# Accepted Manuscript

Synthesis, Antiproliferative and Anti-Dengue Virus Evaluations of 2-Aroyl-3arylquinoline Derivatives

Chih-Hua Tseng, Chun-Kuang Lin, Yeh-Long Chen, Chih-Yao Hsu, Huey-Nan Wu, Chin-Kai Tseng, Jin-Ching Lee

PII: S0223-5234(14)00288-8

DOI: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2014.03.074

Reference: EJMECH 6858

To appear in: European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

Received Date: 16 December 2013

Revised Date: 17 March 2014

Accepted Date: 27 March 2014

Please cite this article as: C.-H. Tseng, C.-K. Lin, Y.-L. Chen, C.-Y. Hsu, H.-N. Wu, C.-K. Tseng, J.-C. Lee, Synthesis, Antiproliferative and Anti-Dengue Virus Evaluations of 2-Aroyl-3-arylquinoline Derivatives, *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* (2014), doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2014.03.074.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



# **Graphical Abstract**



A number of 2-aroyl-3-arylquinoline derivatives were synthesized and evaluated for their anti-Dengue virus activity. Both **13a** and **17** were found to significantly inhibit the DENV2 RNA expression in Huh-7-DV-Fluc cells.

# Synthesis, Antiproliferative and Anti-Dengue Virus Evaluations of 2-Aroyl-3-arylquinoline Derivatives

Chih-Hua Tseng<sup>1\*</sup>, Chun-Kuang Lin<sup>2</sup>, Yeh-Long Chen<sup>3</sup>, Chih-Yao Hsu<sup>3</sup>, Huey-Nan Wu<sup>4</sup>, Chin-Kai

 $Tseng^{5,6}$ , and Jin-Ching Lee<sup>7 \*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

<sup>2</sup>Doctoral Degree Program in Marine Biotechnology, National Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung,

Taiwan

<sup>3</sup>Department of Medicinal and Applied Chemistry, College of Life Science, Kaohsiung Medical

University, Kaohsiung City 807, Taiwan

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Molecular Biology, Academia Sinica, Nankang, Taipei, Taiwan, ROC

<sup>5</sup>Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University,

Tainan, Taiwan

<sup>6</sup>Center of Infectious Disease and Signaling Research, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

<sup>7</sup>Department of Biotechnology, College of Life Science, Kaohsiung Medical University,

Kaohsiung City 807, Taiwan

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +886 7 3121101 x2163; fax: +886 7 3125339.

E-mail addresses: chihhua@kmu.edu.tw; jclee@kmu.edu.tw

# Abstract

A number of 2-aroyl-3-arylquinoline derivatives was synthesized and evaluated for their anti-Dengue virus activity. Both 2-(hydroxyphenylmethyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoline (13a) and 2-(4-hydroxybenzoyl)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)quinoline (17) were found to significantly inhibit the DENV2 RNA expression in Huh-7-DV-Fluc cells with a potency approximately equal to that of ribavirin and the inhibition is in a dose-dependent manner. Compounds 13a and 17 reduced DENV replication in both viral protein and mRNA levels, and no significant cell cytotoxicity was detected, with greater than 50% viability of Huh-7-DV-Flue cells at a concentration of 100 µM. However, significant cytotoxicity was detected for the positive ribavirin. In addition, we performed infectious assay to further verify the inhibitory activity of 13a and 17 on DENV replication in protein and RNA levels. On the other hand, compounds 19a - 19c exhibited IC<sub>50</sub> values ranged from 4.47 to 8.68 µM against A549, H1299, MCF-7, and Huh-7 which were approximately equal potent to the positive topotecan. Structural optimization of lead compounds, 13a and 17, and their detailed molecular mechanism of action are ongoing.

#### Keywords

2-Aroyl-3-arylquinoline; Ribavirin; Topotecan; Anti-Dengue virus; Antiproliferative

#### **1. Introduction**

Dengue virus (DENV) is a mosquito-borne human pathogen and belongs to the genus Flavivirus in the *Flaviviridae* family [1]. DENV has been identified as an etiological agent causing dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF), dengue fever (DF), and dengue shock syndrome (DSS) [2]. In recent years, these members of the genus *Flavivirus* cause prominent morbidity and mortality, and DENV has become a serious public health threat worldwide. It is estimated that 40 to 80 million people are infected by DENV per year, particularly in the tropical Asia, Latin America, and the Caribbean, and infection with DENV lead to approximately 500,000 severe life-threatening cases and over 20,000 deaths [3, 4]. Unfortunately, there is no clinical approval vaccine to prevent DENV infection and effective antiviral therapy against DENV replication [5, 6]. Therefore, the development of safe and effective therapeutic agent is now urgent needed.

DENV is an enveloped RNA virus containing a positive-sense and single strand genome with an approximate 11 kilobases (kb) in the length [7]. Upon DENV entry into the target cells, the RNA genome is translated to a single polyprotein associated with the host endoplasmic reticulum (ER), on which members of Flavivirus are dependent for translation and replication [8]. The polyprotein is cleaved by host and viral proteases into three structural proteins (capsid [C], premembrane [prM], and envelope [E]) and seven non-structure proteins (NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B and NS5). The structure proteins are important components for consisting of the functional virion to proceed virus entry, fusion and assembly, and the non-structure proteins are

essential components for virus replication [9]. Recently, a number of small molecule compounds were found to inhibit DENV replication significantly through different mechanisms, including the inhibition of virus entry [10], virus replication, or viral RNA dependent RNA polymerase [11].

Quinoline skeleton is one of the key building elements for a large number of natural and synthetic heterocycles which possess a wide variety of biological effects such as antimicrobial [12-16], anticancer [17-24], and antiviral activities [25-27]. Among these known antiviral quinoline derivatives, 4-[4-(2-chlorobenzyloxy)aniline]-6-ethoxy-2-methylquinoline (**1**, *Figure* 1) exhibited inhibitory activities against a DENV-2 sub-genomic replicon cell line with an IC<sub>50</sub> value of 5  $\mu$ M and against a WNV sub-genomic replicon cell line with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 1.9  $\mu$ M [27] while 3'-nitrophenylaminoquinoline (**2**) inhibited the growth of Hepatitis C virus with an EC<sub>50</sub> value of 7.0  $\mu$ M [24]. Certain quinoline derivatives are highly cytotoxic. For examples, compound **3** was active against the growth of H1299 and SKBR-3 with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 1.41 and 0.70  $\mu$ M respectively [22] while 6-fluoro-2,3-bis{4-[2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethoxy]phenyl}quinoline (**4**) was more active than tamoxifene against the growth of Hep 3B, H1299, and MDA-MB-231 with GI<sub>50</sub> values of 0.71, 1.46, and 0.72  $\mu$ M respectively [21].

The present study describes the preparation and anti-Dengue virus activities of certain 2-aroyl-3-arylquinoline derivatives whose structures are similar to compounds 1 - 4. Structures of the target compounds can also be considered as derivatives of compound 3 in which the conjugated double bond bridge between quinoline and the carbonyl group is removed. Due to the significant

antiproliferative activities exhibited by compound **3**, these newly synthesized 2-aroyl-3-arylquinoline derivatives have also been evaluated for their cytotoxicities. Our aim is to identify potential anti-Dengue virus drug candidates with no significant cytotoxicity.

# < Insert Figure 1 here >

# 2. Chemistry

The Pfitzinger reaction of indolin-2,3-dione (isatin, 5) and 4-methoxyphenyacetone (9) under basic conditions gave 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-methylquinoline-4-carboxylic acid (10a) [22, 28]. Accordingly, compounds 10b - 10e were obtained from the starting materials 5 - 9 respectively decarboxylation of 10a under the same reaction conditions. Thermal 10e gave 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-methylquinoline (11a) or its respective analogs 11b - 11e in a yield of 70 - 11e78%. Oxidation of 11a - 11e with selenium oxide afforded 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoline-2-carbaldehyde (12a) or its analogs 12b - 12e respectively in a yield of 70 - 87%. Treatment of 12a with phenyl magnesium bromide (Grignard reagent) afforded 2-(hydroxyphenylmethyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoline (13a) which was then oxidized with  $MnO_2$  to gave 2-benzoyl-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoline (14a) as described in *Scheme* 1. Accordingly, Grignard reaction of compounds 12b - 12e afforded compounds 13b - 13e respectively which was then oxidized with  $MnO_2$  to give their respective carbonyl products 14b - 14e under the same reaction conditions.

Treatment of 12a with 4-methoxyphenyl magnesium bromide or 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl

magnesium bromide gave 2-(hydroxy-4-methoxyphenylmethyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoline which then oxidized (15a)its analog 15b was with MnO<sub>2</sub> afford or to 2-(4-methoxybenzoyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoline (16a) or its analog 16b respectively as described Demethylation in Scheme 2. of 16a with 48% HBr gave 2-(4-hydroxybenzoyl)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)quinoline (17) which was then alkylated with N-(2-chloroethyl)pyrrolidine to give a mixture of the monoalkylated product **18a** and dialkylated product **19a** in the presence of NaH. The structural assignment of the monoalkylated products **18a** was determined by 2D Nuclear Overhauser Effect (2D NOESY) experiments, as shown in Figure 2. Compound 18a was assigned as a monoalkylated product at the C-3 phenyl moiety by the correlation between OCH<sub>2</sub> ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  = 3.93 ppm) / meta-H of 3-phenyl ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  = 6.74 ppm), meta-H of 3-phenyl ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  = 6.74 ppm) / ortho-H of 3-phenyl ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  = 7.25 ppm), and ortho-H of 3-phenyl ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  = 7.25 ppm) / 4-H ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  = 8.20 ppm) were observed (*Figure* 2). Accordingly, compounds **18b**, c and **19b, c** were obtained by the alkylation of **17** with *N*-(2-chloroethyl)piperidine, and 3-chloro-*N*,*N*-dimethylpropanamine respectively as described in *Scheme* 3.

# < Insert Scheme 1-3 and Figure 2 here >

#### 3. Biological Results and Discussion

#### **3.1.** Anti-DENV2 and Antiproliferative Activities

The anti-DENV2 and cytotoxicities of 2-aroyl-3-arylquinoline derivatives are summarized in *Table* 1. Huh-7-DV-Fluc cells were treated with compounds 13 - 19 or the positive

ribavirin respectively at a concentration of 5 or 20 µM for three days. Cells were then analyzed through the firefly luciferase activity. Compounds which exhibited >50% inhibition of DENV at a concentration of 20 µM were considered as active. Results from Table 1 indicated that 2-(hydroxyphenylmethyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoline (13a) more active than its was fluoro-substituted counterparts, 13c - 13e. The anti-DENV2 activity was also slightly decreased by the substitution of a methoxy group at C-4 position in which 13a was more active than 13b. Oxidation of the secondary alcohol resulted in the lost of activity in which compound 14a was inactive. The same SAR was observed since the secondary alcohol 15a was more active than the oxo derivative 16a. However, compound 17 was more active than its precursor 16a thus indicating that the hydrogen bond donor groups are more favorable than that of hydrogen accepting groups. Among these 2-aroyl-3-arylquinoline derivatives, compounds 13a, 13b, 14b, 15a and 17 exhibited more than 50% inhibition of DENV replication in the Huh-7-DV-Fluc cells at a concentration of 20 µM. The cell cytotoxicity of was determined by XTT assay in the Huh-7 cells after 3 days treatment with 20 and 100 µM of 2-aroyl-3-arylquinoline derivatives. Compounds 13a, 14b, and 17 exhibited low cytotoxicity, with greater than 50% viability at a concentration of 100 µM. However, significant cytotoxicities were detected for compounds 13b, 15a, and the positive ribavirin. The concentration that inhibited 50% DENV replication (IC<sub>50</sub>), the concentration that inhibited the growth of 50% cells ( $CC_{50}$ ), and the selective index (SI :  $CC_{50}/IC_{50}$ ) of compounds 13a, 13b, 14b, 15a and 17 were determined as shown in *Table 2*. Results indicated that all the tested compounds exhibited comparable anti-DENV2 activity (IC<sub>50</sub> ranged from 12.57 to 18.16  $\mu$ M) to that of ribavirin (IC<sub>50</sub> = 12.61  $\mu$ M). However, compounds **13a**, **14b**, and **17** demonstrated a good selectivity with SI value of greater than 7.96, 5.76, and 7.60 respectively which was higher than that of ribavirin (SI = 4.47).

Among these newly synthesized 2-aroyl-3-arylquinoline derivatives, compounds 18a - 18c and 19a - 19c exhibited significant cytotoxicities against Huh-7 cell with less than 20% viability at a concentration of 100 µM. These compounds were then evaluated for their antiproliferative activities against A549, H1299, MDA-MB231, MCF-7, and Huh-7 and the IC<sub>50</sub> values are summarized in *Table 3*. Results indicated that diaminoalkoxy-substituted 2-aroyl-3-arylquinoline derivatives, **19a** - **19c**, were more cytotoxic than their monoaminoalkoxy-substituted counterparts, **18a** - **18c**. Compounds **19a** - **19c** exhibited IC<sub>50</sub> values ranged from 4.47 to 8.68 µM against A549, H1299, MCF-7, and Huh-7 which were approximatedly equal potent to the positive topotecan. However, topotecan was more active than compounds **19a** - **19c** against MDA-MB231.

#### < Insert Table 1-3 >

#### 3.2. Compounds 13a and 17 reduced DENV replication in DENV infected Huh-7 cells

To confirm the results of dose-dependent decease luciferase activity representing the DENV replication in the Huh-7-DV-Fluc cells, we performed western blotting with anti-NS2B and anti-GAPDH and RT-qPCR with specific primers to determine the DENV NS2B protein expression and DENV NS5 mRNA expression. Both results of western blotting and RT-qPCR revealed that **13a** and **17** consistently reduced DENV replication in the Huh-7-DV-Fluc cells at the concentration

of 5 and 20 µM after 3 days treatment. Treatment of ribavirin was served as a positive control of the inhibition on DENV replication and 0.1% DMSO was served as mock control (Figures 3 and 4). Results from *Figure* 3 also indicated that compound **13a** was more active than **13b** which implied that the methoxy group substituted at C-6 position is unfavorable. Substitution of the methoxy group at the para-position of C-2 phenylmethyl group resulted in a decreased activity in which compound 15a was less ative than 13a. The inhibitory activities of compounds 13a and 17 on DENV2 RNA expression in Huh-7-DV-Fluc cells were approximately equal to that of ribavirin and the inhibition is in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 4). Furthermore, compounds 13a and 17 were inoculated with the Huh-7-DV-Fluc cells at various concentrations for 3 days, and then total protein and RNA were collected and analyzed through western blotting and RT-PCR. Results showed 13a and 17 reduced DENV replication in the dose dependent manner in both viral protein and mRNA levels, and no significant cell cytotoxicity in Huh-7-DV cells was detected (Figure 5). In addition, we performed infectious assay to further verify the inhibitory activity of 13a and 17 on DENV replication in protein and RNA levels (Figure 6). Results further confirmed that compounds 13a and 17 were capable of reducing DENV replication in DENV infected Huh-7 cells in a concentration-dependent manner.

#### < Insert Figure 3-6 here >

#### 4. Conclusion

A number of 2-aroyl-3-arylquinoline derivatives were synthesized and evaluated for

anti-Dengue virus activity against of DENV2. Among them, 2-(hydroxyphenylmethyl)-3-(4methoxyphenyl)quinoline (**13a**) and 2-(4-hydroxybenzoyl)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)quinoline (**17**) were found to significantly inhibit the DENV2 RNA expression in Huh-7-DV-Fluc cells with a potency approximately equal to that of ribavirin and the inhibition is in a dose-dependent manner. Compounds **13a** and **17** reduced DENV replication in both viral protein and mRNA levels, and no significant cell cytotoxicity was detected, with greater than 50% viability of Huh-7-DV-Fluc cells at a concentration of 100 µM. Both compounds were identified as novel type of structures for further development of anti-DENV agents.

# 5. Experimental

# 5.1. General

Melting points were determined on a Electrothermal IA9100 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Nuclear magnetic resonance (<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C) spectra were recorded on a Varian Gemini 200 spectrometer or Varian-Unity-400 spectrometer. Chemical shifts were expressed in parts per million ( $\delta$ ) with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. Thin-layer chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 F-254 plates purchased from E. Merck and Co.. Mass spectra were recorded on Bruker APEX II (ESI) mass spectrometer. The elemental analyses were performed in the Instrument Center of National Science Council at National Cheng-Kung University and National Taiwan University using Heraeus CHN-O Rapid EA, and all values are within  $\pm$  0.4% of the theoretical compositions.

#### 5.2. General procedure for the preparation of 3-aryl-2-methylquinoline-4-carboxylic acids 10

[22]. A mixture of isatin 5, 6, or 7 (40 mmol), phenylacetone 8 or 9 (48 mmol) and KOH (6.74 g, 120 mmol) in EtOH was heated at 80 °C for 48 h (TLC monitoring). After cooling, the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O (50 mL), and the aqueous solution was washed twice with  $Et_2O$  (30 mL). The ice-cold aqueous phase was acidified to pH 1 with 37% HCl, and the precipitate was collected by suction filtration, washed with H<sub>2</sub>O and recrystallized with EtOH to give 3-aryl-2-methylquinoline-4-carboxylic acids 10.

**5.2.1. 6-Fluoro-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-methylquinoline-4-carboxylic acid** (**10b**). Yield: 93% as a white solid. Mp. 269°C (dec). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): 2.45 (s, 3H, 2-Me), 3.83 (s, 3H, OMe), 7.05-7.08 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.29-7.32 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7. 45 (dd, 1H, *J* = 9.6, 2.8 Hz, 5-H), 7.73 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 9.2, 8.4, 2.8 Hz, 7-H), 8.12 (dd, 1H, *J* = 9.2, 5.6 Hz, 8-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): 24.43, 55.15, 108.07 (*J* = 23.5 Hz), 113.82 (2C), 119.78 (*J* = 25.7 Hz), 122.49 (*J* = 9.9 Hz), 128.17, 130.49 (2C), 131.27, 131.59 (*J* = 9.1 Hz), 139.65 143.39, 157.60 (*J* = 2.3 Hz), 159.03, 159.92 (*J* = 244 Hz), 167.79. Anal. calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>14</sub>FNO<sub>3</sub>: C 69.45, H 4.53, N 4.50; found C 69.45, H 4.59, N 4.57.

5.2.2. 6-Methoxy-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-methylquinoline-4-carboxylic acid (10c). Yield: 84% as a white solid. Mp. 279°C (dec). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): 2.72 (s, 3H, 2-Me), 3.82 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.87 (s, 3H, 6-OMe), 7.03-7.06 (m, 3H, Ar- & 5-H), 7.27-7.29 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.45 (dd, 1H, *J* = 8.4, 0.8 Hz, 7-H), 7.95 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 8-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): 24.16, 55.19, 55.51, 102.71, 113.81 (2C), 121.98, 122.80, 129.21, 130.22, 130.60 (2C), 139.38, 142.29, 155.06, 157.53, 158.95,

168.38. Anal. calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> ⋅ 0.25 H<sub>2</sub>O: C 69.60, H 5.39, N 4.27; found C 69.78, H 5.44, N
4.16.

**5.2.3.** (**4-Fluorophenyl**)-2-methylquinoline-4-carboxylic acid (10d). Yield: 88% as a white solid. Mp. 348°C (dec). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): 2.43 (s, 3H, 2-Me), 7.31-7.44 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.63--7.83 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 8.04 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 8-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): 24.51, 115.28 (2C, *J* = 21.3 Hz), 121.64, 124.89, 127.09, 128.56, 129.43, 130.01, 131.53 (2C, *J* = 8.3 Hz), 133.19, 143.30, 157.46, 161.88 (*J* = 243.3 Hz), 168.01. Anal. calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>12</sub>FNO<sub>2</sub> · 0.2 H<sub>2</sub>O: C 71.67, H 4.39, N 4.92; found C 71.80, H 4.46, N 4.88.

**5.2.4. 6-Fluoro-3-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-methylquinoline-4-carboxylic acid (10e).** Yield: 88% as a white solid. Mp. 279°C (dec). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ ): 2.44 (s, 3H, 2-Me), 7.32-7.50 (m, 5H, Ar- & 5-H), 7.74 (ddd, 1H, J = 9.2, 9.2, 2.8 Hz, 7-H), 7.13 (dd, 1H, J = 9.2, 5.6 Hz, 8-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ ): 24.42, 108.21 (J = 23.5 Hz), 115.39 (2C, J = 22.0 Hz), 120.10 (J = 25.8 Hz), 122.40 (J = 9.9 Hz), 130.07, 131.46 (2C, J = 8.4 Hz), 131.66 (J = 9.1 Hz), 133.04 (J = 3.8 Hz), 139.68 (J = 5.3 Hz), 143.61, 157.18, 160.02 (J = 244.7 Hz), 162.00 (J = 243.3 Hz), 167.65. Anal. calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>·0.25 H<sub>2</sub>O: C 69.60, H 5.39, N 4.27; found C 69.78, H 5.44, N 4.16.

**5.3. General procedure for the decarboxylation of acids 10 [22].** The suspension of quinoline-4-carboxylic acid **10** (5.0 mmol) in 10 mL dowtherm was heated to 280  $^{\circ}$ C for 4 h (TLC monitoring). After cooling, the reaction mixture was added *n*-hexane (50 mL) and the formed solid was collected by filtration, washed with *n*-hexane. The crude product was purified by flash

chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ $CH_2Cl_2$  1/1) and recrystallized from EtOH to give compounds **11**.

5.3.1. 6-Fluoro-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-methylquinoline (11b). Yield: 73% as a brown solid. Mp.
88-89°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 2.66 (s, 3H, 2-Me), 3.89 (s, 3H, OMe), 6.99-7.03 (m, 2H, Ar-H),
7.31-7.34 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.39 (dd, 1H, J = 9.2, 2.8 Hz, 5-H), 7.44 (ddd, 1H, J = 9.2, 8.8, 2.8 Hz,
7-H), 7.88 (s, 1H, 4-H), 8.05 (dd, 1H, J = 9.2, 5.2 Hz, 8-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 24.48, 55.34,
110.29 (J = 21.2 Hz), 113.92 (2C), 119.23 (J = 25.8 Hz), 127.47 (J = 9.8 Hz), 130.28 (2C), 130.80
(J = 9.1 Hz), 131.81, 135.33 (J = 5.3 Hz), 136.20, 143.95, 157.04 (J = 3.1 Hz), 159.28, 160.18 (J = 244.8 Hz). Anal. calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>14</sub>FNO: C 76.39, H 5.28, N 5.24; found: C 76.25, H 5.38, N 5.18.
5.3.2. 6-Methoxy-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-methylquinoline (11c). Yield: 70% as a brown solid.

Mp. 209-210°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 2.69 (s, 3H, 2-Me), 3.88 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.92 (s, 3H, 6-OMe), 6.98-7.02 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.04 (d, 1H, J = 2.8 Hz, 5-H), 7.31-7.35 (m, 3H, Ar- & 7-H), 7.84 (s, 1H, 4-H), 7.95 (d, 1H, J = 9.2 Hz, 8-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 24.22, 55.32, 55.48, 104.94, 113.81 (2C), 121.72, 127.77, 129.78, 130.31 (2C), 132.38, 135.02, 135.60, 142.95, 154.92, 157.38, 159.08. Anal. calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>·0.3 H<sub>2</sub>O: C 75.91, H 6.24, N 4.91; found C 75.63, H 6.21, N 4.82.

**5.3.3. 3-(4-Fluorophenyl)-2-methylquinoline** (**11d).** Yield: 75% as a brown oil. Mp. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 2.61 (s, 3H, 2-Me), 7.08-7.12 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.27-7.30 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.41-7.45 (m, 1H, 6-H), 7.61-7.64 (m, 1H, 7-H), 7.69 (dd, 1H, *J* = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 5-H), 7.85 (s, 1H, 4-H), 8.05 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 8-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 24.27, 115.21 (2C, *J* = 21.3 Hz), 125.95, 126.57, 127.23, 128.16,

129.29, 130.65 (2C, J = 7.6 Hz), 134.46, 135.58 (J = 3.0 Hz), 135.99, 146.81, 156.99, 162.16 (J =

245.5 Hz),. Anal. calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>12</sub>FN: C 80.99, H 5.09, N 5.90; found C 80.69, H 5.23, N 5.82.

**5.3.4. 6-Fluoro-3-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-methylquinoline (11e).** Yield: 78% as a brown solid. Mp. 209-210°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 2.63 (s, 3H, 2-Me), 7.14-7.20 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.34-7.49 (m, 4H,5-, 7-, and Ar-H), 7.88 (s, 1H, 4-H), 8.04 (dd, 1H, *J* = 8.8, 5.6 Hz, 8-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 24.40, 110.35 (*J* = 21.3 Hz), 115.50 (2C, *J* = 21.3 Hz), 119.58 (*J* = 25.0 Hz), 127.29 (*J* = 9.9 Hz), 130.78 (2C, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 130.93, 135.45, 135.48, 135.53, 144.15, 156.60, 160.23 (*J* = 245.5 Hz), 162.46 (*J* = 245.5 Hz). Anal. calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> · 0.3 H<sub>2</sub>O: C 75.91, H 6.24, N 4.91; found C 75.63, H 6.21, N 4.82.

5.4. General procedure for the preparation of quinoline-2-carbaldehydes 12 [22]. A mixture 11 (3.0 mmol) and selenium dioxide (0.66 g, 6.0 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (50 mL) was heated to 100  $^{\circ}$ C for 2 h (TLC monitoring). The mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The combined organic layers were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O followed by brine, dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated. The crude product was recrystallized with EtOH to give quinoline-2-carbaldehydes 12.

5.4.1. 6-Fluoro-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoline-2-carbaldehyde (12b). Yield: 73% as a yellow solid. Mp. 116-117°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.89 (s, 3H, OMe), 7.01-7.05 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.34-7.38 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.49 (dd, 1H, J = 8.4, 2.8 Hz, 5-H), 7.58 (ddd, 1H, J = 9.2, 8.4, 2.8 Hz, 7-H), 8.13 (s, 1H, 4-H), 8.33 (dd, 1H, J = 9.2, 5.6 Hz, 8-H), 10.24 (s, 1H, CHO). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 55.37,

110.43 (*J* = 22.0 Hz), 114.08 (2C), 121.02 (*J* = 26.5 Hz), 128.65, 129.94 (*J* = 10.6 Hz), 130.78 (2C), 133.33 (*J* = 9.8 Hz), 136.32, 137.72 (*J* = 6.1 Hz), 144.06, 149.43, 159.88, 162.23 (*J* = 251.6 Hz), 192.23. Anal. calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>12</sub>FNO<sub>2</sub>: C 72.59, H 4.30, N 4.98; found C 72.82, H 4.45, N 4.76.

**5.4.2. 6-Methoxy-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoline-2-carbaldehyde (12c).** Yield 70% as a yellow solid. Mp. 100-102°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.89 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.97 (s, 3H, 6-OMe), 7.01-7.05 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.10 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 5-H), 7.35-7.39 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.45 (dd, 1H, *J* = 9.2, 2.8 Hz, 7-H), 8.05 (s, 1H, 4-H), 8.20 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 8-H), 10.22 (s, 1H, CHO). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 55.35, 55.70, 104.30, 113.93 (2C), 123.81, 129.28, 130.57, 130.77 (2C), 132.09, 136.25, 136.86, 143.23, 147.59, 159.66, 160.12, 192.37. Anal. calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>: C 73.69, H 5.16, N 4.91; found C 73.63, H 4.91, N 4.70.

**5.4.3. 3**-(**4**-Fluorophenyl)quinoline-2-carbaldehyde (12d). Yield 87% as a yellow solid. Mp. 155-156°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); 7.15-7.20 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.37-7.41 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.68-7.85 (m, 2H, 6-, and 7-H), 7.88 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 5-H), 8.17 (s, 1H, 4-H), 8.36 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 8-H), 10.26 (s, 1H, CHO). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 115.43 (2C, *J* = 22.0 Hz), 127.58, 128.80, 129.64, 130.39, 130.60, 131.10 (2C, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 133.17 (*J* = 3.0 Hz), 134.38, 138.74, 147.06, 149.75, 162.76 (*J* = 246.4 Hz), 192.64. Anal. calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>10</sub>FNO·0.2 H<sub>2</sub>O: C 75.40, H 4.11, N 5.50; found: C 75.65, H 4.03, N 5.46.

**5.4.4. 3-(4-Fluorophenyl)-6-floroquinoline-2-carbaldehyde (12e).** Yield 81% as a yellow solid. Mp. 154-156°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.16-7.21 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.37-7.40 (m, 2H, Ar-H),

7.51 (dd, 1H, J = 8.4, 2.8 Hz), 7.60 (ddd, 1H, J = 9.2, 8.4, 2.8 Hz, 7-H), 8.11 (s, 1H, 4-H), 8.33 (dd, 1H, J = 9.2, 5.2 Hz, 8-H), 10.23 (s, 1H, CHO). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 110.56 (J = 21.2 Hz), 115.43 (2C, J = 22.0 Hz), 121.28 (J = 26.5 Hz), 129.78 (J = 10.6 Hz), 131.06 (2C, J = 8.3 Hz), 133.23 (J = 9.8 Hz), 132.77 (J = 3.0 Hz), 135.12, 137.96, 138.02, 144.20, 162.36 (J = 252.3 Hz), 162.86 (J = 247.1 Hz), 192.26. Anal. calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>9</sub>F<sub>2</sub>NO: C 71.37, H 3.37, N 5.20; found: C 71.39, H 7.70, N 5.17.

5.5. General procedure for the preparation of 2-(hydroxyphenylmethyl)-3-phenylquinoline compounds 13a-e, 15a and 15b. A mixture of 3-phenylquinoline-2-carbaldehyde 12a (0.23 g, 1.0 mmol), phenyl magnesium bromide (3 mmol, 3 mL of a 1 M solution in THF), and THF (30 mL) was stirded at 0°C for 12 h (TLC monitoring). The reaction was quenched by addition of water (3 mL) and partitioned between H<sub>2</sub>O (50 mL) and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and removal of the volatiles in vacuo provided a residue, which was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (*n*-hexane : CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> = 3/2) and recrystallized from EtOH to give compounds 13a. Accordingly, compounds 13b-e, 15a, and 15b were obtained in this manner.

**5.5.1. 2-(Hydroxyphenylmethyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoline (13a).** Yield 58% as a white solid. Mp. 113-114°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.86 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 5.98 (d, 1H, *J* = 6.0 Hz, CH), 6.31 (d, 1H, *J* = 6.0 Hz, OH), 6.78-6.81 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.84-6.86 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.93- 6.96 (m, 2H, Ar-H) 7.03-7.11 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.56-7.60 (m, 1H, 6-H), 7.75-7.83 (m, 2H, 7- and 5-H),

7.91 (s, 1H, 4-H), 8.20 (dd, 1H, J = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 8-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 55.37, 72.86, 113.75 (2C), 126.86, 127.26, 127.45, 127.60 (2C), 127.95 (2C), 128.66, 129.68, 130.15, 130.51(2C), 133.95, 137.54, 142.35, 145.11, 159.10, 159.35. Anal. calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>·0.1 H<sub>2</sub>O: C 80.49, H 5.64, N 4.08; found: C 80.21, H 5.59, N 4.00.

**5.5.2. 2-(Hydroxyphenylmethyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-6-methoxyquinoline (13b).** Yield 51% as a white solid. Mp. 170-171°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.86 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.93 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 5.94 (s, 1H, CH), 6.22 (br s, 1H, OH), 6.78-6.80 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.84-6.86 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.93-6.95 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.03-7.10 (m, 4H, 5- and Ar-H), 7.42 (dd, 1H, *J* = 9.2, 2.8 Hz, 7-H), 7.81 (s, 1H, 4-H), 8.09 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 8-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 55.35, 55.59, 72.69, 104.96, 113.72 (2C), 122.31, 127.17, 127.55 (2C), 127.92 (2C), 128.56, 130.07, 130.34, 130.47 (2C), 134.19, 136.43, 141.16, 142.67, 156.48, 158.11, 159.30. Anal. calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>·0.2 H<sub>2</sub>O: C 76.86, H 5.75, N 3.73; found: C 76.53, H 5.65, N 3.68.

**5.5.3. 6-Fluoro-2-(hydroxyphenylmethyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoline (13c).** Yield 53% as a white solid. Mp. 124-125°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.86 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 5.97(s, H, CH), 6.16 (br s, 1H, OH), 6.77-6.80 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.84-6.87 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.92-6.96 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.04-7.12 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.43 (dd, 1H, *J* = 8.8, 2.8 Hz, 5-H), 7.53 (ddd, 1H, J = 9.2, 8.4, 2.8 Hz, 7-H), 7.86 (s, 1H, 4-H), 8.20 (dd, 1H, J = 9.2, 5.2 Hz, 8-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 55.37, 72.84, 110.51 (*J* = 21.3 Hz), 113.82 (2C), 119.87 (*J* = 25.8 Hz), 127.36, 127.55 (2C), 128.01 (2C), 128.23 (*J* = 9.9 Hz), 129.72, 130.43 (2C), 131.06 (*J* = 9.1 Hz), 134.82, 136.96, 142.14, 142.18,

158.62 (*J* = 3.0 Hz), 159.50, 160.73 (*J* = 247.2 Hz). Anal. calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>16</sub>FNO<sub>2</sub>·0.5 H<sub>2</sub>O: C 75.40, H 4.68, N 3.82; found: C 75.72, H 4.94, N 3.81.

**5.5.4. 3-(4-Fluorophenyl)-2-(hydroxyphenylmethyl)quinoline (13d).** Yield 60% as a white solid. Mp.: 145-146°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 5.91 (s, 1H, CH), 6.33 (br s, 1H, OH), 6.75-6.78 (m, 2H, 2-H), 6.93-7.12 (m, 7H, Ar-H), 7.57-7.62 (m, 1H, 6-H), 7.77-7.84 (m, 2H, 7- and 5-H), 7.91 (s, 1H, 4-H), 8.22 (dd, 1H, *J* = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 8-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 73.00, 115.26 (2C, *J* = 21.2 Hz), 127.07, 127.42, 127.48, 127.66 (2C), 128.06 (2C), 128.68, 130.01, 131.03 (2C, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 133.20, 133.75 (*J* = 3.8 Hz), 137.65, 142.04, 145.16, 158.63, 162.49 (*J* = 246.3 Hz). Anal. calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>16</sub>FNO· 0.1 H<sub>2</sub>O: C 79.79, H 4.93, N 4.23; found: C 79.60, H 4.79, N 4.21.

**5.5.5. 6-Fluoro-2-(hydroxyphenylmethyl)-3-(4-fluorophenyl)quinoline** (13e). Yield 52% as a white solid. Mp.: 154-155°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 5.90 (s, 1H, CH), 6.18 (br s, 1H, OH), 6.74-6.77 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.93-7.13 (m, 7H, Ar-H), 7.44 (dd, 1H, J = 8.8, 2.8 Hz, 5-H), 7.56 (ddd, 1H, J = 9.2, 8.4, 2.8 Hz, 7-H), 7.87 (s, 1H, 4-H), 8.22 (dd, 1H, J = 9.2, 5.2 Hz, 8-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 72.97, 110.58 (J = 22.0 Hz), 115.35 (2C, J = 22.0 Hz), 120.18 (J = 25.7 Hz), 127.57 (J = 9.1 Hz), 127.61 (2C), 128.13 (2C), 130.95 (2C, J = 8.3 Hz), 131.16 (J = 9.0 Hz), 133.39 (J = 3.8 Hz), 134.06, 136.99, 137.05, 141.88, 142.29, 158.16 (J = 3.1 Hz), 160.81 (J = 247.8 Hz), 162.59 (J = 246.3 Hz). Anal. calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>15</sub>F<sub>2</sub>NO·0.1 H<sub>2</sub>O: C 75.68, H 4.39, N 4.01; found: C 75.63, H 4.20, N 4.05.

5.5.6. 2-(hydroxy-4-methoxyphenylmethyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoline (15a). Yield 54% a

yellow solid. Mp. 129-130°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.71 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.86 (s, 3H, OMe), 5.95 (s, 1H, CH), 6.60-6.62 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.73-6.75 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.86-6.88 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.95-6.97 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.57-7.62 (m, 1H, 6-H), 7.77-7.86 (m, 2H, 5- and 7-H), 7.95 (s, 1H, 4-H), 8.27 (d, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz, 8-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 55.17, 55.37, 72.42, 113.45 (2C), 113.74 (2C), 127.05, 127.46, 127.53 (2C), 128.14, 128.85 (2C), 129.80, 129.91, 130.00, 130.51 (2C), 134.00, 138.08, 158.83, 159.36, 159.45. Anal. calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>: C 77.61, H 5.70, N 3.77; found: C 77.65, H 5.81, N 3.56.

5.5.7. 2-(Hydroxy-3,4,5-trimethoxyphenylmethyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoline (15b). Yield
54% a yellow solid. Mp. 143-144°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.61 (s, 6H, OMe), 3.74 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.78 (s, 3H, OMe), 5.95 (s, 1H, CH), 6.04 (s, 2H, Ar-H), 6.88-6.89 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.99-7.03 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.60-7.64 (m, 1H, 6-H), 7.79-7.87 (m, 2H, 5- and 7-H), 7.98 (s, 1H, 4-H), 8.30 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 8-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 55.31, 55.77 (2C), 60.69, 73.21, 104.53 (2C), 113.82 (2C), 114.19, 127.25, 127.54 (2C), 127.58, 128.04, 129.96, 130.20, 130.63 (2C), 133.92, 137.17, 137.43, 138.37, 152.82, 158.99, 159.39. Anal. calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>: C 72.37, H 5.84, N 3.25; found: C 72.24, H 5.90, N 3.14.

**5.6.** General procedure for preparation of 2-benzoyl-3-phenylquinoline derivatives: 14a-e, 16a and 16b. A mixture of 13a (0.34 g, 1 mmol) and MnO<sub>2</sub> (0.87 g, 10 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 12 h (TLC monitoring). The reaction mixture was partitioned between H<sub>2</sub>O (50 mL) and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine and dried

over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and removal of the volatiles in vacuo provided a residue, which was crystallized from MeOH to give compounds **14a.** Accordingly, compounds **14b-e**, **16a**, and **16b** were obtained in this manner.

**5.6.1. 2-Benzoyl-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoline (14a).** Yield 93% a white solid. Mp. 124-125°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.78 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 6.83-6.87 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.30-7.34 (m, 2H, Ar-H) 7.38-7.42 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.52-7.56 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.62-7.66 (m, 1H, 6-H), 7.74-7.78 (m, 1H, 7-H), 7.85-7.88 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.91 (dd, 1H, *J* = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 5-H), 8.16 (dd, 1H, *J* = 8.4, 0.8 Hz, 8-H), 8.24 (s, 1H, 4-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 55.21, 114.13 (2C), 127.64, 127.86, 128.16, 128.41 (2C), 129.61, 129.87, 129.98, 130.21 (2C), 130.43 (2C), 133.53, 133.57, 136.13, 136.77, 145.87, 156.44, 159.40, 195.35. Anal. calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>·0.2 H<sub>2</sub>O: C 80.54, H 5.11, N 4.08; found: C 80.83, H 5.02, N 4.06.

**5.6.2. 2-Benzoyl-6-methoxy-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoline (14b).** Yield 89% a white solid. Mp: 122-123°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.77 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.97 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 6.82-6.86 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.14 (d, 1H, J = 2.8 Hz, 5-H), 7.29-7.32 (m, 2H, Ar-H) 7.37-7.41 (m, 3H, 7- and Ar-H), 7.51-7.55 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.86-7.88 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 8.04 (d, 1H, J = 9.2 Hz, 8-H), 8.11 (s, 1H, 4-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 55.19, 55.62, 104.78, 114.07 (2C), 122.85, 128.34 (2C), 129.41, 130.12 (2C), 130.24, 130.46 (2C), 131.07, 133.37, 134.01, 135.51, 136.35, 141.93, 153.81, 158.89, 159.32, 195.42. Anal. calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>·0.2 H<sub>2</sub>O: C 77.28, H 5.24, N 3.75; found: C 77.09, H 5.19, N 3.70.

**5.6.3. 2-Benzoyl-6-fluoro-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoline (14c).** Yield 90% a white solid. Mp. 157-158°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.77 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 6.83-6.87 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.29-7.32 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.38-7.42 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.49-7.57 (m, 3H, 5-, 7-, and Ar-H), 7.84-7.87 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 8.15 (dd, 1H, J = 9.6, 5.2 Hz, 8-H), 8.17 (s, 1H, 4-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 55.21, 110.55 (*J* = 21.9 Hz), 114.18 (2C), 120.22 (*J* = 25.7 Hz), 128.44 (2C), 128.94 (*J* = 9.9 Hz), 129.56, 130.16 (2C), 130.38 (2C), 132.20 (*J* = 9.1 Hz), 133.61, 134.39, 136..03, 136.08, 142.89, 155.79 (*J* = 3.0 Hz), 159.54, 161.31 (*J* = 248.6 Hz), 195.35. Anal. calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>16</sub>FNO<sub>2</sub> · 0.2 H<sub>2</sub>O: C 76.53, H 4.58, N 3.88; found: C 76.51, H 4.49, N 3.82.

**5.6.4. 2-Benzoyl-3-(4-fluorophenyl)quinoline** (**14d**). Yield 91% a white solid. Mp. 103-104°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 6.95-7.01 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.31-7.40 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.50-7.54 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.60-7.64 (m, 1-H, 6-H), 7.72-7.77 (m, 1H, 7-H), 7.84-7.90 (m, 3H, 5- and Ar-H), 8.16 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 8-H), 8.21 (s, 1H, 4-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 115.54 (2C, *J* = 22.0 Hz), 127.63, 127.90, 128.02, 128.41 (2C), 129.51, 130.16, 130.32 (2C), 130.64 (2C, *J* = 8.3 Hz), 132.83, 133.61, 133.66 (*J* = 3.0 Hz), 135.95, 137.08, 145.93, 156.02, 162.45 (*J* = 245.6 Hz), 194.96. Anal. calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>14</sub>FNO·0.2 H<sub>2</sub>O: C 79.84, H 4.38, N 4.23; found: C 79.83, H 4.46, N 4.11.

**5.6.5. 2-Benzoyl-6-fluoro-3-(4-fluorophenyl)quinoline** (**14e**)**.** Yield 91% a white solid. Mp. 118-119°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 6.99-7.04 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.32-7.37 (m, 2H, Ar-H) 7.39-7.44 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.51-7.58 (m, 3H, 5-, 7-, and Ar-H), 7.84-7.87 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 8.16-8.19

(m, 2H, 4-H and 8-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 110.54 (J = 21.2 Hz), 115.72 (2C, J = 22.0 Hz), 120.61 (J = 25.7 Hz), 128.51 (2C), 128.80 (J = 9.9 Hz), 130.40 (2C), 130.69 (2C, J = 8.4 Hz), 132.31 (J = 9.1 Hz), 133.39 (J = 3.1 Hz), 133.75, 135.94, 136.41, 136.47, 143.10, 155.51 (J = 2.2 Hz), 161.43 (J = 248.6 Hz), 162.67 (J = 246.2 Hz), 194.74. Anal. calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>13</sub>F2NO: C 76.51, H 3.79, N 4.06; found: C 76.37, H 3.67, N 4.05.

5.6.6. 2-(4-Methoxybenzoyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoline (16a). Yield 89% a yellow solid. Mp. 135-137°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.78 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.84 (s, 3H, OMe), 6.84-6.88 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.32-7.35 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.60-7.65 (m, 1H, 6-H), 7.73-7.77 (m, 1H, 7-H), 7.82-7.86 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.89 (d, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz, 5-H), 8.15 (d, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz, 8-H), 8.23 (s, 1H, 4-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 55.19, 55.46, 113.74 (2C), 114.08 (2C), 127.61, 127.72, 129.08, 129.22, 129.53, 129.79, 130.07, 130.14 (2C), 132.82 (2C), 133.45, 136.71, 145.84, 156.79, 159.34, 163.90, 194.04. Anal. calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>: C 78.03, H 5.18, N 3.79; found: C 77.64, H 5.27, N 3.66. 5.6.7. 2-(3,4,5-Trimethoxybenzoyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoline (16b). Yield 82% as a yellow solid. Mp. 161-1623°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.79 (s, 6H, OMe), 3.80(s, 3H, OMe), 3.92 (s, 3H, OMe), 6.88-6.90 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.17 (s, 2H, Ar-H), 7.32-7.36 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.64-7.68 (m, 1H, 6-H), 7.75-7.79 (m, 1H, 7-H) 7.93 (d, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz, 5-H), 8.18 (d, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz, 8-H), 8.26 (s, 1H, 4-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 55.23, 56.23 (2C), 60.92, 108.06 (2C), 114.22 (2C), 127.66 (2C), 127.94, 128.16, 129.55, 129.96, 130.03, 130.09 (2C), 130.78, 131.10, 133.66, 136.84, 145.79, 152.92, 156.24, 159.45, 194.09. Anal. calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>: C 71.09, H 6.71, N 10.36;

found: C 71.09, H 6.76, N 10.41.

**5.7. 2-(4-Hydroxybenzoyl)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)quinoline** (**17).** A solution of **16a** (0.37 g, 1.0 mmol) in 48% HBr (5 mL) was heated at reflux for 48 h. The mixture was cooled and evaporated *in vacuo* to give a residue which was treated with H<sub>2</sub>O (50 mL). The crude product was collected and crystallized from MeOH to give **17** (0.31 g, 90%) as a green solid. Mp. 173-174°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 6.73-6.76 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.82-6.84 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.22-7.24 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.61-7.63 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.69-7.73 (m, 1H, 6-H), 7.80-7.83 (m, 1H, 7-H), 8.05 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 5-H), 8.11 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 8-H), 8.48 (s, 1H, 4-H), 9.64 (br s, 1H, OH), 10.58 (br s, 1H, OH). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 115.46 (2C), 115.52 (2C), 127.48, 127.70, 127.78, 127.96, 128.12, 128.61, 130.04 (2C), 132.59 (2C), 132.81, 136.53, 145.01, 156.83, 157.31, 162.74 (2C), 193.25. Anal. calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>·1.3 H<sub>2</sub>O: C 72.44, H 4.86, N 3.84; found: C 72.49, H 4.75, N 3.84.

**5.8.** General procedure for preparation of aminoalkylated derivatives and disubstituted derivatives: **18a-19c.** To a stirred solution of **17** (1.0 mmol) in dry DMF (20 mL) was added NaH (60% in oil, 0.50 g) at 0°C for 1 h. An appropriate tertiary-aminoalkyl halide (3 mmol) was added and stirred at room temperature for 4 h (TLC monitoring). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated under vacuo. Crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel, using a gradient of MeOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1/30 to 1/10) to give the **18a-19c**.

5.8.1. 2-(4-hydroxybenzoyl)-3-{4-[3-(dimethylamino)propoxyl]phenyl}quinoline (18a) and 2-{4-[3-(dimethylamino)propoxy]benzoyl}-3-{4-[3-(dimethylamino)propoxyl]phenyl}quinolin e (19a). Compound 18c was obtained in 26 % yield (0.11 g) as a green liquid. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.89-1.96 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 2.35 (s, 6H, NMe<sub>2</sub>), 2.56-2.60 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 3.93 (t, 2H, J = 5.6 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 6.23-6.28 (m, 4H, Ar-H ), 7.57-7.62 (m, 3H, 6- and Ar-H), 7.72 (m, 1H, 7-H), 7.89 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz, 5-H), 8.14 (d, 1H, J = 8.4Hz, 8-H), 8.22 (s, 1H, 4-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 26.36, 44.68 (2C), 56.01, 65.68, 114.75 (2C), 155.93 (2C), 127.68, 127.71, 127.86, 128.10, 129.24, 129.93, 130.19, 130.28 (2C), 132.97 (2C), 133.37, 136.68, 145.84, 157.18, 158.31, 163.03, 194.31. ESIMS [M+H]<sup>+</sup>: 427.2.

Compound **19a** was obtained in 43% yield (0.22 g) as a green liquid. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 2.00-2.07 (m, 4H, OCH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u><sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 2.34 and 2.38 (two s, 12H, NMe<sub>2</sub>), 2.56-2.65 (m, 4H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u><sub>2</sub>N), 3.99 and 4.07 (two t, 4H, J = 5.6 Hz, OC<u>H</u><sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 6.82-6.88 (m, 4H, Ar-H ), 7.27-7.33 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.63 (m, 1H, 6-H), 7.75 (m, 1H, 7-H), 7.80-7.83 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.92 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz, 5-H), 8.16 (d, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz, 8-H), 8.22 (s, 1H, 4-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 26.65, 26.72, 44.72 (2C), 44.91 (2C), 55.95, 56.07, 65.77, 66.17, 114.20 (2C), 114.61 (2C), 127.62, 127.73, 128.09, 129.23, 129.54, 129.71, 129.82, 130.16 (2C), 132.81 (2C), 133.43, 136.70, 145.88, 156.81, 158.63, 163.26, 194.03. ESIMS [M+H]<sup>+</sup>: 512.3.

5.8.2. 2-(4-Hydroxybenzoyl)-3-{4-[2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethoxy]phenyl}quinoline (18b) and 2-{4-[2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethoxy]benzoyl}-3-{4-[2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethoxy]phenyl}quinoline

(19b). Compound 18b was obtained in 24 % yield (0.11 g) as a yellow liquid. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.57-1.59 (m, 2H, piperidinyl-H), 1.81-1.84 (m, 4H, piperidinyl-H), 2.86-2.88 (m, 4H, piperidinyl-H), 3.05(t, 2H, J = 5.6 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 4.24 (t, 2H, J = 5.6 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 6.72-6.77 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.26-7.28 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.60-7.65 (m, 3H, Ar-H and 6-H), 7.75 (m, 1H, 7-H), 7.91 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz, 5-H), 8.17 (d, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz, 8-H), 8.21 (s, 1H, 4-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 23.35, 25.05 (2C), 54.22 (2C), 57.02, 65.08, 114.16 (2C), 115.08 (2C), 127.22 (2C), 127.44 (2C), 128.52 (2C), 129.43, 129.58 (2C), 132.28 (2C), 132.50, 136.18, 145.06, 156.41, 157.93, 162.54, 193.04. Anal. calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·0.4 H<sub>2</sub>O·0.5 HCl: C 72.85, H 6.19, N 5.86; found: C 72.91, H 6.23, N 5.58.

Compound **19b** was obtained in 53 % yield (0.30 g) as a yellow liquid. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.44-1.46 (m, 4H, piperidinyl-H), 1.60-1.68 (m, 8H, piperidinyl-H), 2.53-2.57 (m, 8H, piperidinyl-H), 2.79-2.83 (m, 4H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 4.10-4.18 (m, 4H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 6.83-6.88 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.30-7.32 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.63 (m, 1H, 6-H), 7.75 (m, 1H, 7-H), 7.80-7.83 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.92 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz, 5-H), 8,16 (d, 1H, J = 8.4Hz, 8-H), 8.22 (s, 1H, 4-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 23.90, 23.98, 25.54 (2C), 25.69 (2C), 54.90 (2C), 54.99 (2C), 57.57, 57.67, 65.54, 66.30, 114.30 (2C), 114.73 (2C), 127.62, 127.72, 128.09, 129.27, 129.56, 129.80, 130.15 (2C), 130.25, 132.80 (2C), 133.43, 136.70, 145.88, 156.79, 158.46, 163.10, 194.02. Anal. calcd for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>41</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>· 0.6 H<sub>2</sub>O: C 75.25, H 7.40, N 7.31; found: C 75.04, H 7.52, N 7.23.

# 5.8.3. 2-(4-Hydroxybenzoyl)-3-{4-[2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethoxy]phenyl}quinoline (18c) and

# 2-{4-[2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethoxy]benzoyl-3-{4-[2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethoxy]phenyl}quinoline

(19c). Compound 18c was obtained in 29% yield (0.13 g) as a yellow liquid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.82-1.84 (m, 4H, pyrrolidinyl-H), 2.87-2.89 (m, 4H, pyrrolidinyl-H), 2.99 (t, 2H, J = 5.6 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 4.07 (t, 2H, J = 5.6 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 6.65-6.74 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.23-7.28 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.56-7.72 (m, 4H, 6-, 7-, and Ar-H), 7.85 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz, 5-H), 8.12 (d, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz, 8-H), 8.17 (s, 1H, 4-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR(100MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 23.17 (2C), 54.38 (2C), 54.66, 65.58, 114.58 (2C), 115.87 (2C), 127.61, 127.69, 128.05, 129.18, 129.90, 130.18 (2C), 130.44, 133.10 (2C), 133.30, 136.82, 145.71, 157.10, 158.09, 163.36, 194.06. Anal. calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·2.25 H<sub>2</sub>O: C 70.19, H 6.43, N 5.85; found: C 70.54, H 6.83, N 5.53.

Compound **19c** was obtained in 57 % yield (0.31 g) as a yellow liquid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.89-1.95 (m, 8H, pyrrolidinyl-H), 2.82-2.92 (m, 8H, pyrrolidinyl-H), 3.06 and 3.11 (two t, 4H, J =5.6 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H<sub>2</sub></u>N), 4.22-4.27 (m, 4H, OC<u>H<sub>2</sub></u>CH<sub>2</sub>N), 6.84-6.89 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.30-7.34 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.62 (m, 1H, 6-H), 7.75 (m, 1H, 7-H), 7.80-7.84 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.91 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz, 5-H),8.15 (d, 1H, J = 8.4Hz, 8-H), 8.22 (s, 1H, 4-H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 23.36 (2C), 23.40 (2C), 54.52 (2C), 54.62 (2C), 65.62, 66.37, 77.21 (2C), 114.32 (2C), 114.76 (2C), 127.65, 127.77, 128.08, 129.50, 129.55, 129.87, 130.23 (2C), 130.61, 132.81 (2C), 133.32, 136.76, 145.91, 156.71, 158.06, 162.77, 193.98. ESIMS [M+H]<sup>+</sup>: 536.3.

# **5.9.** Cytotoxicity and antiviral activity assays

# 5.9.1. Compounds

Compounds were dissolved in DMSO at 10 mM and then diluted in culture medium.

# 5.9.2. Cells and virus

Cancer cells (A549, H1299, MCF-7, MDA-MB-231 and Huh-7) were purchased from Bioresources Collection and Research Center, Taiwan. Huh-7-DV-Fluc cells and C6/36 cells were kindly provided by Dr Huey Nan Wu, Institute of Molecular Biology, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan, ROC. The DENV-2 strain 16681 was isolated from a patient with dengue hemorrhage fever (DHF) from Thailand [29], and was amplified in C6/36 mosquito cells.

# 5.9.3. Cytotoxicity assays

For cytotoxicity tests, run in parallel with antiviral assays, plates at an initial density of  $(5 \times 10^3 \text{ cells/well})$ , in maintenance medium, with or without serial dilutions of test compounds. Cell viability was determined after 72 h at 37 °C in a humidified CO<sub>2</sub> (5%) atmosphere by the (2,3-bis [2-methyloxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl]-2*H*-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide) (XTT) method [30].

# 5.9.4. Analysis of luciferase activity

Huh-7-DV-Fluc cells were seeded in 24-well plates at a density of  $2 \times 10^4$  cells per well, and treated with the 2-aroyl-3-arylquinoline compounds at two concentrations (5 and 20  $\mu$ M) or 0.1% DMSO as control. After 72 h of incubation, the luciferase activity assay was performed using the Bright-Glo Luciferase assay system (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

# **5.9.5. Immunoblot analysis**

The standard procedure was followed to perform western blotting as described previously [31]. The

membranes were incubated overnight with rabbit polyclonal antibodies against NS2B (1 : 3000, Genetex, Irvine, CA, USA) and GAPDH (1 : 10000, Genetex, Irvine, CA, USA) serving as loading control.

#### 5.9.6. Quantification of DENV RNA

Total cellular RNA was extracted after 3 days compounds treatment through Total RNA Miniprep Purification Kit (GMbiolab, Taiwan) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The expression of DENV NS5 mRNA level was determine through quantification real-time RT-PCR (RT-PCR) with specific primers corresponding to the DENV NS5 gene : Forward primer, 5-AAG GTG AGA AGC AAT GCA GC-3; reverse primer, 5-CCA CTC AGG GAG TTC TCT CT-3. The copy number cellular of NS5 each sample normalized endogenous reference in was to gene glyceraldehydes-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (gapdh) ; Forward primer: 5-GTC TTC ACC ACC ATG GAG AA-3 and Reverse primer: 5-ATG GCA TGG ACT GTG GTC AT-3. The CT value of each sample was determined by the ABI Step One Real-Time PCR-System (ABI Warrington, UK). 5.9.7. DENV infection assay

Huh-7 cells were seeded at the density of 4 X  $10^4$  cells/well in the 24 well culture plate for 16-20 hours and then infected with DENV-2 (16681 strain) at an MOI of 0.1 for 2 hours at 37 °C. Cells were washed with PBS once and then re-filled with DMEM-2% FBS medium containing various indicating concentration of **13a** and **17**.

#### 5.9.8. Statistical analysis

The results were expressed as means±S.D. Differences in mean values between groups were

analyzed by a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Student's t-test. For the septic shock assay, we used the log-rank test. Statistical significance was assessed as p<0.05 [\*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01].

Acknowledgment. Financial supports of this work by the National Science Council of the Republic of China (NSC 102-2320-B-037-001) and in part by a grant from the National Sun Yat-Sen University-KMU Joint Research Project (NSYSU-KMU 102-I004) are gratefully acknowledged. We also thank the *National Center for High-Performance Computing* for providing computer resources and chemical database services.

#### References

[1] J.G. Rigau-Pérez, G.G. Clark, D.J. Gubler, P. Reiter, E.J. Sanders, A.V. Vorndam, Dengue and dengue haemorrhagic fever, Lancet 352 (1998) 971-977.

[2] J.L. Deen, E. Harris, B. Wills, A. Balmaseda, S.N. Hammond, C. Rocha, N.M. Dung, N.T. Hung, T.T. Hien, J.J. Farrar, The WHO dengue classification and case definitions: time for a reassessment, Lancet, 368 (2006) 170-173.

[3] D.J. Gubler, Epidemic dengue/dengue hemorrhagic fever as a public health, social and economic problem in the 21st century, Trends Microbiol. 10 (2002)100-103.

[4] D.J. Gubler, G.G. Clark, Dengue/dengue hemorrhagic fever: the emergence of a global health problem, Emerg. Infect. Dis. 1 (1995) 55-57.

[5] P. Leyssen, E. De Clercq, J. Neyts, Molecular strategies to inhibit the replication of RNA viruses, Antiviral Res. 78 (2008) 9-25.

[6] C.G. Noble, Y.L. Chen, H. Dong, F. Gu, S.P. Lim, W. Schul, Q.Y. Wang, P.Y. Shi, Strategies for development of Dengue virus inhibitors, Antiviral Res. 85 (2010) 450-462.

[7] T.J. Chambers, C.S. Hahn, R. Galler, C.M. Rice, Flavivirus genome organization, expression, and replication, Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 44 (1990) 649-688.

[8] S. Mukhopadhyay, R.J. Kuhn, M.G. Rossmann, A structural perspective of the flavivirus life cycle, Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 3 (2005) 13-22.

[9] J.M. Mackenzie, A.A. Khromykh, M.K. Jones, E.G. Westaway, Subcellular localization and some biochemical properties of the flavivirus Kunjin nonstructural proteins NS2A and NS4A, Virology 245 (1998) 203-215.

[10] Q.Y. Wang, S.J. Patel, E. Vangrevelinghe, H.Y. Xu, R. Rao, D. Jaber, W. Schul, F. Gu, O. Heudi, N.L. Ma, M.K. Poh, W.Y. Phong, T.H. Keller, E. Jacoby, S.G. Vasudevan, A small-molecule dengue virus entry inhibitor, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 53 (2009) 1823-1831

[11] P. Niyomrattanakit, Y.L. Chen, H. Dong, Z. Yin, M. Qing, J.F. Glickman, K. Lin, D. Mueller, H. Voshol, J.Y. Lim, S. Nilar, T.H. Keller, P.Y. Shi, Inhibition of dengue virus polymerase by blocking of the RNA tunnel, J. Virol. 84 (2010) 5678-5686

[12] R.S. Upadhayaya, J.K. Vandavasi, R.A. Kardile, S.V. Lahore, S.S. Dixit, H.S. Deokar, P.D. Shinde, M.P. Sarmah, J. Chattopadhyaya, Novel quinoline and naphthalene derivatives as potent antimycobacterial agents, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 45 (2010) 1854-1867.

[13] S. Eswaran, A.V. Adhikari, R.A. Kumar, New 1,3-oxazolo[4,5-c]quinoline derivatives:

synthesis and evaluation of antibacterial and antituberculosis properties, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 45 (2010) 957-966.

[14] S. Eswaran, A.V. Adhikari, N.K. Pal, I.H. Chowdhury, Design and synthesis of some new quinoline-3-carbohydrazone derivatives as potential antimycobacterial agents, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 20 (2010) 1040-1044.

[15] S. Eswaran, A.V. Adhikari, N.S. Shetty, Synthesis and antimicrobial activities of novel quinoline derivatives carrying 1,2,4-triazole moiety, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 44 (2009) 4637-4647.

[16] C.L. Yang, C.H. Tseng, Y.L. Chen, C.M. Lu, C.L. Kao, H.Y. Tseng, M.H. Wu, C.C. Tzeng,
Identification of benzofuro[2,3-*b*]quinoline derivatives as a new class of antituberculosis agents,
Eur. J. Med. Chem. 45 (2010) 602-607.

[17] C.H. Tseng, C.C. Tzeng, C.L. Yang, P.J. Lu, H.L. Chen, H.Y. Li, Y.C. Chuang, C.N. Yang, Y.L.
Chen, Synthesis and antiproliferative evaluation of certain indeno[1,2-*c*]quinoline derivatives. Part
2, J. Med. Chem. 53 (2010) 6164-6179.

[18] Y.W. Chen, Y.L. Chen, C.H. Tseng, C.C. Liang, C.N. Yang, Y.C. Yao, P.J. Lu, C.C. Tzeng, Discovery of 4-anilinofuro[2,3-b]quinoline derivatives as selective and orally active compounds against non-small-cell lung cancers, J. Med. Chem. 54 (2011) 4446-4461.

[19] C.H. Tseng, Y.L. Chen, C.L. Yang, C.M. Cheng, C.H. Han, C.C. Tzeng, Synthesis of 6-substituted 9-methoxy-11*H*-indeno[1,2-*c*]quinoline-11-one derivatives as potential anticancer agents, Bioorg. Med. Chem.20 (2012) 4397-4404.

[20] F.S. Chang, W.C. Chen, C.H. Wang, C.C. Tzeng, Y.L. Chen, Synthesis and antiproliferative evaluations of certain 2-phenylvinylquinoline (2-styrylquinoline) and 2-furanylvinylquinoline derivatives, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 18 (2010) 124-133.

[21] C.H. Tseng, Y.L. Chen, K.Y. Chung, C.H. Wang, S.I. Peng, C.M. Cheng, C.C. Tzeng, Synthesis and antiproliferative evaluation of 2,3-diarylquinoline derivatives, Org. Biomol. Chem. 9 (2011) 3205-3216.

[22] C.H. Tseng, Y.L. Chen, C.Y. Hsu, T.C. Chen, C.M. Cheng, H.C. Tso, Y.J. Lu, C.C. Tzeng, Synthesis and antiproliferative evaluation of 3-phenylquinolinylchalcone derivatives against non-small cell lung cancers and breast cancers, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 59 (2013) 274-282.

[23] E.J. Koh, M.I. EI-Gamal, C.H. Oh, S.H. Lee, T. Sim, G. Kim, H.S. Choi, J.H. Hong, S. Lee, K.H. Yoo, New diarylamides and diarylureas possessing 8-amino(acetamido)quinoline scaffold: Synthesis, antiproliferative activities against melanoma cell lines, kinase inhibition, and in silico studies, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 70 (2013) 10-21.

[24] R.K. Arafa, G.H. Hegazy, G.A. Piazza, A.H. Abadi, Synthesis and in vitro antiproliferative effect of novel quinoline-based potential anticancer agents, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 63 (2013) 826-832.
[25] N. Ahmed, K.G. Brahmbhatt, S. Sabde, D. Mitra, I.P. Singh, K.K. Bhutani, Synthesis and anti-HIV activity of alkylated quinoline 2,4-diols, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 18 (2010) 2872-2879.
[26] H.K. Peng, C.K. Lin, S.Y. Yang, C.K. Tseng, C.C. Tzeng, J.C. Lee, S.C. Yang, Synthesis and

anti-HCV activity evaluation of anilinoquinoline derivatives, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 22 (2012)

1107-1110.

[27] T. Parkinson, D.C. Pryde, Small molecule drug discovery for Dengue and West Nile viruses: applying experience from hepatitis C virus, Future Med. Chem. 2 (2010) 1181-1203.

[28] M.H. Palmer, P.S. McIntyre, The Pfitzinger reaction with unsymmetrical ketones, J. Chem. Soc. B 5 (1969) 539-543.

[29] P.K. Russell, A. Nisalak, Dengue virus identification by the plaque reduction neutralization test,

J. Immunol. 99 (1967) 291-296.

[30] N.W. Roehm, G.H. Rodgers, S.M. Hatfield, A.L. Glasebrook, An improved colorimetric assay for cell proliferation and viability utilizing the tetrazolium salt XTT, J. Immunol. Methods 142 (1991) 257-265.

[31] J.C. Lee, C.K. Tseng, K.J. Chen, K.J. Huang, C.K. Lin, Y.T. Lin, A cell-based reporter assay for inhibitor screening of hepatitis C virus RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, Anal. Biochem. 403

(2010) 52-62.

#### **Figure captions:**

Figure 1. Structures of 4-[4-(2-chlorobenzyloxy)aniline]-6-ethoxy-2-methylquinoline (1),
3'-nitrophenylaminoquinoline (2), (E)-3-[3-(4-methoxyphenyl)quinolin-2-yl]-1-phenylprop-2-en-1-one
(3), 6-fluoro-2,3-bis{4-[2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethoxy]phenyl}quinoline (4) and target compounds.

Figure 2. The 2D NOESY spectrum of monoalkylated compound 18a.

**Figure 3**. Inhibition of DENV2 RNA expression in Huh-7-DV-Fluc cells by 2-aroyl-3-arylquinoline derivatives and ribavirin. Huh-7 cells were incubated with tested compounds and ribavirin at the indicated concentrations (5 and 20  $\mu$ M). After three days of incubation, total RNA was extracted and quantified DENV2 RNA levels by RT-qPCR. DENV2 RNA expression was normalized by cellular GAPDH mRNA. Treatment with 0.1% DMSO served as a mock control. The results are expressed as the means  $\pm$  standard deviations (error bars) of triplicate experiments. \*P,0.05; \*\*P,0.01

**Figure 4.** Inhibition of DENV2 protein expression in Huh-7-DV-Fluc cells by 2-aroyl-3-arylquinoline derivatives and ribavirin. Huh-7 cells were incubated with tested compounds and ribavirin at the indicated concentrations (5 and 20  $\mu$ M). After three days of incubation, cell lysates were extracted and analyzed by western blotting with anti-NS2B and anti-GAPDH antibody (a loading control).

**Figure 5.** Inhibition of DENV2 protein and RNA expression in compound **13a** and **17**-treated Huh-7-DV-Fluc cells. Huh-7-DV-Fluc cells were incubated with compound **13a** and **17** respectively at the indicated concentration (from 5 to 40  $\mu$ M) for 3 days. Total protein and RNA were extracted as decribed in Method and analyzed by western blotting with anti-NS2B and anti-GAPDH antibody (a loading control). DMSO (0.1%) treatment served as the mock controls. Error bars represent the SD from three experiments. \*P,0.05; \*\*P,0.01

**Figure 6.** Concentration-dependent inhibition of compounds **13a** and **17** in DENV-infected Huh-7 cells. Huh-7 cells were infected with DENV-2 (16681 strain) for 2 hours, and then re-fed with DMEM-2% FBS containing compounds **13a** and **17** at indicated concentration for 3 days. Total protein and RNA were collected and subjected to western blotting and qRT-PCR. DMSO (0.1%) treatment served as the mock controls. Error bars represent the SD from three experiments. \*P,0.05; \*\*P,0.01
	DEN	IV2	Huh-7 cell		
compounds	% Inhibition at 5	% Inhibition at 20	% viability at 20	% viability at 100	
	μΜ	μΜ	μΜ	μM	
<b>13</b> a	45.31 ± 7.0	$65.11 \pm 10.4$	96.62 ± 8.78	$68.07 \pm 4.73$	
13b	$38.02 \pm 8.2$	$61.16 \pm 18.4$	$87.65 \pm 0.84$	$26.01 \pm 0.15$	
13c	$16.13 \pm 7.2$	$19.13\pm7.3$	$87.37 \pm 4.08$	$30.70 \pm 1.74$	
13d	$13.10 \pm 7.1$	$10.21 \pm 9.4$	109.19 ± 12.20	90.92 ± 11.50	
13e	$22.17 \pm 8.3$	$23.14 \pm 7.2$	129.47 ± 19.92	92.26 ± 8.81	
14a	$3.12\pm1.25$	$6.93 \pm 1.27$	93.11 ± 8.48	87.78 ± 4.16	
14b	36.19 ± 5.3	53.10 ± 13.6	87.61 ± 1.46	84.32 ± 3.62	
14c	7.24 ± 3.3	5.11 ± 3.2	95.95 ± 6.20	89.66 ± 2.66	
14d	$20.11 \pm 8.7$	$14.10 \pm 7.2$	$74.80 \pm 2.03$	$45.92 \pm 1.77$	
14e	$32.12 \pm 4.1$	9.13 ± 3.3	96.29 ± 14.31	$75.06 \pm 1.20$	
<b>15</b> a	$33.50 \pm 9.9$	58.51 ± 5.6	71.14 ± 7.26	31.89 ± 1.33	
15b	$22.30 \pm 1.2$	$38.20 \pm 4.8$	93.56 ± 13.35	62.45 ± 12.76	
<b>16</b> a	$10.11 \pm 7.3$	14.12 ± 2.9	96.14 ± 2.27	$68.25 \pm 12.04$	
16b	$CT^{a}$	СТ	74.06 ± 1.29	$35.10 \pm 0.81$	
17	$38.70 \pm 4.9$	62.15 ± 8.9	90.28 ± 2.62	65.11 ± 11.35	
<b>18</b> a	СТ	СТ	$80.42 \pm 1.47$	$16.76 \pm 0.27$	
18b	СТ	СТ	$77.52 \pm 0.25$	$19.51 \pm 2.34$	
<b>18c</b>	СТ	СТ	91.03 ± 6.96	$18.10 \pm 1.38$	
<b>19</b> a	СТ	СТ	$17.64 \pm 0.28$	$19.21 \pm 1.84$	
19b	СТ	СТ	$18.36 \pm 0.13$	$15.84 \pm 2.14$	
19c	CT	CT	$15.46 \pm 0.36$	$16.60 \pm 0.85$	
ribavirin	32.03 ± 2.14	67.47 ± 1.9	71.37 ± 1.31	26.78 ± 1.59	

Table 1. Antiviral activities and cytotoxicities of 2-aroyl-3-arylquinoline derivatives

<sup>a</sup>CT: Cytotoxicity.

Compounds	$IC_{50}^{a}$	CC <sub>50</sub> <sup>b</sup>	$SI^{c}$
13a	12.57 ± 2.16	> 100	> 7.96
13b	14.13 ± 1.21	68.86 ± 2.17	4.87
14b	$17.35 \pm 1.18$	> 100	> 5.76
<b>15</b> a	18.16 ± 1.14	63.09 ± 5.21	3.47
17	13.16 ± 1.23	> 100	> 7.60
ribavirin	12.61 ± 1.17	56.31 ± 2.32	4.47

**Table 2.** Antiviral activities  $[IC_{50} (\mu M)]^a$  of the compounds tested

<sup>a</sup> The IC<sub>50</sub> is the concentration of the compound resulting in a 50% inhibition in virus production.

<sup>b</sup> The CC<sub>50</sub> is the concentration of the compound causing a 50% growth inhibition of uninfected Huh-7 cells.

<sup>c</sup>SI: selectivity index. SI =  $CC_{50}/IC_{50}$ .

<b>C</b> 1	IC <sub>50</sub> (μM)					
Compounds	A549	H1299	MDA-MB231	MCF-7	Huh-7	
16b	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10	
<b>18</b> a	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10	
18b	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10	
18c	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10	
19a	$6.35 \pm 0.12$	$4.98 \pm 0.21$	$7.14 \pm 0.14$	$4.47 \pm 0.07$	6.44 ± 0.21	
19b	$6.53 \pm 0.44$	$6.18 \pm 0.36$	7.99 ± 0.11	4.66 ± 0.36	6.59 ± 0.14	
19c	$7.38 \pm 0.51$	$5.68 \pm 0.43$	$8.42 \pm 0.35$	$4.59 \pm 0.03$	8.68 ± 0.29	
topotecan	$4.81 \pm 0.72$	$6.02 \pm 0.20$	< 0.1	5.97 ± 1.03	8.61 ± 1.14	

 Table 3. Antiproliferative activity of 2-aroyl-3-arylquinoline derivatives (16b, 18a-19c)



Figure 1.











Figure 5.







**a**  $R_1 = H, R_2 = OMe;$  **b**  $R_1 = OMe, R_2 = OMe;$  **c**  $R_1 = F, R_2 = OMe;$  **d**  $R_1 = H, R_2 = F;$  **e**  $R_1 = F, R_2 = F$ 

Scheme 1: Reagents and condictions: (i) KOH, EtOH, 80°C, 48h (84-93%); (ii) Dowtherm A, 280°C, 4hr (70-78%); (iii) SeO<sub>2</sub>, 90°C, 2hr (70-87%); (iv) phenyl magnesium bromide, THF, 0°C, 12hr (51-61%); (v) MnO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt, 12hr (89-93%).



Scheme 2: Reagents and condictions: (i) 4-methoxyphenyl magnesium bromide or 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl magnesium bromide, THF, 0°C, 12hr; (ii) MnO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt, 12hr.



Scheme 3: Reagents and condictions: (i) 48% HBr, HOAc, reflux, 48 h; (ii) NaH, alkyl halides, DMF, rt, 4hr.

### Highlights

- 1. A series of 2-aroyl-3-arylquinoline derivatives were synthesized.
- 2. **13a** and **17** inhibit the DENV2 RNA expression with potency approximately equal to that of ribavirin.
- 3. Compounds **19a 19c** showed potent cytotoxicity as that of topotecan.



Pulse Sequence: s2pul INOVA-400 "unityplus400" Date: Sep 24 2010 Solvent: DMSO Ambient temperature Total 64 repetitions









#### 6-F-2-CH3-CI

×.

Pulse Sequence: s2pul Solvent: DMSO Ambient temperature UNITYplus-400 "unity400"

Pulse 60.9 degrees Acq. time 1.200 sec Width 25000.0 Hz 2800 repetitions DBSERVE C13, 100.6512351 MHz DECOUPLE H1, 400.2848137 MHz Power 45 dB continuously on WALTZ-16 modulated DATA PROCESSING Line broadening 1.0 Hz FT size 65536 Total time 10 hr, 45 min, 47 sec





Mercury-400BB "Mercuryplus400" Date: Aug 2 2011 Solvent: DMSO Ambient temperature Total 100 repetitions



















INOVA-400 "unityplus400" Date: Sep 30 2010 Solvent: CDC13 Ambient temperature Total 4864 repetitions







INOVA-400 "unityplus400" Date: Sep 15 2010 Solvent: CDCl3 Ambient temperature Total 8112 repetitions



2.608

Mercury-40088 "Mercuryplus400" Date: Feb 23 2011 Solvent: CDCl3 Ambient temperature Total 16 repetitions





Mercury-4008B "Mercuryplus400" Date: Feb 23 2011 Solvent: CDCl3 Ambient temperature Total 32000 repetitions











C



180

1

140

160 × -

120

Mercury-400BB "Mercuryplus400" Date: Jun 30 2011 Solvent: CDCl3 Ambient temperature Total 2384 repetitions



100

1-----

80

60

20 ppm

TT

Т

40

8.437×





6-OMe-2-CH3-de-COH

Pulse Sequence: s2pul

INOVA-400 "unityplus400" Date: Nov 11 2010 Solvent: CDCl3 Ambient temperature Total 2304 repetitions





6-F-2-CH3-de-COH

Pulse Sequence: s2pul INOVA-400 "unityplus400" Date: Oct 11 2010 Solvent: CDC13 Ambient temperature Total 4544 repetitions



INOVA-400 "unityplus400" Date: Feb 23 2011 Solvent: COCl3 Ambient temperature Total 32 repetitions



12d



INOVA-400 "unityplus400" Date: Feb 23 2011 Solvent: CDC13 Ambient temperature Total 5280 repetitions







6-F-para-F(CHO)

Mercury-400BB "Mercuryplus400" Date: Nov 21 2011 Solvent: CDC13 Ambient temperature Total 68 repetitions

e.



12e


#### ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

6-F-para-F(CHO)

Mercury-4008B "Mercuryplus400" Date: Nov 21 2011 Solvent: CDC13 Ambient temperature Total 800 repetitions



12e



.



WKY-H-OMe-1d

Pulse Sequence: s2pul UNITYplus-400 "unity400" Date: Sep 5 2012 Solvent: CDCl3 Ambient temperature Total 1904 repetitions



13a



WKY-OMe-OMe-17b

-

Pulse Sequence: s2pul Mercury-4008B "Mercury400" Date: Sep 24 2012 Solvent: CDC13 Ambient temperature Total 64 repetitions



# WKY-OMe-OMe-17b

Pulse Sequence: s2pul Mercury-400BB "Mercury400" Date: Sep 24 2012 Solvent: CDC13 Ambient temperature Total 3024 repetitions











WKY-H-F-17d

Pulse Sequence: s2pul Mercury-400BB "Mercury400" Date: Sep 25 2012 Solvent: CDC13 Ambient temperature Total 32 repetitions







Pulse Sequence: s2pul



WKY-F-F-17e

Pulse Sequence: s2pul Mercury-400BB "Mercury400" Date: Sep 25 2012 Solvent: CDC13 Ambient temperature Total 64 repetitions







WKY-F-F-17e

Pulse Sequence: s2pul Mercury-400BB "Mercury400" Date: Sep 25 2012 Solvent: CDC13 Ambient temperature Total 5056 repetitions







### ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

WKY-H-OMe-1e

Pulse Sequence: s2pul UNITYplus-400 "unity400" Date: Sep 5 2012 Solvent: CDCl3 Ambient temperature Total 3120 repetitions







# WKY-OMe-OMe-18b

# Pulse Sequence: s2pul

...



### ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

VKT-OMe-OMe-18b

Pulse Sequence: s2pul Mercury-400BB "Mercury400" Date: Sep 24 2012 Solvent: CDCl3 Ambient temperature Total 288 repetitions







Pulse Sequence: s2pul

Mercury-400BB "Mercury400" Date: Sep 25 2012 Solvent: CDC13 Ambient temperature Total 32 repetitions





Pulse

+

× .



Pulse Sequence: s2pul



#### ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

# WKY-H-F-18d

Pulse Sequence: s2pul Mercury-400BB "Mercury400" Date: Sep 25 2012 Solvent: CDCl3 Ambient temperature Total 32 repetitions

٠.







Pulse Sequence: s2pul



WKY-F-F-18e

Pulse Sequence: s2pul Mercury-400BB "Mercury400" Date: Sep 25 2012 Solvent: CDC13 Ambient temperature Total 64 repetitions



14e







Mercury-40000 Mercuryprus400 Date: Feb 22 2012 Solvent: CDCl3 Ambient temperature Total 3744 repetitions











Mercury-40088 "Mercuryplus400" Date: Apr 20 2011 Solvent: CDCl3 Ambient temperature Total 1424 repetitions









1.

Pulse Sequence: s2pul UNITYplus-400 "unity400" Date: Jan 2 2012 Solvent: CDCl3 Ambient temperature Total 4160 repetitions











ŕ

Pulse Sequence: s2pul UNITYplus-400 "unity400" Date: Feb 22 2012 Solvent: DMSO Ambient temperature Total 2400 repetitions









Pulse Sequence: s2pul UNITYplus-400 "unity400" Date: Sep 29 2011 Solvent: CDCl3 Ambient temperature Total 16000 repetitions









1000

Pulse Sequence: s2pul UNITYplus-400 "unity400" Date: Mar 13 2012 Solvent: DMSO Ambient temperature Total 7168 repetitions





CTC-4328

. . . . .

Pulse Sequence: s2pul UNITYPIUS-400 "unity400" Date: Oct 13 2011 Solvent: CDCl3 Ambient temperature Total 48 repetitions




CTC-4328

Pulse Sequence: s2pul UNITYplus-400 "unity400" Date: Oct 13 2011 Solvent: CDCl3 Ambient temperature Total 1872 repetitions











Pulse Sequence: s2pul UNITYplus-400 "unity400" Date: Oct 13 2011 Solvent: CDCl3 Ambient temperature Total 5888 repetitions

.













.

Pulse Sequence: s2pul UNITYPIUS-400 "unity400" Date: Jul 11 2011 Solvent: CDCl3 Ambient temperature Total 160 repetitions



.

