Synthetic Methodology

Nucleophilic *ortho*-Propargylation of Aryl Sulfoxides: An Interrupted Pummerer/Allenyl Thio-Claisen Rearrangement Sequence**

Andrew J. Eberhart and David J. Procter*

Selective C-C bond formation to aromatic systems is one of the most important synthetic objectives as the resulting motifs form the core of many pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, and functional materials. In this regard, products of propargylation^[1] are valuable synthetic intermediates as they are established precursors to other functional groups, and to carbo- and heterocycles.^[1] Unfortunately, direct propargylation of aromatics is often difficult and can lead to mixtures of propargyl and allenyl products. Although metal-catalyzed couplings are possible,^[2] many methods rely on Friedel-Crafts-type processes that can require stoichiometric metal reagents.^[3] Using activating substituents to facilitate nucleophilic substitution in aromatic systems is a relatively underexploited approach. In recent years, activation by sulfoxide substituents has been exploited in nucleophilic alkylations of electron-rich heteroaromatics^[4] that proceed through Pummerer-type reactions.^[5] Furthermore, Yorimitsu and Oshima^[6a-d] and Maulide^[6e] recently employed interrupted Pummerer reactions in approaches to targets such as benzofurans and α -aryl- β -ketoesters, while we have described an interrupted Pummerer approach for the allylation of aromatic and heteroaromatic rings.^[7]

Herein we report a nucleophilic *ortho*-propargylation of aryl sulfoxides that proceeds by a new interrupted Pummererallenyl thio-Claisen rearrangement^[8] sequence involving allenyl sulfonium salts **4** (Scheme 1).^[9] The operationally simple, metal-free procedure is general, regiospecific with



C-S bond formation facilitating C-C bond formation

Scheme 1. Nucleophilic *ortho*-propargylation of aryl sulfoxides. TMS = trimethyl silyl, Tf = 1,1,1-trifluoromethylsulfonyl.

- [*] A. J. Eberhart, Prof. D. J. Procter School of Chemistry, University of Manchester Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL (UK) E-mail: david.j.procter@manchester.ac.uk Homepage: http://people.man.ac.uk/~mbdssdp2/
- $[\star\star]$ We thank Merck Sharp & Dohme (CASE award to A.E.) and the EPSRC (CPhD award to A.E.).
- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201300223.

regard to the propargyl nucleophile, and shows complete selectivity for products of propargylation over allenylation.

Realizing the value of a process that would allow propargyl groups to be selectively introduced to aryl rings under metal-free conditions, we sought to develop a reaction in which intermolecular delivery of a nucleophile to sulfur is followed by an intramolecular relay to carbon (Scheme 1). We began by investigating the reaction of diphenyl sulfoxide **1a** with propargyl silane **2a** (Table 1). Using Tf_2O as an

Table 1: Optimization of the ortho-propargylation.[a]

⊖ ⊕ S`Ph		$\begin{array}{c} \text{TMS} \\ \textbf{2a} & - C_4 H_9 \\ \hline \\ \text{Tf}_2 O (1.5 \text{ equiv}) \end{array}$		SPh C ₄ H ₉	
	1a			3a	
Entry	Solvent	<i>t</i> [h]	<i>Т</i> [°С]	Base	Yield 3 [%]
1	CH_2CI_2	18	50	_	35
2	CHCl₃	18	50	-	28
3	toluene	18	50	_	27
4	MeCN	18	50	_	63
5	MeCN	36	RT	_	72
6	MeCN	1	60	_	73
7	MeCN	18	60	pyridine	16
8	MeCN	18	60	2,6-lutidine	99 ^[b]
9	MeCN	18	60	2,6-DTBP	99

[a] Yields determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Conditions: entries 7–9: base (2.5 equiv). [b] Yield of isolated product; 2,6-DTBP=2,6-di-*tert*-butylpyridine.

electrophilic activating agent, propargylation product **3a** was obtained in low yield (entry 1). We next compared the reaction in various solvents and obtained the best result using MeCN (entry 4).^[10a] The reaction proceeded readily at room temperature in 36 h (entry 5) and the reaction time could be shortened to 1 h at 60 °C (entry 6). Addition of base significantly enhanced the reaction to give **3a** in an isolated yield of 99 % (entry 8).^[10b]

Having identified optimized reaction conditions we next investigated the substrate scope. Pleasingly the *ortho*-propargylation reaction is not restricted to diaryl sulfoxides, but works well with readily available, simple alkyl aryl sulfoxides **1b-aa** to give the corresponding products **3b-aa**, containing alkylsulfanyl groups that are of pharamaceutical relevance (Table 2). Methyl phenyl sulfoxide was easily converted to **3b** in excellent yield on a 1 gram scale. Surprisingly, the formation of classical Pummerer products (e.g. phenylthiomethyl trifluoromethanesulfonate from **1b**) was not observed, even in substrates containing electron-withdrawing



[a] 2,6-DTBP was used as the base. [b] No base.

alkyl chains (e.g. formation of 3d, 3e, 3f, and 3aa). Interestingly, the procedure also tolerates the synthetically important perfluorinated alkyl chain^[11] in 3f and the medicinally relevant trifluoromethyl sulfide group in **3g**.^[12] The reaction is also general with respect to ring substituents: neutral, electron-rich and electron-deficient benzene rings are propargylated in high yields (3h-z) with no significant changes in overall reaction efficiency when substitution position was varied (3h-p, 71-93%). Even sterically hindered orthosubstituted substrates underwent propargylation to give 31 and 3t in 85% and 96% yield. The reaction tolerates a wide range of functional groups: Substrates containing halogens (3n-r), nitriles (3u), nitro (3w), and protected amines $(3v)^{[13]}$ were all readily propargylated in good to excellent yields. Furthermore, substrates bearing ester, amide and acid groups underwent successful propargylation to give 3x-z. Importantly, a substrate known to undergo classical Pummerer chemistry,^[14] gave **3aa** in 89% yield when exposed to Tf_2O in the presence of **2a**.

Methyl phenyl sulfoxide **1b** was exposed to propargyl silanes **2b–g** under the described conditions (Table 3). In all cases the expected products of propargylation were obtained in good to excellent yields. For example, commonly used silane **2b** (entry 1) and the protected propargyl silane **2d** (entry 3) produced products of selective propargylation in

Table 3: Propargyl silane substrate scope.



[a] 2,6-DTBP was used as the base.

high yields. Sterically more demanding silanes (entries 2, 4), including those with substitution at both propargylic positions, and functionalized silanes (entries 5, 6) were also effective.

After activation of the sulfoxide with Tf₂O,^[15] two mechanisms are possible: A nucleophile could directly attack the aromatic ring with concomitant triflate expulsion followed by rearomatization,^[4a,b] or the nucleophile could react at sulfur in an interrupted Pummerer-type reaction,^[7] followed by rearrangement. The former pathway would be expected to deliver, ortho and para regioisomeric products of allenvlation. In contrast, our process provides products of propargylation, with no allenvlation and with complete orthoselectivity. This suggests that the interrupted Pummerer pathway is operational. Indeed, allenyl sulfonium salt 4 (Scheme 1) formed by reaction at sulfur can be observed when reactions are monitored by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy.^[16,17] The formation of **4** is fast^[18] and outcompetes classical thionium ion formation and Pummerer reaction. This conclusion is supported by the observation that 3aa undergoes smooth propargylation despite the presence of acidic α protons. In an additional experiment, labeled sulfoxide $[D_3]$ 1b (Scheme 2A) was propargylated with no ¹H incorporation, further highlighting the rapid formation of allenyl sulfonium salts 4 rather than loss of a proton α to sulfur. The nature of substituents on the aryl ring, as well as their positions,^[19] affect the rate of the rearrangement of 4 to products 3 as shown by preliminary rate and Hammett studies.^[20] Furthermore, a competition experiment involving a 1:1 mixture of 1b and its aryl-deuterium labeled analog [D₅]1b (Scheme 2B) showed no isotope effect, suggesting that rearomatization is not the rate-determining step.

Angewandte Communications



Scheme 2. Labeling experiments (yields and conversions measured by ¹H NMR spectroscopy).

A proposed mechanism for the selective *ortho*-propargylation is shown in Scheme 3. Interrupted Pummerer reactions, in which sulfoxides **1** activated by Tf_2O undergo nucleophilic attack by the propargyl silanes at sulfur give allenylsulfonium salts **4** (Scheme 3). These intermediates may then convert to



Scheme 3. Proposed mechanism for ortho-propargylation.

ylides **5** prior to [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement^[8,21,22] and rearomatization to yield **3**.^[23]

The products of *ortho*-propargylation are rich in synthetic potential as the combination of the propargyl^[1] and organosulfanyl groups opens up a wide range of bond-forming possibilities. In particular, recent developments have shown that the C–S bond can be readily utilized in transition-metalcatalyzed cross-couplings to form C–C bonds.^[6d,7,24] Preliminary studies show the potential of the dual functionality in the adducts. For example, **3b** was converted to benzothiophenes **6a** and **6b** by treatment with TfOH/NaI and I₂,^[25] respectively. Furthermore, double cyclization of the adduct formed by the two-directional propargylation of bis-sulfoxide **1ab**, completed an efficient metal-free approach to **6c**, a motif found in organic semiconductors (Scheme 4).^[26]

In summary, readily available aryl sulfoxides undergo *ortho*-selective propargylation by a new interrupted Pummerer/allenyl thio-Claisen rearrangement sequence. The operationally simple, metal-free procedure allows propargylic carbon nucleophiles to be added *ortho* to sulfur on a benzene ring, regiospecifically with regard to the propargyl nucleophile, and with complete selectivity for products of propargylation over allenylation. The organosulfanyl group and



Scheme 4. Manipulation of ortho-propargylation products.

the propargyl motif in the products are versatile handles for further manipulation.

Received: January 10, 2013 Published online: February 27, 2013

Keywords: arylsulfoxide \cdot propargylation \cdot Pummerer reaction \cdot silane \cdot thio-Claisen

- For reviews of propargylation, see: a) J. A. Marshall, J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 8153; b) M. J. Curtis-Long, Y. Aye, Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 5402; c) C.-H. Ding, X-L. Hou, Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1914; d) N. Ljungdahl, N. Kann, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 652; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 642; e) R. J. Detz, H. Hiemstra, J. H. van Maarseveen, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 6263; f) Y. Nishibayashi, Synthesis 2012, 489; g) E. B. Bauer, Synthesis 2012, 1131; for reviews of alkyne chemistry, see: h) D. Astruc, Organometallic Chemistry and Catalysis, Springer, Berlin, 2007; i) S. K. Hashmi, F. D. Toste, Modern Gold Catalyzed Synthesis, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2012; j) R. Chinchilla, C. Najera, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5084; k) J. E. Moses, A. D. Moorhouse, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 1249; l) L.-N. Guo, X.-H. Duan, Y.-M. Liang, Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 111.
- [2] a) R. Martin, A. Fürstner, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 4045; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3955; b) S. Ma, Q. He, X. Zhang, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 3336; c) M. Qian, E. Negishi, Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 2927; d) I. Pérez, J. P. Sestelo, L. A. Sarandeses, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 4155; e) C. H. Larsen, K. W. Anderson, R. E. Tundel, S. L. Buchwald, Synlett 2006, 2941; f) W.-W. Zhang, X.-G. Zhang, J.-H. Li, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 5259.
- [3] a) K. M. Nicholas, Acc. Chem. Res. 1987, 20, 207; b) Y. Nishibayashi, Y. Inada, M. Yoshikawa, M. Hidai, S. Uemura, Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, 1533; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 1495; c) H. Matsuzawa, Y. Miyake, Y. Nishibayashi, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 6608; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 6488; d) J. J. Kennedy-Smith, L. A. Young, F. D. Toste, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1325; e) C. Li, J. Wang, J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 7431; f) P. Rubenbauer, E. Herdtweck, T. Strassner, T. Bach, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 10260; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 10106.
- [4] a) S. Akai, N. Kawashita, Y. Wada, H. Satoh, A. H. Alinejad, K. Kakiguchi, I. Kuriwaki, Y. Kita, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, *47*, 1881;
 b) S. Akai, N. Kawashita, H. Satoh, Y. Wada, K. Kakiguchi, I. Kuriwaki, Y. Kita, *Org. Lett.* 2004, *6*, 3793; c) S. Akai, N. Morita, K. Iio, Y. Nakamura, Y. Kita, *Org. Lett.* 2000, *2*, 2279; d) K. S. Feldman, D. B. Vidulova, *Org. Lett.* 2004, *6*, 1869; e) K. S. Feldman, A. P. Skoumbourdis, *Org. Lett.* 2005, *7*, 929; f) K. S. Feldman, D. B. Vidulova, A. G. Karatjas, *J. Org. Chem.* 2005, *70*, 6429; g) K. S. Feldman, A. G. Karatjas, *Org. Lett.* 2006, *8*, 4137;

h) K. S. Feldman, M. D. Fodor, J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 3449; i) A. Padwa, S. Nara, Q. Wang, Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 595.

- [5] For selected reviews of the Pummerer reaction, see: a) L. H. S. Smith, S. C. Coote, H. F. Sneddon, D. J. Procter, Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 5968; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5832; b) S. Akai, Y. Kita, Top. Curr. Chem. 2007, 274, 35; c) K. S. Feldman, Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 5003; d) S. K. Bur, A. Padwa, Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 2401.
- [6] a) S. Yoshida, H. Yorimitsu, K. Oshima, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2185; b) T. Kobatake, D. Fujino, S. Yoshida, H. Yorimitsu, K. Oshima, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 11838; c) T. Kobatake, S. Yoshida, H. Yorimitsu, K. Oshima, Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 2390; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2340; d) Y. Ookubo, A. Wakamiya, H. Yorimitsu, A. Osuka, Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 12690; e) X. Huang, N. Maulide, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 8510.
- [7] A. J. Eberhart, J. E. Imbriglio, D. J. Procter, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5882.
- [8] For thio-Claisen rearrangements of sulfonium ylides and sulfonium salts formed by alternative approaches, see: a) X. Huang, S. Klimczyk, N. Maulide, Synthesis 2012, 175; b) J. N. Harvey, H. G. Viehe, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1995, 2345; c) V. Boyarskikh, A. Nyong, J. D. Rainier, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 5454; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 5374; d) A. M. Nyong, J. D. Rainier, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 746; e) A. V. Novikov, A. R. Kennedy, J. D. Rainier, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 993; f) N. Furukawa, H. Shima, S. Ogawa, Heteroat. Chem. 1995, 6, 559; g) H. Shima, N. Furukawa, Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 12239; h) P. G. Gassman, G. D. Gruetzmacher, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 5487; i) P. G. Gassman, T. J. van Bergen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 5508.
- [9] V. G. Nenajdenko, P. V. Vertelezkij, E. S. Balenkova, *Synthesis* 1997, 351.
- [10] a) See the Supporting Information for a complete optimization table; b) the base prevents products of propargylation from undergoing acid-mediated cyclization.
- [11] a) D. P. Curran in *The Handbook of Fluorous Chemistry* (Ed.: J. A. Gladysz, D. P. Curran, I. T. Horváth), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2004**; b) C. J. Wallentin, J. D. Nguyen, P. Finkbeiner, C. R. J. Stephenson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 8875.
- [12] a) G. Teverovskiy, D. S. Surry, S. L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 7450; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7312; b) C. P.

Zhang, D. A. Vicic, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 183; c) V. N. Boiko, Beilstein J. Org. Chem. **2010**, 6, 880.

- [13] The presence of amines can be problematic in Pummerer reactions as they can undergo side reactions with the activating electrophile and can react as a nucleophile with other intermediates. See Ref. [5].
- [14] a) R. Pummerer, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1910, 43, 1401; b) H. M. Wang, M. C. Lin, L. C. Chen, Heterocycles 1994, 38, 1519.
- [15] Activation of sulfoxides with electrophiles such as Tf_2O is well documented. See Ref. [5].
- [16] Structure of **4** also confirmed by HRMS. See Supporting Information.
- [17] As expected, the rate of formation of product 3a through ionic intermediate 4 is accelerated by more polar solvents. See Supporting Information for an additional solvent study.
- [18] See Supporting Information for a study of allenyl sulfonium salt formation using Tf₂O, TFAA and Ac₂O as activating agents.
- [19] Electron-donating substituents at the *meta*-position on the benzene ring accelerate the rearrangement from 4 to 3. See Supporting Information for further details.
- [20] See Supporting Information for further details and a Hammett study. For a Hammett study of a charge-accelerated Claisen-type rearrangement, see: M. H. Weston, K. Nakajima, T. G. Back, J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 4630.
- [21] T. H. Lambert, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13646.
- [22] X could be triflate (see Ref. [9]) although MeCN, sulfide or base can not be ruled out.
- [23] Ochiai and Norton have proposed the sigmatropic rearrangement of analogous allenyliodonium salts in an *ortho*-propargylation process: a) M. Ochiai, T. Ito, Y. Takaoka, Y. Masaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1991**, 113, 1319; b) D. A. Gately, T. A. Luther, J. R. Norton, M. M. Miller, O. P. Anderson, J. Org. Chem. **1992**, 57, 6496.
- [24] For a review, see: a) S. R. Dubbaka, P. Vogel, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 7848; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 7674; for recent examples, see: b) S. Kanemura, A. Kondoh, H. Yorimitsu, K. Oshima, Synthesis 2008, 2659; c) J. F. Hooper, A. B. Chaplin, C. González-Rodríguez, A. L. Thompson, A. S. Weller, M. C. Willis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 2906.
- [25] K. O. Hessian, B. L. Flynn, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4377.
- [26] C. Wang, H. Dong, W. Hu, Y. Liu, D. Zhu, Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 2208.