Intramolecular Tsuji-Trost-type Allylation of Carboxylic Acids: Asymmetric Synthesis of Highly π -Allyl Donative Lactones

Yusuke Suzuki, Tomoaki Seki, Shinji Tanaka, and Masato Kitamura*

Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Graduate School of Science, and Research Center for Materials Science, Nagoya University, Chikusa, Nagoya 464-8601


Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: Tsuji-Trost-type asymmetric allylation of carboxylic acids has been realized by using a cationic CpRu complex with an axially chiral picolinic acid-type ligand (Cl-Naph-PyCOOH: naph = naphthyl, py = pyridine). The carboxylic acid and allylic alcohol intramolecularly condense by the liberation of water without stoichiometric activation of either nucleophile or electrophile part, thereby attaining high atom- and step-economy, and low E factor. This success can be ascribed to the higher reactivity of allylic alcohols as compared with the allyl ester products in soft Ru/hard Brønstead acid combined catalysis, which can function under slightly acidic conditions unlike the traditional Pd-catalyzed system. Detailed analysis of the stereochemical outcome of the reaction using an enantiomerically enriched D-labeled substrate provides an intriguing view of enantioselection.

Optically active lactones represent a ubiquitous motif found in natural products and pharmaceuticals,1 and are also valuable chiral starting materials for asymmetric syntheses.2 In particular, as shown in **Scheme 1**, simple lactones **I** with an allyloxycarbonyl moiety attract much attention from organic synthetic chemists because of their high functional convertibility. Among many excellent catalytic enantioselective approaches to asymmetric lactone synthesis including intramolecular hydrocarboxylation of allenes,3 Wacker-type oxidative cyclization of alkenylalkanoic acids,4 dearomative oxidative spirolactonization,5 ring closure of o-iodobenzoates with aldehydes,6 and [2+2] cycloaddition of ketenes and aldehydes,7 intramolecular Tsuji-Trost-type allylation of carboxylate II seems to be the most tangible, but it is limited to only a specific case.8 This may be ascribed mainly to the fact that the alkenyl lactone I is an excellent π -allyl donor, as Trost demonstrated the utility of I in the Pd-catalyzed synthesis of the α tocopherol side chain in 1979 at a very early stage of Tsuji-Trost chemistry.9

The aim of the present study was to realize the more direct process of "III to I," in which the carboxylic acid and allylic alcohol dehydratively condense without stoichiometric activation of either nucleophile or electrophile, thereby satisfying the criteria of high atom-economy and step-economy, and low E factor. As part of an ongoing project of "catalytic dehydra-

Scheme 1. Synthetic processes to alkenyl lactones by catalytic asymmetric intramolecular Tsuji-Trost-type allylation.



(R)-Cl-Naph-PyCOOH/CpRu complexes Naph: naphthalene, Py: pyridine

tive C-,¹⁰ N-,¹¹ O-,¹² and S-allylation¹³ under slightly acidic conditions,"¹⁴ the CpRu(II)/Cl-Naph-PyCOOH¹⁵ combined catalyst **1** and its π -allyl complex **2**,¹⁶ which were designed on the basis of the intramolecular redox-mediated donoracceptor bifunctional catalyst (Intramol-RDACat),^{14a,17} were applied to the process **III** to **I**.

The results are summarized in **Table 1**. In the presence of 1 mol% of (R)-**1** or its π -allyl complex (R)-**2**,¹⁶ the reaction of (E)-**3** successfully proceeded in N,N-dimethylacetamide (DMA) at 100 °C to give, after 20 min, the γ -ethenyl-substituted γ -lactone **4** in a quantitative yield with an S/R enantiomer ratio (er) of 99:1 (entries 1 and 2). With a substrate/catalyst (S/C) ratio of 500, the enantioselectivity decreased to 97:3 and the reaction required 5 h to realize 98% yield (entry 3). At 50 °C, the reaction also gave an er of 99:1, but it took 15 h to reach completion (entry 4). The solvents DMA, DMF, t-BuOH, and THF attained er ratios of 94:6–99:1 (entries 5, 6, and 9), whereas acetone, CH₂Cl₂, toluene, and t-

Table 1. Asymmetric Dehydrative Lactonization of (E)-3 Catalyzed by (R)-1 or (R)-2^a

	solvent	time (h)	yield (%))	er ($S:R$) c
1	DMA	0.3	>99	99:1
2	DMA	0.3	>99	99:1
3^d	DMA	5	98	97:3
4^e	DMA	15	>99	99:1
5	DMF	1	>99	98:2
6	t-BuOH	1	>99	97:3
7	acetone	15	70	85:15
8	$\mathrm{CH_{2}Cl_{2}}$	3	>99	54:46
9	THF	2	>99	94:6
10	toluene	15	75	75:25

^aCatalyts (*R*)-1 and (*R*)-2 were prepared in situ by mixing [RuCp(CH₃CN)₃]PF₆ with (*R*)-Cl-Naph-PyCOOH and (*R*)-Cl-Naph-PyCOOCH₂CH=CH₂, respectively. Reactions were carried out in a 1-mmol scale under the conditions of [(*E*)-3] = 100 mM and [(*R*)-1] or [(*R*)-2] = 1 mM (1 mol%) in a 100-°C oil bath unless otherwise specified. Except for entry 1, (*R*)-2 catalyst was used. ^{b1}H-NMR yield. Determined by GC analysis. ¹⁸ ⁴[(E)-3] = 500 mM and [(*R*)-2] = 1 mM (0.2 mol%). ^{c5}0 °C.

PrOH led to decreased reactivity and/or selectivity (entries 7, 8, and 10). No reaction occurred in $CH_3CN.^{18}$

The scope and limitations of the reaction are shown in Table 2. First, the validity of the present reaction was confirmed in a 10-g scale reaction with S/C = 500 (entry 1).¹⁸ When the C=C double bond stereochemistry of 3 was switched from E to Z, the stereochemical outcome was altered and the enantioselectivity was decreased to 12:88 (entry 2) (vide infra). Introduction of a methyl substituent either at either C(2) or C(3) of the allylic alcohol moiety in (E)-3 was acceptable, giving nearly optically pure hop lactone¹⁹ and lavender lactone20 (entries 3 and 4). The high enantiocontrol of the oxygen-containing tetra-substituted carbon center is noteworthy. An isobenzofuranone derivative was also prepared from cinnamyl alcohol-type substrate, although the enantioselectivity was lower at 86:14 (entry 5). The er at the 16% conversion stage was 92:8, indicating this particular product racemizes, probably via a carbocation intermediate, under acidic conditions. Elongation of the tether of (E)-3 by one methylene led to oligomerization of the initially formed δ-ethenyl-δvalerolactone and diene formation,²¹ giving the desired δ lactone with a 98:2 er in 32% yield (entry 6). Introduction of two phenyl groups at the α position resulted in quantitative formation of the desired δ -lactone (entry 7). The benzenecondensed substrate (E)-5 gave the dihydroisocoumarin derivative (*S*)-**6** with a 98:2 er together with the diene product **7** in 5% yield (entry 8). Formation of the diene could be suppressed in t-BuOH, although the enantioselectivity decreased to 90:10 (entry 9). For (Z)-5, the stereochemical outcome was inverted with less deterioration in er than observed for (Z)-3 (entry 10). A further increase in the methylene chain ((CH₂)₄) did not produce ε-lactone, but resulted mainly in the formation of diene compounds (entry 11).18 Intermolecular reaction of (E)-hept-2-en-1-ol with benzoic acid gave the linear allyl ester product under the standard conditions.

Table 2. Scope and Limitation of (R)-2-Catalyzed

Asymmetric Lactone Synthesis ^a								
·	Substrate	Product	time (h)	Yield (%)	er (S:R)			
1^d	OH OH (E)-3	O (S)-4	10	97	97:3			
2	OH (Z)-3	O (R)-4	1.5	93	12:88			
3	3 1 OH O OH		1	90	99:1			
4	0 OH OH		24	91	96:4			
5	ООН		4	92	14:86			
6^e	OH OH		10	32/	98:2 ^g			
7 <i>e</i>	C_6H_5 OH C_6H_5 O	C_6H_5 O	10	90	99:1 ^g			
8	OH		0.5	88^h	98:2			
9^{i}) O (<i>E</i>)- 5	O (S)- 6	1	95	90:10			
10	OH OH O(2)-5	0 (R)-6	6	7 <i>7</i> j	6:94			
11	ОНООН	_	1	k	_			

"Conditions: 0.7–1-mmol scale; [substrate] = 100 mM; [(R)-2] = 1 mM (1 mol%); DMA; 100 °C unless otherwise specified. In all cases, the convesions were >99%. "Isolated yield. "Determined by GC or HPLC analysis except for entries 6 and 7.18 "10–g scale; [substrate] = 500 mM; [(R)-2] = 1 mM (0.2 mol%). "70 °C. "Undetermined compounds formed.18 "The er was determined, after converting to the hydroxy ester, by the "H-NMR analysis of the MTPA ester.18 "2-(Buta-1,3-dien-1-yl)benzoic acid was obtained in ca. 5% yield.18 "In t-BuOH. "2-(Buta-1,3-dien-1-yl)benzoic acid was obtained in ca. 15% yield.18 "A 2:1 E/Z mixture of octa-5,7-dienoic acid was mainly obtained.18

E substrates are converted by (R)-**1** or (R)-**2** to the corresponding product with the alkenyl group up when the structures are drawn as in **Table 2**. In order to gain information on the reaction pathway that would explain the stereochemical outcome, the products of the reaction using C(1)D-labeled (E)- S^{22} were analyzed. As shown in **Figure 1**, a 81.0:19.0 mixture of (S)-(E)-S-d and (R)-(E)-S-d was converted in DMA, under the influence of the R catalyst, to a 74.9:17.6:0.8:1.7:3.8:1.2 mixture of, respectively, (S)-(Z)-G-d (SZ), (S)-(E)-G-d (SE), (R)-

1

Figure 1. Reaction using D-labeled **5** and (*R*)-**2** in DMA or *t*-BuOH. ^aThe molar ratio was determined by ¹H-NMR and/or HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture, (*S*)-**6**, (*R*)-**6**, and **7**. ¹⁸

(*Z*)-6-*d* (*RZ*), (*R*)-(*E*)-6-*d* (*RE*), (*Z*)-7-*d*, and (*E*)-7-*d* (*S:R* = 97.4:2.6, 6:7 = 95:5). The most tangible pathway would be one in which the 81.0 part and 19.0 part are transformed mainly to the *SZ* and *RE* lactones with a 98:2 er and the *SE* and *RZ* lactones with a 96:4 er, respectively. The *SZ/RE* and *SE/RZ* combination is also supported by the result obtained in t-BuOH (**Figure 1**, red values): the ratio of *SZ/RE* and *SE/RZ* lactone was 89.1:10.9 and 87.2:12.8, respectively. Although there is some deviation from the ideal, these values are close to the observed value. Another combination, *SZ/RZ* and *SE/RE*, gave an er ratio that was inconsistent with the observed value in either DMA or t-BuOH.

Figure 2 shows one possible reaction pathways explaining the stereo relationship in Figure 1. The (R)-Cl-Naph-PyCOOH/CpRu(II) catalyst (R,R_{Ru})- $\mathbf{1}$ ¹⁶ captures (S)-(E)- $\mathbf{5}$ -d via a soft/soft and hard/hard interaction. In the substrate/catalyst complex (S)-(E)- $\mathbf{5}$ - $d/(R,R_{Ru})$ - $\mathbf{1}$, Intramol-RDACat mechanism^{14a,17} facilitates formation of the π -allyl intermediate INT endo-syn, anti-ReC(3), in which the π -allyl ligand takes a stable endo conformation toward CpRu,²³ such that the C(3) substituent is syn to C(2)H and anti to the COO group of the *R* ligand. The sterically unfavored intermediate moves to the sterically favored INT_{endo-syn,syn-SiC(3)} via π - σ - π isomerization through C(1)-Ru bond rotation. Here, the nucleophilicity of COOH in the substrate is enhanced by formation of a hydrogen bond with the basic carboxylate oxygen atom of the R ligand, and thus COOH attacks the π -allyl C(3) from the inside to give the SZ lactone and (R)-1. A small contribution of INTendo-anti,syn-ReC(3) generated via a C(3)-Ru bond rotation followed by the hydrogen-bond-assisted inside attack gives RE lactone. The stereochemical outcome of the reaction of diastereomeric (Z)-5 as well as the lower enantioselectivity can be also understood by assuming the reaction pathways in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Supposed reaction pathways of (R)-1-catalyzed intramolecular dehydrative allylation. PF₆ was omitted for clarify. Blue D indicates H in (\mathcal{Z})-5.

In summary, we have realized, for the first time, the direct Tsuji-Trost-type synthesis of various γ- and δ-alkenyl substituted lactones by using ω-carboxyl-substituted allylic alcohols as the substrate. Furthermore, the deuterium labeling experiment has provided insight into our understanding of the mechanism. The electrophilicity of allyl carboxylates is higher than that of allylic alcohols, but the use of Intramol-RDACat,14a,17 which works in a slightly acidic condition, can alter this situation. The hydroxy oxygen atom in allylic alcohol substrate I is more efficiently activated by a hard Brønstead acid as compared with the less basic acyloxy group in alkenyl lactone product III, thereby lowering the degree of product inhibition to move the reaction to the entropically favored product side by liberation of "H2O." This new method should further enhance the utility of Tsuji-Trost-type asymmetric allylation,²⁴ widening the scope of retrosynthetic analyses of natural and unnatural important chiral compounds.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting information provides details of the general procedure for asymmetric lactonization, and the NMR spectral data of the substrates and products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

kitamura@os.rcms.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Author Contributions

‡These authors contributed equally.

Funding Sources

No competing financial interests have been declared.


ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was aided by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 25E07B212) and (22750088; 24510112; 24106713) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (Japan), and an Advanced Catalytic Transformation Program for Carbon Utilization (ACT-C) from Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST).

REFERENCES

- (1) (a) Natural Lactones and Lactams: Synthesis, Occurrence and Biological Activity; Janecki, T. Ed; Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2014**. (b) Albrecht, A.; Albrecht, Ł.; Janecki, T. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2011**, 2747–2766. (c) Kitson, R. R. A.; Millemaggi, A.; Taylor, R. J. K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2009**, 48, 9426–9451. (d) Boucard, V.; Broustal, G.; Campagne, J. M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2007**, 225–236.
- (2) (a) Catalyzed Carbon-Heteroatom Bond Formation; Yudin, A. K. Ed; Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2011**. (b) Mondon, M.; Gesson, J.-P. Curr. Org. Synth. **2006**, *3*, 41–75.
- (3) Hamilton, G. L.; Kang, E. J.; Mba, M.; Toste, F. D. Science **2007**, 317, 496–499.
- (4) (a) Takenaka, K.; Akita, M.; Tanigaki, Y.; Takizawa, S.; Sasai, H. Org. Lett. **2011**, 13, 3506–3509. For the original report, see: (b) Hosokawa, T.; Okuda, C.; Murahashi, S. J. Org. Chem. **1985**, 50, 1282–1287. For some examples for the related halo- or selenolactonization, see: (c) Dobish, M. C.; Johnston, J. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 6068–6071. (d) Armstrong, A.; Braddock, D. C.; Jones, A. X.; Clark, S. Tetrahedron Lett. **2013**, 54, 7004–7008. (e) Niu, W.; Yeung, Y. Y. Org. Lett. **2015**, 17, 1660–1663.
- (5) (a) Uyanik, M.; Yasui, T.; Ishihara, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2010**, 49, 2175–2177. (b) Dohi, T.; Maruyama, A.; Takenaga, N.; Senami, K.; Minamitsuji, Y.; Fujioka, H.; Caemmerer, S. B.; Kita, Y. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2008**, 47, 3787–3790.
- (6) Chang, H.-T.; Jeganmohan, M.; Cheng, C.-H. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2007**, *13*, 4356–4363.
- (7) A recent review: Marqués-López, E.; Christmann, M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 8696–8698.
- (8) (a) Trost, B. M.; Organ, M. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, 116, 10320–10321. (b) Kanbayashi, N.; Onitsuka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 1206–1207. For other asymmetric catalyses producing chiral allyl esters, see: (c) Kirsch, S. F.; Overman, L. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2005**, 127, 2866–2867. (d) Covell, D. J.; White, M. C. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2008**, 47, 6448–6451.
- (9) (a) Trost, B. M.; Klum, T. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 6756–6758.
 (b) Trost, B. M.; Zhang, T. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3759–3761.
- (10) (a) Miyata, K.; Kutsuna, H.; Kawakami, S.; Kitamura, M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 4649–4653. (b) Miyata, K.; Kitamura, M. *Synthesis* **2012**, *44*, 2138–2146.

- (11) Seki, T.; Tanaka, S.; Kitamura, M. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 608–611
- (12) (a) Saburi, H.; Tanaka, S.; Kitamura, M. Angew. Chem. **2005**, 117, 1758–1760; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2005**, 44, 1730–1732. (b) Tanaka, S.; Scki, T.; Kitamura, M. Angew. Chem. **2009**, 121, 9110–9113; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2009**, 48, 8948–8951. (c) Tanaka, S.; Suzuki, Y.; Saburi, H.; Kitamura, M. Tetrahedron **2015**, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2015.04.088.
- (13) (a) Tanaka, S.; Pradhan, P. K.; Macgawa, Y.; Kitamura, M. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 3996–3998. (b) Jaisankar, P.; Tanaka, S.; Kitamura, M. *7. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 1894–1897.
- (14) Reviews: (a) Kitamura, M.; Miyata, K.; Seki, T.; Vatmurge, N.; Tanaka, S. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2013**, *85*, 1121–1132. (b) Bandini, M.; Cera, G.; Chiarucci, M. *Synthesis* **2012**, 504–512. (c) Sundararaju, B.; Achard, M.; Bruneau, C. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 4467–4483.
- (15) Cl-Naph-PyCOOH: 6-(2-chloronaphthalen-1-yl)-5-methylpyridine-2-carboxylic acid.
- (16) The catalyst (R)-1 and -2 were prepared in situ by mixing [RuCp(CH₃CN)₃]PF₆ with (R)-Cl-Naph-PyCOOH and (R)-Cl-Naph-PyCOOCH₂CH=CH₂, respectively. Due to the easier manipulation of the allyl ester, (R)-2 was used in most cases. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of (R)-1 in CD₂Cl₂ gave broad and complex signals, while that of (R)-2 gave two sets of sharp signals in a 3:2 ratio. The same spectrum was obtained by mixing [RuCp(CH₃CN)₃]PF₆, (R)-Cl-Naph-PyCOOH, and allyl alcohol. ¹H-NMR behavior indicates that two distereomers, (R,R_{Ru})-1 and (R,S_{Ru})-1, are generated in the reaction system. We believe that (R,R_{Ru})-1, in which a Cl---HCp hydrogen bond is possible, would have higher reactivity to catalyze the present reaction more smoothly. Elucidation of the detailed mechanism is an on-going project.
- (17) For the original reaction in the donor-acceptor bifunctional catalyst concept, see: (a) Kitamura, M.; Suga, S.; Kawai, K.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1986**, 108, 6071–6072. (b) Noyori, R.; Kitamura, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. **1991**, 30, 49–69.
 - (18) For the details, see Supporting Information.
 - (19) Pai, Y.; Fang, J.; Wu, S. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 6018–6025.
- (20) Ômura, H.; Yakumaru, K.; Honda, K.; Itoh, T. Naturwissenschaften 2013, 100, 373-377.
- (21) Saotome, K.; Kodaira, Y. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **1965**, *82*, 41–52.
- (22) The D-labeled substrate was prepared on the basis of asymmetric (n-C₄H₉)₃SnD reduction using Ti(Oi-C₃H₇)₄/(S)-BINOL complex.¹⁸ For the first report on analysis of the D-contained alkene stereochemistry in mechanistic study on a Mo-catalysis, see: (a) Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Krska, S. W.; Hughes, D. L.; Gouriou, L.; Bonnet, V. D.; Jack, K.; Sun, Y.; Reamer, R. A. \mathcal{J} . Am. Chem. Soc. **2004**, 126, 702–703. See also: (b) Madrahimov, S. T.; Hartwig, J. F. \mathcal{J} . Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 8136–8147.
- (23) (a) Bi, S.; Ariafard, A.; Jia, G.; Lin, Z. Organometallics **2005**, 24, 680–686. (b) Kondo, T.; Ono, H.; Satake, N.; Mitsudo, T.; Watanabe, Y. Organometallics **1995**, 14, 1945–1953. For the molecular structures of a series of CpRu(IV) π -allyl complexes of picolinate derivatives in crystals, see: ref 12c.
- (24) Reviews: (a) Trost, B. M.; Zhang, T.; Sieber, J. D. Chem. Sci. **2010**, 1, 427–440. (b) Lu, Z.; Ma, S. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2008**, 47, 258–297. (c) Mohr, J. T.; Stoltz, B. M. Chem. Asian J. **2007**, 2, 1476–1491. (d) Trost, B. M.; Crawley, M. L. Chem. Rev. **2003**, 103, 2921–2944.



Scheme 1. Synthetic processes to alkenyl lactones by catalytic asymmetric intramolecular Tsuji-Trost-type allylation.
63x37mm (300 x 300 DPI)

(R)-Cl-Naph-PyCOOH/CpRu complexes Naph: naphthalene, Py: pyridine

40x18mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 1. Reaction using D-labeled **5** and (*R*)-**2** in DMA or *t*-BuOH. ^aThe molar ratio was determined by ¹H-NMR and/or HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture, (*S*)-**6**, (*R*)-**6**, and **7**. ¹⁸ 123x132mm (600 x 600 DPI)




Figure 2. Supposed reaction pathways of (R)-1-catalyzed intramolecular dehydrative allylation. PF₆ was omitted for clarify. Blue D indicates H in (Z)-5. 156x228mm (600 x 600 DPI)

high
$$\pi$$
-allyl donor for traditional Tsuji-Trost reaction 0.2–1 mol% catalyst

DMA 70–100 °C

 $n=0 \text{ or } 1$

up to >99% yield up to 99:1 er

catalyst:

PF6

Ru donor

intramolecular redox-mediated donor-acceptor bufunctional catalyst (Intramol-RDACat)

Pin

Name of traditional Tsuji-Trost reaction

The property of traditional traditiona

56x32mm (600 x 600 DPI)