(wileyonlinelibrary.com) DOI: 10.1002/poc.1899

Received: 1 March 2011,

Revised: 3 June 2011,

Published online in Wiley Online Library: 20 July 2011

# Selectivity in metal ions mediated C–N bond formation reactions of 8-aminoquinoline derivatives

# Dipjyoti Kalita<sup>a</sup> and Jubaraj B. Baruah<sup>a</sup>\*

Cyclisation reactions via C–N bond formation of 2-bromo-*N*-(quinolin-8-yl)propanamide (I) and 2-bromo-*N*-(quinolin-8-yl)acetamide (II) are facilitated by metal salts such as copper (+2), nickel (+2) perchlorate or nitrate and palladium (+2) acetate. Nickel (+2) perchlorate mediated reaction of I and II resulted in C–N bond formation to give corresponding perchlorate salts of three fused six-membered heterocyclic rings. The copper (+2) mediated reactions are found to be solvent dependent for I, but independent for II. Copper mediated reaction of II gave cyclised product analogous to the one obtained from reaction of II with nickel (+2) perchlorate in methanol or ethanol. But the reaction of I with copper (+2) perchlorate in methanol gave C–N bonded methoxylated cyclised product. This reaction took place in two steps, cyclisation followed by methoxylation. The source of methoxy group is confirmed to be from methanol by deuterium labelling experiments. Whereas similar copper mediated reaction of I in ethanol led to nucleophilic substitution of bromide ion by ethoxide. The structures of the salts of fused heterocyclic compounds were determined and their fluorescence emissions were studied. The large difference in fluorescence emission of compound V formed from nickel mediated reaction in ethanol from the compound VI formed from nickel mediated reaction in ethanol of C–N bond to yield the corresponding heterocycle as bromide salt; without anion exchange. Copyright () 2011 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Supporting information may be found in the online version of this paper.

Keywords: 8-aminoquinoline; anion binding; C–N bond formation; metal mediated synthesis; role of solvent; selective transformations

# **INTRODUCTION**

Several naturally occurring drug molecules contain quinoline rings as their constituents.<sup>[1–10]</sup> Aminoquinoline derivatives are useful in cyclisation reactions for ring expansion<sup>[11–19]</sup> and for the synthesis of steroids.<sup>[20,21]</sup> Multiple possibilities exist to obtain cyclised products from intramolecular cyclisation reactions of 8-aminoquinoline derivatives. For example, when an amino group of 8-aminoguinoline is functionalised to an amide group linked to a leaving group, such compounds may lead to the formation of new rings in different ways as shown in Scheme 1. The formation of such products can be controlled by using metal catalysts.<sup>[22-25]</sup> For example, when N-8-quinoline benzene sulphonamides were cyclised in the presence of a palladium catalyst,<sup>[26]</sup> this led to heterocyclic compounds through C-C bond formation. Novel heterocyclic compounds through intramolecular C–C bond formation by palladium catalysed reactions were observed from imine derivatives of 8-aminoquinoline.<sup>[22]</sup> Recently, the coordination effects of amide functionalised 8-aminoquinoline derivatives were used for intramolecular cyclisation within a compound at a remote end.<sup>[27]</sup> We have also shown that intramolecular cyclisation via aldol condensation leads to interesting heterocyclic products through multiple paths involving both C-N and C-C bond formation reactions.[28] Furthermore, the halo derivatives shown in Scheme 1 can also undergo conventional nucleophilic substitution by nucleophiles such as water, alcohol, amine etc. in the presence of a base and in aprotic solvents.<sup>[29,30]</sup> Thus, the competitive reactions of cyclisation versus nucleophilic substitution reactions in the presence or absence of metal ions are of interest. Here, we studied the copper (+2) and nickel (+2) ion mediated reactions of 2-bromo-*N*-(quinolin-8-yl) propanamide (I) and 2-bromo-*N*-(quinolin-8-yl) acetamide (II) and 2-bromo-*N*-(quinolin-5-yl) acetamide (III) (Fig. 1) in alcohols and show that the fluorescence emission properties of the products can be useful in differentiating solvents.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In the presence of different metal salts of copper(+2) or nickel (+2) in methanol, 2-bromo-*N*-(quinolin-8-yl) propanamide(I) underwent cyclisation reactions through C–N bond formation to give two different products depending on the metal ion used (Scheme 2). Such reactions were also found to be solvent dependent. When copper (+2) salts were used in methanol exclusively methoxylated as well as cyclised product **IV** was

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence to: Jubaraj B. Baruah, Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati, 781 039 Assam, India. E-mail: juba@iitg.ernet.in

a D. Kalita, J. B. Baruah Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati, 781 039 Assam. India



Scheme 1. Two different ways to cyclise in 8-aminoquinoline derivatives



Figure 1. Structure of the substrates

obtained via C-N and C-O bond formation reactions. When the reaction was carried in ethanol with copper (+2) salts, cyclisation of I was not observed, but compound V was formed by C-O bond formation through nucleophilic substitution. It was also observed that the intramolecular cyclisation reaction of I was promoted by nickel (+2) salts and the compound VI was formed in both methanol or ethanol. Thus, these reactions are highly sensitive towards both metal ions and solvents. The solution of I in methanol or ethanol do not give products alone but presence of stoichiometric amount of copper (+2) or nickel (+2) ions in such solution gave the products within 2h. The counter anions have roles in these reactions; the anions such as perchlorate and nitrate were found to be suitable over ions like acetate, chloride or bromide. In either case the cyclic product through C-C bond formation as depicted in Scheme 1 was not formed. This is due to the preference of forming a six-membered ring during C-N bond formation over the five-membered ring that would have formed in the case of C-C bond formation. The intramolecular cyclisation reactions leading to heterocyclic rings are very common in organic chemistry<sup>[31-34]</sup> and preferences of formation of thermodynamically favoured products are well documented. The compound IV and VI have structural similarities, and the difference between them is a methoxy group. Thus, to show distinction of each product obtained from the reactions of I, the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of the products **IV**, **V** and **VI** can be used. Some

of the peak positions in <sup>1</sup>H-NMR of these compounds can be clearly discerned. For example, the compound IV has a signal at 3.5 ppm because of the -OMe group and there is a signal of a methyl group at 1.9 ppm as a singlet. The compound VI is devoid of signal from -OMe because of its absence, but it shows a multiplet signal at 5.8 ppm because of the CH next to the methyl group. The methyl group of VI has a signal at 1.8 ppm. The compound V has characteristic quartet and triplet from the OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> group (3.4 and 1.0 ppm) and it has a doublet at 1.8 ppm and a quartet at 5.8 ppm from the CH<sub>3</sub> and CH groups. Because nickel (+2) has similar electronic features with palladium (+2), we carried out the reaction of II with a stoichiometric amount of palladium (+2) acetate in methanol. It gave the C-N bond product similar to a nickel-mediated reaction but in the form of bromide salt. That is, the reaction led to no anion exchange. The reaction with nickel (+2) acetate in methanol was not effective at room temperature. Thus, the metal has a role in these reactions and anion exchange processes are dependent on the metal ion in consideration.

To look at the role of the solvent, in the intramolecular cyclisation of I, we carried out the copper (+2) perchlorate-mediated reaction in a 1:1 mixed solvent of methanol and ethanol. From this reaction only compound IV was obtained. To know the source of the incorporated methoxy group in compound IV, we carried out the copper (+2) perchlorate-mediated reaction of I in deuterated methanol. The cyclic product IVa containing the deuterium-labelled methoxy group (Scheme 3) was obtained from this reaction. This shows the methanol- $d_4$  solvent to be the source of incorporated deuterated methoxy group. The mass spectra of IV has m/e at 229.09 whereas the mass spectra of IVa has m/e at 232.09 confirming the latter to have the CD<sub>3</sub>O group. Furthermore, when compound VI was reacted with copper (+2) perchlorate hexahydrate, in CD<sub>3</sub>OD we obtained compound IVa (Scheme 3). This proves that in the case of copper (+2) mediated reaction, first, the intramolecular nucleophilic substitution takes place to form a C–N bond, leading to product VI, and it further reacted with methanol in the presence of copper (+2) perchlorate to give product IV. The  $S_{RN}$ 1 mechanism for coupling of nucleophile is well established in guinoline derivatives.<sup>[35]</sup> Thus, it may be a methoxy radical that is introduced via a C-H bond cleavage of VI to result into the formation of IV, where the radical formation is initiated by the copper (+2) ions. Copper (+2) ions are good for radical generation<sup>[36]</sup> by interconversion to copper (+1). In the case of nickel, such redox couple is absent, thus not leading to the incorporation of the methoxy group. In a nutshell, copper (+2) ion resulted in



170

Scheme 2. Synthesis of product IV, V, VI



Scheme 3. Formation of deuterium substituted product IVa

cyclisation followed by methoxy group incorporation to the cyclised product. The conversion of I to IV was also monitored by UV–Vis spectroscopy (please see supplementary materials). The compound IV has absorption at 379nm, whereas the compound I has no absorbance at this wavelength. It was observed that the addition of copper (+2) perchlorate solution to a methanol solution of I led to growth of absorption at 379nm because of the formation of IV. From this UV–Vis study, however, we could not monitor the formation of VI as an intermediate compound; the compound VI has absorption at 395 nm but with comparable extinction coefficient, and no observation of a peak of VI in the visible spectra points out that the transformation of VI to IV is fast. In the case of nickel the formation of VI could be seen as this is the end product in that reaction.

The structural chemistry of quinoline derivatives is interesting from molecular recognition<sup>[37]</sup> and from observation of multiple numbers of symmetry nonequivalent molecules in unit cell.<sup>[29]</sup> The structure of the compound **VI** is determined by X-ray crystallography and is shown in Fig. 2(a). The compound **VI** crystallises in monoclinic space group *P*2(1)/*n*. Its asymmetric unit comprises of a cation of three fused heterocyclic rings and one perchlorate anion. The molecules are self-assembled and the self-assembly is governed by  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions (C8–C4, 3.370Å) and C–H…O interactions (C6–H6…O1; C11–H11…O1). These interactions lead to the arrangement of molecules in the form of one-dimensional chain. The perchlorate anions are held between two such one-dimensional chains through C–H…O (C4–H4…H4; C2–H2…O3) and N–H…O (N2–H2A…O5) interactions. The two methyl groups are projected away from each other and the methyl protons are

involved in C–H···O interactions (C12–H12A···O2) with the oxygen atoms of perchlorate anions. The self assembly of the compound **VI** is shown in Fig. 2(b).

We also studied the reaction of 2-bromo-*N*-(quinolin-8-yl) acetamide (II) with nickel (+2) and copper (+2) salts in methanol as well as in ethanol. In this case irrespective of metal ion, anion or the solvent cyclised product through C–N bond formation was observed (Scheme 4). Depending on the counter anion on the metal salt used, the cyclic amides **VIIa** and **VIIb** were formed. In the case of **II**, when acetone was used as solvent with primary amines along with potassium carbonate, we could replace the bromide ion to form nucleophilic products; however, in the case of the substrate **II** we have not observed nucleophilic substitution of the bromide ion either by ethanol or methanol.

These results suggest that there is an initial coordination of metal ion to the precursor I and II and as the bromide is abstracted by metal, concomitant C-N bond formation takes place to form a cation of three fused heterocyclic rings. In both cases of copper and nickel ions the substitution is favoured by the coordination effect. This coordination effect is not favourable in the case of the substrate III; hence, we did not observe any nucleophilic substitution in III under ordinary conditions. The crystal structure of compound VIIa (Fig. 3(a)) and VIIb (Fig. 4(a)) shows that the same molecule adopts different structures with different anions. Compound VIIa crystallises in the monoclinic space group P2(1)/c whereas the compound **VIIb** crystallises in the orthorhombic space group Pnma. Previously, it has been established that in guinoline-based compounds the host molecule adopts a different structural orientation depending on the nature of the guests.<sup>[29]</sup> Hence, this difference in structural orientation is attributed to the size and structure of the counter anion. In the case of **VIIa** the counter ion is a perchlorate anion that is of tetrahedral shape, and in the case of **VIIb** the counter ion is a nitrate anion that is planar. Here, it is also observed that in compound VIIa the whole cationic part of the molecule and the perchlorate anion is present in the asymmetric unit (Fig. 3 (a)), whereas in the case of compound VIIb only one-half of the molecule is present in the asymmetric unit (Fig. 4(b)).

The compound **VIIa** forms a one-dimensional chain with a C– H···O interaction (C4–H4···O1), which is further linked by another C–H···O interaction with the perchlorate anion (C8–H8···O2). The two one-dimensional chains are further interlinked by a C–H···O interaction (C2–H2···O1) and a  $\pi$ – $\pi$  interaction (C6–C6, 3.34 Å). The self assembly formation of compound **VIIa** is shown in Fig. 3(b).



Figure 2. (a) ORTEP diagram of the compound VI (Drawn with 50% thermal ellipsoid). (b) Short range interactions in VI



Scheme 4. Synthesis of compounds VIIa and VIIb



Figure 3. (a) ORTEP diagram of the compound VIIa (drawn with 50% thermal ellipsoid). (b) Short range interactions in VIIa

The crystal structure of compound **VIIb** shows interesting crystallographic features, the asymmetric unit contains only one-half of the molecule even though the molecule is not symmetric. It is observed that the two nitrogen atoms (N1 and N2) and the two carbon atoms (C2 and C7) are disordered and share a 50% electron density each as shown in Fig. 4(c). The self-assembly of the compound **VIIb** is also governed by a number of weak interactions such as C–H···O (C3–H3···O2; C5–H5···O1) and N–H···O interaction (N2–H···O3). These weak interactions led to the formation of a two-dimensional wave-like structure as shown in Fig. 4(a).

Quinoline-based receptors have been identified to be sensitive to anion binding.<sup>[38-42]</sup> Thus, we have studied the absorption and emission of these compounds. The compound I shows an absorbance maximum at 326nm whereas the compounds IV, V and VI show an absorbance maximum at 316 nm. In addition to the peak at 316nm, the compounds IV, V and VI show a peak at 379, 369 and 395 nm, respectively. The UV-Vis spectra are shown in Fig. 5(a). All these compounds are fluorescence active except compound IV. The emission spectra of compounds I, IV, V and VI are much different from each other as shown in Fig. 5(b). Compound I shows an emission peak at 398nm upon excitation at 310nm (Fig. 5(b)). The fluorescence emission intensity is very weak for compound IV (Fig. 5(b)); however, the compound V shows an intense emission peak at 375 nm upon excitation at 310nm (Fig. 5(b)). Unlike the other compounds, VI shows an emission peak in a much different region, that is, at 463 nm upon excitation at the same wavelength (Fig. 5 (b)). The large difference in fluorescence emission of V and VI occurs because of the difference in structures. This point is important as nickel (+2) and copper (+2) ion can be distinguished from the fluorescence emission by using this property. Second,

the compound **IV** has a very weak fluorescence than **V** and at a different wavelength; this can indirectly distinguish the two solvents methanol and ethanol.

The compounds II, VIIa and VIIb show absorbance maximum at 316nm (Fig. 6(a)). In addition to a peak at 316nm, compound II shows an absorbance peak at 373 nm whereas both compound VIIa and VIIb show absorbance at 386 nm. This shows that there is a 13-nm shift in the absorbance on the formation of cyclised perchlorate or nitrate salt. This also shows that the anion does not cause change in the absorption spectra of these products. All the compounds II, VIIa and VIIb are fluorescence active; similar to the UV spectra the emission spectra of the products (VIIa and **VIIb**) are different from the starting material (II). Compound II shows an emission peak at 497 nm upon excitation at 380nm, whereas both the compounds VIIa and VIIb show an emission peak at 510nm upon excitation at 380nm. The emission spectra are shown in Fig. 6(b). Thus, the trend in change in fluorescence emission is similar to the absorption spectra, that is, cyclised products have an emission at higher wavelength with enhancement of intensity.

In conclusion, C–N bond formation leading to intramolecular cyclisation reactions of I and II are facilitated by copper (+2) and nickel (+2) ions. The copper(+2) mediated intramolecular cyclisation reactions are substrate dependent. In the case of I the initially formed cyclised product further undergoes C–H activation to give OMe-substituted derivative in methanol. The same reaction in ethanol replaces bromide with ethoxide, without formation of a cyclic product. Nickel(+2) mediated reactions invariably led to the formation of a cyclic product through C–N bond formation. Thus, in the case of copper, multiple mechanisms involving cation and radical are favoured, whereas in the case of nickel it is an ionic mechanism leading to anion exchange.





(c)

Figure 4. (a) Structure of VIIb (C, N atoms are disordered); (b) ORTEP diagram of the asymmetric unit of compound VIIb (Drawn with 50% thermal ellipsoid); and (c) short range interactions in VIIb



**Figure 5.** (a) UV–Vis spectra of (1) compound I; (2) compound IV; (3) compound VI and (4) compound V; (b) Emission spectra ( $\lambda_{ex}$ =310nm) of (1) compound I; (2) compound IV; (3) compound VI and (4) compound V. (In all cases methanolic solution  $6.6 \times 10^{-5}$  M were used)

Furthermore, the three fused heterocyclic rings described here are fluorescence active. The chemical reactivity differences can be used as a tool to distinguish the solvents as well as metal ions because the fluorescence emission of each product differs. Palladium (+2) acetate causes intramolecular cyclisation of **II** without anion exchange.

# **EXPERIMENTAL**

The compounds I and II were synthesised by the reported procedure <sup>[43]</sup>. **Caution**: The perchlorate salts are potentially explosive and should be handled with care in limited amounts. However, no such observations by us in the present experiments in 1mmol



**Figure 6.** (a) UV–Vis spectra of (1) compound **VIIa**, (2) compound **VIIb** and (3) compound **II**. (b) Emission spectra ( $\lambda_{ex}$ =380 nm) of (1) compound **VIIa**, (2) compound **VIIb** and (3) compound **II**. (In all cases methanolic solution  $6.6 \times 10^{-5}$  M were used)

scale were observed. Nevertheless, they should be handled with care and such reactions are to be carried under a fume cupboard.

#### Synthesis of 2-bromo-N-(quinolin-5-yl) acetamide (III)

The compound was synthesised by a procedure similar to **II**; the difference was the use of 5-aminoquinoline in place of 8-aminoquinoline. Yield: 72%. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3446 (bs), 3019 (m), 1689 (s), 1629 (s), 1596 (m), 1560 (m), 1537 (s), 1408 (m), 1369 (s), 1287 (s), 1235 (m), 1116 (w), 912 (w), 813 (s), 695 (m). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO- $d^6$ , 400MHz): 11.0 (1H, s); 9.5 (1H, d, *J*=8.4Hz); 9.3 (1H, d, *J*=5.2Hz); 8.2 (2H, d, *J*=6.8Hz); 8.0 (2H, m); 4.3 (2H, s). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO- $d^6$ , 100MHz): 29.2, 117.4, 120.8, 123.8, 135.0, 138.1, 143.0, 144.1, 166.6. LC-MS [M+]: calculated for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>9</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>O 264.9932; found 265.0150.

#### Synthesis of compound (IV)

2-Bromo-N-(quinolin-8-yl) propanamide (I) (1 mmol, 0.28 g) was dissolved in methanol (15 ml) and copper (+2) perchlorate hexahydrate (1mmol, 0.370 g) was added. The solution was then stirred for 30 min in room temperature and kept undisturbed. Brown-coloured crystals of compound IV were observed after 4 days. The solution was then filtered and the product was isolated. Yield: 47%. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3433 (bs), 3006 (m), 2934 (w), 2890 (w), 2853 (m), 1704 (s), 1583 (w), 1545 (m), 1495 (w), 1469 (m), 1390 (m), 1275 (w), 1229 (m), 1174 (m), 1143 (s), 1114 (s), 1086 (s), 839 (m), 625 (m). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-d<sup>6</sup>, 400 MHz): 12.3 (s, 1H); 9.6 (d, 1H, J=5.6Hz); 9.3 (d, 1H, J=8.0Hz); 8.3 (d, 1H, J=6.0Hz); 8.1 (d, 1H, J=8.0Hz), 7.9 (t, 1H, J=8.0Hz); 7.6 (d, 1H, J=7.2Hz); 3.5 (s, 3H); 1.9 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-d<sup>6</sup>, 100MHz): 23.7, 48.8, 63.2, 118.0, 122.8, 123.6, 125.5, 129.9, 130.3, 131.0, 147.0, 147.3, 164.5. LC-MS [M+]: calculated for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 229.0977; found 229.0975. Vis: (Methanol,  $\lambda_{max}$ ) 379nm ( $\epsilon$ =4.64 × 10<sup>3</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>).

#### Synthesis of compound (V)

Compound **V** was synthesised by a procedure similar to compound **IV**, except that in this case ethanol was used as solvent instead of methanol. Yield: 63%. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3444 (bs), 1627 (s), 1501(m), 1467 (m), 1399 (m), 1121 (w), 1086 (w), 829 (w), 788 (w), 636 (w). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO- $d^6$ , 400MHz): 11.8 (s, 1H); 9.5 (d, 1H, *J*=5.6Hz); 9.2 (d, 1H, *J*=8.4Hz); 8.2 (t, 1H, *J*=6.0Hz); 8.0 (d, 1H, *J*=8.4Hz); 7.9 (t, 1H, *J*=7.6 Hz); 7.6 (d, 1H, *J*=7.6 Hz); 5.8 (q, 1H, *J*=7.2 Hz); 3.4 (m, 2H); 1.8 (d, 3H, *J*=7.6 Hz); 1.0 (t, 3H, *J*=8.8 Hz). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO- $d^6$ , 100MHz): 19.1, 24.1, 56.7, 63.5, 118.3, 123.2, 124.1, 125.9, 130.2, 130.7, 131.4, 147.3, 147.7,

164.9. LC-MS [M–1]: calculated for  $C_{14}H_{15}N_2O_2$  243.1134; found 243.1302. Vis: (Methanol,  $\lambda_{max}$ ) 369 nm ( $\epsilon{=}8.45\times10^3\,M^{-1}\,cm^{-1}$ ).

#### Synthesis of compound (VI)

2-Bromo-*N*-(quinolin-8-yl) propanamide (**I**) (1 mmol, 0.28g) was dissolved in methanol (15 ml) and nickel (+2) perchlorate hexahydrate (1 mmol, 0.365 g) was added. The solution was stirred for 40 min at room temperature and kept undisturbed. Brown-coloured crystals of compound **VI** were observed after 3 days. The solution was then filtered to isolate the product. Yield: 71%. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3424 (bs), 3043 (m), 2903 (w), 1694 (s), 1607 (w), 1587 (w), 1542 (m), 1492 (w), 1422 (m), 1392(m), 1365 (m), 1241 (w), 1140 (s), 1116 (s), 1078 (s), 835 (m), 760 (w), 624 (m). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-*d*<sup>6</sup>, 400MHz): 11.8 (s, 1H); 9.5 (s, 1H); 9.2 (d, 1H, *J*=7.6Hz); 8.2 (d, 1H, *J*=5.2Hz); 8.0(m, 2H); 7.5 (d, 1H, *J*= 6.8Hz); 5.8 (m, 1H); 1.8 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-*d*<sup>6</sup>, 100MHz): 24.1, 63.5, 118.3, 123.1, 124.0, 125.9, 130.2, 130.6, 131.4, 147.3, 147.6, 164.9. LC-MS [M+]: calculated for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O 199.08 found 199.03. Vis: (Methanol, λ<sub>max</sub>) 395 nm (ε=4.72 × 10<sup>3</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>).

#### Synthesis of compound (VIIa)

2-Bromo-N-(quinolin-8-yl) acetamide (II) (1 mmol, 0.26g) was dissolved in methanol (15ml) and copper (+2) nitrate tetrahydrate trihydrate (1mmol, 0.24g) was added. The solution was stirred for 30min at room temperature and kept undisturbed. Browncoloured crystals of compound VIIa were observed after 3 days. A similar reaction performed with nickel (+2) nitrate resulted in compound VIIa. Yield: 72%. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3434 (bm), 3043 (m), 3013 (m), 2929 (m), 2852 (w), 1698 (s), 1584 (m), 1543 (m), 1491 (w), 1384 (s), 1239 (w), 1154 (w), 1130 (w), 839 (m), 795 (w), 754 (w), 526 (w). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO-*d*<sup>6</sup>, 400 MHz) : 11.8 (s, 1H); 9.2 (d, 1H, J=6.0Hz); 9.1 (d, 1H, J=8.4Hz); 8.2 (t, 1H, J=6.0Hz); 7.9 (m, 2H); 7.5 (d, 1H, J=7.2Hz); 5.6 (s, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DMSO-s<sup>6</sup>, 100MHz): 56.2, 118.1, 122.8, 123.5, 126.7, 130.0, 131.4, 147.2, 147.7, 161.6. LC-MS [M<sup>+</sup>]: calculated for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>9</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O 185.0715; found 185.0626. Vis: (Methanol,  $\lambda_{max}$ ) 386 nm  $(\varepsilon = 1.02 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}).$ 

#### Synthesis of compound (VIIb)

A similar synthetic procedure to **VIIa** was used for the synthesis of compound **VIIb**, the only difference is that in this case copper (+2) perchlorate hexahydrate was used instead of copper (+2) nitrate trihydrate. The reaction was also performed with nickel (+2) perchlorate; resulted in compound **VIIb**. Yield: 74%. IR (KBr,

cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3433 (bm), 3013 (m), 2928 (m), 2852 (m), 1698 (s), 1607 (w), 1584 (m), 1543 (s), 1428 (m), 1417 (m), 1385 (s), 1298 (w), 1240 (m), 1144 (s), 1115 (s), 1079 (s), 909 (w), 838 (m), 754 (m), 624 (m). Vis: (methanol,  $\lambda_{max}$ ) 386nm ( $\epsilon$ =1.60×10<sup>3</sup>M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Foley, L. Tilley, *Pharmacol. Ther.*. **1998**, *79*, 55 87.
- [2] T. J. Egan, D. C. Rossa, P. A. Adams, FEBS Lett. 1994, 352, 54 57.
- [3] A. Fournet, A. A. Barrios, V. Munoz, R. Hocquemiller, A. Cave, A. J. Bruenton, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1993, 37, 859 – 863.
- [4] M. Vezmara, L. W. Deady, L. Tilley, E. Georges, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1997, 241, 104 –111.
- [5] J. Mao, H. Yuan, Y. Wang, B. Wan, M. Pieroni, Q. Huang, R. B. van Breemen, A. P. Kozikowski, S. G. Franzblau, *J. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *52*, 6966 – 6978.
- [6] P. R. Verhoest, D. S. Chapin, M. Corman, K. Fonseca, J. F. Harms, X. Hou, E. S. Marr, F. S. Menniti, F. Nelson, R. O'Connor, J. Pandit, C. P. LaFrance, A. W. Schmidt, C. J. Schmidt, J. A. Suiciak, S. Liras, J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 5188 5196.
- [7] G. X. Li, Z. Q. Liu, X. Y. Luo, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 45, 1821 –1827.
- [8] H. Zeng, R. Cao, H. Zhang, Chem. Biol. Drug Des. 2009, 74, 596 610.
- [9] E. Milner, W. McCalmont, J. Bhonsle, D. Caridha, D. Carroll, S. Gardner, L. Gerena, M. Gettayacamin, C. Lanteri, T. Luong, V. Melendez, J. Moon, N. Roncal, J. Sousa, A. Tungtaeng, P. Wipf, G. Dow, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *20*, 1347–1351.
- [10] I. Deb, P. Paira, A. Hazra, S. Banerjee, P. K. Dutta, N. B. Mondal, S. Das, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2009; 17, 5782–5790.
- [11] R. B. Toche, B. P. Pagar, R. R. Zoman, G. B. Shinde, M. N. Jachak, *Tetrahedron* **2010**, *66*, 5204 – 5211.
- [12] N. G. Kozlov, K. N. Gusak, Russ. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 46, 1074 -1078.
- [13] B. S. Rane, M. A. Kazi, S. M. Bagul, D. P. Shelar, R. B. Toche, M. N. Jachak, J. Fluoresc. 2010; 20, 415–420.
- [14] B. Jiang, C. Li, S.-J. Tu, F. Shi, J. Comb. Chem. 2010, 12, 482-487.
- [15] Z. Pruckova, A. Klasek, A. Lycka, I. Miksik, A. Ruzicka, *Tetrahedron* 2009, 65, 9103 –9115.
- [16] V. Singh, S. Hutait, S. Batra, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 3454 -3466.
- [17] S. A. Worlikar, R. C. Larock, J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7175 –7180.
- [18] F. Shi, D. Zhou, C. Li, Q. Shao, L. Cao, S. Tu, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2008, 45, 405–410.

- [19] A. P. Kadutskii, N. G. Kozlov, Russ. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 42, 1388-1391.
- [20] I. Y. Tao, R. T. Blickenstaff, J. Pharm. Sci. 1978, 67, 283–284.
- [21] I. Y. Tao, R. T. Blickenstaff, Steroids 1976, 205 –210.
- [22] C. Rangheard, D. Proriol, H. Olivier-Bourbigou, P. Braunstein, *Dalton Trans.* 2009, 770 –772.
- [23] K. Wang, K. Wedeking, W. Zuo, D. Zhang, W.-H. Sun, J. Organomet. Chem. 2008, 693, 1073–1080.
- [24] W.-H. Sun, K. Wang, K. Wedeking, D. Zhang, S. Zhang, J. Cai, Y. Li, Organometallics 2007, 26, 4781–4790.
- [25] M. Bortoluzzi, B. Paolucci, B. Pitteri, A. Vavasori, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2006, 9, 1301–1303.
- [26] Y. Xie, G. Gog, Y. Liu, S. Deng, A. Rinderspacher, L. Branden, D. W. Landry, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2009, 49, 2320 –2323.
- [27] Y. Feng, Y. Wang, B. Landgraf, S. Liu, G. Chen, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3414 –3417.
- [28] D. Kalita, J. B. Baruah, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2010, 47, 459–462.
- [29] D. Kalita, J. B. Baruah, CrystEngComm 2010, 12, 1562 –1567.
- [30] J. Kang, S. P. Jang, Y.-H. Kim, J. H. Lee, E. B. Park, H. G. Lee, J. H. Kim, Y. Kim, S.-J. Kim, C. Kim, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2010**, *51*, 6658–6662.
- [31] S. E. Denmark, A. Thorarensen, Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 137–165.
- [32] J. D. Winkler, Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 167-176.
- [33] 1,3-Dipolar cycloaddition chemistry Vols. I and II., (Ed: A. Padwa), Wiley-Interscience, New York, **1984**.
- [34] A. Katritzky, A. F. Pozhaskii, *Handbook of heterocyclic chemistry*, (2nd ed.), Pergamon, Amsterdam, **2000**.
- [35] R. Beugelmans, M. Bois-Choussy, J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 2518-2522.
- [36] J. E. M. N. Klein, A. Perry, D. S. Pugh, R. J. K. Taylor, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3446 –3449
- [37] G. Smith, U. D. Wermuth, J. M. White, Chem. Commun. 2000, 2349 –2350.
- [38] Some examples: J. Kang, S. P. Jang, Y.-H. Kim, J. H. Lee, E. B. Park, H. G. Lee, J. H. Kim, Y. Kim, S. J. Kim, C. Kim, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2010**, *51*, 6658 6662.
- [39] M. A. Triyanti, S. Schiffers, O. Osetska, G. Raabe, T. Wieland, L. Russo, K. Rissanen, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 2850 –2858.
- [40] A. M. Triyanti, G. de Marita, B. Matthias, W. Elmar, Synlett 2005, 2095 –2097.
- [41] A. Karmakar, R. J. Sarma, J. B. Baruah, CrystEngComm 2007, 9, 378 –388.
- [42] A. Karmakar, J. B. Baruah, Supramol. Chem. 2008, 20, 667-674.
- [43] A. Karmakar, D. Kalita, J. B. Baruah, J. Mol. Struct. 2009, 935, 247–527.