

Article

Investigation of the Deprotonative Generation and Borylation of Diamine-Ligated #-Lithiated Carbamates and Benzoates by in situ IR spectroscopy

Rory C. Mykura, Simon Veth, Ana Varela, Lydia Dewis, Joshua J. Farndon, Eddie L. Myers, and Varinder K. Aggarwal

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/jacs.8b06871 • Publication Date (Web): 27 Sep 2018 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on September 27, 2018

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Investigation of the Deprotonative Generation and Borylation of Diamine-Ligated α -Lithiated Carbamates and Benzoates by in situ IR spectroscopy

Rory C. Mykura,^{∫‡} Simon Veth,^{∫‡} Ana Varela,^{∫‡} Lydia Dewis,[∫] Joshua J. Farndon,[∫] Eddie L. Myers,^{†*} Varinder K. Aggarwal.^{∫*}

^JSchool of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Cantock's Close, Bristol, BS8 1TS, UK. [†]School of Chemistry, NUI Galway, Galway, Ireland.

KEYWORDS. Homologation, boronic esters, lithiation, borylation, IR monitoring.

ABSTRACT Diamine-mediated α -deprotonation of 0-alkyl carbamates or benzoates with alkyllithium reagents, trapping of the carbanion with organoboron compounds, and 1,2-metallate rearrangement of the resulting boronate complex are the primary steps by which organoboron compounds can be stereoselectively homologated. Although the final step can be easily monitored by ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy, the first two steps, which are typically carried out at cryogenic temperatures, are less well understood owing to the requirement for specialized analytical techniques. Investigation of these steps by in-situ IR spectroscopy has provided invaluable data for optimizing the homologation reactions of organoboron compounds. Although the deprotonation of benzoates in non-coordinating solvents is faster than that in ethereal solvents, the deprotonation of carbamates shows the opposite trend, a difference that has its origin in the propensity of carbamates to form inactive parasitic complexes with the diamine-ligated alkyllithium reagent. Borylation of bulky diamine-ligated lithiated species in toluene is extremely slow, owing to the requirement for initial complexation of the oxygen atoms of the diol ligand on boron with the lithium ion prior to boron–lithium exchange. However, ethereal solvent, or very small amounts of THF, facilitate precomplexation through initial displacement of the bulky diamines coordinated to the lithium ion. Comparing the carbonyl stretching frequencies of boronates derived from pinacol boronic esters with those derived from trialkylboranes, suggests that the displaced lithium ion is residing on the pinacol oxygen atoms and the benzoate/carbamate carbonyl group, respectively, explaining, at least in part, the faster 1,2-metallate rearrangements of boronates derived from the trialkylboranes.

1. Introduction

The stereoselective homologation of boronic esters with lithium carbenoids derived from Hoppe-type carbamates¹ and Beak-type triisopropylbenzoates² has emerged as a powerful carbon-carbon bond-forming transformation.³ The process involves three main steps (Scheme 1): Step 1—the sparteine-mediated enantioselective deprotonation (lithiation) of a primary carbamate or benzoate with s-BuLi in an ethereal solvent (typically, Et₂O, TBME, or CPME) at low temperature (-78 °C); Step 2—the boronlithium exchange (borylation) of the resulting sparteineligated lithium carbenoid through the addition of a boronic ester, a step that is also carried out at low temperature owing to the level of chemical and configurational stability of the carbenoid;⁴ Step 3—1,2-metallate rearrangement of the resulting boronate, a process that typically takes place above -20 °C for benzoates and at more elevated temperatures (40 °C) for carbamates.⁵ The process can be repeated multiple times with the same or a different carbenoid reagent, allowing carbon chains to be grown one carbon at a time with complete control over substituent identity and configuration.^{3a-c} The bringing together of new partners (the carbenoid precursor and the boronic ester), especially those presenting steric hindrance, often demands signifi-

cant optimization of reaction conditions, such as reaction time, solvent, temperature, and whether to use carbamates or benzoates.⁶ The last step of the process is easily monitored by ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy as the process operates at ambient temperatures and the 4-coordinate boronate and 3-coordinate boronic ester resonate at very distinct regions (~ 6 ppm and ~ 30 ppm, respectively). This facility has allowed novel insight that has led to the routine use of magnesium salts and solvent-switches (Et₂O to CHCl₃) to promote this step when slow migrating groups are encountered.^{6b} However, monitoring and troubleshooting the first two steps is more challenging owing to the processes being carried out at cryogenic temperatures and the added complication that the boron-lithium exchange can be reversible at temperature regimes where the 1,2-metallate rearrangement occurs.^{6e,7} However, indirect probing of these processes through deuterium quenching and the addition of other electrophiles (allyl bromide) at judicious points in the process has proven useful.⁷ With the aim of gaining more insight into the lithiation and borylation steps, we decided to investigate the process through insitu IR spectroscopy.8 The variation of solvent, diamine, temperature, as well as the organic group on the organoboron and the carbenoid precursor has revealed

58

59

surprising trends and interesting effects that shed light on the elementary events that characterize each step.

Scheme 1. Stereoselective homologation of boronic esters.



2. Results and Discussion

2.1 Initial comparison of carbamates and benzoates

Solutions of ethyl carbamate 1a and ethyl benzoate 2a in Et₂O (0.3 M) at -78 °C show a strong $v_{C=0}$ band at ~ 1697 and 1730 cm⁻¹, the lower value for the carbamate being consistent with significant contribution from the imidate resonance form, thus engendering a more basic oxygen atom (Figure 1). Treatment of these solutions with (+)sparteine (1.2 equiv) followed by *s*-BuLi (1.2 equiv, 1.3 M in cyclohexane) leads to the disappearance of the above signals with appearance of new signals at lower wavenumbers, ~1616 and 1633 cm⁻¹, which are consistent with the dipole-stabilized α -lithiated carbamate **3a** and benzoate 4a, respectively, the lithium ion being bound to the bidentate diamine, the carbenoid carbon atom and the oxygen atom of the carbonyl group. For the carbamate, an intermediate signal, $\sim 20 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ lower than that of the substrate (1678 cm⁻¹), quickly appears and then disappears; this signal presumably represents one or a collection of complexes in which a lithium ion binds to the carbonyl oxygen atom of the carbamate.⁸ The stoichiometry of this complex and whether the complexes are intermediates on the pathway to lithiated species (prelithiation complexes) or mostly the products of a parasitic equilibrium was unclear. Monitoring of the benzoate did not show any evidence of a similar complex. The benzoate 2a undergoes much more rapid lithiation compared to the carbamate **1a**. We find it convenient to report the rate of deprotonation as approximate half-lives $(t_{1/2})$ – the amount of time it takes for half of what will be the full amount of the α -lithiated species to be formed; the alternative method, reporting the amount of time it takes for half of the substrate to be consumed, is more challenging owing to the confounding effects of the initially formed complexes and changes in concentration during the addition of the organolithium reagent. Note: The use of the term half-life here does not apply any certainty on the molecularity (or pseudomolecularity) of the transformation. Ethyl benzoate 2a undergoes deprotonation ca. four times faster than the carbamate $1a(t_{1/2} =$ 8 min and 30 min, respectively), despite the similar pK_a values.9 However, this trend contrasts with results recently

published on the amine-free n-BuLi deprotonation of benzvlic carbamates and benzoates in THF/hexane solvent mixtures. Garcia-Rio and co-workers have shown that benzylic carbamates undergo deprotonation much more rapidly than the corresponding benzoates;¹⁰ this result points towards the involvement of a prelithiation complex, the formation of such an intermediate being both more facile for the carbamate owing to the higher basicity of the carbonyl oxygen atom and rate determining when the deprotonation event is relatively facile, such as, for example, deprotonation at a benzylic position. Upon near-tocomplete formation of the α -lithiated carbamate and benzoate, the addition of a 1.0 M solution of phenethyl boronic acid pinacol ester in Et₂O leads to rapid conversion of the lithiated species into the corresponding boronate, indicated by the rapid drop in intensity of the bands at 1616 and 1633 cm⁻¹ with concomitant appearance and increase in intensity of bands at 1642 and 1667 cm⁻¹, respectively. For the α -lithiated carbamate, the conversion into boronate was almost complete by the time the last drop of boronic ester solution was added (<15 sec); for the α -lithiated benzoate boronate formation was complete in just under 2 minutes. Warming to 35 °C and room temperature for the reaction mixtures of carbamate and benzoate, respectively, allows for 1,2-metallate rearrangement, giving the onecarbon homologated boronic ester. Upon oxidative workup (aq. NaOH/aq. H_2O_2), the corresponding alcohol was isolated in 98:2 and 95:5 e.r., values that are fully consistent with the levels of enantioselectivity that are normally imposed by sparteine on the deprotonation of carbamates¹ and benzoates,² respectively.

2.2 Effect of steric hindrance at the β position

We investigated the effect of steric hindrance on both the rate of deprotonation and the subsequent borylation by sequentially adding a methyl group to the β carbon atom of the ethyl carbamate and benzoate derivatives (Table 1). The $t_{1/2}$ for the sparteine-mediated deprotonation of the ethyl, propyl and isobutyl carbamate (1a-c) was 30 (as given above), 33 and 81 minutes, respectively; neopentyl carbamate **1d** did not undergo deprotonation at an appreciable rate at -78 °C. The corresponding values for the benzoates (2a-d) were 8, 16, 31, and 94 minutes, respectively, the neopentyl substrate undergoing very slow but steady deprotonation at -78 °C. The similar rate for the deprotonation of the ethyl and propyl carbamate (entries 1 and 3, respectively) contrasting with a doubling in half-life in going from the ethyl to the propyl benzoate suggests both the absence of an inductive effect of increased substitution on the acidity of the pertinent methylene group, and also perhaps the greater proximity of the benzoate's triisopropylphenyl group-lying orthogonal to the carbonyl group—to the β carbon atom, compared to that of the carbamate's diisopropylamino group. The switching-on of sensitivity of deprotonation of the carbamate to steric hindrance upon moving to the isobutyl substrate (entry 5) might reflect its inability to avoid a conformation that imparts significant steric hindrance near the β carbon atom and the sparteine-ligated organolithium reagent during deprotonation. The subsequent borylation appears to be much more sensitive

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 58 59

60



Figure 1. 2D and 3D plots of absorbance versus time for species involved in the lithiation and borylation of ethyl carbamate (A) and ethyl benzoate (B). TP = 2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl.

Table 1: Effect of steric hindrance at the β position^a



	R/X/Substrate	_{t1/2} Li (min)	<i>t</i> _{1/2} B (min)	Yield (%) ^b	e.r. ^c
1	Me/NiPr2/ 1a	30	<15 s	44	98:2
2	Me/TP/ 2a	8	2	45	95:5
3	Et/NiPr ₂ /1b	33	<15 s	60	98:2
4	Et/TP/ 2b	16	8	77	97:3
5	<i>i</i> Pr/N <i>i</i> Pr ₂ / 1c	81	3	67	98:2
6	<i>i</i> Pr/TP/ 2c	31	35	66	97:3
7		145	3	28	98:2
	<i>i</i> Pr/				
8	<i>t</i> Bu/N <i>i</i> Pr ₂ / 1d	_d	-	-	-
9	<i>t</i> Bu/TP/ 2d	94	296	21	99:1

^{*a*} Conditions: **1**/**2** (0.66 mmol), (+)-sparteine (0.79 mmol), *s*-BuLi (0.79 mmol, 1.3 M in cyclohexane), Et₂O, -78 °C; then phenethyl boronic ester (0.79 mmol), Et₂O, -78 °C; warming to RT (X=TP) or 40 °C (X=N*i*Pr₂); NaOH/H₂O₂, THF/H₂O. ^{*b*} Yield of alcohol as isolated by column chromatography following oxidation of the homologated boronic ester, structures of which are located in the SI. ^{*c*} Determined through HPLC analysis of the alcohol product by using a chiral stationary phase. $^{\it d}$ No reaction.

to steric hindrance. Although borylation of the carbamate was rapid across the series (<15 sec, <15 sec, 3 min; the neopentyl substrate was not lithiated at -78 °C), the rate of borylation of the lithiated benzoate dropped steeply with each addition of a methyl group (2, 8, 35, 296 min). That borylation of an organolithium could be so slow at -78 °C was a surprise, thus giving us cause to reassess our standard protocols for borylation. Additionally, we also investigated the isobutyl derivative of another popular type of carbamate – the Cby group (2,2,4,4-tetramethyl-1,3oxazolidin-3-yl), which gives slightly higher e.r. than the standard carbamate.¹¹ Interestingly, it undergoes deprotonation approximately 2-fold slower than the diisopropyl carbamate ($t_{1/2}$: 145 versus 81 minutes; entry 7). Although, the N,O-acetal moiety of the Cby group would be expected to acidify the pertinent methylene, while engendering a less basic carbonyl group, it seems that the rate of deprotonation is more strongly impacted by the geminal pairs of methyl groups, which, owing to the ring structure, are locked pointing toward the carbonyl group, thus being more sterically imposing than those of the more flexible isopropyl groups in the Cb substrate. The Cby substrate also exhibited a prelithiation complex.

2.3 Effect of a proximal aromatic group

For methodology development in this area, our group normally uses the phenylpropyl carbamate and benzoate as model substrates because lithiation appears to be facile. Indeed, the carbamate and benzoate derivative of this substrate (**1e** and **2e**) undergoes much more rapid deprotonation than the corresponding *n*-propyl parent derivative (Cb: $t_{1/2}$ 5 min versus 33 min; TIB: $t_{1/2}$ 1 min versus 16 min). This

 Table 2: Effect of a proximal aromatic group^a



Entry	Z/X/Substrate	$t_{1/2}$ Li	$t_{1/2}$ B	Yield
		(iiiii)	(mm)	(%) ^ø
1	H/N <i>i</i> Pr ₂ / 1e	5	<15 s	58
2	H/TP/ 2e	1	4	67
3	4-0Me/TP/2f	2	5	58
4	3,5-(CF ₃) ₂ /TP/ 2g	<15 s	12	77

^a Conditions: 1/2 (0.66 mmol), (+)-sparteine (0.79 mmol),
 s-BuLi (0.79 mmol, 1.3 M in cyclohexane), Et₂O, -78 °C;
 then phenethyl boronic ester 5a (0.79 mmol), Et₂O, -78 °C;
 warming to 40 °C/RT; NaOH/H₂O₂, THF/H₂O. ^b Yield of alcohol as isolated by column chromatography following oxidation of the homologated boronic ester.

rate enhancement could be due to a through-bond inductive withdrawal of electron density or due to a throughspace effect, such as a cation- π interaction between the aromatic ring and a lithium ion.12 Beak and co-workers observed that N-Boc-4-phenyl-piperidines underwent much more rapid lithiation at the 2 position compared to the unsubstituted piperidines;¹³ this result would suggest that the rate enhancement is due to an inductive effect, as the equatorially positioned phenyl group would not be able to assist in a through-space interaction. We decided to investigate the phenylpropyl benzoate (2e), together with the 4-methoxy and 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl) substituted derivatives (2f, 2g) (Table 2). The 4-methoxyphenyl derivative underwent deprotonation at a slightly lower rate than the phenyl derivative ($t_{1/2}$ 2 min versus 1 min) while the 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl derivative underwent deprotonation at a noticeably higher rate, conversion being complete by the time the solution of s-BuLi was added ($t_{1/2}$ < 15 sec). The rate of borylation dropped in the order phenyl ($t_{1/2}$ 3 min) > 4-methoxyphenyl ($t_{1/2}$ 5 min) > 3,5bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl ($t_{1/2}$ 12 min). These results suggest that increased inductive withdrawal leads to faster lithiation and slower borylation, as one would expect. However, the lithiated *n*-propyl benzoate, **2b**, undergoes borylation with a $t_{1/2}$ value of 8 min, placing it in the middle of the above series, and not at the beginning, suggesting that the pendent phenyl group promotes borylation through a through-space effect (see Section 2.4 for further discussion). The treatment of a 1:1 mixture of sparteineligated lithiated *n*-propyl benzoate and phenylpropyl benzoate with phenethyl boronic ester gave a 1:1.9 ratio of homologated boronic esters, respectively, thus being consistent with the above half-lives.

2.4 Effect of solvent

Diamine-promoted lithiation reactions for subsequent borylation are primarily conducted in Et₂O;^{3g} *t*-

butylmethylether (TBME) is sometimes used when using very lipophilic substrates^{3c} and cyclopentylmethyl ether (CPME) engenders higher yields when lithiating secondary alkyl benzoates.¹³ Notably, the use of tetrahydrofuran (THF) in sparteine-mediated enantioselective deprotonation leads to products of low e.e. values, presumably due to non-diamine ligated alkyllithium monomers and higher aggregates that are competent at deprotonation;14 interestingly, the use of THF/TMEDA for the stereospecific deprotonation of secondary alkyl benzoates leads to poor yields compared to Et₂O and TBME, indicating other deleterious attributes.13 Toluene is another common solvent for the deprotonation of carbamates and benzoates,14b but has not been adequately explored for lithiation/borylation sequences. Using the *n*-propyl benzoate as a test substrate, the relative rates of sparteine-mediated lithiation were determined in Et₂O, TBME, and toluene, the $t_{1/2}$ values being 16, 26 and 9 minutes, respectively (Table 3, entries 4-6). The corresponding values for the *n*-propyl carbamate were 33, 43 and 66 minutes, respectively (Table 3, entries 1-3). That toluene is a very good solvent for lithiating benzoates and a very poor solvent for lithiating carbamates was also apparent with the ethyl (see Supporting Information) and the isobutyl carbamates/benzoates (Table 3, entries 7–11). The solvent trends are difficult to rationalize with a high level of confidence. Presumably, a high rate of lithiation will be promoted by a suitably high concentration of both monomeric sparteine-ligated s-BuLi and substrate so that they can come together to form a complex that is competent for subsequent intracomplex proton transfer. The concentration of both species will be dictated by the stability of other non-productive complexes. Previous work with *i*PrLi has shown that a major species in ethereal solvents is the heterosolvated dimer of the alkyllithium (Figure 2, 9: the sparteine ligating one lithium ion and up to two solvent molecules ligating the other lithium ion).¹⁵ This species will presumably need to fragment to monomeric forms (Figure 2, 12) to al-

Table 3: Effect of solventa



Entry	R/X/Substrate	Solvent	<i>t</i> _{1/2} Li (min)	t _{1/2} B (min)
1	Et/NiPr2/ 1b	Et ₂ O	33	<15 s
2	Et/NiPr2/ 1b	TBME	43	<15 s
3	Et/NiPr2/1b	Toluene	66	42
4	Et/TP/ 2b	Et ₂ O	16	8
5	Et/TP/ 2b	TBME	26	13
6	Et/TP/ 2b	Toluene	9	501
7	<i>i</i> Pr/N <i>i</i> Pr ₂ / 1c	Et ₂ O	145	3.5
8	<i>i</i> Pr/N <i>i</i> Pr ₂ / 1c	Toluene	195	>50
9	<i>i</i> Pr/TP/ 2c	Et ₂ O	31	35
10	<i>i</i> Pr/TP/ 2c	TBME	52	45

57 58 59

2

3

4

5

6

7 8 9

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

58 59

60

11 <i>i</i> Pr/	TP/ 2c	Toluene	15	N.R. ^b
-----------------	---------------	---------	----	-------------------

^{*a*} Conditions: **1/2** (0.66 mmol), (+)-sparteine (0.79 mmol), *s*-BuLi (0.79 mmol, 1.3 M in cyclohexane), Solvent, –78 °C; then phenethyl boronic ester (0.79 mmol), Solvent, –78 °C; warming to RT (X=TP) or 40 °C (X=N*i*Pr₂); NaOH/H₂O₂, THF/H₂O. ^{*b*} The rate of borylation was extremely low.



Figure 2: Alkyllithium/sparteine/substrate equilibria

low for productive complexation. The use of poorly coordinating toluene as a solvent will promote the formation of homosolvated dimer **11** (both lithium ions ligated by a bidentate diamine),¹⁶ which, in the case of sparteine, will be relatively labile, thus promoting a relatively high concentration of monomeric sparteine-ligated s-BuLi 12. For the triisopropylbenzoate, complexation with the 3coordinate monomer (to give 13) will lead directly to lithiation; this hypothesis is supported by the higher rate of lithiation of benzoates in toluene compared to reactions in Et₂O. However, the lithiation of carbamates shows the opposite trend: the reactions are slower in toluene. This different solvent trend could be explained by carbamates being more likely to form stable unproductive heterosolyated dimers (e.g. 10: sparteine ligating one lithium and one or two molecules of carbamate bound to the other lithium ion), owing to their smaller size and more electron-rich carbonyl oxygen atom, thus engendering a low concentration of both monomeric sparteine-ligated alkyllithium and carbamate. This hypothesis is supported by the high concentrations of lithium-complexed carbamates in toluene (their presence being indicated by the rapid appearance of an IR band of lower frequency relative to free carbamate upon addition of the organolithium reagent), which, in line with data to be presented below, appear to be parasitic intermediates for lithiation. Explaining the lower rate of lithiation in TBME, compared to the reaction in Et₂O, requires much more speculation but might be a consequence of the dynamics centering around the heterosolvated dimer (akin to 9), including how many solvent molecules (one or two) bind to one of the lithium ions and how this coordination number effects the rate of fragmentation to monomeric form.

50 The effect of solvent on the rate of borylation was both 51 striking and surprising (Table 3). Although the borylation 52 of lithiated carbamates was always faster than the corresponding benzoates in all solvents, thus in line with the 53 results above (Section 2.1), the use of toluene solvent en-54 gendered extremely low rates of borylation for benzoates; 55 even the relatively unhindered ethyl substrate underwent 56 borylation with a half-life of approximately 165 minutes 57

(see Table 4). The borylation of carbamates was also slower in toluene solvent (ethyl carbamate: $t_{1/2}$ ether <15 s, $t_{1/2}$ toluene 42 min), but still relatively fast compared to the benzoates (ethyl benzoate: $t_{1/2}$ ether 8 min, $t_{1/2}$ toluene 501 min). That there was a solvent effect at all was surprising and gave us cause to consider the finer details of borylation. In an earlier comparison of the stereospecificity of the borylation of secondary benzylic carbamates with boronic



Figure 3: Solvent-mediated boron-lithium exchange

esters and boranes, the retentive boron–lithium exchange for boronic esters versus an invertive exchange for trialkyl boranes strongly suggested that one of the oxygen atoms of the diol ligand on boronic esters coordinate to the lithium ion of the lithiated carbonyl compound prior to boron– lithium exchange.¹⁷

This precomplexation could happen through initial dissociation of the carbonyl oxygen atom of the carbamate/benzoate, giving a 3-coordinate lithium ion (the ligands being bidentate sparteine and the α carbon atom of the carbonyl substrate) that has an empty site for coordination for the boronic ester (Figure 3; $14 \rightarrow 15 \rightarrow 16 \rightarrow 17$). Dissociation of the carbonyl oxygen atom from the lithium ion should also lead to a more reactive carbon-lithium bond and a more flexible intermediate for boron-lithium exchange. The solvent effect unveiled here suggests that dissociation of the sparteine ligand, either fully or partially (monodentate diamine) and replacement with smaller solvent molecules may be required for either coordination of the boronic ester, subsequent boron-lithium exchange or both, with both steric as well as electronic effects being at play (Figure 3; $14 \rightarrow 18 \rightarrow 19/20 \rightarrow 21 \rightarrow 17$). Such a mechanism may be operating in parallel to one where sparteine remains coordinated in a bidentate fashion to the lithium ion, a pathway that may be more efficient in the case of carbamates. Because we had identified toluene as a good solvent for the lithiation of benzoates, we tested the use of additives for promoting borylation in the hope of identifying a viable toluene-based process for the lithiationborylation of benzoates. Addition of 0.6 equivalents (based on substrate) of THF to a toluene solution of sparteineligated lithiated propyl benzoate and phenethyl boronic ester led to a marked increase in the rate of borylation (Figure 4). The addition of the boronic ester as a solution in THF (1 M) led to very rapid borylation ($t_{1/2} = 2$ minutes; Table 4). Moreover, the enantioselectivity of the process remained high (~95:5 e.r.). The effect of adding the boronic ester as a solution in THF had a similarly dramatic effect on the rate of borylation of the lithiated isobutyl benzoate (essentially undetectable borylation versus a $t_{1/2}$ of 11 minutes). As documented

Table 4: Effect of THF on the rate of borylation^a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 58 59

60

R 2a-d	t _{1/2} Li s-BuLi (+)-sparteine Solvent A, -78 °C	(+)-sp.LiO ROTP 4a-d	t _{1/2} B O Ph B C added as -7 solution in T	(+)-s 5a Ph- 78°C HF 7a-d	
Entry	R	Solvent	<i>t</i> _{1/2} Li	<i>t</i> _{1/2} B	<i>t</i> _{1/2} B
		А	(min)	(min)	(min) ^{<i>b</i>}
1	Me/2a	Toluene	7	<15 s	165
2	Et/ 2b	Toluene	9	2	501
3	<i>i</i> Pr/ 2c	Toluene	15	11	N.R. ^c
4	<i>t</i> Bu/ 2d	Et ₂ O	78	15	296

^{*a*} Conditions: **2** (0.66 mmol), (+)-sparteine (0.79 mmol), *s*-BuLi (0.79 mmol, 1.3 M in cyclohexane), Solvent, -78 °C; then phenethyl boronic ester (0.79 mmol, Solvent, -78 °C; warming to RT; NaOH/H₂O₂, THF/H₂O. ^{*b*} The rate of borylation when boronic ester **5a** was added as a solution in solvent A. ^{*c*} The rate of borylation was extremely low.

above, the borylation of the very sterically hindered neopentyl benzoate in Et₂O solvent was very slow ($t_{1/2}$ of ~296 minutes). When phenethyl boronic ester was added as a solution in THF, borylation was much more rapid ($t_{1/2}$ of 15 minutes), indicating that the addition of boronic esters as solutions in THF should form part of most lithiationborylation protocols. In accordance with the above analysis on the solvent effect on borylation, THF efficiently displaces sparteine as a ligand on lithium, leading to faster borylation. This result also sheds light on the intriguing promotion of borylation in the presence of a pendent electron-rich aromatic ring (Section 2.3). It seems reasonable that the pendant phenyl group can displace the sparteine ligand and act as a weak intramolecular ligand on lithium, thus providing a more accessible environment for the boronic ester.12

2.5 Effect of diamine

The reactions described above involved the use of sparteine as the diamine promoter. Owing to the interesting effect of solvent on the rate of lithiation and borylation, we were keen to investigate and compare other diamine ligands. We were particularly interested in the use of the sparteine surrogate (Table 5), a less-sterically hindered derivative of sparteine; this diamine was initially prepared and investigated by the O'Brien laboratory as a surrogate for (+)-sparteine, which at the time was extremely scarce and expensive.¹⁸ Relative to sparteine, the use of the (+)sparteine surrogate in the deprotonation of isobutyl carbamate led to an approximate 5-fold increase in the rate relative to that with sparteine ($t_{1/2}$ of 15 versus 81 minutes). Moreover, the enantioselectivity of the process remained high (~95:5 e.r.). Indeed, our research group observed a similar and highly enabling enhancement in rate for the α -lithiation of a benzoate intermediate in the total synthesis of (–)-stemaphylline.^{6c} Similarly, the research group of O'Brien have observed rate enhancements in the lithiation of *N*-Boc piperidines^{8b} and pyrrolidines,¹⁹ thus providing further evidence to support the continued investigation of sparteine surrogate (and the development of routes to the (–)-sparteine surrogate),²⁰ despite the improvement in the availability of (+)-sparteine.²¹ Interestingly, the use of the sparteine surrogate leads to faster lithiation compared to that mediated by TMEDA ($t_{1/2}$ of 15 versus 42 minutes). This comparison



Figure 4: 2D plot of absorbance versus time for the lithiation and borylation of propyl benzoate in toluene solvent, with the addition of THF to promote borylation.

contrasts with that observed by O'Brien where N-Boc pyrrolidine is lithiated fastest by using TMEDA. 8b,19 A similar trend was observed for the lithiation of isobutyl benzoate, the $t_{1/2}$ values for sparteine, TMEDA and sparteine surrogate being 31, 17, and 3 minutes, respectively. The surrogate's reduced steric hindrance (compared to sparteine) and increased rigidity (compared to TMEDA) probably contributes to its effectiveness. Furthermore, the more basic nitrogen atoms within the sparteine scaffold compared to those of TMEDA (three bonds versus two bonds between the electronegative nitrogen atoms) probably enhance the basicity of s-BuLi.²² As mentioned above, sparteine forms a heterodimer with *i*PrLi (a model for *s*-BuLi) in diethyl ether, with sparteine complexed to one lithium ion and solvent molecules on the other lithium ion;¹⁵ O'Brien has shown that the smaller size of the surrogate engenders the homodimer (a diamine ligand on both lithium ions) being the major species in diethyl ether (see Figure 2).²³ Therefore, the kinetics and thermodynamics of the pre-equilibria involving substrate are also likely to be important. That two different types of substrates (O'Brien's N-Boc piperidines/pyrrolidines^{8b,19} and the nalkyl carbamates/benzoates) show different trends in diamine-mediated deprotonation gives credence to the importance of these equilibria. Owing to the contrasteric result of the comparison between TMEDA and sparteine sur-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

rogate, we decided to prepare and investigate *N*,*N*'dimethylbispidine, the least sterically hindered diamine for the sparteine scaffold (Table 5).²⁴ As expected, it was the most effective diamine for lithiation of isobutyl benzoate **2c** ($t_{1/2}$ of 3 versus 1 minutes for the sparteine surrogate and *N*,*N*'-dimethylbispidine, respectively). For both lithiated benzoates and carbamates, the TMEDA ligand leads to the highest rates of borylation (Table 5). Interestingly, the sparteine surrogate leads to the lowest rates of borylation. The effectiveness of TMEDA is consistent with its relatively small size and high flexibility— boronlithium exchange might be sufficiently facile without exchange of TMEDA for solvent, and if not, exchange should be very rapid. Initially surprised by the low rate of borylation with

Table 5: Effect of diamine^a



Entry	R/X/Substrate	Diamine	<i>t</i> _{1/2} Li (min)	<i>t</i> _{1/2} B (min)
1	<i>i</i> Pr/N <i>i</i> Pr ₂ / 1c	(+)-sp	81	3
2	<i>i</i> Pr/N <i>i</i> Pr ₂ / 1c	(+)-sps	15	5
3	<i>i</i> Pr/N <i>i</i> Pr ₂ / 1c	TMEDA	42	<15 s
4	<i>i</i> Pr/TP/ 2c	(+)-sp	31	35
5	<i>i</i> Pr/TP/ 2c	(+)-sps	3	_ <i>b</i>
6	<i>i</i> Pr/TP/ 2c	TMEDA	17	<15 s
7	<i>i</i> Pr/TP/ 2c	DMBS	1	15
8 ^c	<i>i</i> Pr/TP/ 2c	DMBS	1	8
9 ^c	<i>i</i> Pr/TP/ 2c	(+)-sp	52	45
10 ^c	<i>i</i> Pr/TP/ 2c	(+)-sps	2	111
11	<i>t</i> Bu/TP/ 2d	(+)-sp	78	150 (15) ^d
12	<i>t</i> Bu/TP/ 2d	(+)-sps	5	-e (-) ^{d,e}

^{*a*} Conditions: **1/2** (0.66 mmol), diamine (0.79 mmol), *s*-BuLi (0.79 mmol, 1.3 M in cyclohexane), Et₂O, -78 °C; then phenethyl boronic ester (0.79 mmol), Et₂O, -78 °C; warming to RT (X=TP) or 40 °C (X=NiPr₂); NaOH/H₂O₂, THF/H₂O. ^{*b*} Precipitation of lithiated benzoate confounded measurement of the rate of borylation, which was very slow; see results of reaction carried out in TBME (entries 3) ^{*c*} The lithiation-borylation process was carried out in TBME solvent. ^{*d*} Boronic ester added as a solution in THF. ^{*e*} The rate of borylation was undetectably low.

sparteine surrogate, we tested the use of THF as a substoichiometric additive and as a vehicle for the introduction of the boronic ester. The low rate of borylation was unperturbed, suggesting that the sparteine surrogate cannot be displaced by THF solvent. This hypothesis is supported by the work of O'Brien: whereas sparteinemediated deprotonations α to the the nitrogen atom of carbamates in THF followed by electrophilic trapping leads to almost exclusive formation of the racemate, that mediated by the sparteine surrogate leads to product with high ee values; only the sparteine surrogate can out-compete THF for the generation of active alkyllithium aggregates/monomers.23 O'Brien also observed that sparteinesurrogate-ligated α -lithiated piperazines could not be displaced by TMEDA, unlike the corresponding sparteineligated species.²⁵ Borylation of N,N'-dimethylbispidineligated lithiated benzoates in Et₂O was faster than that of sparteine and sparteine surrogate, the $t_{1/2}$ values being 15 (8 min in TBME), 35 (45 min in TBME), and 111 (TBME) minutes, respectively. Presumably, boron-lithium exchange is relatively facile with the less-sterically hindered *N*,*N*'-dimethylbispidine ligand still bound to the lithium ion. Therefore, this result further reinforces the notion that borylation occurs through two mechanisms - direct borylation of the tricoordinate diamine-ligated lithium ion (the carbonyl group being in a dissociated state and the carbon-oxygen single bond being anti to the carbonlithium bond) and borylation of the solvent-displaced derivative, the latter being important with bulky yet labile diamine ligands. Interestingly, the borylation of N,N'dimethylbispidine-ligated lithiated species in TBME is faster than that in Et₂O (8 versus 15 minutes); sparteineligated species show the opposite trend. The need to displace the diamine ligand is no longer great for the borylation of the sterically accessible N,N'-dimethylbispidineligated lithiated species, so that instead the less-donating TBME solvent, which previously was poor at displacing the diamine from the diamine-ligated lithiated species, leads to the empty p orbital of the boron atom being more available for engagement in boron-lithium exchange.

2.6 Effect of the organoboron partner

Considering the evidence supporting initial coordination of the boronic ester to the lithium ion of the α -lithiated species prior to boron-lithium exchange, we decided to investigate the use of trialkylborane electrophiles. Trialkylboranes are more Lewis acidic than boronic esters but do not contain Lewis basic sites that can coordinate to the lithium ion. We investigated the borylation of benzoates rather than carbamates because the former borylate at a much lower rate, thus allowing differences to be more easily observed. Upon treatment of a sparteine-ligated α lithiated 3-phenylpropylbenzoate (1636 cm⁻¹) with tributylborane (1M in Et_2O) at -78 °C, we observed the appearance of a new signal at slightly lower wavenumber (1630 cm⁻¹). At a lower rate, we observed disappearance of the new signal at 1630 cm⁻¹ and appearance of a new broader signal at lower wavenumber (1592 cm⁻¹), which corresponds to the lithiated benzoate anion (TPCO₂Li), the byproduct of 1,2-metallate rearrangement. The intermediate species indicating at 1630 cm⁻¹ is believed to be the tetraalkylboronate; the very low wavenumber of the carbonyl group compared to that of boronates derived from boronic esters (~1665 cm⁻¹) suggests that the lithium ion is coordinating to the carbonyl oxygen atom (in pinacol boronates, the lithium ion presumably coordinates to one of the oxygen atoms of the pinacol



Figure 5: 3D *in situ* IR spectroscopy trace of the lithiation of isobutyl TIB ester and trapping with tributylborane, showing 1,2-migration at -78 °C.

ligand). That the 1,2-metallate rearrangement of tetraalkyl-boronates already occurs at -78 °C (the corresponding pinacol boronates requires temperatures of at least -20 °C)^{3c} might be partially due to the positioning of the lithium ion. The alcohol product isolated after oxidation of the homologated organoboron showed that the level and sense of enantioenrichment was similar to the reaction with the boronic ester, thus confirming that the trialkylborane also undergoes stereoretentive boron-lithium exchange. To compare the rates of borylation of boranes and pinacol boronic esters, we used the lithiated isobutyl benzoate as a substrate owing to its low rate of borylation relative to the rate of addition of boronic ester to the lithiated species, as per our normal protocol. The borylation of this substrate with tributylborane exhibited features that were similar to that of the borylation of the phenylpropyl benzoate, although the IR band of the carbonyl group associated with the boronate and lithiated species were now coincident (see Figure 5). Rapid addition of a 1:1 mixture of tributylborane (1.2 equiv) and phenethyl boronic ester (1.2 equiv) in Et₂O (1 M) to a TBME solution of sparteineligated lithiated isobutyl benzoate 4c, allowing the resulting solution to evolve for 4 h at -78 °C followed by warming to room temperature and subsequent oxidative workup gave a 2:1 mixture of alcohols derived from homologation of the boronic ester and the trialkylborane, respectively (Scheme 2). This result shows that despite boronic esters being less Lewis acidic than trialkylboranes, they exhibit similar rates of boron-lithium exchange owing to the ability of the oxygen atoms of the diol ligand to coordinate to the lithium ion. Borate esters, B(OR)₃, which are less Lewis acidic than boronic esters, were investigated to determine whether the presence of an additional Lewis basic oxygen site would lead to more rapid lithium-boron



Scheme 2: A: Comparison of the rate of borylation of boronic esters and trialkylboranes. Ratio derived after isolation. B: Comparison of the rate of borylation of boronic esters and borate esters. Ratio derived by crude ¹H NMR.

addition of a 1:1 mixture of isopropoxy borate ester **22** ($t_{\frac{1}{2}}B = 36 \text{ min}$) and the isosteric isobutyl boronic acid pinacol ester **23** ($t_{\frac{1}{2}}B > 50 \text{ min}$) in Et₂O to the sparteine-ligated lithiated isobutyl benzoate **4c** ultimately led to a 1:1.4 ratio of products, in favour of the borate ester derived product. These results provide reinforcing evidence in support of the importance of lithium-oxygen coordination prior to lithium-boron exchange.

Table 6: Effect of temperature^a

2h	TP	(+)-sp. LjO O 4h	P $\frac{t_{1/2}B}{Ph}$ $\frac{0}{Ph}$	(+ 0 5a Ph	
Entry	Т (°С)	<i>t</i> _{1/2} Li (min)	$t_{1/2}$ B (min)	Yield (%) ^b	e.r. ^c
1	-65	2	1	69	92:8
2	-78	4	12	78	93:7
3	-95	18	363	68	95:5

^{*a*} Conditions: **2h** (0.66 mmol), (+)-sp (0.79 mmol), *s*-BuLi (0.79 mmol, 1.3 M in cyclohexane), Et₂O, *T*; then phenethyl boronic ester (0.79 mmol), Et₂O, *T*; warming to RT; NaOH/H₂O₂, THF/H₂O. ^{*b*} Yield of alcohol as isolated by column chromatography following oxidation of the homologated boronic ester. ^{*c*} Determined through HPLC analysis of the alcohol product by using a chiral stationary phase

2.7 Effect of temperature

We decided to investigate the effect of temperature on lithiation and borylation (Table 6). Because we were particularly interested to ascertain whether the enantioselectivity of the process could be increased by lowering the temperature of lithiation, we chose 3-butenyl benzoate **2h** as a substrate, which undergoes sparteine-mediated

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10 11

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 58 59

60

deprotonation and borylation at -78 °C to give product with relatively moderate e.r. levels (93:7). Dropping the temperature to -95 °C led to slight increase in enantioselectivity (e.r. 95:05); conducting the reaction at the higher temperature of -65 °C led to a slight decrease in enantioselectivity. Overall, the rate of lithiation doubles for every 10 °C increase in temperature. The rate of borylation was much more sensitive to temperature, with $t_{1/2}$ values of ~1, 12, and 363 minutes at -65, -78, and -95 °C.

2.8 Effect of Concentration

We investigated the effect of reaction mixture concentration on the rate of lithiation, whilst maintaining the relative stoichiometry of reactants at 1:1. Although for ethyl benzoate, the approximate rate of lithiation increased proportionally with reaction mixture concentration in accordance with a reaction that was almost second order-a log/log plot of rate versus concentration fitted a straight line of slope 1.82 ($R^2 = 0.987$)—that for ethyl carbamate suggested a fractional reaction order between 1 and 2 (slope = 1.22, R^2 = 0.996). We also investigated the lithiation of carbamates and benzoates under pseudo first order conditions because Beak and co-workers had studied the sparteine-mediated α -lithiation of *N*-aryl Boc carbamates of allyl amines under these conditions.²⁵ To a large excess of *s*-BuLi/sparteine (28 equiv, 0.69 M) in Et₂O/cyclohexane $(\sim 1:1)$ at -78 °C was added ethyl carbamate. Interestingly, the carbamate did not

K_1 very small for X = TF K_1 large for X = N/Pr₂ inactive R

active complex

Figure 6: Parasitic equilibria in lithiation reactions

undergo lithiation under these conditions; instead, the IR signal of the carbonyl group of the carbamate was shifted to a frequency that has been attributed above to a prelithiation complex. This result is further evidence to support the parasitic nature of these "prelithiation complexes" observed in lithiation reactions of the type of carbamates studied herein. We believe that all the carbamate substrate is quickly sequestered through ligand exchange with the sparteine/solvent-ligated heterodimer of s-BuLi, which is present in a large excess under Beak's pseudo first order conditions, thus leading to very low concentrations of both free carbamate and 3-coordinate sparteine-ligated s-BuLi, which we believe need to come together to form an active complex (Figure 6). This hypothesis is consistent with the low rate of lithiation of carbamates in toluene solvent and the apparent fractional overall reaction order just above 1, as measured for reactions under normal preparative con-

ditions. Underlining the diverging behavior of carbamates and benzoates, ethyl benzoate, which does not form observable prelithiation complexes, underwent smooth lithiation under these pseudo first order conditions.

3. Summary and Conclusions

We have investigated the deprotonative generation and borylation of diamine-ligated lithiated benzoates and carbamates by using in-situ IR analysis. The results give rise to the following conclusions, which will be valuable for the troubleshooting and further development of homologation reactions of organoboron compounds by using lithiated carbamates and benzoates:

(1) The diamine/s-BuLi-mediated deprotonation of benzoates is approximately 2-3 times more rapid than that of the corresponding carbamates. This difference appears to be due to the involvement of parasitic complexes involving the organolithium reagent, the diamine, and the carbamate. IR signals of the carbonyl group in such complexes are observed at slightly lower wavenumbers than those of uncomplexed carbamate. That the use of very high concentrations of sparteine/s-BuLi relative to carbamate gives rise to quantitative formation of such IR-observable complexes and no observable deprotonation at -78 °C, strongly supports this hypothesis. The less-basic carbonyl group and more sterically hindered nature of benzoates preclude or keep the concentration of such complexes at very low levels.

(2) Increased steric hindrance at the β carbon atom of carbamates and benzoates leads to slower lithiation and borylation, the significant buttressing ability of the triisopropylphenyl group of benzoates engendering them more sensitive to the change.

(3) The borylation of diamine-ligated lithiated carbamates is considerably more rapid than that of the corresponding benzoates. This difference appears to be steric in origin.

(4) The replacement of ethereal solvents with the poorly coordinating solvent, toluene, leads to faster lithiation of benzoates but slower lithiation of carbamates. The latter effect appears to be due to the increased concentration of parasitic complexes of carbamates in toluene, whereas the former effect is presumably due to a higher concentration of the monomeric diamine-ligated organolithium in toluene.

(5) For both carbamates and benzoates, the borylation of the sparteine-ligated lithiated species is promoted by coordinating solvents (Et₂O) or additives (THF); borylation in toluene solvent in the absence of additives can be undetectably slow. The replacement of the diamine ligand for solvent molecules on the lithium ion allows easy access for the boronic ester to coordinate to the lithium ion through an oxygen atom of the diol ligand, an interaction that appears to precede boron-lithium exchange. This effect appears to be crucial for the borylation involving sterically hindered substrates or diamine ligands. For small or flexible diamine ligands (TMEDA and N,N'-dimethylbispidine), the primary mechanism of boron-lithium exchange might involve dissociation of the carbonyl group from the lithium ion rather than diamine-solvent exchange. However, the rate of borylation of sparteine-surrogate-ligated lithiated species cannot be increased through the addition of coor-



dinating additives owing to its strong binding to the lithium ion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 58 59

60

(6) The rate of diamine/s-BuLi-promoted lithiation of benzoates and carbamates increases in the series N,N'dimethylbispidine > sparteine surrogate > TMEDA > sparteine. The nature of the diamine (steric hindrance, basicity, and flexibility) presumably affects both the equilibria involving active and parasitic complexes and the rate constant for the deprotonation event. The rate of borylation increases in the contrasteric series TMEDA > N_iN' dimethylbispidine > sparteine > sparteine surrogate, suggesting the parallel operation of at least two mechanisms: one where boron-lithium exchange is preceded by exchange of the diamine ligand on the lithium ion for solvent/additive molecules and the other where the exchange is preceded by dissociation of the carbonyl group from the lithium ion (the diamine ligand remaining on the lithium ion). The promotion of borylation through the displacement of diamine ligands also appears to be the origin of the unusually rapid borylation of substrates containing pendant aryl groups, which can intramolecularly displace the diamine ligand through a cation- π interaction.

(7) Although trialkylboranes are more Lewis acidic than boronic esters, these families of organoboron compounds undergo boron-lithium exchange at very similar rates. The poorer Lewis acidity of boronic esters appears to be mitigated by their ability to form a complex with the organolithium, through interaction of an oxygen atom of the diol ligand with the lithium ion, prior to boron-lithium exchange. The unusually low carbonyl stretching frequency of boronate complexes derived from α -lithiated benzoates and trialkylboranes, compared to that of complexes derived from boronic esters, suggests that the lithium ion resides on the carbonyl oxygen atom; for complexes derived from boronic esters, the lithium ion is believed to reside on the oxygen atom of the diol ligand. The ability of boronates derived from trialkylboranes to undergo 1,2metallate rearrangement even at temperatures as low as -78 °C is believed to be in part due to the positioning of the lithium ion.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.xxxxxx. Reaction set up, experimental procedures, full *in situ*

IR data and traces (PDF).

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* eddie.myers@nuigalway.ie

* V.Aggarwal@bristol.ac.uk.

Author Contributions

[‡] R. Mykura, S. Veth, and A. Varela contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank the EPSRC (EP/I038071/1) and University of Bristol for financial support. R.C.M, L.D., and J.J.F. thank the Bristol Chemical Synthesis Centre for Doctoral Training, funded by the EPSRC (EP/L015366/1), AstraZeneca, and the University of Bristol for Ph.D. studentships. We thank the referees for insightful comments, which have led to important additions to this manuscript.

ABBREVIATIONS

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Hoppe, D.; Hense, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, *36*, 2282. (b) Hoppe, D.; Marr, F.; Brüggemann, M. *Organolithiums in Enantioselective Synthesis*; Hodgson, D. M., Ed.; Springer: Heidelberg, **2003**; pp 61–137. (c) Beckmann, E.; Desai, V.; Hoppe, D. *Synlett* **2004**, 2275. (d) Beckmann, E.; Hoppe, D. *Synthesis* **2005**, 2005, 217.

(2) (a) Beak, P.; McKinnie, B. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 5213.
(b) Beak, P.; Baillargeon, M.; Carter, L. G. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 4255. (c) Beak, P.; Carter, L. G. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 2363.

(3) (a) Wu, J.; Lorenzo, P.; Zhong, S.; Ali, M.; Butts, C. P.; Myers, E. L.; Aggarwal, V. K. *Nature*, **2017**, 547, 436. (b) Burns, M.; Essafi, S.; Bame, J. R.; Bull, S. P.; Webster, M. P.; Balieu, S.; Dale, J. W.; Butts, C. P.; Harvey, J. N.; Aggarwal, V. K. *Nature* **2014**, *513*, 183. (c) Rasappan, R.; Aggarwal, V. K. *Nat. Chem.* **2014**, *6*, 810. (d) Larouche Gauthier, R.; Fletcher, C. J.; Couto, I.; Aggarwal, V. K. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 12592. (e) Dutheuil, G.; Webster, M. P.; Worthington, P. A.; Aggarwal, V. K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 6317. (f) Stymiest, J. L.; Dutheuil, G.; Mahmood, A.; Aggarwal, V. K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 7491. For a review, see: (g) Leonori, D.; Aggarwal, V. K. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *47*, 3174.

(4) (a) Kapeller, D. C.; Hammerschmidt, F. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 2380. (b) Derwing, C.; Frank, H.; Hoppe, D. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, 3519. (c) Carstens, A.; Hoppe, D. *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 6097. (d) Hoppe, D.; Carstens, A.; Krämer, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1990** *29*, 1424.

(5) Aggarwal, V. K.; Fang, G. Y.; Ginesta, X.; Howells, D. M.; Zaja, M. *Pure Appl. Chem.*, **2006**, *78*, 215.

(6) (a) Millán, A.; Grigol Martínez, P. D.; Aggarwal, V. K. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, 24, 730. (b) Varela, A.; Garve, L. K. B.; Leonori, D.; Aggarwal, V. K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 2127. (c) Noble, A.; Roesner, S.; Aggarwal, V. K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 15920. (d) Blair, D. J.; Zhong, S.; Hesse, M. J.; Zabaleta, N.; Myers, E. L.; Aggarwal, V. K. *Chem. Commun.*, **2016**, *52*, 5289. (e) Roesner, S.; Blair, D. J.; Aggarwal, V. K. *Chem. Sci.*, **2015**, *6*, 3718-3723.

(7) (a) Bagutski, V.; French, R. M.; Aggarwal, V. K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 5142. (b) Blair, D. J.; Fletcher, C. J.; Wheelhouse, K. M. P.; Aggarwal, V. K.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 5552.

(8) For other in-situ IR investigations on the lithiation and borylation of carbamates, see: (a) Fandrick, K. R.; Patel, N. D.; Mulder, J. A.; Gao, J.; Konrad, M.; Archer, E.; Buono, F. G.; Duran, A.; Schmid, R.; Daeubler, J.; Fandrick, D. R.; Ma, S.; Grinberg, N.; Lee, H.; Busacca, C. A.; Song, J. J.; Yee, N. K.; Senanayake, C. H. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, 16, 4360. (b) Jason, A.; Mulder, J. A.; Gao, J.; Fandrick, K. R.; Zeng, Z.; Desrosiers, J.-N.; Patel, N. D.; Li, Z.; Rodriguez, S.; Lorenz, J. C.; Wang, J.; Ma, S.; Fandrick, D. R.; Grinberg, N.; Lee, H.; Bosanac, T.; Takahashi, H.; Chen, Z.; Bartolozzi, A.; Nemoto, P.; Busacca, C. A.; Song, J. J.; Yee, N. K.; Mahaney, P. E.; Senanayake, C. H. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2017**, *21*, 1427.

(8) For previous observations of similar "pre-lithiated" species, see, for example: (a) Al-Aseer, M.; Beak, P.; Hay, D.; Kempf, D. J.; Mills, S.; Smith, S. G.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 2080. (b) Stead, D.; Carbone, G.; O'Brien, P.; Campos, K. R.; Coldham, I.; Sanderson, A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 7260.

(9) Graña, P.; Paleo, M. R.; Sardina, F. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 12511.

(10) Fernández-Nieto, F.; Paleo, M. R.; Colunga, R.; Raposo, M. L.; Garcia-Rio, L.; Sardina, F. J. *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 5520.

(11) (a) Hintze, F.; Hoppe, D. *Synthesis* **1992**, 1216. (b) Royal, T.; Baumgartner, Y; Baudoin, O.; *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 166.

- (12) Monje, P.; Paleo, M. R.; Garcia-Rio, L.; Sardina, F. J. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7394.
- (13) Beak, P.; Lee, W. K. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1109.
- (13) Pulis, A. P.; Blair, D. J.; Torres, E.; Aggarwal, V. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 16054.
- (14) (a) Hoppe, I.; Marsch, M.; Harms, K.; Boche, G.; Hoppe, D.
- Angew. Chem. Int. 1995, 34, 2158. (b) Wu, S.; Lee, S.; Beak, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 715.
- (15) Gallagher, D. J.; Kerrick, S. T.; Beak, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 5872.
- (16) Rutherford, J. L.; Hoffmann, D.; Collum, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 264.
- (17) Stymiest, J. L.; Bagutski, V.; French, R. M.; Aggarwal, V. K. Nature, 2008, 456, 778-782.
- (18) Dearden, M. J.; Firkin, C. R.; Hermet, J.-P. R.; O'Brien, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 11870.

- (19) McGrath, M. J.; Bilke, J. L.; O'Brien, P. Chem. Commun. 2006, 2607.
- (20) Firth, J. D.; Canipa, S. J.; Ferris, L.; O'Brien, P. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 223.
- (21) Ritter, S. K. Chem. Eng. News. 2017, 95, 18.
- (22) Bryantsev, V. S.; Diallo, M. S.; Goddard, W. A. J. Phys. Chem. A 2007, 111, 4422.
- (23) Carbone, G.; O'Brien, P.; Hilmersson, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. , *132*, 15445.
- (24) See Supporting Information for preparation.
- (25) Firth, J. D.; O'Brien, P.; Ferris, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 651.
- (25) Pippel, D. J.; Weisenburger, G. A.; Faibish, N. C.; Beak, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 4919.

