloids. However, for synthesizing secologanin dopamine alkaloids, we have to find a more diastereoselective approach because they usually exist as single diastereomers.

Accordingly, we attempted to improve the diastereoselectivity in the reductive amination/condensative cyclization cascade of **3** and 3,4-dimethoxyphenethylamine (Scheme 5). Unfortunately, low selectivity (1:1 to 2:1) was still observed after changing the reducing agents, additives, and solvents. As the groups of Jørgensen^[20a] and Nuhant^[17h] have encountered similar problems in their reductive amination operations, we gave up on this improvement.



Scheme 5. Reductive amination/condensative cyclization of 3 and 3,4-dimethoxy-phenethylamine. TFA = trifluoroacetic acid.

We next turned our attention to employing lactone **13** as an intermediate. This compound could be easily assembled from **3** with 68% overall yield by a reduction/lactonization/ decarboxylation reaction sequence (Scheme 6). In this case, the diastereomer was isolated in only 9% yield, indicating that no epimerization took place during reduction of the aldehyde moiety. Following the procedure reported by Fukumoto et al.,^[17e] we were able to transform **13** into tricyclic intermediate **18** by condensation with 3,4-dimethoxyphenethylamine at 160 °C, treatment of the resulting amide with POCl₃, and subsequent reduction with NaBH₄. Removal of the benzyl protecting group in **18** produced **7** in 70% yield, which was oxidized with Dess–Martin reagent to deliver **8** in 60% yield.

Our synthetic studies toward 6 are depicted in Scheme 7. As mentioned before, enamine 15 could be isolated as a side product from reductive amination of **3** with tryptamine. We envisioned that the corresponding enamine could be obtained exclusively in the absence of the reducing agent. After some experimentation, we found that reaction of the aldehyde 3 with 4-methoxytryptamine in dichloromethane at room temperature gave the desired enamine 20 in 75% yield as a single diastereomer. Diastereoselective hydrogenation of 20 could be accomplished by catalysis with PtO₂, and the resulting product was subjected to saponification and decarboxylation to deliver lactam 21 in 75% overall yield. Following a similar procedure for converting lactam 16 into 4 and 5, we obtained alcohol 24. However, it was difficult to oxidize 24 into the corresponding acid. This problem forced us to perform the oxidation before Bischler-Na-



Scheme 6. Total syntheses of 7 and 8. TsOH = p-toluenesulfonic acid.



Scheme 7. Formal synthesis of 6. DMSO=dimethylsulfoxide, IBX=2-io-doxybenzoic acid.

pieralski cyclization. Accordingly, debenzylation of **21** through Pd/C-catalyzed hydrogenolysis followed by oxidation of the primary alcohol with IBX produced an aldehyde,

which was subjected to Pinnick oxidation and esterification to afford ester **22** in 40% overall yield. Heating a solution of **22** and POCl₃ in toluene at reflux followed by reduction with NaBH(OAc)₃ in MeOH gave ester **23** in 60% yield, which has been employed by Cook's laboratory for synthesizing **6**.^[16f,g] Thus, we were able to achieve the formal synthesis of **6**.

Conclusion

We have developed an organocatalytic approach for the asymmetric synthesis of secologanin tryptamine alkaloids 4, 5, and 6, as well as secologanin dopamine alkaloids 7 and 8. This protocol is promising for the assembly of other members of the secologanin alkaloids and their analogues. Thus, our results give an additional example to demonstrate the synthetic utility of organocatalytic reactions.

Experimental Section

Michael addition of n-butanal and 2

A mixture of **1** (6.5 mg, 0.02 mmol), *n*-butanal (45 µL, 0.50 mmol), water (0.2 mL), and **2** (28 mg, 0.10 mmol) was stirred at 0 °C for 10 h. The solution was partitioned between ethyl acetate and water. The organic phase was washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄ before it was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by chromatography (eluting with 4:1 petroleum ether and ethyl acetate) to give **3** as a colorless oil (30 mg, 85%, d.r.=8:1). $[a]_{D}^{21.8} = -26.4$ (*c*=1.08, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.92$ (t, *J*=7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.31–1.41 (m, 1H), 1.69–1.83 (m, 3H), 2.32–2.34 (m, 1H), 2.95 (dq, *J*=4.0, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.41 (t, *J*=6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.56 (d, *J*=7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 4.41 (s, 2H), 7.32 (m, 5H), 9.65 ppm (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 12.9$, 18.0, 29.2, 35.0, 52.5, 52.6, 54.1, 54.9, 68.0, 72. 8, 127.6, 127.7, 128.4, 138.2, 169.0, 169.1, 203.4 ppm; HRMS: *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₉H₂₆O₆Na [*M*+Na]⁺: 373.1622; found: 373.1634.

Reductive amination of 3 with tryptamine

Tryptamine (17 mg, 0.11 mmol) and NaBH(OAc)₃ (42 mg, 0.20 mmol) were added to a solution of **3** (30 mg, 0.85 mmol) in dry THF (1 mL). The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed with brine. The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by chromatography (eluting with 2:1–1:1 petroleum/ethyl acetate) to give **15** (4 mg, 9%) and **14** (34 mg, 76%).

15: $[a]_{D}^{23.5} = +40.6$ (*c* = 1.05, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.93 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.54–1.62 (m, 1H), 1.64–1.72 (m, 1H), 1.95–2.02 (m, 2H), 2.86 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 3.00–3.08 (m, 2H), 3.45 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.61–3.74 (m, 1H), 3.96–4.04 (m, 1H), 4.48 (s, 2H), 5.67 (s, 1H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 7.12 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.33–7.36 (m, 6H), 7.66 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.29 ppm (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 12.6, 24.2, 25.6, 31.0, 35.6, 46.9, 52.55, 52.63, 67.2, 73.1, 111.3, 112.4, 118.8, 119.4, 122.0, 122.5, 123.4, 124.9, 127.4, 127.7, 127.8, 128.5, 136.4, 138.3, 164.4, 170.1 ppm; HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd for $C_{28}H_{33}N_2O_4$ [*M*+H]⁺: 461.2435; found: 461.2437; HPLC (Chiralpak IC, hexane/*l*PrOH = 70:30, flow rate 0.7 mLmin⁻¹, λ = 214 nm), t_R = 19.43 (minor), 24.58 (major) min; single; *ee* = 91.0% (*ee* = 80% when the reaction was carried out on 1 mmol scale).

14: $[a]_{D}^{23.4} = + +21.9 (c = 1.07, CHCl_3)$; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, major isomer): $\delta = 0.69$ (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.05–1.17 (m, 1H), 1.34–1.40 (m, 1H), 1.47–1.53 (m, 1H), 1.66–1.85 (m, 2H), 2.14–2.37 (m, 1H), 2.89-2.97 (m, 1H), 3.05–3.17 (m, 3H), 3.32 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.45–3.54 (m, 3H),

3.70–3.81 (m, 4H), 4.46 (s, 2H), 7.03 (s, 1H), 7.11–7.18 (m, 2H), 7.29–7.37 (m, 6H), 7.65 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.90 ppm (brs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, major isomer): δ =10.7, 11.7, 23.1 23.8, 31.9, 37.6, 38.5, 48.7, 51.4, 52.3, 54.5, 66.8, 72.9, 111.4, 112.1, 118.5, 119.0, 121.6, 122.4, 127.3, 127.5, 128.3, 136.3, 138.2, 166.4, 171.5 ppm; HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd for C₂₈H₃₅N₂O₄ [*M*+H]⁺: 463.2591; found: 463.2585.

Conversion of 14 to 16

NaOH (51 mg, 1.26 mmol) was added to a solution of 14 (193 mg, 0.42 mmol) in THF/MeOH/H2O (4/0.8/1.6 mL) at 0°C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature until the starting material was completely consumed, as monitored by TLC. After 1N HCl was added to adjust the pH to less than 5, the resulting mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed with brine. The organic phase was dried over MgSO_4 , filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in toluene (10 mL) and the solution was heated at reflux for 1 h. The solution was cooled to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (petroleum/ethyl acetate = 2/1) to give **16** as a colorless oil (165 mg, 98%, d.r. = 3:1). $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{23}$ +32.4 (c = 1.00, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, major isomer): $\delta =$ 0.75 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.11-1.21 (m, 1H), 1.33-1.40 (m, 2H), 1.46-1.52 (m, 1H), 1.65–1.81 (m, 2H), 2.06 (dd, J=8.0, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (dd, J= 5.6, 17.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.87 (dd, J=8.0, 12.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.03 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 2 H), 3.16 (dd, J = 4.8, 12.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.42–3.53 (m, 2 H), 3.57–3.75 (m, 2 H), 4.48 (s, 2 H), 7.04 (s, 1 H), 7.12 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.18 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.28–7.37 (m, 6H), 7.65 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.98 ppm (brs, 1H); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, major isomer): $\delta = 10.9$, 23.2, 23.6, 33.1, 33.4, 36.4, 39.3, 48.2, 51.4, 67.5, 73.1, 111.3, 112.7, 118.7, 119.1, 121.8, 122.2, 127.5, 127.66, 127.68, 128.4, 136.4, 138.3, 169.6 ppm; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for C₂₆H₃₂N₂O₃Na [*M*+Na]⁺: 427.2356; found: 427.2377.

Synthesis of 17a and 17b

POCl₃ (0.5 mL, 4.0 mmol) was added to a solution of **16** (200 mg, 0.50 mmol) in toluene (8 mL). The mixture was heated at reflux (for about 2 h) until the starting material was completely consumed, as monitored by TLC. After being cooled to room temperature, the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure. After the residue was dissolved in MeOH (8 mL), NaBH₄ (152 mg, 4.0 mmol) was added and the resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ 1:10) to give **17a** (50 mg, 26%) and **17b** (120 mg, 62%) as colorless oils.

17a: $[a]_{\rm D}^{23.1} = -2.4$ (c=0.90, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.93$ (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.27–1.33 (m, 2H), 1.42–1.50 (m, 2H), 1.60–1.78 (m, 4H), 1.81–1.98 (m, 1H), 2.37 (d, J=9.6 Hz, 1H), 2.54–2.61 (m, 1H), 2.69 (d, J=14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.94–3.05 (m, 3H), 3.16 (d, J=10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (t, J=6.4 Hz, 2H), 4.56 (d, J=12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J=12.0 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.43 (m, 5H), 7.48 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.72 ppm (brs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta=12.8$, 17.9, 21.9, 32.0, 33.1, 36.8, 39.8, 53.6, 58.1, 60.4, 68.1, 73.0, 108.1, 110.8, 118.2, 119.4, 121.2, 127.6, 127.8, 128.0, 128.6, 135.6, 136.0, 138.7 ppm; HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for C₂₆H₃₃N₂O [M+H]⁺: 389.2587; found: 389.2594.

17b: $[a]_{D}^{23.1} = +12.1$ (c = 0.98, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.96$ (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.15–1.30 (m, 2H), 1.37–1.40 (m, 1H), 1.49–1.51 (m, 2H), 1.68–1.76 (m, 1H), 2.02–2.15 (m, 3H), 2.62 (td, J = 11.2, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.74 (dd, J = 15.2, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.00–3.14 (m, 4H), 3.62 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 4.51 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.39–7.44 (m, 5H), 7.50 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.70 ppm (brs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 11.2$, 21.8, 23.6, 32.5, 37.3, 42.1, 53.2, 53.6, 59.8, 60.5, 67.6, 72.9, 108.0, 110.8, 118.2, 119.4, 121.3, 127.5, 127.8, 128.1, 128.5, 135.0, 136.0, 138.7 ppm; HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for C₂₆H₃₃N₂O [M+H]⁺: 389.2587; found: 389.2591.

Corynantheidol (4)

Compound 17a (50 mg, 0.13 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (5 mL) before Pd(OH)₂ (5 mg, 10%) and concentrated HCl (1 drop) were added. The mixture was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere for 8 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through a short plug of Celite and then eluted with MeOH/CH2Cl2 (1:5). The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and purified by flash chromatography (methanol/ CH_2Cl_2 1:10) to give 4 as a yellow oil (38 mg, 70%). $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{22.4}\!=\!-10.4$ (c=0.50, HCCl_3); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.91$ (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H), 1.25–1.27 (m, 1 H), 1.46– 1.59 (m, 5H), 1.88-1.90 (m, 2H), 2.20 (brs, 1H), 2.32 (d, J=11.2 Hz, 1H), 2.50–2.56 (m, 1H), 2.67 (d, J=14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.95–3.03 (m, 3H), 3.16 (d, J=10.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.73–3.76 (m, 2 H), 7.07 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.12 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.14 ppm (brs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 12.8, 17.9, 21.7, 31.8, 36.0, 36.3, 39.7, 53.5, 57.8, 60.5, 60.8, 107.9, 111.0, 118.2, 119.4, 121.3, 127.5, 135.3, 136.2 ppm; HRMS (MALDI/DHB): m/z calcd for C₁₉H₂₇N₂O [*M*+H]⁺ 299.2118; found: 299.2117.

Dihydrocorynantheol (5)

Compound **17b** (100 mg, 0.26 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (10 mL) before Pd(OH)₂ (10 mg, 10%) and concentrated HCl (1 drop) were added. The mixture was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere for 8 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through a short plug of Celite and then eluted with MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ (1:5). The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and purified by flash chromatography (MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ 1:10) to give **5** as a yellow solid (77 mg, 70%). $[a]_{10}^{26.5} = -8.7$ (c = 0.88, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.85$ (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.00–1.07 (m, 1H), 1.17–1.41 (m, 4H), 1.55–1.59 (m, 1H), 1.82–1.87 (m, 2H), 2.13 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 2.41–2.47 (m, 1H), 2.68 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 2.88–3.04 (m, 4H), 3.62 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.79 ppm (brs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 11.1$, 21.5, 23.4, 35.0, 35.4, 37.0, 41.4, 35.30, 59.8, 59.9, 60.1, 107.5, 111.2, 119.3, 121.3, 127.3, 134.8, 136.3 ppm; HRMS (MALDI/DHB): m/z calcd for C₁₉H₂₇N₂O [M+H]⁺: 299.2118; found: 299.2116.

Synthesis of 13

NaBH₄ (74 mg, 2.0 mmol) was added in 3 portions to a cooled solution (-78°C) of 3 (350 mg, 1.00 mmol) in dry MeOH (5 mL). The mixture was stirred at this temperature for 1 h before 1 N HCl (3 mL) was added to quench the reaction. The solution was warmed to room temperature and then p-TsOH (50 mg) was added. The resulting mixture was allowed to stand overnight. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in THF/ MeOH/H2O (4/1/2 mL) and then NaOH (80 mg, 2.00 mmol) was added at 0°C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature (overnight) until the starting material was completely consumed, as monitored by TLC. After 1 N HCl was added to adjust the pH to less than 5, the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed with brine. The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in toluene (10 mL) and the solution was heated at reflux for 1 h. The cooled solution was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (petroleum/ethyl acetate = 4:1) to give **13** as a colorless oil (200 mg, 77 %, d.r. = 8:1). $[a]_D^{28.2}$ = +15.8 (c=1.06, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =0.93 (t, J= 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.31-1.38 (m, 1H), 1.45-1.60 (m, 3H), 1.78-1.86 (m, 1H), 1.88–1.97 (m, 1H), 2.25 (dd, J=7.2, 16.0 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (dd, J=6.8, 16.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.50 (t, J=6.0 Hz, 2 H), 3.99 (dd, J=7.2, 11.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.28 (dd, J=4.4, 11.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.48 (s, 2 H), 7.28–7.36 ppm (m, 5 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 11.3$, 24.3, 33.2, 34.2, 35.3, 40.0, 67.2, 70.5, 73.2, 127.7, 127.8, 128.5, 138.2, 172.7 ppm; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $C_{16}H_{22}O_3Na \ [M+Na]^+ 285.1461$; found: 285.1474.

Conversion of 13 to 18

A mixture of **13** (55 mg, 0.20 mmol) and 3,4-dimethoxyphenethylamine (0.24 mL, 1.40 mmol) was heated at 160 $^{\circ}$ C for 6 h. The cooled solution was diluted with ethyl acetate before it was washed with 1 N HCl, saturat-

ed aqueous NaHCO3, and brine. The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in toluene (2 mL), and then POCl3 (0.1 mL, 0.80 mmol) was added. The mixture was heated at reflux (for about 2 h) until the starting material was completely consumed, as monitored by TLC. After the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure, the residue was dissolved in MeOH (2 mL). NaBH₄ (30 mg, 0.8 mmol) was added to this solution at 0°C. The resulting mixture was stirred at 0°C for 1 h. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (eluting with 1:1 petroleum/ethyl acetate) to give **18** as a colorless oil (60 mg, 75%). $[a]_{\rm D}^{27.4} =$ -11.1 (c=0.98, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.91$ (t, J= 7.2 Hz, 3 H), 1.11-1.18 (m, 1 H), 1.23-1.31 (m, 1 H), 1.47-1.48 (m, 3 H), 1.64-1.73 (m, 1 H), 2.02-2.13 (m, 2 H), 2.32 (d, J=12.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.54 (td, J=11.2, 4.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.66 (d, J=15.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.03–3.07 (m, 1 H), 3.13 (t, J=12.8 Hz, 3 H), 3.60 (t, J=6.0 Hz, 2 H), 3.78 (s, 3 H), 3.83 (s, 3 H), 4.49 (d, J=12.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.56 (d, J=12.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.57 (s, 1 H), 6.61 (s, 1 H), 7.28–7.35 ppm (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 11.1, 23.5, 28.9, 32.8, 37.0, 38.1, 40.9, 52.1, 56.0, 56.3, 61.2, 62.6, 68.3, 73.0, 108.7, 111.7, 126.6, 127.7, 128.5, 129.6, 138.7, 147.4, 147.8 ppm; HRMS (ESI): m/zcalcd for C₂₆H₃₆NO₃ [*M*+H]⁺: 410.2690; found: 410.2705.

Protoemetinol (7)

A mixture of 18 (120 mg, 0.29 mmol), Pd(OH)₂ (24 mg, 20%), and concentrated HCl (1 drop) in MeOH (10 mL) was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere for 8 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through a short plug of Celite and then eluted with MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ (1:5). The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and purified by flash chromatography (MeOH/ CH_2Cl_2 1:10) to give 7 as a yellow oil (66 mg, 70%). $[\alpha]_D^{25.1} = -24.7$ (c = 1.10, MeOH); $[a]_{D}^{26.0} = -40.5$ (c=1.20, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.91$ (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.07–1.16 (m, 1H), 1.26–1.31 (m, 1H), 1.39-1.45 (m, 3H), 1.62-1.70 (m, 1H), 1.88-1.95 (m, 1H), 2.01 (t, J=10.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.33 (d, J=12.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.51 (td, J=11.6, 4.4 Hz, 1 H), 2.65 (brd, J=15.2 Hz, 1H), 3.00-3.04 (m, 1H), 3.08-3.16 (m, 3H), 3.70-3.75 (m, 2H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 6.57 (s, 1H), 6.58 ppm (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =11.1, 23.5, 28.9, 35.8, 37.1, 37.6, 41.0, 52.3, 55.9, 56.2, 60.3, 61.3, 62.7, 108.5, 111.6, 126.6, 129.7, 147.3, 147.7 ppm; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{30}NO_3$ [M+H]⁺: 320.2220; found: 320.2225.

Protoemetine (8)

DMP (33 mg, 0.08 mmol) and NaHCO₃ (13 mg, 0.16 mmol) were added to a solution of 7 (9 mg, 0.03 mmol) in dry CH2Cl2 (1 mL) at 0°C. The mixture was stirred at this temperature under an argon atmosphere for 1 h before it was extracted with diethyl ether and washed successively with a saturated aqueous solution of Na₂S₂O₃, a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO3, and brine. The organic layer was dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (eluting with ethyl acetate) to give 8 as a yellow oil (5 mg, 60%). $[a]_{\rm D}^{28.4} = -28.8$ (c = 0.40, EtOH); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta =$ 0.93 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.10-1.17 (m, 1H), 1.25-1.34 (m, 2H), 1.49-1.61 (m, 2H), 2.09 (t, J=11.2 Hz, 1H), 2.31-2.36 (m, 2H), 2.49-2.54 (m, 1H), 2.63 (d, J=16.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.72 (dd, J=3.6, 17.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.97-3.01 (m, 1H), 3.08-3.16 (m, 3H), 3.84 (s, 6H), 6.57 (s, 1H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 9.88 ppm (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 11.2$, 23.9, 29.3, 36.1, 38.3, 41.4, 48.2, 52.6, 56.0, 56.3, 61.2, 62.7, 108.4, 111.7, 126.7, 129.6, 147.5, 147.8, 202.5 ppm; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for C₁₉H₂₈NO₃ [M+H]⁺: 318.2064; found: 318.2062.

Synthesis of 20

4-Methoxytryptamine (190 mg, 1.0 mmol) was added to a solution of **3** (280 mg, 0.80 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solution was concentrated in vacuo and purified by flash chromatography (eluting with 1:2 petroleum/ethyl acetate) to afford **20** as a brown oil (294 mg, 75%). $[a]_{D}^{26,9}$ =+40.4 (*c*=1.50, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =0.96 (t, *J*=7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.59-1.67 (m, 1H), 1.70-1.78 (m, 1H), 2.01 (q, *J*=7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.86 (t, *J*=

7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.10 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.43 (s, 1H), 3.48 (t, J=6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.65–3.74 (m, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.92–3.97 (m, 1H), 4.50 (s, 2H), 5.73 (s, 1H), 6.49 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (s, 1H), 6.95 (d, J= 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.30–7.37 (m, 5H), 8.32 ppm (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =12.6, 25.7, 25.9, 31.0, 35.6, 48.2, 52.5, 52.7, 55.1, 67.3, 73.1, 99.3, 104.8, 112.7, 117.3, 121.8, 122.7, 123.7, 124.3, 127.7, 127.8, 128.5, 138.26, 138.31, 154.5, 164.3, 170.2 ppm; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for C₂₉H₃₄N₂O₅Na [M+Na]⁺: 513.2360; found: 513.2369.

Conversion of 20 into 21

A mixture of 20 (600 mg, 1.22 mmol) and PtO₂ (60 mg, 10 wt %) in dry MeOH (4 mL) was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered through a short plug of Celite and then eluted with ethyl acetate. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and dissolved in THF/H2O/MeOH (12:5:2 mL) before NaOH (98 mg, 2.44 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature (overnight) until the starting material was completely consumed, as monitored by TLC. After 1N HCl was added to adjust the pH to less than 5, the solution was extracted with ethyl acetate (50 mL) and washed with brine (20 mL). The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in toluene (10 mL) and the solution was heated at reflux for 1 h. The solution was cooled to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (eluting with 1:1 petroleum/ethyl acetate) to give **21** as a yellow oil (397 mg, 75%). $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{27.5} =$ +36.1 (c = 0.70, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.80$ (t, J =7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.17-1.24 (m, 1H), 1.38-1.45 (m, 2H), 1.48-1.53 (m, 1H), 1.78–1.88 (m, 2H), 2.05 (dd, J=8.0, 16.8 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (dd, J=5.6, 16.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.93 (dd, J=8.0, 12.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.10 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 2 H), 3.24 (dd, J=4.8, 12.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.45-3.55 (m, 2 H), 3.61-3.71 (m, 2 H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 4.49 (s, 2H), 6.49 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (s, 1H), 6.95 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.07 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.30-7.38 (m, 5 H), 8.01 ppm (brs, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 11.2$, 24.0, 24.9, 33.5, 33.7, 36.6, 39.7, 49.2, 51.3, 55.2, 67.8, 73.3, 99.5, 104.7, 113.8, 117.5, 121.4, 122.9, 127.79, 127.84, 128.6, 138.3, 138.5, 154.8, 169.5 ppm; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for C₂₇H₃₄N₂O₃Na [*M*+Na]⁺: 457.2462; found: 457.2460.

Ester **22**

A mixture of 21 (245 mg, 0.56 mmol) and Pd/C (25 mg, 10 wt %) in ethyl acetate (3 mL) and MeOH (3 mL) was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere for 3 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through a short plug of Celite and then eluted with MeOH/CH2Cl2 (1:5). The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in dry DMSO (6 mL) before IBX (157 mg, 0.56 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then diluted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ and brine, dried over Na2SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to afford an aldehyde. The aldehyde was dissolved in THF/H₂O/tBuOH (4:4:1 mL) and then NaH₂PO₄ (175 mg, 1.12 mmol), NaClO₂ (51 mg, 0.56 mmol), and 2-methylbut-2-ene (2 mL) were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h, extracted with ethyl acetate, and washed with brine. The organic layer was dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to afford an acid. The acid was dissolved in CH2Cl2/MeOH (4:4 mL) and TMSCHN₂ (0.5 mL) was added at 0°C. The mixture was stirred at this temperature for 1 h before 1 N HCl (2 mL) was added to quench the reaction. The resulting solution was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed with a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO3 and brine. The organic layer was dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography to afford 22 as a yellow oil (82 mg, 40 % yield for 4 steps, d.r. > 8:1). $[\alpha]_D^{22.5} = +37.8$ $(c=0.90, \text{ CHCl}_3)$; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta=0.79$ (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.16-1.23 (m, 1H), 1.45-1.53 (m, 2H), 1.67-1.73 (m, 1H), 2.12-2.18 (m, 2H), 2.44 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 2.95 (dd, J = 12.0 Hz, 2H), 2H, 8.0, 12.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.10 (t, J=6.4 Hz, 2 H), 3.24 (dd, J=4.8, 12.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.61-3.65 (m, 2H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 6.48 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (s, 1H), 6.96 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.31 ppm (brs, 1H); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 11.1$, 23.8, 24.8, 33.9, 36.8, 38.2, 39.1, 49.3, 51.2, 51.8, 55.2, 99.4, 104.8, 113.5, 117.4, 121.5, 122.8,

Synthesis of 23

POCl₃ (80 µL, 0.64 mmol) was added to a solution 22 (30 mg, 0.08 mmol) in toluene (3 mL). The mixture was heated at reflux (for about 2 h) until the starting material was completely consumed, as monitored by TLC. After being cooled to room temperature, the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in MeOH (3 mL) and cooled to 0°C before NaBH(OAc)₃ (137 mg, 0.64 mmol) was added to the solution and the resulting mixture was stirred at 0°C for 1 h. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (eluting with ethyl acetate) to give 23 as a colorless oil (18 mg, 60%). $[a]_D^{26.8} = +11.2$ $(c=0.50, \text{ CHCl}_3)$; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.93$ (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.14-1.21 (m, 1H), 1.33-1.41 (m, 1H), 1.47-1.54 (m, 1H), 1.77-1.83 (m, 1H), 2.05–2.13 (m, 2H), 2.20 (d, J=12.4 Hz, 1H), 2.57 (dt, J=4.8, 12.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.70 (dd, J=3.2, 15.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.98–3.10 (m, 4 H), 3.22 (d, J=11.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.72 (s, 3 H), 3.87 (s, 3 H), 6.46 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.90 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.75 ppm (brs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 11.1$, 23.7, 23.9, 36.2, 37.5, 38.2, 41.8, 51.8, 53.6, 55.5, 59.8, 60.3, 100.0, 104.4, 108.2, 117.6, 122.2, 132.8, 137.6, 154.7, 173.8 ppm; HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for $C_{21}H_{29}N_2O_3$ [M+H]⁺: 357.2173; found: 357.2172.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Ministry of Science and Technology (grants 2009ZX09501-009 and 2010CB833200), the Chinese Academy of Sciences, and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grants 20632050 and 20921091) for their financial support.

- [1] For reviews, see: a) J. L. Vicario, D. Badía, L. Carrillo, Synthesis 2007, 2065; b) A. Dondoni, A. Massi, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 4716; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4638; for selected recent references, see: c) Y. T. Hayashi, T. S. Okano, S. Aratake, D. Hazelard, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 5010; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 4922; d) S. Zhu, S. Yu, D. Ma, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 555; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 545; e) M. Wiesner, J. D. Revell, H. Wennemers, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 1897; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1871; f) Y. Chi, L. Guo, N. A. Kopf, S. H. Gellman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 5608; g) M. Wiesner, J. D. Revell, S. Tonazzi, H. Wennemers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 5610; h) P. García-García, A. Ladépêche, R. Halder, B. List, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 4797; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4719; i) Y. Hayashi, T. Itoh, M. Ohkubo, H. Ishikawa, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 4800; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4722; j) S. Zhu, S. Yu, Y. Wang, D. Ma, Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 4760; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 4656; k) Z. Zheng, B. L. Perkins, B. Ni, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 50.
- [2] S. Mossé, A. Alexakis, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4361.
- [3] G.-L. Zhao, Y. Xu, H. Sundén, L. Eriksson, M. Sayah, A. Córdova, *Chem. Commun.* 2007, 734.
- [4] G.-L. Zhao, J. Vesely, J. Sun, K. E. Christensen, C. Binneau, A. Córdova, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 657.
- [5] J. Wang, F. Yu, X. Zhang, D. Ma, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2561.
- [6] J. Wang, A. Ma, D. Ma, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 5425.
- [7] a) G. A. Cordell, *Lloydia* **1974**, *37*, 219; b) J. Stöckigt, M. H. Zenk, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. **1977**, 646; c) T. M. Kutchan, *Phyto-chemistry* **1993**, *32*, 493.
- [8] G. Beauchamp, R. Keast, D. Morel, J. Liu, J. Pika, Q. Han, C. Lee, A. B. Smith III, P. A. S. Breslin, *Nature* 2005, 437, 45.
- [9] a) H. K. Liu, T. C. Moon, E. Lee, S. H. Baek, R. B. An, K. Bae, K. H. Son, H. P. Kim, S. S. Kang, S. H. Lee, J. K. Son, H. W. Chang, *Planta Med.* **2003**, *69*, 950; b) K. S. Park, I. M. Chang, *Planta Med.*

- [10] a) T. Z. Wegener, *Phytotherapy.* 1988, *19*, 284; b) K. Boje, M. Lechtenberg, A. Nahrstedt, *Planta Med.* 2003, *69*, 820; c) S. Chrubasik, H. Junck, H. Breitschwerdt, C. Conradt, H. Zappe, *Eur. J. Anaesthesiol.* 1999, *16*, 118.
- [11] M. Yoshikawa, T. Ueda, H. Matsuda, J. Yamahara, N. Murakami, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1944**, 42, 1691.
- [12] Y. Kumarasamy, L. Nahar, P. J. Cox, M. Jaspars, S. D. Sarker, *Phyto-medicine* 2003, 10, 344.
- [13] a) P. Bermejo, M. J. Abad, A. M. Diaz, L. Fernandez, J. D. Santos, S. Sanches, L. Villaescusa, L. Carrasco, A. Irurzun, *Planta Med.* 2002, 68, 106; b) J. L. Chen, P. Blanc, C. A. Stoddart, M. Bogan, E. J. Rozhon, N. Parkinson, Z. Ye, R. Cooper, M. Balick, W. Nanakorn, M. R. Kernan, *J. Nat. Prod.* 1998, 61, 1295; c) S. Suksmrarn, K. Wongkrajang, K. Kirtikara, A. Suksmrarn, *Planta Med.* 2003, 69, 877.
- [14] For isolation, see: a) M.-M. Janot, R. C. Goutarel, Acad. Sci. 1944, 218, 852; for syntheses, see: b) J. A. Weisbach, J. L. Kirkpatrick, K. R. Williams, E. L. Anderson, N. C. Yim, B. Douglas, Tetrahedron Lett. 1965, 6, 3457; c) E. Wenkert, K. G. Dave, R. G. Lewis, P. W. Sprague, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 6741; d) C. Szántay, M. Bárczai-Beke, Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 9, 1405; e) R. T. Brown, C. L. Chapple, A. A. Charalambides, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1974, 756; f) E. E. Van Tamelen, C. Dorschel, Bioorg. Chem. 1976, 5, 203; g) S. Sakai, N. Shinma, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1978, 26, 2596; h) M. Lounasmaa, R. Jokela, C. Laine, P. Hanhinen, Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 8687; i) S. Yu, O. M. Berner, J. M. Cook, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 122, 7827; j) T. R. Wu, J. M. Chong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9646; k) Y. W. Li, T. Kobayashi, S. Katsumura, Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 4482; l) B. J. English, R. M. Williams, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 7869.
- [15] For isolation, see: a) B. Gilbert, L. D. Autonaccio, C. Djerassi, J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 4702; for syntheses, see: b) Y. K. Sawa, H. Matsumura, Chem. Commun. 1968, 679; c) F. E. Ziegler, J. G. Sweeny, Tetrahedron Lett. 1969, 10, 1097; d) T. Kametani, N. Kanaya, H. Hino, S.-P. Huang, M. Ihara, Heterocycles 1980, 14, 1771; e) B. Danieli, G. Lesma, G. Palmisano, S. Tollari, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1984, 1237; f) T. Suzuki, E. Sato, K. Unno, Heterocycles 1985, 23, 835; g) T. Suzuki, E. Sato, K. Unno, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1986, 34, 1584; h) M. Ihara, N. Taniguchi, K. Fukumoto, T. Kametani, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1987, 1438; i) R. L. Beard, A. I. Meyers, J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 2091; j) M. Lounasmaa, R. Jokela, B. Tirkkonen, J. Miettinen, M. Halonen, Heterocycles 1992, 34, 321; k) A. Diez, C. Vila, M. E. Sinibaldi, Y. Troin, M. Rubiralta, Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 733; l) A. Deiters, S. F. Martin, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3243; m) T. Itoh, M. Yokoya, K. Miyauchi, K. Nagata, A. Ohsawa, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1533; also see reference [71].
- [16] For isolation, see: a) D. Hooper, *Pharm. J.* 1907, 78, 453; b) E. Field, *J. Chem. Soc. Trans.* 1921, 119, 887; c) J. B. Hendrickson, *Chem. Ind.* 1961, 713; d) B. S. Joshi, H. Raymond, W. I. Taylor, *Chem. Ind.* 1963, 573; for syntheses, see: e) H. Takayama, M. Maeda, S. Ohbayashi, M. Kitajima, S. Sakai, N. Aimi, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1995, 36, 9337; f) J. Ma, W. Y. Yin, H. Zhou, J. M. Cook, *Org. Lett.* 2007, 9, 3491; g) J. Ma, W. Y. Yin, H. Zhou, X. B. Liao, J. M. Cook, *J. Org. Chem.* 2009, 74, 264.
- [17] For isolation, see: a) A. R. Battersby, R. S. Kapil, B. S. Bhakuni, S. P. Popli, J. R. Merchant, S. S. Salgar, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1966, 7, 4965; for syntheses, see: b) S. Takano, S. Hatakeyama, K. Ogasawarak, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1978, 19, 2519; c) Y. Hirai, A. Hagiwara, T. Yamazaki, *Heterocycles* 1986, 24, 571; d) M. Ihara, K. Yasui, N. Taniguchi, K. Fukumoto, T. Kametani, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1988, 29, 4963; e) M. Ihara, K. Yasui, N. Taniguchi, K. Fukumoto, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1990, 1469; f) J. M. Takacs, S. C. Boito, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1995, 36, 2941; g) J. K. Chang, B. R. Chang, Y. H. Chuang, N. C. Chang, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2008, 49, 9685; h) P. Nuhant, S. B. Raikar,

J.-C. Wypych, B. Delpech, C. Marazano, J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 9413; also see reference [141].

- [18] For isolation, see: a) A. R. Battersby, B. J. T. Harper, J. Chem. Soc. 1959, 1748; for syntheses, see: b) C. Szantay, L. Toke, P. Kolonits, J. Org. Chem. 1966, 31, 1447; c) S. Takano, Y. Takahashi, S. Hatakeyama, K. Ogasawara, Heterocycles 1979, 12, 765; also see reference [17e].
- [19] For similar studies on the synthesis of indoloquinolizidine and benzoquinolizidine compounds, see: a) J. Franzén, A. Fisher, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 801; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 787; b) X.

Wu, X. Dai, L. Nie, H. Fang, J. Chen, Z. Ren, W. Cao, G. Zhao, *Chem. Commun.* 2010, 46, 2733; c) W. Zhang, J. Franzén, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2010, 352, 499; d) H. Fang, X. Wu, L. Nie, X. Dai, J. Chen, W. Cao, G. Zhao, *Org. Lett.* 2010, 12, 5366.

[20] For related studies, see: a) L. Albrecht, B. Richter, H. Krawczyk, K. A. Jørgensen, *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 8337; b) L. Wen, Q. Shen, L. Lu, *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 4655.

> Received: March 2, 2011 Published online: June 10, 2011