

Article pubs.acs.org/jnp

Synthesis and Complete Structure Determination of a Sperm-Activating and -Attracting Factor Isolated from the Ascidian Ascidia sydneiensis

Tomohiro Watanabe,[†] Hajime Shibata,[‡] Makoto Ebine,[†] Hiroshi Tsuchikawa,[‡] Nobuaki Matsumori,[†][®] Michio Murata,[‡] Manabu Yoshida,[§] Masaaki Morisawa,[⊥] Shu Lin,[†] Kosei Yamauchi,[†] Ken Sakai,[†] and Tohru Oishi^{*,†}[®]

[†]Faculty and Graduate School of Science, Kyushu University, 744 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan

[‡]Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, 1-1 Machikaneyama, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan [§]Misaki Marine Biological Station, Graduate School of Science, University of Tokyo, 1024 Koajiro, Misaki, Miura, Kanagawa 238-0225, Japan

[⊥]Tokyo Kasei Gakuin University, 2600 Aihara, Machida, Tokyo 194-0292, Japan

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: For the complete structure elucidation of an endogenous sperm-activating and -attracting factor isolated from eggs of the ascidian *Ascidia sydneiensis* (*Assydn*-SAAF), its two possible diastereomers with respect to C-25 were synthesized. Starting from ergosterol, the characteristic steroid backbone was constructed by using an intramolecular pinacol coupling reaction and stereoselective reduction of a hydroxy ketone as key steps, and the side chain was introduced by Julia–Kocienski olefination. Comparison of the NMR data of the two diastereomers with those of the natural product led to the elucidation of the absolute configuration as 25S;



thus the complete structure was determined and the first synthesis of Assydn-SAAF was achieved.

S perm activation and chemotaxis, which ubiquitously occur in animals and plants, play an important role in fertilization.¹ Several nonpeptide small molecules are known to act as chemoattractants in fertilization. We have previously isolated the sperm-activating and -attracting factor (SAAF) from the eggs of the ascidian *Ciona intestinalis* (*Ciinte*-SAAF, Figure 1).² *Ciinte*-SAAF was the first example of a single agent concomitantly inducing both sperm activation and attraction. In



Figure 1. Structure of *Ciinte*-SAAF and proposed structure of *Assydn*-SAAF.

addition, Ciinte-SAAF was proved to be active toward C. savignyi, another species in the same genus, indicating that there is tolerance on the species specificity.^{2b} On the other hand, Assydn-SAAF (Figure 1) was isolated from the eggs of the ascidian Ascidia sydneiensis.³ The molecular structure of Assydn-SAAF was determined by NMR and ESI/TOF-MS analysis using approximately 2.6 μ g of sample to be 3,7,8,26tetrahydroxycholest-22-ene-3,26-disulfate,³ while the configurations at C-20 and C-25 remained unknown. Although the structure closely resembles that of Ciinte-SAAF, it was found that Ciinte-SAAF did not activate the sperm of A. sydneiensis, suggesting that there is high genus specificity.^{3,4} Although the mechanisms underlying the sperm activation/attraction as well as genus specificity are not fully elucidated, unambiguous structure determination of Assydn-SAAF is necessary for further investigations. Herein we report the synthesis and complete structure determination of Assydn-SAAF based on the chemical synthesis of plausible diastereomers and comparison of the NMR data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From the biosynthetic point of view, we assumed that the absolute configuration at C-20 of Assydn-SAAF (1) would be

Received: December 24, 2017



identical with that of *Ciinte-SAAF*, as shown in Figure 2, and supportive NOE correlations between $H-12a-H_3-21$ together



Figure 2. Proposed structure of Assydn-SAAF (1), two possible diastereomers with respect to C-25, (25S)-2a and (25R)-2b, and model compounds 3a and 3b.

with H₃-18–H-20 were observed.³ To determine the complete structure of *Assydn*-SAAF, we planned to synthesize both diastereomers with respect to C-25, (25S)-2a and (25R)-2b,



and compare the NMR data with those of the natural product. Although the structure of the steroid core was determined by NMR analysis, it was difficult to elucidate the configuration of the nonprotonated carbon at C-8. Therefore, prior to the synthesis of **2a** and **2b**, we planned to prepare model compound **3a** corresponding to the steroid core and its C-8-epimer **3b** (Figure 2) and compare the ¹H NMR data with those of the natural product.

Olefin 8, the key intermediate of 3a and 3b, was synthesized from ergosterol as shown in Scheme 1. The diene moiety on the B-ring was protected via hetero-Diels-Alder reaction using phthalhydrazide in the presence of $Pb(OAc)_4$ to give cycloadduct 4 in 93% yield.⁵ Inversion of the secondary alcohol at C-3 was carried out via Parikh-Doering oxidation (96%) followed by reduction with L-Selectride (lithium tri-secbutylborohydride) to afford α -alcohol 5 as a single isomer (66%). The C-22/C-23 double bond was selectively cleaved by ozonolysis and following hydride reduction⁶ gave the primary alcohol (71%). Removal of the phthalazide moiety with LiAlH₄⁵ liberated the diene on the B-ring to afford 6. Birch reduction of diene 6 proceeded regio- and stereoselectively⁷ to furnish the 5 α -cholest-7-ene skeleton. The primary hydroxy group of the diol was selectively protected as pivaloate 7 in 76% yield for three steps. The remaining secondary alcohol was protected as a p-methoxybenzyl (PMB) ether under acidic conditions⁸ to provide olefin 8 in 83% yield.

Having the key intermediate in hand, we moved on to the synthesis of **3a** and **3b** as shown in Scheme 2. It is known that OsO_4 -mediated dihydroxylation of 5α -cholest-7-ene and its derivatives provides α -diol selectively.⁹ Thus, dihydroxylation of hindered olefin **8** with OsO_4 was carried out in the presence of 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO),¹⁰ and the reaction proceeded stereoslectively to afford the desired $7\alpha,8\alpha$ -diol **3b** in 80% yield as a single diastereomer. Because initial attempts to prepare **3a** directly from **8** via epoxidation/ring-opening sequence were unsuccessful, oxidative cleavage of the C-7/C-8 double bond followed by intramolecular pinacol coupling^{11,12} of the resulting ketoaldehyde was examined. Although ozonolysis of olefin **8** was unsuccessful and gave a complex mixture, and attempts of oxidative cleavage of diol **3b** with



^aReagents and conditions: (a) phthalhydrazide, $Pb(OAc)_4$, CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C to rt, 4 h, 93%; (b) SO_3 -pyridine, dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), Et₃N, CH_2Cl_2 , rt, 2.5 h, 96%; (c) L-Selectride, tetrahydrofuran (THF), -78 °C, 30 min, 66%; (d) O_3 , pyridine, CH_2Cl_2 , -60 °C, 20 min; NaBH₄, MeOH, -60 °C to rt, 2 h, 71%; (e) LiAlH₄, THF, 80 °C, 1.5 h; (f) Li, NH₃, *tert*-amyl alcohol, THF, -78 °C, 1.5 h; (g) PvCl, pyridine, CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C, 2 h, 76% (3 steps); (h) *p*-methoxybenzyl trichloroacetimidate (PMBTCA), La(OTf)₃, toluene, 0 °C, 40 min, 83%.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of 3a-3d from 8^a



"Reagents and conditions: (a) $K_2OsO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$, $K_3Fe(CN)_6$, K_2CO_3 , $MeSO_2NH_2$, DABCO, t-BuOH/H₂O/CH₂Cl₂, rt, 36 h, 80%; (b) Pb(OAc)₄, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 35 min, 92%; (c) SmI₂, MeOH, THF, 0 °C, 45 min, 85%; (d) SO₃·pyridine, DMSO, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 13 h, 85%; (e) NaBH(OAc)₃, *i*-Pr₂NEt, THF, 0 °C to rt, 15 h, 93%; (f) DIBALH, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C to rt, 2 h, 91%; (g) SO₃·pyridine, DMSO, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 12 h, 76%; (h) NaBH₄, THF, MeOH, 0 °C, 1.5 h, 89%.



Figure 3. Plausible transition states of the intramolecular pinacol coupling of 9.

NaIO₄ resulted in no reaction, treatment of diol 3b with $Pb(OAc)_4$ proceeded smoothly to afford ketoaldehyde 9 in 92% yield. Then, intramolecular pinacol coupling of 9 was carried out by treating with SmI₂ in the presence of MeOH as a proton source to give 7β , 8β -diol 3c, the C-7 epimer of 3a, in 85% yield as a single diastereomer. In the absence of MeOH, the yield of 3c decreased to 52% with concomitant formation of byproducts. The structure of diol 3c was confirmed by NOE experiments. The stereochemical outcome can be explained as shown in Figure 3. The SmI₂-mediated intramolecular pinacol coupling is thought to proceed via a chelation transition state bridging oxygen atoms at C-7 and C-8 with the samarium ion. Among the possible chelation transition states TS1-TS3, TS1, giving compound 3c, appears to be most favored compared with TS2 and TS3, in which severe steric repulsions exist. Although the stereochemistry at C-8 was successfully controlled, that at C-7 was opposite that of Assydn-SAAF.

Therefore, inversion of configuration at C-7 was examined via an oxidation/reduction sequence. Parikh–Doering oxidation of diol 3c gave hydroxy ketone 10 in 85% yield, and reduction of 10 with NaBH(OAc)₃ in the presence of i-Pr₂NEt resulted in the formation of diol 3a in 93% yield as a single isomer, presumably due to neighboring participation of the C-8 hydroxy group. It is noteworthy that addition of i-Pr₂NEt is important to avoid the formation of the 8-deoxy byproduct. The absolute configuration of 3a was confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis of the corresponding primary alcohol 11, derived from 3a by removing the pivaloyol group with diisobutylalminum hydride (DIBALH). The ORTEP drawing of 11 is depicted in Figure 4.

Then, we examined conversion of 3b into 3d, the remaining one of the four diastereomers at C-7 and C-8, via inversion of the secondary alcohol. Parikh–Doering oxidation of 3b gave hydroxy ketone 12 in 76% yield, and successive reduction with



Figure 4. Structure of 11 in the crystalline state.

NaBH₄ provided 7β , 8α -diol **3d** as the sole product, presumably due to attack of hydride on the less hindered side. Thus, we have established the synthetic route to construct the steroid core of *Assydn*-SAAF including all possible diastereomers at C-7 and C-8.

With all four diastereomers 3a-d in hand, ¹H NMR coupling patterns of the H-7 proton were compared with that of *Assydn*-SAAF as shown in Figure 5. The H-7 proton signal of the



Figure 5. Comparison of ¹H NMR peak shapes of the H-7 proton between natural 1 and synthetic 3a-d. 1: 500 MHz in D₂O. 3a-d: 600 MHz in CDCl₃.

natural product exhibited a characteristic splitting pattern reported as a broad triplet, J < 3 Hz.³ Among the synthetic specimens **3a**–**d**, only **3a** showed a similar peak shape to the natural product, while larger *J* values than 3 Hz were observed

for **3b–d**. Thus, the configuration of the *vic*-diol moiety of *Assydn*-SAAF was confirmed to be 7α -OH/8 β -OH as reported.

Having confirmed the configuration at C-8, we moved on to the synthesis of (25S)-2a and (25R)-2b from 3a (Scheme 3). The secondary alcohol at C-7 of 3a was protected as a triethylsilyl (TES) ether (92%), the pivaloyl group was removed by reduction with DIBALH (99%), and oxidation of the resulting primary alcohol furnished aldehyde 13 (84%). Then, Julia-Kocienski olefination^{13,14} of aldehyde 13 was examined by using sulfone (S)-14a, which was prepared from known alcohol (S)-16a¹⁵ derived from (S)-2-methyl-1,4butanediol (Scheme 4), via protection of the primary alcohol as a PMB ether (99%) and molybdate-H2O2-catalyzed oxidation of the corresponding tetrazol-5-yl thioether (89%). As shown in Table 1, a solution of aldehyde 13 in tetrahydrofuran (THF) was added to a solution of sulfone (S)-14a and potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (KHMDS) in toluene, but resulted in the formation of a complex mixture (entry 1). Next, KHMDS was added to a premixed solution of aldehyde 13 and sulfone 14a in THF to afford 15a in 42% yield as an inseparable E/Z mixture in a 2:1 ratio (entry 2). When the base was changed to lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (LHMDS), the yield was improved to 77%, but the E/Z ratio decreased to 1:1 (entry 3). Addition of Hexamethylphosphoric triamide (HMPA) as a cosolvent improved the E/Z ratio to 5:1, but the yield decreased to 28% (entry 4). When KHMDS was used in THF/HMPA, 15a was obtained in 50% yield in a 7:1 ratio. Finally, the E/Z ratio was improved to 11:1 by using a THF solution of KHMDS to afford 15a in 51% yield (entry 6). Then, the PMB groups of 15a were removed with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) in 86% yield. In this reaction, addition of aqueous NaHCO3 was necessary to avoid the removal of the TES group. The resulting triol was converted to the 3,26-disulfate ester by treatment with a SO₃. pyridine complex. After removal of the TES group with HFpyridine, the undesired Z-isomer was separated by preparative TLC. Finally, treatment with ion-exchange resin (Amberlite IR-120B) afforded (25S)-2a in 48% yield for two steps.^{2c,d} On the other hand, (25R)-2b was synthesized in an analogous sequence from aldehyde 13 and sulfone (R)-14b, prepared



"Reagents and conditions: (a) TESOTf, 2,6-lutidine, CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C to rt, 1.5 h, 92%; (b) DIBALH, CH_2Cl_2 , -78 °C to rt, 1 h, 99%; (c) SO₃. pyridine, DMSO, Et₃N, CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C to rt, 7 h, 84%; (d) KHMDS, THF/HMPA, -78 °C to rt, 2 h, 51%, E/Z = 11:1 for (25S)-15a, 50%, E/Z = 6:1 for (25R)-15b; (e) DDQ, CH_2Cl_2 , saturated NaHCO₃(aq), 0 °C, 20 min, 86% for (25S), 41% for (25R); (f) SO₃-pyridine, pyridine, rt, 1 h; (g) HF-pyridine, MeOH, 0 °C to rt, 7 h, then Amberlite IR-120B (Na⁺), MeOH, 48% in two steps for (25S)-2a, 72% in two steps for (25R)-2b.

Scheme 4. Synthesis of Sulfone 14a and 14b^a



"Reagents and conditions: (a) PTSH, diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (DIAD), PPh₃, THF, -78 °C to rt, 4 h, 25%; (b) PMBTCA, La(OTf)₃, toluene, rt, 23 h, 99%; (c) (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·4H₂O, H₂O₂, EtOH, rt, 5.5 h, 89%; (d) LiAlH₄, THF, -78 °C to rt, 17.5 h, 98%; (e) PTSH, DIAD, PPh₃, THF, -78 °C to rt, 7.5 h, 22%; (f) PMBTCA, La(OTf)₃, toluene, rt, 14 h, 90%; (g) (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·4H₂O, H₂O₂, EtOH, rt, 6 h, 91%.

Table 1. Optimization of Julia-Kocienski Reaction Using 13 and 14a

РМВС	0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,	OPMB base, solvent -78 °C to rt, 2	t 2 h PMBO ^{VI} H 15a		
	1.0 eq	3.0 eq	lou		
entry	base	solvent	yield/%	ratio/ $E:Z$	
1 ^{<i>a</i>}	KHMDS in toluene	THF	0		
2 ^{<i>b</i>}	KHMDS in toluene	THF	42	2:1	
3 ^b	LHMDS in THF	THF	77	1:1	
4 ^b	LHMDS in THF	THF/HMPA (4:1)	28	5:1	
5 ^b	KHMDS in toluene	THF/HMPA (4:1)	50	7:1	
6 ^b	KHMDS in THF	THF/HMPA (4:1)	51	11:1	

^aAldehyde 13 was added to the mixture of sulfone 14a and base. ^bBase was added to the mixture of aldehyde 13 and sulfone 14a.

from (R)-2-methylsuccinic acid via alcohol (R)-16b (Scheme 4).

With both diastereomers (25*S*)-2a and (25*R*)-2b in hand, their ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts were compared with those of the natural product (Table 2). The chemical shifts of (25*S*)-2a are identical with those of *Assydn*-SAAF (1), while there are noticeable differences in the ¹H NMR chemical shifts of (25*R*)-2b at the H₂-24 to H₂-26 positions. Therefore, the configuration at C-25 was determined to be *S*, and that at C-20 was also confirmed. Thus, complete structure of *Assydn*-SAAF was determined to be (3*R*,7*R*,8*S*,20*R*,25*S*)-3,7,8,26-tetrahydroxycholest-22-ene-3,26-disulfate, and the first synthesis of *Ascidia*-SAAF was achieved.

Biological activities of the synthetic specimens were evaluated based on the methods previously reported.^{2a} Synthetic Ascidia-SAAF **2a** elicited sperm-activating and -attracting activity against the sperm of the ascidian A. sydneiensis at 5.0 μ M. It is noteworthy that the C-25-epimer **2b** also elicited comparable activity to **2a**.^{2c}

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have developed the stereodivergent synthetic route to construct the steroid core of *Assydn*-SAAF including all possible diastereomers at C-7 and C-8 via an intramolecular pinacol coupling reaction and stereoselective reduction of a hydroxy ketone as key steps, and syntheses of (25S)- and (25R)-*Assydn*-SAAF were achieved via a Julia–Kocienski reaction to introduce the side chain. Comparison of NMR data of the synthetic specimens with those of the natural

product revealed that the structure of *Assydn*-SAAF is (3R,7R,8S,20R,25S)-3,7,8,26-tetrahydroxycholest-22-ene-3,26-disulfate. The pure synthetic specimen was also used to confirm the sperm-activating and -attracting activity. Further studies for identification of the receptor of SAAF as well as the relevant signal transduction pathway(s) are in progress.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Procedures. Melting points were measured using a Yanaco MP-J3 micro melting point apparatus without correction. Optical rotations were recorded on a JASCO P-1010 polarimeter. IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT/IR-400. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were measured on JEOL JNM-ECA-600 or JNM-ECS400 instruments. Chemical shifts were reported in ppm from tetramethylsilane (TMS) with reference to internal residual solvent [¹H NMR: CHCl₃ (7.26), C₆HD₅ (7.16), acetone- d_5 (2.05), CD₂HOD (3.31); ¹³C NMR: CDCl₃ (77.16), C₆D₆ (128.06), acetone-d₆ (29.84), CD₃OD (49.00)]. For compounds 1, 2a, and 2b, NMR spectra were measured in D₂O with referring the chemical shifts to trace MeOH (3.34 ppm for ¹H NMR and 49.5 ppm for ¹³C NMR). High-resolution ESI mass spectra (HRESIMS) were measured on a Bruker microTOFfocus spectrometer. All reactions sensitive to air or moisture were performed under an argon atmosphere with dry glassware unless otherwise noted. The anhydrous solvents, CH₂Cl₂, THF, and toluene were purchased from Kanto Chemical Co. Inc. or Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd. and used without further dehydration unless otherwise stated. 2,6-Lutidine, triethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (TESOTf), and HMPA were distilled before use. Amberlite IR-120B was ion-exchanged with 1 M aqueous NaOH, then washed with H₂O to neutrality, followed by conditioning with MeOH. Powdered Sm metal was purchased from Nippon

Table 2. ¹³C and ¹H NMR Chemical Shifts of 1, 2a, and 2b in D_2O^a

	SAAF $(1)^{b}$		(25S)- 2 a			(25R)- 2b				
position	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\Delta \delta_{\rm C}{}^{c}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}^{c}$	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\Delta {\delta_{\rm C}}^c$	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\Delta \delta_{\rm H}^{\ c}$
1	33.3	1.55	33.3	0.0	1.54	-0.01	33.4	0.1	1.56	0.01
		1.18			1.20	0.02			1.18	0.00
2	26.5	1.84	26.5	0.0	1.84	0.00	26.6	0.1	1.84	0.00
		1.80			1.81	0.01			1.80	0.00
3	78.5	4.65	78.5	0.0	4.66	0.01	78.4	-0.1	4.65	0.00
4	18.0	1.60	18.0	0.0	1.59	-0.01	18.0	0.0	1.60	0.00
		1.55			1.55	0.00			1.56	0.01
5	32.6	1.90	32.6	0.0	1.90	0.00	32.6	0.0	1.90	0.00
6	31.5	1.90	31.5	0.0	1.90	0.00	31.5	0.0	1.90	0.00
		1.18			1.18	0.00			1.18	0.00
7	72.0	3.56	72.1	0.1	3.56	0.00	72.0	0.0	3.56	0.00
8	n.d.		77.4				77.4			
9	50.2	1.25	50.2	0.0	1.24	-0.01	50.2	0.0	1.26	0.01
10	36.0		36.0	0.0			36.0	0.0		
11	32.9	1.59	32.9	0.0	1.57	-0.02	32.8	-0.1	1.60	0.01
12	40.7	1.99	40.8	0.1	2.00	0.01	40.8	0.1	2.00	0.01
		1.21			1.21	0.00			1.22	0.01
13	43.2		43.2	0.0			43.2	0.0		
14	53.9	1.54	53.9	0.0	1.55	0.01	53.9	0.0	1.56	0.02
15	18.6	1.47	18.7	0.1	1.47	0.00	18.7	0.1	1.48	0.01
		1.38			1.37	-0.01			1.37	-0.01
16	28.4	1.73	28.4	0.0	1.73	0.00	28.4	0.0	1.73	0.00
		1.28			1.28	0.00			1.28	0.00
17	56.6	1.14	56.6	0.0	1.15	0.01	56.6	0.0	1.15	0.01
18	13.5	0.90	13.5	0.0	0.90	0.00	13.6	0.1	0.90	0.00
19	11.5	0.90	11.5	0.0	0.90	0.00	11.5	0.0	0.90	0.00
20	39.7	2.07	39.9	0.2	2.07	0.00	39.9	0.2	2.06	-0.01
21	20.3	0.98	20.3	0.0	0.99	0.01	20.4	0.1	0.99	0.01
22	140.5	5.39	140.5	0.0	5.39	0.00	140.4	-0.1	5.39	0.00
23	125.5	5.39	125.3	-0.2	5.39	0.00	125.4	-0.1	5.39	0.00
24	36.0	2.02	36.0	0.0	2.02	0.00	36.0	0.0	2.08	0.06
		1.93			1.94	0.01			1.86	-0.07
25	33.5	1.87	33.5	0.0	1.87	0.00	33.5	0.0	1.89	0.02
26	74.1	3.95	74.1	0.0	3.95	0.00	74.2	0.1	3.92	-0.03
		3.83			3.83	0.00			3.85	0.02
27	16.4	0.92	16.4	0.0	0.93	0.01	16.2	-0.2	0.92	0.00
Residual MeO	OH was adiust	ed to 49.5 pt	om for ¹³ C NI	MR (150 ME	Iz) and 3.34	ppm for ¹ H N	JMR (600 M	Hz). ^b Data o	f 1 are adopt	ted from the

"Residual MeOH was adjusted to 49.5 ppm for ¹³C NMR (150 MHz) and 3.34 ppm for ¹H NMR (600 MHz). "Data of 1 are adopted from the literature (ref 3). $^{c}\Delta\delta = \delta$ (synthetic 2a or 2b) – δ (natural 1).

Yttrium Co., Ltd. All other chemicals were obtained from local vendors and used as supplied unless otherwise stated. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Merck silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ precoated plates (0.25 mm thickness) for the reaction analyses. Column chromatography was performed using Kanto silica gel 60N (spherical, neutral, 100–210 μ m). Flash column chromatography was performed on Kanto silica gel 60N (spherical, neutral, 40–50 μ m). For reversed-phase preparative TLC (RP-pTLC), Merck silica gel 60 RP-18 F_{254S} precoated plates (0.25 mm thickness) were used. X-ray crystallographic analysis was performed on a Bruker SMART APEX CCD-detector diffractometer.

Synthetic Procedures. (22E)- 5α , 8α -(1,4-Dioxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrophthalazine-2,3-diyl)ergosta-6,22-dien- 3β -ol (4). Phthalhydrazide (16.4 g, 101 mmol), Pb(OAc)₄ (22.3 g, 40.2 mmol), and acetic acid (4.4 mL, 77 mmol) were added to a solution of ergosterol (10.0 g, 24.0 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (267 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 3 h and then at room temperature (rt) for 1 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and quenched with powdered Al₂O₃ (41.3 g, 405 mmol). After stirring at rt for 30 min, the resultant mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was extracted with EtOAc, and the organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ EtOAc = $10/1 \rightarrow 1/3$) to give diene 4 (12.4 g, 22.3 mmol, 93%) as a yellow foam: $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} - 137$ (c 1.05, CHCl₃); $R_{f} = 0.32$ (hexane/EtOAc = 2/1); IR (neat) 3441, 2955, 2871, 1678, 1601, 1460, 1381, 1311, 1180, 1071, 1043, 1027, 974, 755, 725, 697 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.17-8.13 (m, 1H), 8.13-8.08 (m, 1H), 7.72-7.65 (m, 2H), 6.65 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.27 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dd, J = 15.6, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (dd, J = 15.6, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.00-3.92 (m, 2H), 3.73-3.65 (m, 1H), 2.13-2.06 (m, 2H), 2.05-1.96 (m, 2H), 1.88-1.81 (m, 2H), 1.78-1.64 (m, 3H), 1.62-1.56 (m, 1H), 1.50-1.24 (m, 8H), 1.03 (s, 3H), 1.02 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.90 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.84 (s, 3H), 0.83 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.81 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H); the hydroxy proton was not observed probably due to the rapid exchange with contaminating H_2O; 13 C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 161.9, 159.8, 138.2, 135.4, 132.9 (2C), 132.3, 130.7, 130.0, 129.1, 127.1, 127.0, 68.6, 67.7, 67.1, 56.7, 50.5, 49.0, 44.3, 42.8, 40.7, 40.0, 39.4, 35.6, 35.0, 33.2, 29.3, 28.3, 24.7, 21.9, 21.0, 20.0, 19.8, 18.7, 17.6, 13.4; HRESIMS m/z 579.3554 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₆H₄₈N₂O₃Na, 579.3557).

(22*E*)-5*α*,8*α*-(1,4-Dioxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrophthalazine-2,3-diyl)ergosta-6,22-dien-3-one (**S1**). DMSO (7.0 mL, 99 mmol), Et₃N (7.0

Article

mL, 50 mmol), and SO₃·pyridine (4.74 g, 28.9 mmol) were added to a solution of alcohol 4 (5.53 g, 9.93 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at rt for 3 h, the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous NH4Cl at 0 °C and extracted with Et2O. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $4/1 \rightarrow 1/2$) to give ketone S1 (5.27 g, 9.50 mmol, 96%) as a yellow foam: $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$ -25.4 (c 1.08, THF); $R_{f} = 0.53$ (hexane/EtOAc = 1/3); IR (neat) 2955, 2870, 1717, 1635, 1603, 1464, 1386, 1330, 1264, 1221, 1188, 1066, 1026, 975, 772, 694 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.17–8.14 (m, 2H), 7.72–7.67 (m, 2H), 6.59 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.26 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (dd, J = 15.6, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dd, J = 15.6, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (d, J = 18.0 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (dd, J = 12.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (d, J = 18.0 Hz, 1H), 2.61-2.57 (m, 1H), 2.48-2.41 (m, 1H), 2.15-1.32 (m, 15H), 1.10 (s, 3H), 1.04 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 0.92 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (s, 3H), 0.85 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.83 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 204.6, 159.9, 156.9, 135.7, 135.3, 133.2, 132.9, 132.5, 131.6, 130.9, 129.2, 127.2, 127.1, 70.2, 67.5, 56.0, 51.1, 50.6, 44.8, 44.6, 42.9, 41.5, 39.9, 38.6, 36.2, 34.3, 33.2, 27.7, 24.3, 23.8, 21.3, 20.1, 19.8, 17.9, 17.6, 13.5; HRESIMS m/z 577.3408 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₂₆H₄₆N₂O₂Na, 577.3401).

(22E)- 5α , 8α -(1,4-Dioxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrophthalazine-2,3-diyl)ergosta-6,22-dien-3α-ol (5). L-Selectride (1.0 M in THF, 0.235 mL, 0.235 mmol) was added to a solution of ketone S1 (99.5 mg, 0.179 mmol) in dry THF (1.6 mL) at -78 °C. After stirring at -78 °C for 30 min, the reaction was quenched with 2 M aqueous NaOH (0.36 mL, 0.72 mmol) and H_2O_2 (30% in H_2O_2 51 μ L, 0.45 mmol). The resultant solution was stirred at rt for 20 min and extracted with Et₂O. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $5/1 \rightarrow 1/1$) to give olefin 5 (65.7 mg, 0.118 mmol, 66%) as a yellow foam: $[\alpha]^{24}_{D}$ +271 (c 1.06, CHCl₃); $R_f = 0.58$ (hexane/EtOAc = 1/2); IR (neat) 3384, 2956, 2870, 1653, 1586, 1457, 1370, 1220, 1159, 1068, 971, 873, 772 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.14–8.08 (m, 2H), 7.69–7.63 (m, 2H), 6.63 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.27 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dd, J = 15.1, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (dd, I = 15.1, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (d, I = 16.5 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (brs, 1H), 4.00 (dd, J = 11.7, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.85 (s, 1H), 2.26 (dd, J = 16.5, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (dd, J = 13.1, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.07–1.97 (m, 2H), 1.95-1.80 (m, 4H), 1.66-1.57 (m, 2H), 1.50-1.28 (m, 8H), 1.02 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 0.99 (s, 3H), 0.90 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.84 (s, 3H), 0.83 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.81 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.0, 159.8, 138.9, 135.4, 132.9, 132.8, 132.3, 130.8, 130.3, 129.0, 127.0, 126.9, 68.3, 65.8, 64.6, 56.7, 50.9, 48.6, 44.2, 42.8, 41.5, 40.0, 39.3, 33.2, 32.3, 31.9, 28.3, 27.9, 24.4, 21.9, 21.0, 20.0, 19.8, 18.6, 17.6, 13.3; HRESIMS m/z 579.3557 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₆H₄₈N₂O₃Na 579.3557).

(20S)- 5α . 8α -(1.4-Dioxo-1.2.3.4-tetrahvdrophthalazine-2.3-divl)-20-methylpregn-6-ene- 3α ,21-diol (S2). O₃ was bubbled through a solution of olefin 5 (701 mg, 1.26 mmol) and pyridine (0.84 mL, 10 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (32 mL) at -60 °C for 20 min. O₂ was then bubbled through the solution for 10 min to remove excess O₃. NaBH₄ (383 mg, 10.1 mmol) and MeOH (30 mL) were added to the solution. After stirring at -60 °C for 1.5 h, the reaction mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for 40 min. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl at 0 °C. The mixture was extracted with Et₂O, and the combined organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous KHSO4, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, and saturated aqueous NaCl. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $2/1 \rightarrow 1/5$) to give diol **S2** (438 mg, 0.893 mmol, 71%) as a yellow powder: $[\alpha]_{D}^{28}$ -14.7 (*c* 1.45, CHCl₃); $R_f = 0.20$ (hexane/EtOAc = 1/2); IR (neat) 3451, 2947, 2874, 1634, 1600, 1461, 1438, 1391, 1317, 1267, 1217, 1170, 1101, 1067, 1040, 1004, 975, 931, 906 cm $^{-1};$ $^{1}{\rm H}$ NMR (600 MHz, CDCl $_{2})$ δ 8.15-8.07 (m, 2H), 7.71-7.64 (m, 2H), 6.65 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.27 (d, I = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (brd, I = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (brs, 1H), 4.01

(dd, J = 11.7, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.68–3.63 (brd, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 3.39– 3.34 (m, 1H), 2.83 (s, 1H), 2.26 (dd, J = 16.5, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 2.17 (dd, J = 13.1, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.02 (ddd, J = 13.1, 3.4, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 2.00–1.94 (m, 1H), 1.92–1.87 (m, 1H), 1.84 (ddd, J = 13.1, 13.1, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.65–1.28 (m, 11H), 1.06 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 0.99 (s, 3H), 0.86 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.0, 159.8, 139.0, 132.97, 132.89, 130.8, 130.3, 128.8, 127.0, 126.9, 68.2, 67.8, 65.8, 64.6, 53.5, 50.8, 48.3, 44.5, 41.5, 39.3, 38.5, 32.3, 31.9, 27.9, 27.3, 24.4, 21.9, 18.5, 16.8, 13.2; HRESIMS m/z 513.2720 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for $C_{30}H_{38}N_2O_4Na$, 513.2724).

(205)-3*α*-Hydroxy-20-methyl-5*α*-pregn-7-en-21-yl Pivalate (7). LiAlH₄ (233 mg, 6.13 mmol) was added to a solution of diol S2 (792 mg, 1.61 mmol) in dry THF (23 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at 80 °C for 2 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and treated sequentially with H₂O (0.25 mL), 2 M aqueous NaOH (0.50 mL), and H₂O (0.75 mL). The resultant solution was diluted with Et₂O and stirred for 10 min. The cake was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude diene **6** (594 mg) was used in the next reaction without further purification: $R_f = 0.48$ (hexane/EtOAc = 1/2); HRESIMS m/z 353.2461 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₂₂H₃₄O₂Na, 353.2451).

A solution of crude diene 6 (594 mg) and *tert*-amyl alcohol (1.05 mL, 9.66 mmol) in dry THF (20 mL + 10 + 4 mL rinse) was added to a stirred deep-blue solution of Li (113 mg, 16.3 mmol) in liquid NH₃ (20 mL) at -78 °C. After stirring at -78 °C for 1 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with solid NH₄Cl (8.65 g, 162 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h. After addition of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl and H₂O, the reaction mixture was extracted with THF. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude olefin S3 (683 mg) was used in the next reaction without further purification.

Pivaloyl chloride (0.48 mL, 3.9 mmol) was added to a solution of crude olefin S3 (683 mg) in pyridine (11 mL) and dry CH₂Cl₂ (8.0 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at 0 °C for 2 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO3 and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous KHSO4 and saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $1/0 \rightarrow 1/1$) to give pivalate ester 7 (508 mg, 1.22 mmol, 76% for the three steps) as a colorless solid: $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}$ +8.80 (c 1.04, CHCl₃); mp 140–141 °C; R_{f} = 0.43 (hexane/EtOAc = 3/1); IR (neat) 3278, 2936, 2873, 1730, 1479, 1445, 1397, 1383, 1366, 1284, 1160, 1029, 1007, 979, 943, 905, 848, 794, 770 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.19–5.16 (m, 1H), 4.08–4.04 (m, 2H), 3.77 (dd, J = 11.0, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.03–1.99 (m, 1H) 1.90-1.79 (m, 2H), 1.79-1.49 (m, 12H), 1.49-1.39 (m, 4H), 1.39–1.22 (m, 3H), 1.20 (s, 9H), 1.02 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H) 0.78 (s, 3H), 0.56 (s, 3H); 13 C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.8, 139.2, 118.0, 69.4, 66.6, 54.9, 53.0, 49.6, 43.7, 39.6, 39.0, 36.4, 35.7, 34.87, 34.78, 32.1, 29.7, 28.9, 27.5, 27.4 (3C), 23.1, 21.4, 17.4, 12.2, 12.1; HRESIMS m/z 439.3178 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₂₇H₄₄O₃Na, 439.3183).

(20S)- 3α -(4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy-20-methyl- 5α -pregn-7-en-21-yl Pivalate (8). $La(OTf)_3$ (42.3 mg, 0.0722 mmol) was added to a solution of 4-methoxybenzyl-2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate (300 mg, 1.06 mmol) and alcohol 7 (298 mg, 0.714 mmol) in dry toluene (7.2 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at 0 °C for 30 min, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and then extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $1/0 \rightarrow 1/1$) to give olefin 8 (322 mg, 0.600 mmol, 83%) as a colorless foam: $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$ +16.4 $(c 1.23, CHCl_3); R_f = 0.45$ (hexane/EtOAc = 7/1); IR (neat) 2933, 2872, 1723, 1613, 1586, 1513, 1463, 1397, 1362, 1283, 1246, 1161, 1072, 1036, 977, 942, 823, 771 cm $^{-1};$ $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (600 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.26 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 5.19–5.16 (m, 1H), 4.41 (s, 2H), 4.07 (dd, J = 10.8, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 3.81-3.76 (m, 4H), 3.65 (brs, 1H), 2.03–1.98 (m, 1H), 1.90–1.75 (m, 5H), 1.75–1.64 (m,

4H), 1.64–1.40 (m, 6H), 1.40–1.22 (m, 5H), 1.21 (s, 9H), 1.03 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.79 (s, 3H), 0.57 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.7, 159.0, 139.3, 131.6, 128.9 (2C), 118.0, 113.8 (2C), 72.9, 69.4, 69.2, 55.3, 54.9, 52.9, 49.5, 43.6, 39.6, 39.0, 36.4, 35.2, 34.7, 32.7, 32.6, 29.7, 27.5, 27.4 (3C), 25.8, 23.1, 21.3, 17.4, 12.4, 12.0; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 559.3783 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₅H₅₂O₄Na, 559.3788).

(20S)- 7α , 8α -Dihydroxy- 3α -(4-methoxybenzyl)oxy-20-methyl- 5α pregnan-21-yl Pivalate (3b). A solution of olefin 8 (51.0 mg, 95.1 μ mol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.05 mL + 0.05 mL rinse) was added to a stirred mixture of $K_2OsO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ (1.9 mg, 5.2 µmol), DABCO (1.6 mg, 14 µmol), K₃Fe(CN)₆ (91.3 mg, 0.277 mmol), K₂CO₃ (39.6 mg, 0.287 mmol), and MeSO₂NH₂ (26.6 mg, 0.280 mmol) in t-BuOH/H₂O (1:1, v/v, 0.94 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at rt for 36 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with solid Na₂S₂O₃ at 0 °C and stirred at rt for 10 h. The reaction mixture was extracted with Et₂O, and the organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $3/1 \rightarrow 1/3$ with addition of 1 v/v % Et₃N) to give diol 3b (42.5 mg, 74.5 μ mol, 80%) as a colorless solid: $[\alpha]^{23}_{D} - 14.7$ (c 1.56, CHCl₃); mp 157–158 °C; $R_f = 0.17$ (hexane/EtOAc = 3/1); IR (neat) 3274, 2934, 2853, 1726, 1613, 1512, 1480, 1459, 1397, 1362, 1284, 1245, 1151, 1075, 1037, 973, 949, 827, 771 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, $CDCl_{2}$) δ 7.26 (d, I = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, I = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.42 (d, J = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (d, J = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 4.14–4.09 (m, 1H), 4.04 (dd, J = 10.8, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.82–3.77 (m, 1H), 3,79 (s, 3H), 3.61 (brs, 1H), 2.96-2.88 (m, 1H), 2.13-2.03 (m, 1H), 1.99-1.92 (m, 2H), 1.84–1.66 (m, 6H), 1.60–1.53 (m, 4H), 1.52–1.42 (m, 3H), 1.37-1.13 (m, 6H), 1.20 (s, 9H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 0.85 (s, 3H), 0.79 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.8, 159.0, 131.7, 129.0 (2C), 113.8 (2C), 78.5, 72.6, 70.4, 69.3 (2C), 61.7, 55.7, 55.4, 53.7, 43.3, 40.3, 39.1, 38.5, 36.5, 36.2, 36.0, 32.3, 31.0, 27.4 (3C), 27.1, 25.8, 23.9, 22.9, 17.3, 15.0, 12.1; HRESIMS m/z 593.3801 [M + $Na]^+$ (calcd for $C_{35}H_{54}O_6Na$, 593.3813).

(5R,20S)-3α-(4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy-20-methyl-7,8-dioxo-7,8seco-5 α -pregnan-21-yl Pivalate (9). Pb(OAc)₄ (1.29 g, 2.33 mmol) was added to a solution of diol 3b (838 mg, 1.47 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at rt for 35 min, the reaction mixture was quenched with H₂O at 0 °C and filtered through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was extracted with Et₂O, and the organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO3 and saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $2/1 \rightarrow 0/1$) to give ketoaldehyde 9 (773 mg, 1.36 mmol, 92%) as a colorless foam: $[\alpha]^{24}_{D}$ +2.7 (c 0.82, CHCl₃); $R_f = 0.49$ (hexane/EtOAc = 2/1); IR (neat) 2958, 2873, 1723, 1613, 1513, 1458, 1397, 1364, 1285, 1247, 1159, 1079, 1035, 976, 937, 823, 754 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.69 (dd, J = 3.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 4.41 (s, 2H), 4.04 (dd, J = 10.8, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.81-3.76 (m, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.53 (brs, 1H), 2.66 (brs, 1H), 2.47–2.37 (m, 3H), 2.17–2.12 (m, 1H), 2.09–1.99 (m, 2H), 1.92–1.82 (m, 2H), 1.82–1.67 (m, 4H), 1.67-1.58 (m, 4H), 1.54-1.36 (m, 4H), 1.20 (s, 9H), 1.03 (s, 3H), 1.02 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 0.61 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 210.7, 203.1, 178.7, 159.1, 131.2, 129.1 (2C), 113.9 (2C), 72.1, 69.5, 69.0, 63.1, 55.4 (2C), 53.5 (2C), 50.6, 44.3, 39.3, 39.0, 36.9, 35.7, 32.1, 27.5, 27.3 (3C), 26.2 (2C), 25.3, 19.3, 17.8, 17.3, 12.4; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 591.3659 $[M + Na]^+$ (calcd for $C_{35}H_{52}O_6Na$, 591.3656).

(205)-7β,8β-Dihydroxy-3α-(4-methoxybenzyl)oxy-20-methyl-5αpregnan-21-yl Pivalate (**3c**). Diiodomethane (1.30 mL, 16.2 mmol) was added dropwise to a vigorously stirred mixture of Sm metal (3.01 g, 20.0 mmol, treated in a glovebox) and dry THF (200 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was vigorously stirred at 0 °C for 10 min and then at rt for 3 h to give a deep-blue suspension of SmI₂ (ca. 0.08 M in THF). This suspension (47.0 mL, 3.76 mmol) was added to a solution of ketoaldehyde 9 (537 mg, 0.945 mmol) in freshly distilled THF (47 mL) and MeOH (0.26 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at 0 °C for 45 min, the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl and extracted with Et₂O. The organic layer was washed with saturated

aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $7/1 \rightarrow 1/1$) to give diol 3c (456 mg, 0.799 mmol, 85%) as a colorless solid: $[\alpha]^{24}$ +20.1 (c 1.03, CHCl₃); mp 163–164 °C; $R_f = 0.31$ (hexane/EtOAc = 3/1); IR (neat) 3533, 2933, 2870, 1725, 1613, 1513, 1458, 1397, 1362, 1286, 1247, 1169, 1057, 1036, 977, 946, 825, 774, 756 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.26 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 4.43 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (dd, J = 10.2, 3.6 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.76 (dd, J = 10.8, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.63-3.59 (m, 1H), 3.47 (dd, J = 11.4, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.06 (br, 1H), 2.02 (dt, J = 12.4, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.86-1.76 (m, 2H), 1.76-1.67 (m, 2H), 1.67-1.49 (m, 6H), 1.49–1.40 (m, 3H), 1.40–1.32 (m, 1H), 1.32–1.27 (m, 1H), 1.27-1.22 (m, 1H), 1.22-1.15 (m, 2H), 1.20 (s, 9H), 1.10 (ddd, J = 10.3, 9.6, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 0.99 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.97 (s, 3H), 0.94 (s, 3H), 0.90 (dd, J = 13.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.9, 159.1, 131.5, 129.1 (2C), 113.9 (2C), 76.2, 76.1, 72.9, 69.5, 69.4, 58.7, 55.6, 55.4, 52.7, 44.3, 41.1, 39.1, 37.4, 35.7, 35.5, 34.3, 33.7, 32.6, 27.8, 27.4 (3C), 25.3, 22.7, 18.0, 17.1, 13.8, 11.6; HRESIMS m/z 593.3808 $[M + Na]^+$ (calcd for $C_{35}H_{54}O_6Na$, 593.3813).

 $(20S)-8\beta$ -Hydroxy- 3α -(4-methoxybenzyl)oxy-20-methyl-7-oxo- 5α -pregnan-21-yl Pivalate (10). DMSO (0.15 mL, 2.1 mmol), Et₃N (0.15 mL, 1.1 mmol), and SO_3 pyridine (103 mg, 0.627 mmol) were added to a solution of diol 3c (69.9 mg, 0.122 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.2 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at rt for 13 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NH4Cl at 0 °C and extracted with Et₂O. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous KHSO₄ and saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $10/1 \rightarrow 1/1$) to give hydroxy ketone 10 (59.1 mg, 0.104 mmol, 85%) as a colorless foam: $[\alpha]_{D}^{24} = -17.0$ (c 1.17, CHCl₃); $R_{f} = 0.35$ (hexane/EtOAc = 3/ 1); IR (neat) 3502, 2934, 1712, 1612, 1513, 1462, 1397, 1362, 1340, 1285, 1247, 1168, 1063, 1036, 975, 946, 824, 754, 666 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.22 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.37 (s, 2H), 4.05 (dd, J = 10.2, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.78-3.74 (m, 1H), 3.62 (brs, 1H), 3.04 (dd, J = 14.4, 12.0 Hz, 1H), 2.11-2.04 (m, 1H), 2.02-1.93 (m, 2H), 1.93-1.85 (m, 1H), 1.85-1.75 (m, 4H), 1.74-1.66 (m, 1H), 1.66-1.51 (m, 5H), 1.49 (brd, J = 13.1 Hz, 1H), 1.39-1.31 (m, 1H), 1.30-1.08 (m, 5H), 1.19 (s, 9H), 1.15 (s, 3H), 0.99 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.7, 178.7, 159.0, 131.2, 128.9 (2C), 113.8 (2C), 81.4, 72.7, 69.5, 69.2, 58.9, 55.3, 52.4, 52.1, 43.3, 43.1, 41.7, 39.9, 39.0, 36.9, 35.3, 33.9, 32.8, 27.3 (4C), 25.3, 19.9, 17.8, 17.1, 14.0, 11.5; HRESIMS m/z 591.3656 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₅H₅₂O₆Na, 591.3656).

(20S)- 7α , 8β -Dihydroxy- 3α -(4-methoxybenzyl)oxy-20-methyl- 5α pregnan-21-yl Pivalate (3a). NaBH(OAc)₃ (827 mg, 3.12 mmol) was added to a solution of hydroxy ketone 10 (59.1 mg, 0.104 mmol) and i-Pr₂NEt (2.5 mL, 14 mmol) in dry THF (5.2 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at rt for 15 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl at 0 °C and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $10/1 \rightarrow 1/3$) to give diol 3a (55.3 mg, 0.0969 mmol, 93%) as a colorless foam: $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$ +6.82 (c 1.11, CHCl₃); R_{f} = 0.12 (hexane/EtOAc = 3/1); IR (neat) 3525, 2936, 1714, 1613, 1513, 1458, 1397, 1362, 1287, 1246, 1169, 1124, 1065, 1034, 971, 943, 897, 821, 756 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.27 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.45 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (dd, J = 10.8, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.75 (dd, J = 10.2, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.63 (brs, 1H), 3.48 (brs, 1H), 2.07-2.02 (m, 1H), 1.98 (dt, J = 13.2, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 1.92 (td, J = 13.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 1.91–1.84 (m, 1H), 1.80 (brd, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 1.75–1.68 (m, 2H), 1.68-1.54 (m, 4H), 1.48-1.42 (m, 3H), 1.41-1.21 (m, 8H), 1.20 (s, 9H), 1.13 (dt, J = 14.4, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 0.99 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 0.95 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.9, 159.1, 131.7, 129.1 (2C), 113.9 (2C), 76.5, 73.1, 72.6, 69.5, 69.3, 55.4, 53.4, 53.2, 49.8, 43.2, 40.4, 39.1, 36.4, 35.5, 33.5, 32.5 (2C), 32.4, 27.4 (3C), 27.2, 25.4, 18.7,

17.8, 17.0, 13.7, 11.5; HRESIMS m/z 593.3806 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for $C_{35}H_{54}O_6Na$, 593.3813).

(20S)-3 α -(4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy-20-methyl-5 α -pregnane- 7α , 8 β , 21-triol (11). DIBALH (1.0 M in toluene, 0.80 mL, 0.80 mmol) was added to a solution of pivalate 3a (55.3 mg, 0.0969 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 mL) at -78 °C. After stirring at -78 °C for 1 h, the reaction mixture was warmed to rt. After stirring at rt for 1 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with MeOH at 0 °C. After addition of Et_2O and saturated aqueous Na^+/K^+ tartrate, the mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h and then extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $2/1 \rightarrow 1/3$) to give triol 11 (42.7 mg, 0.0877 mmol, 91%) as a colorless solid: $[\alpha]^{23}{}_{\rm D}$ +7.51 (c 1.42, CHCl₃); mp 188–189 °C; $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.22 (hexane/EtOAc = 1/1); IR (neat) 3416, 2934, 1612, 1586, 1512, 1443, 1361, 1302, 1246, 1171, 1145, 1125, 1032, 990, 968, 896, 822, 755, 666 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.26 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.45 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.63-3.59 (m, 2H), 3.46 (brs, 1H), 3.33 (dd, J = 10.2, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.07–2.01 (m, 1H), 1.98 (dt, J = 12.4, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.90 (td, J = 13.8, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.89-1.83 (m, 1H), 1.80 (brd, J = 15.1 Hz, 1H), 1.77–1.49 (m, 8H), 1.49–1.40 (m, 3H), 1.38– 1.15 (m, 7H), 1.12 (dt, J = 13.8, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.01 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (s, 3H), 0.93 (s, 3H); 13 C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₂) δ 159.1, 131.6, 129.1 (2C), 113.9 (2C), 76.5, 73.1, 72.5, 69.5, 67.9, 55.4, 53.1 (2C), 49.8, 43.2, 40.4, 38.3, 36.4, 33.5, 32.5, 32.42, 32.38, 27.3, 25.3, 18.6, 17.7, 16.6, 13.7, 11.5; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 509.3239 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for $C_{30}H_{46}O_5Na$, 509.3237).

(20S)- 8α -Hydroxy- 3α -(4-methoxybenzyl)oxy-20-methyl-7-oxo- 5α -pregnan-21-yl Pivalate (12). *i*-Pr₂NEt (0.063 mL, 0.36 mmol) and SO3 pyridine (28.6 mg, 0.179 mmol) were added to a solution of diol 3b (20.5 mg, 0.0359 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂/DMSO (4:1, v/v, 0.90 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at rt for 12 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with water at 0 °C and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with water and saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $9/1 \rightarrow 4/1$ with 1% v/v Et₃N) to give hydroxy ketone 12 (15.5 mg, 0.0273 mmol, 76%) as a colorless foam: $[\alpha]^{29}$ -14.3 (c 0.77, benzene); $R_f = 0.31$ (EtOAc/hexane = 1/3); IR (neat) 3342, 2969, 2934, 2912, 2868, 1726, 1712, 1612, 1513, 1285, 1244, 1167, 1088, 1036 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.31 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 4.36 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (dd, J = 11.0, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (dd, J = 11.0, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.59-3.52 (m, 1H), 3.48-3.45 (m, 1H), 3.33 (s, 3H), 2.59 (dd, J = 17.2, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.27–2.17 (m, 1H), 1.80 (dd, J = 17.2, 11.7 Hz, 1H), 1.79–1.68 (m, 4H), 1.67–1.61 (m, 1H), 1.62–1.56 (m, 1H), 1.55-1.44 (m, 2H), 1.43-1.36 (m, 2H), 1.34-1.23 (m, 4H), 1.22 (s, 9H), 1.16 (dd, J = 13.1, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 1.10–1.01 (m, 2H), 1.00 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.95–0.88 (m, 1H), 0.88 (s, 3H), 0.64 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 210.0, 177.8, 159.7, 132.0, 129.2 (2C), 114.1 (2C), 78.8, 72.5, 69.7, 69.2, 59.3, 58.8, 54.8, 53.7, 44.2, 43.1, 39.9, 39.0, 36.5, 36.2, 35.5, 32.5, 32.4, 27.5 (3C), 27.4, 26.0, 22.9, 19.9, 17.4, 14.4, 13.7; HRESIMS m/z 591.3650 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₅H₅₂O₆Na, 591.3656)

(205)-7β,8α-Dihydroxy-3α-(4-methoxybenzyl)oxy-20-methyl-5αpregnan-21-yl Pivalate (**3d**). NaBH₄ (15.5 mg, 0.410 mmol) was added to a solution of hydroxy ketone **12** (15.5 mg, 0.0273 mmol) in dry THF/MeOH (4:1, v/v, 1.0 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at 0 °C for 1.5 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with water and saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $9/1 \rightarrow 3/2$ with 1% v/v Et₃N) to give diol **3d** (13.9 mg, 0.0244 mmol, 89%) as a colorless solid: $[\alpha]^{29}_{D}$ +5.8 (*c* 0.69, CHCl₃); *R_f* = 0.18 (hexane/EtOAc = 3/1); IR (neat) 3333, 2918, 1710, 1513, 1236, 1165, 1052 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 4.41 (s, 2H), 4.04 (dd, *J* = 11.0, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (brd, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.78 (dd, J = 10.3, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.63 (brs, 1H), 2.69–2.61 (m, 1H), 2.04 (ddd, J = 14.4, 8.3, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 1.94 (ddd, J = 12.4, 3.4, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.89–1.81 (m, 1H), 1.80–1.73 (m, 3H), 1.72–1.65 (m, 2H), 1.61 (dd, J = 13.8, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 1.58–1.50 (m, 4H), 1.47–1.34 (m, 4H), 1.34–1.20 (m, 4H), 1.20 (s, 9H), 1.17–1.09 (m, 1H), 1.03 (s, 3H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 0.90 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.9, 159.0, 131.7, 129.0 (2C), 113.8 (2C), 76.7, 73.0, 69.30, 69.25, 69.17, 62.8, 55.4, 55.2, 54.4, 43.4, 40.0, 39.1, 37.2, 36.9, 36.1, 35.0, 32.6, 30.3, 27.4 (3C), 26.9, 25.9, 23.9, 20.6, 17.1, 14.0, 13.5; HRESIMS m/z 593.3820 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₅H₅₄O₆Na, 593.3813).

(20S)-8 β -Hydroxy-3 α -(4-methoxybenzyl)oxy-20-methyl-7 α -(triethylsilyl)oxy-5 α -pregnan-21-yl Pivalate (S4). TESOTf (0.16 mL, 0.71 mmol) was added to a solution of diol 3a (199 mg, 0.348 mmol) and 2,6-lutidine (0.16 mL, 1.4 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (3.5 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at rt for 1.5 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO3 at 0 °C and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $30/1 \rightarrow 10/1$) to give silvl ether S4 (220 mg, 0.321 mmol, 92%) as a colorless foam: $[\alpha]^{23}_{D}$ +2.21 (c 1.00, CHCl₃); R_f = 0.57 (hexane/EtOAc = 4/1); IR (neat) 3527, 2937, 2843, 1727, 1614, 1513, 1458, 1397, 1363, 1285, 1246, 1168, 1129, 1086, 1036, 1015, 974, 946, 824, 792, 772, 741 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.26 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.44 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (dd, J = 10.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (dd, J = 10.8, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.60 (brs, 1H), 3.48 (brs, 1H), 2.21 (brt, J = 13.1 Hz, 1H), 1.96 (dt, J = 12.4, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.86–1.66 (m, 5H), 1.65–1.56 (m, 2H), 1.56–1.49 (m, 2H), 1.46–1.40 (m, 2H), 1.40-1.23 (m, 5H), 1.23-1.16 (m, 2H), 1.20 (s, 9H), 1.08 (dt, J = 14.4, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.01–0.99 (m, 4H), 0.934 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 9H), 0.930 (s, 3H), 0.92 (s, 3H), 0.65-0.54 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) *δ* 178.8, 158.9, 131.9, 128.7 (2C), 113.7 (2C), 77.2, 73.2, 73.1, 69.4, 69.2, 55.4, 53.4, 52.8, 49.3, 42.9, 40.5, 39.1, 36.4, 35.4, 33.6, 32.7, 32.0, 31.9, 27.3 (3C), 27.0, 26.1, 18.6, 17.7, 17.2, 13.7, 11.6, 7.2 (3C), 5.5 (3C); HRESIMS m/z 707.4700 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₄₁H₆₈O₆SiNa, 707.4677).

(20S)- 3α -(4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy-20-methyl- 7α -(triethylsilyl)oxy- 5α -pregnane-8 β ,21-diol (S5). DIBALH (1.0 M in toluene, 1.7 mL, 1.7 mmol) was added to a solution of silyl ether S4 (136 mg, 0.199 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (2.0 mL) at -78 °C. After stirring at -78 °C for 40 min, the reaction mixture was warmed to rt. After stirring at rt for 20 min, the reaction mixture was quenched with MeOH at 0 °C. After addition of Et₂O and saturated aqueous Na⁺/K⁺ tartrate, the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h and then extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $7/1 \rightarrow 1/1$) to give diol S5 (119 mg, 0.198 mmol, 99%) as a colorless foam: $[\alpha]_{D}^{26}$ +5.44 (c 1.02, CHCl₃); R_{f} = 0.16 (hexane/EtOAc = 4/1); IR (neat) 3430, 2935, 2873, 1613, 1513, 1463, 1362, 1301, 1246, 1220, 1170, 1149, 1086, 1037, 973, 943, 825, 772, 742, 675 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.26 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 4.44 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.63 (dd, J = 10.3, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (brt, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (brs, 1H), 3.34 (dd, J = 10.3, 7.2 Hz, 1H),2.20 (tt, J = 13.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.96 (dt, J = 12.4, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.86-1.69 (m, 4H), 1.66-1.49 (m, 6H), 1.46-1.40 (m, 2H), 1.40-1.22 (m, 6H), 1.18 (td, J = 12.4, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.12 (ddd, J = 10.3, 9.6, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 1.08 (dt, J = 13.8, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.02 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 9H), 0.93 (s, 3H), 0.92 (s, 3H), 0.65–0.54 (m, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.9, 131.9, 128.7 (2C), 113.7 (2C), 77.3, 73.2, 73.1, 69.2, 68.0, 55.4, 53.2, 52.7, 49.3, 43.0, 40.5, 38.5, 36.4, 33.7, 32.7, 32.0, 31.9, 27.2, 26.1, 18.7, 17.7, 16.6, 13.7, 11.6, 7.2 (3C), 5.5 (3C); HRESIMS m/z 623.4099 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₆H₆₀O₅SiNa, 623.4102).

(205)- 8β -Hydroxy- 3α -(4-methoxybenzyl)oxy- 7α -(triethylsilyl)oxy- 5α -pregnane-20-carbaldehyde (13). DMSO (55 μ L, 0.78 mmol), Et₃N (55 μ L, 0.39 mmol), and SO₃-pyridine (37.5 mg, 0.229 mmol)

were added to a solution of diol S5 (29.8 mg, 0.0496 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (0.50 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at rt for 7 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NH4Cl at 0 °C and extracted with Et₂O. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous KHSO4 and saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $20/1 \rightarrow 7/1$) to give aldehyde 13 (24.8 mg, 0.0414 mmol, 84%) as a colorless foam: $[\alpha]^{22}{}_{\rm D}$ +4.67 (*c* 1.08, CHCl₃); R_f = 0.57 (hexane/EtOAc = 3/1); IR (neat) 3545, 2936, 2873, 1722, 1613, 1513, 1457, 1362, 1301, 1246, 1170, 1148, 1128, 1086, 1037, 1011, 977, 943, 824, 791, 772, 742 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.56 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.45 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.60 (brs, 1H), 3.48 (brs, 1H), 2.36-2.30 (m, 1H), 2.25-2.17 (m, 1H), 1.93 (dt, J = 12.4, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.87–1.79 (m, 2H), 1.79–1.73 (m, 2H), 1.66–1.53 (m, 4H), 1.47–1.20 (m, 9H), 1.11–1.06 (m, 1H), 1.10 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.95 (s, 3H), 0.94 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 9H), 0.93 (s, 3H), 0.65-0.55 (m, 6H); the hydroxy proton was not observed probably due to the rapid exchange with contaminating H_2O ; ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 205.3, 158.9, 131.9, 128.7 (2C), 113.7 (2C), 77.2, 73.3, 73.0, 69.2, 55.4, 52.4, 51.6, 49.4, 49.3, 43.5, 40.4, 36.4, 33.7, 32.6, 32.0, 31.9, 26.6, 26.1, 19.0, 17.7, 14.0, 13.3, 11.7, 7.2 (3C), 5.5 (3C); HRESIMS m/z 621.3944 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₆H₅₈O₅SiNa, 621.3946).

(S)-2-Methyl-4-((1-phenyl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl)thio)butan-1-ol (16a).⁷ PPh₃ (269 mg, 1.02 mmol) and 1-phenyl-1H-tetrazole-5-thiol (PTSH) (179 mg, 1.00 mmol) were added to a solution of (S)-(-)-2methyl-1,4-butanediol (110 mg, 1.03 mmol) in dry THF (5.4 mL) at rt. The solution was cooled to -78 °C, and Diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (DIAD) (1.9 M in toluene, 0.56 mL, 1.06 mmol) was added. After stirring at -78 °C for 1 h, the reaction mixture was warmed to -20 °C over 1 h. After stirring at -20 °C for 2 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $5/1 \rightarrow 1/3$) to give sulfide **16a** (65.7 mg, 0.249 mmol, 25%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{24}{}_{\rm D} - 8.02$ (c 1.08, CHCl₃); $R_f = 0.55$ (hexane/EtOAc = 1/2); IR (neat) 3415, 2930, 2873, 1720, 1597, 1499, 1461, 1387, 1279, 1243, 1174, 1075, 1041, 1014, 983, 912, 762, 693 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.57–7.52 (m, 5H), 3.60 (dd, J = 11.0, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (dd, J = 11.0, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.48-3.38 (m, 2H), 2.14 (br, 1H, OH), 2.01–1.94 (m, 1H), 1.87–1.79 (m, 1H), 1.78-1.71 (m, 1H), 0.97 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.7, 133.8, 130.3, 129.9 (2C), 124.0 (2C), 67.4, 35.0, 33.0, 30.9, 16.5; HRESIMS m/z 287.0937 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for $C_{12}H_{16}N_4OSNa$, 287.0937).

(S)-5-((4-((4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy)-3-methylbutyl)thio)-1-phenyl-1H-tetrazole (S6a). La(OTf)₃ (14.3 mg, 0.0244 mmol) was added to a mixture of 4-methoxybenzyl-2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate (108 mg, 0.380 mmol) and sulfide 16a (65.7 mg, 0.249 mmol) in dry toluene (2.5 mL) at rt. After stirring at rt for 19 h, La(OTf)₃ (30.1 mg, 0.0514 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture. After stirring at rt for 4 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO3 at 0 °C and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $10/1 \rightarrow 3/$ 1) to give sulfide S6a (94.9 mg, 0.247 mmol, 99%) as a colorless foam: $[\alpha]_{D}^{24}$ –1.27 (c 1.01, CHCl₃); R_{f} = 0.49 (hexane/EtOAc = 2/1); IR (neat) 2956, 1726, 1611, 1513, 1499, 1462, 1387, 1302, 1246, 1174, 1089, 1035, 1015, 915, 824, 760, 694 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.59–7.51 (m, 5H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.4Hz, 2H), 4.42 (s, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.50-3.44 (m, 1H), 3.41-3.35 (m, 1H), 3.34-3.27 (m, 2H), 2.00-1.90 (m, 2H), 1.72-1.65 (m, 1H), 0.98 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.3, 154.6, 133.9, 130.6, 130.2, 129.9 (2C), 129.3 (2C), 124.0 (2C), 113.9 (2C), 74.9, 72.9, 55.4, 33.3, 33.0, 31.4, 16.9; HRESIMS m/z 407.1506 [M + Na^{+} (calcd for $C_{20}H_{24}N_4O_2SNa$, 407.1512).

(S)-5-((4-((4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy)-3-methylbutyl)sulfonyl)-1-phenyl-1H-tetrazole (14a). A solution of (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·4H₂O (66.2 mg, 0.0534 mmol) in H₂O₂ (30% in H₂O, 0.60 mL) was added to a solution of sulfide S6a (205 mg, 0.534 mmol) in EtOH (0.76 mL) at rt. After stirring at rt for 5.5 h, the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $7/1 \rightarrow 3/1$) to give sulfone 14a (198 mg, 0.475 mmol, 89%) as a colorless foam: $[\alpha]^2$ -6.90 (c 1.01, CHCl₃); $R_f = 0.54$ (hexane/EtOAc = 2/1); IR (neat) 3354, 2959, 1730, 1611, 1513, 1497, 1462, 1340, 1302, 1247, 1174, 1151, 1091, 1034, 918, 824, 770, 763, 689 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.68 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.65–7.57 (m, 3H), 7.24 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 4.42 (s, 2H), 3.84-3.73 (m, 5H), 3.37 (dd, J = 9.6, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.26 (dd, J = 9.6, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.10-2.02 (m, 1H), 2.00–1.92 (m, 1H), 1.90–1.82 (m, 1H), 0.98 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.4, 153.6, 133.2, 131.6, 130.3, 129.8 (2C), 129.4 (2C), 125.2 (2C), 114.0 (2C), 74.5, 73.0, 55.4, 54.5, 32.7, 26.4, 17.0; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 439.1411 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₂₀H₂₄N₄O₄SNa, 439.1410).

(25S)- 3α ,26-Bis(4-methoxybenzyl)oxy- 7α -(triethylsilyl)oxy- 5α cholest-22-en-8β-ol (15a). Aldehyde 13 (71.9 mg, 0.120 mmol) and sulfone 14a (150 mg, 0.360 mmol) were dissolved in freshly distilled THF (4.1 mL) and HMPA (1.2 mL) and cooled to -78 °C. A freshly prepared solution of KHMDS (0.5 M in freshly distilled THF, 0.72 mL, 0.36 mmol) was added to the above solution, and the mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 1 h. After stirring at rt for 1 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and quenched with saturated aqueous NH4Cl. The resultant mixture was extracted with Et2O, and the combined organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $24/1 \rightarrow 4/1$) to give olefin 15a (E/Z = 11:1, 48.2 mg, 0.0611 mmol, 51%) as a colorless foam: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ -15.0 (c 1.39, CHCl₃); $R_f = 0.48$ (hexane/EtOAc = 5/ 1); IR (neat) 3566, 2935, 2872, 1717, 1613, 1586, 1513, 1457, 1362, 1301, 1246, 1207, 1171, 1149, 1086, 1038, 1012, 974, 945, 908, 822, 792, 741, 724, 677 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR of *E*-isomer (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.28-7.24 (m, 4H), 6.89-6.84 (m, 4H), 5.29-5.17 (m, 2H), 4.45 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (s, 2H), 4.37 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 6H), 3.59 (brs, 1H), 3.48 (brs, 1H), 3.29 (dd, J = 8.9, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (dd, J = 8.9, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 2.23–2.18 (tt, J = 13.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.10–2.04 (m, 1H), 2.01–1.95 (m, 1H), 1.94 (dt, J = 12.4, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.86– 1.70 (m, 5H), 1.68-1.50 (m, 5H), 1.50-1.41 (m, 3H), 1.41-1.27 (m, 3H), 1.25-1.14 (m, 3H), 1.11-1.04 (m, 2H), 0.97 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 9H), 0.93 (s, 3H), 0.91 (s, 3H), 0.89 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.65–0.54 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR of E-isomer (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.2, 158.9, 138.7, 131.9, 131.1, 129.3 (2C), 128.7 (2C), 125.4, 113.9 (2C), 113.7 (2C), 77.4, 75.3, 73.2, 73.1, 72.8, 69.2, 56.5, 55.4 (2C), 53.0, 49.3, 42.8, 40.5, 39.9, 36.7, 36.4, 34.0, 33.7, 32.7, 32.0, 31.9, 28.2, 26.1, 20.6, 18.6, 17.7, 16.9, 13.8, 11.6, 7.2 (3C), 5.6 (3C); HRESIMS m/z 811.5303 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₄₉H₇₆O₆SiNa, 811.5303).

(25S)-7 α -(Triethylsilyl)oxy-5 α -cholest-22-ene-3 α ,8 β ,26-triol (**S7a**). DDQ (57.5 mg, 0.246 mmol) was added to a mixture of olefin 15a (48.2 mg, 0.0611 mmol, E/Z = 11:1) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (1.2 mL) and saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (0.12 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at rt for 20 min, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO3 and saturated aqueous Na2S2O3 After extraction with EtOAc, the combined organic layer was washed with H2O and saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $10/1 \rightarrow 1/1$) to give triol S7a (28.9 mg, 0.0526 mmol, 86%, E/Z =11:1) as a colorless foam: $[\alpha]_{D}^{26}$ –28.2 (c 1.44, CHCl₃); R_{f} = 0.10 (hexane/EtOAc = 5/1); IR (neat) 3394, 2935, 2873, 1716, 1508, 1457, 1414, 1372, 1231, 1216, 1146, 1087, 1002, 974, 944, 902, 868, 841 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.34–5.22 (m, 2H), 4.02 (brt, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (dd, J = 10.3, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (brs, 1H), 3.43 (dd, J = 10.3, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 2.17-2.10 (m, 1H), 2.07-1.97 (m, 2H), 1.95 (dt, J = 13.1, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.91–1.85 (m, 1H), 1.84 (td, J =

13.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 1.77–1.67 (m, 3H), 1.66–1.50 (m, 6H), 1.50–1.42 (m, 2H), 1.35–1.12 (m, 8H), 1.11–1.06 (m, 1H), 1.05 (dt, *J* = 13.8, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 0.98 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.97 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 9H), 0.92 (s, 6H), 0.90 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.64–0.55 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.8, 125.5, 77.3, 73.2, 68.2, 66.7, 56.5, 53.0, 49.4, 42.8, 40.5, 39.9, 36.6, 36.5, 36.2, 35.7, 33.1, 32.5, 31.5, 28.4, 28.2, 20.6, 18.6, 17.7, 16.6, 13.8, 11.3, 7.2 (3C), 5.5 (3C); HRESIMS *m*/*z* 571.4152 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₃H₆₀O₄SiNa, 571.4153).

Dipyridinium (25S)-8 β -Hydroxy-7 α -(triethylsilyl)oxy-5 α -cholest-22-ene- 3α , 26-diyl Disulfate (**S8a**). SO₃ · pyridine (11.1 mg, 0.0676 mmol) was added to a solution of triol S7a (3.8 mg, 6.9 μ mol, E/Z = 5:1) in freshly distilled pyridine (0.28 mL) at rt. After stirring at rt for 1 h, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Et₂O was then added to the residue and decanted to remove excess SO₃ · pyridine. The precipitates were dissolved in CHCl₃, and insoluble impurities were removed by decantation. The supernatant was concentrated under reduced pressure. Crude disulfate S8a (6.0 mg) thus obtained was used in the next reaction without further purification: $R_f = 0.27$ $(CHCl_3/MeOH = 5/2);$ ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.92 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 4H), 8.33 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.87 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 5.31-5.21 (m, 2H), 4.75 (brs, 1H), 3.98 (dd, J = 9.6, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (dd, J = 9.6, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.45 (brs, 1H), 2.22–2.11 (m, 2H), 2.05–1.96 (m, 2H), 1.96–1.85 (m, 2H), 1.85–1.77 (m, 2H), 1.74–1.54 (m, 5H), 1.54-1.41 (m, 4H), 1.35-1.23 (m, 3H), 1.23-1.16 (m, 2H), 1.16-1.01 (m, 3H), 0.96 (d, I = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.94–0.88 (m, 18H), 0.62– 0.50 (m, 6H). The two pyridinium protons were not observed probably due to the rapid exchange with contaminating H₂O.

Disodium (22E,25S)-7 α .8 β -Dihvdroxy-5 α -cholest-22-ene-3 α .26diyl Disulfate (2a). HF pyridine (70% HF in pyridine, 7 µL, 0.3 mmol) was added to a solution of crude disulfate S8a (6.0 mg) in MeOH (0.14 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at rt for 7 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with Et₃N at 0 °C and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by RP-pTLC (MeOH/H₂O = 2/1) afforded ammonium salt (4.6 mg) as a colorless foams. Undesired Zisomer was separated and removed at this point. A solution of the above ammonium salt (4.6 mg) in MeOH was passed through a column packed with Amberlite IR-120B cation exchange resin (Na⁺ form) pre-equilibrated with 1 M aqueous NaOH, H₂O, and MeOH. The column was eluted with MeOH, and the eluate was concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain (25S)-SAAF 2a (2.1 mg, 3.3 μ mol, 48% for the three steps) as a colorless foam: $[\alpha]_{D}^{26}$ -7.6 (c 0.23, MeOH); IR (neat) 3676, 2937, 2923, 2865, 2844, 1716, 1698, 1653, 1558, 1507, 1473, 1373, 1213, 1055, 1033, 1012, 908 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, D₂O) [chemical shifts were referred to trace MeOH (3.34 ppm for ¹H NMR, and 49.5 ppm for ¹³C NMR) as originally reported³] δ 5.42–5.36 (m, 2H), 4.66 (brs, 1H), 3.95 (dd, J = 9.6, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (dd, J = 9.6, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (brs, 1H), 2.10–2.06 (m, 1H), 2.04-1.99 (m, 2H), 1.96-1.94 (m, 1H), 1.92-1.79 (m, 5H), 1.76-1.70 (m, 1H), 1.63-1.53 (m, 6H), 1.50-1.45 (m, 1H), 1.40-1.33 (m, 1H), 1.32-1.12 (m, 6H), 0.99 (d, I = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 0.93 (d, I= 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.901 (s, 3H), 0.895 (s, 3H). The two hydroxy protons were not observed due to H/D exchange with the solvent; ${\rm ^{13}\dot{C}}$ NMR $(150 \text{ MHz}, D_2 \text{O})^a \delta$ 140.5, 125.3, 78.5, 77.4, 74.1, 72.1, 56.6, 53.9, 50.2, 43.2, 40.8, 39.9, 36.02, 35.99, 33.5, 33.3, 32.9, 32.6, 31.5, 28.4, 26.5, 20.3, 18.7, 18.0, 16.4, 13.5, 11.5; "chemical shifts were referred to trace MeOH (3.34 ppm for 1H NMR, and 49.5 ppm for $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR) as originally reported;³ HRESIMS m/z 296.1184 $[\dot{M} - 2Na]^{2-}$ (calcd for C₂₇H₄₄O₁₀S₂, 296.1182).

(*R*)-(+)-2-Methyl-1,4-butanediol. LiAlH₄ (1.13 g, 29.8 mmol) was added to a solution of (*R*)-(+)-methylsuccinic acid (1.20 g, 9.11 mmol) in dry THF (30 mL) at -78 °C. After stirring at rt for 5 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and treated with LiAlH₄ (700 mg, 18.4 mmol). After stirring at rt for 1 h, the reaction mixture was warmed to 60 °C. After stirring at 60 °C for 11.5 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and treated sequentially with H₂O (2.0 mL), 3 M aqueous NaOH (2.5 mL), and H₂O (6.0 mL). The resultant mixture was diluted with Et₂O and stirred for 15 min. The cake was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the filtrate was extracted with THF. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under

reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $1/1 \rightarrow 0/1$) to give diol (932 mg, 8.95 mmol, 98%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{26}_{D} + 22.1$ (*c* 1.05, CHCl₃); $R_f = 0.30$ (hexane/EtOAc = 0/1); IR (neat) 3318, 2928, 2359, 1458, 1220, 1036, 836, 773, 671 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.77–3.73 (m, 1H), 3.65–3.61 (m, 1H), 3.54 (dd, *J* = 10.2, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.40 (dd, *J* = 10.2, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (brs, 2H), 1.83–1.75 (m, 1H), 1.63–1.52 (m, 2H), 0.91 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 68.3, 61.1, 37.7, 34.3, 17.4; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 127.0714 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃H₁₂O₂Na, 127.0730).

(R)-2-Methyl-4-((1-phenyl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl)thio)butan-1-ol (16b). PPh₃ (1.77 g, 6.75 mmol) and PTSH (1.20 g, 6.75 mmol) were added to a solution of (R)-(+)-2-methyl-1,4-butanediol (740 mg, 7.11 mmol) in dry THF (18 mL) at rt. The resultant solution was cooled to -78 °C, and DIAD (1.9 M in toluene, 3.8 mL, 7.2 mmol) was added to the mixture. After stirring at -78 °C for 1 h, the reaction mixture was warmed to -20 °C over 2 h. After stirring at -20 °C for 2 h, the mixture was warmed to rt over 2 h. After stirring at rt for 30 min, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $5/1 \rightarrow 1/3$) to give sulfide 16b (392 mg, 1.48 mmol, 22%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}^{26}$ +8.95 (c 1.02, CHCl₃); R_{f} = 0.57 (hexane/EtOAc = 1/2); IR (neat) 3396, 2929, 2874, 1597, 1499, 1461, 1387, 1279, 1243, 1075, 1041, 1015, 984, 771, 763, 693 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.59–7.51 (m, 5H), 3.61 (dd, J = 11.4, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (dd, J = 11.4, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.48-3.39 (m, 2H), 2.01 (br, OH), 2.01-1.95 (m, 1H), 1.87-1.80 (m, 1H), 1.78-1.72 (m, 1H), 0.98 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.7, 133.8, 130.3, 129.9 (2C), 124.0 (2C), 67.4, 35.0, 33.1, 31.0, 16.5; HRESIMS m/z 287.0938 $[M + Na]^+$ (calcd for $C_{12}H_{16}N_4OSNa$, 287.0937)

(R)-5-((4-((4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy)-3-methylbutyl)thio)-1-phenyl-1H-tetrazole (S6b). La(OTf)₃ (87.4 mg, 0.149 mmol) was added to a solution of 4-methoxybenzyl-2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate (616 mg, 2.18 mmol) and sulfide 16b (385 mg, 1.45 mmol) in dry toluene (14 mL) at rt. After stirring at rt for 14 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO3 at 0 °C and then extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $10/1 \rightarrow 5/1$) to give sulfide S6b (499 mg, 1.30 mmol, 90%) as a colorless foam: $\left[\alpha\right]^{26}$ +1.41 (c 1.05, CHCl₃); $R_f = 0.52$ (hexane/EtOAc = 2/1); IR (neat) 2932, 1730, 1612, 1513, 1499, 1462, 1387, 1302, 1246, 1174, 1089, 1034, 1015, 914, 822, 774, 762, 693 $\rm cm^{-1};\ ^1H\ NMR\ (600\ MHz,$ $CDCl_3$) δ 7.58–7.51 (m, 5H), 7.24 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 4.42 (s, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.50-3.45 (m, 1H), 3.41-3.36 (m, 1H), 3.33–3.28 (m, 2H), 1.99–1.90 (m, 2H), 1.71–1.65 (m, 1H), 0.98 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.3, 154.6, 133.9, 130.7, 130.2, 129.9 (2C), 129.3 (2C), 124.0 (2C), 113.9 (2C), 75.0, 72.9, 55.4, 33.4, 33.0, 31.5, 17.0; HRESIMS m/z 407.1534 [M + Na^{+} (calcd for $C_{20}H_{24}N_4O_2SNa$, 407.1512).

(R)-5-((4-((4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy)-3-methylbutyl)sulfonyl)-1-phenyl-1H-tetrazole (14b). A solution of $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24}$ ·4H₂O (65.4 mg, 0.0529 mmol) in H_2O_2 (30% in H_2O_2 , 0.59 mL) was added to a solution of sulfide S6b (204 mg, 0.529 mmol) in EtOH (0.76 mL) at rt. After stirring at rt for 6 h, the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $7/1 \rightarrow 3/1$) to give sulfone 14b (201 mg, 0.482 mmol, 91%) as a colorless foam: $[\alpha]^{27}$ +6.83 (c 1.01, CHCl₃); $R_f = 0.54$ (hexane/EtOAc = 2/1); IR (neat) 2958, 1731, 1612, 1513, 1498, 1463, 1340, 1302, 1247, 1175, 1152, 1092, 1034, 822, 783, 768, 760, 689 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.66 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.61-7.56 (m, 3H), 7.24 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 4.42 (s, 2H), 3.82–3.73 (m, 5H), 3.37 (dd, J = 9.6, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.26 (dd, J = 9.6, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.09-2.03 (m, 1H), 1.98–1.93 (m, 1H), 1.88–1.81 (m, 1H), 0.97 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.2, 153.5, 133.1, 131.5,

130.3, 129.7 (2C), 129.3 (2C), 125.2 (2C), 113.9 (2C), 74.4, 72.8, 55.3, 54.4, 32.5, 26.3, 16.8; HRESIMS m/z 439.1423 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₂₀H₂₄N₄O₄SNa, 439.1410).

(25R)- 3α ,26-Bis(4-methoxybenzyl)oxy- 7α -(triethylsilyl)oxy- 5α cholest-22-en-8β-ol (15b). A mixture of aldehyde 13 (15.9 mg, 0.0265 mmol) and sulfone 14b (34.2 mg, 0.0821 mmol) was dissolved in freshly distilled THF (0.90 mL) and HMPA (0.27 mL) and cooled to -78 °C. A solution of KHMDS (0.5 M in freshly distilled THF, 0.16 mL, 0.080 mmol) was added to the above mixture and stirred at -78°C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for 1 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl. After extraction with Et₂O, the combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $24/1 \rightarrow 7/1$) to give olefin 15b ($E/Z \approx 6:1, 10.5$ mg. 0.0133 mmol, 50%) as a colorless foam: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ -14.7 (c 0.53, $CHCl_3$; $R_f = 0.46$ (hexane/EtOAc = 5/1); IR (neat) 3566, 2930, 2872, 1731, 1613, 1586, 1513, 1457, 1443, 1372, 1362, 1301, 1246, 1220, 1171, 1149, 1087, 1038, 1012, 973, 945, 908, 822, 772, 744, 724, 673 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR of *E*-isomer (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.28–7.24 (m, 4H), 6.89-6.84 (m, 4H), 5.28-5.15 (m, 2H), 4.45 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (s, 2H), 4.37 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 6H), 3.59 (brs, 1H), 3.48 (brs, 1H), 3.28 (dd, J = 8.9, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (dd, J = 8.9, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (tt, J = 13.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.13-2.05 (m, 1H), 2.03-1.91 (m, 2H), 1.86–1.70 (m, 5H), 1.68–1.50 (m, 5H), 1.50–1.41 (m, 3H), 1.41–1.24 (m, 6H), 1.12–1.04 (m, 2H), 0.96 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 9H), 0.93 (s, 3H), 0.91 (s, 3H), 0.89 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.65-0.54 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR of E-isomer (150 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 159.7, 159.5, 138.9, 132.3, 131.6, 129.3 (2C), 128.9 (2C), 126.1, 114.1 (2C), 114.0 (2C), 77.2, 75.2, 73.9, 73.6, 73.0, 69.7, 57.0, 54.8 (2C), 53.5, 49.9, 43.2, 41.0, 40.4, 37.1, 36.8, 34.5, 34.2, 33.1, 32.9, 32.4, 28.8, 26.1, 21.0, 18.8, 18.2, 17.2, 14.0, 12.0, 7.4 (3C), 5.9 (3C); HRESIMS m/z 811.5326 $[M + Na]^+$ (calcd for C₄₉H₇₆O₆SiNa, 811.5303).

(25R)-7 α -(Triethylsilyl)oxy-5 α -cholest-22-ene-3 α ,8 β ,26-triol (S7b). DDQ (17.5 mg, 0.0748 mmol) was added to a solution of olefin **15b** (19.6 mg, 0.0248 mmol, $E/Z \approx 6.1$) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (0.50 mL) and pH 7 buffer (0.10 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at rt for 20 min, the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO3 and saturated aqueous Na₂S₂O₃ at 0 °C and then extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with H₂O and saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc = $14/1 \rightarrow 1/1$) to give triol S7b (5.6 mg, 0.010 mmol, 41%, $E/Z \approx 6.1$) as a colorless foam: $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ – 52.2 (c 0.28, CHCl₃); R_{f} = 0.12 (hexane/EtOAc = 5/1); IR (neat) 3405, 2935, 2873, 1717, 1457, 1414, 1372, 1219, 1146, 1087, 1002, 974, 944, 902, 868, 841, 791 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.33–5.23 (m, 2H), 4.02 (brs, 1H), 3.50 (dd, J = 10.8, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (brs, 1H), 3.44 (dd, J = 10.8, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.14 (tt, J = 13.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.06 (dt, J = 13.4, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 2.04–1.98 (m, 1H), 1.95 (dt, J = 12.4, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.89– 1.80 (m, 2H), 1.78–1.43 (m, 12H), 1.33 (dd, J = 13.1, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.30-1.15 (m, 5H), 1.14-1.30 (m, 2H), 1.02 (brs, 1H), 0.98 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 9H), 0.91 (s, 6H), 0.90 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.65–0.55 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 138.8, 126.2, 77.2, 73.9, 67.8, 66.3, 56.9, 53.5, 49.9, 43.2, 41.0, 40.4, 36.9, 36.8, 36.5, 35.9, 33.5, 33.0, 31.7, 29.1, 28.7, 20.9, 18.8, 18.2, 16.7, 13.9, 11.7, 7.4 (3C), 5.8 (3C); HRESIMS m/z 571.4167 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for $C_{33}H_{60}O_4$ SiNa, 571.4153).

Dipyridinium (25R)-ββ-Hydroxy-7α-(triethylsilyl)oxy-5α-cholest-22-ene-3α,26-diyl Disulfate (**S8b**). SO₃·pyridine (17.0 mg, 0.104 mmol) was added to a solution of triol **S7b** (5.6 mg, 0.010 mmol, $E/Z \approx 6:1$) in freshly distilled pyridine (0.40 mL) at rt. After stirring at rt for 2 h, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Et₂O was added to the residue and decanted to remove excess SO₃·pyridine. The precipitates were dissolved in CHCl₃, and insoluble impurities were removed by decantation. The supernatant was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude disulfate **S8b** (17.1 mg, $E/Z \approx 6:1$) was used in the next reaction without further purification: $R_f = 0.20$ (CHCl₃/MeOH = 5/2); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 8.90 (brs, 4H), 8.72–8.66 (m, 2H), 8.17–8.11 (m, 4H), 5.31–5.20 (m, 2H), 4.61 (brs, 1H), 3.89–3.84 (m, 1H), 3.82–3.76 (m, 1H), 3.44 (brs, 1H), 2.25–2.07 (m, 2H), 2.07–1.78 (m, 6H), 1.76–1.58 (m, 5H), 1.58–1.35 (m, 5H), 1.35–1.02 (m, 6H), 1.02–0.91 (m, 21H), 0.65–0.60 (m, 6H); three protons (the pyridinium and the hydroxy protons) were not observed probably due to the H/D exchange with the solvent.

Disodium (22E,25R)- 7α ,8 β -Dihydroxy- 5α -cholest-22-ene- 3α ,26diyl Disulfate (2b). HF pyridine (70% HF in pyridine, 20 μ L, 0.76 mmol) was added to a solution of crude disulfate S8b (17.1 mg) in MeOH (0.20 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring at rt for 17.5 h, the reaction was quenched with Et₃N at 0 °C and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by RP-pTLC (MeOH/H₂O = 2/1) afforded an ammonium salt of desilylated product (6.4 mg) as a colorless foam. Undesired Z-isomer was separated and removed at this point. A solution of the above ammonium salt (6.4 mg) in MeOH was passed through a column packed with Amberlite IR-120B cation exchange resin (Na⁺ form) pre-equilibrated with 1 M aqueous NaOH, H₂O, and MeOH. The column was eluted with MeOH, and the eluate was concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain (25R)-SAAF 2b (4.7 mg, 7.4 μ mol, 72% for the three steps) as a colorless foam: $[\alpha]^{23}_{D}$ –4.1 (c 0.24, MeOH); IR (neat) 3445, 2939, 2871, 1716, 1647, 1558, 1541, 1507, 1456, 1373, 1227, 1055, 1033, 1011 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, D_2O [chemical shifts were referred to trace MeOH (3.34 ppm for ¹H NMR and 49.5 ppm for ¹³C NMR) as originally reported³] δ 5.42– 5.36 (m, 2H), 4.65 (brs, 1H), 3.92 (dd, J = 9.6, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (dd, J = 9.6, 5.4 Hz, 1H)J = 9.6, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (brs, 1H), 2.09–2.06 (m, 2H), 2.01–1.99 (m, 1H), 1.94-1.79 (m, 6H), 1.76-1.70 (m, 1H), 1.62-1.55 (m, 6H), 1.51-1.46 (m, 1H), 1.40-1.33 (m, 1H), 1.28-1.13 (m, 6H), 0.99 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.92 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 0.904 (s, 3H), 0.900 (s, 3H); two hydroxy protons were not observed probably due to the H/D exchange with the solvent; ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, D_2O)^a δ 140.4, 125.4, 78.4, 77.4, 74.2, 72.0, 56.6, 53.9, 50.2, 43.2, 40.8, 39.9, 36.0 (2C), 33.5, 33.4, 32.8, 32.6, 31.5, 28.4, 26.6, 20.4, 18.7, 18.0, 16.2, 13.6, 11.5; HRESIMS m/z 296.1193 $[M - 2Na]^{2-}$ (calcd for $C_{27}H_{44}O_{10}S_{2}$) 296.1182). "Chemical shifts were referred to trace MeOH (3.34 ppm for ¹H NMR, and 49.5 ppm for ¹³C NMR) as originally reported.

X-ray Crystallographic Analysis of 11. A colorless crystal of 11 was obtained from a mixture of CH_2Cl_2/n -hexane. Mo K α radiation (λ = 0.710 73 Å) was used for X-ray diffraction data collection (Supporting Information Table S1 for details): $C_{30}H_{46}O_5$, M = 486.67, orthorhombic, space group $P2_12_12_1$, a = 6.7944(14) Å, b =13.003(3) Å, c = 29.832(6) Å, $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$, $\beta = 90^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$, V =2635.6(9) Å³, Z = 4, ρ_{calc} = 1.226 g/m³, μ = 0.081 mm⁻¹, crystal size $0.10 \times 0.08 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}^3$, F(000) = 1064, 15 400 reflections collected, 6024 independent reflections ($R_{int} = 0.0512$), $R_1 = 0.0459 [I > 2\sigma(I)]$, $wR_2 = 0.0757 [I > 2\sigma(I)], R_1 = 0.0751$ (all data), $wR_2 = 0.0848$ (all data), goodness of fit = 1.030, Flack parameter = 0.8(9). The crystal structure was solved with SHELXS-97 and refined using SHELXL-97 with the assistance of KENX. Crystallographic data for 11 have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (deposition number 1813183). Copies of the data can be obtained, free of charge, on application to the Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (fax: + 44-(0)1223-336033 or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.jnat-prod.7b01052.

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of new synthetic compounds; details about X-ray crystallographic analysis of **11** (PDF) X-ray crystallographic data for **11** (CIF)

Article

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Tel: +81 92 802 4176. E-mail: oishi@chem.kyushu-univ.jp.

ORCID 💿

Nobuaki Matsumori: 0000-0003-0495-2044 Tohru Oishi: 0000-0002-3057-9354

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partly supported with grants from JST ERATO Lipid Active Structure.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Miller, R. L. Sperm Chemo-Orientation in the Metazoa. In Biology of Fertilization; Metz, C. B., Monroy, A., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1985; Vol. 2, pp 275–337. (b) Cosson, M. P. In Controls of Sperm Motility: Biological and Clinical Aspects; Gagnon, C., Ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1990; p 104. (c) Eisenbach, M. Rev. Reprod. 1999, 4, 56–66. (d) Morisawa, M.; Yoshida, M. Reprod. Med. Biol. 2005, 4, 101–114. (e) Yoshida, M.; Inaba, K. Sperm Chemotaxis in Urochordates. In Flagellar Mechanics and Sperm Guidance; Cosson, J. J., Ed.; Bentham Science Publishers: Sharjah, UAE, 2015; Chapter 3, pp 183–207.

(2) (a) Yoshida, M.; Inaba, K.; Morisawa, M. Dev. Biol. **1993**, 157, 497–506. (b) Yoshida, M.; Murata, M.; Inaba, K.; Morisawa, M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **2002**, 99, 14831–14836. (c) Oishi, T.; Tsuchikawa, H.; Murata, M.; Yoshida, M.; Morisawa, M. Tetrahedron Lett. **2003**, 44, 6387–6389. (d) Oishi, T.; Tsuchikawa, H.; Murata, M.; Yoshida, M.; Morisawa, M. Tetrahedron **2004**, 60, 6971–6980.

(3) Matsumori, N.; Hiradate, Y.; Shibata, H.; Oishi, T.; Shimma, S.; Toyoda, M.; Hayashi, F.; Yoshida, M.; Murata, M.; Morisawa, M. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 294–297.

(4) Yoshida, M.; Hiradate, Y.; Sensui, N.; Cosson, J.; Morisawa, M. *Biol. Bull.* **2013**, 224, 156–165.

(5) Murakami, N.; Sugimoto, M.; Morita, M.; Kobayashi, M. Chem. -Eur. J. **2001**, *7*, 2663–2670.

(6) Scherlitz-Hofmann, I.; Dubs, M.; Prousa, R.; Schönecker, B.; Droescher, P.; Schick, H.; Schrötter, E. *Synthesis* **1999**, 1999, 1331–1334.

(7) Giroux, S.; Corey, E. J. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 801-802.

(8) Rai, A. N.; Basu, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 2267-2269.

(9) Davey, C. W.; McGinnis, E. L.; McKeown, J. M.; Meakins, G. D.; Pemberton, M. W.; Young, R. N. J. Chem. Soc. C **1968**, 0, 2674–2682.

(10) Minato, M.; Yamamoto, K.; Tsuji, J. J. Org. Chem. **1990**, 55, 766–768.

(11) (a) Souppe, J.; Danon, L.; Namy, J. L.; Kagan, H. B. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 250, 227–236. (b) Molander, G. A.; Kenny, C. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 2132–2134. (c) Chiara, J. L.; Cabri, W.; Hanessian, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 1125–1128.

(12) For a recent review, see: Suzuki, K.; Tamiya, M. Pinacol Coupling Reactions. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, 2nd ed.; Knochel, P., Molander, G. A., Eds.; Elsevier: Oxford, 2014; Vol. 3, pp 580–620.

(13) (a) Liu, P.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 10772– 10773. (b) Jiang, B.; Shi, H.; Xu, M.; Wang, W.; Zhou, W. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 9738–9744. (c) Chatterjee, B.; Bera, S.; Mondal, D. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2014, 25, 1–55.

(14) For reviews, see: (a) Blakemore, P. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2002, 2563–2585. (b) Aïssa, C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 2009, 1831–1844.

(15) Bartholomäus, R.; Dommershausen, F.; Thiele, M.; Karanjule, N. S.; Harms, K.; Koert, U. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 7423–7436.