

Article

# Interplay of Protecting Groups and Side Chain Conformation in Glycopyranosides. Modulation of the Influence of Remote Substituents on Glycosylation?

Suresh Dharuman, Harsha Amarasekara, and David Crich

J. Org. Chem., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.8b01459 • Publication Date (Web): 31 Jul 2018 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on July 31, 2018

### **Just Accepted**

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

# Interplay of Protecting Groups and Side Chain Conformation in Glycopyranosides. Modulation of the Influence of Remote Substituents on Glycosylation?

Suresh Dharuman,<sup>†</sup> Harsha Amarasekara,<sup>†</sup> and David Crich \*

Department of Chemistry, Wayne State University, 5101 Cass Avenue, Detroit, MI 48202, USA dcrich@chem.wayne.edu

**Abstract.** The synthesis and conformational analysis of a series of phenyl 2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-β-D-thio galacto and glucopyranosides and their 6*S*-deuterio isotopomers, with systematic variation of the protecting group at the 4-position, is described. For the galactopyranosides replacement of a 4-*O*-benzyl ether by a 4-*O*-alkanoyl or aroyl ester results in a small but measurable shift in side chain population away from the *trans,gauche* conformation and in favor of the *gauche,trans* conformer. In the glucopyranoside series on the other hand replacement of a 4-*O*-benzyl ether by a 4-*O*-alkanoyl or aroyl ester results in a small but measurable increase in the population of the *trans,gauche* conformer at the expense of the *gauche,gauche* conformer. The possible modulating effect of these conformational changes on the well-known changes in the anomeric reactivity of glycosyl donors as a function of protecting group is discussed raising the possibility that larger changes may be observed at the transition state for glycosylation. A comparable study with a series of ethyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzyl-β-D-thioglucopyranosides reveals that no significant influence in side chain population is observed on changing the O6 protecting group.

### Introduction

In carbohydrate chemistry it is widely understood that anomeric reactivity is strongly influenced by the relative configuration of the complete set of stereogenic centers in the backbone.<sup>1</sup> Thus, for example, galactopyranosides undergo both acid-catalyzed and spontaneous hydrolysis more rapidly than their gluco isomers (Figure 1);<sup>2-5</sup> the same pattern of reactivity is found in glycosylation reactions with a series of comparably protected thioglycosides.<sup>6</sup> The influence of protecting groups on the anomeric reactivity of glycosyl donors also is broadly appreciated, with the more electron-withdrawing (or disarming) esters retarding reaction rates compared to the less electron-withdrawing (or arming) ethers.<sup>7-11</sup>

Figure 1. Relative Rates of Spontaneous Hydrolysis of Galacto and Glucopyranosides in Water at  $37 \ ^{\circ}C^{5}$ 



Ring conformation is another important factor in anomeric reactivity. Thus, for any given configuration, ring conformations that maximize the number of axial (or pseudoaxial) C-O bonds generally exhibit the greatest anomeric reactivity (Figure 2).<sup>12-15</sup> The influence of configuration and ring conformation on anomeric reactivity are best explained by the ability of axial C-O bonds to stabilize nascent positive charge at the anomeric center as compared to their equatorial counterparts.<sup>16-19</sup>

Figure 2. Influence of Ring Conformation on the Hydrolysis of Axial Methyl Glucosides in 2M HCl at 60  $^{\circ}C^{4,15}$ 



The conformation of the side chain, defined as *gauche,gauche* (*gg*), *gauche,trans* (*gt*) or *trans,gauche* (*tg*) where the first and second terms refer to the position of O6 relative to O5 and C4 respectively,<sup>20-22</sup> is also increasingly recognized as influencing anomeric reactivity. Thus, the *trans,gauche* conformation exerts maximal retardation due to the strongly electron-withdrawing antiperiplanar relationship of the C6-O6 and the C5-O5 bonds (Figure 3).<sup>23-25</sup> The interplay between the conformation of the side chain and the glycosidic bond is further apparent from the work of Vasquez and coworkers in which it is demonstrated by CD and NMR methods that both the anomeric configuration and the nature of the aglycone influence the population of the different side chain conformers.<sup>26-30</sup>

**Figure 3.** Influence of the *gauche,gauche* (*gg*), *gauche,trans* (*gt*) and *trans,gauche* (*tg*) Side Chain Conformations on the Relative Rates of Spontaneous Hydrolysis of 2,4-Dinitrophenyl Glycosides in Water at 37  $^{\circ}C^{23,24}$ 



In this Article we begin to explore the possibility that protecting groups, in addition to their wellknown influence on glycoside reactivity as a function of their electron-withdrawing ability,<sup>1,7-11</sup> also exert an indirect influence on anomeric reactivity by modulating the conformation of the side chain. To this end we describe the preparation of a series of galacto and gluco thiopyranosides and, to facilitate spectral assignment, their 6*S*-deuterio isotopomers, and study the conformation of the side chain as a function of protecting group at either the 4 or the 6positions. We show that the side chain population in a series of phenyl 2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl- $\beta$ -Dthiogalacto and glycopyranosides does indeed vary in a systematic manner on changing the functionality at the 4-position from a hydroxyl group to an ether and to an ester, albeit in a different manner in the two configurations. While these protecting group-induced changes in conformation are small they open the possibility that larger changes might arise at the transition state for glycosylation and so open alternative avenues for the explanation of remote protecting group effects.

**Results and Discussion** 

#### **Experimental Design and Synthesis**

In this investigation we focus on the interplay between the protecting groups at O4 and O6 in the gluco and galactopyranosides as the strongest candidates for observation of any changes in side chain conformation due to these interactions. Judging that the interaction in question could be probed through the variation of the O4 protecting group in the presence of a fixed O6 protecting group, or the inverse, we prepared phenyl 2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl- $\beta$ -D-thiogalactopyranoside 1,<sup>31</sup> phenyl 2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl- $\beta$ -D-thioglucopyranoside 2,<sup>32</sup> and ethyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzyl- $\beta$ -D-thioglucopyranoside 3<sup>33</sup> by standard means. The use of the ethyl thioglycoside in 3 as opposed to the phenyl thioglycosides 1 and 2 was a matter of experimental convenience and was not expected to affect the analysis of side chain conformations as is borne by the subsequent results.



As the rigorous assignment of the diastereotopic pro-*R* and pro-*S* hydrogens at the 6-position of 1-3 and their derivatives is critical to the correct conformational analysis of their side chains,<sup>20</sup> we also prepared 6S-deuterio 1-3 as outlined in Schemes 1 and 2. Thus, preferring a longer but unambiguous route based on exo-selective quenching of radicals at the 6-position of 1.6anhydropyranoses<sup>34-38</sup> over shorter routes involving asymmetric reduction of 6-aldehydosugars.<sup>39-41</sup> 2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-1,6-anhydro-D-galactose 4 was subjected to white light-mediated bromination with N-bromosuccinimide to give the exo-bromide 5 in good yield. This transformation follows the literature description<sup>36</sup> with the exception that the original solvent, tetrachloromethane, was replaced by the more environmentally friendly  $\alpha$ . $\alpha$ . $\alpha$ - trifluoromethylbenzene<sup>42</sup> as described previously for the gluco series.<sup>43</sup> Reductive debromination with tributyltin deuteride, prepared according to Neumann,<sup>44</sup> then gave the 6*S*-deuterio anhydrogalactose **6** in 77% yield. A series of standard transformations were then applied to convert **6** via intermediates **7-10** to the desired **6***S***-D-1** uneventfully (Scheme 1). The 6*S*-deuterio analog of **2** was prepared by inversion of **6***S***-D-1** by triflate formation, displacement with sodium benzoate, and saponification as reported in detail in the experimental part.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of Phenyl 2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-6*S*-deuterio-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside 6*S*-D-1.

The 6*S*-deuterio-1,6-anhydroglucose derivative  $11^{34,35,43}$  was the starting material for the preparation of **6***S***-D-3**. Thus, **11** was converted to the thioglycoside **12** by cleavage of the 1,6-anhydro bridge with trimethylsilyl ethanethiol in the presence of zinc iodide<sup>45</sup> followed by acetylation (Scheme 2). A series of standard reactions were then employed to convert **12** to the tribenzyl ether **6***S***-D-3** as described previously for the non-deuteriated isotopomer<sup>33</sup> (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2. Synthesis of Ethyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzyl-6*S*-deuterio-β-D-thioglucopyranoside 6*S*-D-3.

The thiogalactoside 1 and its 6*S*-monodeuterio isotopomer were converted to a series of esters 13-20 at the 4-position as well as to the benzyl ether 21 by standard methods as described in the experimental part. The 4-*O*-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl) ether 22 and its 6*S*-deuterio analog 6*S*-D-22 were obtained from 2 and 6S-deuterio 2 by triflation followed by displacement with sodium trifluoroethoxide in DMF (Scheme 3). The thioglucoside 2 and its 6*S*-monodeuterio isomer were also converted to the derivatives 23-27 by standard means as described in the experimental part. Similarly, the thioglucoside 3 and its 6*S*-monodeuterio isotopomer were converted to the 6-*O*-esters 28-34, the 6-*O*-carbamate 35, and the 6-*O*-benzyl ether 36 by standard means as described in the experimental part.



**Scheme 3.** Synthesis of Phenyl 2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-4-O-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)- $\beta$ -D-thiogalactopyranoside **22** and its 6*S*-Deuterio Analog **6***S***-D-22**.

# Measurement of NMR Spectra, Influence of Solvent, and Estimation of Errors in Coupling Constants

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

\*\*8

The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of **1-3**, and **13-36** were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and deuteriobenzene and fully assigned by the usual array of 1 and 2D-NMR methods, with the distinction between the 6-pro-*R* and pro-*S* resonances, herein after  $H^{6R}$  and  $H^{6S}$ , made on the basis of comparison with the selectively 6*S*-deuteriated analogs. All first order couplings were analyzed directly. For second order spectra, including those complicated by the presence of virtual coupling, the spin simulation tool in the MestReNova 9.0 suite of programs was used to extract first order coupling constants. The chemical shifts and so-obtained <sup>3</sup>*J* coupling constants in the H5,  $H^{6R}$  and  $H^{6S}$  spin systems are presented in Table 1 for the 4-*O*-substituted galacto series of compounds, in Table 2 for the corresponding 4-*O*-substituted gluco compounds, and in Table 3 for the 6-*O*-substituted gluco series of compounds. For ease of comparison, in each of Tables 1-3, the alcohols are listed first, followed by the ethers, and then esters grouped according to patterns in the side chain conformations.

R_OBn	OBn	o_−R
H <sup>R</sup> H <sup>S</sup> BnO SPh OBn	$R - O - H^R + H^S_O - SPh$ BnO OBn	BnO BnO BnO OBn
<b>1</b> , R = H	<b>2</b> , R = H	3, R = H
<b>13</b> , R = Ac	<b>23</b> , R = Ac	<b>28</b> , R = Ac
<b>14</b> , R = COCMe <sub>3</sub>	24, R = COCF <sub>3</sub>	<b>29</b> , R = COCMe <sub>3</sub>
15, R = COCF <sub>3</sub>	<b>25</b> , R = Bz	<b>30</b> , R = COCF <sub>3</sub>
16, R = COCCI <sub>3</sub>	<b>26</b> , R = SO <sub>2</sub> Ph	<b>31</b> , R = Bz
<b>17</b> , R = Bz	<b>27</b> , R = Bn	<b>31</b> , R = Bz-4-Me
<b>18</b> , R = Bz-4-Me		33, R = Bz-4-OMe
<b>19</b> , R = Bz-4-OMe		<b>34</b> , R = Bz-4-NO <sub>2</sub>
20, R = Bz-4-NO <sub>2</sub>		<b>35</b> , R = CONHPh
<b>21</b> , R = Bn		<b>36</b> , R = Bn
22, R = CH <sub>2</sub> CF <sub>3</sub>		

Inspection of the coupling constant data in Tables 1-3 reveals no systematic difference in couplings constants for any given system on changing from CDCl<sub>3</sub> to  $C_6D_6$  consistent with an earlier study with rigid bicyclic models of galacto and glucopyranosides,<sup>46</sup> from which we conclude that the side chain conformation is unaffected by the nature of the solvent (CDCl<sub>3</sub> or  $C_6D_6$ ). It follows that data acquired in one of the two solvents can be extrapolated to the other

solvent in cases for which resolution was insufficient to enable the determination of coupling constants in both solvents. Small non-systematic differences between solvents are found and permit the estimation of errors in the  ${}^{3}J_{5,6R}$  and  ${}^{3}J_{5,6S}$  coupling constants. For the eighteen compounds (and hence thirty-six sets of coupling constants) in which both values could be measured in both solvents, differences in a given coupling constant are 0.4 Hz or less consistent with the digital resolution of 0.38 Hz, leading us to adopt 0.4 Hz as the error limit in these measurements. The differences in the  ${}^{3}J_{5,6R}$  and  ${}^{3}J_{5,6S}$  coupling constants of the phenyl and ethyl glycosides of 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl- $\beta$ -D-thioglucopyranoside **27** and **36** (Tables 2 and 3, respectively) are less than the error limit and so substantiate the use of the different aglycones in the two series.

**Table 1.** Pertinent <sup>1</sup>H Chemical Shifts,  ${}^{3}J$  Coupling Constants and Side Chain Populations for 1and 13-22 in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.

	4-O-Subs	Chem Sl	nift, ppm <sup>a</sup>	${}^{3}J_{5,6}, H$	z <sup>a</sup>	Population	n, % <sup>a</sup>	
		$\mathrm{H}^{6R}$	$\mathrm{H}^{6S}$	$J_{5,6R}$	$J_{5,6S}$	gg	gt	tg
1	Н	3.78	3.81	5.8	5.7	25.6	31.8	42.6
		(3.72)	(3.75)	(6.1)	(5.8)	(21.9)	(34.4)	(43.7)
21	PhCH <sub>2</sub>	3.65	3.67	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
		(3.57)	(3.66)	(5.7)	(7.4)	(13.2)	(22.6)	(64.2)
22	CF <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	3.69	3.74	5.5	7.7	12.8	19.1	68.0
		(3.55)	(3.68)	(5.7)	(7.6)	(11.7)	(21.7)	(66.7)
13	Ac	3.64	3.55	6.0	6.7	15.8	29.0	55.2
		(3.47)	(3.42)	(6.1)	(6.5)	(16.4)	(31.0)	(52.6)
14	Me <sub>3</sub> CCO	3.62	3.49	6.1	6.7	14.8	30.1	55.1
		(3.49)	(3.42)	(6.0)	(6.8)	(15.0)	(28.6)	(56.4)
17	PhCO	3.69	3.59	5.8	6.8	17.0	26.5	56.5
		(3.53)	(3.49)	(6.1)	(6.6)	(15.6)	(30.5)	(53.9)
18	<i>p</i> -MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CO	3.68	3.57	5.9	6.8	16.0	27.5	56.5
		(3.56)	(3.52)	(6.2)	(6.5)	(15.4)	(32.0)	(52.5)
19	<i>p</i> -MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CO	3.69	3.59	6.1	6.5	16.4	31.0	52.6
		(3.57)	(3.54)	(6.2)	(6.4)	(16.2)	(32.5)	(51.3)
20	<i>p</i> -O <sub>2</sub> NC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CO	3.69	3.56	5.7	7.2	14.8	23.6	61.6
		(3.47)	(3.42)	(5.6)	(7.0)	(17.3)	(23.5)	(59.1)
15	CF <sub>3</sub> CO	3.67	3.49	5.6	8.3	7.1	17.3	75.6
		(3.39)	(3.36)	(5.7)	(8.1)	(7.7)	(19.2)	(73.0)
16	Cl <sub>3</sub> CCO	3.71	3.59	5.7	8.2	6.9	18.8	74.3
		(3.43)	(3.46)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd

a) Chemical shift, coupling constants, and populations in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (Chemical shift, coupling constants, and populations in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>).

**Table 2.** Pertinent <sup>1</sup>H Chemical Shifts, <sup>3</sup>*J* Coupling Constants, and Side Chain Populations for **2** and **23-27** in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.

		2						
4-O-Subs		Chem Shift, ppm <sup>a</sup>		${}^{3}J_{5,6}, \mathrm{Hz}^{3}$	1	Population, % <sup>a</sup>		
		$\mathrm{H}^{6R}$	$\mathrm{H}^{6S}$	$J_{5,6R}$	$J_{5,6S}$	gg	gt	tg
2	Н	3.76	3.80	5.3	4.1	43.1	34.5	22.4
		(3.60)	(3.64)	(5.3)	(3.7)	(46.2)	(36.4)	(17.4)
27	PhCH <sub>2</sub>	3.73	3.80	4.8	1.9	65.2	40.0	-5.3
		(3.63)	(3.63)	(nd)	(nd)	(nd)	(nd)	(nd)
23	Ac	3.58	3.58	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
		(3.54)	(3.58)	(5.8)	(3.2)	(45.3)	(43.9)	(10.8)
25	PhCO	3.65	3.65	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
		(3.58)	(3.62)	(6.0)	(2.9)	(45.7)	(47.3)	(7.0)
24	CF <sub>3</sub> CO	3.58	3.61	4.7	3.6	52.9	30.8	16.3
		(3.30)	(3.37)	(4.3)	(3.5)	(57.6)	(27.2)	(15.2)
26	PhSO <sub>2</sub>	3.51	3.71	5.6	2.1	55.9	47.1	-3.0
		(3.69)	(3.81)	(5.3)	(2.0)	(59.6)	(44.6)	(-4.2)

a) Chemical shift, coupling constants, and populations in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (Chemical shift, coupling constants, and populations in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>).

	6-O-Subs	Chem Sl	hift, ppm <sup>a</sup>	$^{5}J_{5,6}, \mathrm{Hz}$	Z <sup>a</sup>	population	1, % <sup>a</sup>	
		$\mathrm{H}^{6R}$	H <sup>6S</sup>	$J_{5,6R}$	$J_{5,6S}$	gg	gt	tg
	Н	3.71	3.87	4.8	2.7	59.0	36.1	4.9
		(3.58)	(3.69)	(nd)	(nd)	(nd)	(nd)	(nd)
6	PhCH <sub>2</sub>	3.69	3.76	5.0	1.9	63.3	42.0	-5.3
		(3.61)	(3.61)	(nd)	(nd)	(nd)	(nd)	(nd)
8	Ac	4.20	4.33	5.4	2.4	55.5	43.7	0.9
		(4.26)	(4.43)	(5.4)	(2.2)	(57.0)	(44.6)	(-1.7)
9	Me <sub>3</sub> CCO	4.12	4.44	5.6	1.8	58.2	48.6	-6.8
		(4.23)	(4.55)	(5.7)	(2.1)	(54.9)	(48.2)	(-3.0)
1	PhCO	4.46	4.65	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
		(4.51)	(4.65)	(5.6)	(2.3)	(54.3)	(46.2)	(-0.5)
2	<i>p</i> -MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CO	4.40	4.59	5.5	2.2	56.1	45.6	-1.7
		(4.68)	(4.54)	(5.7)	(2.2)	(54.1)	(47.7)	(-1.8)
3	<i>p</i> -MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CO	4.58	4.41	5.5	2.3	55.3	45.2	-0.4
		(4.56)	(4.69)	(5.6)	(2.2)	(55.1)	(46.7)	(-1.7)
4	<i>p</i> -O <sub>2</sub> NC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CO	4.44	4.62	5.4	2.2	57.0	44.6	-1.7
		(4.40)	(4.56)	(5.6)	(2.3)	(54.3)	(46.2)	(-0.5)
0	CF <sub>3</sub> CO	4.32	4.54	6.3	2.1	49.0	54.2	-3.3
		(4.05)	(4.24)	(6.3)	(2.2)	(48.2)	(53.8)	(-2.0)
5	PhNHCO	4.37	4.40	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
		(4.46)	(4.43)	(4.6)	(2.5)	(62.5)	(35.1)	(2.4)

**Table 3.** Pertinent <sup>1</sup>H Chemical Shifts and <sup>3</sup>*J* Coupling Constants for **3** and **28-36** in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and  $C_6D_6$ .

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

## Calculation of Side Chain Populations and Estimation of Errors

With the aid of limiting  ${}^{3}J_{R,gg} - {}^{3}J_{S,tg}$  coupling constants for the pure *gg*, *gt*, and *tg*-conformers (Table 4), determined using a series of rigid bicyclic models,<sup>46</sup> the side chain populations ( $f_{gg}$ - $f_{tg}$ ) of all compounds were determined with the aid of equations 1-3 in the usual manner and reported in Tables 1-3.<sup>47-49</sup> Further inspection of Tables 1-3 reveals that an error of 0.4 Hz in one of the coupling constants results in a maximal change of 5% in the population of any given conformer. Therefore, in the discussion that follows we adopt 5% as the error limit for any given conformer. In two series of compounds (Tables 2 and 3) small negative populations of the *tg* conformer are computed for some derivatives, which have no physical significance. In view of the 5% error, these negative populations are either indistinguishable from zero or so close to it as not to warrant further discussion.

$${}^{3}J_{5,6R} = {}^{3}J_{R,gg}f_{gg} + {}^{3}J_{R,gf}f_{gt} + {}^{3}J_{R,tg}f_{tg} (1)$$
  
$${}^{3}J_{5,6S} = {}^{3}J_{S,gg}f_{gg} + {}^{3}J_{S,gt}f_{gt} + {}^{3}J_{S,tg}f_{tg} (2)$$
  
$$1 = f_{gg} + f_{gt} + f_{tg} (3)$$

Table 4. Limiting Coupling Constants for the Pure gg, gt, and tg Staggered Conformers

${}^{3}J_{\rm H5, H6}$	R		$^{3}J_{ m H5,H6}$	S	
$J_{R,gg}$	$J_{R,gt}$	$J_{R,tg}$	$J_{S,gg}$	$J_{S,gt}$	$J_{S,tg}$
1.0	11.0	4.8	2.2	2.5	10.2

# Corrections for the Influence of Electronegative Groups on the Magnitude of Coupling Constants

The derivation of side chain populations from experimental NMR vicinal coupling constants requires that the magnitude of the coupling constants not be affected by differences in electronegativity of the substituents across the series. It is well known that vicinal coupling constants are reduced by the presence of electronegative substituents in the coupled system,<sup>50-53</sup> but more subtle differences on replacement of ether groups by esters are less appreciated.<sup>51,54,55</sup> Consideration of the  ${}^{3}J_{34}$  coupling constants in the series of gluco and galactopyranoside derivatives in Figure 4 indicates that replacement of a single ether group in a vicinal diether by an ester results in an increase of approximately 0.5 Hz in the coupling constant, whether the coupled spins have a fixed *trans* or a *gauche* relationship irrespective of the solvent,  $CDCl_3$  or  $C_6D_6$ . However, it is known that in freely rotating systems that more closely approximate the C5-C6 bond in the 6-O-substituted series 3 and 28-36 the difference in coupling constants on replacing an ether by an ester substituent is only 0.1 Hz.<sup>54</sup> As this is within the error limit no correction for the change in substituents is required for the coupling constants in Table 3. The influence of replacing an ether substituent by an ester in the  $\beta$ -position to the coupled spins is expected to be smaller, as is borne out by the constant magnitude of the  ${}^{3}J_{2,3}$  coupling constants in the gluco- and galactopyranosides of Figure 4 regardless of the substituent at the 4-

position. The  ${}^{3}J_{5,6}$  coupling constants in the galactopyranosides 1 and 13-22 (Table 1), and the glucopyranosides 2 and 23-27 (Table 2) therefore do not require correction for the nature of the substituent at the 4-position.

Comparison of the  ${}^{3}J_{2,3}$  coupling constants in the galactopyranosides reveals them to be ~0.4 Hz larger than the corresponding coupling constants in the glucopyranosides (Figure 4) as we have noted previously in a series of rigid bicyclic models.<sup>46</sup> This is a manifestation of the Altona and Haasnoot  $\beta$ -effect wherein the vicinal coupling constant between a pair of coupled antiperiplanar

spins is increased by approximately 0.5 Hz when one of the coupled spin is also antiperiplanar to an electron-withdrawing substituent at the  $\beta$ -position.<sup>56</sup> A comparable relationship exists between H6R, H5 and O4 in the *gt* conformer and between H6S, H5 and O4 in the *tg* conformer of the galactopyranosides (Figure 5) but not in the glucopyranosides, albeit in a series of rigid bicyclic models no significant difference was found in the H5-H6 coupling constants between the galacto and gluco configurations,<sup>46</sup> suggesting that the effect does not extend to this spin system. Moreover, as the magnitude of the  $\beta$ -effect is not influenced by the switch from an ether to an ester (Figure 4), any residual influence will be of a systematic nature and affect all derivatives to a similar extent. The result of any small systematic  $\beta$ -effect simply will be to overestimate the population of the *gt* and *tg* conformers in the galactopyranoside series (Table 1), and underestimate that of the *gg* conformer correspondingly, with respect to the glucopyranosides (Table 2).

In the final analysis no corrections to the diagnostic coupling constants used for conformational analysis of the side chain arising from changes in electronegativity of the substituents or the Altona and Haasnoot  $\beta$ -effect were deemed necessary.

Figure 4. Differing Influences of Ether and Ester Substituents on Vicinal coupling Constants

X∼c <b>H⁴</b> ⊣ BnO-		n D D DBn	Ph	$\begin{array}{c} H^4 OBn \\ H^2 \\ H^2 \\ BnO \\ H^3 OBn \end{array} SPh$				
X:	Bn	Ac	COCF <sub>3</sub>	X:	Bn	Ac	COCF <sub>3</sub>	
/ <sub>3,4</sub> CDCl <sub>3</sub> :	2.8	nd	3.2	J <sub>3,4</sub> CDCl <sub>3</sub> :	8.9	9.4	9.6	
J <sub>3,4</sub> C <sub>6</sub> D <sub>6</sub> :	2.8	3.3	3.2	J <sub>3,4</sub> C <sub>6</sub> D <sub>6</sub> :	9.0	9.2	9.5	
7 <sub>2,3</sub> CDCl <sub>3</sub> :	9.2	nd	9.1	J <sub>2,3</sub> CDCl <sub>3</sub> :	8.8	8.8	8.8	
J <sub>2,3</sub> C <sub>6</sub> D <sub>6</sub> :	9.2	9.2	9.1	$J_{2,3}C_6D_6$ :	8.7	8.7	8.7	
			$H_{3}C = C$ $X = Et, J$ $X = Bz, J$	$CH_2 = 7.02$ $T_{1,2} = 7.14$				

### **Figure 5.** Vicinal Coupling Constants Subject to the Altona-Haasnoot $\beta$ -Effect



#### Influence of Substituents at the Galactopyranose 4-Position

Comparison of alcohol 1 with ethers 21 and 22 in Table 1 reveals that while the two ethers have the same population distribution for the side chain given the 5% error, converting the 4-hydroxy group to a benzyl or trifluoroethyl ether has a significant influence on side chain conformation. Thus, the side chain population of the two ethers of 21 and 22 consists of ~13% of the *gg* conformer, ~21% of the *gt*, and 66% of the *tg* conformer, whereas the alcohol 1 has a much greater population of the *gg* conformer (~24%), and a greater population of the *gt* conformer (~33%), which are balanced by a significantly lower occupancy of the *tg* conformer (~43%). These differences reflect either the destabilizing influence of steric bulk at the 4-position on the *gg* conformer or the stabilization of the *gg* conformer in the alcohol 1 by a favorable intramolecular hydrogen bond to O6. Because of the differences in conformation between the alcohol 1 and the ethers 21 and 22, and because glycosyl donors typically do not have unprotected hydroxy groups, we retain the benzyl ether 21 as the standard for further comparisons.

Esterification of 1 gives a series of 4-*O*-esters, alkanoyl 13 and 14, or aroyl 17-20, that all adopt the same side chain population, but one that differs significantly from that of the benzyl ether 21. With similar proportions of the *gg* conformer in the ether 21 and the esters 13, 14, 17-20 the change in overall side chain population can be described as one of an approximately 10%

decrease in the population of the tg conformer in favor of the gt conformer on going from the ethers to the esters. Installation of the more electron-withdrawing trifluoroacetyl and trichloroacetyl groups affords a separate set of two esters **15** and **16**, respectively, whose side chain populations exhibit a pronounced shift away from the gg conformer toward the tg conformer. This change in population is also accompanied by a reduction in the population of the gt conformer with respect to the more standard alkanoyl and aroyl esters such that the tg conformer dominates the equilibrium and accounts for ~74% of the population. Albeit the effect is smaller, the *p*-nitrobenzoate **20** exhibits a shift in side chain population shift away from that of the more electron-rich benzoates **17-19** in the same direction as that observed with the trifluoro and trichloroacetates **15** and **16** suggesting that this change is a function of the electron-withdrawing nature of these esters.

#### Influence of Substituents at the Glucopyranose 4-Position

In the glucopyranose series there is also a significant change in the side chain conformation when the alcohol 2 is converted to the benzyl ether 27. Specifically, benzylation results in a drop in the population of the tg conformer that is compensated by an increase in the population of the gg conformer and a smaller one in that of the gt conformer (Table 2). This effect parallels that seen in the galactopyranose series, in that it is the conformer in which O4 and O6 have a syn-pentane type relationship (gg in galactose and tg in glucose) whose population is reduced on benzylation (Figure 6) suggesting that this change in conformation is caused by the loss of a favorable hydrogen bond or increased steric interactions in both cases.

\*\*18

**Figure 6.** *syn*-Pentane Conformations of the Galacto and Glucopyranoses Destabilized on Replacement of a Hydroxy Group (X = H) by an Ether (X = R).



As in the galactopyranose series we adopt the benzyl ether as the standard for the subsequent comparisons with the influence of alternative protecting groups at the 4-position. The 4-O-acetate 23 and the benzoate 25 adopt very similar conformations in which the gg conformer is populated to a noticeably lower extent than in the benzyl ether 27, while the population of the tg conformer increases. With the more electron-withdrawing trifluoroacetate 24 the population of the tg conformer increases further, but is balanced by a reduction in the population of both the gg and gt conformers when compared to the benzyl ether 27. The population of side chain conformation of the benzenesulfonate 26 is anomalous in so far as, unlike the other esters the tg conformation is not occupied, presumably for steric reasons arising from an increased synpentane interaction. This minimal population of the tg conformation in 26 results in a higher population of the gg conformer than in the acetal and benzoate esters 23 and 25.

#### Influence of Substituents at the Glucopyranose 6-Position

In contrast to the differences in side chain population brought about by changing protecting groups at the 4-position in the galacto and glucopyranose series, changes in the protecting group at the 6-position of the 2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzyl glucopyranosides has minimal influence on the side chain conformation (Table 3). It is noteworthy, however, that only two derivatives the 6-alcohol **3** and the 6-carbamate **35** have a small positive population of the *tg* conformation, with the *syn*-

pentane conformation (Figure 6), suggesting that this conformer is stabilized by hydrogen bonding. The only other noteworthy feature from this series of compounds is the increased population of the *gt* conformer at the expense of the *gg* conformer on the installation of the strongly electron-withdrawing trifluoroacetyl group. In view of the relatively small changes in side chain conformation observed in the glucopyranose series with variation in the O6 protecting group, we did not undertake a parallel study in the galactopyranose series.

#### Discussion

The observed changes in side chain conformation with protecting groups at the 4-position for both the galacto and glucopyranose systems are summarized in Figure 7. These changes are small, worth <1 kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup>, and are difficult to compute accurately with electronic structure calculations,<sup>57-60</sup> and insufficient to account alone for the changes in anomeric reactivity and selectivity seen with such comparable changes in protecting group.<sup>6,61-66</sup> As the effects are small we make no attempt here to rationalize them in terms of stereoelectronic or other phenomena, other than to note that they are certainly related among other things to the distinct conformational preferences of esters and ethers.<sup>67-69</sup> Nevertheless, such changes can be considered to modulate the larger effects on anomeric reactivity arising from replacing an arming with a disarming protecting group.

**Figure 7.** Summary of Changes in Side Chain Conformation with Protecting Groups at the 4-Position of a) Galactopyranosides, and b) Glucopyranosides



b) Glucose

E		$SPh \rightleftharpoons \overset{X}{\underset{Bn0}{\bigcirc}} \underbrace{\overset{X}{\underset{O}{\bigcirc}}}_{OD} \underbrace{\overset{O}{\underset{OBn}{\bigcirc}}}_{OBn} SPh$	X BnO O BnO OBn SPh
Х	gg	gt	tg
Alkyl	57	43	0
Acyl	46	47	7
CF <sub>3</sub> CO	58	27	15
PhSO <sub>2</sub>	55	45	0

In both the galacto and glucopyranosyl systems the replacement of an arming<sup>8</sup> ether protecting group at the 4-position by an ester group results in diminished anomeric reactivity, whether under standard conditions for the activation of thioglycosides,<sup>6</sup> or in  $S_N2$ -displacements of anomeric bromides by chloride.<sup>9</sup> This change in reactivity is usually understood in terms of the increased electron-withdrawing ability of the ester destabilizing nascent positive, or partial positive charge at the reaction center (Scheme 4).<sup>1,5,6,8,17,70</sup> The results presented in Table 1 and summarized in Figure 7a indicate that this effect will be moderated by the change in side chain conformation in the galactopyranoside series. Thus, the increase in the *gt* conformation with its intermediate reactivity at the expense of the less reactive *tg* conformation on replacement of a benzyl ether by an alkanoyl or aroyl ester will partially offset the added electron-withdrawing effect of the ester group. In the glucopyranosyl series on the other hand (Table 2 and Figure 7b) the main effect of the replacement of a benzyl ether by an alkanoyl or aroyl ester by an alkanoyl or aroyl ester is to reduce the

population of the most reactive gg conformer in favor of population of the least reactive tg conformer, thereby complementing the increased electron-withdrawing effect of the ester.

In both the galacto- and glucopyranosyl series (Tables 1 and 2, Figure 7a and b) the replacement of a benzyl ether at the 4-position by the strongly electron-withdrawing trifluoroacetyl group (and for the trichloroacetyl group investigated in the galactose series, Table 1) the population of the most strongly electron-withdrawing *tg* conformer is increased. Thus, in both the galacto and glucopyranosides, the change in side chain conformation on installation of a trifluoroacetyl group will reinforce the diminution of anomeric reactivity occasioned by the increased electron-withdrawing effect.



Mechanism = f(K,K',K'',K''), and K - K<sup>III</sup> =  $f(P, P', \chi - \chi^{III})$  where  $\chi - \chi^{III}$  are the mean side chain conformations in the different intermediates

**Scheme 4.** Abbreviated General Glycosylation Mechanism and Influence of Protecting groups and Side Chain Conformation.

The sulfonyl protecting group, initially explored as a strongly electron-withdrawing group at the 2-position capable of stabilizing manno- and rhamnopyranosyl triflates and other sulfonates,<sup>71-73</sup> and subsequently employed with varying degrees of success at the 4-position of 2,6-dideoxyglycopyranosyl donors,<sup>74</sup> and at the 3-, 4-, and 6-positions of other pyranosyl donors,<sup>75,76</sup> does not change the population of the side chain when replacing a benzyl ether at the 4-position

\*\*22

of a glucosyl donor (Table 2, Figure 7b). The influence of 4-O-sulfonyl group on glucopyranosylation can therefore be interpreted solely in terms of the change in electron-withdrawing ability.

The small changes in side chain conformation in a series of 4-*O*-alkanoyl and aroyl esters in both the galacto and glucopyranosyl series do not provide strong support for a protecting groupinduced change in side chain population as the basis for the changes in anomeric selectivity previously seen in the series of **17-20**<sup>77</sup> and related systems,<sup>78</sup> previously explained by the controversial<sup>61-66</sup> concept stereodirecting participation by remote groups. Similarly, the consistent side chain conformation observed with numerous protecting groups at the 6-position in the 2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzyl glucopyranosides (Table 3) does not support a role of the side chain conformation in the changes in anomeric reactivity and glycosylation selectivity reported in such series of compounds.<sup>79-81</sup>

#### Conclusion

Replacement of a benzyl ether at the 4-position of phenyl 2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl- $\beta$ -D-thiogalactopyranosides by either an alkanoyl or aroyl ester results in a small but consistent change in the population of the three staggered conformers of the side chain in which the proportion of the less reactive *tg* conformer is reduced in favor of the *gt* conformer. This suggests that the reduction in anomeric reactivity occasioned by the benzyl ether-ester switch seen with glycosyl donors, and attributed to the increased electron-withdrawing ability of the ester, is moderated by a change in side chain conformation in the galactose series. In the corresponding glucopyranosyl series, on the other hand, the same ether-ester change results in an increased population of the less reactive *tg* conformer indicating that the change in conformation reinforces the effect of the Page 23 of 76

increased electron-withdrawing ability of the ester group. In both the galacto and glucopyranosyl series the installation of a trifluoroacetyl group at the 4-position results in an enhanced population of the less reactive *tg* conformer. While the variations in side chain conformation with changes in protecting group recorded here are small, it must be understood that they are for the unactivated glycosyl donor. In view of the partial positive charge on the ring oxygen during glycosylation, it is likely that such changes are accentuated at the transition state for glycosylation reactions.. This possibility is under active investigation in our laboratory.

#### **Experimental Section**

**General Experimental Section:** Commercial reagents were used without further purification unless otherwise stated. NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution unless otherwise stated at 400, 500, or 600 MHz. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution unless otherwise stated at 100, 125, or 150 MHz. Mass spectra were recorded in the +ve ion mode using electrospray ionization (ESI-TOF). ESI-HRMS were recorded with a Waters LCT Premier Xe time-of-flight mass spectrometer. Specific rotations were recorded in dichloromethane solution at room temperature.

*Phenyl 2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside* (**1**). Compound **1** was synthesized as described in the literature.<sup>31 1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.59 – 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.42 – 7.41 (m, 2H), 7.36 – 7.21 (m, 13H), 7.25 – 7.23 (m, 3H), 4.83 (d, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (d, *J* = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (d, *J* = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, *J* = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (br s, 2H), 4.11 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (dd, *J* = 5.7, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (dd, *J* = 5.8, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (t, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.61 – 3.60 (m, 1H), 3.58 (dd, *J* = 3.3, 9.5 Hz, 1H), 2.55 (s, 1H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.68 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, 2H), 7.23 (d, *J* 

\*\*24

= 7.6 Hz, 1H, 2H), 7.17 – 6.96 (m, 13H), 6.91 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.34 – 4.26 (m, 4H), 3.83 (t, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (dd, J = 9.8, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (dd, J = 9.8, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.25 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.20 (dd, J = 8.9, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 2.39 (s, 1H). IR (neat) v 3480 cm<sup>-1</sup> (O-H).

*Phenyl (6S)-[6-<sup>2</sup>H<sub>1</sub>]-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside* (**6S-D-1**). Compound **6S-D-1** (0.40 g, 72%) was synthesized from compound **10** analogously to **1**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.59 – 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.42 – 7.40 (m, 2H), 7.36 – 7.21 (m, 13H), 7.25 – 7.23 (m, 3H), 4.83 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (br s, 2H), 4.10 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (t, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.60 – 3.59 (m, 1H), 3.58 (dd, J = 3.2, 9.3 Hz, 1H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ 7.69 – 7.68 (m, 2H), 7.41 – 7.40 (m, 2H), 7.23 – 6.89 (m, 16H), 4.84 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.29 – 4.27 (m, 3H), 3.82 (t, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.23 – 3.22 (m, 1H), 3.19 (dd, J = 8.9, 3.2 Hz, 1H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>33</sub>DO<sub>5</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 566.2087; found, 566.2079.

*Phenyl 2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-1-thio-\beta-D-glucopyranoside* (**2**). Compound **25** (0.01 g, 0.015 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous methanol (0.5 mL) and cooled to 0 °C before it was treated with Na (catalytic amount). The reaction mixture was stirred under an argon atmosphere at room temperature overnight, quenched with Amberlyst-15 (pH ~ 4), filtered, and concentrated to dryness. The crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography, eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate (19:1 to 4:1) to afford compound **2** (0.005 g, 62%) with spectral data

consistent with the literature.<sup>82</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.58 – 7.55 (m, 2H), 7.43 – 7.24 (m, 18H), 4.92 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H,), 4.70 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (dd, J = 10.4, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (dd, J = 10.4, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (dd, J = 9.6, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (dd, J = 8.9, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (ddd, J = 9.6, 5.3, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (dd, J = 9.6, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (br s, 1H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.69 – 7.65 (m, 2H), 7.45 – 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.31 – 6.94 (m, 16H), 4.94 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (dd, J = 10.4, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (dd, J = 10.4, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (dd, J = 9.7, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.43 (dd, J = 8.9, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (ddd, J = 9.8, 5.3, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 2.23 (s, 1H). IR (neat) v 3441 cm<sup>-1</sup> (O-H).

*Phenyl (6S)-[6-<sup>2</sup>H<sub>1</sub>]-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside* (**6S-D-2**). Compound **6S-D-2** (0.011 g, 60%) was synthesized from **6S-D-25** (21.7 mg, 0.034 mmol) analogously to **2**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.58 – 7.53 (m, 1H), 7.44 – 7.22 (m, 18H), 4.91 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.91 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (dd, J = 9.6, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (dd, J = 9.6, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (dd, J = 9.6, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 4.91 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (ddd, J = 9.7, 8.8, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (dd, J = 9.7, 8.7

\*\*26

Hz, 1H), 3.42 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (dd, J = 9.7, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 2.19 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 1H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>33</sub>DO<sub>5</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 566.2087; found, 566.2083.

*Ethyl* 2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-1-thio- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (**3**). Compound **3** was synthesized as described in the literature.<sup>33</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.40 – 7.26 (m, 15H), 4.93 (d, J = 11.0Hz, 1H), 4.92 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (dd, J = 12.2, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (dd, J = 9.1, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (dd, J = 12.2, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (dd, J = 9.6, 9.1 Hz, 1H), 3.41 (dd, J = 9.9, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.38 (ddd, J = 9.6, 4.8, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 2.81 – 2.70 (m, 2H), 1.82 (br s, 1H), 1.33 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.45 – 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.35 – 7.31 (m, 2H), 7.26 – 7.23 (m, 2H), 7.19 – 7.05 (m, 9H), 4.99 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.13 – 3.09 (m, 1H), 1.60 (br s, 1H) 2.56 – 2.42 (m, 2H), 1.11 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). IR (neat) v 3484 cm<sup>-1</sup> (O-H).

*Ethyl* (6S)-[ $6^{-2}H_{1}$ ]-2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-1-thio- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (6S-D-3). A solution of compound 12 (0.105 g, 0.27 mmol) in anhydrous methanol (1.0 mL) was cooled to 0 °C and treated with Na (cat) and stirred under an argon atmosphere at room temperature for 1.5 h. Then the reaction was quenched with Amberlyst-15 resin (pH ~7) and filtered through cotton before it was concentrated to dryness. The crude residue was dissolved in anhydrous pyridine (0.8 mL) and treated with tritylchloride (84  $\mu$ L, 0.32 mmol) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred in dark at room temperature for 3 days. The reaction was then quenched with

methanol ( $\sim 70 \text{ }\mu\text{L}$ ), stirred for 1 h, and concentrated to dryness. The crude residue was dissolved in chloroform, washed with cold aqueous saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and cold brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated to dryness. The crude residue was dissolved in anhydrous DMF (0.9 mL) and cooled to 0 °C before it was treated with 60% NaH in mineral oil (48 mg, 1.2 mmol) followed by benzyl bromide (141 µL, 1.2 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred under an argon atmosphere at room temperature for 6 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C before it was quenched with water. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated to dryness. The crude residue was passed through a short pad of silica, eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate (7:3) and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in a mixture of glacial acetic acid and water (4:1, 1.3 mL) and heated at 80 °C for 2.5 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness and purified using silica gel column chromatography, eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate (4:1 to 7:2), obtain compound 6S-D-3 (0.045 g, 35%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.40 – 7.25 (m, 15H), 4.94 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (t, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (dd, J = 9.7, 9.0Hz, 1H), 3.41 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.38 (dd, J = 9.7, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.84 – 2.69 (m, 2H), 1.33 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>33</sub>DO<sub>5</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 518.2087; found, 518.2066.

(6S)-*l*,6-Anhydro-2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-6-bromo-β-D-galactopyranose (5). A mixture of l,6anhydro-2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-β-D-galactopyranose  $4^{83}$  (0.77 g, 2.67 mmol) and Nbromosuccinimide (1.9 g, 10.6 mmol)) in trifluorotoluene (40 mL) was refluxed over a 300-W heat lamp for 8 h. After 8h, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude product dissolved in EtOAc (50 mL). The solution was successively washed with aqueous saturated Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, aqueous saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure gave a crude product which was purified through silica-gel column chromatography (eluent: 20% EtOAc in hexane) to give **5** (0.73g, 75%) as a yellowish oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -63.6$  (*c* 1.50, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.60 (s, 1H), 5.81 (s, 1H), 5.29 – 5.20 (m, 2H), 4.74 (dd, *J* = 4.0, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (br s, 1H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 2.13 (s, 3H), 2.05 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  169.2, 169.1, 168.9, 101.7, 82.6, 79.6, 69.3, 66.9, 64.4, 20.7 (2), 20.5; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>15</sub><sup>79</sup>BrO<sub>8</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 388.9848; found, 388.9849; calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>15</sub><sup>81</sup>BrO<sub>8</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 390.9828; found, 390.9828.

(6*S*)-*[*6-<sup>2</sup>*H*<sub>1</sub>*]*-*l*,6-*Anhydro-2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-β-D-galactopyranose* (**6**). To a solution of **5** (2.3 g, 6.26 mmol) and azobisisobutyronitrile (0.1 g, 0.63 mmol) in toluene (170 mL) was added freshly prepared tri-*n*-butyltin deuteride<sup>44</sup> (5.5 g, 18.79 mmol) and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 0.5 h. The cooled reaction mixture was evaporated in *vacuo* to give a crude product which was purified on silica-gel column chromatography (eluent: 40% EtOAc in hexane) to give **6** (1.4 g, 77%) as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -10.0$  (*c* 1.15, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 5.42 (s, 1H), 5.29 – 5.20 (m, 2H), 4.75 (s, 1H), 4.45 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (s, 1H), 2.12 (s, 6H), 2.02 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 169.5, 169.3, 169.2, 98.9, 72.0, 71.0, 67.4, 64.2 (t, *J* = 23.5 Hz), 20.8, 20.7, 20.5; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>15</sub>DO<sub>8</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 312.0806; found, 312.0818.

(6*S*)-[ $6^{-2}H_{1}$ ]-1,2,3,4,6-Penta-O-acetyl- $\alpha$ -D-galactopyranose (7). To a solution of compound **6** (1.4 g, 4.84 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) was added Na (catalytic amount) slowly and the reaction mixture was stirred for 7 h, then neutralized with Amberlite<sup>®</sup> IR120, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give (6*S*)-[ $6^{-2}H_{1}$ ]-1,6-anhydrogalactose. A solution of (6*S*)-[ $6^{-2}H_{1}$ ]-1,6-anhydrogalactose A solution of (6*S*)-[ $6^{-2}H_{1}$ ]-1,6-anhydrogalactose (0.73 g, 4.47 mmol) in Ac<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL) was treated with conc.H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (0.35 mL) at 0 °C and stirred for 3 h. The reaction mixture was poured into a saturated aqueous NaOAc solution (50 mL) and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (3 × 20 mL), washed with brine (10 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under high vacuum to give the crude product. Column chromatography (eluent: 30% EtOAc in hexane) on silica-gel yielded 7 (1.5 g, 81% over 2 steps) as a colorless syrup with spectral data consistent with the literature.<sup>36</sup> <sup>-1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.29 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.42 (s, 1H), 5.29 – 5.19 (m, 2H), 4.27 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 1.96 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 3H), 1.95 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 3H), 1.93 (s, 3H).

*Phenyl* (6*S*)-[6-<sup>2</sup>*H*<sub>1</sub>]-2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (**8**). To a stirred solution of (6*S*)-[6-<sup>2</sup>*H*<sub>1</sub>]-1,2,3,4,6-penta-O-acetyl-α-D-galactopyranose **7** (1.5 g, 3.83 mmol) and thiophenol (047 mL, 4.59 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was added BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O (1.9 mL, 7.66 mmol) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 22 h, then quenched with aqueous saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (50 mL) and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 × 25 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under high vacuum. The crude product was purified on silica-gel column (eluent: 20% EtOAc in hexane) chromatography to give **8**<sup>84</sup> (900 mg, 56%) as a colorless oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.51 – 7.48 (m, 2H), 7.30 – 7.28 (m, 3H), 5.40 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (t, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 5.03 (dd, *J* = 9.9, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (d, *J* =

6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 2.02 (s, 3H), 1.96 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.3, 170.2, 170.0, 169.4, 132.5, 132.4, 128.9, 128.1, 86.6, 74.3, 72.0, 67.2, 61.3 (t, J = 22.2 Hz), 20.8, 20.6, 20.6, 20.6; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>23</sub>DO<sub>9</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 464.1101; found, 464.1114.

Phenyl 2,3-di-O-benzyl-4,6-O-benzylidene-(6S)- $[6^{-2}H_1]$ -1-thio- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside (10). To

a stirred solution of 8 (0.9 g, 2.04 mmol) in MeOH (10.0 mL) was added Na metal (catalytic amount) slowly. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h, then neutralized with Amberlite<sup>®</sup> IR120, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a crude thiogalactoside. The crude residue was dissolved in dry CH<sub>3</sub>CN (30 mL) and treated with benzaldehyde dimethylacetal (0.41 mL, 2.75 mmol) followed by camphorsulfonic acid (4.2 mg, 0.18 mmol) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2.5 h, then neutralized with triethylamine (0.5 mL), and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a crude compound 9. After filtration through a short silica-gel column, compound 9 (0.52 g) was dissolved in dry DMF (10 mL) then treated with NaH (0.17 g, 4.15 mmol) and BnBr (2.27 g, 1.6 mL, 13.3 mmol) at 0 °C. The resulting solution was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 0.5 h. Upon completion of the reaction (TLC), the excess NaH was quenched using sat. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution. The product was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 25$  mL), the combined organic layer was washed with brine (10 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under high vacuum. The crude product was purified on silica-gel column chromatography (30% EtOAc in hexane) to give 10 (0.63 g, 81%) as a colorless oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.72 – 7.71 (m, 2H), 7.55 – 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.43 – 7.18 (m, 16H), 5.50 (s, 1H), 4.74 - 4.68 (m, 4H), 4.62 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (br s, 1H), 3.91 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.63 (dd, J = 9.2, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (br s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C

NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  138.6, 138.2, 138.0, 132.9, 132.8, 129.2, 129.0, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 127.9, 127.6, 126.8, 101.5, 86.7, 8.5, 75.6, 75.5, 73.8, 72.0, 69.9, 69.3 (t, *J* = 21.7 Hz). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>31</sub>DO<sub>5</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 564.1931; found, 564.1927.

Ethvl (6S)- $[6^{-2}H_1]$ -2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetvl-1-thio- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (12). Compound 11<sup>43</sup> (0.1) g, 0.346 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous dichloroethane (10.5 mL) and treated with (ethylthio)trimethylsilane (167 µL, 1.03 mmol) followed by ZnI<sub>2</sub> (332 mg, 1.03 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred under an argon atmosphere at room temperature for 3 h before it was diluted with ethyl acetate and filtered through Celite. The organic layer was washed with aqueous saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, brine, dried over anhydrous  $Na_2SO_4$ , and concentrated to dryness. The crude residue was dissolved in a mixture of THF:H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1, 20 mL), and treated with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (200 mg, 1.44 mmol) and stirred for 15 min. The reaction mixture was then diluted with ethyl acetate and washed with water, brine, and treated with anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> before it was concentrated to dryness. Then the crude residue was passed through a short pad of silica gel, eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate (1:1), and the eluent was concentrated to dryness. The residue (60 mg) was dissolved in pyridine (1.4 mL), treated with acetic anhydride (0.7 mL), and stirred overnight under an argon atmosphere at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then concentrated to dryness and the crude reaction mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography, eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate (7:3), to obtain **12** (0.051 g, 40%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 5.22 \text{ (t, } J = 9.4 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 5.08 \text{ (dd, } J = 10.0, 9.4 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 5.03 \text{ (dd, } J = 10.0, 9.4 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$ 9.4 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (dd, J = 10.0, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.78 - 2.63 (m, 2H), 2.07 (s, J = 2.8 Hz, 3H), 2.06 (s, 3H), 2.02 (s, J = 3.5 Hz, 3H), 2.00 (s, J = 3.5 Hz, 3.1 Hz, 3H), 1.27 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.6, 170.2, 169.4, 83.5,

75.9, 73.9, 69.8, 68.3, 61.9 (t, J = 22.8 Hz), 24.2, 20.7, 20.6, 20.6, 14.8. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>23</sub>DO<sub>9</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 416.1102; found, 416.1098.

*Phenyl 4-O-acetyl-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside* (13).<sup>77</sup> Compound 13 was synthesized as described in the literature.<sup>76</sup> NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.60 – 7.58 (m, 2H), 7.42 – 7.40 (m, 2H), 7.37 – 7.25 (m, 16H), 5.65 (br s, 1H), 4.78 (d, *J* = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (d, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.71 – 4.67 (m, 1H), 4.57 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.77 – 3.76 (m, 1H), 3.67 – 3.66 (m, 2H), 3.64 (dd, *J* = 9.5, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (dd, *J* = 9.5, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 2.09 (s, 3H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.65 – 7.61 (m, 2H), 7.39 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.17 – 7.01 (m, 10H), 6.99 – 6.91 (m, 2H), 5.58 (dd, *J* = 3.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.80 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (t, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (dd, *J* = 9.4, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (dd, *J* = 9.4, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.33 (dd, *J* = 9.2, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (ddd, *J* = 6.5, 6.1, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 1.65 (s, 3H). IR (neat) *v* 1742 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O).

*Phenyl* 4-*O*-acetyl-(6*S*)-[6-<sup>2</sup>*H*<sub>1</sub>]-2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-1-thio-β-*D*-galactopyranoside (6**S**-**D**-13). Compound 6**S**-**D**-13 (0.021 g, 81%) was synthesized from 6**S**-**D**-1 analogously to 13. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.60 – 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.41 – 7.23 (m, 18H), 5.63 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, *J* = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.72 – 4.68 (m, 1H), 4.55 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.68 – 3.66 (m, 2H), 3.61 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.10 (s, 3H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ 7.65 – 7.62 (m, 2H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (t, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (t, *J* = 10.8 Hz).

2H), 7.18 – 6.91 (m, 12H), 5.58 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.80 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 2H), 4.17 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.47 – 3.45 (m, 1H), 3.35 – 3.26 (m, 1H), 1.65 (s, 3H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>35</sub>DO<sub>6</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 608.2193; found, 608.2191.

*Phenyl* 2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-4-O-pivolyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (14).<sup>77</sup> A solution of 1 (0.050 g, 2.77 mmol) in dry pyridine (0.5 mL) was treated with pivaloyl chloride (0.25 mL) at room temperature and stirred at 80 °C for 1 h. The solvents were evaporated under high vacuum to give a crude residue. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: 20 % ethyl acetate in hexane) to afford 14 (0.045 g, 78%) as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{22} = +6.6$ (*c* 0.50, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.59 – 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.37 – 7.24 (m, 18H), 5.63 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 10.3 Hz)1H), 4.63 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (dd, J = 9.2, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (dd, J = 9.5, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (t, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (dd, J = 9.5, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.14 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) § 177.4, 138.1, 137.8, 137.6, 133.2, 132.3, 128.8, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.4, 87.0, 81.4, 76.3, 76.1, 75.6, 73.7, 71.6, 68.2, 66.3, 39.0, 27.1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ )  $\delta$  7.66 – 7.63 (m, 2H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.30 – 7.24 (m, 4H), 7.18 - 6.91 (m, 12H), 5.56 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (d, J 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (dd, J = 9.2, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (dd, J = 9.2, 6.8 Hz), 3.37 - 3.35 (m, 1H), 3.27 (dd, J = 9.0, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 1.08 (s, 9H). IR

\*\*34

(neat) v 1732 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>42</sub>O<sub>6</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 649.2600; found, 649.2584.

*Phenyl* 4-*O*-*pivaloyl*-(6*S*)-[ $6^{-2}H_{1}$ ]-2,3,6-*tri*-*O*-*benzyl*-1-*thio*- $\beta$ -*D*-*galactopyranoside* (**6S-D**-14). Compound **6S-D**-14 (0.011 g, 90%) was synthesized from **6S-D**-1 analogously to 14. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.59 – 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.37 – 7.23 (m, 18H), 5.63 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (d, *J* = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (d, *J* = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (d, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, *J* = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, *J* = 11.8, 1H), 4.44 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (dd, *J* = 9.1, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (t, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 1.14 (s, 9H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.66 – 7.6 (m, 2H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.30 – 7.25 (m, 4H), 7.17 – 6.91 (m, 12H), 5.56 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, *J* = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, *J* = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, *J* = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (d, *J* = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (t, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (d, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.27 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 1.08 (s, 9H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>41</sub>DO<sub>6</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 650.2663; found, 650.2662.

*Phenyl 2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-4-O-trifluoroacetyl-1-thio-\beta-D-galactopyranoside* (**15**).<sup>77</sup> To a stirred solution of **1** (0.05 g, 0.092 mmol) in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.0 mL) were added pyridine (0.030 mL, 0.18 mmol), trifluoroacetic anhydride (0.026 mL, 0.18 mmol), and DMAP (1.0 mg, 0.009 mmol) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture stirred was stirred for 0.5 h before it was quenched with water (5 mL) and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 X 10 mL). The combined extracts were washed with 1 N HCl (5.0 mL) solution, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under high vacuum. Silica gel column chromatography (eluent: 10% ethyl acetate in hexane) afforded **15** (0.038 g, 65%) as a colorless

oil.  $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -6.9$  (c 0.80, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.56 - 7.52 (m, 2H), 7.37 -7.23 (m, 18H), 5.74 (dd, J = 3.2, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 11 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (ddd, J = 8.2, 5.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (dd, J = 9.1, 1.03.2 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (dd, J = 9.3, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (t, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (dd, J = 9.3, 8.3 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  156.8 (q, J = 42.7 Hz, COCF3), 137.9, 137.1, 137.1, 132.8, 132.1, 128.9, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.0, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 114.5 (q, J = 285.8) Hz, CF<sub>3</sub>CO), 87.4, 80.5, 76.0, 75.7, 74.7, 73.8, 72.3, 71.3, 67.0; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ -74.7 (COCF<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.59 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.19 - 6.91 (m, 16H), 5.57 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.34 (dd, J = 9.1, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (dd, J = 9.1, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (dd, J = 9.1, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.19 – 3.15 (m, 1H). IR (neat) v 1790 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for  $C_{35}H_{33}O_6F_3SNa [M+Na]^+$ , 661.1848; found, 661.1844.

Phenyl 4-O-trifluoroacetyl-(6S)-[ $6^{-2}H_{1}$ ]-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (6S-D-15). Compound 6S-D-15 (0.021 g, 87%) was synthesized from 6S-D-1 analogously to 15. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.56 – 7. 52 (m, 2H), 7.37 – 7.24 (m, 18H), 5.74 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (dd, J = 9.1, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ 7.61 – 7.58 (m, 2H), 7.33 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.21 – 6.92 (m, 16H),
5.58 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.25 (dd, J = 9.1, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.17 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>32</sub>F<sub>3</sub>DO<sub>6</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 662.1910; found, 662.1905.

Phenyl 2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-4-O-trichloroacetyl-1-thio-B-D-galactopyranoside (16).<sup>77</sup> Compound 16 (0.058 g, 92%) was synthesized from 1 analogously to 15, as a colorless oil.  $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{22} = -8.0$  (c 1.80, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.59 – 7.52 (m, 2H), 7.39 – 7.21 (m, 18H), 5.71 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 1H), 4.80 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H),4.62 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 11.4Hz, 1H), 3.87 (dd, J = 7.9, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.74 - 3.72 (m, 1H), 3.71 (dd, J = 9.2, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.68 $(t, J = 9.3 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 3.59 \text{ (dd}, J = 9.2, 8.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}); {}^{13}\text{C} \text{ NMR} (150 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 161.3, 137.9,$ 137.3, 137.3, 132.6, 132.3, 129.0, 128.6, 128.3, 128.3, 128.3, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6, 89.9, 87.1, 80.9, 75.6, 75.6, 75.2, 73.9, 72.2, 72.1, 67.3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ 7.62 – 7.60 (m, 2H), 7.33 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.24 – 7.19 (m, 4H), 7.16 – 7.07 (m, 11H), 6.95 – 6.91 (m, 1H), 5.53 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (m, 1H), 3.43 (m, 1H), 3.29 - 3.28 (m, 1H), 3.29 (m, 1H), 1H), 3.28 - 3.25 (m, 1H). IR (neat) v 1769 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for  $C_{35}H_{33}O_6Cl_3SNa [M+Na]^+$ , 709.0961; found, 709.0955.

*Phenyl* 4-*O*-trichloroacetyl-(6*S*)-[6-<sup>2</sup>*H*<sub>1</sub>]-2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-1-thio-β-*D*-galactopyranoside (6**S**-**D**-16). Compound 6**S**-**D**-16 (0.029 g, 90%) was synthesized from 6**S**-**D**-1 analogously to 1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.59 – 7.52 (m, 2H), 7.39 – 7.21 (m, 18H), 5.70 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (t, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (dd, J = 9.1, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (d, J = 5.7, 1H, H), 3.68 (t, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ 7.63 – 7.59 (m, 2H), 7.33 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.23 – 7.20 (m, 4H), 7.17 – 6.90 (m, 12H), 5.53 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H H-1), 4.22 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.43 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H, H), 3.29 – 3.26 (m, 2H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>32</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>DO<sub>6</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 710.1024; found, 710.1016.

*Phenyl 4-O-benzoyl-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside* (17).<sup>77</sup> Compound 17 was synthesized as described in the literature.<sup>76</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.01 – 7.93 (m, 2H), 7.66 – 7.65 (m, 2H), 7.61 – 7.59 (m, 1H), 7.47 – 7.45 (m, 2H), 7.40 – 7.39 (m, 2H), 7.35 – 7.22 (m, 16H), 5.91 (d, J = 3.0 Hz 1H), 4.87 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (br s, 2H), 4.70 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 3.92 – 3.89 (m, 1H), 3.77 (d, J = 9.2, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (dd, J = 9.6, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (dd, J = 9.6, 6.8 Hz, 1H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ 8.11 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.70 (dd, J = 12.2, 5.2 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.17 – 6.95 (m, 15H), 5.87 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (d, J =

11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (dd, J = 9.3, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (dd, J = 9.3, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.42 – 3.40 (m, 1H). IR (neat) v 1722 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O).

*Phenyl* 4-*O*-*benzoyl-(6S)-[6<sup>-2</sup>H<sub>1</sub>]-2,3,6-tri-O*-*benzyl-1-thio-β*-*D*-*galactopyranoside* (**6S-D-17**). Compound **6S-D-17** (0.021 g, 84%) was synthesized analogously as **17** from **6S-D-1**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.02 – 7.99 (m, 2H), 7.67 – 7.64 (m, 2H), 7.61 – 7.59 (m, 1H), 7.47 – 7.45 (m, 2H), 7.40 – 7.39 (m, 2H), 7.37 – 7.21 (m, 16H), 5.91 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (br s, 2H), 4.71 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H ), 4.52 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (dd, J = 9.1, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (t, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ 8.11 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.70 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.14 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.09 – 6.94 (m, 13H), 5.87 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.42 – 3.40 (m, 2H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>37</sub>DO<sub>6</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 670.2350; found, 670.2344.

*Phenyl* 4-*O*-(*p*-methylbenzoyl)-2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-1-thio-β-*D*-galactopyranoside (18).<sup>77</sup> Compound 18 (0.057 g, 95%) was synthesized from 1 analogously to 19, as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{22} = +20.9$  (*c* 0.55, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.89 – 7.88 (m, 2H), 7.64 – 7.63 (m, 2H), 7.38 – 7.21 (m, 20H), 5.87 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.84 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (br s, 2H), 4.69 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, *J* =

11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.89 – 3.87 (m, 1H), 3.74 (dd, J = 9.1, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (t, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (dd, J = 9.5, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (dd, J = 9.5, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  165.7, 143.9, 138.3, 137.6, 132.9, 132.8, 130.0, 129.1, 128.8, 128.4, 128.3, 128.3, 128.2, 128.2, 127.9, 127.7, 127.7, 127.6, 127.0, 87.1, 81.4, 76.5, 76.4, 75.7, 73.7, 71.7, 68.5, 67.1, 21.7. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  8.09 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.74 – 7.70 (m, 2H), 7.39 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.15 (dd, J = 15.7, 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.12 – 6.95 (m, 10H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 5.88 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (dd, J = 9.4, 6.2, Hz, 1H), 3.52 (dd, J = 9.4, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.45 – 3.43 (m, 1H), 3.42 – 3.41 (m, 1H) 1.90 (s, 3H). IR (neat) v 1720 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>40</sub>O<sub>6</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 683.2443; found, 683.2446.

*Phenyl* 4-*O*-(*p*-*methylbenzoyl*)-(6*S*)-[6-<sup>2</sup>*H*<sub>1</sub>]-2,3,6-*tri*-*O*-*benzyl*-1-*thio*-β-*D*-galactopyranoside (6S-D-18). Compound 6S-D-18 (0.016 g, 65%) was synthesized from 6S-D-1 analogously to 18. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.88 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.64 – 7.63 (m, 2H), 7.39 – 7.19 (m, 20H), 5.86 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, *J* = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (br s, 2H), 4.68 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, *J* = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, *J* = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (d, *J* = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (d, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (dd, *J* = 9.1, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (t, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (s, 3H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ 8.09 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.75 – 7.67 (m, 2H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.11 – 6.95 (m, 10H), 6.84 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 5.88 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (d, *J* = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (d, *J* = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, *J* = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (d, *J* = 11.4

\*\*40

Hz, 1H), 4.19 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.44 – 3.41 (m, 2H), 1.90 (s, 3H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>39</sub>DO<sub>6</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 684.2506; found, 684.2499.

Phenyl 4-O-(p-methoxybenzovl)-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-1-thio- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside (19).<sup>77</sup> To a stirred solution of 1 (0.050 g, 0.092 mmol) in dry pyridine (1.0 mL) was added 4-methoxy benzoyl chloride (0.025 mL, 0.184 mmol) and DMAP (1.0 mg, 0.009 mmol) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 8 h before the solvents were evaporated under high vacuum to give a crude product, which was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) and washed with 1 N HCl (5 mL) solution, followed by sat. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under high vacuum. Silica gel column chromatography (eluent: 20 % ethyl acetate in hexane) afforded **19**<sup>61</sup> (0.050 g, 80%) as a colorless oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.97 – 7.95 (m, 2H), 7.66 – 7.62 (m, 2H), 7.40 – 7.39 (m, 2H), 7.36 – 7.20 (m, 16H), 6.94 – 6.92 (m, 2H), 5.87  $(d, J = 3.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.86 (d, J = 11.4 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.74 (br s, 2\text{H}), 4.71 (d, J = 9.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.53 (d, J = 1.14 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.74 (br s, 2\text{H}), 4.71 (d, J = 9.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.53 (d, J = 1.14 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.74 (br s, 2\text{H}), 4.71 (d, J = 9.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.53 (d, J = 1.14 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{Hz}, 1\text{Hz}), 4.74 (br s, 2\text{H}), 4.71 (d, J = 9.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.53 (d, J = 1.14 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{Hz}), 4.74 (br s, 2\text{H}), 4.71 (d, J = 9.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.53 (d, J = 1.14 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{Hz}), 4.71 (d, J = 9.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.53 (d, J = 1.14 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{Hz}), 4.71 (d, J = 9.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.53 (d, J = 1.14 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{Hz}), 4.71 (d, J = 9.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.53 (d, J = 1.14 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{Hz}), 4.71 (d, J = 9.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{Hz}), 4.53 (d, J = 1.14 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{Hz}), 4.71 (d, J = 9.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{Hz}), 4.53 (d, J = 1.14 \text{ Hz}), 4.53 (d, J = 1.14 \text{ H$ J = 11.0, 1H, 4.50 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.89 - 3.87 (m, 1H), 3.75 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (t, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (dd, J = 9.6, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.59(dd, J = 9.6, 6.5 Hz, 1H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  8.11 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.17 – 6.93 (m, 12H), 6.56 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.88 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.76 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1 Hz, 1 H), 4.76 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1 Hz, 1 = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (dd, J = 9.4, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (dd, J = 9.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.47 – 3.41 (m, 2H), 3.08 (s, 3H). IR (neat) v 1728  $cm^{-1}$  (C=O).

*Phenyl* 4-*O*-(*p*-*methoxybenzoyl*)-(6*S*)-[ $6^{-2}H_{1}$ ]-2,3,6-*tri*-*O*-*benzyl*-1-*thio*- $\beta$ -*D*-galactopyranoside (6S-D-19). Compound 6S-D-19 (0.009 g, 71%) was synthesized from 6S-D-1 analogously to 19. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.98 – 7.91 (m, 2H), 7.69 – 7.58 (m, 2H), 7.39 – 7.18 (m, 18H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 5.85 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (br s, 2H), 4.68 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, *J* = (dd, J = 11.6 Hz OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph, 4.49 (d, *J* = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.87 – 3.85 (m, 1H), 3.73 (dd, *J* = 9.2, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (t, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  8.11 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.17 – 6.93 (m, 12H), 6.55 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.88 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, *J* = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, *J* = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (d, *J* = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (d, *J* = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (d, *J* = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (t, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.45 – 3.41 (m, 2H), 3.08 (s, 3H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>39</sub>DO<sub>7</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 700.2455; found, 700.2449.

*Phenyl* 4-*O*-(*p*-nitrobenzoyl)-2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-1-thio-β-*D*-galactopyranoside (20).<sup>77</sup> Compound 20 (0.053 g, 84%) was synthesized from 1 analogously to 19, as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{22} = +19.5 (c \ 1.95, CH_2Cl_2);$  <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.26 – 8.24 (m, 2H), 8.07 – 8.06 (m, 2H), 7.65 – 7.63 (m, 2H), 7.39 – 7.19 (m, 18H), 5.90 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (dd, J = 9.2, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (dd, J = 9.5, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (dd, J = 9.5, 7.2 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 163.8, 150.6, 138.1, 137.3, 137.3, 135.1,

\*\*42

133.3, 132.5, 130.9, 128.8, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 123.5, 87.1, 81.3, 76.5, 75.8, 75.7, 73.7, 72.1, 68.5, 67.9. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ )  $\delta$  7.70 – 7.65 (m, 4H), 7.59 – 7.55 (m, 2H), 7.41 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.15 (dd, J = 16.1, 7.8 Hz, 4H), 7.08 – 6.91 (m, 10H), 5.79 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (t, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (dd, J = 8.9, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (dd, J = 8.9, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.41 – 3.39 (m, 1H), 3.38 – 3.37 (m, 1H). IR (neat)  $\nu$  1729 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for  $C_{40}H_{37}NO_8SNa$  [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 714.2138; found, 714.2120.

*Phenyl* 4-*O*-(*p*-nitrobenzoyl)-(6S)-[ $6^{-2}H_{1}$ ]-2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (**6S-D-20**). Compound **6S-D-20** (0.010 g, 83%) was synthesized from **6S-D-1** analogously to **20**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.26 – 8.24 (m, 2H), 8.07 – 8.06 (m, 2H), 7.65 – 7.64 (m, 2H), 7.44 – 7.18 (m, 18H), 5.90 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (d, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (d, *J* = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (d, *J* = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (dd, *J* = 9.1, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (t, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ 7.69 – 7.65 (m, 4H), 7.59 – 7.55 (m, 2H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.18 – 6.90 (m, 14H), 5.78 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, *J* = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, *J* = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 3.45 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.40 – 3.35 (m, 2H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NDO<sub>8</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 715.2200; found, 715.2206.

 *Phenyl* 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-1-thio- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside (**21**). Compound **21** was synthesized as described in the literature.<sup>85</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.58 – 7.56 (m, 2H), 7.39 – 7.27 (m, 21H), 7.20 – 7.18 (m, 2H), 4.98 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.74 – 4.73 (m, 1H), 4.73 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (dd, J = 2.8, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (t, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (m, 1H), 3.65 (m, 1H), 3.63 – 3.62 (m, 1H), 3.61 (dd, J = 2.8, 9.2, 1H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.70 – 7.67 (m, 2H), 7.37 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.14 – 7.09 (m, 8H), 7.05 (dt, J = 14.7, 7.4 Hz, 4H), 6.99 – 6.89 (m, 3H), 4.95 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (dd, J = 2.9, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (dd, J = 9.1, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (dd, J = 9.1, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (ddd, J = 7.3, 5.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.8 Hz, 1H).

*Phenyl* (6*S*)-[ $6^{-2}H_{1}$ ]-2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (6S-D-21). Compound 6S-D-21 (0.012 g, 70%) was synthesized from 6S-D-1 analogously to 21. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.58 – 7.56 (m, 2H), 7.40 – 7.26 (m, 21H), 7.21 – 7.16 (m, 2H), 4.97 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.74 – 4.73 (m, 1H), 4.72 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, *J* = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (t, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (d, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 1H, H), 3.62 – 3.61 (m, 2H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ 7.70 – 7.67 (m, 2H), 7.37 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.21 – 7.16 (m, 4H), 7.15 – 7.02 (m, 12H), 6.99 – 6.89 (m, 3H), 4.95 (d, *J* = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (d, *J* =

9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, *J* = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (d, *J* = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, *J* = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (d, *J* = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (d, *J* = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (t, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.33 – 3.26 (m, 2H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>39</sub>DO<sub>5</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 656.2557; found, 656.2548.

Phenyl 2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-4-O-(1',1',1'-trifluoroethyl)-1-thio- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside (22).<sup>77</sup> To a solution of phenyl 2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-1-thio-D-glucopyranoside 2 (0.05 g, 0.092 mmol) in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was added pyridine (0.03 mL, 0.37 mmol) and trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (0.02 mL, 0.12 mmol) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h before it was guenched with water. The reaction mixture was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL), washed with brine (5.0 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under high vacuum. Without further purification, the triflate was dissolved in dry DMF (1.0 mL) and freshly prepared sodium trifluoroethoxide (0.03 g, 0.27 mmol) was added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature before TLC (10% ethyl acetate in hexane) showed completion. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution, extracted with ethyl acetate (2 X 10 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. Silica gel column chromatography (eluent: 20 % EtOAc in hexane) afforded 22 (0.035 g, 61% over 2 steps) as a semi-solid.  $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -11.0$  (c 0.55, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.56 – 7.55 (m, 2H), 7.37 – 7.21 (m, 18H), 4.79 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.68 – 4.67 (m, 1H), 4.66 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 9.5Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (dq, J = 12.1, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 3.92 - 3.88 (m, 1H), 3.85 (t, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (d, J = 9.2, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (dd, J = 9.2, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.61 – 3.59 (m, 1H), 3.57 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.6 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 138.1, 137.8, 137.7, 133.8, 131.5, 129.7, 128.9, 128.8, 128.5, 128.4,

128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 127.9, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.5, 127.2, 124.7, 122.8, 87.7, 83.7, 77.1, 76.6, 76.0, 75.6, 73.6, 73.4, 69.4 (q, J = 34.1 Hz, CF<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 68.1; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -74.7 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, CF<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.66 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.16 – 7.00 (m, 13H), 6.93 (dd, J = 10.6, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 4.80 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.23 – 4.19 (m, 3H), 4.14 (dt, J = 17.8, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (dd, J = 9.1, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.66 – 3.60 (m, 1H), 3.57 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (dd, J = 9.1, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.22 – 3.18 (m, 1H), 3.15 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.6 Hz, 1H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>35</sub>O<sub>5</sub>F<sub>3</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 647.2055; found, 647.2067.

*Phenyl* (6*S*)-[ $6^{-2}H_{1}$ ]-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-4-O-(1',1',1'-trifluoroethyl)-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (6**S**-**D**-22). Compound 6**S**-**D**-22 (0.005 g, 30% over 2 steps) was synthesized from 6**S**-**D**-2 analogously to 22. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.56 – 7.55 (m, 2H), 7.37 – 7.21 (m, 18H), 4.78 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (dq, J = 12.0, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 3.92 – 3.87 (m, 1H), 3.84 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H, H), 3.60 – 3.59 (m, 1H), 3.57 (dd, J = 9.5, 2.6 Hz, 1H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D6) δ 7.67 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.21 -7.19 (m, 2H), 7.16 – 6.90 (m, 14H), 4.80 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.22 – 4.19 (m, 3H), 4.18 – 4.10 (m, 1H), 3.95 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.7 Hz, 1H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>34</sub>DF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 648.2118; found, 648.2102.

*Phenyl* 4-O-acetyl-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside (23). Compound 23 was synthesized as described in the literature.<sup>86</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.59 – 7.55 (m, 2H), 7.41 – 7.21 (m, 18H), 5.01 (dd, J = 9.4, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 4.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (s, 2H), 3.67 (dd, J = 9.4, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.61 – 3.57 (m, 3H), 3.55 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 1.85 (s, 3H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ 7.69 – 7.64 (m, 2H), 7.43 – 7.39 (m, 2H), 7.33 – 7.29 (m, 2H), 7.28 – 7.24 (m, 2H), 7.21 – 6.94 (m, 12H), 5.33 (dd, J = 10.6, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.59 – 4.57 (m, 2H), 4.31 (s, 2H), 3.58 (dd, J = 10.6, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (dd, J = 10.6, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (dd, J = 9.2, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (dd, J = 9.6, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (ddd, J = 10.1, 5.8, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 1.54 (s, 3H). IR (neat)  $\nu$  1745 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O).

*Phenyl 2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-4-O-trifluoroacetyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside* (24). Compound 2 (0.009 g, 0.016 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous pyridine (0.05 ml) and cooled to 0 °C before the addition of trifluoroacetic anhydride (4.5 µl, 0.032 mmol). The reaction mixture was then stirred for 4 h under an argon atmosphere and concentrated to dryness. The crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography, eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate (7:3), to obtain 24 (0.008 g, 74%) as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]^{D}_{22} = +37.0$  (*c* 0.33, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.57 – 7.54 (m, 2H), 7.41 – 7.17 (m, 18H), 5.24 (dd, *J* = 9.8, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.91 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (d, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, *J* = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, *J* = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (dd, *J* = 9.6, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (ddd, *J* = 9.8, 4.7, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (dd, *J* = 10.7, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (dd, *J* = 10.7,

4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  156.5 (d, J = 42.5 Hz), 137.8, 137.6, 137.5, 133.2, 132.4, 129.2, 128.6, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 128.01, 127.95, 127.9, 114.6 (d, J = 285.8 Hz), 87.9, 83.2, 80.8, 76.5, 75.9, 75.7, 74.7, 73.9, 69.1; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -75.0 (OCOCF<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.61 – 7.55 (m, 2H), 7.40 – 7.36 (m, 2H), 7.27 – 6.94 (m, 16H), 5.40 (dd, J = 10.0, 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.43 (dd, J = 9.5, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.37 (dd, J = 10.6, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.34 (dd, J = 9.7, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (dd, J = 10.6, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.00 (ddd, J = 10.0, 4.3, 3.5 Hz, 1H). IR (neat)  $\nu$  1793 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>33</sub>O<sub>6</sub>SF<sub>3</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 661.1848; found, 661.1843.

*Phenyl 4-O-benzoyl-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside* (**25**). Compound **1** (0.010 g, 0.018 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous dichloromethane (0.5 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. Anhydrous pyridine (15 µL, 0.18 mmol) was added followed by Tf<sub>2</sub>O (10 µL, 0.060 mmol) and the reaction mixture stirred for 1.5 h before it was quenched with water at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated to dryness. The crude residue was then dissolved in anhydrous DMF (0.15 mL), and sodium benzoate (7.9 mg, 0.055 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred under an argon atmosphere at room temperature for 3 h then diluted with water and extracted to dryness. The crude residue was separated, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated to dryness. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated to dryness. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated to dryness. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel, eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate (8:2) to obtain **25** (0.008 g, 71%) with spectral data consistent with the literature.<sup>76</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.98 –

7.93 (m, 2H), 7.64 – 7.53 (m, 3H), 7.44 – 7.04 (m, 20H), 5.29 (dd, J = 10.1, 9.4 Hz, 1H), 4.90 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (dd, J = 9.4, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.79 – 3.75 (m, 1H), 3.66 – 3.64 (m, 2H), 3.62 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.7 Hz, 1H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  8.07 – 8.04 (m, 2H), 7.73 – 7.68 (m, 2H), 7.45 – 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.27 – 6.94 (m, 19H), 5.65 (dd, J = 10.0, 9.4 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.26 – 4.20 (m, 2H), 3.68 (dd, J = 9.4, 8.6 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (dd, J = 10.7, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (dd, J = 10.7, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.6 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (ddd, J = 10.0, 6.0, 2.9 Hz, 1H). IR (neat)  $\nu$  1726 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O).

*Phenyl* 4-*O*-*benzoyl*-(*6S*)-[*6*-<sup>2</sup>*H*<sub>1</sub>]-2,3,6-*tri*-*O*-*benzyl*-1-*thio*-β-*D*-glucopyranoside (**6S-D**-25). Compound **6S-D**-25 (0.030 g, 74%) was synthesized from **6S-D**-2 analogously to **25**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.97 – 7.93 (m, 2H), 7.62 – 7.54 (m, 3H), 7.45 – 7.04 (m, 20H), 5.29 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 9.4 Hz, 1H), 4.90 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (d, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (d, *J* = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (d, *J* = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (dd, *J* = 9.4, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.65 – 3.60 (m, 2H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ 8.07 – 8.03 (m, 2H), 7.73 – 7.68 (m, 2H), 7.46 – 7.41 (m, 2H), 7.27 – 6.92 (m, 19H), 5.64 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 9.4 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (d, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (d, *J* = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (d, *J* = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.25 (d, *J* = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 6.1 Hz, 1H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>37</sub>DO<sub>6</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 670.2350; found, 6703.2354.

*Phenyl* 4-O-benzenesulfonyl-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside (26). Compound 2 (0.007 g, 0.014 mmol) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (catalytic amount) were dissolved in anhydrous pyridine (0.1 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. Benzenesulfonyl chloride (5 µL, 0.039 mmol) was added at 0 °C and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight under an argon atmosphere at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness and the crude residue was dissolve in ethyl acetate and filtered through a cotton plug before the organic layer was concentrated to dryness. The crude residue was then purified by silica gel column chromatography, eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate (9:1 to 4:1) to obtain 26 (0.005 g, 57%) as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_{22}^{D} = +13.3$  (c 0.15, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.88 - 7.81 (m, 2H), 7.59 - 7.16 (m, 23H), 4.82 (dd, J = 9.9, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (dd, J = 10.8, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (dd, J = 9.2),8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (ddd, J = 9.9, 5.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (dd, J =10.8, 5.6 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 133.7, 132.2, 129.1, 129.1, 128.5, 128.5, 128.3, 128.1, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 87.5, 83.7, 81.0, 78.0, 77.8, 75.6, 75.4, 73.5, 68.9. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ )  $\delta$  7.83 – 7.77 (m, 2H), 7.67 – 7.64 (m, 2H), 7.43 – 6.60 (m, 23H), 5.08 (dd, J = 9.9, 9.1 Hz, 1H), 4.80 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 9.4Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (dd, J = 10.8, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (dd, J = 10.8, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.45 - 3.35 (m, 2H), 3.24 (ddd, J = 9.9, 5.3, 2.0 Hz, 1H). IR (neat) v 1360 cm<sup>-1</sup> (S=O), 1188 cm<sup>-1</sup> (S=O). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for  $C_{39}H_{38}O_7S_2Na [M+Na]^+$ , 705.1957; found, 705.1954.

*Phenyl 4-O-benzenesulfonyl-(6S)-[6-*<sup>2</sup>*H<sub>1</sub>J-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside* (**6S-D-26**). Compound **6S-D-26** (0.005 mg, 62 %) was synthesized from **6S-D-2** analogously to **26**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.87 – 7.81 (m, 2H), 7.60 – 7.17 (m, 23H), 4.81 (dd, J = 9.9, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (dd, J = 9.2, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (dd, J = 9.9, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (dd, J = 9.7, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ 7.82 – 7.79 (m, 2H), 7.67 – 7.64 (m, 2H), 7.43 – 6.62 (m, 21H), 5.07 (dd, J = 9.9, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 4.80 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.44 – 3.36 (m, 2H), 3.24 (dd, J = 9.9, 5.3 Hz, 1H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>37</sub>DO<sub>7</sub>S<sub>2</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 706.2019; found, 706.2014.

*Phenyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside* (**27**). Compound **27** was synthesized as described in the literature.<sup>87</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.61 – 7.58 (m, 2H), 7.41 – 7.18 (m, 23H), 4.90 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (dd, J = 10.8, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (dd, J = 10.8, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (dd, J = 8.9, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (dd, J = 9.6, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (ddd, J = 9.6, 4.8, 1.9 Hz, 1H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.73 – 7.70 (m, 2H), 7.46 – 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.35 – 7.27 (m, 4H), 7.23 – 6.95 (m, 17H), 4.95 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.83 – 4.79 (m, 2H), 4.72 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (dd,

*J* = 9.8, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.64 – 3.62 (m, 2H), 3.61 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (dd, *J* = 9.6, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.27 – 3.23 (m, 1H).

Phenvl (6S)- $[6-^{2}H_{1}]$ -2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzvl-1-thio- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (6S-D-27). A solution of compound 6S-D-2 (0005 g, 0.008 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (0.2 mL) was cooled to 0 °C and treated with NaH (60%, 1 mg, 0.025 mmol) and benzyl bromide (5.1 µl, 0.025 mmol), respectively. The reaction mixture was then stirred for 3.5 h under an argon atmosphere at room temperature and quenched with water at 0 °C. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate, and the organic layer was washed with brine, treated with anhydrous  $Na_2SO_4$  and concentrated to dryness. The crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography, eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate (19:1 to 4:1) to obtain 6S-D-27 (0.004 g, 80%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.60 – 7.56 (m, 2H), 7.41 – 7.17 (m, 23H), 4.90 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (d, J= 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 12.0Hz, 1H), 3.73 - 3.69 (m, 2H), 3.67 - 3.61 (m, 1H), 3.51 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (dd, J = 9.8, 3.89.7, 4.9 Hz, 1H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ )  $\delta$  7.75 – 7.67 (m, 2H), 7.46 – 7.41 (m, 2H), 7.35 –  $6.93 \text{ (m, 21H)}, 4.96 \text{ (d, } J = 10.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.89 \text{ (d, } J = 11.4 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.83 - 4.78 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 4.72 \text{ (d, } J = 10.5 \text{ Hz}, 10.5 \text$ J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (dd, J = 9.7, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 3.63 – 3.59 (m, 2H), 3.56 (dd, J = 9.6, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.25 (dd, J = 9.7, 4.5 Hz, 1H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>39</sub>DO<sub>5</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 656.2557; found, 656.2559.

*Ethvl* 6-O-acetvl-2,3,4-tri-O-benzvl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside (28). Compound 28 was synthesized as described in the literature.<sup>33</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.39 – 7.24 (m, 15H), 4.95 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (d, J = 10.9Hz, 1H), 4.74 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (dd, J = 11.9, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (dd, J = 11.9, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (dd, J = 8.8, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (dd, J = 11.9, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (dd, J = 11.9, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (dd, J = 11.9, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (dd, {11.9, 5.1 Hz, = 9.8, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (ddd, J = 9.8, 5.1, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 3.44 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.81 – 2.70 (m, 2H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 1.33 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.43 – 7.40 (m, 2H), 7.34 - 7.32 (m, 2H), 7.27 - 7.25 (m, 2H), 7.20 - 7.05 (m, 9H), 4.99 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (d, J = 10.6Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (dd, J = 11.9, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (dd, J = 11.9, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (dd, J = 8.9, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.47(dd, J = 9.7, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.26 (ddd, J = 9.8, 5.4, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 2.59 (dq, J = 12.6, 7.4 Hz, 1H),2.49 (dq, J = 12.6, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.64 (s, 3H1.13 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). IR (neat) v 1742 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O).

*Ethyl 2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-6-O-pivolyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside* (**29**). Compound **3**<sup>33</sup> (0.008 g, 0.016 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous pyridine (0.05 mL) and treated with pivolyl chloride (2.5 µl, 0.020 mmol). The reaction mixture was then stirred under an argon atmosphere at room temperature overnight and concentrated to dryness. The crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography, eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate (4:1 to 7:3) to obtain **29** (0.007 g, 92%) as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_{22}^{D} = +14.0$  (*c* 0.57, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.39 – 7.25 (m, 15H), 4.94 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.88 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, *J* = 9.8

Hz, 1H), 4.44 (dd, J = 11.9, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (dd, J = 11.9, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (dd, J = 8.8, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (ddd, J = 9.9, 5.6, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (dd, J = 9.9, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.44 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.32 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 1.21 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  178.2, 138.4, 138.0, 137.8, 128.7, 128.6, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 128.0, 128.0, 127.9, 86.7, 84.8, 81.9, 78.2, 76.0, 75.7, 75.4, 63.5, 27.4, 24.9, 15.4. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.45 – 7.41 (m, 2H), 7.35 – 7.31 (m, 2H), 7.27 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.7 Hz, 2H), 7.19 – 7.05 (m, 9H), 4.99 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.82 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (dd, J = 11.9, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (dd, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (dd, J = 11.9, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (dd, J = 9.0, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (dd, J = 9.7, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (dd, J = 9.8, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.26 (ddd, J = 9.8, 5.7, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.18 (s, 9H), 1.16 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). IR (neat)  $\nu$  1731 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>42</sub>O<sub>6</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 601.2600; found, 601.2602.

\*\*54

1H), 3.49 (dd, J = 9.9, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.45 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (dq, J = 12.8, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.68 (dq, J = 12.8, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.30 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  157.27 (q, J = 42.5 Hz), 138.3, 137.9, 137.5, 128.8, 128.7, 128.6, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.98, 127.95, 114.6 (q, J = 285.4 Hz), 86.7, 85.2, 81.8, 76.3, 76.0, 75.7, 75.3, 66.7, 25.1, 15.2; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -74.9(COCF<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.42 - 7.39 (m, 2H), 7.32 - 7.28 (m, 2H), 7.20 - 7.06 (m, 11H), 4.95 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (dd, J = 11.3, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (dd, J = 11.5, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (dd, J = 8.9, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.37 (dd, J = 9.7, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.26 (dd, J = 9.9, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.07 (ddd, J = 9.9, 6.3, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 2.55 (dq, J = 12.8, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.43 (dq, J = 12.8, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.12 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). IR (neat)  $\nu$  1790 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>33</sub>O<sub>6</sub>SF<sub>3</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 613.1848; found, 613.1850.

*Ethyl* 6-*O*-benzoyl-2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzyl-1-thio- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (**31**). Compound **31** was synthesized as described in the literature.<sup>88</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.07 – 8.04 (m, 2H), 7.60 – 7.56 (m, 1H), 7.49 – 7.40 (m, 4H), 7.39 – 7.24 (m, 13H), 4.99 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.91 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.90 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.66 – 4.63 (m, 1H), 4.64 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.48 – 4.44 (m, 1H), 3.78 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.69 – 3.67 (m, 2H), 3.52 (dd, *J* = 9.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.79 (dq, *J* = 12.7, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (dq, *J* = 12.7, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 1.32 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  8.18 – 8.16 (m, 2H), 7.43 – 7.40 (m, 2H), 7.34 – 7.31 (m, 2H), 7.28 – 7.25 (m, 2H), 7.20 – 7.01 (m, 12H), 4.98 (d, *J* = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (dd, *J* = 11.8, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, *J* =

11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (dd, J = 11.8, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (dd, J = 9.0, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (dd, J = 9.8, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (dd, J = 9.6, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (ddd, J = 9.8, 5.6, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 2.59 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 1.11 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H). IR (neat) v 1721 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O).

*Ethyl* (6*S*)-[6-<sup>2</sup>*H*<sub>1</sub>]-6-O-benzoyl-2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside (6*S*-**D**-31). Compound **6***S***-D-31** (0.007 g, 60%) was synthesized from **6***S***-D-3** analogously to **31**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.04 – 8.01 (m, 2H), 7.58 – 7.54 (m, 1H), 7.46 – 7.24 (m, 17H), 4.97 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.94 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 2H), 4.76 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.42 – 4.40 (m, 1H, H), 3.76 (dd, J = 8.8, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.66 – 3.64 (m, 2H), 3.49 (dd, J = 9.7, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ 8.19 – 8.16 (m, 2H), 7.44 – 7.41 (m, 2H), 7.35 – 7.32 (m, 2H), 7.28 – 7.25 (m, 2H), 7.20 – 7.01 (m, 12H), 4.99 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (dd, J = 8.9, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (dd, J = 9.6, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (dd, J = 9.8, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.59 (dq, J = 12.8, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (dq, J = 12.8, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 1.11 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>37</sub>DO<sub>6</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 622.2350; found. 622.2358.

*Ethyl* 2,3,4-*tri-O-benzyl-6-O-p-methylbenzoyl-1-thio-\beta-D-glucopyranoside* (32). Compound 3(0.015 g, 0.030 mmol) was dissolved anhydrous pyridine (0.1 mL) and cooled to 0 °C before the addition of *p*-methylbenzoyl chloride (5.6  $\mu$ L, 0.061 mmol). The reaction mixture was then warmed up to room temperature and stirred overnight under an argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was then diluted

with ethyl acetate and washed with aqueous hydrochloric acid (1 M), saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and brine. The organic layer was separated and dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated to dryness. The resultant crude residue was purified using silica gel column chromatography, eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate (6:1 to 4:1), to afford the compound **32** (0.018 g, 95%) as a white semi solid.  $[\alpha]_{22}^{D} =$ +20.5 (c 0.6, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.92 – 7.90 (m, 2H), 7.39 – 7.37 (m, 2H), 7.35 – 7.21 (m, 17H), 4.95 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4. 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (dd, J = 12.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (dd, J = 12.0, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.67 - 3.63 (m, 2H), 3.48(dd, J = 9.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (dq, J = 12.8, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.69 (dq, J = 12.8, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  166.4, 143.9, 138.4, 138.0, 137.7, 129.8, 129.2, 128.7, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 128.0, 127.4, 86.8, 85.2, 82.0, 78.1, 77.3, 76.1, 75.7, 75.4, 63.8, 25.2, 21.8, 15.3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ )  $\delta$  8.17 – 8.14 (m, 2H), 7.44 – 7.41 (m, 2H), 7.35 -7.32 (m, 2H), 7.29 - 7.27 (m, 2H), 7.19 - 7.03 (m, 9H), 6.89 - 6.86 (m, 2H), 4.99 (d, J = 10.6Hz, 1H), 4.96 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.82 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (dd, J = 11.8, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (dd, J = 11.8, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 11.8, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, J = 11.8, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 11.8, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.8 Hz, 1H, 5.8 Hz, 1H, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (dd, J = 8.9, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (dd, J = 9.7, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.37 (ddd, J = 9.8, 5.7, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 2.61 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.95 (s, 3H), 1.13 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H). IR (neat) v 1718  $cm^{-1}$  (C=O). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for  $C_{37}H_{40}O_6SNa [M+Na]^+$ , 635.2443; found, 635.2474.

*Ethyl* (6*S*)-[ $6^{-2}H_{1}$ ]-6-*O*-*p*-*methylbenzoyl*-2,3,4-*tri*-*O*-*benzyl*-1-*thio*- $\beta$ -*D*-glucopyranoside (6*S*-**D**-32). Compound 6*S*-**D**-32 (0.003 g, 76%) was synthesized from 6*S*-**D**-3 analogously to 32. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.00 – 7.85 (m, 2H), 7.42 – 7.20 (m, 19H), 4.99 – 4.92 (m, 2H), 4.90 – 4.84 (m, 2H), 4.76 (d, *J* = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, *J* = 9.7 Hz, 1H),

4.39 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.68 – 3.61 (m, 2H), 3.49 (dd, J = 9.7, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.82 – 2.65 (m, 2H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  8.18 – 8.14 (m, 2H), 7.44 – 7.41 (m, 2H), 7.35 – 7.26 (m, 4H), 7.20 – 7.02 (m, 9H), 6.89 – 6.86 (m, 2H), 4.99 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.96 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.82 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H, H), 4.38 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (dd, J = 9.7, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.37 (dd, J = 9.8, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.65 – 2.57 (m, 1H), 2.51 – 2.45 (m, 1H), 1.95 (s, 3H), 1.13 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>37</sub>H<sub>39</sub>DO<sub>6</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 636.2506; found, 636.2508.

*Ethyl 2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-6-O-p-methoxybenzoyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside* (**33**). Compound **33** was synthesized by the same procedure as described for the compound **32** from compound **3** (0.015 g, 0.030 mmol) and *p*-methoxybenzoyl chloride (0.010 g, 0.061 mmol) in anhydrous pyridine (0.1 mL). After purification by silica gel column chromatography, eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate (6:1 to 4:1), compound **33** (0.015 g, 77%) was obtained as a white semi solid.  $[\alpha]_{22}^{D} = +23.4$  (*c* 0.7, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.00 – 7.97 (m, 2H), 7.41 – 7.37 (m, 2H), 7.36 – 7.23 (m, 13H), 6.93 – 6.90 (m, 2H), 4.96 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.94 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.88 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (dd, *J* = 11.8, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (dd, *J* = 11.8, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.75 (dd, *J* = 8.9, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.67 – 3.63 (m, 2H), 3.49 (dd, *J* = 9.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.80 – 2.67 (m, 2H), 1.30 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  166.1, 163.6, 138.4, 138.0, 137.7, 131.7, 128.6, 128.6, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 128.1, 128.0, 128.0, 122.5, 113.7, 86.8, 85.2, 82.0, 78.1, 77.3, 76.1, 75.7, 75.4, 63.7, 55.6, 25.2, 15.3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600

MHz,  $C_6D_6$ )  $\delta$  8.21 – 8.18 (m, 2H), 7.44 – 7.41 (m, 2H), 7.35 – 7.32 (m, 2H), 7.31 – 7.28 (m, 2H), 7.20 – 7.02 (m, 9H), 6.65 – 6.60 (m, 2H), 5.00 (d, *J* = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.96 (d, *J* = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.84 (d, *J* = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (d, *J* = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (dd, *J* = 11.8, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (dd, *J* = 11.8, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, *J* = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (dd, *J* = 8.9, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (dd, *J* = 9.8, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (dd, *J* = 9.7, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.38 (ddd, *J* = 9.8, 5.6, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 3.13 (s, 3H), 2.62 (dq, *J* = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (dq, *J* = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.13 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H). IR (neat) *v* 1714 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for  $C_{37}H_{40}O_7SNa [M+Na]^+$ , 651.2392; found, 651.2399.

*Ethyl* (6S)-[ $6^{-2}H_1$ ]-6-O-p-methoxybenzoyl-2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside (6S-D-33). Compound 6S-D-33 (0.004 g, 60%) was synthesized from 6S-D-3 analogously to 33. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.01 – 7.96 (m, 2H), 7.44 – 7.22 (m, 15H), 6.94 – 6.88 (m, 2H), 4.96 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.94 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.88 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, H6), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.75 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.68 – 3.61 (m, 2H), 3.49 (dd, J = 9.7, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.30 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ 8.22 – 8.17 (m, 2H), 7.45 – 7.40 (m, 2H), 7.35 – 7.32 (m, 2H), 7.30 – 7.28 (m, 2H), 7.20 – 7.02 (m, 9H), 6.64 – 6.60 (m, 2H), 5.00 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.96 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.84 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H, H6), 4.39 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (dd, J = 8.9, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.13 (s, 3H, ), 2.62 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.13 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>37</sub>H<sub>39</sub>DO<sub>7</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 652.2455; found, 652.2468.

*Ethyl* 6-O-p-nitrobenzovl-2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranoside (34). Compound 34 was synthesized using the same procedure as described for the synthesis of the compound 32 from compound 3 (0.015 g, 0.030 mmol) and p-nitrobenzovl chloride (0.011 g, 0.061 mmol) in anhydrous pyridine (0.1 mL). After chromatographic purification using silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate 6:1 to 4:1), compound 34 (0.019 g, 96 %) was obtained as a white semi solid.  $[\alpha]_{22}^{D} =$ +29.7 (c 1.2, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.29 – 8.26 (m, 2H), 8.17 – 8.14 (m, 2H), 7.41 - 7.20 (m, 15H), 4.98 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.90 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.88 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (dd, J = 11.8, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (dd, J = 11.8, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H)1H), 3.66 (ddd, J = 9.8, 5.4, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H, 1H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 164.4, 150.7, 138.3, 137.9, 137.6, 135.4, 130.9, 128.7, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 123.6, 86.8, 85.4, 81.9, 77.6, 76.9, 76.1, 75.7, 75.2, 64.8, 25.3, 15.3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ )  $\delta$  7.76 – 7.73 (m, 2H), 7.66 – 7.63 (m, 2H), 7.45 – 7.41 (m, 2H), 7.36 - 7.33 (m, 2H), 7.26 - 7.23 (m, 2H), 7.20 - 6.97 (m, 9H), 5.02 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 5.00 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (d, J = 10.6Hz, 1H), 4.56 (dd, J = 11.8, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (dd, J = 11.8, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (dd, J = 9.7, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (dd, J= 9.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H, 3.31 (ddd, J = 9.8, 5.6, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 2.57 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1Hz), 2.46 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz), 3.46 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz), 3.46 (dq, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz), 3.46J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.09 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). IR (neat) v 1726 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>37</sub>NO<sub>8</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 666.2138; found, 666.2148.

*Ethvl* (6S)- $[6^{2}H_{1}]$ -6-*O*-*p*-*nitrobenzovl*-2,3,4-*tri*-*O*-*benzvl*-1-*thio*- $\beta$ -*D*-*glucopyranoside* (6S-D-**34**) Compound **6S-D-34** (0.004 g, 100%) was synthesized from **6S-D-3** analogously to **34**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.31 – 8.25 (m, 2H), 8.18 – 8.12 (m, 2H), 7.44 – 7.19 (m, 15H), 4.98 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.90 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 10.8 Hz)1H), 4.76 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (dd, J = 9.8, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (dd, J = 9.7, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (dg, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (dg, J = 12.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ )  $\delta$  7.76 – 7.72 (m, 2H), 7.66 – 7.63 (m, 2H), 7.45 – 7.41 (m, 2H), 7.36 – 7.33 (m, 2H), 7.26 – 7.22 (m, 2H), 7.20 – 6.97 (m, 9H), 5.02 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.00 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H)1H), 3.66 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (dd, J = 9.6, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (dd, J = 9.8, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.57 (dq, J = 12.8, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (dq, J = 12.8, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.09 (t, t)J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>36</sub>DNO<sub>8</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 667.2200; found, 667.2196.

*Ethyl* 2,3,4-*tri-O-benzyl-6-O-(N-phenylcarbamoyl)-1-thio-\beta-D-glucopyranoside* (35). Compound **3** (0.010 g, 0.020 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous pyridine (0.4 mL) and cooled to 0 °C before the addition of phenyl isothiocyanate (13.3 µL, 0.120 mmol). The reaction mixture was then stirred for 48 h under an argon atmosphere and concentrated to dryness. The crude reaction mixture was dissolved in ethyl acetate and filtered through cotton before it was concentrated to dryness. The crude residue was purified over silica gel column chromatography, eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate (9:1 to 4:1), to afford the compound **35** (0.011 g, 87%) as a

white solid with m.p 119-121 0 °C.  $[\alpha]_{22}^{D} = +3.5 (c \ 0.2, \ CH_2Cl_2); {}^{1}H \ NMR (600 \ MHz, \ CDCl_3) \delta$ 7.39 - 7.25 (m, 19H), 7.09 - 7.06 (m, 1H), 6.57 (br s, 1H), 4.96 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.41 – 4.35 (m, 2H), 3.73 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.58 - 3.52 (m, 2H), 3.45 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.81 - 2.70 (m, 2H), 1.31 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 153.1, 138.5, 138.0, 137.8, 137.7, 129.2, 128.7, 128.63, 128.6, 128.5, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 123.7, 118.7, 86.8, 85.4, 81.9, 77.2, 75.9, 75.7, 75.2, 63.9, 29.9, 25.3, 15.2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.47 – 7.40 (m, 2H), 7.39 – 7.30 (m, 4H), 7.29 – 7.01 (m, 13H), 6.86 - 6.79 (m, 1H), 6.06 (br s, 1H), 5.01 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d, J = 11.0Hz, 1H), 4.46 (dd, J = 11.7, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (dd, J = 11.8, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (dd, J = 9.0, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.57 – 3.52 (m, 2H), 3.29 (ddd, J = 9.8, 4.6, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (dq, J = 12.6, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.52 (dq, J = 12.6, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.13 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). IR (neat) v 3329 cm<sup>-1</sup> (N-H), 1716 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>39</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 636.2396; found, 636.2374.

*Ethyl* 2,3,4-*tri-O-benzyl-(6S)-[6-<sup>2</sup>H<sub>1</sub>]-6-O-(N-phenylcarbamoyl)-1-thio-\beta-D-glucopyranoside* (6S-D-35). Compound 6S-D-35 (0.004 g, 100%) was synthesized from 6S-D-3 analogously to 35. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.31 (m, 19H), 7.10 – 7.05 (m, 1H), 6.57 (br s, 1H), 4.96 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (d, *J* = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (d, *J* = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 1H, H), 3.72 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.59 – 3.50 (m, 2H), 3.44 (dd, *J* = 9.8, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.87 – 2.66 (m, 2H), 1.31 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.45 – 7.41 (m, 2H), 7.37 – 7.32

(m, 4H), 7.30 - 7.02 (m, 13H), 6.84 - 6.79 (m, 1H), 6.04 (br s, 1H), 5.01 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (d, J = 10.5Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (t, J =8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.56 - 3.52 (m, 2H), 3.29 (dd, J = 9.8, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (dq, J = 12.6, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.52 (dd, J = 12.6, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.13 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for  $C_{36}H_{38}DNO_6SNa [M+Na]^+$ , 637.2459; found, 637.2466.

*Ethyl* 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-1-thio- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (**36**). Compound **36** was synthesized as described in the literature.<sup>87</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.40 – 7.26 (m, 18H), 7.19 – 7.16 (m, 2H), 4.93 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.82 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (dd, J = 10.8, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (dd, J = 9.0, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (dd, J = 10.8, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (dd, J = 9.8, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (ddd, J = 9.8, 5.0, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 3.45 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (dd, J = 12.7, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (dd, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (dd, J = 9.7, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.28 – 3.24 (m, 1H), 2.59 (dq, J = 12.6, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (dq, J = 12.6, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.11 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H).

*Ethyl* (6*S*)- $[6^{-2}H_1]$ -2,3,4,6-*tetra*-O-*benzyl*-1-*thio*- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (6**S**-D-36). Compound **6S**-D-36 was synthesized, by the same procedure as described for **6S**-D-27 from **6S**-D-3 (0.010)

g, 0.020 mmol), NaH (1.0 mg, 0.025 mmol) and benzyl bromide (2.8 µl, 0.024 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (0.1 ml). After purification by silica gel column chromatography, eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate (7:1 to 7:3), gave the title compound (0.011 g, 94%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  7.40 – 7.26 (m, 18H), 7.19 – 7.15 (m, 2H), 4.93 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (d, J = 10.2Hz, 1H), 4.85 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.82 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (dd, J = 9.0, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (dd, J = 9.8, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (dd, J = 9.8, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.= 9.8, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.45 (dd, J = 9.8, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (dd, J = 12.7, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (dd, J = 12.7, 7.5 (Hz, 1H), 2.75 (dd, J = 12.7, 7.512.7, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 1.34 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.39 – 7.00 (m, 20H), 4.94 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, J = 11.3Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (dd, J = 9.7, 9.1 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (dd, J = 9.1, 8.7Hz, 1H), 3.59 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (dd, J = 9.7, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.26 (dd, J = 9.7, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.59 (dg, J = 12.6, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (dg, J = 12.6, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.11 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for  $C_{36}H_{39}DO_5SNa [M+Na]^+$ , 608.2557; found, 608.2551.

**Supporting Information Available.** Copies of the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of all new compounds. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.\*\*\*\*\*

Acknowledgments. We thank the NIH (GM62160) for support of this work, and acknowledge support from the NSF (MRI-084043) for the purchase of the 600 MHz NMR spectrometer in the Lumigen Instrument Center at Wayne State University.

## References

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

 Adero, P. O.; Amarasekara, H.; Wen, P.; Bohé, L.; Crich, D. The Experimental Evidence in Support of Glycosylation Mechanisms at the S<sub>N</sub>1-S<sub>N</sub>2 Interface. *Chem. Rev.* 2018, *118*, DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00083.

(2) Edward, J. T. Stability of Glycosides toward Acid Hydrolysis: A Conformational Analysis. *Chem. Ind.* **1955**, 1102-1104.

(3) Foster, A. B.; Overend, W. G. The Acidic Hydrolysis of *O*-Glycosides. *Chem. Ind.* **1955**, 566-567.

(4) Overend, W. G.; Rees, C. W.; Sequeira, J. S. Reactions at Position 1 ofCarbohydrates. The Acid-catalyzed Hydrolysis of Glycosides. *J. Chem. Soc.* 1962, 3429-3440.

(5) Namchuk, M. N.; McCarter, J. D.; Becalski, A.; Andrews, T.; Withers, S. G. The Role of Sugar Substituents in Glycoside Hydrolysis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 1270-1277.

(6) Zhang, Z.; Ollmann, I. R.; Ye, X.-S.; Wischnat, R.; Baasov, T.; Wong, C.-H.

Programmable One-Pot Oligosaccharide Synthesis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 734-753.

Glaudemans, C. P. J.; Fletcher, H. G. Long Range Effects of Acyl Groups in the Solvolysis of Glycofuranosyl Halides. The Synthesis of 2,3-Di-*O*-benzyl-5-*O*-*p*-nitrobenzoyl-α-D-arabinofuranosyl Chloride and of 2-*O*-Benzyl-3,5-di-*O*-*p*-nitrobenzoyl-α-D-arabinofuranosyl Chloride. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, *87*, 4636-4641.

(8) Mootoo, D. R.; Konradsson, P.; Udodong, U.; Fraser-Reid, B. "Armed" and
"Disarmed" *n*-Pentenyl Glycosides in Saccharide Couplings Leading to Oligosaccharides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1988, *110*, 5583-5584.

(9) Paulsen, H.; Richter, A.; Sinnwell, V.; Stenzel, W. Darstellung Selektiv Blockierter 2-Azido-2-desoxy-D-gluco und Galactopyranosylhalogenide: Reaktivitat und <sup>13</sup>C-NMR-Spektren. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1978**, *64*, 339-362.

(10) Douglas, N. L.; Ley, S. V.; Lucking, U.; Warriner, S. L. Tuning Glycoside
Reactivity: New Tool for Efficient Oligosaccharide Synthesis. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 1*1998, 51-65.

(11) Sears, P.; Wong, C.-H. Carbohydrate Mimetics; A New Strategy for Tackling the
Problem of Carbohydrate-Mediated Biological Recognition. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1999, *38*,
2301-2324.

(12) Pedersen, C. M.; Nordstrom, L. U.; Bols, M. "Super Armed" Glycosyl Donors:
Conformational Arming of Thioglycosides by Silylation *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, *129*, 9222-9235.

(13) Pedersen, C. M.; Bols, M. On the Nature of the Electronic Effect of Multiple
Hydroxyl Groups in the 6-Membered Ring – The Effects Are Additive But Steric Hindrance
Plays A Role Too. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2017, *15*, 1164-1173.

(14) Bols, M.; Pedersen, C. M. Silyl-Protective Groups Influencing the Reactivity and Selectivity in Glycosylations. *Beil. J. Org. Chem.* 2017, *13*, 93-105.

(15) McDonnell, C.; López, O.; Murphy, P. V.; Fernández Bolaños, J. G.; Hazell, R.
G.; Bols, M. Conformational Effects on Glycoside Reactivity: Study of the High Reactive
Conformer of Glucose. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 12374-12385.

(16) Miljkovic, M.; Yeagley, D.; Deslongchamps, P.; Dory, Y. L. Experimental and Theoretical Evidence of Through-Space Electrostatic Stabilization of the Incipient
Oxocarbenium Ion by an Axially Oriented Electronegative Substituent During Glycopyranoside
Acetolysis. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 7597-7604.

(17) Jensen, H. H.; Bols, M. Stereoelectronic Substituent Effects. Acc. Chem. Res.2006, 39, 259-265.

(18) Walvoort, M. T. C.; Dinkelar, J.; van den Bos, L. J.; Lodder, G.; Overkleeft, H.
S.; Codée, J. D. C.; van der Marel, G. A. The Impact of Oxacarbenium Ion Conformers on the Stereochemical Outcome of Glycosylations. *Carbohydr. Res.* 2010, *345*, 1252-1263.

(19) Heuckendorff, M.; Pedersen, C. M.; Bols, M. Quantifying Electronic Effects of
 Common Carbohydrate Protecting Groups in a Piperidine Model System. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2010,
 16, 13982-13994.

(20) Bock, K.; Duus, J. O. A Conformational Study of Hydroxymethyl Groups in Carbohydrates Investigated by <sup>1</sup>H NMR Spectroscopy. *J. Carbohydr. Chem.* **1994**, *13*, 513-543.

(21) Grindley, T. B. In *Glycoscience: Chemistry and Chemical Biology*; Fraser-Reid,B., Tatsuta, K., Thiem, J., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, 2001; Vol. 1, p 3-51.

(22) Rao, V. S. R.; Qasba, P. K.; Balaji, P. V.; Chandrasekaran, R. *Conformation of Carbohydrates*; Harwood Academic Publishers: Amsterdam, 1998.

(23) Jensen, H. H.; Nordstrøm, L. U.; Bols, M. The Disarming Effect of the 4,6-Acetal
Group on Glycoside Reactivity: Torsional or Electronic. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004, *126*, 92059213.

(24) Moumé-Pymbock, M.; Furukawa, T.; Mondal, S.; Crich, D. Probing the Influence of a 4,6-*O*-Acetal on the Reactivity of Galactopyranosyl Donors: Verification of the Disarming Influence of the trans-gauche Conformation of C5-C6 Bonds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 14249-14255.

(25) Kancharla, P. K.; Crich, D. Influence of Side Chain Conformation and
 Configuration on Glycosyl Donor Reactivity and Selectivity as Illustrated by Sialic Acid Donors
 Epimeric at the 7-Position. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 18999-19007.

(26) Morales, E. Q.; Padron, J. I.; Trujillo, M.; Vázquez, J. T. CD and <sup>1</sup>H NMR Study of the Rotational Population Dependence of the Hydroxymethyl Group in  $\beta$ -Glucopyranosides on the Aglycon and Its Absolute Configuration. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 2537-2548.

(27) Padrón, J. I.; Morales, E. Q.; Vázquez, J. T. Alkyl Galactopyranosides: Rotational
Population Dependence of the Hydroxymethyl Group on the Aglycon and Its Absolute
Configuration and on the Anomeric Configuration. *J. Org. Chem.* 1998, *63*, 8247-8258.

(28) Nobrega, C.; Vázquez, J. T. Conformational Study of the Hydroxymethyl Group in D-Mannose Derivatives. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2003**, *14*, 2793-2801.

(29) Roën, A.; Padron, J. I.; Vázquez, J. T. Hydroxymethyl Rotamer Populations in Disaccharides. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 4615-4630.

(30) Dey, S.; Jayaraman, N. Glycosidic Bond Hydrolysis in Septanosides: A
 Comparison of Mono-, Di-, and 2-Chloro-2-deoxy-septanosides. *Carbohydr Res* 2014, *399*, 49 56.

(31) Faltin, F.; Fehring, V.; Miethchen, R. Chiral Crown Ethers Based on Galactopyranosides. *Synthesis* **2002**, 1851-1856.

(32) Motawia, M. S.; Olsen, C. E.; Enevoldsen, K.; Marcussen, J.; Moeller, B. L. Chemical Synthesis of 6'-α-Maltosyl-maltotriose, A Branched Oligosaccharide Representing the Branch Point of Starch. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1995**, *277*, 109-123.

(33) Ray, A. K.; Roy, N. Synthesis of the Tetrasaccharide Repeating Unit of the Polysaccharide from *Klebsiella* Type 23. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1990**, *196*, 95-100.

(34) Ohrui, H.; Horiki, H.; Kishi, H.; Meguro, H. The Synthesis of D-(6*R*)- and (6*S*)-D-Glucose-6-<sup>2</sup>H through Stereospecific Photobromination of 1,6-Anhydro-b-D-glucopyranose Derivative. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **1983**, *47*, 1101-1106. The Journal of Organic Chemistry

\*\*68

(35) Ichikawa, Y.; Kuzuhara, H. Synthesis of 1,6-Anhydro-2,3-di-O-benzoyl-4-O (Methyl 2,3,4-tri-O-benzoyl-α-L-iodpyranosyluronate)-β-D-glucopyranose from Cellobiose.
 *Carbohydr. Res.* 1983, *115*, 117-129.

(36) Ohrui, H.; Nishida, Y.; Meguro, H. The Synthesis of D-(6*R*)- and (6*S*)-(6-<sup>2</sup>H<sub>1</sub>)Galactose. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **1984**, *48*, 1049-1053.

(37) Nishida, Y.; Ohrui, H.; Meguro, H. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR Studies of 6*R*- and 6*S*-Deuterated D-Hexoses: Assignment of the Preferred Rotamers about C5-C6 Bond of D-Glucose and D-Galactose Derivatives in Solutions. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, *25*, 1575-1578.

(38) Ohrui, H.; Nishida, Y.; Watanabe, M.; Hori, H.; Meguro, H. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR Studies of
6R- and 6S-Deuterated 1,6-Linked Disaccharides: Assignment of the Preferred Rotamers about
C5-C6 Bond of 1,6-Disaccharides in Solutions. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1985, *26*, 3251-3254.

(39) Midland, M. M.; Asirwatham, G.; Cheng, J. C.; Miller, J. A.; Morel, L. A.
Synthesis and Conformational Analysis of (6*R*)-[6-<sup>2</sup>H<sub>1</sub>]-1,2:3,4-Di-*O*-isopropylidene-a-D-galactopyranose. NMR and Molecular Modeling Studies. *J. Org. Chem.* 1994, *59*, 4438-4442.

(40) Falcone-Hindley, M. L.; Davis, J. T. Stereoselective Preparation of Deuterium-Labeled Sugars: (6*R*)-(6-<sup>2</sup>H<sub>1</sub>)-n-Acetylglucosamine Derivatives. *J. Org. Chem.* 1998, *63*, 5555-5561.

(41) Xu, L.; Price, N. P. J. Stereoselective Synthesis of Chirally Deuterated (S)-D-(6 <sup>2</sup>H<sub>1</sub>)-Glucose. *Carbohydr. Res.* 2004, *339*, 1173-1178.

(42) Ogawa, A.; Curran, D. P. Benzotrifluoride: A Useful Alternative Solvent for Organic Reactions Currently Conducted in Dichloromethane and Related Solvents. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 450-451.

(43) Kato, T.; Vasella, A.; Crich, D. Stereospecific Synthesis of Methyl 2-Amino-2-deoxy-(6S)-deuterio-α,β-D-glucopyranoside and Methyl 2,6-Diamino-2,6-dideoxy-(6R)-deuterio-α,β-D-glucopyranoside: Side Chain Conformations of the 2-Amino-2-deoxy and 2,6-Diamino-2,6-Dideoxyglucopyranosides. *Carbohydr. Res.* 2017, 448, 10-17.

(44) Albert, H. J.; Neumann, W. P. A Convenient Preparation of Tributyltin Deuteride and Some Other Triorganotin Deuterides. *Synthesis* **1980**, 942-943.

(45) Wang, L.-X.; Sakairi, N.; Kuzuhara, H. 1,6-Anhydro-β-D-glucopyranose
Derivatives as Glycosyl Donors for Thioglycosidation Reactions. *J. Chem. Soc, Perkin Trans. 1*1990, 1677-1682.

(46) Amarasekara, H.; Dharuman, S.; Kato, T.; Crich, D. Synthesis of
Conformationally-Locked cis- and trans-Bicyclo[4.4.0] Mono-, Di- and Trioxadecane
Modifications of Galacto- and Glucopyranose. Experimental Limiting <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H,H</sub> Coupling Constants
for the Estimation of Carbohydrate Side Chain Populations and Beyond. *J. Org. Chem.* 2018, *83*, 881-897.

(47) Gaudemer, A. In *Stereochemistry: Fundamentals and Methods*; Kagan, H. B.,Ed.; Georg Thieme: Stuttgart, 1977; Vol. 1, p 44-136.

(48) Eliel, E. L.; Wilen, S. H. *Stereochemistry of Organic Compounds*; Wiley: New York, 1994.

(49) Tvaroška, I.; Gajdoš, J. Angular Dependence of Vicinal Carbon-Proton Coupling
 Constants for Conformational Studies of the Hydroxymethyl Group in Carbohydrates.
 *Carbohydr. Res* 1995, *271*, 151-162.

(50) Haasnoot, C. A. G.; De Leeuw, F. A. A. M.; Altona, C. The Relationship Between
Proton-Proton NMR Coupling Constants and Substituent Electronegativities-I. An empirical
Generalization of the Karplus Equation. *Tetrahedron* 1980, *36*, 2783-2792.

(51) Altona, C. In *Encyclopedia of NMR*; Harris, R. K., Wasylishen, R. E., Eds.;Wiley: Chichester, 2012; Vol. 9, p 5364-5378.

(52) Coxon, B. Developments in the Karplus Equation as They Relate to the NMR Coupling Constants of Carbohydrates. *Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem.* **2009**, *62*, 17-82.

(53) Duus, J. O.; Gotfredsen, C. H.; Bock, K. Carbohydrate Structural Determination by NMR Spectroscopy: Modern Methods and Limitations. *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 4589-4614.

(54) Altona, C.; Ippel, J. H.; H., W.; A., A. J.; Erkelens, C.; Groesbeek, M.; Donders,
L. A. Relationship Between Proton-Proton NMR Coupling Constants and Substituent
Electronegativities. V. Empirical Substituent Constants Deduced from Ethanes and Propanes. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* 1989, *27*, 564-576.

(55) Altona, C.; Francke, R.; de Haan, R.; Ippel, J. H.; Daalmans, G. J.; Hoekzema, A.
J. A. W.; van Wijk, J. Empirical Group Electronegativities for Vicinal NMR Proton-Proton
Couplings Along a C-C bond: Solvent Effects and Reparameterization of the Haasnoot Equation. *Magn. Res. Chem.* 1994, *32*, 670-678.

(56) Altona, C.; Haasnoot, C. A. G. Prediction of anti and gauche Vicinal Proton-Proton Coupling Constants in Carbohydrates: A Simple Additivity Rule for Pyranose Rings. *Org. Magn. Res.* **1980**, *13*, 417-429.

(57) Steinmetz, M.; Hansen, A.; Ehrlich, S.; Risthaus, T.; Grimme, S. Accurate
Thermochemistry for Large Molecules with Modern Density Functionals. *Top. Curr. Chem.*2015, *365*, 1-23.

(58) Schwabe, T.; Grimme, S. Theoretical Thermodynamics for Large Molecules:Walking the Thin Line between Accuracy and Computational Cost. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2008, *41*, 569-579.

(59) Řezáč, J.; Hobza, P. Benchmark Calculations of Interaction Energies in Noncovalent Complexes and Their Applications. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 5038-5071.

(60) Brauer, B.; Kesharwani, M. K.; Kozuch, S.; Martin, J. M. L. The S66x8
Benchmark for Noncovalent Interactions Revisited: Explicitly Correlated ab initio Methods and
Density Functional Theory. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2016, *18*, 20905-20925.

(61) Crich, D.; Hu, T.; Cai, F. Does Neighboring Group Participation by Non-Vicinal Esters Play a Role in Glycosylation Reactions? Effective Probes for the Detection of Bridging Intermediates. *J. Org. Chem.* 2008, *73*, 8942-8953.

(62) Ma, Y.; Lian, G.; Li, Y.; Yu, B. Identification of 3,6-Di-*O*-acetyl-1,2,4-*O*-orthoacetyl-α-D-glucopyranose as a Direct Evidence for the 4-*O*-Acyl Group Participation in Glycosylation. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 7515-7517.

(63) Komarova, B. S.; Ustyuzhanina, N. E.; Tsvetkov, Y. E.; Nifantiev, N. E. In Modern Synthetic Methods in Carbohydrate Chemistry; From Monosaccharides to Complex Glycoconjugates; Werz, D. B., Vidal, S., Eds.; Wiley: Weinheim, 2014, p 125-160.

(64) Wen, P.; Crich, D. Absence of Stereodirecting Participation by 2-OAlkoxycarbonylmethyl Ethers in 4,6-O-Benzylidene-Directed Mannosylation. J. Org. Chem.
2015, 80, 12300-12310.

(65) Komarova, B. S.; Tsvetkov, Y. E.; Nifantiev, N. E. Design of α-Selective
Glycopyranosyl Donors Relying on Remote Anchimeric Assistance. *Chemical Record* 2016, *16*, 488-506.
(66) Yao, D.; Liu, Y.; Yan, S.; Li, Y.; Hu, C.; Ding, N. Evidence of Robust
Participation by an Equatorial 4-*O* Group in Glycosylation on a 2-Azido-2-Deoxyglucopyranosyl
Donor. *Chem. Commun.* 2017, *53*, 2986-2989.

(67) Schweitzer, W. B.; Dunitz, J. D. Structural Characteristics of the Carboxylic Ester Group. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1982**, *65*, 1547-1554.

(68) González-Outeiriño, J.; Nasser, R.; Anderson, J. E. Conformation of Acetate
Derivatives of Sugars and Other Cyclic Alcohols. Crystal Structures, NMR Studies, and
Molecular Mechanics Calculations of Acetates. When is the Exocyclic C-O Bond Eclipsed? J.
Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 2486-2493.

(69) Dale, J. The Conformational Consequences of Replacing Methylene Groups by Ether Oxygen. *Tetrahedron* **1974**, *30*, 1683-1694.

(70) van Boeckel, C. A. A.; Beetz, T.; van Aelst, S. F. Substituent Effects on
Carbohydrate Coupling Reactions Promoted by Insoluble Silver Salts. *Tetrahedron* 1984, *40*, 4097-4107.

(71) Srivastava, V. K.; Schuerch, C. Synthesis of β-D-Mannopyranosides and β-L Rhamnopyranosides by Glycosidation at C-1. *J. Org. Chem.* 1981, *46*, 1121-1126.

(72) Crich, D.; Picione, J. Direct Synthesis of the β-L-Rhamnopyranosides. *Org. Lett.*2003, *5*, 781-784.

(73) Crich, D.; Hutton, T. K.; Banerjee, A.; Jayalath, P.; Picione, J. Disarming, Nonparticipating 2-*O*-Protecting Groups in Manno- and Rhamnopyranosylation: Scope and Limitations of Sulfonates, Vinylogous Esters, Phosphates, Cyanates, and Nitrates. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2005**, *16*, 105-119. (74) Tanaka, H.; Yoshizawa, A.; Takahashi, T. Direct and Stereoselective Synthesis of
b-Linked 2,6-Deoxyoligosaccharides. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, *46*, 2505-2507.
(75) Baek, J. Y.; Lee, B.-Y.; Jo, M. G.; Kim, K. S. β-Directing Effect of ElectronWithdrawing Groups at O-3, O-4, and O-6 Positions and α-Directing Effect by Remote
Participation of 3-*O*-Acyl and 6-*O*-Acetyl Groups of Donors in Mannopyranosylations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, *131*, 17705-17713.

Baek, J. Y.; Kwon, H.-W.; Myung, S. J.; Park, J. J.; Kim, M. Y.; Rathwell, D. C.
K.; Jeon, H. B.; Seeberger, P. H.; Kim, K. S. Directing Effect by Remote Electron-Withdrawing
Protecting Groups at O-3 or O-4 Position of Donors in Glucosylations and Galactosylations. *Tetrahedron* 2015, *71*, 5315-5320.

(77) Demchenko, A. V.; Rousson, E.; Boons, G.-J. Stereoselective 1,2-cisGalactosylation Assisted by Remote Neighboring Group Participation and Solvent Effects. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1999, 40, 6523-6536.

(78) Huang, W.; Zhou, Y.-Y.; Pan, X.-L.; Zhou, X.-Y.; Lei, J.-C.; Liu, D.-M.; Chu, Y.;
Yang, J. S. Stereodirecting Effect of C5-Carboxylate Substituents on the Glycosylation
Stereochemistry of 3-Deoxy-D-manno-oct-2-ulosonic Acid (Kdo) Thioglycoside Donors:
Stereoselective Synthesis of α- and β-Kdo Glycosides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, *140*, 3574-3582.

(79) Fréchet, J. M.; Schuerch, C. Solid-Phase Synthesis of Oligosaccharides. 11. StericControl by C-6 Substituents in Glucoside Syntheses. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 604-609.

(80) Kronzer, F. J.; Schuerch, C. The Methanolysis of Some Derivatives of 2,3,4-Tri *O*-Benzyl-α-D-Glucopyranosyl Bromide in the Presence and Absence of Silver Salts.
 *Carbohydr. Res.* 1973, *27*, 379-390.

(81) Lourenço, E. C.; Ventura, M. R. Improvement of the Stereoselectivity of the
Glycosylation Reaction with 2-Azido-2-deoxy-1-thioglucoside Donors. *Carbohydr. Res.* 2016, 426, 33-39.

(82) Fujita, S.; Oka, N.; Matsumura, F.; Wada, T. Synthesis of Oligo(α-D-glycosyl phosphate) Derivatives by a Phosphoramidite Method via Boranophosphate Intermediates. *J. Org. Chem.* 2011, *76*, 2648-2659.

(83) Goodwin, J. C. Amine-Catalyzed Transformation of Enolic Nonenzymatic
 Browning Products, Isomaltol Glycopyranosides into 1,6-Anhydro-β-D-hexopyranoses.
 *Carbohydr. Res.* 1985, *143*, 61-68.

(84) Xu, C.; Liu, H.; Li, X. Thioglycosylation of 1,2-*cis*-Glycosyl Acetates: A Long
Standing Overlooked Issue in Preparative Carbohydrate Chemistry. *Carbohydr. Res.* 2011, *346*, 1149-1153.

(85) Ohlsson, J.; Magnusson, G. Galabiosyl Donors: Efficient Synthesis from

1,2,3,4,6-Penta-O-acetyl-β-D-galactopyranose. Carbohydr. Res. 2000, 329, 49-55.

(86) Damager, I.; Olsen, C. E.; Møller, B. L.; Motawia, M. S. Chemical Synthesis of
6'''-a-Maltotriosyl-maltohexaose as Substrate for Enzymes in Starch Biosynthesis and
Degradation. *Carbohydr. Res.* 1999, *320*, 19-30.

(87) Kitowski, A.; Jiménez-Moreno, E.; Salvadó, M.; Mestre, J.; Castillón, S.; Jiménez-Osés, G.; Boutureira, O.; Bernardes, G. J. L. Oxidative Activation of C–S Bonds with an Electropositive Nitrogen Promoter Enables Orthogonal Glycosylation of Alkyl over Phenyl Thioglycosides. *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 5490-5493.

(88) van Straten, N. C. R.; Kriek, N. M. A. J.; Timmers, C. M.; Wigchert, S. C. M.; van der Marel, G. A.; van Boom, J. H. Synthesis of a Trisaccharide Fragment Corresponding to

1

60

2	
3	the Lipopolysaccharide Region of Vibrio parabaemolyticus <i>J. Carbohydr. Chem</i> <b>1997</b> <i>16</i> 947-
4	
5	
6	966.
7	
8	
9	
10	
10	
17	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
<u>4</u> 7	
42	
45 11	
44 45	
45 46	
40	
47	
40	
49	
50	
51 52	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	ACS Paragon Plus Environment

## Table of Contents Graphic

