

CHEMISTRY A European Journal



Accepted Article Title: Dehydropolymerisation of methylamine borane and an Nsubstituted primary amine borane using a PNP Fe catalyst Authors: Felix Anke, Susanne Boye, Anke Spannenberg, Albena Lederer, Detlef Heller, and Torsten Beweries This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article. To be cited as: Chem. Eur. J. 10.1002/chem.202000809 Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.202000809 **Supported by** ACES



Dehydropolymerisation of methylamine borane and an *N*-substituted primary amine borane using a PNP Fe catalyst

Felix Anke^[a], Susanne Boye^[b], Anke Spannenberg^[a], Albena Lederer^[b,c], Detlef Heller^[a] and Torsten Beweries^{*[a]}

Abstract: Dehydropolymerisation of methylamine borane (H₃B·NMeH₂) using the well-known iron amido complex $(PNP = N(CH_2CH_2Pi-Pr_2)_2)$ [(PNP)Fe(H)(CO)] (1) gives poly(aminoborane)s by a chain growth mechanism. In toluene, rapid dehydrogenation of H₃B·NMeH₂ following first-order behaviour as a limiting case of a more general underlying Michaelis-Menten kinetics is observed, forming aminoborane H_2B =NMeH which selectively couples to give high-molecular weight poly(aminoborane)s (H₂BNMeH)_n and only traces of borazine (HBNMe)₃ by depolymerisation after full conversion. Based on a series of comparative experiments using structurally related Fe catalysts and dimethylamine borane (H₃B·NMe₂H) polymer formation is proposed to occur by nucleophilic chain growth as reported earlier computationally and experimentally. A silyl functionalised primary borane H₃B·N(CH₂SiMe₃)H₂ was studied in homo- and codehydropolymerisation reactions to give the first examples for Si containing poly(aminoborane)s.

Introduction

Poly(aminoborane)s represent a comparably new class of inorganic polymers that holds promising properties for a broad range of applications in materials chemistry, e.g. as piezoelectric materials or as precursors to boron-based ceramics.¹ In most cases, these polymers have been prepared by transition metal catalysed dehydropolymerisation of primary amine boranes H₃B·NRH₂², however, lately, also metal-free routes were introduced, including Brönsted acid-mediated reactions³ and BH₂ transfer to primary amines.⁴ The existing isoelectronic relationships between alkanes and amine boranes H₃B·NRH₂, olefins and aminoboranes $H_2B\text{=}NRH$ and thus between polyolefins and poly(aminoborane)s [H₂BNRH]_n raises a series of questions of how such compounds are activated, coordinated and ultimately formed in the presence of transition metal centres. Control of aminoborane coordination and B-N coupling through a catalyst would allow for the selective and well-ordered

[a] Dr. F. Anke, Dr. A. Spannenberg, Prof. Dr. Detlef Heller, PD Dr. T. Beweries Leibniz-Institut f
ür Katalyse e.V. an der Universit
ät Rostock

Albert-Einstein-Str. 29a, 18059 Rostock, Germany E-mail: torsten.beweries@catalysis.de

[b] Dr. S. Boye, PD Dr. A. Lederer Leibniz-Institut für Polymerforschung Dresden, Hohe Str. 6, 01069 Dresden, Germany

[c] Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document. polymerisation, a principle that is known for olefins/polyolefins for decades.⁵ Commonly accepted challenges of amine borane dehydropolymerisation include the identification of general reaction mechanisms, the development of a set of common performance criteria that are deployed for polymer synthesis as well as the elucidation of structure-activity relationships.⁶ The frequently studied amine most boranes for dehydropolymerisation are ammonia borane, H₃B·NH₃, and methylamine borane, H₃B·NMeH₂.^{7,8} In the latter case polymers that are soluble in organic solvents can be obtained, which makes mechanistic studies of the reaction much more convenient. Also, reactions with higher substituted dimethylamine borane, H₃B·NMe₂H, can give valuable insights into the reaction mechanism as in this case, well defined, spectroscopically observable and stable intermediates are formed.9

The synthesis of N- ^{1d,4, 10}, ¹¹, ¹² and *B*-substituted ¹³ poly(aminoborane)s was described in the past, however, to date apart from one example, where a thiophenyl substituted amine borane was used¹¹ as the substrate all reports are limited to alkyl substituted compounds. To broaden the scope of this chemistry it would be very interesting to also study other heteroatom-substituted amine boranes for dehydropolymerisation, the synthesis of some of these substrates was described in the past.¹⁴

Catalysts for controlled amine borane dehydropolymerisation typically operate in a bifunctional manner, first generating the reactive aminoborane monomer by dehydrogenation of the amine borane, followed by coupling of this intermediate to form oligomers and polymers. Notably, this strongly differs from olefin polymerisation, where the monomer itself is a stable compound and the catalyst is only involved in coordination and C-C coupling. The stability of aminoborane monomers is strongly dependent on the substitution pattern with larger groups or an increased number of substituents stabilising these species. Methylaminoborane, H₂B=NMeH, has only been observed at low temperatures³, whereas dimethylaminoborane H₂B=NMe₂ can readily be observed spectroscopically at room temperature.⁹ As for the mode of B-N bond formation off-metal polymerisation, where the monomer is not coordinated to the metal centre and metal-centred on-metal polymerisation were described. Furthermore, for the latter scenario three pathways are suggested, namely amine borane (i) coordination/dehydrogenation/aminoborane insertion at the same metal complex (resembling insertion polymerisation of olefins) (ii) amine borane coordination/dehydrogenation/aminoborane insertion involving chain transfer via σ complexes¹⁶ and (iii) dehydrogenation and nucleophilic chain growth via the end of a metal-coordinated oligomer unit by two different catalyst units (bicatalyst).17

WILEY-VCH

Most of the known systems for dehydropolymerisation of H₃B·NMeH₂ comprise homogeneous late transition metal catalyst^{1d,15,10,18}, however, very recently also first examples for highly active early transition metal complexes were reported.^{11,19} Schneider presented has Ru(II) pincer systems [(PNP)Ru(H)PMe₃] and [(PNHP)Ru(H)₂PMe₃] (PNHP $HN(CH_2CH_2P_i-Pr_2)_2)^{8d,f}$ for $H_3B\cdot NH_3$ dehydropolymerisation; the latter was discussed as a bifunctional catalyst that is involved in H₃B·NH₃ dehydrogenation and B-N coupling. The importance of amine cooperativity for the dehydrogenation step was verified by using the methylated complex $[(PNMeP)Ru(H)_2PMe_3]$ (PNMeP = MeN(CH₂CH₂P*i*-Pr₂)₂), which showed significantly slower turnover. A study on a similar Fe(II) system [(PNP)Fe(H)CO] (1) was later presented by the same authors^{8c}, in which the Fe(II) dihydrido complex [(PNHP)Fe(H)2CO] was found the be the resting state relevant to the dehydrogenation step. This is suggested to be followed by Fe centred B-N bond formation. Recently, we showed that the related Fe(II) borate complex [(PNHP)Fe(H)(HBH₃)CO] (2) is an excellent precatalyst for the selective dehydropolymerisation of H_3B ·NMeH₂ (Scheme 1), giving borazine (HBNMe)₃ only at the end of the reaction by depolymerisation of the poly(aminoborane).^{18d} Polymer growth kinetics pointed to the presence of a chain-growth rather than a step-growth mechanism. Remarkably, analysis of polymers obtained at different catalyst concentrations suggested that offmetal polymerisation appears to be dominant.



Scheme 1. Dehydropolymerisation of H₃B·NMeH₂ using complex 2.

In this contribution, we present a study of $H_3B\cdot NMeH_2$ dehydropolymerisation using the well-known amido complex 1^{20} . As an extension of our earlier work, we now give further mechanistic insights into the dehydropolymerisation process that allow for the development of a more general mechanistic picture in reactions with complexes of this type. Also, we present first examples for Si functionalised poly(aminoborane)s that can be readily obtained using the Fe amido complex 1.

Results and Discussion

Dehydropolymerisation with Fe amido complex 1

Catalysis

Dehydropolymerisation experiments using complex **1** were done at room temperature under isobaric conditions with the reaction vessel connected to an automatic gas buret²¹ that records H₂ evolution as a product-proportional concentration. Reactions were done using 0.00167-0.00675 M **1** in THF or toluene (Scheme 2). We found that reactions in THF were much slower and less selective, giving comparably large amounts of borazine (HBNMe)₃ and other B-N by-products. Thus, for the discussion of H₃B·NMeH₂ dehydropolymerisation we focus on results that were obtained from reactions in toluene. Details of experiments that were done in THF can however be found in the Supporting Information.



Scheme 2. Dehydropolymerisation of H₃B·NMeH₂ using complex 1.

Volumetric curves for reactions in toluene at $[H_3B\cdot NMeH_2] = 0.33$ M (Figure 1, top) show rapid hydrogen evolution and full $H_3B\cdot NMeH_2$ conversion within less than 60 minutes. GC analysis showed that H_2 was the only gaseous reaction product in all cases.



Figure 1. Top: Volumetric curve of $H_3B\cdot NMeH_2$ dehydropolymerisation using complex **1** in toluene at T = 25 °C and $[H_3B\cdot NMeH_2] = 0.33$ M. Bottom: PMe₃ and Hg poisoning experiments (T = 25 °C and $[H_3B\cdot NMeH_2] = 0.33$ M).

³¹P NMR monitoring shows that similarly as in earlier work by Schneider^{8c} and our group ²² the well-known *trans*-dihydride [(PN*H*P)Fe(H)₂CO] is the dominant species (Figure S28). This species is known to readily form from the amido complex **1** in the presence of H₂.^{8c,22,23} Also, formation of the borate complex **2** occurs, possibly by BH₃ addition to *trans*-dihydride [(PN*H*P)Fe(H)₂CO], as proposed earlier.^{8c} Notably, formation of [(PN*H*P)Fe(H)₂CO] can also be seen from an instantaneous colour change from purple, typical for **1**, to pale yellow upon addition of H₃B·NMeH₂. We discount the formation of a heterogeneous catalyst as the active species, as addition of 0.1 mol% PMe₃ or excess Hg did not affect the kinetic profile of the reaction (Figure 1, bottom).²⁴

NMR spectroscopic speciation experiments with equimolar amounts of catalyst **1** and H₃B·NMeH₂ showed formation of a new set of triplet ¹H resonances at -14.53 and -15.07 ppm (²J_{H,P} = 58.2 Hz), likely due to *cis/trans* isomers of an intermediate hydride species (Figure S54). Along with this a broad signal at -10.37 ppm could indicate the presence of a μ -BH moiety of an aminoborane capped species **1-H₂BNMeH**. ¹¹B NMR spectra of this new complex show a broad doublet at -10.2 ppm (¹J_{B,H} = 115 Hz) that collapses into a singlet upon proton decoupling (Figure S56). Related Ru borametallacycles derived from reactions of [(PNP)Ru(H)PMe₃] with H₃B·NH₃ and H₃B·NMeH₂ were described before by Schneider and showed similar NMR spectra.^{9b} Also, we have presented a BH₃ adduct in an earlier communication.^{18d}

Kinetic analysis using commonly applied linearisations of the integrated rate law for the determination of *k* values have shown that most of the plots clearly deviate from the expected linearity for an assumed simple first-order reaction (Figure S17).²⁵ This is also reflected in VTNA analysis²⁶ of the reaction profiles with variations in [1], which are in agreement with a process that is first-order in the Fe catalyst, but show minor deviations that cannot be neglected (Figure S23). In line with this, volumetric data obtained from reactions in toluene and THF at low catalyst concentrations can be best described using a Michaelis-Menten model (eq. 1, see Supporting Information for details).

Cat + S
$$\xrightarrow{k_1}$$
 (Cat-S) $\xrightarrow{k_2}$ P + Cat (1)
with $K_M = \frac{k_{-1} + k_2}{k_1}$ $rate = \frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{k_2 \cdot E_0 \cdot (S_0 \cdot P)}{K_M + (S_0 \cdot P)}$

In contrast, volumetric curves of reactions using higher catalyst concentrations could be conveniently fitted using a first-order model. In this model (eq. 1), according to the fitted values for K_M and k the active catalyst (Cat) and the substrate (S) are in equilibrium with a catalyst-substrate complex (Cat-S), which is converted into the product (P) and releases the active catalyst (Cat) (Table 1). For a first-order reaction as the limiting case of Michealis-Menten kinetics, the K_M values should be higher. Or in other words, as $1/K_M$ represents at least the upper limit of the thermodynamic stability constant, the pre-equilibrium is far shifted to the left (eq. 1). Saturation kinetics at high substrate concentrations were reported before in H₃B·NMe₂H dehydrocoupling for a [Rh(Ph-Xantphos)]⁺ system.¹⁵ For H₃B·NMeH₂, similarly as in our case, this zero-order regime (v_{max}) could not be reached due to limited solubility of $H_3B\cdot NMeH_2$ in toluene. In our case the rate is limited to $v\approx v_{max}/2$ (Table 1, entry 3). For this, K_M should be in the same range as $[H_3B\cdot NMeH_2]_0$, which is in line with the observed values. In previous studies simple first-order kinetics were proposed for related systems based on linearisations of the respective integrated rate law.^{8c,d, 27} We show that using a Michaelis-Menten-type approach as a more general kinetic model, which includes often described first-order behaviour as a limiting case, could help to refine the interpretation of experimental data and explain systematic deviations from ideal first-order behaviour.

Table 1. C	ompilation o	of kinetic data	a of H ₃ B·NMeH ₂	dehydropolymerisation			
using complex 1 in toluene. For reactions following first-order kinetics only kobs							
is given. For reactions following the described Michaelis-Menten model K_M is							
given.							

Entry	Reaction conditions ^a	k _{obs} [min⁻¹]	<i>К_М</i> [mmol/mL]	<i>k</i> ₂ [min ⁻¹]
1	[1] = 0.00675 M	1.189(8)·10 ^{-1 b}	-	-
2	[1] = 0.00335 M	7.02(7)·10 ^{-2 b}	-	-
3	[1] = 0.00167 M	8.0(1)·10 ^{-2 c}	2.60(3)·10 ⁻¹	12.46(9)
4	[1] = 0.00167 M, [H₃B·NMeH₂] = 0.25 M	1.05(4)·10 ^{-1 c}	1.94(5) ·10 ⁻¹	12.3(2)
5	[1] = 0.00167 M, [H ₃ B·NMeH ₂] = 0.17 M	1.25(5)·10 ^{-1 c}	1.13(3)·10 ⁻¹	8.5(1)
6	[1] = 0.00167 M, <i>T</i> = 2 °C	1.60(9)·10 ^{-2 c, d}	5.4(2)·10 ⁻²	5.18(7)·10 ⁻¹

^a T = 25 °C, [H₃B·NMeH₂] = 0.33 M. ^b According to first-order model. ^c Calculated according to Michaelis-Menten model: $k_{obs} = (k_2 \cdot E_0)/K_{M.}$ ^d An induction period is observed for this reaction. Kinetic analysis was done for the post-induction period region.

In an attempt to identify the nature of (Cat-S), we performed a low temperature *in situ* NMR experiment using [1] = 0.00167 M and $[H_3B\cdot NMeH_2] = 0.33$ M. ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra after addition of fresh $H_3B\cdot NMeH_2$ showed a significant broadening of the resonances of the *trans*-dihydride [(PN*H*P)Fe(H)₂CO] and loss of the ¹H coupling pattern (Figure S28). Although this could indicate fluxional behaviour caused by formation of an adduct between Fe dihydride and amine borane, we cannot unequivocally assign this to formation of a new species.

Comparative volumetric studies with deuterium labelled substrates have shown that reactions with H₃B·NMeH₂ and D₃B·NMeH₂ can be described using the same kinetic model with moderate kinetic isotope effect (KIE, а $k_{obs}(H_3B \cdot NMeH_2)/k_{obs}(D_3B \cdot NMeH_2) = 1.5(1))$. This value could point to the presence of a bent transition state.²⁸ Alternatively, B-H activation could occur very early in the transition state. Dehydropolymerisation of H₃B·NMeD₂ shows a different kinetic profile with the rate of gas evolution showing a maximum (Figure S24). This could point to differences in the rate-determining steps of dehydrogenation. Therefore, kobs and KIE values could not be derived for H_3B ·NMeD₂. A similar problem was described before for the related Ru complex [(PNP)Ru(H)(PMe_3)].^{8d}

Polymer characterisation

FULL PAPER

Poly(aminoborane)s were isolated as pale yellow powders by precipitation into cold (-78 °C) *n*-hexane. NMR spectroscopic data of the polymers are in line with literature values.^{1d,15,18} Other than in a previous study of our group on a dinuclear Zr catalyst^{19a}, ¹¹B NMR spectra show no pronounced resonances for BH₃ end groups, indicating the presence of much higher-molecular weight polymers (Figure 2). Closer inspection of the ¹¹B NMR spectra shows that the BH₂ signal is slightly shifted to higher field by 1.5 ppm for polymers prepared using **1** compared to those made using **2** in an earlier study (Figure S14).^{18d}



Figure 2. ¹¹B, ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (96 MHz, CDCl₃) spectra of the isolated [H₂BNMeH]_n from H₃BNMeH₂ dehydropolymerisation using complex **1** (2 mol%) in toluene at T = 25 °C and [H₃B·NMeH₂] = 0.33 M.

Analysis of the polymers by size exclusion chromatography (SEC, light scattering (LS) detection giving absolute molecular weights)²⁹ was done using sample concentrations of 2 mg·mL⁻¹ in THF using 0.1 wt% of tetrabutylammonium bromide, at dn/dc values of 0.09 mL g^{-1.19a} For some of the samples, problems with reproducibility occurred due to low signal intensities; in these cases, the sample concentration was increased to 4 mg·mL⁻¹. It is once more noted that concentration sensitive refractive index (RI) detection in combination with standard calibration is commonly used for relative molecular weight determination of these materials. However, it is known that molecular weights will be overestimated by a factor of 3-6.10 To verify this fact for our set of samples made using Fe catalyst 1, we applied both, RI and LS detection for selected samples and found that molecular weights M_n and M_w are larger by a factor of 2-10 using RI detection and polystyrene as calibration standard (see Table S3). Table 2 shows a compilation of molecular weights of poly(aminoborane)s obtained using different reaction conditions. Higher concentrations of the catalyst 1 give higher molecular weights of the isolated polymers. Although this is significant, we do not regard this as evidence for step-growth polymer formation, as in previous studies, a much more pronounced increase of M_n with higher catalyst loading was reported.¹¹ The presence of H₂ has no significant influence on the molecular weight (entries 3/4). Notably, polymers formed in THF appear to be more uniform, giving much smaller dispersities *D* (Table S2). Repeated additions of H₃B·NMeH₂ in toluene resulted in full consumption of the substrate, however, molecular weights were not affected significantly (entry 3 vs. entry 5). Polymerisation is thus not living. However, that the reaction proceeded shows that the Fe catalyst

is still intact and can be recharged. Notably, the same experiment in THF was not successful as the catalyst decomposed after the first run. Dilution of the reaction solution by a factor of 3 (entry 6) gave slightly lower molecular weights. Reactions at low temperature (T = 2 °C) increases molecular weights by a factor of 2 (entry 7).

Analysis of molecular weights at different stages of the dehydropolymerisation reaction in toluene (Figure 3) shows that M_n remains constant at low values throughout the reaction, while $M_{\rm w}$ shows a significant increase towards the end of the reaction. This could be rationalised by a chain growth scenario in which depolymerisation occurs at low substrate concentrations (i.e. at high conversion). ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy supports this assumption as polymer formation occurs directly at the onset of the reaction and short-chain oligomers were not detected (Figure S16). A slightly different profile of molecular weights vs conversion plots was observed by Weller in a recent study of [(dppp)Rh]⁺ catalysed dehydropolymerisation of H₃B·NMeH₂.^{18b} In this report, M_p remained constant up to high conversion (90%) with a more pronounced increase towards the end of the reaction. Depolymerisation was not observed and NMR spectra of isolated polymers were similar throughout the course of the reaction, thus prompting the authors to conclude a hybrid chain growth/step growth mechanism.



Figure 3. Poly(aminoborane) growth kinetics in toluene. Reaction conditions: 0.5 mol% **1**, [H₃B·NMeH₂] = 0.33 M, T = 25 °C, isobaric system connected to gas buret. Conversion was determined from volumetric data.

Table 2. SEC-LS data.

Entry	Reaction conditions ^a	<i>t</i> [h]	<i>M</i> n [g·mol⁻¹] ^b	<i>M</i> w [g·mol⁻¹] ^b	Ð
1	[1] = 0.00675 M	0.5	24300	85400	3.5
2	[1] = 0.00335 M	1	18900	78600	4.2
3	[1] = 0.00167 M	1.5	13000	32500	2.5
4	[1] = 0.00167 M closed system	24	15600	41000	2.6
5	[1] = 0.00167 M 3x H₃B·NMeH₂	3x1.5	11300	36550	3.2
6	[1] = 0.00167 M [H₃B·NMeH₂] = 0.11 M	2	11400	28100	2.5
7	[1] = 0.00167 M <i>T</i> = 2 °C	12	27000	81700	3.0

WILEY-VCH



^a toluene, T = 25 °C, [H₃B·NMeH₂] = 0.33 M. ^b absolute molecular weights determined using light scattering detection.

In situ NMR spectroscopy

Monitoring of the dehydropolymerisation reaction using ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy shows that H₃B·NMeH₂ is initially converted into the polymer, $[H_2BNMeH]_n$ (δ -5 ppm, br s; Figure S16). As soon as the substrate is fully consumed, formation of small amounts of (HBNMe)₃ is observed (δ 33 ppm), which is also reflected by the result of volumetric analysis, giving $[n(H_2)/n(H_3B\cdot NMeH_2)]$ values close to 1.0. ¹¹B{¹H} NMR spectra show that the BH₂ signal at -5 ppm is composed of two resonances, indicating the formation of small amounts of cyclotriborazane (H₂BNMeH)₃. Also, after consumption of H₃B·NMeH₂ minor amounts of diaminoborane HB(NMeH)₂ (δ 28 ppm) are observed (Figure S16). Formation of such species was found before and was attributed to metal-assisted B-N bond cleavage in amine boranes, followed by reaction of the amine with aminoborane.³⁰ Alternatively, Paul and co-workers computed a rearrangement reaction of aminoborane, H₂B=NH₂ to yield BH₃ and HB(NH₂)₂ to be slightly exergonic by 3.5 kcal·mol^{-1, 31} The presence of diaminoboranes was discussed as indirect evidence for the presence of free aminoborane.

Addition of cyclohexene to trap transient aminoborane H₂B=NMeH in toluene were not successful, indicating that either no free aminoborane is formed or hydroboration is much slower than B-N bond formation (Figure S42). Experiments in THF have shown that Cy₂B=NMeH (δ 46 ppm) can only be detected in ¹¹B NMR spectra after full consumption of H₃B·NMeH₂ when also formation of (HBNMe)₃ takes place (Figure S41). This might indicate that H₂B=NMeH is not involved in the polymer formation step, but at a later stage where also depolymerisation occurs to form (HBNMe)₃. Notably, HB(NMeH)₂ is formed already at earlier stages of dehydropolymerisation, further supporting the ambiguous role of species of this type.3,15,18b,19b Schneider and co-workers have shown that for H₃B·NH₃ dehydropolymerisation catalysed by 1, Cy₂B=NH₂ can be observed, however, the authors only presented ¹¹B NMR spectra at full conversion in presence of borazine.8c

Depolymerisation experiments using a catalyst-free higher molecular weight sample of $(H_2BNMeH)_n^{32}$ have shown that formation of $(HBNMe)_3$ and $HB(NMeH)_2$ only occurs in the presence of the Fe catalyst (Figure S44). Also, as shown by an experiment using freshly prepared $(H_2BNMeH)_3^{33}$, the latter is readily converted into $(HBNMe)_3$ (Figure S48). Notably, free $H_2B=NMeH$ could only by trapped as $Cy_2B=NMeH$ for depolymerisation reactions in THF, again suggesting that in THF hydroboration is much faster than in toluene.

Dehydrocoupling of H₃B·NMe₂H with amido complex 1

To gain further insights into the role of the aminoborane and the role of the Fe centre for B-N bond formation, we have performed similar reactions using $H_3B\cdot NMe_2H$ as a model compound and **1** as the catalyst (Scheme 3).



Scheme 3. Dehydrocoupling of $H_3B \cdot NMe_2H$ using complex 1.

Volumetric analysis of reactions using **1** in toluene shows that one equivalent of H₂ is produced within two hours (Figure S61). Under these conditions, H₂ release follows first-order behaviour, most likely due to the higher solubility of the substrate compared to H₃B·NMeH₂. ¹¹B NMR analysis of the reaction mixture indicates that H₃B·NMe₂H is quantitatively converted into the main product cyclic diborazane (H₂BNMe₂)₂ (δ 5.5 ppm). Additionally, minor amounts of aminoborane H₂B=NMe₂ (δ 38.2 ppm), HB(NMe₂)₂ (δ 28.9 ppm), and, most importantly, the linear diborazane H₃B·NMe₂BH₂·NMe₂H (δ -12.9 and 2.1 ppm), were detected (Figure 4).³⁴



Figure 4. In situ ¹¹B NMR spectrum (96 MHz, 298 K, 1024 scans) of H₃B·NMe₂H dehydrocoupling in toluene- d_8 . Reaction conditions: 1 mol% 1, T = 25 °C and [H₃B·NMe₂H] = 0.33 M.

Similarly as for reactions with $H_3B\cdot NMeH_2$, a broad doublet at δ -9.0 ppm (${}^{1}J_{B,H}$ = 110 Hz) can be assigned to an aminoborane capped Fe amido species [(PN^{BH₂NMe₂}P)Fe(H)CO] (1-H₂BNMe₂). In previous studies, the presence of H₃B·NMe₂BH₂·NMe₂H was discussed as evidence for the existence of an on-metal pathway for B-N coupling.9b,11,34 It should however be noted that free aminoborane could originate either from metal-based dehydrogenation of amine borane and release from the active species, or from dehydrogenation of linear diborazane, which was computed to be thermodynamically favourable and observed in case of the related Ru complex [(PNP)Ru(H)PMe₃].^{9b}

Dehydropolymerisation with *N*-methyl Fe amine complex 3

To evaluate the role of cooperative effects between the PNP ligand and the Fe centre, we have synthesised the literatureknown related *N*-methylated complex 3^{35} and tested this as a catalyst for H₃B·NMeH₂ dehydropolymerisation (Scheme 4). A bifunctional dehydrogenation and B-N coupling pathway that involves ligand cooperation should not be accessible using this

complex. As a result, *N*-methylation could have consequences for both, dehydrogenation rate and product selectivity.



Scheme 4. Dehydropolymerisation of H₃B·NMeH₂ using complex 3.

Figure 5 shows a comparison of volumetric curves of H_3B ·NMe H_2 dehydropolymerisation using complexes 1 and 3. Evidently, reactions using 3 are much slower compared to 1. To our surprise, reactions in toluene show a long induction period, which was not observed in any of the other cases, but is known for reactions using the borate complex 2 in THF.^{18d} We discount Fe nanoparticle formation as the reason for this long induction period as PMe₃ poisoning experiments gave the same reaction profile and full conversion.



Figure 5. Top: Volumetric curves of $H_3B\cdot NMeH_2$ dehydropolymerisation using complex **3** in toluene at T = 25 °C and $[H_3B\cdot NMeH_2] = 0.33$ M. Bottom: ¹¹B, ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (96 MHz, CDCI₃) spectra of the isolated [H₂BNMeH]_{*n*}.

Complex **3** exhibits sufficient stability for NMR monitoring in toluene. At the onset of the dehydrogenation reaction *in situ* ¹¹B NMR spectra show virtually the same product distribution as for complex **1** (Figure S52), namely a broad resonance at δ -5 ppm for poly(aminoborane) along with a signal at δ -18 ppm for residual H₃B·NMeH₂ or the BH₃ end group of a shorter-chain polymer. Upon further dehydropolymerisation, ¹¹B NMR spectra become more complex, showing resonances for aminodiborane BH₂(μ -MeNH)(μ -H)BH₂ (δ -22 ppm), boronium species [BH₂(MeNH₂)₂]⁺ (δ -9.0 ppm, t, *J*_{BH} = 106 Hz; *cf.* -8.9 ppm, *J*_{BH} = 108 Hz^{18c}) as well as hitherto unobserved signals at δ 0.3 and δ -1.5 ppm that could point to the presence of further boronium compounds or polymer branching.³ To our surprise we succeeded in detecting Cy₂B=NMeH as the product of H₂B=NMeH trapping at a later stage of the reaction, again

suggesting that aminoborane might be more relevant for depolymerisation than for polymer formation.

Workup of the B-N products was done as before by precipitation into cold *n*-hexane, however, in this case significantly lower polymer yields were observed. SEC analysis showed much lower molecular weights for samples made using the *N*methylated catalyst **3** ($M_n = 4500 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$, Table 2). The lowmolecular-weight nature of the material made using **3** is also reflected in NMR spectra of the isolated products, showing a more pronounced resonance for a BH₃ end group (Figure 5).

Dehydropolymerisation with borane capped complex 1-BH₃

In a previous study, we have reported the formation of a borane capped Fe amido complex 1-BH₃ during catalysis.^{18d} As mentioned above, similar aminoborane species were detected before in dehydrocoupling of H₃B·NMe₂H using a related Ru complex, however, this was found to show poor reactivity with amine borane.9b To further evaluate the role of such species in dehydrogenation and growth of the B-N polymer, we have prepared 1-BH₃ by addition of BH₃ to complex 1 and tested this in catalysis. Although this species is much less active than 1, poly(aminoborane) is formed, but the dominant species is the cyclic borazane, clearly visible by the triplet in the in situ ¹¹B NMR spectra (Figure 6). ¹¹B{¹H} NMR spectra of the isolated polymer show a relatively sharp resonance for the BH₂ moiety and a pronounced resonance at -18 ppm, which could indicate residual starting material or BH₃ end groups of a short-chain poly(aminoborane) (Figure S35).



Figure 6. Top: Volumetric curve of dehydropolymerisation of H_3B ·NMeH₂ using complex **1-BH**₃ in toluene at T = 25 °C and $[H_3B$ ·NMeH₂] = 0.33 M. Bottom: *In situ* ¹¹B, ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (96 MHz, toluene-*d*₈) spectra recorded at the end of the reaction.

Low activity of **1-BH**₃ can be traced back to the fact that no highly active dihydride complex [(PNHP)Fe(H)₂CO] is accessible during catalysis. Instead, exclusively **1-BH**₃ is present even after reaction times of 96 hours (Figure S32). Although the mechanism of dehydrogenation using this complex is unclear, it

WILEY-VCH

is evident from *in situ* ¹¹B NMR spectra that B-N coupling occurs less selectively. Signals at -5 < δ < 5 ppm suggest the presence of significant amounts of branched products. Also, much more (HBNMe)₃ was produced with **1-BH**₃ as the catalyst, further suggesting the presence of an alternative B-N coupling pathway, possibly without involvement of the metal complex.

Mechanistic considerations

Taken together, the above observations indicate that H₃B·NMeH₂ dehydropolymerisation takes place via a chaingrowth mechanism in which the Fe catalyst is bifunctional and involved in both, dehydrogenation and B-N bond formation. This is reflected in comparisons of in situ ¹¹B NMR spectra and the observed trends in molecular weights with changes in catalyst concentration (Table 2) and at different conversions (Figure 3). Methylation of the nitrogen backbone of the pincer ligand in complex **3** leads to H_2 release that is slower by approximately a factor of 10-15 (Figure 5), thus suggesting that for 1 the dehydrogenation predominantly occurs via a well-known metalligand bifunctional mechanism. Mechanistic models for related catalytically active N-alkylated pincer complexes were described before³⁶; a similar scenario should be operating in the herein reported case. In a previous experimental and theoretical investigation of hydrazine borane dehydrocoupling using complex 1, we have proposed facile, essentially barrier-free, activation of the Fe amido moiety by the amine borane substrate to yield the dihydride complex [(PNHP)Fe(H)₂CO].²² This subsequently reacts with further substrate to eliminate hydrogen and the aminoborane. Based on these data as well as the kinetic and spectroscopic studies reported herein (vide supra), we suggest a similar scenario for the dehydrogenation of H₃B·NMeH₂ (Scheme 5):

- (i) activation of the precatalyst 1 by proton/hydride transfer across the Fe amido bond to form the resting state complex [(PNHP)Fe(H)₂CO]
- (ii) formation of a transient catalyst-substrate complex between [(PN*H*P)Fe(H)₂CO] and H₃B·NMeH₂
- (iii) B-H and N-H activation, followed by hydrogen release and formation of the aminoborane. The KIE for B-H/B-D being small supports the presence of a non-linear transition state or B-H activation occurring very early in the transition state.



Scheme 5. Proposed mechanism of $H_3B\cdot NMeH_2$ dehydrogenation using precatalyst **1**.^{8c,22} It should be noted that this scheme does not reflect all reaction conditions. As shown above, the equilibrium (ii) is determined by the experimental conditions, i.e. solvent, **[1**] and [H₃B·NMeH₂].

That the distribution of B-N products is similar for complex 1 and the N-methylated complex 3, but poly(aminoborane)s are different in molecular weight, suggests that chain propagation occurs at the metal complex. More specifically, chain growth occurs through interaction of the growing chain with the metal centre, but involvement of the PNP ligand is beneficial to obtain higher molecular weight polymers. For the related Ru system [(PNP)Ru(H)PMe₃], Paul and co-workers have suggested interaction of the aminoborane with the Ru centre and the amido group of the ligand, followed by nucleophilic chain growth via the end of the growing polymer chain (Scheme 6).¹⁷ Stoichiometric experiments of **1** with H₃B·NMeH₂ and H₃B·NMe₂H confirmed the formation of such species [(PN^{BH_NMeH}P)Fe(H)CO] 1-H₂BNMeH (Figure S54) and [(PN^{BH_NMe}₂P)Fe(H)CO] 1-H₂BNMe₂. Based on the NMR spectroscopic observation of aminoborane-capped Fe amido species and the found trends in M_n (Table 2) we propose that in the herein described Fe system a similar scenario could explain the formation of high-molecular weight polymers for 1. In contrast, the absence of such interactions in catalysis with 3 only allows for the formation of comparably low-molecular weight polymers. Stoichiometric reactions of 3 with H₃B·NMeH₂ (Figure S60) show no other species than the precatalyst.



Scheme 6. Proposed poly(aminoborane) formation from Fe amido complex **1** and *N*-methylated Fe amine complex **3**, resulting in high- and low-molecular weight polymers.

Involvement of complexes of the type $1-BH_3$ in dehydrogenation and B-N coupling using 1 is less likely as rates of H₂ release were much lower and product distributions were different compared to reactions with 1 or 3. We thus conclude that $1-BH_3$ rather represents an off-cycle species with limited relevance to the dehydropolymerisation catalysis using 1.

Synthesis and characterisation of silyl functionalised amine boranes

Heteroatom functionalised poly(aminoborane)s are rather rare, to the best of our knowledge, only a handful of examples have been presented to date.¹¹ Silicon containing B-N polymers are promising single-source precursors that could possess new interesting properties, e.g. for coating applications. Also, inclusion of Si into B-N ceramics could furnish new materials with unforeseen thermal properties.^{37, 38} We have therefore prepared an example for a silyl substituted amine borane and tested this for dehydropolymerisation.

 $H_3B\cdot N(CH_2SiMe_3)H_2$ (4) was prepared in analogy to the procedure established for $H_3B\cdot NMeH_2^{39}$ (Scheme 7), giving the product as a white, crystalline solid that can be purified by sublimation.



Scheme 7. Synthesis of silyl functionalised amine borane and molecular structure of compound 4. Thermal ellipsoids correspond to 30% probability.

¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis of **4** shows the expected signals due to SiMe₃ (δ 0.11 ppm), BH₃ (δ 1.53 ppm), CH₂ (δ 2.28 ppm), and NH₂ group (δ 3.71 ppm). In ¹¹B NMR, an ill-resolved quartet can be detected at -17.5 ppm (Figure S3). Crystals suitable for an X-ray analysis were obtained by slow cooling of a saturated solution of **4** in diethyl ether to -30 °C. The molecular structure is depicted in Scheme 7. As found for other amine boranes, the B-N bond (1.604(2) Å) in compound **4** is slightly elongated compared to a typical B-N single bond ($\Sigma r_{cov} = 1.56$ Å⁴⁰, H₃B·NMeH₂: 1.594(1) Å⁴¹).

Dehydropolymerisation of functionalised amine borane 4

For initial dehydropolymerisation experiments using 4 we tested two well-studied amine borane dehydrogenation catalysts, namely Brookhart's [(POCOP)IrH₂]^{1d,8g,13, 42} (POCOP = 2,6-(twell $Bu_2PO)_2-C_6H_3$ as as commercially available $[Rh(cod)Cl]_2^{39, 43}$ (cod = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) in THF at room temperature. Despite using comparably high catalyst loadings of 5 mol% release of less than one equivalent of H_2 was found and reactions were stopped after 24 hours (Figure S63). [(POCOP)IrH₂] is known to be less tolerant towards sterically more demanding amine borane adducts¹⁰ and functional groups close to the amine moiety¹², which is in line with our observation of release of only 0.4 equivalents of H₂ and the presence of residual substrate 4 in ¹¹B NMR spectra. Furthermore, diaminoborane HB(NHR)₂ (δ 28.5 ppm) and the corresponding borazine (HBNR)₃ (δ 32.0 ppm, R = CH₂SiMe₃) were detected (Figure S64, Table S4). When using the Rh precursor, the dehydrogenation reaction was much faster, however, after 24 hours only approximately 0.7 equivalents of H₂ were released. In situ ¹¹B NMR spectra show the above mentioned resonances as well as additional signals that we assign to aminodiborane species $(BH_2)_2(\mu$ -RNH)(μ -H) (δ -22.0 ppm, R = CH_2SiMe_3). Based on the above described results on H₃B·NMeH₂ dehydropolymerisation and Schneider's related work on H₃B·NH₃ we have next tested the Fe amido catalyst **1** for dehydropolymerisation of **4**. Reactions in THF were very slow, requiring 14 days for release of one equivalent of H₂ (Figure S65). Most remarkably, changing the solvent to toluene has a dramatic effect as in this case full conversion was observed after only 90 minutes (Figure 6, top) along with an increase in viscosity of the reaction mixture. We thus note that complex **1** is much more tolerant toward sterically demanding groups at the methyl group of the amine borane than the well-studied catalyst [(POCOP)IrH₂].



Figure 6. Top: Volumetric curve of dehydropolymerisation of 4 using complex 1 in toluene at T = 25 °C and [H₃B·NRH₂] = 0.21 M. Bottom: *In situ* ¹¹B NMR spectra (96 MHz, toluene-*d*₈) recorded after full conversion. R = CH₂SiMe₃.

¹¹B NMR analysis of the reaction mixture shows a pronounced broad signal centred at approximately δ -2 ppm (Figure 6, bottom). Additional resonances at δ 29 ppm and δ -17 ppm indicate the presence of HB(NHR)₂ and BH₃ end-groups of a polymer or unreacted **4**, respectively. Unfortunately, after precipitation of the polymer into *n*-hexane, the pale yellow precipitate was insoluble in commonly used solvents THF or CHCl₃, thus complicating further analysis by NMR (Figures S73 and S74) or SEC.

 $H_3B\cdot NMeH_2$ and ${\bf 4}$ show similar rates in dehydropolymerisation reactions using ${\bf 1}$ as the catalyst. We thus envisioned a co-dehydropolymerisation reaction to increase the solubility of the Si containing poly(aminoborane) (Scheme 8), an approach that was reported to be feasible before. 1d,10,11,12



Scheme 8. Co-dehydropolymerisation of $H_3B\cdot NMeH_2$ and **4** to yield a random copolymer. r used to link both monomer subunits in the polymer structure is used to indicate that the copolymer is most likely random.

As before for reactions with **4**, reactions of equimolar mixtures of $H_3B\cdot NMeH_2$ and **4** in toluene show full conversion, in this case after only 30 minutes and albeit using a lower catalyst loading (1 mol% instead of 2 mol% **1**; Figure 7, top). *In situ* ¹¹B NMR spectra show a broad resonance at δ -5 ppm without any detectable proton coupling, typical for a polymer. Two minor additional signals at δ 29 ppm and δ 33 ppm indicate formation of HB(NHR)₂ and (HBNR)₃, respectively (Figure S84). Reactions in THF were again much slower and did not show full conversion, producing only 0.6 eq. of H₂ (Figure S74).



Figure 7. Top: Volumetric curve of co-dehydropolymerisation of an equimolar mixture of $H_3B\cdot NMeH_2$ and **4** using complex **1** at T = 25 °C and $[H_3B\cdot NRH_2] = 0.33$ M. Bottom: ¹¹B NMR spectra (96 MHz, CDCI₃) of isolated co-polymer after co-dehydropolymerisation of $H_3B\cdot NMeH_2$ and **4**. R = Me or CH₂SiMe₃.

Other than for N-methylpoly(aminoborane), workup proved to be rather difficult in this case. Addition of n-hexane at -78 °C did not lead to precipitation and only resulted in turbid solutions from which no (filter paper) or only small amounts (5-10% yield, short plug of Al₂O₃) of polymer could be isolated by filtration. To our delight, MeCN proved to be well-suited for workup. Concentration of the reaction solution to a minimum volume and addition of MeCN resulted in the formation of white plates, which were isolated and dried in vacuum (40% yield). Notably, when changing the amine borane ratio [4]:H₃B·NMeH₂ to 3:1, yields could be improved to 60% of a random 3:1 copolymer. The ¹¹B{¹H} NMR spectrum of the isolated 1:1 co-polymer shows the aforementioned broad resonance at δ -5 ppm for the BH₂ groups of the polymer chain (Figure 7, bottom). ¹H NMR analysis reveals the expected signals for CH₂SiMe₃ (δ 2.0 and 0.12 ppm) and CH₃ groups (δ 2.23 ppm), which are in in the same range as found for the homopolymers (Figure S78).1d 1H NMR integral ratios, the lack of signals in ¹¹B NMR spectra in the region $-5 < \delta$ < 5 ppm and the uniform main signal for the BH₂ groups suggest the presence of a linear random polymer without significant branching. Soluble polymer isolated from toluene solutions shows a molecular weight (SEC, LS detection) of $M_n = 11.400$ g·mol⁻¹ ($M_w = 14.600$ g·mol⁻¹) with comparably narrow polydispersity of $\mathcal{D} = 1.26$.

As shown above for reactions with H₃B·NMeH₂, a decrease in catalyst loading results in the formation of lower-molecularweight polymers. We have thus repeated dehydropolymerisation of pure 4 with less catalyst 1 in order to obtain a well-defined, more soluble homopolymer derived from 4. Use of 0.5 mol% 1 instead of 2 mol% (vide supra) in toluene gave no full conversion (release of 0.6 equivalent of H₂, Figure S75), most likely due to BH3 deactivation of the active dihydrido species that was discussed before by Schneider.8c In that case, addition of an amine was found to increase the stability of the catalyst. In a more recent study, Weller and co-workers have shown that for [Rh(DPEphos)]⁺ and Rh pincer complexes amine addition serves to bring the catalyst on-cycle.^{18a,44} We have thus added NMe₂Et and found that this gives full turnover (i.e. release of one equivalent of H₂) after 14 hours. NMR analysis of the reaction solution (Figure 8) shows that the product mixture is however rather complex, containing (HBNR)₃, HB(NHR)₂, (H₂BNRH)₃, and $(BH_2)_2(\mu$ -RNH)(μ -H) along with the polymer. A well-defined quartet resonance for the product of BH₃ trapping, H₃B·NMe₂Et is found at δ -8.8 ppm. Notably, a minor resonance at δ 37.5 ppm indicates the presence of aminoborane H₂B=NRH, which was not observed directly before for other primary amine boranes



Figure 8. *In situ* ¹¹B and ¹¹B{¹H} NMR spectra (96 MHz, toluene-*d*₈) after dehydropolymerisation of **4** in toluene using 0.5 mol% **1** and 10 mol% NMe₂Et at T = 25 °C and [**4**] = 0.33 M. R = CH₂SiMe₃, * = H₃B·NMe₂Et.^{8c}

Addition of cold *n*-hexane to the product mixture results in precipitation of the polymer, which could be isolated in approximately 40% yield. Again, the ¹¹B and ¹H NMR spectra show the expected broad signals (¹¹B: δ -7 ppm; ¹H: δ 0.13, 1.86, 2.03, 2.93 ppm, Figure S76), supporting the assignment as a homopolymer derived from **4**. Signals for BH₃ end groups of the polymer were not observed. The ²⁹Si{inept} NMR spectrum shows a broad resonance at δ 1 ppm that is slightly shifted to higher field compared to **4** (δ 0.6 ppm). SEC analysis (LS detection) confirmed the presence of a well-defined highmolecular weight polymer ($M_n = 61.200 \text{ g·mol}^{-1}$, $M_w = 98.500 \text{ g·mol}^{-1}$, $\mathcal{D} = 1.6$).

Conclusions

Dehydropolymerisation of methylamine borane and a silyl substituted analogue using the iron amido complex 1 furnishes high-molecular weight poly(aminoborane)s. Kinetic studies of H₃B·NMeH₂ dehydrogenation show that this process follows a kinetic regime which can be described as a first-order process as a limiting case of more general Michaelis-Menten-type kinetics with the iron dihydride [(PNHP)Fe(H)₂CO] as the active species. Analysis of the molecular weights of the obtained polymers, comparative studies using a related N-methylated catalyst and dimethylamine borane suggest involvement of the metal complex. We propose that polymer growth occurs via wellprecedented nucleophilic chain growth from the end of a coordinated oligomer chain in a chain-growth scenario. Control of the molecular weight of the polymers is possible by variation of the catalyst concentration, reaction temperature, the solvent as well as the structure of the Fe catalyst. Reactions in toluene are significantly faster and much more selective for poly(aminoborane) compared to those in THF.

The facile synthesis of a Si substituted primary amine borane has allowed for an extension of dehydropolymerisation studies to more functional substrates. Sterically demanding trimethylsilyl groups not found to interfere were with the dehydropolymerisation process when complex 1 was used in toluene. In fact, this precatalyst was found to be superior to other late transition metal complexes typically used in amine borane chemistry. The presented results once more highlight the great potential of Fe PNP complexes, not only for catalytic hydrogenation and dehydrogenation reactions directed at the synthesis of organic compounds and small molecule activation⁴⁵, but also for the synthesis of novel main group polymers. Future studies on this and related systems should be directed at the rational design of ligand and catalyst structures to not only control the molecular weights, but also the microstructure (branching, tacticity, incorporation of further monomer units) of the poly(aminoborane)s.

Acknowledgements

We thank our technical and analytical staff, in particular Benjamin Andres and Cornelia Pribbenow for assistance, as well as Boron Specialities for a donation of methylamine borane. General support by LIKAT is gratefully acknowledged. This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (BE 4370/9-1).

Keywords: Iron • B-N polymers • polymerisation • dehydrogenation • SEC analysis

a) E. M. Leitao, T. Jurca, I. Manners, Nature Chem. 2013, 5, 817-829; b) . Staubitz, A. P. M. Robertson, M. E. Sloan, I. Manners, Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 4023–4078; c) X. Wang, T. N. Hooper, A. Kumar, I. K. Priest, Y. Sheng, T. O. M. Samuels, S. Wang, A. W. Robertson, M. Pacios, H. Bhaskaran, A. S. Weller, J. H. Warner, *CrystEngComm* **2017**, *19*, 285-294; d) A. Staubitz, A. P. Soto, I. Manners, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, 47, 6212-6215.

- a) D. Han, F. Anke, M.Trose, T. Beweries, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2019, 380, 2 260-286. ; b) H. Johnson, T. Hooper, A. Weller, in Synthesis and Application of Organoboron Compounds, Vol. 49 (Eds.: E. Fernández, A. Whiting), Springer International Publishing, 2015, pp. 153-220.
- O. J. Metters, A. M. Chapman, A. P. M. Robertson, C. H. Woodall, P. J. 3 Gates, D. F. Wass, I. Manners, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 12146-12149. 4
- C. A. De Albuquerque Pinheiro, C. Roiland, P. Jehan, G. Alcaraz, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 1519-1522. J. F. Hartwig, Organotransition Metal Chemistry. From Bonding to
- 5 Catalysis, University Science Books, Sausalito, 2010. A. L. Colebatch, A. S. Weller, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 1379-1390.
- a) A. Rossin, M. Peruzzini, *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 8848-8872; b) S. Bhunya, T. Malakar, G. Ganguly, A. Paul, *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 7907-7934.
- Selected examples: a) S. Todisco, L. Luconi, G. Giambastiani, A. Rossin, M. Peruzzini, I. E. Golub, O. A. Filippov, N. V. Belkova, E. S. Shubina, *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56*, 4296-4307; b) M. A. Esteruelas, A. M. López, M. Mora, E. Oñate, *ACS Catal.* **2015**, *5*, 187-191; c) A. Glüer, M. Förster, V. 8 R. Celinski, J. Schmedt auf der Günne, M. C. Holthausen, S. Schneider, ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 7214-7217; d) A. N. Marziale, A. Friedrich, I. Klopsch, M. Drees, V. R. Celinski, J. Schmedt auf der Günne, S. Schneider, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 13342-13355; e) R. T. Baker, J. C. Gordon, C. W. Hamilton, N. J. Henson, P.-H. Lin, S. Maguire, M. Murugesu, B. L. Scott, N. C. Smythe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 5598-5609; f) M. Käß, A. Friedrich, M. Drees, S. Schneider, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 905-907; g) M. C. Denney, V. Pons, T. J. Hebden, D. M. Heinekey, K. I. Goldberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 12048-12049.
- Examples: a) T. Beweries, S. Hansen, M. Kessler, M. Klahn, U. Rosenthal, 9 Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 7689-7692; b) A. Friedrich, M. Drees, S. Schneider, Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 10339-10342; c) M. E. Sloan, A. Staubitz, T. J. Clark, Christopher A. Russell, G. C. Lloyd-Jones, I. Manners, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **201**(), 132, 3831-3841. 10 A. Staubitz, M. E. Sloan, A. P. M. Robertson, A. Friedrich, S. Schneider, P.
- J. Gates, J. S. a. d. Günne, I. Manners, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 13332-13345
- a) T. Jurca, T. Dellermann, N. E. Stubbs, D. A. Resendiz-Lara, G. R. Whittell, I. Manners, Chem. Sci. 2018, 9, 3360-3366; b) E. A. LaPierre, B. O. Patrick, I. Manners, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 20009-20015.
- 12 D. A. Resendiz-Lara, G. R. Whittell, E. M. Leitao, I. Manners Macromolecules 2019, 52, 7052-7064.
- 13 a) N. E. Stubbs, A. Schäfer, A. P. Robertson, E. M. Leitao, T. Jurca, H. A. Sparkes, C. H. Woodall, M. F. Haddow, I. Manners, Inorg. Chem. 2015, 54, 10878-10889; b) D. A. Resendiz-Lara, N. E. Stubbs, M. I. Arz, N. E. Pridmore, H. A. Sparkes, I. Manners, Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 11701-11704
- 14 a) P. Veeraraghavan Ramachandran, A. S. Kulkarni, Y. Zhao, J. Mei, Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 11885-11888; b) P. V. Ramachandran, A. S. Kulkarni, Inorg. Chem. 2015, 54, 5618-5620.
- 15 H. C. Johnson, E. M. Leitao, G. R. Whittell, I. Manners, G. C. Lloyd-Jones, A. S. Weller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 9078-9093.
- 16 H. C. Johnson, A. P. M. Robertson, A. B. Chaplin, L. J. Sewell, A. L. Thompson, M. F. Haddow, I. Manners, A. S. Weller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 11076-11079
- S. Bhunya, T. Malakar, A. Paul, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 5919-5922. 17
- 18 a) G. M. Adams, D. E. Ryan, N. A. Beattie, A. I. McKay, G. C. Lloyd-Jones, A. S. Weller, ACS Catalysis 2019, 3657-3666; b) A. L. Colebatch, B. W. Hawkey Gilder, G. R. Whittell, N. L. Oldroyd, I. Manners, A. S. Weller, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 5450-5455; c) G. M. Adams, A. L. Colebatch, J. T. Skornia, A. I. McKay, H. C. Johnson, G. C. Lloyd–Jones, S. A. Macgregor, N. A. Beattie, A. S. Weller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 1481-1495; d) F. Anke, D. Han, M. Klahn, A. Spannenberg, T. Beweries, Dalton Trans. 2017, 46, 6843-6847; e) A. Kumar, N. A. Beattie, S. D. Pike, S. A. Macgregor, A. S. Weller, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 54, 10173-10177; f) C. Lichtenberg, M. Adelhardt, T. L. Gianetti, K. Meyer, B. de Bruin, H. Grützmacher, ACS Catalysis 2015, 6230-6240; g) H. C. Johnson, A. S. Weller, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 10173-10177; h) R. Dallanegra, A. P. M. Robertson, A. B. Chaplin, I. Manners, A. S. Weller, Chem. Comm. 2011, 47, 3763-3765; i) J. R. Vance, A. P. M. Robertson, K. Lee, I. Manners, Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 4099-4103.
- a) M. Trose, M. Reiß, F. Reiß, F. Anke, A. Spannenberg, S. Boye, A 19 Lederer, P. Arndt, T. Beweries, Dalton Trans. 2018, 47, 12858-12862; b) Y. Kawano, M. Uruichi, M. Shimoi, S. Taki, T. Kawaguchi, T. Kakizawa, H. Ogino, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 14946-14957.
- I. Koehne, T. J. Schmeier, E. A. Bielinski, C. J. Pan, P. O. Lagaditis, W. H. 20 Bernskoetter, M. K. Takase, C. Würtele, N. Hazari, S. Schneider, Inorg. Chem. 2014, 53, 2133-2143.
- 21 Details of the experimental setup are described in: a) H.-J. Drexler, A. Preetz, T. Schmidt, D. Heller in Handbook of Homogeneous Hydrogenation (ed.: J. G. de Vries, C. Elsevier), 257-293, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2007; b) S. Selent, D. Heller in Catalysis From Principle to

Application (ed.: M. Beller, A. Renken, R. van Santen), 465-490, Wiley-VCH Verlag, Weinheim, 2012.

- R. Knitsch, D. Han, F. Anke, L. Ibing, H. Jiao, M. R. Hansen, T. Beweries, Organometallics 2019, 38, 2714-2723
- Selected examples: a) N. E. Smith, W. H. Bernskoetter, N. Hazari, J. Am. 23 Chem. Soc. 2019, DOI: 10.1021/jacs.9b09062; b) U. Jayarathne, Y Zhang, N. Hazari, W. H. Bernskoetter, Organometallics 2017, 36, 409-416; c) F. Schneck, M. Assmann, M. Balmer, K. Harms, R. Langer, Organometallics 2016, 35, 1931-1943; d) S. Chakraborty, P. O. Lagaditis, M. Förster, E. A. Bielinski, N. Hazari, M. C. Holthausen, W. D. Jones, S. Schneider, ACS Catalysis 2014, 4, 3994-4003; e) S. Chakraborty, H. Dai, P. Bhattacharya, N. T. Fairweather, M. S. Gibson, J. A. Krause, H. Guan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 7869-7872.
- 24 It should be noted that Hg poisoning an imperfect test for homogeneity or heterogeneity, as has been pointed out previously by Finke et al.: W. M. Alley, I. K. Hamdemir, Q. Wang, A. I. Frenkel, L. Li, J. C. Yang, L. D. Menard, R. G. Nuzzo, S. Özkar, K. A. Johnson, R. G. Finke, *Inorg. Chem.* 2010, 49, 8131-8147. Also, Fe shows a comparably low propensity to form amalgams with Hg.
- It should be noted that H₃B·NMeH₂ is not fully soluble in toluene when using an initial [H₃B·NMeH₂] of 0.33 M. This should result in zero-order kinetics in substrate up to the saturation limit and a simple first-order overall kinetic scenario.
- Variable Time Normalisation Analysis: a) J. Burés, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 16084-16087; b) C. D. T. Nielsen, J. Burés, Chem. Sci. 2019, 26 10, 348-353.
- N. T. Coles, M. F. Mahon, R. L. Webster, Organometallics 2017, 36, 27 2262-2268
- 28 R. A. M. O'Ferrall, J. Chem. Soc. B 1970, 785-790.
- Some of us have previously investigated molecular weights of 29 polyethylene using multidetector size exclusion chromatography. a) L. Plüschke, R. Mundil, A. Sokolohorskyj, J. Merna, J.-U. Sommer, A. Lederer, Anal. Chem. 2018, 90, 6178-6186; b) R. Dockhorn, L. Plüschke, M. Geisler, J. Zessin, P. Lindner, R. Mundil, J. Merna, J.-U. Sommer, A. Lederer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 15586-15596.
- V. Pons, R. T. Baker, N. K. Szymczak, D. J. Heldebrant, J. C. Linehan, M. 30 H. Matus, D. J. Grant, D. A. Dixon, Chem. Commun. 2008, 6597-6599.

- T. Malakar, S. Bhunva, A. Paul, Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 6340-6345.
- This sample was prepared using the well-known Brookhart catalyst [(POCOP)IrH₂] (POCOP = 2,6-(*t*-Bu₂PO)₂-C₆H₃), used earlier by Manners and co-workers. In our hands this complex produced poly(aminoborane)s of high molecular weight that were essentially free of residual catalyst (determined by NMR and MS analysis).
- 33
- E. Framery, M. Vaultier, *Heteroat. Chem.* 2000, *11*, 218-225, J. R. Vance, A. Schäfer, A. P. M. Robertson, K. Lee, J. Turner, G. R. Whittell, I. Manners, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, *136*, 3048-3064. C. Bornschein, S. Werkmeister, B. Wendt, H. Jiao, E. Alberico, W. 34 35
- Baumann, H. Junge, K. Junge, M. Beller, Nat. Commun. 2014, 5, 4111.
- P. A. Dub, B. L. Scott, J. C. Gordon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 1245-36 1260
- L.S. Merenkov, B. A. Gostevskii, P. O. Krasnov, T. V. Basova, Y. M.
 Zhukov, I. A. Kasatkin, S. V. Sysoev, V. I. Kosyakov, M. N. Khomyakov, M.
 L. Kosinova, *New J. Chem.* 2017, *41*, 11926-11933.
 T. Wideman, K. Su, E. E. Remsen, G. A. Zank, L. G. Sneddon, *Chem.* 37
- 38 Mater. 1995, 7, 2203-2212.
- C. A. Jaska, K. Temple, A. J. Lough, I. Manners, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 39 125, 9424-9434.
- 40
- P. Pyykkö, M. Atsumi, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2009, *15*, 12770-12779.
 S. Aldridge, A. J. Downs, C. Y. Tang, S. Parsons, M. C. Clarke, R. D. L. Johnstone, H. E. Robertson, D. W. H. Rankin, D. A. Wann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, *131*, 2231-2243. 41
- 42 I. Göttker-Schnetmann, P. White, M. Brookhart, Organometallics 2004, 23, 1766-1776.
- A. P. M. Robertson, M. F. Haddow, I. Manners, Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51, 43 8254-8264
- E. A. K. Spearing-Ewyn, N. A. Beattie, A. L. Colebatch, A. J. Martinez-44 Martinez, A. Docker, T. M. Boyd, G. Baillie, R. Reed, S. A. Macgregor, A. S. Weller, *Dalton Trans.* 2019, 48, 14724-14736.
- W. H. Bernskoetter, N. Hazari, Acc. Chem. Res. 2017, 50, 1049-1058; b) 45 S. Werkmeister, J. Neumann, K. Junge, M. Beller, Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 12226-12250.

WILEY-VCH

Entry for the Table of Contents

FULL PAPER Felix Anke, Susanne Boye, Anke Spannenberg, Albena Lederer, Detlef Met [Fe] H₃B·NMeH₂ H₂B=NMeH Heller and Torsten Beweries* Mé Page No. – Page No. high molecular strong cooperative weight ligand metal interaction Dehydropolymerisation of polyaminoboranes methylamine borane and an N-The well-known Fe amido complex [(PNP)Fe(H)(CO)] (PNP = $N(CH_2CH_2Pi-Pr_2)_2$) is substituted primary amine borane a potent catalyst for the selective formation of poly(aminoborane)s from using a PNP Fe catalyst methylamine borane and a SiMe3 substituted analogue. Mechanistic studies support a chain growth mechanism with polymer formation via nucleophilic attack at the terminus of a growing B-N chain.