Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmcl

sessed

а

of selectivity for VMAT2.8

# Quinlobelane: A water-soluble lobelane analogue and inhibitor of VMAT2

Ashish P. Vartak, A. Gabriela Deaciuc, Linda P. Dwoskin, Peter A. Crooks \*

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, University of Kentucky, 725 Rose Street, Lexington, KY 40536, United States

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 February 2010 Revised 23 April 2010 Accepted 27 April 2010 Available online 4 May 2010

Keywords: Dopamine transport VMAT2 Lobeline analogs

# ABSTRACT

Replacing the phenyl groups in the structure of the VMAT2 inhibitor, lobelane with either pyridyl, quinolyl or indolyl groups affords novel analogues with improved water solubility. The synthetic methodologies reported herein also underscore the paucity of hydrogenation methods that offer selectivity in the synthesis of the different classes of heteroaromatic lobelane analogues. The quinolyl group was the only replacement for the phenyl group in lobelane that retained VMAT2 inhibition.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

for the

Lobelane (1, N-methyl-cis-2,6-diphenethylpiperidine) is a minor alkaloid present in Lobelia inflata, and a defunctionalized analog of lobeline (2), the major alkaloid of *L. inflata*, (Fig. 1). Mid-20th century investigations into the pharmacology of lobelane by Foster et al. revealed a peripheral spasmolytic, 'central stimulating' action using guinea pigs, and marked respiratory analeptic activity in dogs.<sup>1</sup> Lobeline inhibits (+)-methamphetamine (METH) selfadministration in rats, and the effect was not surmounted by METH, indicating a noncompetitive mechanism of inhibition. Repeated administration of lobeline in rats prior to (+)-METH selfadministration also prevents (+)-METH-induced dopaminergic toxicity.<sup>2</sup> The underlying neurochemical mechanism of action of lobeline is believed to be due to reversible inhibition of the vesicular monoamine transporter-2 (VMAT2). Since VMAT2 sequesters cytosolic dopamine (DA) into synaptic vesicles, thereby preventing metabolism by monoamine oxidase (MAO), VMAT2 inhibition by lobeline leads to increased metabolism of DA into dihydroxyphenyl acetic acid (DOPAC) and reduced levels of cytosolic DA.<sup>3</sup> METH inhibits VMAT2 and MAO, leading to increased cytosolic DA levels. METH also reverses DA transporter function, leading to increases in extracellular DA levels). The psychological excitation or 'high' produced by METH is the result of the increased extracellular DA concentrations as an outcome of the actions of METH. One possible explanation for the lobeline-induced attenuation of METH selfadministration is that the reduction in cytosolic DA produced by lobeline renders METH ineffective as a stimulant. As an outcome of these preclinical studies, lobeline is currently being evaluated in Phase II clinical trials as a treatment for METH abuse.<sup>4</sup>

The development of lobeline as a therapeutic agent to treat METH-addiction is complicated by its additional inhibitory effects

on the  $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$  and  $\alpha 6^*$  nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs). Glennon and co-workers reported that deoxygenation and reduc-

tion of **2** attenuated its activity at nAChRs, with the fully reduced

analog 1 binding with a >10,000-fold lower affinity to nAChRs

when compared to lobeline.<sup>5</sup> A rigorous study into the pharmacol-

ogy of 1 and its aryl-substituted analogues revealed that 1 posfivefold improvement in affinity

dihydrotetrabenazine (DTBZ) binding site on VMAT2.67 Replace-

ment of the phenyl groups in lobelane with anisyl and naphthyl moieties significantly improved affinity for VMAT2 with retention

Lobelane and its analogues were recently reported to potently

inhibit vesicular DA uptake by VMAT2, as well as inhibit METH-

evoked DA release, with lobelane being 10-15-fold more potent than lobeline in these studies.<sup>9,10</sup> Based on this work, lobelane

was considered a lead candidate for the development of VMAT2

inhibitors as therapeutics for psychostimulant abuse. The evalua-

tion of lobelane in vivo for its behavioral effects in rats was limited

somewhat by the low water solubility of its salt forms. A subse-

quent systematic investigation of lobelane analogues was initiated with the aim of discovering analogs possessing improved water

solubility, as well inhibition and selectivity at VMAT2.

Figure 1. Structures of lobelane (1) and lobeline (2).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 859 257 1718; fax: +1 859 257 7585. E-mail address: pcrooks@email.uky.edu (P.A. Crooks).

Ċн, ĊНа 1. Lobelane 2. Lobeline

<sup>0960-894</sup>X/\$ - see front matter © 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2010.04.117



**Scheme 1.** Initial synthetic plan for the preparation of heteroaromatic analogs of lobelane; the 3-pyridyl analog is illustrated as an example.



**Scheme 2.** Reagents and conditions for the synthesis of **5**: (a)  $H_2SO_4$ , dimethoxypropane, MeOH, reflux, 4 h, 100%; (b)  $H_2$ , Pd–C, MeOH, 12 h, bicarbonate workup, 88% de; (c) crystallization from EtOAc, 70%, 100% de; (d) Boc<sub>2</sub>O (10 equiv), DMAP (50 mol %), 8 h, 98%.

This report focuses on the substitution of the side-chain phenyl groups of lobelane with various heteroaromatic moieties and a study of the resultant effects on water solubility and VMAT2 inhibition. Initial attempts at synthesizing heteroaromatic analogues of lobelane were focused on the development of an advanced 2,6-disubstituted piperidine intermediate that would be amenable to condensation with a suitably functionalized heteroaromatic ring. Toward this end, the synthesis of either a dialdehyde such as **3**, or a phosphonium salt such as **4** was undertaken (Scheme 1).

In the synthesis of **3**, the preparation of the key precursor **5** (Scheme 2), was accomplished through an adaptation of the method reported by Chenevert and Morin.<sup>11</sup> Reduction of the ester functions of 5 yielded the diol 6 (Scheme 3), which underwent conversion over 2-3 days at ambient temperature to 7. Exposure of **6** to silica gel during a chromatographic purification attempt led to complete conversion to 7 within 15 min, suggesting a Brønsted acid-mediated 5-exo-tet cyclization involving the ejection of a molecule of  $H_2O$  and isobutylene. Exposure of **6** to an equivalent of NaOCH<sub>3</sub> in MeOH for 60 min led to negligible amounts of 7, which precludes the possibility of a nucleophilic mechanism that involves attack of the hydroxyl function on the carbamate carbonyl in the spontaneous conversion of 6 to 7. Lastly, no *t*-butyl alcohol was detected in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of a sample of 6 that had spontaneously converted into 7. Treatment of diol 6 (immediately following its preparation) with tosyl chloride and lutidine led to the crystalline ditosylate 8, which also underwent cyclization in both protic and aprotic solvents at ambient temperature, forming 9 and an equivalent of Ts-OH (Scheme 3). The ditosvlate 8 was stable in its solid form, and could be stored in the freezer (-20 °C). Unfortunately, a nucleophilic coupling of 8 with 2- or 3-lithio and 2- or 3-sodio picoline could not be achieved, even in the presence of  $Cu^+$  (as CuOTf). Treatment of **8** with Ph<sub>3</sub>P at ambient temperature did not result in the formation of the required phosphonium salt, and increasing the reaction temperature led to rapid formation of 9. Displacement of the tosylate moieties with halides was also accompanied by cyclization, and Swern or



**Scheme 3.** Reagents and conditions for the attempted synthesis of **3**: (a) NaBH<sub>4</sub>– LiCl, DME–H<sub>2</sub>O (6:1), 50 °C, 12 h, 70%; (b) TsCl, 2,6-lutidine, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 8 h, 90%; (c) 3picoline (2 equiv), LDA (2 equiv), THF, -78 °C to rt; (d). SOCl<sub>2</sub>, DMSO, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -78 °C, 15 min then Et<sub>3</sub>N, 15 min; (e) DMSO, DCC, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C, 10 min. NOEs considered as proof of relative configuration are depicted as biheaded arrows.



Scheme 4. Synthesis of target heterocyclic analogs of 1.

Moffat oxidation of **6** led unfortunately to a mixture of the *dl* and *meso* diastereomers of **10**. Analogous sets of results were obtained with the Cbz, acetyl and 2,2,2-trichloroethoxycarbonyl (Troc)-protected analogues of compounds **5–10**. At this point, it was concluded that the close proximity of the functional groups at C2- and C6- of the piperidine ring in compounds such as **6** and **8** to the *N*-protective group, and the likely existence of conformations that favor cyclization reactions, would limit the utility of such synthons in the preparation of the required heteroaromatic analogues of **1**.

These unsuccessful attempts at utilizing piperidine-based synthons prompted the delay the hydrogenation of the central core unit until the heteroaromatic appendages had been attached. Lee and Freudenberg have synthesized analogues of 1 through the condensation of 2,6-lutidine with various aromatic aldehydes, forming 2,6-distyryl derivatives, followed by N-methylation and catalytic hydrogenation.<sup>12</sup> Since in our case, side-chain azaheteroaromatic moieties such as pyridyl and quinolyl, would be susceptible to Nmethylation, the methiodide of 2,6-lutidine (11a) was employed as the starting point in the synthetic sequence. Condensation of **11a** with pyridine-3-carboxaldehyde proceeded quantitatively to afford **12** as a bright yellow crystalline solid (Scheme 4).<sup>13</sup> Attempts at hydrogenation of 12 revealed that the iodide counterion completely poisoned both Pd- and Pt-based catalysts. Therefore the corresponding tosylate 13, which underwent nonselective hydrogenation in methanol, was prepared, yielding a complex mixture resulting from reduction of the side-chain pyridine moiety in

Table 1
Reagents and conditions for the synthesis of 14, and 21-24

Het	Conditions-A	Yield	Conditions-B	Yield
3-Pyridyl 4-Pyridyl 2-Quinolyl 4-Quinolyl 3-Indolyl 2-Furgl	NaOH (2 equiv), H <sub>2</sub> O, 5 min NaOH (2 equiv), H <sub>2</sub> O, 5 min NaOH (2 equiv), 50% EtOH, 8 h DIPEA, 50% EtOH, 40 °C, 12 h DIPEA, EtOH, reflux, 8 h	100% (13) 63% (15) 90% (16) 47% (17) 80% (18)	Pd–C, EtOAc, 4 h, 50 psi Pd–C, EtOAc, 24 h, 50 psi Pt sponge, <sup>16</sup> AcOH (2 equiv), EtOH, 12 h, 30 psi Pd–C, AcOH (2 equiv), EtOH, 72 h, 50 psi Pd–C, NaOH (2 equiv), EtOH, 72 h, 50 psi	43% (14) <sup>14</sup> 80% (21) <sup>15</sup> 35% (22) <sup>17</sup> 52% (23) <sup>18</sup> 18% (24) <sup>19</sup>
2-Thienyl	Ac <sub>2</sub> O, TsOH, DMAP, rt, 1 h	16% <b>(20)</b>	Unsurmountable catalyst poisoning	NA

#### Table 2

Pharmacological activity at VMAT2 of analogues 14, 21-24 in comparison with 1

Analogue	$[^{3}H]$ -DTBZ; $K_{i} \pm SEM (\mu M)$	VMAT2 [ <sup>3</sup> H]DA uptake K <sub>i</sub> ± SEM (μM)
1.0.5 H₂SO4 14 21	0.97 ± 0.19 33.3 ± 16.3 5.87 ± 1.72	$0.045 \pm 0.002$ $3.72 \pm 0.32$ $5.23 \pm 3.03$
22 · HCl 23 · HCl 24 · C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>4</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	0.96 ± 0.37 2.64 ± 1.41 26.01 ± 2.88	$\begin{array}{c} 0.067 \pm 0.015 \\ 0.051 \pm 0.005 \\ 2.13 \pm 0.28 \end{array}$

addition to the desired reduction of the central pyridinium and olefinic moieties. Addition of CHCl<sub>3</sub> as an inhibitor caused a decrease in reaction rate, but there was no indication of improved selectivity with either Pd–C or PtO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. The use of EtOAc as a solvent with Pd-C as the hydrogenation catalyst, however, led to the formation of 14 with negligible amounts of bi-products. These conditions could be extended to the 4-pyridyl pyridinium analogue 15, but a substantially longer reaction time was required. It was not possible to obtain a 'general' set of conditions for the synthesis of all of the target heteroaromatic analogues, as optimization or complete development of reaction conditions was necessary for each type of heterocyclic moiety (Table 1). Chemoselective hydrogenation of the furyl derivative **19** was not possible, since the furyl ring underwent preferential hydrogenation over the olefinic moieties. Also, hydrogenation of the thienyl analogue **20** was not possible due to strong catalyst poisoning by the divalent sulfur. This poisoning was insurmountable, even after addition of excess catalyst (500 wt %), use of various Pd and Pt catalysts, and increases in reaction temperature (oil bath, H<sub>2</sub>-balloon). Compounds 14, and 21–24 were converted to their hydrochloride or fumarate salts prior to pharmacological characterization. These salts were found to be freely soluble in water.

The current synthetic studies have been successful in affording 5 heteroaromatic analogues of lobelane, but have revealed a lack of a general practical synthetic methodology for the preparation of heteromeric lobelane analogues of different classes, especially with respect to the terminal hydrogenation procedure. Particularly lacking is a suitable catalyst that is resistant to the poisoning effects of analogues containing thienyl rings.

The ability of lobelane-derived analogues to bind to the [<sup>3</sup>H]DTBZ-binding site on VMAT2 may not be an accurate measure of their ability to inhibit VMAT2 function.<sup>8</sup> In light of these findings, the above heteroaromatic analogues were examined for their ability to inhibit both the uptake of [<sup>3</sup>H]DA into synaptic vesicles, and for their affinity for the [<sup>3</sup>H]DTBZ-binding site on VMAT2 (Table 2). The 3-pyridinyl analogue **14** was about 30-fold less potent than lobelane in binding to the [<sup>3</sup>H]DTBZ binding site, while the 4-pyridinyl analogue **21** was marginally lower in binding affinity when compared to lobelane. The quinolyl analogues, **22** and **23**, bound to the [<sup>3</sup>H]DTBZ binding site almost as avidly as lobelane, with the 2-quinolyl analogue, **24**, exhibited greatly diminished affinity for the [<sup>3</sup>H]DTBZ binding site. From these data, it would seem

that the putative binding surface of these analogues at the [<sup>3</sup>H]DTBZ binding site is topologically well-defined with rigid structural requirements for ligand interaction. This bodes well for the future design of ligands that are selective for this binding site. The substantial inhibitory activity of the quinolyl analogues, **22** and **23**, is interesting since we have previously shown that the corresponding naphthyl analogues also bind avidly to the [<sup>3</sup>H]DTBZ-binding site on VMAT2.<sup>6</sup> Thus, this indicates that the quinolyl analogues interact with the binding site in a similar manner to the naphthyl analogues and the presence of the aza atom does not compromise the interaction with the binding site. The diminished activity of the 3-indolyl analogue **24** may well be due to the H-bonding donor properties of the indolyl rings, which may interfere with binding.

The pyridinyl analogues **14** and **21** were 100-fold lower in potency when compared to lobelane in inhibiting [<sup>3</sup>H]DA uptake into synaptic vesicles (Table 2), while the 2- and 4-quinolyl analogs **22** and **23**, respectively, were equipotent with lobelane. The 3-indolyl analogue **24** also had 100-fold lower inhibitory potency when compared to lobelane in this assay. Thus, the potency of these analogues for inhibition of [<sup>3</sup>H]DA uptake into synaptic vesicles appears to depend largely on the overall stereo-character of the heteroaromatic moiety, rather than the specific location of charge or H-bond donor or acceptor elements.

There was no obvious relationship between the ability of analogues **14** and **21–24** to bind to the [<sup>3</sup>H]DTBZ binding site on VMAT2 and their ability to inhibit VMAT2 function. The lack of relationship is consistent with our earlier observations in the pyrrolidine series of analogues of lobelane, and reinforces our earlier inference that affinity of lobelane-derived analogues for the [<sup>3</sup>H]DTBZ binding site does not necessarily predict their ability to inhibit VMAT2.

The isomeric quinolines **22** and **23** exhibit pharmacological profiles similar to those of lobelane with regards to their interaction with the binding site and ability to inhibit VMAT2. However, **22** and **23** have improved water solubility characteristics compared to lobelane. In particular, the trihydrochloride salt of **23** (termed 'Quinlobelane') has an aqueous solubility of  $\ge 20 \text{ mg/mL}$  in water when compared to **1**, whose aqueous solubility is only 0.3 mg/ mL. Thus, one of the primary objectives of identifying analogues of lobelane as VMAT2 inhibitors with improved water solubility characteristics has been met, and quinlobelane has been advanced to behavioral testing.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the National Institutes of Health, NIDA Grant DA013519 for financial support.

### **References and notes**

- 1. Foster, R. H.; Moench, K.; Lucille, J.; Clark, H. C. J. Pharmacol. 1946, 87, 73.
- 2. Harrod, S. B.; Dwoskin, L. P.; Crooks, P. A.; Klebaur, J. E.; Bardo, M. T. J.
- Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2001, 298, 172.
- 3. Dwoskin, L. P.; Crooks, P. A. Biochem. Pharmacol. 2002, 63, 89.
- 4. http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00439504?term=lobeline&rank=7.

- 5. Flammia, D.; Dukat, M.; Damaj, M. I.; Martin, B.; Glennon, R. A. J. Med. Chem. **1999**, 42, 3726.
- Miller, D. K.; Crooks, P. A.; Zheng, G.; Grinevich, V. P.; Norrholm, S. D.; Dwoskin, L. P. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2004, 310, 1035.
- Zheng, G.; Dwoskin, L. P.; Deaciuc, A. G.; Norrholm, S. D.; Crooks, P. A. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 5551.
  Zheng, G.: Dwoskin, L. P.: Deaciuc, A. G.: Crooks, P. A. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.
- Zheng, G.; Dwoskin, L. P.; Deaciuc, A. G.; Crooks, P. A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2008, *18*, 6509.
  Nickell J. R.: Krishnamurthy, S.: Northolm, S.: Deaciuc, G.: Zheng, G.: Crooks, P.
- 9. Nickell, J. R.; Krishnamurthy, S.; Norrholm, S.; Deaciuc, G.; Zheng, G.; Crooks, P. A.; Dwoskin, L. P. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. **2009**, 332, 612.
- Vartak, A. P.; Nickell, J. R.; Chagkutip, J.; Dwoskin, L. P.; Crooks, P. A. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 7878.
- 11. Chenevert, R.; Morin, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1996, 7, 2161.
- 12. Lee, J.; Freudenberg, W. J. Org. Chem. **1944**, 9, 537.
- 13. Fichera, M.; Fortuna, C. G.; Giuseppe, I.; Musumarra, G. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, 1, 145.
- 14. Characterization data for **14**: Clear oil;  $R_{\rm f}$  = 0.4 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/EtOAc/Et<sub>3</sub>N, 1:1:0.2) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm 8.28–8.17 (m, 4H), 7.80–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.35 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.86–2.50 (m, 6H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.62–1.41 (m, 10H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm 149.2, 149.0, 139.5, 138.2, 128.3, 66.3, 42.8, 34.0, 32.8, 32.2, 22.9; EI-MS m/z 309.1 (M)<sup>+</sup>, 203.1 ( $\alpha$ -fragmentation).
- Characterization data for 21: Clear oil; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.7 (EtOAc/EtOH/Et<sub>3</sub>N, 10:1:0.5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ ppm 8.41 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 4H), 7.49 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 4H), 2.63 (t, J = 5.2 Hz, 4H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 2.34–2.07 (m, 2H), 1.71–1.39 (m, 10H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ ppm 154.1, 148.3, 123.0, 68.1, 41.5, 33.8, 33.5, 31.4, 22.0; El-MS m/z 309.1 (M)\*, 203.1 (α-fragmentation).

- 16. 'Pt sponge' was generated by shaking PtO<sub>2</sub> in EtOH under an atmosphere of  $H_2$  (30 psi) for 15 min. It was observed that the use of Pt-sponge generated in this manner, instead of co-activation with the substrate, resulted in a faster and cleaner reaction.
- 17. Characterization data for **22·HCI**: crystalline solid; mp = 102–104 °C; *R*<sub>f</sub> (free base) = 0.3 (toluene/EtOH/NH<sub>4</sub>OH, 10:1:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ ppm 8.41 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 2H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 5.3 Hz, 2H), 7.82–7.61 (m, 6H), 7.03 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 2H), 2.81–2.42 (m, 4H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 2.31–2.17 (m, 2H), 1.65–1.40 (m, 10H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ ppm 161.8, 150.2, 137.0, 131.4, 130.1, 128.5, 128.4, 125.7, 123.9, 68.8, 42.2, 34.0, 33.1, 32.6, 21.2; EI-MS *m/z* 409.3 (M)<sup>+</sup>, 253.2 (α-fragmentation).
- Characterization data for 23·HCI: crystalline solid; mp = 98–100 °C; *R*<sub>f</sub> (free base) = 0.25 (toluene/EtOH/NH<sub>4</sub>OH, 10:1:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ ppm 8.64 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 8.22–8.19 (m, 2H), 8.02–7.91(m, 2H), 7.74–7.53 (m, 4H), 7.28 (s, br, 2H), 2.52–2.48 (m, 4H), 2.23 (s, 3H), 2.21–2.08 (m, 2H), 1.65–1.30 (m, 10H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ ppm 152.0, 150.8, 144.6, 131.1, 130.9, 128.6, 128.2, 124.0, 120.3, 70.1, 38.2, 33.7, 32.0, 29.3, 22.4; EI-MS *m/z* 409.3 (M)<sup>+</sup>, 253.2 (α-fragmentation).
- Characterization data for 24-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub> white solid; mp = 80-82 °C, *R*<sub>f</sub> (free base) = 0.5 (EtOAc/Et<sub>3</sub>N, 9:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ ppm 7.64-7.04 (m, 8H), 7.20 (s, 2H), 6.63 (s, 2H, fumaric acid), 2.38-2.23 (m, 4H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.30-2.18 (m, 2H), 1.83-1.22 (m, 10H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ ppm 165.8 (COOH, fumaric acid), 138.1, 128.2, 127.7, 124.2, 124.0, 120.0, 118.4, 110.3, 109.5, 67.1, 41.1, 35.5, 32.1, 26.0, 21.8; EI-MS *m/z* 385.3 (M)<sup>+</sup>, 241.2 (α-fragmentation), 144.1, 116.1.