# Oligoynes

# The Synthesis of Functionalised Diaryltetraynes and Their Transport Properties in Single-Molecule Junctions

Murat Gulcur,<sup>[a]</sup> Pavel Moreno-García,<sup>[b]</sup> Xiaotao Zhao,<sup>[a]</sup> Masoud Baghernejad,<sup>[b]</sup> Andrei S. Batsanov,<sup>[a]</sup> Wenjing Hong,<sup>[b]</sup> Martin R. Bryce,<sup>\*[a]</sup> and Thomas Wandlowski<sup>\*[b]</sup>

**Abstract:** The synthesis and characterisation is described of six diaryltetrayne derivatives [Ar-(C $\equiv$ C)<sub>4</sub>-Ar] with Ar = 4-NO<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>- (NO<sub>2</sub>4), 4-NH(Me)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>- (NHMe4), 4-NMe<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>- (NMe<sub>2</sub>4), 4-NH<sub>2</sub>-(2,6-dimethyl)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>- (DMeNH<sub>2</sub>4), 5-indolyl (IN4) and 5-benzothienyl (BTh4). X-ray molecular structures are reported for NO<sub>2</sub>4, NHMe4, DMeNH<sub>2</sub>4, IN4 and BTh4. The stability of the tetraynes has been assessed under ambient laboratory conditions (20 °C, daylight and in air): NO<sub>2</sub>4 and BTh4 are stable for at least six months without observable decomposition, whereas NHMe4, NMe<sub>2</sub>4, DMeNH<sub>2</sub>4 and IN4 decom-

# Introduction

The study of carbon-rich compounds is a very active research topic in organic synthesis and materials chemistry.<sup>[1,2]</sup> For example, sp<sup>2</sup> hybridised systems (e.g., graphene, nanotubes, fullerenes) are widely investigated due to their fascinating reactivity and their outstanding electronic and optical properties.<sup>[3]</sup> However, the sp hybridised carbon allotrope ( $-C\equiv C$ )<sub>∞</sub> named carbyne is one of the least studied carbon allotropes due to its inaccessibility by standard synthetic routes and its insolubility.<sup>[4]</sup> Shorter chains of multiple conjugated alkyne units (i.e., diynes, oligoynes and polyynes), which possess terminal substituents to enhance their solubility and stability, have been studied for many years.<sup>[5]</sup> They are fundamentally important molecules for experimental<sup>[6–9]</sup> and theoretical studies<sup>[10,11]</sup> of conjugation and charge-transport through carbon-rich backbones.

Oligoynes/polyyne chains  $(-C=C)_n$  have very attractive electron transport properties due to their almost cylindrical conjugation over the alternating single and triple bonds of the onedimensional sp-backbone, leading to proposed applications as molecular wires, switches and non-linear optical compo-

[a]	Dr. M. Gulcur, X. Zhao, Dr. A. S. Batsanov, Prof. M. R. Bryce Department of Chemistry, Durham University Durham DH1 3LE (UK)
	E-mail: m.r.bryce@durham.ac.uk
[b]	Dr. P. Moreno-García, M. Baghernejad, Dr. W. Hong, Prof. T. Wandlowski Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of Bern, Freiestrasse 3, CH-3012 Bern (Switzerland)
	E-mail: thomas.wandlowski@dcb.unibe.ch
	Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201304671. pose within a few hours or days. The derivative **DMeNH<sub>2</sub>4**, with *ortho*-methyl groups partially shielding the tetrayne backbone, is considerably more stable than the parent compound with Ar = 4-NH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> (**NH<sub>2</sub>4**). The ability of the stable tetraynes to anchor in Au | molecule | Au junctions is reported. Scanning-tunnelling-microscopy break junction (STM-BJ) and mechanically controllable break junction (MCBJ) techniques are employed to investigate single-molecule conductance characteristics.

nents.<sup>[12,13]</sup> Theoretical studies suggest that polyynes could show metallic-like charge-transport characteristics when connected between two electrodes.<sup>[14,15]</sup>

The synthesis of oligoynes encounters problems as the stability decreases rapidly with the increasing number of the triple bonds in the backbone.<sup>[16]</sup> Although a few C<sub>8</sub> derivatives (i.e., Ar-(C=C)<sub>4</sub>-Ar, diaryltetraynes) and longer analogues with simple aryl end-groups are isolable at room temperature,<sup>[17]</sup> the established strategies for stabilising derivatives with  $n \ge 4$ alkyne units are: 1) to covalently attach very bulky aryl or organometallic end-groups,<sup>[18-21]</sup> 2) to shield the oligoyne backbone within a supramolecular rotaxane-like structure,[22-25] or 3) to encapsulate the polyyne within a carbon nanotube.<sup>[26]</sup> The vast majority of synthetic studies on oligo/polyynes have concerned ways to stabilise longer derivatives.<sup>[27]</sup> In contrast, little attention has been given to the synthesis of derivatives which might be suitable for molecular electronics applications. This requires functionalisation with terminal substituents which will anchor the molecules to gold or other electrode materials.<sup>[28]</sup> The very bulky terminal groups which stabilise longer oligo/polyynes are not suitable for this purpose, and the traditional anchoring groups (e.g., amine, thiol) are expected to make oligoynes unstable in ambient conditions due to interor intramolecular reactions of the anchor group with the oligoyne backbone, thereby cross-linking or degrading the molecules.[29]

In this context we have recently reported that diaryltetrayne derivatives (**Ar4**) with terminal pyridyl (**PY**)<sup>[30]</sup> 4-cyanophenyl (**CN**) and 2,3-dihydrobenzo[*b*]thien-5-yl (**BT**) end groups can be isolated, purified and fully characterised under standard laboratory conditions (20 °C, daylight and in air).<sup>[31]</sup> The transport properties of the **PY4**, **CN4** and **BT4** derivatives in Au|single-

Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 4653 - 4660

Wiley Online Library



molecule | Au junctions were studied by scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) and mechanically controllable break junction (MCBJ) methods.<sup>[31]</sup> However, the **Ar4** analogues with 4-aminophenyl (**NH**<sub>2</sub>) and 4-(thioacetyl)phenyl (**SAc**) end-groups rapidly decompose on attempted isolation and could not be purified.<sup>[31]</sup>

There is a need to explore new oligoynes to establish the scope of functionalised non-bulky end-groups which can be attached to the oligoyne chain and afford stable derivatives. We now present the synthesis of new diaryltetraynes with emphasis on derivatives which are stable under ambient conditions. Several new **Ar4** derivatives are characterised by spectroscopic methods and X-ray crystallography; the ability of the stable derivatives to anchor in molecular junctions is assessed and the electronic properties of Au|single-molecule|Au junctions are reported. This work provides the most comprehensive study to date on the synthesis of functionalised oligoynes and their applications in molecular junctions. observable decomposition. The compound has very low solubility and we could not characterise it by conventional solution NMR spectroscopy techniques. However, a high resolution mass spectrum and the X-ray crystal structure confirmed the assigned structure.

In a previous study, the rapid decomposition under ambient conditions of 4-aminophenyl end-capped tetrayne  $\rm NH_24$  was reported (Scheme 2).<sup>[31]</sup> The probable decomposition process is an intermolecular reaction of the amino group with the



**Scheme 2.** Structures of diaryltetraynes with functional end groups.<sup>[31]</sup> For definitions of "stable" and "unstable" see main text.

sp-chain to form the observed dark-coloured insoluble prod-

uct. In order to reduce the reactivity of the amine moiety, the

mono- and dimethylated analogues, NHMe4 and NMe<sub>2</sub>4, were

# **Results and Discussion**

ChemPubSoc

### Synthesis

Diaryltetrayne compounds **Ar4a–f** were synthesised by dimerisation of the trimethylsilyl protected precursor aryldiyne derivative **3a–f** under classical Eglington–Galbraith coupling conditions (Scheme 1).<sup>[32]</sup> Compounds **3a–d,f** were synthesised by



Scheme 1. Synthesis of diaryltetraynes Ar4a-f.

reaction of the corresponding aryliodide with 1,3-butadiyne-1-trimethylsilane. Due to the instability of 1,3-butadiyne-1-trimethylsilane (2) at high temperatures, compound **3e** was synthesised by a different route using 5-alkynylbenzo[*b*]thiophene **4** and trimethylsilyl acetylene. All steps proceeded in synthetically viable yields to afford the target diaryltetraynes **Ar4**. During the course of our work a similar synthesis of the nitrophenyl end-capped tetrayne **NO**<sub>2</sub>**4** was reported by Szafert et al.<sup>[33]</sup>

#### Stability of the diaryltetraynes

 $NO_24$  is stable under ambient laboratory conditions and a solid sample can be stored for several months on the shelf with no

synthesised. In comparison to NH<sub>2</sub>4, increased stability was observed for N-methylaniline endcapped tetrayne NHMe4, which was characterised as soon as possible after isolation by <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, and mass spectroscopy. Crystals of NHMe4 were grown in the dark. However, traces of decomposition products were seen and only limited stability under ambient conditions was observed for NHMe4. The dimethylamino analogue NMe<sub>2</sub>4 was found to be very light sensitive and attempts to grow crystals of

**NMe<sub>2</sub>4** were unsuccessful. During the preparation of this manuscript, the synthesis and X-ray crystal structure of the pentayne analogue terminated with bulkier 4-(diisopropylamino)phenyl groups was reported.<sup>[34]</sup>

Partially shielding the oligoyne chain by *ortho*-methyl groups, compound **DMeNH**<sub>2</sub>**4**, considerably increased the stability compared to the parent compound **NH**<sub>2</sub>**4**. **DMeNH**<sub>2</sub>**4** was unambiguously characterised by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry and X-ray crystallography, using single crystals, which were grown in the dark. The NMR spectra of **DMeNH**<sub>2</sub>**4** provided no evidence for decomposition upon storage in CDCl<sub>3</sub> in the NMR tube for six days in the dark after isolation. No precipitation or colour change of the solution was observed during this time. This sample was then exposed to



ambient laboratory light; after 2 h the formation of an insoluble brown-black precipitate was observed.

5-Indolyl end-capped tetrayne **IN4** was also light sensitive and thermally unstable. It was characterised by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR and mass spectrometry immediately after purification. The instability was observed as a colour change of the bright yellow crystals to dark green when exposed to ambient light at room temperature. Crystals of **IN4** for X-ray analysis were grown in the dark. In contrast, the 5-benzothienyl analogue **BTh4** is stable for at least six months under ambient conditions.

To enable a comprehensive study to be undertaken of the length dependence of the single-molecule conductance for the  $NO_2$  and BTh oligoyne series (see below), the corresponding butadiyne and tolane analogues were also synthesised (see the Supporting Information).

# X-ray crystal structures of NO<sub>2</sub>4, NHMe4, DMeNH<sub>2</sub>4, IN4 and BTh4

Molecules of NO<sub>2</sub>4, DMeNH<sub>2</sub>4 (crystallised as acetone disolvate), BTh4 and IN4 have crystallographic  $C_i$  symmetry and therefore parallel arene rings. NHMe4 has no crystallographic symmetry and its arene rings form a dihedral angle of 43° (Figure 1). The tetrayne rods in NO<sub>2</sub>4, DMeNH<sub>2</sub>4 and IN4 are practically linear; in the other two compounds they are substantially bent. In NHMe4 the C(4)–C(7) and C(14)–C(15) bonds form an angle of 161.4°; in BTh4 the central bond C(12)–C(12') forms 170.7° angles with C(7)–C(9) and C(7')–C(9') bonds. The molecular lengths are: NO<sub>2</sub>4 O(1)···O(1') 21.4; NHMe4 N(1)···N(2) 20.0; DMeNH<sub>2</sub>4 N···N' 20.1; IN4 N···N' 20.0; and BTh4 S···S' 20.7 Å.

All five crystal structures comprise arrays of rigorously parallel, albeit offset, molecules related by a crystal lattice translation *a* (in NO<sub>2</sub>4) or *b* (in all other cases). For NHMe4 and DMeNH<sub>2</sub>4, adjacent molecules in an array are linked by hydrogen bond bridges N–H···N–H···N and N–H···O···H–N–H···O···H– N, respectively. In IN4, weak N–H···N–H···N hydrogen bonds zip together two adjacent arrays. In NO<sub>2</sub>4, NHMe4, BTh4 and IN4 the shortest C···C distances between tetrayne rods (*d*) are close to the normal van der Waals contact (3.5 Å) and the arene end-groups are stacked in a  $\pi$ – $\pi$  fashion (Table 1). However, the slanting angle  $\phi$  is not favourable for topotactic 1,8-polymerisation, for which d=3.5 Å and  $\phi$ =21° are optimal.<sup>[33]</sup> The molecules of DMeNH<sub>2</sub>4 are intercalated by acetone of crystallisation, resulting in longer *d* and the absence of any effective

Table 1. Crystal packing parameters.									
	φ [°]	t [Å]	d [Å]	p [Å]					
NO <sub>2</sub> 4	78	3.74	3.68-3.69	3.38					
NHMe4	66	3.99	3.62-3.69	3.41, 3.43					
DMeNH₂4	58	5.23	4.42-4.51	-					
IN4	50	4.86	3.78-3.84	3.31					
BTh4	70	3.86	3.62-3.64	3.51					



Figure 1. X-ray molecular structures of  $NO_24$ , NHMe4, DMeNH<sub>2</sub>4, IN4 and BTh4 showing thermal ellipsoids of 50% probability. Primed atoms are generated by inversion centres.

overlap of the arene rings. Packing diagrams are shown in the Supporting Information.

#### Single-molecule conductance measurements

The transport properties of single oligoyne wires were studied by STM-BJ<sup>[35,36]</sup> and complementary MCBJ<sup>[37-39]</sup> measurements in solution at room temperature and in the absence of oxygen. The STM-BJ approach is based on the repeated formation and breaking of (single) molecule junctions formed between an atomically sharp gold STM tip and a flat Au(111) substrate, and the simultaneous monitoring of the current  $i_{\rm T}$  or conductance  $G = i_{\rm T}/V_{\rm bias}$  in function of distance  $\Delta z$  at constant bias voltage,  $V_{\rm bias}$ . The MCBJ technique relies on the formation and rupture of molecular junctions between horizontally suspended gold wires.<sup>[37]</sup> For further technical details we refer to the Experimental Section and to our previous work.<sup>[38-41]</sup>

Figure 2 A displays, as an example, typical conductance (*G*) versus relative distance ( $\Delta z$ ) stretching traces, plotted in a semi-logarithmic scale, as recorded for **BTh4** in TMB/THF. After formation of the contact between the gold tip and the Au(111) substrate, the tip was withdrawn with a rate of 58 nm s<sup>-1</sup>. All curves show initially a step-like decrease of the conductance from 10 *G*<sub>0</sub> up to 1 *G*<sub>0</sub> with *G*<sub>0</sub>=2*e*<sup>2</sup>*h*=77.5  $\mu$ S



**Figure 2.** STM-BJ-based conductance measurements of **BTh4** in TMB/THF (5:1, v/v) as recorded with  $V_{\rm bias} = 0.065$  V and a stretching rate of 58 nm s<sup>-1</sup>. A) Typical original conductance versus distance traces. B) The 1D conductance histogram. The noise level is indicated by the asterisk. The small spike at log ( $G/G_0 \approx -2$  represents an artefact related to the switching of the amplifier. C) The 2D conductance histogram generated from 1600 individual curves without any data selection. D) Characteristic relative displacement length histogram.<sup>(38)</sup>

being the quantum of conductance.<sup>[36]</sup> Subsequently, the conductance decreases abruptly by several orders in magnitude ("jump out of contact").<sup>[42]</sup> The very end of the gold contacts retract by approximately  $(0.5 \pm 0.1)$  nm, the so-called snap-back distance  $\Delta z_{corr}$  (see refs. [31] and [38] for details). Additional features, such as plateaus, are observed at  $G \le 10^{-3}G_0$ , which are attributed to the formation of (single) molecular junctions. The noise level is reached at  $G < 10^{-6}G_0$  in the STM-BJ experiments.

Several thousands of individual *G* versus  $\Delta z$  traces were recorded and subsequently analysed further by constructing all-data point histograms (without any data selection) to extract statistically significant results. Figure 2B displays the corresponding one-dimensional (1D) histogram of **BTh4** in a semi-logarithmic scale. We observed peaks for the breaking of Au–Au contacts as marked by integers of  $G_0$ , and one well-defined molecular-junction related feature. A

Gaussian fit led to  $G^* = 10^{-4}G_0$  as the most probable single molecular junction conductance of **BTh4**. This value appears to be independent of the concentration of **BTh4** down to 1  $\mu$ m. The above analysis was extended by constructing all-data

point two-dimensional (2D) conductance versus displacement histograms.<sup>[43,44]</sup> This representation provides direct access to the evolution of molecular junctions during the formation, stretching and break-down steps. Figure 2C shows the corresponding data for **BTh4**. The individual  $G-\Delta z$  traces were aligned by setting the common origin to  $\Delta z=0$  at  $G=0.7 G_0$ . The data also reveal quantised conductance features at  $G \ge$  $1 G_0$ . They correspond to the breaking of gold–gold contacts. An additional high-density data cloud is observed in  $10^{-4.5}G_0 \le$  $G \le 10^{-3.5}G_0$ , centred on  $10^{-4}G_0$ . This region represents the conductance range of a single **BTh4** molecule bridging the gold nanoelectrodes. The 2D histogram in Figure 2C demonstrates further that the conductance decreases monotonously with increasing relative displacement (or junction elongation)  $\Delta z$ . Similar results were obtained in complementary experiments employing the MCBJ technique.

Analysing the evolution of a molecular junction upon stretching we constructed relative displacement ( $\Delta z$ ) histograms by calculating the displacement from the relative zero position at 0.7  $G_0$  up to the end of the molecular conductance region of every individual trace.<sup>[38]</sup> The lower limit is defined as one order of magnitude beneath the most probable conductance  $G_{\rm H}$ . Figure 2D displays the characteristic displacement histograms of **BTh4**. The plot shows a uniform normal distribution (*G*) with a well-defined maximum  $\Delta z^* = 0.64$  nm as a measure of the most-probable plateau lengths of **BTh4** molecular junctions. Stretching traces shorter than 0.5 nm (T) were assigned to direct tunnelling through solution without the formation of a molecular junction.<sup>[38]</sup> This consideration leads to a junction formation probability of 30% (Table 2).

Finally, we obtained the most probable absolute displace-

Table 2. Single molecule conductance characteristics of molecular junctions formed by end-capped tetraynes attached to gold leads.										
Molecule	L [nm] <sup>[a]</sup>	$\Delta z^*$ [nm] <sup>[b]</sup>	<i>z*</i> [nm] <sup>[c]</sup>	G*/G <sub>0</sub>	JFP [%] <sup>[d]</sup>	$eta$ [nm] $^{-1[e]}$	$R_{c}$ $[k\Omega]^{[f]}$			
BT4 PY4 CN4 NO <sub>2</sub> 4 <sup>[g]</sup> BTh4	2.08 1.76 2.28 2.14 2.08	$\begin{array}{c} 1.40 \pm 0.10 \\ 1.40 \pm 0.10 \\ 0.70 \pm 0.10 \\ 0.60 \pm 0.10 \\ 0.64 \pm 0.10 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.90 \pm 0.10 \\ 1.90 \pm 0.10 \\ 1.20 \pm 0.10 \\ 1.10 \pm 0.10 \\ 1.14 \pm 0.10 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.0 \times 10^{-4} \\ 4.0 \times 10^{-5} \\ 4.0 \times 10^{-6} \\ 1.0 \times 10^{-7} \\ 1.0 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	100 100 45 40 30	$\begin{array}{c} 3.1 \pm 0.2 \\ 2.9 \pm 0.2 \\ 1.75 \pm 0.1 \\ 5.4 \pm 0.3 \\ 2.3 \pm 0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 115 \pm 31 \\ 1900 \pm 1100 \\ 58000 \pm 20100 \\ 980 \pm 500 \\ 1050 \pm 650 \end{array}$			
[a] Molecular length, which is defined as the distance between the centre of the anchor atom at one end of a fully extended isolated molecule to the centre of the anchor atom at the other end. The lengths of the molecules were obtained from crystal structure data: [b] the most probable relative junction elongation (displacement):										

tal structure data; [b] the most probable relative junction elongation (displacement); [c]  $z^* = \Delta z^* + 0.5$  is the absolute junction elongation (displacement); [d] the junction formation probability; [e] the attenuation parameter as obtained from the length dependence (*L*) of families of oligoynes with 4, 2 and 1 triple bond(s) end-capped with the respective anchors (some of the original data are taken from ref. [31]; [f] contact resistance as obtained from the semi-logarithmic correlation of conductance *G* versus molecular length *L*; [g] these data are based on the analysis of 600 out of 2000 traces (for details see the Supporting Information).

> ments  $z_{\rm H}^*$  in an experimental **BTh4** molecular junction formed between a gold STM-tip and an Au(111) surface by adding the snap-back distance  $\Delta z_{\rm corr} = (0.5 \pm 0.1)$  nm to the relative displacement  $\Delta z^*$ :  $z_i^* = \Delta z^* + \Delta z_{\rm corr}^{[38]}$  which gives  $(1.14 \pm 0.10)$  nm (Table 2). This value is smaller than the molecular length, *L*, which is defined as the distance between the centre of the sulfur anchor atom at one end of a fully extended isolated molecule to the centre of the anchor atom at the other end. In other words, the molecular junctions formed with **BTh4** break most often before the molecule is completely extended.

> Next, we investigated the entire family of tetrayne-type molecular junctions following the methodology described above for **BTh4**. Table 2 summarises the characteristic data  $G^*$ ,  $\Delta z^*$ ,  $z^*$



and *L* for **BT4**, **PY4**, **CN4**, **NO**<sub>2</sub>**4** and **BTh4**. The original traces can be found in the Supporting Information or in ref. [31]. We note that the low junction conductance value of **NO**<sub>2</sub>**4** was obtained in the MCBJ set-up, which allows more sensitive electrical measurements down to  $10^{-8.5} G_0$ .<sup>[39]</sup> We obtained the following trend in the most probable molecular junction conductances:

 $G^{*}(BT4) > G^{*}(BTh4) > G^{*}(PY4) > G^{*}(CN) > G^{*}(NO_{2}4)$ 

The junction formation probabilities decrease from 100% for BT4 and PY4 to values below 50% for the other three derivatives. In combination with the values of the most probable absolute displacements  $z^*$ , which are considerably smaller than the molecular length L, we conclude that tetrayne-type molecular junctions terminated with CN-, BTh- and NO<sub>2</sub>- anchors are rather unstable and break at an inclined angle with respect to the surface normal before they are fully extended. The comparison with previous experimental observations<sup>[31,38]</sup> suggests that these termini are less favourable for the formation of stable molecular junctions. However, we note that the results of the current study deviate from recent observations of Zotti et al.<sup>[45]</sup> who concluded, based on MCBJ experiments in air under ambient conditions, that molecular junctions formed with NO<sub>2</sub>-capped tolanes are rather stable. This difference may arise from the nature of the different experimental conditions in both studies.

We note that no reliable single molecule junction conductance measurements could be carried out for NHMe4, DMeNH<sub>2</sub>4, IN4, NH<sub>2</sub>4 and SH4 due to their limited chemical stability under current experimental conditions. In the case of NMe<sub>2</sub>4 the two methyl substituents of the nitrogen prevented the formation of stable molecular contacts, which led to bare tunnelling traces.

Next, we compared the dependencies of the most probable single junction conductances on molecular length *L*, as constructed from the experimental data of the five tetraynes (**PY4**,<sup>[31]</sup> **NO**<sub>2</sub>**4**, **BT4**,<sup>[31]</sup> **CN4**<sup>[31]</sup> and **BTh4**) together with data for the shorter butadiyne and tolane analogues with seven different end-groups (Figure 3). Analysing these data with reference to a simple tunnelling model, which is represented by  $G^* = G_c^* \times \exp(-\beta \times L)$ , leads to the attenuation constant  $\beta$  and to the contact conductance  $G_c^*$ .  $G_c^* = 1/R_c$  represents an effective contact conductance reflecting the electronic coupling at the



**Figure 3.** Most probable single junction conductance values  $G^*$  of families of oligoynes as obtained from the analysis of the 1D and 2D conductance histograms in dependence on the length of the molecule.

molecule-electrode interface.  $R_c$  is the corresponding contact resistance. The  $\beta$  value is the attenuation constant, which characterises the electronic coupling in a specific molecular backbone as a function of its length. The experimentally determined  $\beta$  values range between (1.75  $\pm$  0.1) nm<sup>-1</sup> (**CN**) to (5.4  $\pm$ 0.3) nm<sup>-1</sup> (NO<sub>2</sub>). The distinctly different values of  $\beta$  demonstrate that the nature of the anchor group controls the strength of the electronic coupling to the metal leads, the position of the energy levels involved in the electron transport across the single molecule junction as well as their coupling into the wire backbone. For comparison, we also added the  $NH_2$  and SHend-capped oligoynes despite the limited data set due to the instability of the tetraynes.<sup>[31]</sup> The resulting values of the attenuation constant  $\beta$  are comparable with those of other  $\pi$ -conjugated molecular wires, such as oligo(phenylene-ethynylenes),<sup>[41,46-50]</sup> oligophenyleneimine<sup>[51]</sup> and oligo(phenylene-vinylenes).<sup>[46]</sup> We conclude that stable molecular wires bearing an oligoyne backbone in combination with proper anchoring groups may act as promising building blocks in more complex molecular assemblies combining functional units.

## Conclusion

We have synthesised new oligoyne derivatives with emphasis on obtaining stable diaryltetraynes with anchor groups that are suitable for attaching the molecules to gold electrodes. The tetraynes Ar4a-f have been synthesised by dimerisation of the trimethylsilyl-protected precursor aryldiyne derivative under classical Eglington-Galbraith coupling conditions. Under ambient laboratory conditions (20°C, daylight and in air) the tetraynes NO<sub>2</sub>4 and BTh4 are stable for at least six months without observable decomposition, whereas NHMe4, NMe24, DMeNH<sub>2</sub>4 and IN4 decompose within a few hours or days. These results, in combination with previous studies,<sup>[31]</sup> provide a comprehensive assessment of the range of functional groups which can be tolerated at the terminal positions of diaryloligoynes. The X-ray molecular structures are reported for NO<sub>2</sub>4, NHMe4, DMeNH<sub>2</sub>4, IN4 and BTh4. STM-BJ and MCBJ techniques have been employed to probe the single-molecule conductance characteristics of the series of oligoynes with terminal 4-nitrophenyl and 5-benzothienyl groups and four, two and one triple bond(s) in the backbone. We conclude that with careful choice of the anchor group oligoynes are a viable family of stable molecular wires for molecular electronics applications. It remains a challenge to synthesise longer oligoynes (i.e., with more than four triple bonds) that are stable and contain functional groups that enable the molecules to anchor to gold electrodes.

# **Experimental Section**

## General

All reactions were conducted under a blanket of argon which was dried by passage through a column of phosphorus pentoxide unless otherwise stated. All commercial chemicals were used without further purification. Anhydrous toluene, tetrahydrofuran (THF),

Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 4653 – 4660



dichloromethane (DCM) and diethyl ether (Et<sub>2</sub>O) were dried through an HPLC column on an Innovative Technology Inc. solvent purification system. Petroleum ether (PE) used for column chromatography was b.p. 40-60 °C fractions and was used as received. Column chromatography was carried out using 40-60 µm mesh silica (Fluorochem). Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on 20 mm precoated plates of silica gel (Merck, silica gel 60F254); visualisation was made using ultraviolet light (254 and 365 nm). NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance-400, Varian VNMRS 700 and Varian Inova 500 spectrometers. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to CDCl<sub>3</sub> (7.26 ppm), [D<sub>6</sub>]acetone (2.09 ppm),  $[D_6]DMSO$  (2.50 ppm) or tetramethylsilane (0.00 ppm). Melting points were determined in open-ended capillaries using a Stuart Scientific SMP40 melting point apparatus at a ramping rate of 2°Cmin<sup>-1</sup>. Mass spectra were measured on a Waters Xevo OTofMS with an ASAP probe. Electron ionisation (EI) mass spectra were recorded on a Thermoquest Trace or a Thermo-Finnigan DSQ. Ion analyses were performed on a Dionex 120 Ion Chromatography detector. Elemental analyses were performed on a CE-400 Elemental Analyzer. IR spectra were obtained on a PerkinElmer FT-IR Paragon 1000 spectrometer. UV/Vis absorption spectra were obtained on a Unicam UV2 UV/Vis spectrometer. CAUTION! We did not attempt to measure the melting points of the diaryltetrayne derivatives, as on a previous occasion we observed that 1,8-bis(4-cyanophenyl)octa-1,3,5,7-tetrayne (CN4) exploded upon heating.<sup>[31]</sup>

#### Synthesis

**General procedure I for Sonogashira couplings**: The iodoarene derivative (1 equiv),  $[Pd(PPh_3)_4]$  (5% mmol) and Cul (2% mmol) were placed in a dry flask and dissolved in THF (7 mL per mmol) and  $(iPr)_2NH$  or Et<sub>3</sub>N (1 mL per mmol), to which the alkyne was added in small portions in 15 min. The mixture was stirred under argon at RT until the reaction was complete (judged by TLC). Solvents were removed and the crude was purified by column chromatography.

General procedure II for oxidative homo-couplings of TMS-alkynes: TMS-alkyne was dissolved in MeOH/pyridine (1:1 v/v) mixture (10 mL per mmol) and Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (2 equiv, 0.400 g per mmol) was added to the mixture in one portion and stirred until the reaction was complete (judged by TLC). The reaction was quenched by adding saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution (5 mL per mmol) and diluted with DCM (25 mL per mmol), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The crude was purified either by column chromatography or recrystallisation. Compounds 1b,<sup>[52]</sup> 1c,<sup>[53]</sup> 1d<sup>[54]</sup> BDTMS (2),<sup>[55]</sup> 3c,<sup>[56]</sup> 4<sup>[57]</sup> and NMe<sub>2</sub>4<sup>[55]</sup> were synthesised according to the literature procedures. Compounds 1a and 1e were obtained commercially.

**Trimethyl**[(4-nitrophenyl)buta-1,3-diyn-1-yl]silane (3a): General procedure *I*: 4-lodonitrobenzene (1a; 0.400 g, 1.6 mmol), BDTMS (2; 0.216 g, 1.77 mmol), [Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>] (92 mg), Cul (6 mg), (*i*Pr)<sub>2</sub>NH were allowed to react, overnight, at RT. Column chromatography using DCM/hexane (1:1, v/v) as eluent afforded **3a** as a yellow solid (0.30 g, 77% yield). M.p.: 110.0–111.0 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =8.18 (d, *J*=9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.61 (d, *J*=9.0 Hz, 2H), 0.24 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =147.75, 133.62, 128.58, 123.87, 94.45, 87.12, 79.19, 74.37, -0.32 ppm; MS (ES<sup>+</sup>): *m/z* (%): 244.0 ([*M*+H]<sup>+</sup>, 100). The data are consistent with the literature.<sup>[58]</sup>

**1,8-Bis(4-nitrophenyl)octa-1,3,5,7-tetrayne (NO<sub>2</sub>4)**: General procedure II: A mixture of **3a** (0.200 g, 0.82 mmol) and Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (0.328 g, 1.64 mmol) was stirred for 12 h at RT. The yellow-green precipitate was filtered and recrystallised from toluene to give **NO<sub>2</sub>4** as air-stable yellow crystals (0.12 g, 43% yield). Reliable NMR spectra could not be obtained due to the compound's very low solubility in standard NMR solvents, including  $C_6D_6$ , which is stated in ref. [33] as the NMR solvent for this compound. MS (ES): m/z (%): 341.1 [ $M^+$  + H]; HR-MS (ASAP<sup>+</sup>) m/z calcd for  $C_{20}H_8N_2O_4$ : 340.0484; found: 340.0466 [ $M^+$ ]; IR (solid):  $\tilde{v}$  = 3106, 2202, 1589, 1516, 1340, 1287, 1103, 1014, 851, 745, 682 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\varepsilon$ ) = 317 (84 600), 334 (96 000), 356 (85 200), 384 (72 400), 416 nm (44 800 dm<sup>3</sup> m<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>). Crystals for X-ray analysis were grown by slow evaporation of a solution in toluene.

**N-Methyl-4-[(trimethylsilyl)buta-1,3-diyn-1-yl]aniline (3 b)**: *General procedure I*: A mixture of **1b** (0.500 g, 2.1 mmol), BDTMS (**2**; 0.525, 4.20 mmol), [Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>] (0.124 g) and Cul (10 mg) was stirred at RT for 4 h. Column chromatography (DCM/PE, 1:1 v/v) gave **3b** as a yellow, light-sensitive oil (0.445 g, 95% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 6.48 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 4.01 (s, 1 H), 2.83 (s, 3 H), 0.22 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 150.24, 134.44, 112.11, 108.54, 89.56, 88.89, 78.86, 72.49, 30.45, -0.01 ppm; MS (ES): *m/z* (%): 228.2 [*M*<sup>+</sup> + H, 100%].

**4,4'-(Octa-1,3,5,7-tetrayne-1,8-diyl)bis(***N***-methylaniline)** (**NHMe4**): General procedure *I*I: A mixture of **3b** (0.200 g, 0.88 mmol), Cu-(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (0.351 g) was stirred for 16 h at RT. Column chromatography (DCM/PE, 1:1 v/v) gave **NHMe4** as a yellow solid (0.111 g, 81% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.36 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 4 H), 6.49 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 4 H), 4.07 (s, 2 H), 2.86 ppm (s, 6 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 150.56, 135.11, 112.15, 107.76, 79.79, 73.39, 67.53, 64.67, 30.39 ppm; HR-MS (ASAP<sup>+</sup>): *m/z* calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>2</sub> [*M*<sup>+</sup> + H]: 309.1371; found: 309.1414 [*M*<sup>+</sup> + H]. Crystals for X-ray analysis were grown by slow evaporation of a solution in CHCl<sub>3</sub>.

**3,5-Dimethyl-4-[(trimethylsilyl)buta-1,3-diyn-1-yl]aniline** (**3 d**): General procedure *l*: A mixture of **1 d**<sup>[59]</sup> (0.300 g, 1.21 mmol), BDTMS (**2**; 0.297 g, 2.43 mmol), [Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>] (70 mg), (*i*Pr)<sub>2</sub>NH, Cul (5 mg), was reacted for 20 min, in a microwave reactor at 100 °C. Column chromatography using DCM/PE (1:1, v/v) as eluent afforded **3 d** as a brownish oil (40 mg, 13%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =6.32 (s, 2H), 3.76 (s, 2H), 2.34 (s, 6H), 0.23 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =147.17, 144.37, 113.52, 110.84, 90.50, 88.92, 80.05, 76.21, 21.32, 0.03 ppm; MS (ES<sup>+</sup>): *m/z* (%): 241.1 ([*M*]<sup>+</sup>, 40).

#### 4,4'-(Octa-1,3,5,7-tetrayne-1,8-diyl)bis(3,5-dimethylaniline)

(DMeNH<sub>2</sub>4): General procedure II: A mixture of 3d (40 mg, 0.16 mmol), Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (66 mg), was reacted, overnight, at RT. Column chromatography using DCM as eluent afforded DMeNH<sub>2</sub>4 as a yellow solid (18 mg, 65%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D<sub>6</sub>]acetone):  $\delta =$ 6.41 (s, 4H), 5.27 (s, 4H), 2.29 ppm (s, 12H);  $^{13}\!C\,NMR$  (101 MHz,  $CDCI_3$ ):  $\delta = 155.72$ , 150.04, 118.21, 111.92, 84.91, 84.36, 73.54, 70.66, 25.66 ppm; HR-MS (ASAP<sup>+</sup>): m/z calcd for  $C_{24}H_{21}N_2$   $[M+H]^+$ : 337.1699; found: 337.1711; UV/Vis (CH\_2Cl\_2):  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  ( $\varepsilon)\!=\!306$  (54527), 325 (78844), 360 (94907), 378 (96986), 411 nm (63 976  $dm^3\, {\ensuremath{\mathsf{m}}}^{-1}\, cm^{-1}).$  Crystals for X-ray analysis were grown by slow evaporation of a solution in acetone in the dark.

**1,8-Bis(indol-5-yl)octa-1,3,5,7-tetrayne (IN4)**: General procedure *I*: 5-lodoindole (**1e**; 300 mg, 1.23 mmol), Cul (12 mg), [Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>] (70 mg), BDTMS (**2**; 226 mg, 1.85 mmol), THF/Et<sub>3</sub>N (10 mL) were reacted for 24 h at 50 °C. The solvent was removed by vacuum evaporation to yield a product which could not be purified by column chromatography. *General procedure II*: This was conducted directly on the brown crude material. Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (320 mg) and MeOH/ pyridine (1:1 v/v) were reacted for 23 h, at RT. Purification by column chromatography using DCM/hexane (3:7 v/v) as eluent gave a yellow solid (70 mg, 35% overall yield). M.p.: 130 °C (dec.) which turned green in ambient conditions within a week. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [[D<sub>6</sub>]DMSO):  $\delta$  = 11.51 (s, 2H), 7.94 (s, 2H), 7.47 (m, 2H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 6.49 ppm (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, [D<sub>6</sub>]DMSO):  $\delta$  = 137.46, 128.33, 128.25,

Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 4653 – 4660



127.57, 126.39, 113.10, 109.12, 102.51, 82.29, 72.35, 66.93, 65.04 ppm; HR-MS (ASAP<sup>+</sup>) m/z calcd for  $C_{24}H_{12}N_2$  [*M*]<sup>+</sup> 328.1000; found: 328.1014. Crystals for X-ray analysis were grown by slow evaporation of a solution in dichloromethane/hexane in the dark.

**[(Benzothiophen-5-yl)buta-1,3-diyn-1-yl]trimethylsilane (3 f)**: Trimethylsilylacetylene (TMSA; 930 mg, 9.4 mmol), [Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)Cl<sub>2</sub>] (33 mg) and Cul (9 mg) was added to a solution of **4** (150 mg, 0.94 mmol) in THF/Et<sub>3</sub>N (1:1 v/v). Air was bubbled constantly through the solution until the reaction was complete after 12 h at RT. Purification by column chromatography using PE as eluent gave **3 f** as a yellow solid (90 mg, 38% yield). M.p.: 91.3–92.4 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =7.97 (s, 1H), 7.81 (d, *J*=8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, *J*=5.5 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, *J*=8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (d, *J*=5.5 Hz, 1H), 0.26 ppm (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =140.93, 139.66, 128.47, 128.06, 127.96, 123.87, 122.84, 117.36, 90.67, 88.24, 77.46, 73.98, 0.00 ppm; HR-MS (ASAP<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>15</sub>SiS [*M*+H]<sup>+</sup> 255.0664; found: 255.0662.

1,8-Bis(benzothiophen-5-yl)octa-1,3,5,7-tetrayne (BTh4): General procedure II: A mixture of **3 f** (80 mg, 0.31 mmol), Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (130 mg), MeOH/pyridine (1:1 v/v) was reacted for 22 h, at RT. Purification by column chromatography using DCM/PE (5:95 v/v) as eluent gave BTh4 as a bright yellow solid (50 mg, 87% yield). M.p.: 160 °C (decomp.); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz,  $CD_2CI_2$ ):  $\delta = 8.08$  (s, 2 H), 7.89 (d, J=8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (d, J=5.5 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (d, J=8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.38 ppm (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta =$  141.54, 139.53, 128.92, 128.18, 127.97, 123.59, 122.82, 115.95, 78.38, 73.60, 66.73, 63.63 ppm; HR-MS (ASAP<sup>+</sup>) m/z calcd for  $C_{24}H_{10}S_2$   $[M]^+$ 362.0224; found: 362.0224; IR (solid): v=2192, 1897, 1591, 1435, 1326, 1253, 1168, 1087, 1050, 1002, 883, 867, 805, 744, 683 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\varepsilon$ ) = 309 (130 301), 328 (136 920), 350 (69 825), 377 (69 282), 409 nm (43 824 dm<sup>3</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>). Crystals for X-ray analysis were grown by slow evaporation of a solution in dichloromethane/acetone.

CCDC-938775 (**NO<sub>2</sub>4**), 938776 (**NHMe4**), 938777 (**DMeNH<sub>2</sub>4**), 973876 (**IN4**) and 938778 (**BTh4**) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif.

#### **Conductance measurements**

The STM-BJ experiments were carried out with a modified Molecular Imaging Pico SPM equipped with a dual-channel preamplifier and housed in an all-glass argon-filled chamber.[38] The current  $(i_{\tau})$ -distance ( $\Delta z$ ) measurements were performed with a separate, lab-built analog ramp unit. The sample electrodes were Au(111) disks, 2 mm height and 10 mm in diameter, or gold single crystal bead electrodes. The Au(111) substrates were flame-annealed before each experiment. The STM tips were uncoated, electrochemically etched gold wires (Goodfellow, 99.999%, 0.25 mm diameter). The solutions were prepared from 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene (TMB, Aldrich, p. a.) and tetrahydrofuran (THF, Aldrich, p. a.), volume ratio 4:1, containing typically 0.1 mm of the target molecules. The substrate surface was inspected by STM-imaging before the start of each set of  $i_{\tau} - \Delta z$  measurements. Typically, we recorded 2000 to 3000 individual traces with a pulling rate of  $58 \text{ nm s}^{-1}$ . The MCBJ experiments are based on the trapping of individual molecules between two atomically sharp gold wires (99.999%, Goodfellow, 100 µm diameter), freely suspended and supported on a sheet of spring steel. The latter is bent by a pushing rod, the vertical movement of which is controlled by a stepper motor in combination with a piezo stack. The usual moving rate of the pushing rod was 3 to 150 nm s<sup>-1</sup>, which translates into an opening respective closing rate of 0.1 to  $5 \text{ nm s}^{-1}$ . Typically, we recorded up to 2000 stretching cycles to obtain statistically significant data.

## Acknowledgements

The work was funded by the EC FP7 ITNs "FUNMOLS" Project No. 212942 and "MOLESCO" Project No. 606728, the Swiss National Science Foundation under contract 200020\_144471/1 and the University of Bern. Z.X. thanks the China Scholarship Council for the award of a scholarship.

**Keywords:** cross-coupling  $\cdot$  oligoynes  $\cdot$  polyynes  $\cdot$  single-molecule conductance  $\cdot$  X-ray diffraction

- Carbon-Rich Compounds: From Molecules to Materials (Eds.: M. M. Haley, R. R. Tykwinski), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2006.
- [2] Acetylene Chemistry: Chemistry, Biology and Material Science (Eds.: F. Diederich, P. J. Stang, R. R. Tykwinski), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2005.
- [3] a) J. Mater. Chem.: Theme Issue: Carbon Nanostructures (Eds.: D. M. Guldi, N. Martin, M. Prato), 2008, 18, 1401–1604; b) D. M. Guldi, B. M. Illescas, C. M. Atienza, M. Wielopolski, N. Martin, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1587– 1597; c) L. Rodriguez-Perez, M. A. Herranz, N. Martin, Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 3721–3735.
- [4] a) F. Diederich, Nature 1994, 369, 199–207; b) W. A. Chalifoux, R. R. Tykwinski, C. R. Chim. 2009, 12, 341–358.
- [5] Polyynes: Synthesis Properties and Applications (Ed.: F. Cataldo), CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, 2006.
- [6] E. Jahnke, R. R. Tykwinski, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 3235-3249.
- [7] S. A. Vail, P. J. Krawczuk, D. M. Guldi, A. Palkar, L. Echegoyen, J. P. C. Tome, J. M. A. Fazio, D. I. Schuster, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2005**, *11*, 3375–3388.
- [8] C. Wang, L.-O. Palsson, A. S. Batsanov, M. R. Bryce, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 3789–3799.
- [9] L.-O. Pålsson, C. Wang, A. S. Batsanov, S. M. King, A. Beeby, A. P. Monkman, M. R. Bryce, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 1470–1479.
- [10] F. Cataldo, L. Ravagnan, E. Cinquanta, I. E. Castelli, N. Manini, G. Onida, P. Milani, J. Phys. Chem. B 2010, 114, 14834-14841.
- [11] P. O. Dral, T. Clark, J. Phys. Chem. A 2011, 115, 11303-11312.
- [12] J. Cornil, D. Beljonne, J. P. Calbert, J. L. Brédas, Adv. Mater. 2001, 13, 1053–1067.
- [13] A. D. Slepkov, F. A. Hegmann, S. Eisler, E. Elliott, R. R. Tykwinski, J. Chem. Phys. 2004, 120, 6807-6810.
- [14] Z. Crljen, G. Baranović, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2007, 98, 116801.
- [15] V. M. Garcia-Suarez, C. J. Lambert, Nanotechnology 2008, 19, 455203.
- [16] R. Eastmond, T. R. Johnson, D. R. M. Walton, *Tetrahedron* **1972**, *28*, 4601–4616.
- [17] a) J. Kendall, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, R. R. Tykwinski, Org. Lett.
   2008, 10, 2163–2166; b) Y. Rubin, S. S. Lin, C. B. Knobler, J. Anthony,
   A. M. Boldi, F. Diederich, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 6943–6949.
- [18] T. Gibtner, F. Hampel, J.-P. Gisselbrecht, A. Hirsch, Chem. Eur. J. 2002, 8, 408-432.
- [19] T. Luu, E. Elliott, A. D. Slepkov, S. Eisler, R. McDonald, F. A. Hegmann, R. R. Tykwinski, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 51–54.
- [20] a) W. Mohr, J. Stahl, F. Hampel, J. A. Gladysz, Chem. Eur. J. 2003, 9, 3324–3334; b) S. Szafert, J. A. Gladysz, Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 4175– 4206.
- [21] C. Wang, A. S. Batsanov, K. West, M. R. Bryce, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 3069– 3072.
- [22] C. Klinger, O. Vostrowsky, A. Hirsch, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 1508-1524.
- [23] J. Sugiyama, I. Tomita, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 4651–4653.
- [24] L. D. Movsisyan, D. V. Kondratuk, M. Franz, A. L. Thompson, R. R. Tykwinski, H. L. Anderson, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3424-3426.
- [25] N. Weisbach, Z. Baranova, S. Gauthier, J. H. Reibenspies, J. A. Gladysz, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 7562–7564.
- [26] C. Zhao, R. Kitaura, H. Hara, S. Irle, H. Shinohara, J. Phys. Chem. C 2011, 115, 13166-13170.
- [27] W. A. Chalifoux, R. R. Tykwinski, Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 967-971.

Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 4653 – 4660





- [28] N. Weibel, S. Grunder, M. Mayor, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2007, 5, 2343– 2353.
- [29] R. Ziessel, J. Suffert, M.-T. Youinou, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 6535-6546.
- [30] C. Wang, A. S. Batsanov, M. R. Bryce, S. Martin, R. J. Nichols, S. J. Higgins, V. M. Garcia-Suarez, C. J. Lambert, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 15647– 15654.
- [31] P. Moreno-García, M. Gulcur, D. Z. Manrique, T. Pope, W. Hong, V. Kaliginedi, C. Huang, A. S. Batsanov, M. R. Bryce, C. Lambert, T. Wandlowski, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 12228–12240.
- [32] G. Eglington, A. R. Galbraith, J. Chem. Soc. 1958, 889-896.
- [33] N. Gulia, K. Osowska, B. Pigulski, T. Lis, Z. Galewski, S. Szafert, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 4819–4830.
- [34] M. Stefko, M. D. Tzirakis, B. Breiten, M.-O. Ebert, O. Dumele, W. B. Schweizer, J.-P. Gisselbrecht, C. Boudon, M. T. Beels, I. Biaggio, F. Diederich, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, 19, 12693 – 12704.
- [35] C. Li, I. Pobelov, T. Wandlowski, A. Bagrets, A. Arnold, F. Evers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 318–326.
- [36] B. Q. Xu, N. J. Tao, Science 2003, 301, 1221-1223.
- [37] R. Huber, M. T. Gonzalez, S. Wu, M. Langer, S. Grunder, V. Horhoiu, M. Mayor, M. R. Bryce, C. S. Wang, R. Jitchati, C. Schonenberger, M. Calame, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 1080–1084.
- [38] W. Hong, D. Z. Manrique, P. Moreno-García, M. Gulcur, A. Mishchenko, C. J. Lambert, M. R. Bryce, T. Wandlowski, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 2292-2304.
- [39] W. Hong, H. Valkenier, G. Mészáros, D. Z. Manrique, A. Mishchenko, A. Putz, P. M. García, C. J. Lambert, J. C. Hummelen, T. Wandlowski, *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol.* 2011, 2, 699–713.
- [40] G. Meszaros, C. Li, I. Pobelov, T. Wandlowski, Nanotechnology 2007, 18, 424004.
- [41] V. Kaliginedi, P. Moreno-García, H. Valkenier, W. Hong, V. M. García-Suárez, P. Buiter, J. L. H. Otten, J. C. Hummelen, C. J. Lambert, T. Wandlowski, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5262–5275.
- [42] S. Y. Quek, M. Kamenetska, M. L. Steigerwald, H. J. Choi, S. G. Louie, M. S. Hybertsen, J. B. Neaton, L. Venkataraman, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 2009, 4, 230–234.

- [43] A. Mishchenko, L. A. Zotti, D. Vonlanthen, M. Burkle, F. Pauly, J. C. Cuevas, M. Mayor, T. Wandlowski, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 184–187.
- [44] C. A. Martin, D. Ding, J. K. Sorensen, T. Bjornholm, J. M. van Ruitenbeek, H. S. J. van der Zant, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 13198–13199.
- [45] L. A. Zotti, T. Kirchner, J. C. Cuevas, F. Pauly, T. Huhn, E. Scheer, A. Erbe, Small 2010, 6, 1529–1535.
- [46] H. M. Liu, N. Wang, J. W. Zhao, Y. Guo, X. Yin, F. Y. C. Boey, H. Zhang, ChemPhysChem 2008, 9, 1416–1424.
- [47] Y. J. Xing, T. H. Park, R. Venkatramani, S. Keinan, D. N. Beratan, M. J. Therien, E. Borguet, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 7946-7956.
- [48] Q. Lu, K. Liu, H. M. Zhang, Z. B. Du, X. H. Wang, F. S. Wang, ACS Nano 2009, 3, 3861 – 3868.
- [49] K. Liu, G. Li, X. Wang, F. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. C 2008, 112, 4342-4349.
- [50] X. Zhao, C. Huang, M. Gulcur, A. S. Batsanov, M. Baghernejad, W. Hong, M. R. Bryce, T. Wandlowski, *Chem. Mater.* **2013**, *25*, 4340–4347.
- [51] S. H. Choi, B. Kim, C. D. Frisbie, Science 2008, 320, 1482-1486.
- [52] W. Qu, M.-P. Kung, C. Hou, S. Oya, H. F. Kung, J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 3380–3387.
- [53] K. A. Leonard, M. I. Nelen, L. T. Anderson, S. L. Gibson, R. Hilf, M. R. Detty, J. Med. Chem. 1999, 42, 3942–3952.
- [54] S. Kajigaeshi, T. Kakinami, H. Yamasaki, S. Fujisaki, T. Okamoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap. 1988, 61, 600–602.
- [55] M. I. Bruce, P. J. Low, A. Werth, B. W. Skelton, A. H. White, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1996, 1551 – 1566.
- [56] M. Kivala, C. Boudon, J. P. Gisselbrecht, P. Seiler, M. Gross, F. Diederich, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 6473–6477; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 6357–6360.
- [57] P. A. Wender, A. B. Lesser, L. E. Sirois, Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 2790– 2794; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 2736–2740.
- [58] S. G. Kwon, Y. Piao, J. Park, S. Angappane, Y. Jo, N.-M. Hwang, J.-G. Park, T. Hyeon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12571–12584.

Received: November 28, 2013 Revised: January 15, 2014 Published online on March 5, 2014