

Communication

Isolation, Characterization and Reactivity of FeMe: Kochi's S = 1/2 Species in Iron-Catalyzed Cross-Couplings with MeMgBr and Ferric Salts

Salvador B Munoz, Stephanie L. Daifuku, William W. Brennessel, and Michael L. Neidig J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b03760 • Publication Date (Web): 26 May 2016

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on June 4, 2016

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036 Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Isolation, Characterization and Reactivity of Fe₈Me₁₂⁻: Kochi's S = 1/2 Species in Iron-Catalyzed Cross-Couplings with MeMgBr and Ferric Salts

Salvador B. Muñoz III, Stephanie L. Daifuku, William W. Brennessel and Michael L. Neidig*

Department of Chemistry, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627 USA

Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: Iron-catalyzed cross-couplings with simple ferric salts have been known since the 1970s, pioneered by Kochi for cross-coupling using simple ferric salts and alkylmagnesium nucleophiles including MeMgBr. While Kochi observed the formation of a S = 1/2 iron species in reactions of simple ferric salts with MeMgBr proposed to be an iron(I) species, the identity of this species has remained undefined for nearly 40 years. Herein, we report the isolation and characterization of [MgCl(THF)₅][Fe₈Me₁₂], which combined with EPR and MCD studies is shown to be consistent with Kochi's S = 1/2 species. Reaction studies with β -bromostyrene demonstrate that this species alone displays minimal reactivity but, when combined with additional MeMgBr, leads to rapid and selective formation of cross-coupled product.

In the 1970s, Kochi demonstrated that simple ferric salts are effective in the cross-coupling of alkymagnesium nucleophiles and alkenyl halides.¹⁻⁶ This work has inspired significant research in the intervening decades on the development of iron-catalyed cross-coupling methods, demonstrating the ability of iron to effectively promote a variety of cross-couplings including Kumada, Negishi and Suzuki-Miyaura reactions.⁷⁻¹² Despite the advances in iron-based cross-coupling methodologies, mechanistic insight into these reactions, including the identification of the active iron species responsible for catalysis, has largely remained undefined.

While recent studies have begun to define the iron active species and mechanisms in iron-bisphosphine catalyzed cross-couplings,^{9, 13-14} the nature of the iron active species and mechanisms of catalysis in cross-couplings with simple iron salts have remained poorly understood. Early mechanistic studies by Kochi and co-workers identified the formation of a S = 1/2 iron species in reaction of simple ferric salts and MeMgBr⁵⁻⁶ which led to a proposed reaction mechanism involving an iron(I) active species and an Fe^I/Fe^{III} mechanistic cycle (Scheme 1).⁶ However, the identity of this S = 1/2 species has never been determined and

remains one of the longest standing mysteries in transition metal catalyzed cross-coupling.

Towards the goal of identifying the iron species formed in-situ in this chemistry. Fürstner and co-workers reported homoleptic tetramethyliron(II) ferrate complex а [(Me₄Fe)(MeLi)][Li(OEt₂)]₂ synthesized from reaction of MeLi with FeCl₃ or FeCl₂ in Et_2O .¹⁵⁻¹⁶ This complex exhibits a color change from red to yellow as well as reactivity towards activated electrophiles when dissolved in THF¹⁶ and was also found to be active in both stoichiometric and catalytic ring-opening/cross-coupling reactions with MeMgBr.¹⁷ However, an iron(II) center is not capable of generating the S = 1/2 EPR signal observed by Kochi except in cases where the iron(II) center is part of a mixed valence multinuclear system. More recent studies from our group identified the formation of the homoleptic tetralkyliron(III) ferrate complex [MgCl(THF)₅][FeMe₄] from the reaction of FeCl₃ with MeMgBr in THF at low temperature

Scheme 1. Spectroscopic Studies and Kochi's Mechanistic Proposal for Iron-Catalyzed Cross-Couplings with MeMgBr and Simple Ferric Salts





Figure 1. X-ray crystal structure of $[MgCl(THF)_5][Fe_8Me_{12}]$ (1) with selected bond lengths and angles. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability. The methyl hydrogens are excluded as their positions could not be unambiguously defined in the difference Fourier map and the hydrogens on the cation are omitted for clarity. The halide on the Mg cation species is disordered with both Cl and Br coordination (Cl is the major species).

(Scheme 1).¹⁸ Upon warming, this distorted square-planar S = 3/2 species converts to the S = 1/2 species originally observed by Kochi and co-workers with concomitant formation of ethane, consistent with its intermediacy in the reduction pathway of FeCl₃ to generate the reduced S = 1/2 species.

Herein we report the isolation and characterization of $[MgCl(THF)_5][Fe_8Me_{12}]$ (1) from reaction of FeCl₃ with MeMgBr in THF, identified via EPR and near-infrared (NIR) magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) as Kochi's previously observed S = 1/2 species. This novel iron cluster is demonstrated to be reactive with electrophile and effective for the generation of cross-coupled product in the presence of additional MeMgBr. These results demonstrate the importance of small iron clusters in cross-coupling catalysis, which represents a new paradigm with regards to the types of iron species operative in this chemistry.

Our previous studies of the thermal decomposition of [MgCl(THF)₅][FeMe₄] indicated that warming towards room temperature was required in order for reductive elimination of ethane to occur with concomitant formation of Kochi's S = 1/2 species. Combined with Kochi's previous observation that this S = 1/2 species is ultimately unstable in solution at room temperature and decays within 15 min,⁴ we envisioned that initial reaction of FeCl₃ with MeMgBr at low temperature followed by warming to promote the formation of the S = 1/2 species and then rapid cooling to disfavor its decomposition would provide a pathway for the isolation of this species. The reaction of FeCl₃ with 5 equiv of MeMgBr at - 80 °C followed by warming to 0 °C for 5 min and then immediate cooling to -80 °C yielded a yellow brown solution from which dark brown single crystals of 1 suitable for X-ray diffraction could be obtained upon layering of the THF solution with pentane at -80 °C.

The single crystal X-ray diffraction structure of this highly air and temperature sensitive complex contains a MgX(THF)₅ cation, X = 0.92 Cl and 0.08 Br, and a distorted heterocubane Fe₈Me₁₂⁻ anion with faces that are diamond-shaped (Figure 1). To the best of our knowledge, only five other Fe₈ cubic structures are reported in the CSD¹⁹⁻²³ and all are near-perfect cubes with square faces and μ_4 -bridging sulfido ligands capping the faces. Their

Fe-Fe distances range from 2.64 to 2.81 Å, which differ considerably from those in 1, which range from 2.4188(15)to 2.4514(15) Å. Due to the diamond-shaped faces, the Fe-Fe distances in 1 across the short face diagonals range from 2.7988(14) to 2.8295(14) Å. Atoms Fe1, Fe2, Fe3, and Fe4, which sit at the vertices of an approximate tetrahedron, are each ligated facially by three iron atoms and three methyl groups, with Fe-C bond lengths ranging from 2.135(9) to 2.175(8) Å. Atoms Fe5, Fe6, Fe7, and Fe8, which also sit at the vertices of a distorted tetrahedron that interleaves the other, are each ligated facially by three iron atoms and also have close contact to methyl groups at Fe-C distances ranging from 2.311(8) to 2.366(9) Å. Unfortunately, the methyl group hydrogen atoms could not be located unambiguously in the difference Fourier map and, hence, they ultimately were not modeled. However, it is worth noting that models that either minimze H...H close contacts or that orient the methyl groups to favor agostic interactions with the more distant of the two iron centers might be possible (see SI). There are reported structural precedents in which an asymmetric bridging methyl group participates in one Fe-C σ bond and one C-H...Fe η^2 agostic interaction.²⁴ Unfortunately, other experiments that usually offer insight into the presence of such agostic interactions, including vibrational analysis, have not been viable to date due to the highly thermally-sensitive and paramagnetic nature of this material. Lastly, the electronic structure of 1 is likely complex due to the direct Fe-Fe bonding and formally mixed valence nature of the cluster. While calculations of this complex are beyond traditional DFT methods, detailed studies of the electronic structure of 1 are anticipated to be of significant interest within the theoretical community.

While 1 represents a novel iron-methyl cluster complex formed upon reaction of FeCl₃ with MeMgBr in THF, it was important to spectroscopically characterize 1 in solution for comparison to the S = 1/2 species formed in-situ as previously observed by Kochi.⁵ The 5 K EPR spectrum of crystals of 1 in THF (Figure 2, top), prepared from dissolution of crystals of 1 in THF at -80 °C to prevent thermal decomposition, contains a broad S = 1/2 signal, analogous to that previously observed by Kochi⁵⁻⁶ and confirmed by our group to form in-situ upon reaction of FeCl₃ with 5

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45 46

47 48

49

50

51 52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60 equiv or 20 equiv of MeMgBr (Figure 2, bottom). A similar broad S = 1/2 EPR signal was also reported by Kochi for the analogous reaction with Fe(acac)₃.⁵⁻⁶ EPR spin quantitation indicates that the S = 1/2 species represents (within error) effectively all of the iron formed in solution. While the EPR data is consistent with 1 being the previously unidentified S = 1/2 species, such broad EPR signals alone are insufficient to definitively prove that these species are identical. NIR MCD is a much higher resolution probe of geometric and electronic structure and the NIR MCD spectrum of 1 in THF/2-Me-THF (Figure 3, top), prepared from dissolution of crystals of 1 in 1:1 THF:2-MeTHF at -80 °C to prevent thermal decomposition, is consistent with the analogous spectrum for the in-situ generated S = 1/2 species from FeCl₃ (Figure 3, middle) or Fe(acac)₃ (Figure 3, bottom) in 1:1 THF:2-MeTHF, demonstrating that 1 is Kochi's



Figure 2. 5 K EPR Spectra of 1 (top) and Kochi's in-situ formed S = 1/2 species (bottom).



Figure 3. 5 K, 7 T Near-Infrared MCD Spectra of **1** (top) and Kochi's in-situ Formed S = 1/2 Iron Species from Reaction of 20 equiv MeMgBr with FeCl₃ (middle) or Fe(acac)₃ (bottom). All spectra were collected in 1:1 THF:2-MeTHF.

previously identified S = 1/2 species. The solid state EPR and NIR MCD spectra of **1** (see SI) are consistent with the solution data, displaying only differences in signal broadness (EPR) or individual transition intensities (MCD) indicative of slight differences in the distributions of bond lengths, angles and/or close contact interactions in solution versus the solid state. Lastly, while the Mossbauer spectrum of **1** could not be obtained due to low crystal yields, the 80 K Mössbauer spectrum of the in-situ formed S = 1/2species using Fe(acac)₃ is described by a broad doublet signal (see SI).

Beyond the important demonstration that the in-situ formed S = 1/2 species is Fe₈Me₁₂, the most critical question is whether this species can serve as an effective and reactive species for forming cross-coupled product. Hence, studies of the reaction of 1 with electrophile were performed in order to evaluate the ability of this cluster to form cross-coupled product. β-bromostyrene was selected as the electrophile for this study due to the formation of non-gaseous cross-coupled product for robust GC analysis and its ability to serve as an effective electrophile in crosscouplings with ferric salts (see SI for catalytic data). Prior to performing the reaction studies, it was first necessary to evaluate the lifetime of 1 in THF at room temperature. Crystals of 1 previously held at -80 °C were added to a known volume of room temperature THF and, as a function of time, aliquots were freeze-trapped for EPR analysis. As shown in Figure 4A, 1 slowly decomposes in room temperature THF with a decay of \sim 5 % per minute over the course of 5 minutes (Figure 4A inset). Thus, reactions with β -bromostyrene performed within 2-3 minutes would have limited decomposition of **1**. For electrophile reactions, crystals of 1 were dissolved in a known volume of RT THF. an EPR sample was freeze-trapped 40 s after dissolution to determine the amount of 1 present by spin quantitated EPR, and then a known amount of β -bromostyrene was added. After addition of β -bromostyrene, an aliquot of the reaction was chemically quenched after 40 s for analysis by GC. Using the spin-quantitated EPR of 1 prior to electrophile addition, it was determined that these reactions



Figure 4. EPR studies of (A) the thermal stability in THF at RT and (B) the changes in the amount of **1** in solution in reaction studies with electrophile. The inset in (A) shows a linear fit of the decay of **1** in RT THF over the course of 5 min.

Scheme 2. Reactions of **1** with β -bromostyrene



involved ~ 15 mM of 1 and ~ 0.5 equiv of β -bromostyrene for each run. While cross-coupled product does form in this reaction, only ~ 5% of β -methylstyrene (with respect to β -bromostyrene) was found to form after 40 s of reaction (Scheme 2). No significant increase in product was observed at extended reaction time (~ 6 % after 120 s) and no additional side products were observed.

Despite the minimal formation of cross-coupled product following 40 s of direct reaction of 1 with β bromostyrene, EPR analysis of the reaction freezetrapped 40 s after electrophile addition indicated significant consumption of 1 upon addition of electrophile (Figure 4B) though no new EPR active species are observed to be generated. Interestingly, in Kochi's original studies it was suggested that reaction of the iron active species with electrophile might first form an initial intermediate species which then required reaction with additional MeMgBr to form cross-coupled product.⁶ To test this hypothesis for 1, an experiment was also performed where 40 s after the addition of β -bromostyrene additional MeMgBr was added (1.1 equiv with respect to β -bromostyrene) and the reaction guenched following an additional 50 s of reaction time. With the addition of MeMgBr, essentially complete conversion of the electrophile to selectively form cross-coupled product was observed (99% yield with respect to electrophile) (Scheme 2). Furthermore, EPR of the reaction solution freeze-trapped 50 s after MeMgBr addition demonstrates the near-quantitative re-formation of 1 in-situ (see SI). Overall, these results are consistent with 1 being an effective reactive species for the formation of crosscoupled product in the presence of additional MeMgBr. While challenging, future studies will be directed towards the identification of the initial intermediate species formed upon reaction of 1 with electrophile in order to further probe the underlying mechanism of this reaction.

In summary, the S = 1/2 species formed in-situ in reactions of simple ferric salts and MeMgBr originally observed by EPR in the 1970s by Kochi has been isolated and identified as [MgCl(THF)₅][Fe₈Me₁₂]. While the direct reaction of this species with electrophile generates minimal cross-coupled product, reaction with electrophile followed by addition of MeMgBr leads to rapid reaction to selectively form cross-coupled product. Importantly, the identification of an iron cluster as a reactive species in iron-catalyzed cross-coupling represents a new paradigm in catalyst structure for such reactions, contrasting previous proposals centered on mononuclear iron species. Future studies to further elucidate the underlying mechanism on the reactions of **1** with electrophile will further develop our understating of ironcatalyzed cross-coupling reactions using ferric salts.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Experimental methods and supplementary data including EPR, MCD, Mössbauer and X-ray crystallographic data. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

neidig@chem.rochester.edu

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was support by a grant from the National Insitutes of Health (R01GM111480 to M.L.N.) and by an Alfred P. Sloan Fellowship to M.L.N.

REFERENCES

- 1. Tamura, M.; Kochi, J. K., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 1487-1489.
- Tamura, M.; Kochi, J. K., J. Organomet. Chem. 1971, 31, 289-309.
- Tamura, M.; Kochi, J. K., Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1971, 44, 3063-3073.
- 4. Neumann, S. M.; Kochi, J. K., J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 599-606.
- Kwan, C. L.; Kochi, J. K., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 4903-4912.
- 6. Smith, R. S.; Kochi, J. K., J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 502-509.
- 7. Bauer, I.; Knolker, H.-J., Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 3170-3387.
- 8. Sherry, B. D.; Furstner, A., Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1500.
- 9. Bedford, R. B., Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 1485-1493.
- Cassani, C.; Bergonzini, G.; Wallentin, C.-J., ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 1640-1648.
- 11. Mako, T. L.; Byers, J. A., Inorg. Chem. Front. 2016, Advance Article.
- 12. Jana, R.; Pathak, T. P.; Sigman, M. S., Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1417-1492.
- Daifuku, S. L.; Al-Afyouni, M. H.; Snyder, B. E. R.; Knnebone, J. L.; Neidig, M. L., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 9132-9143.
- Daifuku, S. L.; Kneebone, J. L.; Snyder, B. E. R.; Neidig, M. L., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 11432-11444.
- Furstner, A.; Krause, H.; Lehmann, C. W., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 45, 440-444.
- Furstner, A.; Martin, R.; Krause, H.; Seidel, G.; Goddard, R.; Lehmann, C. W., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, *130*, 8773-8787.
- Sun, C.-L.; Furstner, A., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 13071-13075.
- Al-Afyouni, M. H.; Fillman, K. L.; Brennessel, W. W.; Neidig, M. L., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 15457-15460.
- 19. Saak, W.; Pohl, S., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1984, 23, 907-908.
- 20. Pohl, S.; Opitz, U., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1993, 32, 863-864.
- 21. Pohl, S.; Barklage, W.; Saak, W.; Opitz, U., Chem. Commun. 1993, 1251-1252.
- 22. Goh, C.; Segal, B. M.; Huang, J.; Long, J. R.; Holm, R. H., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 11844-11853.
- Marsh, R. E.; Clemente, D. A., Inorg. Chim. Acta 2007, 360, 4017-4024.
- 24. Dawkins, G. M.; Green, M.; Orpen, A. G.; Stone, F. G. A., J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 41-43.



Kochi et al. $\begin{array}{c} {\sf FeCl}_3 \ + \ {\sf CH}_3 {\sf MgBr} & \xrightarrow{{\sf THF}} & S = 1/2 \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} -40 \ ^\circ {\sf C} \ {\sf to} \ {\sf RT} \\ -{\sf CH}_3 {\sf CH}_3 \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} {\sf S} = 1/2 \\ ({\sf EPR}) \end{array}$ Neidig et. al. leidig et. al. FeCl₃ + CH₃MgBr $\xrightarrow{\text{THF}}$ [MgCl(THF)₅][FeMe₄] $\xrightarrow{\text{THF}}$ S = 1/2 S = 3/2 -40 °C to RT (EPR) $-CH_3CH_3$ FeX_3 (X = CI, acac, dbm) CH₃MgBr CH₃ Br Fe^I *S* = 1/2 reductive oxidative addition elimination Felli Felli ĊН₃ Br MgBr₂ CH₃MgBr transmetalation

88x93mm (300 x 300 DPI)

ACS Paragon Plus Environment









96x123mm (300 x 300 DPI)





95x126mm (300 x 300 DPI)



140x154mm (300 x 300 DPI)



