Alkyl and alkylidene derivatives of titanium supported by carbazole ligation

Patrick N. Riley, Phillip E. Fanwick and Ian P. Rothwell*

1393 Brown Building, Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907-1393, USA

The bis(benzyl) $[(cb)_2Ti(CH_2Ph)_2]$ 1 and alkylidene bridged dimer $[(cb)_2Ti(\mu$ -CHSiMe₃)₂Ti(cb)₂] 3 are formed by adding carbazole (Hcb) to the tetraalkyls $[TiR_4]$ (R = CH₂Ph, CH₂SiMe₃); the reaction of 1 and 3 with 2,6-dimethylphenyl isocyanide leads to organometallic products containing new carbon–carbon bonds.

There has recently been considerable research interest in the organometallic chemistry of the group 4 metals associated with amido ligation.^{1–3} In this context we communicate here our studies of some organotitanium chemistry of the carbazole ligand, an amido group predicted to be a weaker π donor than dialkylamido ligands.⁴

The addition of carbazole (2 equiv.) to hydrocarbon solutions of $[Ti(CH_2Ph)_4]^5$ lead to the bis(benzyl) compound **1** in moderate yield (Scheme 1). The spectroscopic data[†] on **1** indicate that the benzyl ligands are η^1 -bound.⁶ Solutions of **1** react with 2 equiv. of 2,6-dimethylphenyl isocyanide (xyNC) to produce the bis(η^2 -iminoacyl) complex **2**. The iminoacyl carbon chemical shift of δ 246.9 in the ¹³C NMR spectrum indicates η^2 -C,N binding and this is confirmed by the solid-state molecular structure of **2** (Fig. 1).⁷ The coordination geometry and iminoacyl parameters in **2** are similar to those found in other group 4 metal bis(η^2 -iminoacyl) compounds,^{7,8} the only significant difference being the non-parallel orientation of the iminoacyl units in **2**.

The reaction of the more bulky alkyl substrate [Ti(CH₂-SiMe₃)₄]⁹ with carbazole is slow (as monitored by ¹H NMR) at ambient temperatures. At 100 °C the reaction produces a sparingly soluble product identified (Fig. 2) as the alkylidene bridged dimer [(cb)₂Ti(μ -CHSiMe₃)₂Ti(cb)₂] **3**. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of **3** a singlet at δ 14.75 can be assigned to the alkylidene proton. The structural parameters for the 1,3-dimetallacyclobutane unit in **3** are similar to those found in related molecules and in particular the methylidene bridged [{(C₆H₁₁)₂N}₂Ti(μ -CH₂)₂Ti{N(C₆H₁₁)₂}₂].² Treatment of **3** with xyNC (>3 equiv.) slowly (¹H NMR) produces a single



organometallic species **4** and 1 equiv. of free carbazole. The molecular structure of **4** (Fig. 3) shows it to be dinuclear, containing a total of three isocyanides within two distinct bridging units. Two terminal and one non-symmetrically bridged carbazole ligand are also present. The structural parameters lead us to propose the structure for **4** shown in Scheme 1. Although the exact sequence of events leading to **4** is presently unknown, reasonable elementary steps for its formation can be proposed. One of the bridging units in **4** [N(6)–C(7)–C(8)] is best described as an amidoalkyne ligand. Identical



Fig. 1 Molecular structure of **2** showing the atomic numbering scheme. Selected interatomic distances (Å) and angles (°): Ti–N(1) 2.017(2), Ti–N(2) 2.150(2), Ti–N(31) 2.008(2), Ti–N(41) 2.030(2), Ti–C(1) 2.067(3), Ti–C(2) 2.065(3), C(1)–N(1) 1.268(4), C(2)–N(2) 1.281(4); N(1)–Ti–N(2) 100.54(9), N(31)–Ti–N(41) 108.5(1), C(1)–Ti–C(2) 119.0(1).



Fig. 2 Molecular structure of 3 showing the atomic numbering scheme. Selected interatomic distances (Å) and angles (°): Ti…Ti' 2.9504(8), Ti– N(19) 1.950(2), Ti–N(29) 1.969(2), Ti–C(30) 2.026(2), Ti'–C(30) 2.035(2); N(19)–Ti–N(29) 113.29(7), C(30)–Ti–C(30)' 86.83(9), Ti–C(30)–Ti' 93.17(9).

Chem. Commun., 1997 1109



Fig. 3 Molecular structure of 4 showing the atomic numbering scheme. Selected interatomic distances (Å): Ti(1)-··Ti(2) 2.804(2), Ti(1)-·N(1) 2.064(7), Ti(1)-N(11) 2.006(7), Ti(1)-N(31) 2.321(7), Ti(1)-C(8) 1.970(8), Ti(1)-C(7) 2.097(9), Ti(2)-C(7) 2.16(1), Ti(2)-N(21) 2.013(8), Ti(2)-N(31) 2.018(7), Ti(2)-N(6) 1.936(7), Ti(2)-N(5) 1.950(8), Ti(2)-C(4) 2.250(9), Ti(2)-C(7) 2.163(10), C(7)-C(8) 1.34(1), C(7)-N(6) 1.34(1), C(3)-C(4) 1.44(1), C(2)-C(3) 1.38(1), N(1)-C(2) 1.37(1).

ligands have been formed by addition of xyNC to trimethylsilylmethylidyne bridges in 1,3-dimetallacyclobutadiene derivatives of Nb or Ta.⁴ The unit may have originated *via* initial α -hydrogen abstraction to produce an alkylidyne intermediate or by hydrogen abstraction from an initially formed iminoacyl. In both cases the hydrogen abstraction takes place by a carbazole ligand. The other bridging unit corresponds to the insertion of 2 equiv. of isocyanide into an alkylidene bridge combined with a 1,2-hydrogen shift. The transfer of adjacent hydrogen to iminoacyl carbon atoms has precedence.⁷

An interesting feature of the molecular structure of **4** concerns the distances within the iminoacyl component. Specifically the Ti–N(5) and Ti–C(4) distances lead to a value of -0.3 Å for the parameter [d(Ti–N)-d(Ti–C)].⁷ This value combined with the long C(4)–N(5) distance are consistent with the much debated amido-carbene resonance picture.¹⁰

We thank the National Science Foundation (Grant CHE-9321906) for financial support of this research.

Footnotes

[†] Selected spectroscopic data: ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 500 MHz, 30 °C): **1**, δ 6.58–7.91 (m, 18 H, aromatics), 3.24 (s, 4 H, TiCH₂Ph); **2**, δ 6.39–8.02 (m, 32 H, aromatics), 3.69 (s, 4 H, CH₂Ph), 1.46 (s, 12 H, $Me_2C_6H_3$); **3**, δ 14.75 (s, 2 H, μ -CHSiMe₃), -0.50 (s, 18H, μ -CHSiMe₃); **4**, δ 2.55 (s, 3 H), 2.47

(s, 3 H), 2.45 (s, 3 H), 1.66 (s, 3 H), 1.64 (s, 3 H), 1.17 (s, 3 H, MeC_6H_3). ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6 , 30 °C): **1**, δ 98.3 (CH_2Ph); **2**, δ 246.9 (xyNC), 43.5 (CH_2Ph), 18.5 (xyMe).

‡ *Crystal data*: for **2** at 203 K: C₅₉H₅₁N₄Ti, M = 863.99, monoclinic, space group *C*2/*c* (no. 15), a = 37.22(1), b = 12.153(3), c = 21.069(9) Å, $\beta = 104.23(3)^\circ$, U = 9237(10) Å³, $D_c = 1.242$ g cm⁻³, Z = 8. Of the 6154 unique reflections collected ($5.36 \le 20 \le 45.34^\circ$) with Mo-Kα radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å), the 6154 with $F_o^2 > 2\sigma$ (F_o^2) were used in the final least-squares refinement to yield $R(F_o) = 0.045$ and $R_W(F_o^2) = 0.112$.

For **3** at 296 K: C₅₆H₅₂N₄Si₂Ti₂, M = 933.04, triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$ (no. 2), a = 10.066(2), b = 10.481(2), c = 13.170(3) Å, $\alpha = 109.17(2)$, $\beta = 104.98(2)$, $\gamma = 93.62(2)^{\circ}$, U = 1251(1) Å³, $D_c = 1.238$ g cm⁻³, Z = 1. Of the 5056 unique reflections collected ($5.32 \le 2\theta \le 52.64^{\circ}$) with Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å), the 5056 with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ were used in the final least-squares refinement to yield R = 0.036 and $R_W = 0.041$.

For 4 at 233 K: $C_{71}H_{68}N_6Si_2Ti_2$, M = 1157.35, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$ (no. 14), a = 12.788(2), b = 33.329(5), c = 14.616(3) Å, $\beta = 96.76(2)^\circ$, U = 6186(3) Å³, $D_c = 1.242$ g cm⁻³, Z = 4. Of the 8122 unique reflections collected ($5.26 \le 2\theta \le 113.70^\circ$) with Cu-K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.541$ 84 Å), the 8122 with $F_0^2 > 2\sigma(F_0^2)$ were used in the final least-squares refinement to yield $R(F_0) = 0.074$ and $R_W(F_0^2) = 0.184$. Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC). See Information for Authors, Issue No. 1. Any request to the CCDC for this material should quote the full literature citation and the reference number 182/447.

References

- R. R. Schrock, Acc. Chem. Res., 1997, **30**, 9; P. W. Wanandi,
 W. D. Davis and C. C. Cummins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, **117**, 2110;
 R. K. Minhas, L. Scoles, S. Wong and S. Gambarotta, Organometallics, 1996, **15**, 1113; J. D. Scollard and D. H. McConville, Organometallics, 1995, **14**, 5478; K. Aoyagi, P. K. Gantzel, K. Kalai and T. D. Tilley, Organometallics, 1996, **15**, 923; A. D. Horton, J. de With, A. J. van der Linden and H. van de Weg, Organometallics, 1996, **15**, 2672.
- 2 L. Scoles, R. Minhas, R. Duchateau, J. Jubb and S. Gambarotta, Organometallics, 1994, 13, 4978.
- 3 R. P. Planalp, R. A. Andersen and A. Zalkin, Organometallics, 1983, 2, 16.
- 4 P. N. Riley, R. D. Profilet, P. E. Fanwick and I. P. Rothwell, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 5502.
- 5 G. R. Davies, J. A. J. Jarvis and B. T. Kilbourn, *Chem. Commun.*, 1971, 1511.
- 6 S. L. Latesky, A. K. McMullen, I. P. Rothwell and J. C. Huffman, Organometallics, 1985, 4, 902.
- 7 I. P. Rothwell and L. D. Durfee, Chem. Rev., 1988, 88, 1059.
- 8 L. R. Chamberlain, L. D. Durfee, P. E. Fanwick, L. H. Kobriger, S. L. Latesky, A. K. McMullen, I. P. Rothwell, K. Folting, J. C. Huffman, W. E. Streib and R. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 390.
- 9 M. R. Collier, M. F. Lappert and R. Pearce, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1973, 445.
- 10 K. Tatsumi, A. Nakamura, P. Hofmann, P. Stauffert and R. Hoffmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 4440.

Received in Bloomington, IN, USA, 3rd March 1997; Com. 7/01493G