

Characterization of the $\mu-(\eta^1\text{-C: } \eta^2\text{-S,S'})$ dithiocarboxylate complexes $\text{Cp}(\text{CO})_2\text{Fe}-\text{CS}_2-\text{Zr}(\text{X})\text{Cp}_2$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{OCMe}_3$); CS_2 insertion into the FeZr bond of the heterobimetallic complex $\text{Cp}(\text{CO})_2\text{Fe}-\text{Zr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$

John R. Pinkes, Stephen M. Tetrick, Bruce E. Landrum, Alan R. Cutler *

Department of Chemistry, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, NY 12180, USA

Received 4 June 1997; received in revised form 18 May 1998


Abstract

Treatment of the carbon disulfide adducts FpCS_2K and $\text{Fp}'\text{CS}_2\text{K}$ [$\text{Fp}' = (\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_4\text{CH}_3)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2$] with Cp_2ZrCl_2 affords the $\mu-(\eta^1\text{-C: } \eta^2\text{-S,S'})$ dithiocarboxylate complexes $\text{FpCS}_2\text{ZrClCp}_2$ (**1**) and $\text{Fp}'\text{CS}_2\text{ZrClCp}_2$ (**2**). Both stable products were fully characterized. Metathesis between FpCS_2K and $\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}(\text{OCMe}_3)$ provided $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Zr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**3**), which was not obtained analytically pure. This product was characterized by comparison of its IR and ^1H -, $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ -NMR spectral data with that for **1** and **2**. The iron–zirconium complex $\text{FpZr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**4**) was transformed by one equivalent of CS_2 to **3** (75% spectroscopic yield), a reaction that did not occur for FpZrClCp_2 . An insertion pathway is discussed for incorporating the CS_2 into the $\text{Fe}-\text{Zr}$ bond of $\text{FpZr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$. © 1998 Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Recently we reported that $\text{Fp}-\text{ZrClCp}_2$ [$\text{Fp} = \text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{Cp}$; $\text{Cp} = \eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5$] incorporates carbon dioxide and efficiently gives the known [1] $\text{FeZr } \mu-(\eta^1\text{-C: } \eta^2\text{-O,O'})$ bimetalloxydicarbonyl $\text{FpCO}_2\text{ZrClCp}_2$, Eq. 1 [2]. A CO_2 insertion pathway requiring bifunctional activation and insertion of the CO_2 was favored. An alternative pathway required prior ionization of the iron–zirconium bond on $\text{Fp}-\text{ZrClCp}_2$ to give Fp^- and $\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}(\text{THF})^+$; the resulting Fp^- could intercept the

CO_2 as FpCO_2^- [3], which then trapped the zirconocene electrophile and produced the observed $\text{FpCO}_2\text{ZrClCp}_2$. This ionization pathway, however, was inconsistent with the results of carbon disulfide trapping experiments. If $\text{Fp}-\text{ZrClCp}_2$ did ionize under the conditions of the CO_2 insertion experiments (THF , 0°C), then it should intercept CS_2 as the relatively stable dithiocarboxylate FpCS_2^- [4] and perhaps form the (then unreported) $\text{FpCS}_2\text{ZrClCp}_2$ (**1**). We observed however that $\text{Fp}-\text{ZrClCp}_2$ was inert towards CS_2 under comparable reaction conditions.



Other examples of CO_2 incorporation into metal–metal bonds of heterobimetallic complexes have been reported [5]. Several examples of CS_2 insertion into

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 518 2768447. Fax: +1514 2764045.

metal–metal bonds also are known ([5]b,c), the most recent example having been reported for the Fe–Zr complex $\text{HC}(\text{SiMe}_2\text{NC}_6\text{H}_4\text{F}-2)_3\text{ZrFe}(\text{CO})_2\text{Cp}$ ([5]c, [6]). We now report the synthesis and characterization of the $\mu-(\eta^1\text{-C: } \eta^2\text{-S,S'})$ dithiocarboxylate complexes $\text{FpCS}_2\text{ZrClCp}_2$ (**1**) and $\text{Fp}'\text{CS}_2\text{ZrClCp}_2$ (**2**) [$\text{Fp}' = \text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_4\text{CH}_3)$], the partial characterization of $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Zr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**3**), and details on the reactions of CS_2 with $\text{FpZr}(\text{X})\text{Cp}_2$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{OCMe}_3$).

2. Experimental section

2.1. Materials

Synthetic manipulations were performed in a nitrogen atmosphere using a combination of standard Schlenk line, glovebox, and vacuum line procedures [7]. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Model 1600 spectrophotometer. ^1H - and $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ -NMR spectra were recorded in C_6D_6 (which had been stored over 3A molecular sieves) using a Varian Unity 500 spectrometer, and the data were reported as δ values relative to residual $\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{H}$ (^1H : 7.15 ppm) and C_6D_6 (^{13}C : 128.00 ppm). Tetrahydrofuran (THF), diethyl ether, pentane, and hexane were distilled from sodium/benzophenone ketyl, and methylene chloride was distilled from P_2O_5 . The organometallic compounds $\text{Cp}(\text{CO})_2\text{FeK}$ (FpK) [8], $[(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_4\text{CH}_3)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2]_2$ or (Fp'_2) [9], $\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}(\text{OCMe}_3)$ [10], and $\text{FpZr}(\text{X})\text{Cp}_2$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{OCMe}_3$) [11] were prepared by literature procedures and judged pure by IR and ^1H -NMR spectroscopy. Samples of $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Fe}(\text{CO})\text{Cp}$ and $\text{FpC}(\text{S})\text{SFp}$ were available from a previous study [12]. All other reagents were used as received. Elemental microanalyses were performed by Quantitative Technologies, N.J.

2.2. Synthesis of

$(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)(\text{CO})_2\text{FeCS}_2\text{Zr}(\text{Cl})(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2$ (**1**)

To a dark orange solution of FpK (218 mg, 1.0 mmol) in 10 ml of THF, precooled to -23°C , was added 60 μl of carbon disulfide (1.0 mmol). An IR spectrum of the orange-red solution after 20 min was consistent with quantitative transformation of FpK to FpCS_2K ([4]a, [12]), $\nu(\text{CO})$ 1999, 1945 cm^{-1} . To this solution was added Cp_2ZrCl_2 (293 mg, 1.0 mmol) in 10 ml of THF, and an IR spectrum of the crimson solution after 20 min showed only absorptions that were attributed to $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Zr}(\text{Cl})\text{Cp}_2$ (**1**), $\nu(\text{CO})$ 2029, 1982 cm^{-1} , along with residual CS_2 , 1520 cm^{-1} . The THF was evaporated at room temperature and the resulting brown solid was suspended in 15 ml of benzene. Addition of 100 ml of hexane precipitated a

greenish brown solid having a yellow hue and left a light orange supernatant solution, which was removed. The remaining solid was extracted with 75 ml of benzene, and the filtrate was evaporated to a green-brown solid. This solid was suspended in benzene (15 ml), treated with hexane (100 ml), and filtered. The 380 mg of greenish brown powder (with a yellowish hue) that remained after washing with hexane and vacuum drying was identified as $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Zr}(\text{Cl})\text{Cp}_2$ (**1**), yield 70% and m.p. 187–191 $^\circ\text{C}$ (decomposition). IR (CH_2Cl_2) 2034, 1987 cm^{-1} ; IR (KBr) 2037, 1968 cm^{-1} $\nu(\text{CO})$, 934, 851 cm^{-1} $\nu(\text{SCS})$; ^1H -NMR (C_6D_6) δ 5.92 (s, Cp_2Zr), 4.13 (s, CpFe); $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ -NMR (CDCl_3) 323.62 (s, CS_2), 212.05 (s, CO), 112.32 (Cp_2Zr), 87.84 (CpFe). Anal. Calc. for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{15}\text{O}_2\text{S}_2\text{FeZrCl}$: %C, 42.40; %H, 2.96. Found: %C, 42.43; %H, 2.86. A somewhat lower yield (39%) was realized by working up the reaction by benzene extraction (5×5 ml) and precipitation from THF-pentane (5–25 ml, -20°C).

2.3. Synthesis of

$(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_4\text{CH}_3)(\text{CO})_2\text{FeCS}_2\text{Zr}(\text{Cl})(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2$ (**2**)

A THF solution of $\text{Fp}'\text{K}$ (1.0 mmol, 10 ml) was generated by sonication (1 h) of Fp'_2 (192 mg, 0.50 mmol) with potassium metal (150 mg) ([8]c), $\nu(\text{CO})$ 1866, 1792 cm^{-1} . The dark red centrifugate was cooled to -23°C and treated with CS_2 (60 μl , 1.0 mmol); an IR spectrum of the resulting orange-red solution after 20 min indicated quantitative formation of $\text{Fp}'\text{CS}_2\text{K}$ ([4]a, [12]), $\nu(\text{CO})$ 1966, 1942 cm^{-1} . Addition of a THF solution (10 ml) of Cp_2ZrCl_2 (293 mg, 1.0 mmol) to this precooled solution (-23°C) provided a dark red solution within 20 min, $\nu(\text{CO})$ 2026, 1979 cm^{-1} . The reaction mixture was evaporated at room temperature and the green-brown residue was slurried with 5 ml of benzene before 100 ml of hexane was added. A light greenish brown solid and a light yellow-brown supernatant solution resulted, which was filtered. The remaining solid was extracted with 75 ml of benzene (light golden brown), filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to a golden brown solid. This solid was suspended in 5 ml of benzene, diluted with excess hexane, and filtered. The remaining mustard-colored powder was washed with hexane (3×5 ml) and dried in vacuo, yielding 310 mg (59% yield) of $\text{Fp}'\text{CS}_2\text{Zr}(\text{Cl})\text{Cp}_2$, m.p. 170–172 $^\circ\text{C}$ (decomposition); IR (KBr) 2023, 1972 cm^{-1} $\nu(\text{CO})$, 922, 848 cm^{-1} $\nu(\text{SCS})$; ^1H -NMR (C_6D_6) δ 5.94 (s, Cp_2Zr), 4.13 (m), 4.00 (m) ($\text{Cp}'\text{Fe}$), 1.43 (s) ($\text{CH}_3\text{-Cp}'$); $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ -NMR (C_6D_6) δ 325.59 (CS_2), 213.64 (CO), 112.58 (Cp_2Zr), 87.78, 86.72 ($\text{Cp}'\text{Fe}$), 12.38 (CH_3). Anal. Calc. for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{17}\text{O}_2\text{S}_2\text{FeZrCl}$: %C, 43.55; %H, 3.27. Found: %C, 43.72; %H, 3.24.

2.4. Preparation of

$(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)(\text{CO})_2\text{FeCS}_2\text{Zr}(\text{OCMe}_3)(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2$ (**3**)

A precooled (-23°C) solution of FpK (0.243 g, 1.12 mmol) in THF (10 ml) was treated with CS_2 (70 μl , 1.16 mmol) for 20 min before a 5 ml THF solution of $\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}(\text{OCMe}_3)$ (0.371 g, 1.12 mmol) was added. An IR spectrum of the orange-red solution after 20 min was consistent with quantitative formation of $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Zr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**3**), $\nu(\text{CO})$ 2024, 1976 cm^{-1} . The solution was warmed to room temperature and the solvent was evaporated. Benzene extraction (4×5 ml) and filtration followed by concentration of the solution to 5 ml left a red-brown suspension, which was diluted with 100 ml of pentane. The resulting brown precipitate was filtered, washed with pentane (3×10 ml), and dried in vacuo: yield 530 mg of material that contains 82% $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Zr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**3**) (as ascertained by ^1H -NMR spectral integration versus PhOMe internal standard) along with low concentrations of several unidentified Cp_2Zr -containing and other organic residues. IR (THF) 2024, 1976(vs), 1536(w), 1190(m), 1015(s), 834(m), 798(s) cm^{-1} ; (KBr) 2031, 1960 cm^{-1} $\nu(\text{CO})$; ^1H -NMR (C_6D_6) δ 6.03 (Cp_2Zr), 4.26 (CpFe), 1.18 (Me); $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ -NMR (C_6D_6) δ 312.44 (s, CS_2), 214.27 (CO), 111.65 (Cp_2Zr), 87.84 (CpFe), 79.34 (OCMe_3), 32.46 (Me). Attempts to further purify **3** by precipitation from THF-pentane or toluene-pentane (-78°C to room temperature) inevitably reduced the purity of this material such that multiple impurity resonances also were detected in the Cp_2Zr , CpFe , and OCMe_3 regions.

^1H -NMR spectra of $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Zr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**3**) exhibited intense singlets (relative intensities, 10:5:9) in the appropriate Cp_2Zr , CpFe , and OCMe_3 regions, respectively. Although no other organometallic products were identified, the NMR spectra typically had several weak intensity singlets flanking the Fp and Cp_2Zr Cp singlets. The purity of 82% **3** was quantitated by integration of these spectra versus the anisole internal standard. All attempts to crystallize or precipitate **3** inevitably degraded it as evidenced by reduced yields and often an increased presence of impurities.

2.5. Preparation of $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)(\text{CO})_2\text{Fe-Zr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**4**)

A 50 ml Schlenk flask was charged with FpK (72 mg, 0.333 mmol) and $\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}(\text{OCMe}_3)$ (110 mg, 0.333 mmol) in the glove box. A magnetic stirbar was added, and the flask was attached to a vacuum line; THF (10 ml) was added to the flask and the yellow-brown slurry was stirred for 30 min. IR spectra of the resulting yellow-brown solution showed the pres-

ence of $\text{FpZr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**4**), $\nu(\text{CO})$ 1942, 1887 cm^{-1} [11] along with at most traces of Fp_2 (1992, 1954, 1782 cm^{-1}) and FpH (2012, 1990 cm^{-1}). The solution was evaporated and benzene extracts of the residue (3×5 ml) were filtered through oven-dried Celite. After evaporation of the combined extracts, the residue was dissolved in 5 ml of toluene and the solution was cooled to -78°C . Bright yellow crystals deposited of **4** formed, which were separated from the supernatant solution, washed with ether (3 ml), and dried under vacuum. Yield **4** 30 mg (19%); IR (KBr) 1937, 1870 cm^{-1} $\nu(\text{CO})$, 1358, 1182, 1002, 829, 797, 738 cm^{-1} ; ^1H -NMR (C_6D_6) δ 5.91 (Cp_2Zr), 4.10 (CpFe), 1.14 (Me); $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ -NMR (C_6D_6) δ 219.81 (CO), 110.58 (Cp_2Zr), 82.43 (CpFe), 80.67 (OCMe_3), 31.72 (Me).

2.6. Reaction of $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)(\text{CO})_2\text{FeZr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**4**) with CS_2

A THF solution of $\text{FpZr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**4**) (1.0 mmol, 20 ml) was generated from FpK (218 mg) and $\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}(\text{OCMe}_3)$ (330 mg); an IR spectrum of the yellow solution after 10 min was consistent with the presence of only **4**, $\nu(\text{CO})$ 1942, 1887 cm^{-1} [11], along with at most trace amounts of Fp_2 (1992, 1950, 1782 cm^{-1}) and FpH (2012, 1990 cm^{-1}). CS_2 (60.0 μl , 1.0 mmol) was added by syringe, and the solution was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. IR spectral monitoring established that the $\nu(\text{CO})$ bands at 1942, 1887 cm^{-1} had been replaced by two new bands at 2024, 1976 cm^{-1} , ca. 80% of the original intensity (absorbance), plus $\nu(\text{CO})$ bands for Fp_2 , the only other IR-detectable Fp compound (15% yield). The resulting reaction mixture was worked up by benzene extraction and precipitation from THF-pentane (-20°C) to give 530 mg of a gummy red-brown solid. It was assayed by ^1H -NMR spectroscopy using an anisole internal standard: **4** was the main product (75% yield), with the balance being Fp_2 (15%) plus the usual degradation residues.

2.7. Reaction of $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)(\text{CO})_2\text{FeZr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**4**) with CS_2 in C_6D_6

An NMR tube was loaded with a C_6D_6 solution (0.75 ml) of $\text{FpZr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**4**) and anisole (3.0 μl , 27.6 μmol). ^1H -NMR spectroscopic integration of this sample was used to quantify the **4** (15.4 μmol), and degassed CS_2 (1.0 μl , 16.6 μmol) then was injected. The reaction was monitored by NMR spectroscopy as the initial yellow solution gradually turned orange and finally dark red over 48 h. At this time, 15% of **4** remained, and a 61% yield of $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Zr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**3**) was quantified.

2.8. Attempted reaction of $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)(\text{CO})_2\text{FeZr}(\text{Cl})\text{Cp}_2$ with CS_2

A THF solution (5 ml) of FpZrClCp_2 was generated from the reaction between FpK (54 mg, 0.25 mmol) and Cp_2ZrCl_2 (73 mg, 0.25 mmol). An IR spectrum of the resulting orange solution after 20 min registered intense $\nu(\text{CO})$ absorptions of FpZrClCp_2 [11] at 1955, 1905 cm^{-1} , along with absorptions indicative of the presence of 4% Fp_2 . Carbon disulfide (38.0 μl , 0.50 mmol) was added by syringe, and the solution was monitored by IR spectroscopy. The only reaction that was observed was the gradual conversion of FpZrClCp_2 into Fp_2 over 3 h. When the same reaction was conducted at 0°C , only a 10% conversion of FpZrClCp_2 to Fp_2 was noted; $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Zr}(\text{Cl})\text{Cp}_2$ (**1**) was not detected during either reaction.

2.9. Attempted reactions of

$(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)(\text{CO})_2\text{FeZr}(\text{Cl})\text{Cp}_2$ with $\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cl}$ and of $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)(\text{CO})_2\text{FeZr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**4**) with Cp_2ZrCl_2

FpZrClCp_2 was generated in a THF solution (20 ml) from FpK (75 mg, 0.35 mmol) and Cp_2ZrCl_2 (101 mg, 0.35 mmol). This solution was transferred via cannula to a second 100-ml Schlenk flask containing a magnetic stir bar and $\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cl}$ (121 mg, 0.35 mmol). The resulting orange solution showed no formation of **4**, as adduced via IR spectroscopy, over 1 h. (varying mixtures of both, Fp –zirconocene complexes easily could be distinguished, particularly by their lower frequency $\nu(\text{CO})$ absorptions, 1905 and 1887 cm^{-1} , respectively; 5–10% quantities of either bimetallic compound was detected in the presence of the other.) The only discernible change for this reaction was a gradual darkening to orange-brown as increasing amounts of Fp_2 appeared. Essentially the same results were obtained after treating $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)(\text{CO})_2\text{FeZr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**4**) (0.52 mmol in 20 ml of THF) with 1.0 equivalent of Cp_2ZrCl_2 under identical conditions.

2.10. Attempted reaction of

$(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)(\text{CO})_2\text{FeZr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**4**) with ethyl bromide

To a THF solution of **4** (0.054 mmol in 3.0 ml) was added excess ethyl bromide (10 μl , 0.13 mmol). The results of IR spectral examination over three quarters of an hour were consistent with the absence of FpCH_2CH_3 , although a gradual increase of Fp_2 (20%) was noted. Control reaction: a THF solution of FpK (108 mg, 0.50 mmol in 20 ml) was treated with $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Br}$ (40 μl , 0.52 mmol). Both the immediate change in color (dark orange to yellow) and in the IR

spectrum ($\nu(\text{CO})$ 1869, 1792, 1773 to 1991, 1941 cm^{-1}) were consistent with quantitative conversion of FpK to the well known [13] FpCH_2CH_3 .


2.11. Thermal degradation of

$(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)(\text{CO})_2\text{FeCS}_2\text{Zr}(\text{Cl})(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2$ (**1**)


A solution of $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Zr}(\text{Cl})\text{Cp}_2$ (**1**) (50 mg, 0.098 mmol) in 2.5 ml of THF was maintained at room temperature for 12 h as its color changed from green to crimson. An infrared spectrum obtained after this time showed bands which correspond to an approximate 3:1 ratio of $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Fe}(\text{CO})\text{Cp}$ (**5**) [12] (2029, 2013, 1977 cm^{-1}) to $\text{FpC}(\text{S})\text{SFp}$ (**6**) (2029, 1982, 1937 cm^{-1}) [12], based upon the $\nu(\text{CO})$ absorption intensities. The THF was evaporated and the ^1H -NMR spectrum that was recorded (C_6D_6) for the dark red residue had a 4:3:1 ratio of $(\text{Cp}_2\text{ClZr})_2\text{O}$ [δ 6.02 (s, Cp_2Zr)], **5** [δ 4.27, 4.14 (s, CpFe)], and **6** [δ 4.17, 4.03 (s, CpFe)]. Only trace amounts of the (independently prepared) $(\text{Cp}_2\text{ClZr})_2\text{S}$ [δ 5.87 (s, Cp_2Zr)] [14] were detected.

3. Results and discussion

The CS_2 adduct FpCS_2K is available in essentially quantitative yield by adding one equivalent (or more) of CS_2 to Fp^- in THF at ca. 0°C . Although this metallodithiocarboxylate, originally prepared by Ellis ([4]a), is stable up to at least at 0°C , it has not been isolated. Rather, it has been derivatized via its reactions with numerous organic and inorganic electrophiles that produce fully characterized dithiocarboxylate esters, e.g. FpCS_2Fp with FpI [4,15] and the μ -($\eta^1\text{-C}$: $\eta^2\text{-S,S'}$) adduct $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Fe}(\text{CO})\text{Cp}$ with $\text{CpFe}(\text{CO})(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})_2^+\text{BF}_4^-$ [12].



Treatment of THF solutions of FpCS_2K or its methylcyclopentadienyl analog $\text{Fp}'\text{CS}_2\text{K}$ at -23°C with one equivalent of zirconocene dichloride cleanly produced the μ -($\eta^1\text{-C}$: $\eta^2\text{-S,S'}$) dithiocarboxylate complexes $\text{FpCS}_2\text{ZrClCp}_2$ (**1**) and $\text{Fp}'\text{CS}_2\text{ZrClCp}_2$ (**2**), Eq. 2. Monitoring the IR spectra of these reactions was especially useful: with the former reaction the intense terminal carbonyl $\nu(\text{CO})$ bands of FpCS_2K , 1999, 1945 cm^{-1} , were replaced by those for **1**, 2029, 1982 cm^{-1} . Subsequent workup of the reaction by extraction and precipitation from benzene/hexane left analytically pure **1** as a greenish brown powder in 70% yield. A



somewhat lower isolated yield of **2** (59%) was realized from an otherwise similar reaction.


Although both **1** and **2** appeared to be thermally stable as solids at room temperature, their THF solutions slowly degraded. Over 12 h, solutions of **1** at room temperature converted to 3:1 mixtures of $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Fe(CO)Cp}$ and FpC(S)SFp plus $(\text{Cp}_2\text{ClZr})_2\text{O}$ as the major Cp_2Zr -containing species. The presence of both $\text{Fe}_2 \mu\text{-CS}_2$ complexes was confirmed by IR and by ^1H - and $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ -NMR spectroscopy, but curiously we detected only trace amounts of the (independently prepared) μ -sulfido zirconocene complex $(\text{Cp}_2\text{ClZr})_2\text{S}$ [14]. This obviously complex degradation of **1** was not further investigated.

The IR and NMR spectroscopy of **1** and **2** is consistent with the presence of isolated Fp (or Fp') and Zr(Cl)Cp_2 fragments that are bridged by $\mu\text{-(}\eta^1\text{-C:}\eta^2\text{-S,S') CS}_2$ ligands. Such structures resemble that proposed for the carbon dioxide analog $\text{FpCO}_2\text{Zr(Cl)Cp}_2$ [1,2] and substantiated for the isolobal heterobimetallic $\mu\text{-(}\eta^1\text{-C:}\eta^2\text{-O,O') CO}_2$ compounds $\text{Cp}^*(\text{CO})_2\text{RuCO}_2\text{ZrClCp}_2$ and $\text{Cp}^*(\text{CO})(\text{NO})\text{ReCO}_2\text{ZrClCp}_2$ by X-ray crystallographic structure determinations [16]. ^1H -NMR spectra for **1** and **2** accordingly feature a 2:1 intensity relationship between Cp_2Zr resonance and the CpFe singlet (or $\text{Cp}'\text{Fe}$ multiplets); for **1**, their chemical shifts (δ 5.92, 4.13, respectively) resemble those for $\text{FpCO}_2\text{Zr(Cl)Cp}_2$ (δ 6.09, 4.15) in C_6D_6 . $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ -NMR spectra of **1** and **2** feature a CS_2 absorption at δ 324–326, which is not far removed from that previously recorded for FpCS_2K (δ 309) [12]. Other related CS_2 absorptions occur at δ 298 for $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Fe(CO)Cp}$ and at δ 306 FpC(S)SFp [12].

IR spectral data for **1** and **2** also can be compared with that for $\text{FpCO}_2\text{Zr(Cl)Cp}_2$. Thus, the two intense $\nu(\text{CO})$ absorptions for **1** (2029, 1982 cm^{-1}) and for **2** (2026, 1979 cm^{-1}) attests to the presence of an electronic Fp


environment resembling that observed for $\text{FpCO}_2\text{Zr(Cl)Cp}_2$ (2032, 1977 cm^{-1}) [2]. Instead of the appearance of the carboxylate $\nu(\text{OCO})$ absorptions in the δ 1375–1250 cm^{-1} region for $\text{FpCO}_2\text{Zr(Cl)Cp}_2$, **1** and **2** exhibit two medium intensity $\nu(\text{SCS})$ absorptions ([6]c) at 930, 850 cm^{-1} (KBr pellet); only the latter absorption could be assigned in THF solution (947–849 cm^{-1}) due to solvent interference. These dithiocarboxylate stretching frequencies were assigned only after also examining analogous spectral data for FpZr(Cl)Cp_2 and for FpCS_2K . Related $\nu(\text{SCS})$ data (KBr) is available for $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Fe(CO)Cp}$ (914, 876 cm^{-1} , medium) and for FpC(S)SFp (1000 cm^{-1} , strong), which is consistent with the usual appearance of two $\nu(\text{SCS})$ absorptions for $\mu\text{-(}\eta^1\text{-C:}\eta^2\text{-S,S')}$ dithiocarboxylates and one for nonchelating $\mu\text{-(}\eta^1\text{-C:}\eta^1\text{-S)}$ dithiocarboxylates ([6]c, [12]).

The *t*-butoxy-substituted dithiocarboxylate $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Zr(OCMe}_3\text{)Cp}_2$ (**3**) also can be generated from metathesis of FpCS_2K and the appropriate zirconocene chloride (Eq. 3), although isolating and working with **3** is limited by its relatively low thermal stability. IR spectral monitoring of the reaction mixture appeared similar to that observed for generating **1** and **2**: **3** was the only Fp-containing material present, other than for trace amounts of Fp_2 . Workup of the reaction mixtures entailed benzene extraction and precipitation with pentane in order to produce a brown powder. ^1H -NMR spectra of this material were consistent with a single set of dominant Cp_2Zr , CpFe , and OCMe_3 resonances, although several very weak singlets appeared near each resonance for **3**. The 83% yield of **3** was quantitated by integration versus an internal standard. The purest samples resulted only after minimal handling and a brief workup: further attempts at purifying this material or indeed leaving it in THF or benzene solutions further degraded it to multiple unidentified products.



Identification of $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Zr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**3**) rests upon the resemblance of its IR and ^1H -, $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ -NMR spectral data with that for **1** and **2**. The NMR chemical shifts for the Cp resonances of **3** are comparable to those for **1**, in addition to the 2:1 intensity relationship between Cp_2Zr and the CpFe singlets in the ^1H -NMR spectra. ^1H - and ^{13}C -NMR spectral absorptions for the zirconocene Cp and OCMe_3 groups on **3** (δ 6.03, 1.18 and 112, 79, 32) nevertheless can be differentiated from those observed for $\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}(\text{OCMe}_3)$ (δ 5.99, 1.06 and 113, 80, 32). Although the presence of the bridging CS_2 ligand was established by its ^{13}C -NMR spectral absorption at δ 312, the assignment of the corresponding dithiocarboxylate IR $\nu(\text{SCS})$ absorptions was precluded by the presence of interfering, broad OCMe_3 absorptions.

The dithiocarboxylate **3** also can be generated from



the reaction between Casey's $\text{FpZr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**4**) [11] and CS_2 , Eq. 3. One equivalent of CS_2 transformed **4** in THF solution at room temperature to **3** (75%) plus Fp_2 (15%). We used IR spectroscopy to monitor this clean transformation; ^1H -NMR spectra of the isolated material were consistent with a 75% yield of **3**. The same reaction in C_6D_6 solution took much longer, with a maximum 61% conversion recorded after 48 h. Preliminary observations likewise are available for converting **4** and carbon dioxide to a similar CO_2 adduct [17]. Surprisingly, FpZrClCp_2 [11] did not incorporate CS_2 under similar conditions. Reaction between FpZrClCp_2 and CS_2 at room temperature yielded Fp_2 promptly and quantitatively, whereas no detectable reaction occurred at 0°C over at least 3 h. Under either set of reaction conditions, $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Zr}(\text{Cl})\text{Cp}_2$ (**1**) was not detected.

An unresolved issue concerns the facility with which CO_2 adds to both $\text{FpZr}(\text{X})\text{Cp}_2$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{OCMe}_3$ (**4**)), whereas CS_2 incorporates into **4** but not FpZrClCp_2 . One possible rationale is that the CS_2 reaction requires ionization of the iron–zirconium bond and formation of FpCS_2^- , $\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{OCMe}_3)(\text{THF})^+$ (which converts to the observed **3**), whereas CO_2 insertion entails a direct, perhaps bimetallic, pathway [18]. Analogous autoionization of FpZrClCp_2 evidently would not be available under these conditions. The ionization pathway for **4**, however, can be ruled out on the basis of two observations.

First, treatment of **4** with ethyl bromide did not produce any FpCH_2CH_3 [13], which would have been expected if **4** had ionized in THF solution to Fp^- , $\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{OCMe}_3)(\text{THF})^+$. A 3–5% conversion of **4** to FpCH_2CH_3 [13] easily would have been detected by IR

spectroscopy. In contrast, FpK immediately and quantitatively underwent alkylation by one equivalent of ethyl bromide under comparable reaction conditions to yield FpCH_2CH_3 . The second observation pertains to the absence of any zirconocene exchange upon treating FpZrClCp_2 with one equivalent of $\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}(\text{OCMe}_3)$, Eq. 4. Likewise, attempting the reaction in its reverse direction, mixing **4** with one equivalent of Cp_2ZrCl_2 , likewise did not afford any detectable (5% limit by IR spectroscopy) FpZrClCp_2 . If FpZrClCp_2 had ionized in THF solution in the presence of $\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}(\text{OCMe}_3)$ (forward direction, Eq. 4), then some of the resulting Fp^- should have been intercepted and converted to **4**, which corresponds to its synthetic procedure. We conclude that **4** does not ionize in THF solution to give accessible Fp^- , consonant with our previous observations for FpZrClCp_2 [2].

4. Conclusions

We have synthesized the $\mu-(\eta^1\text{-C}: \eta^2\text{-S,S'})$ dithiocarboxylate complexes $\text{FpCS}_2\text{ZrClCp}_2$ (**1**) and $\text{Fp}'\text{CS}_2\text{ZrClCp}_2$ (**2**) from the reactions between FpCS_2K and zirconocene dichloride; both stable products were fully characterized. Although the iron–zirconium complex FpZrClCp_2 inserts carbon dioxide to give $\text{FpCO}_2\text{ZrClCp}_2$ [2], CS_2 incorporation into the same FeZr bimetallic complex was not observed. Metathesis between FpCS_2K and $\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}(\text{OCMe}_3)$ provided $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Zr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**3**), which was not obtained analytically pure. This product was characterized by comparison of its IR and ^1H -, $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ -NMR spectral data with that for **1** and **2**. The iron–zirconium complex $\text{FpZr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**4**) adds carbon disulfide to give $\text{FpCS}_2\text{Zr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ (**3**) in at least 75% spectroscopic yield.

We are unable to resolve the dilemma concerning the ease with which CO_2 adds to both $\text{FpZr}(\text{X})\text{Cp}_2$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{OCMe}_3$ (**4**)), forming the $\text{FeZr } \mu\text{-CO}_2$ complexes, whereas only **4** interposes CS_2 , giving **3**. The unique reactivity of **4** towards CS_2 , however, can not be attributed to autoionization of **4** to Fp^- and $\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{OCMe}_3)(\text{THF})^+$ in order for the Fp^- to bind the CS_2 as FpCS_2^- prior to reacting with the zirconium electrophile. All attempts to spectroscopically probe or otherwise encourage an ionization equilibrium involving $\text{FpZr}(\text{X})\text{Cp}_2$ (via trapping of the Fp^-) have failed. Studies in progress address the reconfiguration of the coordination environments of heterobimetallic complexes in order to facilitate CO_2 and CS_2 'insertion' into the metal–metal bonds.

Acknowledgements

Support from the National Science Foundation, Grant CHE-9108591, is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] (a) J.C. Vites, B.D. Steffey, M.E. Giuseppetti-Dery, A.R. Cutler, *Organometallics* 10, (1991) 2827. (b) D.H. Gibson, *Chem. Rev.* 96 (1996) 2063.
- [2] J.R. Pinkes, B.D. Steffey, J.C. Vites, A.R. Cutler, *Organometallics* 13 (1994) 21.
- [3] (a) G.O. Evans, W.F. Walter, D.R. Mills, C.A. Streit, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 144 (1978) C34. (b) J.R. Pinkes, C.J. Masi, R. Chiulli, B.D. Steffey, A.R. Cutler, *Inorg. Chem.* 36 (1997) 70.
- [4] (a) J.E. Ellis, R.W. Fennell, E.A. Flom, *Inorg. Chem.* 15 (1976) 2031. (b) H. Stolzenberg, W.P. Fehlhammer, M. Monari, V. Zanotti, L. Busetto, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 272 (1984) 73. (c) L. Busetto, M. Monari, A. Palazzi, V. Albano, F. Demartin, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans* (1983) 1849.
- [5] (a) C.P. Kubiak, C. Woodcock, R. Eisenberg, *Inorg. Chem.* 21 (1982) 2119. (b) T.A. Hanna, A.M. Baranger, R.G. Bergman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 117 (1995) 11363. (c) H. Memmler, U. Kauper, L.H. Gade, I.J. Scowen, M. McPartlin, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* (1996) 1751.
- [6] Reviews on CS_2 complexes. (a) K.K. Pandey, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 40 (1995) 37. (b) R.P.A. Sneedon, in: G. Wilkinson, F.G.A. Stone, F.W. Abel (Eds.), *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 8, Pergamon, New York, 1982, Chp. 50.4. (c) C. Bianchini, C. Mealli, A. Meli, M. Sabat, in: I. Bernal (Ed.), *Stereochemistry of Organometallic and Inorganic Compounds*, vol. 1, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1986, pp. 146.
- [7] D.F. Shriver, M.A. Drezdon, *The Manipulation of Air-Sensitive Compounds*, 2nd, Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [8] (a) J.A. Gladysz, G.M. Williams, W. Tam, D.L. Johnson, D.W. Parker, J.C. Selover, *Inorg. Chem.* 18 (1979) 553. (b) A similar procedure was used to prepare Fp^*K .
- [9] L.T. Reynolds, G. Wilkinson, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* 9 (1959) 86.
- [10] $\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl}(\text{OCMe}_3)$ was prepared by treatment of Cp_2ZrCl_2 with KOCMe_3 , A. Hey-Hawkins, *Chem. Rev.* 94 (1994) 1661.
- [11] (a) C.P. Casey, R.F. Jordan, A.L. Rheingold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 105 (1983) 665. (b) C.P. Casey, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 400 (1990) 205.
- [12] M.E. Giuseppetti-Dery, B.E. Landrum, J.L. Shibley, A.R. Cutler, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 378 (1989) 421.
- [13] (a) T.S. Piper, G. Wilkinson, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* 3 (1956) 104. (b) M.L.H. Green, P. Nagy, *J. Organometal. Chem.* 1 (1963) 58.
- [14] W. Mei, L. Shiwei, B. Meizhi, G. Hefu, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 447 (1993) 227.
- [15] A.R. Cutler, P.K. Hanna, J.C. Vites, *Chem. Rev.* 88 (1988) 1363.
- [16] D.H. Gibson, J.M. Mehta, B.A. Sleadd, M.S. Mashuta, J.F. Richardson, *Organometallics* 14 (1995) 4886.
- [17] Treatment of $\text{Cp}(\text{CO})_2\text{FeZr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$ with one equivalent or excess of CO_2 in THF solution between -10°C and room temperature rapidly affords a compound that we tentatively formulated on the basis of IR and NMR spectral data as $\text{Cp}(\text{CO})_2\text{FeCO}_2\text{Zr}(\text{OCMe}_3)\text{Cp}_2$. Although this relatively unstable material evidently formed in 60–85% yields, we were unable to isolate it sufficiently pure for unambiguous characterization.
- [18] We thank a referee for this suggestion.