NATURAL PRODUCTS

Total Syntheses of (R)-Strongylodiols C and D

Feipeng Liu, Jiangchun Zhong, Shuoning Li, Minyan Li, Lin Wu, Qian Wang, Jianyou Mao, Shikuo Liu, Bing Zheng, Min Wang, and Qinghua Bian*

Department of Applied Chemistry, China Agricultural University, 2 West Yuanmingyuan Road, Beijing 100193, People's Republic of China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The first total syntheses of two marine natural products, (R)-strongylodiols C and D, with 99% ee were achieved. The key steps of the strategy include the zipper reaction of an alkyne, the asymmetric alkynylation of an unsaturated aliphatic aldehyde catalyzed with Trost's ProPhenol ligand, and the Cadiot—Chodkiewicz cross-coupling reaction of a chiral propargylic alcohol with a bromoalkyne.



ong-chain acetylenic alcohols are important marine natural products,¹ which have been found to show many biological activities such as antibacterial,² antitumor,³ and neuritogenic effects.⁴ Strongylodiols C and D were both isolated from an Okinawan marine sponge of the genus Strongylophora, and their structures were elucidated based on spectroscopic analysis.^{3,5} They were found to exist as enantiomeric mixtures (R/S ratio84:16 for strongylodiol C and 95:5 for strongylodiol D) through application of the modified Mosher's method.^{3,5} Recently Ojika and co-workers reported that these compounds induced nerve growth factor (NGF)-like neuronal differentiation of PC12 cells⁶ and showed an inhibitory effect on platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF)-induced DNA synthesis.7 In addition, strongylodiol C was found to exhibit cytotoxic activity against human T lymphocyte leukemia (MOLT-4) tumor cells.³



Strongylodiols A and B, two analogues of strongylodiols C and D, were also isolated from an Okinawan marine sponge of the genus *Strongylophora.*³ A number of enantioselective syntheses of these two acetylenic alcohols have been reported, and the main asymmetric synthetic methods involved the addition of 1,3-diynes to aldehydes by Trost,⁸ Carreira,^{8b} and our group^{8c} or the reduction of ynones.^{8d} However, these strategies tended to use lengthy sequences or 1,3-diynes that required prior preparation or gave the target product with low

enantioselectivity. Therefore, it remains a challenge to search for more efficient and convenient asymmetric syntheses of these acetylenic alcohols. The enantioselective addition of commercial terminal alkynes to aldehydes is the most convenient protocol to obtain chiral propargyl alcohols.^{9a,b} Furthermore, no examples of the syntheses of strongylodiols C and D have been reported. Herein, we disclose the first total syntheses of (*R*)-strongylodiols C (1) and D (2) with 99% ee and demonstrate that the asymmetric enantioselective addition of terminal alkynes to aldehydes can construct the chiral propargylic alcohol unit of long-chain acetylenic alcohols.

The retrosynthetic analysis of (R)-strongylodiol C (1) is outlined in Figure 1. The target long-chain acetylenic alcohol 1 can be formed via the Cadiot–Chodkiewicz cross-coupling reaction of chiral propargylic alcohol 19 with 3-bromoprop-2yn-1-ol. The enantioselective addition of trimethylsilylacetylene to olefinic aldehyde 16 was envisioned to yield the key chiral intermediate 19. Olefinic aldehyde 16 can be obtained by partial reduction and oxidation of acetylenic alcohol 14, which would be achieved via the coupling of terminal alkyne 12 with alkyl iodide 9. Terminal alkyne 12 would be derived from the zipper reaction of alkynol 11. Due to the structural similarity with (R)-strongylodiol C (1), (R)-strongylodiol D (2) can be prepared through a similar approach.

Our synthesis began with the preparation of alkyl iodide **9** (Scheme 1), which served as the key building block for both (*R*)-strongylodiols C and D. Simply heating α,ω -diol **3** and concentrated hydrobromic acid in toluene at reflux gave monobromo alcohol **4** in 86% yield. 7-Bromoheptanoic acid **5** was obtained smoothly via the oxidation of **4** with concentrated HNO₃. Subsequent esterification of **5** with MeOH furnished ω -bromo ester **6** in 91% yield. 8-Bromo-2-methyloctan-2-ol (7) was formed from the addition of CH₃MgBr to the ester **6** in 92% yield. After dehydration with

Received: August 11, 2015



© XXXX American Chemical Society and American Society of Pharmacognosy



Figure 1. Retrosynthetic analysis of (R)-strongylodiol C (1).

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Alkyl Iodide 9



p-toluenesulfonic acid and reduction with H₂ over Pd/C, the ω bromo alcohol 7 was converted to 1-bromo-7-methyloctane (8) in 86% yield over the two steps. Finally, alkyl bromide 8 was subjected to NaI in acetone to afford 1-iodo-7-methyloctane (9) in 98% yield via a Finkelstein reaction.¹⁰

With the main intermediate 9 in hand, we next focused on the synthesis of another important fragment, alkynol 14 (Scheme 2). The alkylation of propargyl alcohol 10 with 1bromononane in the presence of n-BuLi and HMPA gave dodec-2-yn-1-ol (11) in 94% yield. The zipper reaction of alkynol 11 with NaH¹¹ and the protection of the resulting alcohol with dihydropyran proceeded efficiently to furnish the desired terminal alkyne 12 in 84% yield. The alkylation of terminal alkyne 12 with alkyl iodide 9 generated the THP ether 13 in 91% yield. The final deprotection with *p*-toluenesulfonic acid afforded the corresponding alkynol 14 in 98% yield.

Having accessed building block 14, the asymmetric synthesis of (R)-strongylodiol C (1) was studied (Scheme 3). The partial



нο

10

12

9

13

98%

THPO

THPO



reduction of alkynol 14 was achieved and afforded (Z)-19methylicos-11-en-1-ol (15) as a single product in 98% yield with Brown's P2-Ni catalyst, followed by the oxidation to olefinic aldehyde 16 almost quantitatively (98% yield) with iodobenzene diacetate and 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy (TEMPO).¹² Initial studies showed that aldehyde 16 was a good substrate in the enantioseletive addition with trimethylsilylacetylene using 20 mol % of the (S,S)-ProPhenol ligand and Me_2Zn , which provided (R,Z)-21-methyl-1-(trimethylsilyl)docos-13-en-1-yn-3-ol (17) in 74% yield and 84% ee.¹³ The enantiomeric purity of enynic alcohol 17 was determined by HPLC analysis of its 3,5-dinitrobenzoate 18. Furthermore, slow recrystallization of 18 from n-hexane-diethyl ether (5:1) could improve the optical purity to 99% ee.¹⁴ The final in situ desilvlation and methanolysis of 18 with potassium carbonate and MeOH afforded envnic alcohol 19 in 98% yield, which was engaged in a Cadiot-Chodkiewicz cross-coupling reaction with 3-bromoprop-2-yn-1-ol to give the target (\hat{R}) -strongylodiol C (1) in 93% yield and 99% ee.¹⁵ The optical purity of 1 was also measured on chiral-phase HPLC analysis of its bis(4bromobenzoate).¹⁶ The NMR spectroscopic data and specific rotation of synthetic chiral acetylenic alcohol 1 $([\alpha]^{20}_{D} - 7.3 (c 1.0, CHCl_3))$ were consistent with those of the natural (R)strongylodiol C $([\alpha]^{22}_{D} - 7.5 (c 0.093, CHCl_3))$.³ To search for a more concise synthesis, we tried the asymmetric addition of methyl propiolate to olefinic aldehyde **16** with the (S,S)-ProPhenol ligand and Me₂Zn.¹⁷ Fortunately, 99% ee enynic ester **17**' was obtained. Decarboxylation involving saponification with LiOH and treatment with CuCl gave enynic alcohol **19** in 73% yield. The final Cadiot–Chodkiewicz cross-coupling with 3-bromoprop-2-yn-1-ol also afforded almost optically pure (R)-strongylodiol C (94% yield, 99% ee).¹⁵

As depicted in Scheme 4, the synthesis of (R)-strongylodiol D commenced with alkynol 14, which was oxidized to



Scheme 4. Synthesis of (R)-Strongylodiol D (2)

acetylenic aldehyde 20 in 98% yield with iodobenzene diacetate and TEMPO.¹² An initial attempt was the Zn-(S,S)-ProPhenol asymmetric addition of trimethylsilylacetylene to aldehyde 20, which delivered (R)-21-methyl-1-(trimethylsilyl)docosa-1,13diyn-3-ol (21) in 78% yield and 85% ee.¹³ The optical purity of 21 was measured and improved to 99% ee via its 3,5dinitrobenzoate 22.14 Removal of the trimethylsilyl and 3,5dinitrobenzoyl groups from 22 proceeded smoothly with potassium carbonate and MeOH to obtain chiral propargylic alcohol 23. Final Cadiot-Chodkiewicz cross-coupling of 23 with 3-bromoprop-2-yn-1-ol gave almost optically pure (99% ee) (R)-strongylodiol D (2) in 93% yield.^{15,16} Furthermore, the specific rotation measured for 2 ($[a]^{20}_{D}$ -7.0 (c 1.3, CHCl₃)) was in agreement with the value of natural (R)-strongylodiol D $([\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 8.0 (c \ 0.56, CHCl_{3}, R/S \text{ ratio } 95:5)).^{5}$ The synthetic route to (R)-strongylodiol D (2) was optimized as for the synthesis of (R)-strongylodiol C (1). Acetylenic ester 21' was obtained in 97% ee via Zn-(S,S)-ProPhenol asymmetric addition of methyl propiolate to aldehyde 20.17 Subsequent decarboxylation furnished chiral propargylic alcohol 23 in 73% yield, which was coupled with 3-bromoprop-2-yn-1-ol to give (R)-strongylodiol D (92% yield, 97% ee).

In summary, we have accomplished the total syntheses of marine natural products (R)-strongylodiols C (1) and D (2) with high optical purity (99% ee) for the first time. Central to our approach were a zipper reaction of an alkyne to prepare the terminal alkyne, the asymmetric alkynylation of an aliphatic aldehyde catalyzed with Trost's ProPhenol ligand to construct the chiral propargylic alcohol, and the Cadiot–Chodkiewicz cross-coupling reaction of a chiral propargylic alcohol with a bromoalkyne to obtain the long-chain acetylenic alcohols. These enantioselective syntheses further confirmed the structures of these two natural products.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Procedures. Melting points were obtained on a Stuart-SMP3Melt-Temp apparatus without correction. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin Elmer 341 polarimeter. NMR spectra were collected on a Bruker DP-X300 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts were reported in ppm relative to internal tetramethylsilane (TMS) for ¹H NMR (TMS $\delta = 0.00$ ppm) and to CDCl₃ for ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃ $\delta = 77.00$ ppm). High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on an Agilent instrument using the TOF MS technique. Enantiomeric excesses (ee) were determined on an Agilent 1200 HPLC system using an R&C OD chiral-phase column and elution with *n*-hexane and 2-propanol. All reactions were carried out under a dry argon atmosphere. Solvents were dried according to standard procedures and distilled before use. Unless otherwise stated, all chemicals were commercially available and used without further purification.

Synthesis of (R,Z)-24-Methylpentacosa-16-en-2,4-diyne-1,6diol ((R)-Strongylodiol C, 1) (CAS 320717-32-6). To a stirred solution of n-BuNH₂ (0.5 mL) and distilled H₂O (1 mL) was added copper(I) chloride (10 mg, 0.10 mml, 0.2 equiv) at 0 °C under argon, which resulted in a deep blue solution. A few crystals of NH₂OH·HCl were added to discharge the blue color, and a solution of enynic alcohol 19 (167 mg, 0.50 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was added via syringe at the same temperature. Then 3-bromoprop-2-yn-1-ol (74 mg, 0.55 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added slowly. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 30 min. A few crystals of NH2OH HCl were added occasionally to prevent the solution from turning green or blue throughout the reaction. Upon completion, the reaction solution was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over anhydrous Na2SO4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (n-hexane-ethyl acetate, 5:1) to furnish (R)-strongylodiol C (1) (180 mg, 93% yield, 99% ee, measured on chiral-phase HPLC analysis of its bis(4-bromobenzoate)) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ –7.3 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃), lit.³ $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$ –7.5 (*c* 0.093, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_{H} 5.37–5.33 (m, 2H), 4.46–4.40 (dd, *J* = 12.1, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 2.11 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H) 2.02-1.98 (m, 5H), 1.76-1.68 (m, 2H), 1.56-1.39 (m, 3H), 1.28-1.14 (m, 22H), 0.86 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₂) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 129.88, 129.81, 80.5, 77.6, 69.7, 68.8, 62.7, 51.2, 39.0, 37.4, 29.77, 29.73, 29.72, 29.51, 29.50, 29.48, 29.29, 29.27, 29.2, 27.9, 27.3, 27.2, 25.0, 22.6; HRMS (APCI-TOF) m/z 371.3326 [MH⁺ – H₂O]⁺ (calcd for C₂₆H₄₃O, 371.3314).

Synthesis of (*R*)-24-Methylpentacosa-2,4,16-triyne-1,6-diol ((*R*)-Strongylodiol D, 2) (CAS 334973-93-2). According to a similar procedure to that described above for (*R*)-strongylodiol C (1), the cross-coupling of chiral propargyl alcohol 23 (166 mg, 0.50 mmol) with 3-bromoprop-2-yn-1-ol (74 mg, 0.55 mmol) afforded (*R*)-strongylodiol D (2) (179 mg, 93% yield, 99% ee, measured on chiral-phase HPLC analysis of its bis(4-bromobenzoate)) as a white solid: mp 43-44 °C; $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ -7.0 (*c* 1.3, CHCl₃), lit.⁵ $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ -8.0 (*c* 0.56, CHCl₃, *R/S* ratio 95:5); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_{H} 4.43 (dd, *J* = 12.3, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.16-2.10 (m, 5H), 2.05-1.99 (m, 1H), 1.76-1.68 (m, 2H), 1.54-1.24 (m, 23H), 1.19-1.15 (m, 2H), 0.86 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_{C} 80.5, 80.3, 80.2, 77.5, 69.8, 68.8, 62.8, 51.4, 39.0, 37.4, 29.4, 29.2, 29.1,

Journal of Natural Products

28.9, 28.8, 27.9, 27.3, 25.0, 22.6, 18.7; HRMS (APCI-TOF) m/z 369.3174 [MH⁺ - H₂O]⁺ (calcd for C₂₆H₄₁O, 369.3157).

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.jnat-prod.5b00713.

Experimental procedures and characterization data for the bis-bromobenzoates of 1 and 2, 4–9, and 11–23; copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of compounds 1, 2, and the bis-bromobenzoates of 1 and 2, 4–9, and 11– 23; HPLC chromatography of the bis-bromobenzoates of 1 and 2, 17', 18, 21', and 22 (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: bianqinghua@cau.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-12-0528) and the National Key Technology Research and Development Program (No. 2015BAK45B01) for the financial support.

REFERENCES

(1) Zhou, Z.-F.; Menna, M.; Cai, Y.-S.; Guo, Y.-W. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 1543–1596.

(2) Ankisetty, S.; Slattery, M. Mar. Drugs 2012, 10, 1037-1043.

(3) Watanabe, K.; Tsuda, Y.; Yamane, Y.; Takahashi, H.; Iguchi, K.; Naoki, H.; Fujita, T.; Van Soest, R. W. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 9271–9276.

(4) Aoki, S.; Matsui, K.; Wei, H.; Murakami, N.; Kobayashi, M. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 5417–5422.

(5) Watanabe, K.; Tsuda, Y.; Hamada, M.; Omori, M.; Mori, G.; Iguchi, K.; Naoki, H.; Fujita, T.; Van Soest, R. W. M. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2005**, *68*, 1001–1005.

(6) Horikawa, K.; Yagyu, T.; Yoshioka, Y.; Fujiwara, T.; Kanamoto, A.; Okamoto, T.; Ojika, M. *Tetrahedron* **2013**, *69*, 101–106.

(7) Choi, B.-K.; Cha, B.-Y.; Yagyu, T.; Woo, J.-T.; Ojika, M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2013, 21, 1804–1810.

(8) Trost, B. M.; Chan, V. S.; Yamamoto, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 5186–5192. (b) Reber, S.; Knöpfel, T. F.; Carreira, E. M. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 6813–6817. (c) Zheng, B.; Li, S.-N.; Mao, J.-Y.; Wang, B.; Bian, Q.-H.; Liu, S.-Z.; Zhong, J.-C.; Guo, H.-C.; Wang, M. Chem. - Eur. J. 2012, 18, 9208–9211. (d) Kirkham, J. E. D.; Courtney, T. D. L.; Lee, V.; Baldwin, J. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 5645–5647.

(9) (a) Frantz, D. E.; Fässler, R.; Carreira, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 1806–1807. (b) Trost, B. M.; Bartlett, M. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 688–701.

(10) Finkelstein, H. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1910, 43, 1528-1532.

(11) Santandrea, J.; Bédard, A.-C.; Collins, S. K. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3892–3895.

(12) De Mico, A.; Margarita, R.; Parlanti, L.; Vescovi, A.; Piancatelli, G. J. Org. Chem. **1997**, *62*, 6974–6977.

(13) Trost, B. M.; Quintard, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 6704–6708.

(14) Yang, Y.-Q.; Li, S.-N.; Zhong, J.-C.; Zhou, Y.; Zeng, H.-Z.; Duan, H.-J.; Bian, Q.-H.; Wang, M. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2015**, *26*, 361–366.

(15) Chodkiewicz, W.; Cadiot, P. Compt. Rend. 1955, 241, 1055– 1057. (16) The bis-bromobenzoates of 1 and 2 were prepared for the determination of the enantiomeric excess, as the ester derivatives are more readily separable by chiral-phase HPLC.

(17) Trost, B. M.; Quintard, A. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4698-4700.