Second-Generation Tryptamine Derivatives Potently Sensitize **Colistin Resistant Bacteria to Colistin**

Bradley M. Minrovic,[†] Veronica B. Hubble,[†] William T. Barker,[†] Leigh A. Jania,[‡] Roberta J. Melander,[†] Beverly H. Koller,[‡] and Christian Melander^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, United States [‡]Department of Genetics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Antibiotic resistance has significantly increased since the beginning of the 21st century. Currently, the polymyxin colistin is typically viewed as the antibiotic of last resort for the treatment of multidrug resistant Gram-negative bacterial infections. However, increased colistin usage has resulted in colistin-resistant bacterial isolates becoming more common. The recent dissemination of plasmid-borne colistin resistance genes (mcr 1-8) into the human pathogen pool is further threatening to render colistin therapy ineffective. New methods to combat antibiotic resistant pathogens are needed. Herein, the utilization of a colistin-adjuvant combination that is effective against colistin-resistant bacteria is described. At 5 μ M, the lead adjuvant, which is nontoxic to the bacteria alone, increases colistin efficacy 32-fold against bacteria containing the mcr-1 gene and effects a 1024-fold increase in colistin efficacy against bacteria harboring chromosomally encoded colistin resistance determinants;



adjuvant

these combinations lower the colistin minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) to or below clinical breakpoint levels ($<2 \mu g$ / mL).

KEYWORDS: Antibiotic resistance, multidrug resistant bacteria, colistin, antibiotic adjuvant

he impact of antibiotics cannot be understated; they have significantly contributed to the quality of life since the discovery of penicillin in the 1930s.¹ Despite the subsequent discovery of numerous antibiotic classes spanning multiple modes of action, bacteria have acquired resistance to every antibiotic in the clinician's arsenal. Antibiotic resistance will likely continue to become more common, as antibiotic usage rose 65% from 2000 to 2016, and history has shown us that resistance is more likely to be acquired when antibiotic consumption is increased.² Currently, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimates approximately two million people present with an antibiotic resistant infection annually in the U.S., and the death toll from these infections is estimated to be at least 23,000.³ A majority of these fatal infections are caused by the ESKAPE pathogens: the Gram-positive bacteria Enterococcus faecium and Staphylococcus aureus, and the Gramnegative bacteria Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, <u>P</u>seudomonas aeruginosa, and <u>Enterobacter spp.</u>

The arduous nature of developing effective therapies to treat infections caused by multidrug-resistant (MDR) Gramnegative bacteria has been well documented⁴ and is hampered by the presence of both intrinsic and acquired resistance mechanisms that can render bacteria resistant to nearly, if not all, antibiotics on the market.⁵ Indeed, in 2017, a woman in the United States succumbed to septic shock that stemmed from an infection caused by a strain of K. pneumoniae that was resistant to 26 antibiotics, including all aminoglycosides and polymyxins tested.⁶

The escalation of carbapenem resistance in Gram-negative bacteria has resulted in the increased usage of the "last resort" antibiotic colistin, a macrocylic cationic polypeptide with a bactericidal mechanism that involves direct physical disruption of the Gram-negative outer membrane.⁷ Colistin is, however, nephrotoxic with ca. 25% of patients who are administered a full regimen experiencing some degree of nephrotoxicity (this does not include patients whose regimen was halted prematurely due to toxicity).⁸ For this reason, physicians have traditionally avoided the use of colistin; however, the rise in resistance to other more well-tolerated antibiotic classes has forced colistin usage as of late. Prior to 2016, all known clinical resistance to colistin was mediated by chromosomally located genes. This situation changed dramatically with a report in 2016 of a patient presenting with a colistin-resistant Escherichia *coli* infection, in which the gene (mcr-1) encoding the colistin resistance machinery was located on a plasmid,⁹ raising the possibility that colistin resistance could become rapidly spread through lateral gene transfer. Indeed, mcr-1 and its variant genes (mcr-2-8) have now been reported globally.¹⁰ The mcrgene encodes a phosphoethanolamine transferase that covalently modifies lipid A with phosphoethanolamine, which serves to decrease the overall net negative charge of the

Received: March 26, 2019 Accepted: April 12, 2019

membrane and greatly impacts the ability of colistin to disrupt the bacterial membrane due to decreased electrostatic interactions.¹¹

As the antibiotic pipeline for the treatment of MDR Gramnegative infections is sparse, the development of novel approaches to combat these bacteria are warranted. One such method is the antibiotic-adjuvant approach, in which a conventional antibiotic is codosed with a nontoxic small molecule that increases the antibiotic's efficacy.^{12,13} In a previous study from our lab, tryptamine derivative **1a** (Figure 1) exhibited a 32-fold and a 2-fold reduction in the colistin



Figure 1. Active adjuvants that reduce the MIC of colistin against various MDR Gram-negative bacteria.

minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) against Francisella philomiragia and F. novicida, respectively, when tested at 50 μ M.¹⁴ Additional indole and 2-aminoimidazole (2-AI) derivatives (2, 3, and 4, Figure 1) have also demonstrated the ability to potentiate colistin activity against several MDR Gram-negative bacterial strains.^{15–17} Building upon these initial studies, we report the synthesis and screening of 32 novel tryptamine derivatives for their ability to enhance colistin efficacy against a range of MDR Gram-negative bacteria. During these studies, we identified seven compounds that, at 30 μ M or less, increased colistin efficacy, reducing the MIC below the susceptible breakpoints defined by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2 μ g/mL for the bacteria tested).¹⁸ The lead compound from this series, at 5 μ M, elicited a 1024-fold reduction of the colistin MIC against a chromosomally resistant K. pneumoniae strain, reducing the MIC from 512 to 0.5 μ g/mL. Herein, we describe the structure-activity relationship (SAR) study that led to the discovery of these novel small molecule adjuvants that increase colistin efficacy in vitro.

We first evaluated compound 1a for its ability to potentiate colistin against three representative Gram-negative strains that contain the *mcr-1* plasmid as well as their parent strains (Table 1).¹⁹ This compound showed modest activity and, at 50 μ M, reduced the colistin MICs between two- and 16-fold, with the greatest activity being a 16-fold MIC reduction observed against the parent *E. coli* strain. In a parallel SAR study on compound 2, we noted that replacement of the halogenated pyrrole with diverse halogenated heterocycles abrogated colistin potentiation activity against several of the same bacterial strains.¹⁵ Therefore, it was interesting that compound 1a exhibited activity against these six Gram-negative strains.

We next elected to investigate whether substitution of the alkyl tail was tolerated as well as bromination of the indole ring. Previous studies in our group have shown that bromination of the indole ring as well as substituting alkyl

Table 1. Potentiation of Colistin (MICs μ g/mL) with Pilot and Brominated Library Adjuvants Tested at 50 μ M

	E. coli YD626		K. pnei 2210	K. pneumoniae 2210291		A. baumannii 17978	
compound	parent	+mcr-1	parent	+mcr-1	parent	+mcr-1	
	1	8	1	16	2	16	
1a	0.0625	4	0.125	8	0.25	4	
1b	0.25	4	0.5	4	1	4	
1c	0.0625	4	0.25	16	0.25	8	
1d	0.5	2	0.5	2	1	2	
6a	0.125	4	0.25	4	0.5	4	
6b	0.5	4	0.5	4	1	4	
6c	0.0625	4	0.25	8	0.5	8	
6d	0.25	2	0.5	1	0.5	1	

groups attached to a phenyl ring with either trifluoromethyl groups or various halogens can augment activity in the context of antibiofilm activity and colistin potentiation (2-AI 3 and meridianin D analog 4, Figure 1).¹⁶ To explore the effects of these structural modifications to tryptamine in the context of colistin potentiation, seven additional compounds were readily synthesized by acylating the appropriate tryptamine derivative (Scheme 1) and were then assayed for activity.





Initially, we measured the MIC of each adjuvant against three engineered bacterial strains harboring the mcr-1 gene, along with the corresponding parent strains.¹⁹ All adjuvants were essentially nontoxic themselves, exhibiting MICs > 200 μ M. We then screened each nonbrominated tryptamine derivative (1b-d) at 50 μ M (\leq 25% of their MIC so that potential toxic effects of the adjuvants toward the bacteria would be minimal) and observed that every adjuvant showed at least modest activity against all strains tested (Table 1). Compound 1d displayed the greatest activity across all mcr-1 containing strains, lowering the colistin MIC to 2 μ g/mL in all cases (between 4- and 8-fold reductions), which is the susceptibility breakpoint (2 μ g/mL), and demonstrated that replacement of the alkyl group is tolerated. The brominated indolic derivatives, compounds 6a-d, exhibited similar activity to their nonbrominated analogues (potentiation was within 2fold against all six strains) (Table 1), with most exhibiting 2fold reductions in activity. However, compound 6d did exhibit a 2-fold increase in activity against two of the three mcr-1 containing strains when compared to its nonbrominated counterpart 1d.

With negligible traction gained from brominating the indole ring or replacing the phenalkyl tail, we next turned our attention to the amide linker. In the context of colistin adjuvants based upon the 2-AI scaffold, it has been noted that replacing the amide linker with a urea (i.e., compound 3, Figure 1) increased colistin potentiation activity substantially.¹⁷ Therefore, we elected to test whether this structural modification translated between scaffolds, and we set out to make both the brominated and nonbrominated tryptamine derivatives containing a urea linker.

Compounds were synthesized via one of two methods depending on the commercial availability of the starting materials. If the appropriate isocyanate was available, it was coupled directly with tryptamine or 5-bromotryptamine (Scheme 2A); otherwise, in a one-pot procedure, the

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Urea-containing Indole Adjuvants



isocyanate was formed *in situ* from the corresponding aniline and triphosgene, and then immediately coupled with tryptamine or 5-bromotryptamine (Scheme 2B). These methods afforded products 8a-e and 9a-e.

As observed with other tryptamine derivatives, compounds **8a–e** and **9a–e** were nontoxic to bacteria and displayed standalone MICs > 200 μ M against the six bacterial strains. When tested at 50 μ M in combination with colistin, most compounds showed increased colistin potentiation when compared to their amide counterparts (Table 2). Compounds **8b**, **8c**, **8d**, **8e**, **9d**, and **9e** resensitized all three *mcr-1* strains toward colistin, dropping the MIC to $\leq 2 \mu g/mL$, demonstrating that the urea linkage generally is more active for colistin potentiation than the amide.

Since the replacement of the amide with a urea moiety provided analogs with increased colistin potentiation activity, we next explored the effect of linker length between the indole and urea and the position at which the urea was attached to the indole ring. Three additional sets of compounds were synthesized following the routes outlined in Scheme 2, but with the urea placed either one methylene group closer to the indole ring (11a-e, Figure 2) or directly attached to the indole ring, at either the 5 or 6 position (12/13a-e, Figure 2). As with all previously synthesized analogs, adjuvant standalone

Table 2. Potentiation of Colistin (MIC μ g/mL) with Ureacontaining Adjuvants Tested at 50 μ M

	E. coli YD626		K. pneumoniae 2210291		A. baumannii 17978	
compound	parent	+mcr-1	parent	+mcr-1	parent	+mcr-1
	1	8	1	16	2	16
8a	1	8	1	16	2	16
8b	0.25	2	0.5	1	0.5	1
8c	0.0313	1	0.25	1	0.125	0.5
8d	0.125	1	0.25	0.5	0.5	0.5
8e	0.125	1	0.5	1	0.5	1
9a	1	8	0.5	16	2	16
9b	0.25	2	0.5	2	0.5	2
9c	0.0313	4	0.5	2	0.25	2
9d	0.25	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1
9e	0.25	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ $						
					154-6	
Ar =	J sick	Br	s ^{s²} ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	CF ₃	CI CI	
a		b	с		d	е

Figure 2. Second-generation urea-containing adjuvants synthesized for SAR analysis.

MICs were >200 μ M, and all were therefore tested at 50 μ M in combination with colistin. With the exception of compound **11c**, all compound **11** derivatives exhibited a decrease in activity. Compound **11c** rivaled its tryptamine derivative **8c** in terms of activity. As for derivatives **12a**–**e** and **13a**–**e**, direct attachment of the urea to the indole ring at the 5 or 6 position abrogated activity, showing \leq 2-fold reduction in the MIC of colistin (Table 3).

We next performed a dose-response study on the seven lead compounds in combination with colistin against the three strains that contain the mcr-1 plasmid (Table S1). The concentration of the adjuvant was sequentially lowered to determine the point at which the colistin MIC exceeded the breakpoint for clinical colistin susceptibility (2 μ g/mL). All adjuvants maintained similar activity to the original 50 μ M dose when tested at 30 μ M, and adjuvants 8b, 8c, 8e, 9d, and 9e successfully lowered the colistin MIC to the susceptibility breakpoint at 15 μ M against all three strains. Compound 9d was the second most potent adjuvant and lowered the colistin MIC to 1 μ g/mL against all mcr-1 strains at 15 μ M, while adjuvant 9e displayed significant potentiation activity down to 5 μ M, where it reduced the MIC of colistin from 8, 16, and 16 to 1, 2, and 1 μ g/mL against *mcr-1* plasmid containing *E. coli*, K. pneumoniae, and A. baumannii, respectively.

To further investigate the lead compounds, we selected compounds **8b**, **9d**, and **9e** to test against chromosomally colistin resistant *K. pneumoniae* and *A. baumannii* strains, which are typically significantly more resistant to colistin than isolates with resistance encoded by the *mcr-1* gene. All three compounds were highly active at 20 μ M, eliciting between 64 and \geq 2048-fold reductions in the MIC of colistin (Table 4). Compound **9e** was again the most active compound and

Table 3. Potentiation of Colistin (MIC μ g/mL) with Second-Generation Urea-containing Adjuvants Tested at 50 μ M

	E. coli YD626		K. pnei 221	umoniae 0291	A. baumannii 17978	
compound	parent	+mcr-1	parent	+mcr-1	parent	+mcr-1
-	1	8	1	16	2	16
11a	1	8	0.5	16	2	16
11b	0.25	4	0.5	16	1	8
11c	0.0313	1	0.125	1	0.125	1
11d	0.5	4	0.5	16	2	16
11e	0.25	8	0.5	8	1	8
12a	0.5	8	0.5	16	1	16
12b	1	8	1	16	1	16
12c	0.5	4	0.5	8	1	8
12d	0.5	8	1	16	2	16
12e	0.5	8	1	16	1	8
13a	0.5	8	1	16	2	8
13b	1	8	1	16	2	16
13c	0.5	4	1	16	1	8
13d	1	8	1	16	2	16
13e	1	8	1	16	2	16

resensitized all four chromosomally colistin resistant strains to or below the CLSI breakpoint ($\leq 2 \ \mu g/mL$) when tested at a concentration of 20 μ M, and resensitized three out of the four strains to breakpoint colistin levels at a concentration of 7.5 μ M. Against *K. pneumoniae* B9 and *A. baumannii* 4106, compound **9e** returned over a 2000-fold reduction of the colistin MIC at 10 μ M. Overall, these compounds, especially adjuvant **9e**, displayed potent *in vitro* colistin potentiation activity against chromosomally resistant bacterial strains.

Additional assays were performed with lead compound 9e to confirm activity and examine toxicity. To rule out activity due to nonspecific compound aggregation effects, the resensitization of *A. baumannii* 17978^{+mcr-1} to colistin was performed in the presence of 0.01% Tween 80.²⁰ Compound 9e displayed equipotent colistin sensitization activity in the absence and presence of Tween 80 when tested at 30 μ M. Next, lead adjuvant 9e and inactive compound 9a were tested for toxicity against red blood cells at a concentration of 200 μ M, and both

compounds affected <2.5% cell lysis. Lastly, the potential for eukaryotic cell toxicity was explored using a mouse mammary gland tumor cell line, 4T1 (ATCC). Five analogs (**8b**, **8c**, **8d**, **9d**, and **9e**), in addition to an active compound from one of our previous reports (**14**,¹⁷ Figure S1), were each tested in monotherapy and in combination with colistin (1 μ g/mL). After 18 h of incubation with 4T1 cells, the culture medium was aspirated, and cells were treated with a 0.5 mg/mL solution of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) in culture media to afford a colorimetric assay for cell viability (Figure S2). The concentration at which each compound resulted in 50% cell viability was recorded as that compound's CT₅₀ value (Table 5). From these data, we

Table 5. CT_{50} (μ M) of Analogs in 4T1 Cells Dosed with and without 1 μ g/mL Colistin

	compound alone	compound + colistin
8b	86.2	64.2
8c	60.5	61.8
8d	117.3	104.8
9d	125.5	84.6
9e	94.1	64.9
14	23.2	15.6

first note that the respective CT_{50} value of each compound was not significantly altered by the presence of colistin in culture media. Second, each compound was considerably less toxic than 14, a compound previously shown to potentiate colistin.¹⁷

Finally, we sought to determine if our antibiotic—adjuvant combination would translate into an *in vivo* model. We chose a *Galleria mellonella* model of *A. baumannii* infection to test our antibiotic—adjuvant combination as previous studies at the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research (WRAIR) have shown results from this model to be predictive of outcomes in murine models of infection.^{21,22} Since the use of *A. baumannii* 4106 in a *G. mellonella* model has not, to the best of our knowledge, been previously reported, we first performed a dose escalation study to determine the minimum lethal dose of bacteria in the worms. Much to our surprise, this strain was notably virulent, and 6×10^5 colony forming units (CFUs) brought about 100%

Table 4. Dose Response of Lead Compounds 8b, 8d, and 9e with Colistin (MIC μ g/mL) against Chromosomally Colistin Resistant Strains

		K. pneumoniae B9	K. pneumoniae C3	A. baumannii 3941	A. baumannii 4106
-compound	concentration (μM)	512	512	512	1024
8v	20	≤0.25	2	8	4
	15	0.5	4	8	4
	10	1	8	16	8
	7.5	4			
	5	32			
9d	20	≤0.25	1	2	2
	15	0.5	2	4	2
	10	1	4	8	4
	7.5	2			
	5	8			
9e	20	≤0.25	0.5	2	≤0.5
	15	≤0.25	0.5	4	≤0.5
	10	≤0.25	1	4	1
	7.5	≤0.25	2	16	1
	5	0.5	4		4

death of moth larva by day 4, which is similar to the highly virulent *A. baumannii* 5075 strain.^{21,22} Next, we sought to find a positive control for this model; however, this strain was essentially resistant to all antibiotic classes, with the antibiotic tigecycline demonstrating the most potent *in vitro* MIC of 8 μ g/mL. With this antibiotic as our only positive control option, we determined that a dose of 100 mg/kg provided minimal survival after 4 days (20% survival at day 4, Figure 3).



Figure 3. Kaplan–Meier curve of worms inoculated with 6×10^5 colony forming units of *A. baumannii* 4106.

Treatment of infected worms with either 50 mg/kg colistin or compound **9e** provided only 7% and 0% survival, respectively, after 4 days. On the contrary, when 50 mg/kg colistin was paired with 50 mg/kg compound **9e**, the combination increased worm survival to 47% after 4 days, considerably exceeding that of the tigecycline control.

In conclusion, we report novel tryptamine derivatives that are highly active in combination with colistin against colistinresistant bacteria. We found that incorporation of a urea linker significantly augmented activity when compared to analogs containing an amide linker. Three of the adjuvants disclosed in this study display high colistin potentiation activity at 20 μ M against all colistin resistant strains tested. Lead compound 9e resensitized all three mcr-1 containing bacterial strains to colistin at a concentration of 5 μ M and resensitized three out of four chromosomally resistant strains at a concentration of 7.5 μ M, which makes **9e** the most active adjuvant reported for reversal of colistin resistance. Further studies showed that compound 9e was generally nontoxic to red blood cells and the 4T1 cell line. Finally, an in vivo G. mellonella model showed 47% survival of inoculated wax worms after 4 days when given a combination treatment of colistin and compound 9e. This is a significant increase in survival when compared to day 4 of monotherapy of colistin (7% survival), compound 9e alone (0% survival), and 100 mg/kg of tigecycline (20% survival). Efforts to delineate the mechanism of action of these tryptamine derivatives as well as evaluation in murine models of infection are currently ongoing.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acsmedchem-lett.9b00135.

Chemistry experimental; biology experimental; Galleria mellonella day-by-day results; compound NMRs (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: cmelande@nd.edu.

ORCID 💿

Christian Melander: 0000-0001-8271-4696

Author Contributions

All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

The authors would like to thank the National Institutes of Health (GM055769 and AI136904) for funding.

Notes

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): Dr. Melander is co-founder and board of directors member of Agile Sciences, a biotechnology company seeking to commercialize antibiotic adjuvants.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Robert Ernst (University of Maryland, Baltimore) and Yohei Doi (University of Pittsburgh) for *mcr-1* containing bacterial strains.

ABBREVIATIONS

MDR, multidrug-resistant; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; SAR, structure–activity relationship

REFERENCES

(1) Pendleton, J. N.; Gorman, S. P.; Gilmore, B. F. Clinical relevance of the ESKAPE pathogens. *Expert Rev. Anti-Infect. Ther.* **2013**, *11* (3), 297–308.

(2) Klein, E. Y.; Van Boeckel, T. P.; Martinez, E. M.; Pant, S.; Gandra, S.; Levin, S. A.; Goossens, H.; Laxminarayan, R. Global increase and geographic convergence in antibiotic consumption between 2000 and 2015. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2018**, *115* (15), E3463–E3470.

(3) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the US.* 2013.

(4) Tommasi, R.; Brown, D. G.; Walkup, G. K.; Manchester, J. I.; Miller, A. A. ESKAPEing the labyrinth of antibacterial discovery. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* **2015**, *14* (8), 529–42.

(5) Hay, M.; Thomas, D. W.; Craighead, J. L.; Economides, C.; Rosenthal, J. Clinical development success rates for investigational drugs. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2014**, 32 (1), 40–51.

(6) McCarthy, M. Woman dies after infection with bacteria resistant to all antibiotics available in US. *BMJ*. **2017**, *356*, j254.

(7) Biswas, S.; Brunel, J. M.; Dubus, J. C.; Reynaud-Gaubert, M.; Rolain, J. M. Colistin: an update on the antibiotic of the 21st century. *Expert Rev. Anti-Infect. Ther.* **2012**, *10* (8), 917–34.

(8) Spapen, H.; Jacobs, R.; Van Gorp, V.; Troubleyn, J.; Honore, P. M. Renal and neurological side effects of colistin in critically ill patients. *Ann. Intensive Care* **2011**, *1* (1), 14.

(9) Liu, Y. Y.; Wang, Y.; Walsh, T. R.; Yi, L. X.; Zhang, R.; Spencer, J.; Doi, Y.; Tian, G.; Dong, B.; Huang, X.; Yu, L. F.; Gu, D.; Ren, H.; Chen, X.; Lv, L.; He, D.; Zhou, H.; Liang, Z.; Liu, J. H.; Shen, J. Emergence of plasmid-mediated colistin resistance mechanism MCR-1 in animals and human beings in China: a microbiological and molecular biological study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **2016**, *16* (2), 161–8.

(10) Wang, X.; Wang, Y.; Zhou, Y.; Li, J.; Yin, W.; Wang, S.; Zhang, S.; Shen, J.; Shen, Z.; Wang, Y. Emergence of a novel mobile colistin resistance gene, mcr-8, in NDM-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae. *Emerging Microbes Infect.* **2018**, *7* (1), 122.

(11) Daly, S. M.; Sturge, C. R.; Felder-Scott, C. F.; Geller, B. L.; Greenberg, D. E. MCR-1 Inhibition with Peptide-Conjugated Phosphorodiamidate Morpholino Oligomers Restores Sensitivity to Polymyxin in Escherichia coli. *mBio* **2017**, *8* (6), 01315.

(12) Brackett, C. M.; Furlani, R. E.; Anderson, R. G.; Krishnamurthy, A.; Melander, R. J.; Moskowitz, S. M.; Ernst, R. K.; Melander, C. Second Generation Modifiers of Colistin Resistance Show Enhanced Activity and Lower Inherent Toxicity. *Tetrahedron* **2016**, 72 (25), 3549–3553.

(13) Harris, T. L.; Worthington, R. J.; Hittle, L. E.; Zurawski, D. V.; Ernst, R. K.; Melander, C. Small molecule downregulation of PmrAB reverses lipid A modification and breaks colistin resistance. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2014**, *9* (1), 122–127.

(14) Stephens, M. D.; Hubble, V. B.; Ernst, R. K.; van Hoek, M. L.; Melander, R. J.; Cavanagh, J.; Melander, C. Potentiation of Francisella resistance to conventional antibiotics through small molecule adjuvants. *MedChemComm* **2016**, *7* (1), 128–131.

(15) Barker, W. T.; Martin, S. E.; Chandler, C. E.; Nguyen, T. V.; Harris, T. L.; Goodell, C.; Melander, R. J.; Doi, Y.; Ernst, R. K.; Melander, C. Small molecule adjuvants that suppress both chromosomal and mcr-1 encoded colistin-resistance and amplify colistin efficacy in polymyxin-susceptible bacteria. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2017**, 25 (20), 5749–5753.

(16) Huggins, W. M.; Barker, W. T.; Baker, J. T.; Hahn, N. A.; Melander, R. J.; Melander, C. Meridianin D Analogues Display Antibiofilm Activity against MRSA and Increase Colistin Efficacy in Gram-Negative Bacteria. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2018, 9 (7), 702–707.

(17) Minrovic, B. M.; Jung, D.; Melander, R. J.; Melander, C. New Class of Adjuvants Enables Lower Dosing of Colistin Against Acinetobacter baumannii. *ACS Infect. Dis.* **2018**, *4* (9), 1368–1376.

(18) Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Second Informational Supplement, 2012.

(19) Liu, Y. Y.; Chandler, C. E.; Leung, L. M.; McElheny, C. L.; Mettus, R. T.; Shanks, R. M. Q.; Liu, J. H.; Goodlett, D. R.; Ernst, R. K.; Doi, Y. Structural Modification of Lipopolysaccharide Conferred by mcr-1 in Gram-Negative ESKAPE Pathogens. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2017**, *61* (6), 00580.

(20) Baell, J. B.; Holloway, G. A. New substructure filters for removal of pan assay interference compounds (PAINS) from screening libraries and for their exclusion in bioassays. *J. Med. Chem.* **2010**, 53 (7), 2719–2740.

(21) Jacobs, A. C.; Thompson, M. G.; Black, C. C.; Kessler, J. L.; Clark, L. P.; McQueary, C. N.; Gancz, H. Y.; Corey, B. W.; Moon, J. K.; Si, Y.; Owen, M. T.; Hallock, J. D.; Kwak, Y. I.; Summers, A.; Li, C. Z.; Rasko, D. A.; Penwell, W. F.; Honnold, C. L.; Wise, M. C.; Waterman, P. E.; Lesho, E. P.; Stewart, R. L.; Actis, L. A.; Palys, T. J.; Craft, D. W.; Zurawski, D. V. AB5075, a Highly Virulent Isolate of Acinetobacter baumannii, as a Model Strain for the Evaluation of Pathogenesis and Antimicrobial Treatments. *mBio* **2014**, *5* (3), e01076–14.

(22) Huggins, W. H.; Minrovic, B. M.; Melander, R. J.; Melander, C.; Zurawski, D. V. 1,2,4-trazolidine-3-thione SAR Study. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2017, 8, 27.