

Combined Photoredox and Lewis Acid Catalyzed alpha-Hydroxyalkylation of Cyclic Ethers with Aromatic Ketones

Melissa Reckenthaeler, Jörg-Martin Neudörfl, Elif Zorlu, and Axel G Griesbeck

J. Org. Chem., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b01085 • Publication Date (Web): 05 Jul 2016

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on July 5, 2016

Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **Combined Photoredox and Lewis Acid Catalyzed**
9
10
11 **α -Hydroxyalkylation of Cyclic Ethers**
12
13
14
15
16 **with Aromatic Ketones**
17
18
19

20
21 *Melissa Reckenthäler, Jörg-M. Neudörfl, Elif Zorlu, and Axel G. Griesbeck**
22


23
24 Department of Chemistry, University of Cologne, Greinstr. 4, Cologne D-50939, Germany
25
26
27
28
29

30 AUTHOR EMAIL ADDRESS griesbeck@uni-koeln.de
31
32

33 **RECEIVED DATE (to be automatically inserted after your manuscript is accepted if required**
34 **according to the journal that you are submitting your paper to)**
35
36
37

38 CORRESPONDING AUTHOR FOOTNOTE Axel Griesbeck, Department of Chemistry, Greinstr. 4, D-
39
40 50939 Köln – Cologne, Germany, Fax: + 49 221 470 3083; Tel: + 49 221 470 1166
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

SYNOPSIS TOC.



18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

ABSTRACT The photochemically induced coupling of aromatic ketones with cyclic ethers such as tetrahydrofuran, tetrahydropyran and 1,4-dioxane was studied. Direct photolysis of the substrates with UV-A light centered at 350 nm does not lead to photoinduced hydrogen transfer whereas the addition of a mixture of the Lewis acid catalysts $\text{Ti}(\text{O}i\text{Pr})_4$ and $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$ enables the formation of the hydroxyalkylation products.

32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

KEYWORDS carbon-carbon coupling, photoredox catalysis, ethers,


Introduction:

1
2 Carbon-carbon bond forming processes using photoredox catalysis are currently complementing the
3 well-established repertoire of transition metal catalysis and organocatalytic methods.¹ Photoredox
4 catalysis adds one remarkable aspect, the large energy excess deposited in the electronically excited
5 catalyst applicable to trigger oxidative and/or reductive quenching processes that are energetically
6 highly improbable from the corresponding electronic ground states.² This allows also processes that
7 are endergonic in total to be accomplished due to the high additional energy input by initial
8 photoexcitation.³ These processes can open the pathway to new reactivities, selectivities and also new
9 product types useful for organic synthesis.⁴
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 When looking for new applications of photoredox-initiated reactions, one might consider three classes
23 of chemical reactions: i) thermal processes known from metal- or organocatalysis that are adopted and
24 modified by photocatalysis (e.g. α -alkylation of enamines), ii) photochemical processes that are
25 modified and improved by photocatalysis (e.g. [2+2]-photocycloadditions), or iii) new processes that
26 were not yet realized by thermal or photochemical processes. Route iii is most demanding but
27 obviously highly desirable. Photoinduced reactions that deserve special attendance, beside the
28 impressive playground of cycloaddition chemistry, are hydrogen transfer processes from otherwise
29 hard-to-activate CH positions.⁵ Photoexcited substrates that are able to abstract hydrogen atoms are
30 triplet states of aromatic carbonyl compounds because of their long lifetimes and high excitation
31 energies.⁶ Two distinct modes for hydrogen transfer are possible: hydrogen atom transfer or proton-
32 involved hydrogen transfer processes. The second route might involve a deprotonation/electron
33 transfer sequence or vice versa an oxidation step followed by proton transfer.⁷ For applications in
34 photoredox catalysis the last sequence is the most relevant because of the oxidative capability of many
35 photoexcited catalysts, such organic dyes,⁸ transition metal complexes⁹ or semiconductor particles.¹⁰
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Results and Discussion:

We have recently reported on modifications of the Ti(IV)-mediated photochemical hydroxymethylation of ketones and keto esters.¹¹ The Lewis acid-base complex between the carbonyl acceptor and the titanium metal center (from $\text{Ti}(\text{OR})_2\text{Cl}_2$) enables photoinduced electron transfer from the methanol in the ligand sphere to the carbonyl acceptor **1**. Subsequent proton transfer and radical-radical combination delivers the hydroxymethylation product **2**. In case of insufficient Lewis acidity of the titanium complex $\text{Ti}(\text{OR})_4$, the addition of a second Lewis acid (e.g. BF_3 or AlCl_3) enables the return to initial activity. The titanium catalyst with the lowest redox activity $\text{Ti}(\text{OiPr})_4$ still enables the formation of reduction products (i.e. 1-arylethanol) when applied without the second Lewis acid. A logical extension of this process is the C-C coupling of carbonyl components with α -ether radicals, a process already known from direct photolyses of carbonyl compounds in ethereal solvents such as tetrahydrofuran.^{12,13} These direct excitations needs short-wavelength irradiation (usually the 254 nm mercury line) and by nature turns on the unimolecular carbonyl photochemistry as well as the typical reactions of electronically excited carbonyl compounds in the presence of hydrogen donors such as the prevailing pinacol formation. The α -ether alkyl radicals that are formed in these reactions can also undergo a series of addition reactions to electron-poor alkenes.^{14,15} The processes that involve free ketyl radicals are often accompanied by the formation of the carbonyl pinacol coupling products and thus do not allow conversions with high yields and selectivities. Furthermore, direct excitation of carbonyl compounds does require short-wavelength irradiation ($\lambda = 254\text{-}300$ nm). Therefore, we envisaged to transfer our experience from our hydroxymethylation studies to the α -hydroxyalkylation of ethers (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. Coupling routes of C-radical formed by photoinduced electron transfer with aromatic carbonyl.

In order to optimize the reaction conditions for long wavelength excitation of ketone/ether solutions, we applied TiCl_4 , nanosized titanium dioxide, $\text{Ti}(\text{O}^i\text{Pr})_4$, and $\text{Ti}(\text{O}^i\text{Pr})_4/\text{BF}_3$ as catalysts for the reaction of acetophenone (**1a**) with tetrahydrofuran (Scheme 2, Table 1). The highly Lewis acidic titanium tetrachloride is not able to induce bond formation even under 300 nm excitation. At the excitation wavelengths applied for the other experiments ($\lambda = 350 \pm 30$ nm), no reactivity was observed in the absence of Lewis acids because of the very low absorptivity of acetophenone. A slurry of titanium dioxide particles (applied as a 7:3 anatase-rutile mixture) and even better a mixture of $\text{Ti}(\text{O}^i\text{Pr})_4$ and BF_3 led to high conversions and high yields of the coupling product **3a**.

Scheme 2. Model photoreaction of acetophenone with tetrahydrofuran.




Table 1. Photolysis of acetophenone in tetrahydrofuran in the presence of titanium reagents.


Entry ^a	Catalyst	Yield 3a	threo: erythro ^f
1	0.5 equiv TiCl_4 ^b	-	-
2	2.5 mg TiO_2 P25	50% ^c	51:49
3	0.5 equiv $\text{Ti}(\text{O}^i\text{Pr})_4$	-	-
4	0.5 equiv $\text{Ti}(\text{O}^i\text{Pr})_4$/ 1 equiv BF_3	94%^d 87%^e	54:46
5	0.5 equiv $\text{Ti}(\text{O}^i\text{Pr})_4$ / 0.5 equiv BF_3	74% ^d	54:46
6	0.25 equiv $\text{Ti}(\text{O}^i\text{Pr})_4$ / 0.5 equiv BF_3	72% ^d	54:46
7	0.1 equiv $\text{Ti}(\text{O}^i\text{Pr})_4$ / 0.2 equiv BF_3	37% ^d	53:47
8	no catalyst / irradiation	-	-

9	0.5 equiv Ti(OiPr) ₄ / 1 equiv BF ₃ / no irradiation	-	-
---	--	---	---

^a Reaction conditions: 0.75 mmol acetophenone (**1a**) in tetrahydrofuran (6 ml) was irradiated ($\lambda = 350$ nm) for 48 h at room temp. under argon in the presence of the catalyst; ^b $\lambda = 300$ nm; ^c yield determined via NMR, acetophenone pinacol was formed as side product with 40%; ^d yield by GC; ^e isolated yield; ^f by H-NMR, crude reaction mixture.

The latter reaction is catalytic in both Lewis acids (entries 5-7). Under titanium dioxide catalysis, the pinacol from acetophenone was formed as an additional product in about 40%, a typical side-reaction for semiconductor photocatalysis that was also observed in the hydroxymethylation of acetophenone.¹¹ In a second set of experiments, the application of the optimized conditions to the reaction of benzophenone, benzaldehyde and four methylated substrates was investigated (Scheme 3, Table 2). Benzophenone tends to the formation of the tetraphenylpinacol under reductive conditions whereas benzaldehyde tends to the formation of benzylic alcohol. These products were also found under standard conditions beside moderate yields of the CC-coupling products to THF, **3b** and **3c**. The radical-induced benzaldehyde addition to THF is a well-studied reaction in borane-induced THF radical formation.¹⁶ The methylated substrates **1d-1g** gave results that depend strongly on the ring position of the methyl substituents. From the meta- and para-methylated substrates, identical yields of products **3** were determined whereas the ortho substrate **1f** gave only traces (<5%) of **3f** and the mesityl derivative **1g** showed no product formation at all. From substrate **1f**, the coupling product **4**¹⁷ was isolated in 25% yield, possibly deriving from the hydroxyl ortho-quinodimethane formed by intramolecular hydrogen transfer (in analogy to the photochemistry of 2-methylbenzophenone).¹⁸ This observation is interesting because no direct excitation of the substrate **1f** is possible unaromatic nuder these conditions and thus, the formation of **4** has to be conducted *via* its radical anion.

Scheme 3. Aromatic ketones and benzaldehyde coupling with tetrahydrofuran.

Table 2. Photolysis of aromatic ketones in tetrahydrofuran in the presence of $\text{Ti}(\text{O}i\text{Pr})_4 / \text{BF}_3$.

Entry ^a	Product	Ar	R'	Yield ^b	threo: erythro ^d
1	3a	Ph	CH ₃	87%	54:46
2	3b	Ph	C ₆ H ₅	36% ^c	-
3	3c	Ph	H	19%	48:52
4	3d	4-MeC ₆ H ₄	CH ₃	67%	51:49
5	3e	3-MeC ₆ H ₄	CH ₃	66%	49:51
6	3f	2-MeC ₆ H ₄	CH ₃	traces	-
7	3g	2,4,6-(CH ₃) ₃ C ₆ H ₂	CH ₃	-	-

^a Reaction conditions: 1 equiv ketone, 0.5 equiv $\text{Ti}(\text{O}i\text{Pr})_4$ and 1 equiv $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$ in tetrahydrofuran was irradiated ($\lambda = 350 \text{ nm}$) for 48 h at r.t. under argon; ^b isolated yields; ^c no diastereoisomers are formed here; ^d by H-NMR, crude reaction mixture.

In a third set of experiments, the application of the optimized conditions to a series of acetophenone derivatives was investigated (Scheme 4, Table 3). In the series of halogenated acetophenone derivatives, the 4-fluoro and 4-chloro derivatives **1h** and **1k**, respectively, showed superior reactivity,

the 2-fluoro and 2-methoxy derivatives **1i** and **1n** gave the alkylation products in moderate yields. Only low yields were obtained from 4-bromoacetophenone due to increasing reactivity at the aromatic nucleus (i.e. formation of substitution products at the phenyl group). Neither the perfluorinated substrate **1j** nor the nitro derivatives **1o,p** showed the desired reactivity.

Scheme 4. Photocatalytic acetophenone derivatives coupling with tetrahydrofuran.




Table 3. Photolysis of acetophenone derivatives in tetrahydrofuran in the presence of Ti(OiPr)₄ / BF₃.

Entry ^a	Product	Ar	Yield ^b	threo: erythro ^e
1	3h	4-F-C ₆ H ₄	82%	52:48
2	3i	2-F-C ₆ H ₄	42%	59:41
3	3j	C ₆ F ₅	-	-
4	3k	4-Cl-C ₆ H ₄	64%	51:49
5 ^c	3l	4-Br-C ₆ H ₄	6% ^d	-
6	3m	4-MeO-C ₆ H ₄	-	-
7	3n	2-MeO-C ₆ H ₄	22%	57:43
8	3o	4-NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₄	-	-
9	3p	2-NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₄	-	-


^a Reaction conditions: 1 equiv ketone, 0.5 equiv Ti(OiPr)₄ and 1 equiv BF₃·Et₂O in tetrahydrofuran was irradiated ($\lambda = 350$ nm) for 48 h at r.t. under argon; ^b isolated yield; ^c 1 equiv Ti(OiPr)₄ and 2 equiv BF₃·Et₂O; ^d yield of *erythro*-**3l**; ^e by H-NMR, crude reaction mixture.

Finally, the extension of this process to other cyclic ethers was investigated (Scheme 5, Table 4).

Under standard conditions, dihydropyran was reactive and coupling was a minor pathway beside pinacolization. Only the erythro diastereoisomer **5a** was isolated and characterized also by X-ray


structure analysis. Better results were obtained with 1,4-dioxane where both diastereoisomers of **6a** were formed and could be separated and characterized by X-ray structure analyses (Figure 1). Unfortunately, 1,3-dioxolane which is a formaldehyde equivalent could not be applied because of rapid BF_3 -induced polymerization.

Scheme 5. Acetophenone coupling with cyclic ethers.

Table 4. Photolysis of acetophenone and cyclic ethers in the presence of $\text{Ti(OiPr)}_4 / \text{BF}_3$.


entry ^a	ether	product	yield ^b	threo: erythro ^d
1	tetrahydrofuran	3a	87%	52:48
2	1,4-dioxane	5a	13% ^c	- ^c
3	tetrahydropyran	6a	25%	55:45
4	1,3-dioxolane	-	-	-

^a Reaction conditions: 1 equiv ketone, 0.5 equiv Ti(OiPr)_4 and 1 equiv $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$ in tetrahydrofuran was irradiated ($\lambda = 350 \text{ nm}$) for 48 h at r.t. under argon; ^b isolated yield; ^c only *erythro-5a* was isolated and pinacol was formed as major product (26% yield); ^d by H-NMR, crude reaction mixture.

Figure 1. Structures of the acetophenone photoaddition products with tetrahydropyran (diastereoisomers *erythro-6a* and *threo-6a*) and with 1,4-dioxane (**5a**) in the crystal.

Conclusion

The reaction of aromatic ketones in methanol solution in the presence of a Ti(IV) photoredox catalyst with low Lewis acidity ($\text{Ti}(\text{O}i\text{Pr})_4$) leads to the formation of reduction products when performed by short-wavelength irradiation ($\lambda = 300 \text{ nm}$).¹¹ The apparently low reactivity at excitation wavelengths > 300 in the presence of this Ti(IV) photoredox catalysts can be attributed to the low absorbance of the redox-active species. The addition of a second Lewis acid leads to a switch in chemoselectivity and the formation of hydroxymethylation products but was also restricted to short-wavelength irradiation. In ethereal solutions, also long-wavelength irradiation leads to the formation of CC-coupling products, e.g. **3a** from acetophenone (Scheme 6). This combination of Lewis-acid catalysis and photoactivation accounts for the mechanism as shown in Scheme 6. From the UV-vis spectra, of mixtures of acetophenone with one or two of the Lewis acids no clear-cut decision about the absorbing species could be made because only an unstructured shoulder in the long-wavelength region appeared. The strong Lewis acid BF_3 (as THF complex in solution) forms a complex with the respective ketone and, in the presence of the Ti(IV) photoredox catalyst, is reduced to the radical species with concomitant formation of the ether radical cation. Subsequent proton transfer leads to a radical pair that combines to the coupling product **3a**.



Experimental part

General aspects and methods. All chemicals were purchased from commercial suppliers and were used as received, TiO₂ Aeroxide[®] P25 was used. Tetrahydrofuran was dried by heating under reflux over sodium and then distilled. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 300, 400, or 500 MHz for ¹H and 75.4, 100.5 or 125.7 MHz for ¹³C. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million relative to tetramethylsilane with the solvent resonances of CDCl₃ as the internal standards (center line of the triplet at 77.0 ppm for ¹³C and singlet at 7.24 ppm for ¹H). Gas chromatography equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) was carried out with a HP-35 column as stationary phase and nitrogen as carrier gas. Gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (GC-MS) measurements was carried out with a DB-5HT column as stationary phase and helium 5.0 (99.999 % He) as carrier gas. For chemical ionisation methane was used. The exact ion mass measurements of compounds **3e**, **3h**, **3i**, **3k**, **3l**, **3n** were conducted by EI-MS on a two sector instrument at a resolution of 3600 (10% valley definition) with appropriate internal standard ions from perfluorokerosene PFK Uvasol (Merck, Darmstadt). Samples of compounds **3e**, **3h**, **3i**, **3k**, **3l**, **3n** were introduced into the EI-MS ion source ($E_{kin}e^- = 70\text{eV}$; $T = 473\text{ K}$; $P = 10^{-6}\text{ bar}$) with a cooled direct inlet probe (273 K). The exact ion mass measurements were executed with an ICIS controlled peak matching algorithm. However, the very volatile analytes **3e**, **3h**, **3i**, **3k**, **3l**, **3n** exhibit a disadvantageous vaporization behavior that lead to significant signal fluctuation of the investigated low abundant molecular ion signals. The difficult experimental conditions explain the observed relative experimental errors of about 15-20ppm (absolute experimental errors in the range of 3-5 mmu). Infrared spectra were recorded with a fourier transform (FT-IR) spectrometer. Irradiation experiments were carried out in a Luzchem CCP-4V reactor using 14 coated low-pressure mercury lamps ($\lambda = 300$ and 350 nm , respectively).

General procedure (GP) for photoredox-catalyzed tetrahydrofuran addition to carbonyl compounds. Argon gas was passed through a mixture of 0.75 mmol (1 eq) ketone in 6 ml of the ether (tetrahydrofuran, tetrahydropyran or 1,4-dioxane) containing 0.5 equiv titanium isopropoxide and 1 equiv BF₃·Et₂O for 15 min. The degassed solution was irradiated with UV-A light ($350 \pm 30\text{ nm}$) for 48 h at room temperature. After irradiation, the solution was poured into water and extracted three times

with CH₂Cl₂. The organic phase was dried (Na₂SO₄) and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residual was purified via column chromatography.

1-(Tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)-1-phenylethanol (3a).¹³ The crude product prepared by GP from 0.75 mmol acetophenone and tetrahydrofuran, was purified by column chromatography [c-Hex/EtOAc (20:1)]. (126 mg, 87 % yield); *erythro-3a*: colorless oil; ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 1.35-1.45 (m, 1H), 1.62 (s, 3H), 1.56-1.68 (m, 1H), 1.73-1.82 (m, 2H), 2.58 (s, 1H), 3.80-3.94 (m, 2 H), 4.03-4.08 (m, 1H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H); ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 26.4, 26.5, 28.8, 69.5, 74.6, 85.9, 125.0, 126.7, 128.1, 145.0; IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹) = 3464, 2977, 2874, 1772, 1773, 1684, 1602, 1496, 1447, 1371, 1177, 1064, 1027, 760, 700, 640; GC-MS τ_R = 10.88 min, m/z (%): 192 (1, [M]⁺), 174 (3, [M-H₂O]⁺), 121 (100), 105 (20), 77 (22), 71 (28). CI m/z (%): 175 (37, [M-OH]⁺), 121 (100), 105 (47), 91 (36), 77 (28), 51 (18); *threo-3a*: colorless oil; ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.84-1.93 (m, 4H), 2.63 (s, 1H), 3.73-3.87 (m, 2H), 4.18 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.49 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H); ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 25.8, 26.3, 26.5, 69.2, 75.1, 85.6, 125.2, 126.9, 128.6, 146.7; IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹) = 3462, 2975, 2873, 1770, 1770, 1684, 1602, 1496, 1447, 1371, 1177, 1064, 1027, 760, 700, 640; GC-MS τ_R = 11.11 min, m/z (%): 192 (1, [M]⁺), 174 (8, [M-H₂O]⁺), 121 (100), 105 (20), 91 (11), 77 (27), 71 (32); CI m/z (%): 175 (39, [M-OH]⁺), 121 (100), 105 (42), 91 (40), 77 (28), 51 (18).

(Tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)diphenylmethanol (3b).¹⁹ The crude product prepared by GP from 0.75 mmol benzophenone and tetrahydrofuran, was purified by column chromatography [c-Hex/EtOAc (10:1)]. (68 mg, 36% yield); colorless oil; ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 1.44-1.63 (m, 1H), 1.75-1.96 (m, 3H), 3.02 (s, 1H), 3.82-4.02 (m, 2H), 4.87 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.12-7.35 (m, 6H), 7.36-7.44 (m, 2H), 7.53-7.62 (m, 2H); ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 26.3, 26.9, 69.9, 78.4, 83.0, 125.6, 126.7, 127.0, 127.1, 128.1, 128.2, 144.5, 146.7; IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹) = 3462, 3059, 3026, 2977, 2872, 1710, 1599, 1493, 1448, 1361, 1171, 1066, 748, 697, 636; GC-MS τ_R = 13.95 min, m/z (%): 236 (9, [M-H₂O]⁺), 180 (34), 165 (18), 130 (19), 105 (100); CI: m/z (%): 237 (100, [M-OH]⁺), 131 (33), 105 (89), 91 (36).

Phenyl(tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methanol (3c).¹⁶ The crude product prepared by GP from 0.75 mmol benzaldehyde and tetrahydrofuran, was purified by column chromatography [c-Hex/EtOAc (8:1)]. (29 mg, 19% yield); *erythro-3c*: colorless oil; ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 1.59-1.75 (m, 2H), 1.81-1.98 (m, 2H), 2.96 (s, 1H), 3.82-3.93 (m, 2H), 3.97-4.05 (m, 1H), 4.45 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.29-7.40 (m, 5H); ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 26.1, 27.9, 68.4, 76.6, 83.4, 127.0, 128.0, 128.4, 140.7; IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹) = 3676, 3427, 2972, 2873, 1724, 1453, 1197, 1057, 760, 700; GC-MS τ_R = 11.00 min, m/z (%): 160 (1, [M-H₂O]⁺), 107 (12), 79 (37), 71 (100); *threo-3c*: colorless oil; ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 1.62-1.69 (m, 2H), 1.78-1.91 (m, 2H), 2.52 (s, 1H), 3.77-3.87 (m, 2H), 4.12-4.06 (m, 1H), 4.94 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 7.23-7.29 (m, 1H), 7.31-7.39 (m, 4H); ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 24.9, 26.2, 69.2, 74.2, 83.2, 126.1, 127.6, 128.4, 140.6; IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹) = 3676, 3427, 2972, 2873, 1724, 1453, 1197, 1057, 760, 700; GC-MS τ_R = 11.06 min, m/z (%): 160 (1, [M-H₂O]⁺), 107 (11), 79 (43), 71 (100).

1-(Tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)-1-(p-tolyl)ethan-1-ol (3d).¹³ The crude product prepared by GP from 0.75 mmol 4'-methylacetophenone and tetrahydrofuran, was purified by column chromatography [c-Hex/EtOAc (20:1)]. (103 mg, 67% yield); *erythro-3d*: colorless oil; ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 1.36-1.47 (m, 1H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 1.54-1.69 (m, 1H), 1.70-1.87 (m, 2H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.51 (s, 1H), 3.78-3.99 (m, 2H), 4.04 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H); ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 21.1, 26.4, 26.5, 28.8, 69.5, 74.4, 85.9, 124.9, 128.9, 136.2, 142.1; IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹) = 3469, 2976, 2872, 1775, 1682, 1607, 1515, 1451, 1370, 1180, 1068, 1046, 920, 815, 723; GC-MS τ_R = 11.53 min, m/z (%): 189 (17, [M-OH]⁺), 145 (22), 135 (100), 119 (19), 106 (11), 91 (23); Cl: m/z (%): 189 (100, [M-OH]⁺), 119 (78);); *threo-3d*: colorless oil; ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 1.48 (s, 3H), 1.79-2.02 (m, 4H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.68 (s, 1H), 3.71-4.01 (m, 2H), 4.20 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 7.19 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 21.1, 25.8, 26.2, 26.4, 69.1, 74.9, 85.6, 125.1, 128.9, 136.3, 143.8; IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹) = 3443, 2977, 2870, 1512, 1447, 1372, 1184, 1071, 1051, 918, 815, 728; GC-MS τ_R = 11.74 min, m/z (%): 189 (25, [M-OH]⁺), 145 (21), 135 (100), 118 (21), 91 (14); Cl: m/z (%): 189 (100, [M-OH]⁺), 119 (78).

1-(Tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)-1-(m-tolyl)ethan-1-ol (3e). The crude product prepared by GP from 1 mmol 3'-methylacetophenone and tetrahydrofuran, was purified by column chromatography [c-Hex/EtOAc (25:1→20:1)]. (136 mg, 66% yield); *erythro-3e*: colorless oil; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) = 1.37-1.47 (m, 1H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 1.56-1.69 (m, 1H), 1.74-1.84 (m, 2H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.47 (s, 1H), 3.77-4.00 (m, 2H), 4.06 (dd, $J = 8.2, 6.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.04-7.08 (m, 1H), 7.20-7.22 (m, 2H), 7.26 (s, 1H); $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) = 21.8, 26.4, 26.6, 29.0, 69.5, 74.6, 85.9, 122.1, 125.7, 127.5, 128.1, 137.7, 144.9; IR (film): ν (cm^{-1}) = 3676, 3476, 2976, 1607, 1451, 1375, 1233, 1167, 1067, 1056, 787, 707; GC-MS $\tau_{\text{R}} = 11.49$ min, m/z (%): 188 (73, $[\text{M-H}_2\text{O}]^+$), 135 (100), 117 (47), 91 (50); CI m/z (%): 189 (100, $[\text{M-OH}]^+$), 119 (16); *threo-3e*: colorless oil; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) = 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.84-1.94 (m, 4H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.63 (s, 1H), 3.74-3.87 (m, 2H), 4.18 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.05 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.18-7.40 (m, 3H); $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) = 21.4, 25.4, 25.8, 26.1, 68.7, 74.5, 85.2, 121.8, 125.4, 127.2, 127.7, 137.3, 146.4; IR (film): ν (cm^{-1}) = 3443, 2978, 2868, 1608, 1458, 1372, 1172, 1073, 927, 788, 706; GC-MS $\tau_{\text{R}} = 11.68$ min, m/z (%): 188 (99, $[\text{M-H}_2\text{O}]^+$), 173 (47), 145 (58), 135 (100), 117 (78), 91 (47); CI m/z (%): 189 (100, $[\text{M-OH}]^+$), 119 (17).

1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-(tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)ethan-1-ol (3h). The crude product prepared by GP from 1 mmol 4'-fluoroacetophenone and tetrahydrofuran, was purified by column chromatography [c-Hex/EtOAc (20:1)]. (173 mg, 82% yield); *erythro-3h*: colorless oil; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) = 1.40-1.46 (m, 1H, H-7), 1.63 (s, 3H), 1.59-1.64 (m, 1H), 1.77-1.87 (m, 2H), 2.52 (s, 1H), 3.84-3.97 (m, 2H), 4.05 (dd, $J = 8.4, 6.8$ Hz), 7.00-7.07 (m, 2H), 7.39-7.46 (m, 2H); $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) = 26.2, 26.4, 28.7, 69.4, 74.3, 85.7 ($^6J_{\text{F,C}} = 0.9$ Hz), 114.8 ($^2J_{\text{F,C}} = 21.1$ Hz), 126.6 ($^3J_{\text{F,C}} = 7.8$ Hz), 140.6 ($^4J_{\text{F,C}} = 3.2$ Hz), 161.7 ($^1J_{\text{F,C}} = 244.7$ Hz); IR (film): ν (cm^{-1}) = 3679, 3471, 2977, 2901, 1603, 1511, 1408, 1392, 1375, 1224, 1160, 1067, 1048, 837; GC-MS $\tau_{\text{R}} = 10.92$ min, m/z (%): 192 (49, $[\text{M-H}_2\text{O}]^+$), 139 (100), 123 (40), 71 (24); CI m/z (%): 193 (100, $[\text{M-OH}]^+$), 123 (26); *threo-3h*: colorless oil; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) = 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.83-1.92 (m, 4H), 2.62 (s, 1H), 3.74-3.87 (m, 2H), 4.11-4.16 (m, 1H), 6.93-7.15 (m, 2H), 7.36-7.54 (m, 2H); $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) = 25.7, 25.8, 26.0, 69.2, 74.8, 85.5, 114.9 ($^2J_{\text{F,C}} = 21.1$ Hz), 127.0 ($^3J_{\text{F,C}} = 7.9$ Hz), 142.5 ($^4J_{\text{F,C}} = 3.1$ Hz), 161.9 ($^1J_{\text{F,C}} = 244.8$ Hz); IR (film): ν (cm^{-1}) = 3448, 2980, 2873, 1607, 1509, 1375,

1 1225, 1162, 1074, 837; GC-MS τ_R = 11.16 min, m/z (%): 192 (38, [M-H₂O]⁺), 139 (100), 123 (29), 71
2
3 (31); CI m/z (%): 193 (100, [M-OH]⁺), 139 (30), 123 (35).

4
5 **1-(2-Fluorophenyl)-1-(tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)ethan-1-ol (3i)**. The crude product prepared by GP from
6
7 0.75 mmol 2'-fluoroacetophenone and tetrahydrofuran, was purified by column chromatography [c-
8
9 Hex/EtOAc (20:1)]. (66 mg, 42% yield); *erythro-3i*: colorless oil; ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) =
10
11 1.39-1.62 (m, 2H), 1.67 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.75-1.94 (m, 2H), 2.77 (s, 1H), 3.91 (h, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H),
12
13 4.41 (ddd, J = 8.3, 7.1, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (ddd, J = 12.0, 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (td, J = 7.6, 1.3 Hz,
14
15 1H), 7.22 (ddd, J = 9.8, 4.7, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (td, J = 7.8, 1.9 Hz, 1H); ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ
16
17 (ppm) = 26.5, 26.8, 27.8 (⁵ $J_{F,C}$ = 3.3 Hz), 69.5, 73.8 (⁴ $J_{F,C}$ = 4.9 Hz), 83.3 (⁵ $J_{F,C}$ = 5.4 Hz), 115.7
18
19 (³ $J_{F,C}$ = 23.6 Hz), 124.2 (⁴ $J_{F,C}$ = 2.7 Hz), 127.7 (³ $J_{F,C}$ = 5.1 Hz), 128.7 (³ $J_{F,C}$ = 8.3 Hz), 132.1 (² $J_{F,C}$ = 39.8
20
21 Hz, C-2), 159.3 (¹ $J_{F,C}$ = 245.0 Hz); IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹) = 3461, 2978, 2873, 1685, 1615, 1581, 1486,
22
23 1450, 1371, 1212, 1068, 1032, 757; GC-MS τ_R = 10.58 min, m/z (%): 193 (21, [M-OH]⁺), 162 (13), 145
24
25 (32), 139 (100), 123 (44), 109 (18), 71 (18); CI m/z (%): 193 (100, [M-OH]⁺), 123 (56); *threo-3i*:
26
27 colorless oil; ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 1.52 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 3H), 1.86-2.04 (m, 4H), 2.94 (s),
28
29 3.70-3.80 (m, 1H), 3.83-3.88 (m, 1H), 4.38 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (ddd, J = 12.3, 8.1, 1.3 Hz, 1H),
30
31 7.13 (td, J = 7.6, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.20-7.25 (m, 1H), 7.60, (td, J = 8.1, 1.9 Hz, 1H); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz,
32
33 CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 23.9 (⁵ $J_{F,C}$ = 4.4 Hz), 26.0, 26.2, 69.1, 73.9 (⁴ $J_{F,C}$ = 3.7 Hz), 84.0 (⁵ $J_{F,C}$ = 5.0 Hz),
34
35 116.1 (³ $J_{F,C}$ = 25.4 Hz), 124.2 (⁴ $J_{F,C}$ = 3.3 Hz), 127.4 (³ $J_{F,C}$ = 4.6 Hz), 128.9 (³ $J_{F,C}$ = 8.6 Hz), 134.0
36
37 (² $J_{F,C}$ = 10.8 Hz), 159.5 (¹ $J_{F,C}$ = 239.2 Hz); IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹) = 3457, 2981, 2946, 2876, 1614, 1580,
38
39 1484, 1449, 1377, 1273, 1207, 1070, 920, 818, 758; GC-MS τ_R = 11.50 min, CI m/z (%): 193 (100, [M-
40
41 OH]⁺), 123 (56).

42
43 **1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-(tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)ethan-1-ol (3k)**. The crude product prepared by GP from
44
45 1 mmol 4'-chloroacetophenone and tetrahydrofuran, was purified by column chromatography [c-
46
47 Hex/EtOAc (10:1)]. (144 mg, 64% yield); *erythro-3k*: colorless oil; ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) =
48
49 1.35-1.46 (m, 1H), 1.59 (s, 3H), 1.54-1.64 (m, 1H), 1.72-1.88 (m, 2H), 2.53 (s, 1H), 3.78-3.97 (m, 2H),
50
51 4.02 (dd, J = 8.3, 6.8 Hz), 7.24-7.33 (m, 2H), 7.33-7.44 (m, 2H); ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) =
52
53 26.4, 26.5, 28.8, 69.5, 74.4, 85.7, 126.6, 128.3, 132.6, 143.5; IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹) = 3676, 3463, 2975,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

2904, 1494, 1403, 1067, 1048, 835 cm^{-1} ; GC-MS $\tau_R = 12.22$ min, m/z (%): 229 (8, $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$), 227 (9, $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$), 182 (16), 180 (18), 118 (41), 102 (100), 90 (35), 75 (59), 50 (32); CI m/z (%): 211 (30, $[\text{M}-\text{OH}]^+$), 209 (100, $[\text{M}-\text{OH}]^+$), 139 (14); *threo-3k*: colorless oil; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) = 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.81-1.97 (m, 4H), 2.57 (s, 1H), 3.69-3.93 (m, 2H), 4.11-4.16 (m, 1H), 7.27-7.35 (m, 2H), 7.38-7.47 (m, 2H); $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) = 26.4, 26.7, 26.9, 69.7, 75.2, 85.9, 127.2, 128.8, 133.2, 145.8; IR (film): ν (cm^{-1}) = 3676, 3448, 2979, 2899, 1491, 1394, 1228, 1075, 1053, 833; GC-MS $\tau_R = 12.61$ min, m/z (%): 200 (60), 198 (60), 183 (43), 185 (48), 157 (17), 120 (20), 102 (22), 91 (100), 77 (50), 65 (21), 50 (31); CI m/z (%): 211 (12, $[\text{M}-\text{OH}]^+$), 209 (100, $[\text{M}-\text{OH}]^+$), 139 (16)

1-(4-Bromophenyl)-1-(tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)ethan-1-ol (3l). The crude product prepared by GP from 1 mmol 4'-bromoacetophenone and tetrahydrofuran, was purified by column chromatography [*c*-Hex/EtOAc (20:1 \rightarrow 8:1)]. (15 mg, 6% yield); *erythro-3l*: colorless oil; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) = 1.40-1.47 (m, 1H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 1.58-1.64 (m, 1H), 1.78-1.87 (m, 2H), 2.52 (s, 1H), 3.85-3.96 (m, 2H), 4.04 (dd, $J = 8.4, 6.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.32-7.36 (m, 2H), 7.46-7.50 (m, 2H); $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) = 26.4, 26.5, 28.8, 69.6, 74.5, 85.6, 120.8, 127.0, 131.3, 144.1; IR (film): ν (cm^{-1}) = 3676, 3473, 2975, 2091, 1679, 1592, 1489, 1395, 1251, 1198, 1067, 1009, 919, 894, 835; GC-MS $\tau_R = 12.71$ min, m/z (%): 254 (32, $[\text{M}-\text{H}_2\text{O}]^+$), 252 (36, $[\text{M}-\text{H}_2\text{O}]^+$), 201 (89), 199 (87), 192 (100), 146 (35), 71 (47).

1-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-1-(tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)ethan-1-ol (3n). The crude product prepared by GP from 0.75 mmol 2'-methoxyacetophenone, was purified by column chromatography [*c*-Hex/EtOAc (20:1)]. (37 mg, 22% yield); *erythro-3n*: colorless oil; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) = 1.45-1.59 (m, 2H), 1.63 (s, 3H), 1.71-1.88 (m, 2H), 3.24 (brs, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.84-3.95 (m, 2H), 4.56 (t, $J = 6.1$ Hz, 1H), 6.86 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.91-7.02 (m, 1H), 7.16-7.30 (m, 1H), 7.63 (d, $J = 9.4$ Hz, 1H); $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) = 26.2, 26.6, 26.7, 55.3, 69.4, 75.0, 83.3, 111.0, 120.9, 127.2, 128.2, 133.3, 156.1; IR (film): ν (cm^{-1}) = 3676, 3513, 2973, 2872, 1713, 1600, 1583, 1488, 1463, 1436, 1236, 1182, 1057, 1026, 754 cm^{-1} ; GC-MS $\tau_R = 11.98$ min, m/z (%): 205 (3, $[\text{M}-\text{OH}]^+$), 189 (3), 151 (68), 133 (29), 105 (100), 79 (17), 55 (11); CI: m/z (%): 205 (100, $[\text{M}-\text{OH}]^+$), 161 (26), 135 (22), 97 (21); *threo-3n*: colorless oil; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ (ppm) = 1.52 (s, 3H), 1.82-1.95 (m, 4H), 3.72-3.83 (m, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 4.48-4.53 (m, 1H), 6.88-7.01 (m, 2H), 7.20-7.26 (m, 1H), 7.47-7.51 (m, 1H); ^{13}C -

1 NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 23.3, 26.4, 26.5, 55.5, 69.0, 75.6, 83.7, 111.6, 121.0, 126.9, 128.4,
2
3 134.5, 156.5; IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹) = 3673, 3512, 2971, 2870, 1713, 1600, 1581, 1485, 1460, 1437, 1229,
4
5 1180, 1057, 1026; GC-MS τ_R = 12.25 min, m/z (%): 204 (2, [M-H₂O]⁺), 189 (11), 151 (37), 133 (23),
6
7 121 (22), 105 (100), 91 (15), 79 (13); CI: m/z (%): 205 (100, [M-OH]⁺), 161 (26), 135 (22), 97 (21).
8

9 **1-(4-Dioxan-2-yl)-1-phenylethan-1-ol (5a)**. The crude product prepared by GP from 0.75 mmol
10 acetophenone and 1,4-dioxane, was purified by column chromatography [c-Hex/EtOAc (20:1)]. (20 mg,
11
12 13% yield); the pinacol from acetophenone was formed as side product (24 mg, 26% yield) *erythro-5a*:
13
14 colorless crystals; m.p. 71-72 °C; ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 1.60 (s, 3H), 2.70 (s, 1H), 3.24
15
16 (dd, *J* = 11.7, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 3.41 (dd, *J* = 11.6, 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.47-3.58 (m, 1H), 3.60-3.72 (m, 2H),
17
18 3.77-3.92 (m, 2H), 7.22-7.30 (m, 1H), 7.30-7.38 (m, 2H), 7.40-7.43 (m, 2H); ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃)
19
20 δ (ppm) = 28.0, 66.2, 67.0, 67.4, 74.3, 80.6, 124.9, 127.2, 128.4, 143.4; GC-MS τ_R = 11.56 min, m/z
21
22 (%): 146 (100), 121 (81), 105 (41), 91 (19), 79 (21), 77 (44); CI: m/z (%): 191 (2, [M-OH]⁺), 147 (11),
23
24 129 (61), 105 (100), 91 (19), 79 (14).
25
26
27
28

29 **1-Phenyl-1-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)ethan-1-ol (6a)**. The crude product prepared by GP from
30 1 mmol acetophenone and tetrahydropyran, was purified by column chromatography [c-Hex/EtOAc
31
32 (15:1)]. (52 mg, 25% yield); *erythro-6a*: colorless crystals; m.p. 55-57 °C; ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ
33
34 (ppm) = 1.03-1.13 (m, 1H), 1.20-1.53 (m, 5H), 1.58 (s, 3H), 1.71-1.79 (m, 1H), 2.81 (s, 1H), 3.37-3.40
35
36 (m, 1H), 3.46-3.60 (m, 1H), 4.02-4.15 (m, 1H), 7.20-7.25 (m, 1H), 7.32 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.37-7.45 (m,
37
38 2H); ¹³C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 23.4, 25.4, 26.1, 27.8, 69.2, 75.6, 83.8, 125.4, 126.6, 128.1,
39
40 144.8; IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹) = 3559, 3484, 3092, 3059, 3030, 2936, 2855, 1494, 1448, 1371, 1209, 1178,
41
42 1088, 1063, 1029, 897, 701; GC-MS τ_R = 11.43 min, m/z (%): 188 (58, [M-H₂O]⁺), 173 (24), 144 (51),
43
44 129 (47), 105 (55), 85 (100), 77 (56), 67 (52); CI m/z (%): 189 (28, [M-OH]⁺), 121 (43), 105 (74), 77
45
46 (92), 67 (100), 51 (33); *threo-6a*: colorless crystals; m.p. 90-91 °C; ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm)
47
48 = 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.32-1.62 (m, 5H), 1.73-1.92 (m, 1H), 3.12 (s, 1H), 3.32-3.54 (m, 2H), 3.92-4.09 (m,
49
50 1H), 7.18-7.28 (m, 1H), 7.33-7.36 (m, 2H), 7.44-7.53 (m, 2H); ¹³C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) =
51
52 23.5, 23.5, 25.8, 26.1, 69.1, 75.9, 84.3, 125.9, 126.9, 128.1, 145.9; IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹) = 3671, 3476,
53
54 3087, 3056, 2938, 2855, 1726, 1496, 1447, 1376, 1207, 1089, 1045, 893, 765; GC-MS τ_R = 11.63 min,
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

m/z (%): 188 (76, [M-H₂O]⁺), 173 (30), 144 (100), 129 (86), 105 (67), 85 (75), 77 (61), 67 (57); CI m/z (%): 121 (37), 105 (66), 77 (100), 67 (95), 51 (32); Anal. calcd. for C₁₂H₁₆O₂: C, 75.69; H, 8.80. found: C, 75.16; H, 8.43.

Acknowledgments We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) for constant financial support.

Supporting Information: NMR spectra (¹H and ¹³C) of all (separated) *erythro* / *threo* diastereoisomeric products. Thermal ellipsoid plots for the X-ray diffraction structures, information on the crystal structure determination, crystal parameters and refinement metrics. The crystal data for the three structures *threo-6a*, *erythro-6a*, and *erythro-5a* were deposited at the CCDC with the following numbers: *threo-6a*: CCDC 1477826, *erythro-6a*: CCDC 1477824, *erythro-5a*: CCDC 1477825.

References

- (1) (a) Narayanam, J. M. R.; Stephenson, C. R. J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 102-113; (b) Xi, Y.; Yi, H.; Lei, A. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2013**, *11*, 2387-2403; (c) Hari, D. P.; König, B. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 6688-6699; (d) Nicewicz, D. A.; Nguyen, T. M. *ACS Catalysis* **2014**, *4*, 355-360; (e) Angnes, R. A.; Li, Z.; Correia, C. R. D.; Hammond, G. B. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2015**, *13*, 9152-9167; (f) Reckenthäler, M.; Griesbeck, A. G. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2013**, *355*, 2727-2744; (g) Chen, J.-R.; Hu, X.-Q.; Lu, L.-Q.; Xiao, W.-J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2016**, *45*, 2044-2056; (h) Schultz, D. M.; Yoon, T. P. *Science* **2014**, *343*, 1239176; (i) Xuan, J.; Xiao, W. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 6828-6838.
- (2) (a) *Chemical Photocatalysis* (Ed. König, B.) **2013** by Wiley-VCH: Weinheim; (b) *Homogeneous Photocatalysis* (Ed. M. Chanon) **1997** (John Wiley & Sons: Chichester); (c) Pitre, S. P.; McTiernan, C. D.; Scaiano, J. C. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2016**, *49*, 1320-1330.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- (3) (a) Turro, N.; Ramamurthy, V.; Scaiano, J. C., *Modern Molecular Photochemistry of Organic Molecules* **2010**, University Science Books: Boca Raton, FL; (b) Klán, P.; Wirz, J. *Photochemistry of Organic Compounds* **2009**, Wiley: Chichester.
- (4) (a) *Synthetic Organic Photochemistry* (eds. Griesbeck, A. G.; Mattay, J.) **2005**, Marcel Dekker: New York, NY; (b) N. Hoffmann, *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 1052-1103; (c) Bach, T.; Hehn, J. P. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 1000-1045; (d) Griesbeck, A. G.; Hoffmann, N.; Warzecha, K.-D. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2007**, *40*, 128-140; (e) Yoon, T. P.; Stephenson, C. R. J. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2014**, *356*, 2739; (f) Xuan, J.; Ju, L.-Q.; Chen, J.-R.; Xiao, W.-J. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, 6755-6770.
- (5) (a) Hoffmann, N. *Synthesis* **2016**, *48*, 1782-1802; (b) Cuthbertson, J. D.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *Nature* **2015**, *519*, 74-77.
- (6) Hoffmann, N. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *28*, 121-136.
- (7) Miyazaki M.; Ohara, R.; Daigoku, K.; Hashimoto, K.; Woodward, J. R.; Dedonder, C.; Jouvet C.; Fujii, M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 15089-15093.
- (8) (a) Hari, D. P.; König, B. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 6688-6699; (b) Griesbeck, A. G.; Steinwascher, J.; Reckenthäler, M.; Uhlig, J. *J. Res. Chem. Intermed.* **2013**, *39*, 33-42.
- (9) (a) Prier, C. K.; Rankic, D. A.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 5322-5363; (b) Qvortrup, K.; Rankic, D. A.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 626-629; (c) Flamigni, L.; Barbieri, A.; Sabatini, C.; Ventura, B.; Barigelletti, F. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2007**, *281*, 143-203; (d) Beatty, J. W.; Stephenson, C. R. J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 1474-1484.
- (10) (a) Kisch, H.; Mitoraj, D. *CRC Handbook of Organic Photochemistry and Photobiology, 3rd edn* (eds. Griesbeck, A. G.; Oelgemöller, M.; Ghetti, F.) **2012**, 293-328, CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL; (b) Hoffmann, N. *Austr. J. Chem.* **2015**, *68*, 1621-1639; (c) Manley, D. W.; Walton, J. C. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *11*, 1570-1582; (d) Fukuzumi, S.; Ohkubo, K. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2014**, *12*,

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- 6059-6071; (e) Tachikawa, T.; Fujitsuka, M.; Majima, T. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2007**, *111*, 5259-5275;
- (f) Griesbeck, A. G.; Reckenthäler, M.; Uhlig, J. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* **2010**, *9*, 775-778.
- (11) Griesbeck, A. G.; Reckenthäler, J. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *10*, 1143-1150.
- (12) Tokuda, M.; Hasegawa, M.; Suzuki, A.; Itoh, M. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1974**, *47*, 2619-2620.
- (13) Hao, X.; Liu, C.; Liu, C.; Chen, Y.; Zhao, X.; Song, M.; Qian, R.; Guo, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2013**, *54*, 6964-6966.
- (14) Geraghty, N. W. A.; Lohan, M. T. *CRC Handbook of Organic Photochemistry and Photobiology, 3rd edn* (eds. Griesbeck, A. G.; Oelgemöller, M.; Ghetti, F.) **2012**, 445-488, CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL.
- (15) (a) Rosenthal, I.; Elad, D. *Tetrahedron* **1967**, *23*, 3193-3204; (b) Rosenthal, I.; Elad, D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1968**, *33*, 805-811; (c) Das, P. K.; Encinas, M. V.; Scaiano, J. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 4154-4162; (d) Firme, C. L.; Garden, S. J.; de Lucas, N. C.; Nicodem, D. E.; Correa, R. J. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2013**, *117*, 439-450.
- (16) (a) Yoshimitsu, T.; Arano, Y.; Nagaoka, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 625-627; (b) Yoshimitsu, T.; Arano, Y.; Nagaoka, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 2342-2345; (c) Yamada, K.-i., Yamamoto, Y.; Tomioka, K. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 1797-1799; (d) Yoshimitsu, T.; Tsunoda, M.; Nagaoka, H. *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 1745-1746.
- (17) Forrester, A. R.; Gill, M.; Thomson, R. H. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin I* **1979**, 621-631.
- (18) Wilson, R. M.; Hannemann, K.; Peters, K.; Peters, E. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 4741-4743.
- (19) Jiang, Z. Q.; Foote, C. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, *24*, 461-464.
- (20) Alvarez-Wright, M. T.; Satici, H.; Eliel, E. L.; White, P. S. *J. Ind. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *76*, 617-629.