

Short Research Article

Synthesis of ($^{14}\text{C}_6$ -3,4,7,8,11,12)-(1*E*,5*E*,9*E*)-cyclododeca-1,5,9-triene[†]

BRUCE N. DIEL*, MINGCHENG HAN and JONATHAN M. WHITE

Midwest Research Institute, 425 Volker Boulevard, Kansas City, MO 64110, USA

Received 31 August 2006; Revised 8 January 2007; Accepted 20 January 2007

Abstract: Trimerization of butadiene in the presence of Ni(0) affords (1*E*,5*E*,9*E*)-cyclododeca-1,5,9-triene **1** (*ttt*-CDT), (1*E*,5*E*,9*Z*)-cyclododeca-1,5,9-triene **2** (*ttc*-CDT), and other isomers/oligomers. After optimization of reaction conditions, [$^{14}\text{C}_6$ -3,4,7,8,11,12]-*ttt*-CDT **1** was synthesized efficiently either by homogeneous or heterogeneous Ni(0) catalytic trimerization of [1,4- $^{14}\text{C}_2$]butadiene **10**, in 60–82% yield. Depending on the exact reaction conditions employed, the yields and ratio of **1/2** ranged from (59–90%) / (41–10%). The all-*trans* isomer was conveniently isolated via Ag⁺-mediated reversed-phase HPLC. The important intermediate [1,4- $^{14}\text{C}_2$]-1,3-butadiene **10** was prepared from potassium [^{14}C]cyanide and 1,2-dibromoethane **3** as starting materials, in seven steps with a 57% yield. The total radioactive yield of [$^{14}\text{C}_6$ -3,4,7,8,11,12]-*ttt*-CDT **1** is 30% from [^{14}C]KCN. Copyright © 2007 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.


Keywords: *trans,trans,trans*-cyclododecatriene; cyclotrimerization; homogeneous catalysis; butadiene; carbon-14

Introduction

The cyclotrimerization of butadiene is a key reaction in homogeneous catalysis¹ and several transition metal and Ziegler–Natta catalyst systems have been reported.² By far, nickel complexes have been found to be the most versatile,³ and Ni(0)-catalyzed reactions have been studied in greatest detail.⁴ In such systems, the [Ni⁰(butadiene)₃] complex has been shown to be the active catalyst, and the all-*trans*-isomer is the predominant product, while the all-*cis*-isomer is not formed.⁵

Susán and Duncan synthesized [$^{14}\text{C}_6$]-cyclododecatriene from [$^{14}\text{C}_2$ -1,4]-1,3-butadiene using a Ziegler-type catalyst system, in 1981.⁶ In their report, the overall radiochemical yield was ~15%, the product was 98% *cis,trans,trans*-CDT **2**, and only 2% *trans,trans,trans*-CDT **1**, and separation and isolation of the individual isomers were not addressed. Herein we describe a high conversion, high stereoselectivity synthetic strategy (Scheme 1) that allowed synthesis

of all-*trans* [$^{14}\text{C}_6$ -3,4,7,8,11,12]-*ttt*-CDT **1** as the predominant isomer. Additionally, we accomplished the separation and purification of the *ttt*-CDT isomer using a Ag⁺-mediated reversed-phase LC method, providing the product as a white crystalline solid with a chemical purity and radiochemical purity of >99%, and at a specific activity of 43 mCi/mmol.




Results and discussion

The first requirement for this synthetic approach was a high-yield process for the generation of doubly labeled intermediate [$^{14}\text{C}_2$ -1,4]-1,3-butadiene **10**. As illustrated in Scheme 1, we chose as precursor the quaternary ammonium hydroxide **9** (SA ~15 mCi/mmol), which was prepared via reaction of [^{14}C]KCN (SA ~7.5 mCi/mmol) and 1,2-dibromoethane, following published methods, in six steps overall.^{3,7}


*Correspondence to: Bruce N. Diel, Midwest Research Institute, Chemical Sciences, 425 Volker Boulevard, Kansas City, MO 64110, USA. E-mail: bdiel@mriresearch.org

[†]Proceedings of the Ninth International Symposium on the Synthesis and Applications of Isotopically Labelled Compounds, Edinburgh, 16–20 July 2006.



Scheme 1

Table 1 Optimization of butadiene cyclotrimerization^a

Entry	Method	 (mmol/ml)	Ni(acac) ₂ (mg/mmol)	AlEt ₃ (μl/mmol)	Solvent/(ml)	Yield (%)	ttt:ttc	Color
1	A	11.5/1	119/0.04	100/0.2	toluene/2.0	14	90:10	Light brown
2	B	11.5/1	110/0.4	1.0/2	toluene/5.0	22	N/A	Black
3	B	13.8/1.2	27/0.1	220/0.4	toluene/2.0	78	66:34	Black
4	A	30/2.6	27/0.1	220/0.4	toluene/5.0	82	67:33	Brown
5	A	11.4/1	27/0.1	220/0.4	toluene/5.0	60	79:21	Brown
6	A	11.4/1	27/0.1	220/0.4	1,5-COD/5.0	34	59:41	Yellow
7 ^b	A	28.8/2.7	34/0.12	277/0.5	toluene/5.0	78	67:13	Brown

^aReaction conditions: sealed glass vessel, 80°C, 20 h under He.^b'Hot run,' using labeled butadiene; yield is total mCi isolated.Method A: AlEt₃ to a mixture of Ni(acac)₂/butadiene in solution.Method B: Butadiene condensed into preformed Ni(acac)₂/AlEt₃ catalyst solution.

Refluxing of 1,2-dibromoethane **3** (1 equiv.) with [¹⁴C]KCN (1 equiv.) in 70% EtOH(aq.) gave [¹⁴C₂-1,4]succinonitrile **4** in 87% yield (based on KCN). Acidic hydrolysis of **4** with conc. HCl under refluxing conditions afforded [¹⁴C₂-1,4]succinic acid **5** in quantitative yield. The reduction of **5** with borane-THF at 0°C provided diol **6** (94%), which was easily converted into the dibromide **7** by refluxing the diol **6** with 48% HBr. Reaction of [¹⁴C₂-1,4]-1,4-dibromobutane **7** with trimethylamine gas in ethyl alcohol provided the ammonium bromide **8**. Compound **8** was converted to the corresponding ammonium hydroxide in quantitative yield using freshly prepared silver(I) oxide, generated *in situ*. Finally, the generation of [¹⁴C₂-1,3]butadiene **10** was accomplished by pyrolysis of **9**, passing the evolved gases first through a 2 N H₂SO₄ gas

scrubber to remove Me₃N(g), followed by passage over Drierite™ to remove water.

A limited number of cyclotrimerization experiments were conducted to optimize the reaction conditions, with the intent of maximizing total conversion and isomer-selectivity. (Table 1) Consideration was given to (i) the reduction of Ni(II) in the presence of the butadiene (Method A) *versus* addition of butadiene to a zerovalent 'bare' nickel complex (Method B), (ii) the ratio of Ni:butadiene, and (iii) the ratio of AlEt₃:butadiene. Based on the results of these experiments, the conditions used for Entry 4 were employed for execution of the 'hot run,' using the [¹⁴C₂-1,4]-1,3-butadiene **10**; these results are shown as Entry 7, and the ratio of ttt-CDT:ttc-CDT is the actual total isolated activity (mCi) for each isomer (Table 1).

Separation and purification of the *ttt*-CDT and *ttc*-CDT isomers were accomplished using Ag^+ -mediated complexation-based chromatography. Complexation-based chromatography (GC, LC), involving electron-rich metal salts such as AgNO_3 , $\text{Rh}(\text{OAc})_2$, etc. has been studied extensively and there are two basic approaches for LC separation: (i) π -complex formation between the analyte and metal ions dissolved in the mobile phase,⁸ and (ii) π -complexing metal ions immobilized on the stationary phase.⁹

The mixture of isomers **1** and **2** separated successfully by reversed-phase flash chromatography (VersaPackTM C18 Cartridge, 40 $\times$ 75 mm), eluting with Ag^+ salt) gave high pure compound **1**.

Conclusion

A new synthesis has been developed and the reaction conditions optimized to provide high purity [^{14}C]-3,4,7,8,11,12]-(1E,5E,9E)-cyclododeca-1,5,9-triene in 30% overall radioactive yield from [^{14}C]-KCN.

REFERENCES

1. (a) Jolly PW, Wilke G. In *The Organic Chemistry of Nickel*, Vol. 2, Organic Synthesis. Academic Press: New York, 1975; 133–212; (b) Jolly PW. In *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, vol. 8, Wilkinson G, Stone FGA, Abel EW (eds). Pergamon: New York, 1982; 671–711.
2. (a) Wilke G. *Angew Chem* 1957; **69**: 397; (b) Breil H, Heimbach P, Kröner M, Müller H, Wilke G. *Makromol Chem* 1963; **69**: 18.
3. (a) Wilke G. *Angew Chem* 1963; **75**: 10; *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 1963; **2**: 105; (b) Wilke G. *Angew Chem* 1988; **100**: 189; *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 1988; **27**: 185.
4. (a) Wilke G. *Pure Appl Chem* 1978; **50**: 677; (b) Wilke G. *J Organomet Chem* 1980; **200**: 349.
5. Bogdanovic B, Heimbach P, Kröner M, Wilke G. *Liebigs Ann Chem* 1969; **727**: 143.
6. Susan AB, Duncan WP. *J Label Compd Radiopharm* 1981; **18**: 1227.
7. (a) Hara T, Xu YJ, Sasaki H, Niitu M, Samejima K. *J Label Compd Radiopharm* 2000; **43**: 1005; (b) Bottari P, Aebersold R, Turecek F, Gelb MH. *Bioconj Chem* 2004; **15**: 380.
8. See, for example: (a) Schomburg G, Zegarski K. *J Chromatogr* 1975; **114**: 174; (b) Vonach B, Schomburg G. *J Chromatogr* 1978; **149**: 417.
9. Houx NWH, Voerman S. *J Chromatogr* 1976; **129**: 456.