# The Nitrous Acid-catalysed Nitration of Phenol

### Usama Al-Obaidi and Roy B. Moodie\*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4QD

The reaction of phenol with nitrous acid  $(10^{-4}M < [N^{III}] < 10^{-2}M)$  and nitric acid  $(10^{-3}M < [N^{V}] < 0.3M)$  in aqueous sulphuric acid  $(19-45\% H_2SO_4)$  at 25 °C gives rise to *p*-nitrophenol by a nitrosation-oxidation pathway, and concurrently to a 57:43 mixture of *o*- and *p*-nitrophenol by catalysed nitration. The latter reaction is the major one, and is first-order in phenol with a first-order rate coefficient given by  $[N^{III}][N^V]/(x[N^{III}] + y[N^V])$ . The *x* and *y* are constants for a given concentration of sulphuric acid. The dependence of *x* and *y* upon acidity, and comparison of the reactivity of phenol with that of hexadeuteriophenol and anisole, leads to a proposed mechanism for catalysed nitration. In this there is pre-equilibrium formation, from phenol and N<sup>III</sup>, of a intermediate with the formula PhONO. This gives rise to a phenoxyl radical and nitric oxide, a step which is rate limiting when the rate is fully enhanced by  $[N^{V}]$ . Nitric oxide is reversibly oxidised by N<sup>V</sup> to give NO<sub>2</sub> and N<sup>III</sup>. Reaction is completed by combination of the phenoxyl radical and NO<sub>2</sub>, in a step which is rate limiting when the rate is fully enhanced by  $[N^{III}]$ .

Catalysis by nitrous acid of the nitration of phenol in aqueous sulphuric acid cannot be accounted for entirely by a process involving nitrosation followed by oxidation.<sup>1</sup> Evidence comes from product yields; nitrosation occurs almost exclusively *para*<sup>2</sup> but catalysed nitration gives a mixture of *o*- and *p*-nitrophenols.<sup>1</sup> It has been suggested that the *initial* products of nitrosation include *o*-nitrosophenol, which isomerises to *p*nitrosophenol unless nitric acid is present to oxidise it to *o*nitrophenol.<sup>3</sup> Under the conditions used in the work described in this paper, however, this explanation is unacceptable, because our separate studies<sup>4</sup> have shown that the major product of the reaction of *o*-nitrosophenol with nitrous acid is *o*-nitrophenol, not *p*-nitrosophenol. The nature of nitrous acid-catalysed nitration is therefore explored in more detail below.

## Results

Nitrosation and Uncatalysed Nitration.—The kinetics of nitrosation, and of uncatalysed nitration, were studied first. They provide the background for the present work. Rate coefficients for uncatalysed nitration (Table 1) are in good agreement with the results of Coombes *et al.*<sup>5</sup> The reaction is extremely slow at low acidities and its contribution to the overall reaction is negligible under our conditions for study of catalysed nitrations (*vide infra*).

The rate profile for nitrosation (Figure 1) is comparable to that reported <sup>3</sup> for reaction in perchloric acid at a lower temperature. Second-order rate coefficients,  $k_o$ , are given in Table 2. The results for 56% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> are very scattered, perhaps because of competing decomposition of nitrous acid. At the acidities used for the studies of catalysed nitration, reported below, values of  $k_o$  are satisfactorily independent of the initial concentration of either reactant. The product of nitrosation in <45% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> is almost exclusively *p*-nitrosophenol, as shown by quantitative comparison of the final spectrum, after oxidation with nitric acid, with that of *p*-nitrophenol. G.c. analysis revealed that a small amount (<2%) of *o*-nitrophenol was present after oxidation.

Catalysed Nitration.—In the presence of both nitrous acid  $(N^{III})$  and nitric acid  $(N^V)$ , phenol is converted into a mixture of o- and p-nitrophenol, and the involvement of p-nitrosophenol is demonstrable spectroscopically (Scheme 1).

To facilitate kinetic study, at each acidity an isosbestic wavelength between *p*-nitrosophenol and *p*-nitrophenol was **Table 1.** Second-order rate coefficients,  $k_2$ , for uncatalysed <sup>*a*</sup> nitration of phenol at 25.0 (±0.1) °C

H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> content (%)	$10^{2}[HNO_{3}]/mol \ dm^{-3}$	$k_2/dm^3 mol^{-1} s^{-1}$
63.1	3.3	0.040
65.0	3.3	0.110
70.1	2.1	3.9

" [Sulphanilic acid] =  $0.7 - 1.1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ; [phenol] =  $1.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ .



**Figure 1.** Rate profiles for nitrosation (curve A,  $k = k_0$ ) and uncatalysed nitration (curve B,  $k = k_2$ ) of phenol in sulphuric acid at 25 °C

sought. At such a wavelength, the conversion of the one into the other contributes nothing to the over-all absorbance change. Examples of the spectra are shown in Figure 2. For 26.4%  $H_2SO_4$ , 360 nm was a convenient wavelength to use. In 45%  $H_2SO_4$  there is no isosbestic point but the spectra are sufficiently close at  $\lambda = 342$  nm for the use of this wavelength to introduce no serious error.

The spectrum of *p*-nitrosophenol changes (due to protonation<sup>2</sup>) in >45% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and suitable wavelengths for kinetic study could not be found.

It was necessary, as discussed below, to establish that reaction

H <sub>3</sub> SO4	10⁴ [N <sup>III</sup> ]	10 <sup>4</sup> [Phenol]	k.
content (%)	mol dm <sup>-3</sup>	mol dm <sup>-3</sup>	$dm^3 mol^{-1} s^{-1}$
18.8	101	1.23	0.048
	203	1.23	0.047
	457	1.23	0.046
	1.36	85.4	0.047
	1.36	130.2	0.046
26.5	12.7	1.28	0.127
	26.5	1.28	0.129
	44.2	1.28	0.128
	44.8	1.22	0.037 "
	92.6	1.22	0.036 "
31.6	6.8	1.23	0.27
34.2	6.8	1.24	0.40
37.1	1.15	9.9	1.14
	13.0	1.19	0.98
	18.6	1.19	1.00
40.2	6.9	1.24	1.80
44.4	8.8	1.24	5.5
45.3	8.8	1.24	6.7
	12.4	1.24	6.9
	17.9	1.24	7.0
56.0	4.6	0.60	128
	9.9	0.59	111
	10.0	0.60	102
	0.69	4.6	212
	0.69	6.1	200
	0.62	4.6	214
65.0	0.20	2.1	106
	0.20	4.3	106
	1.38	0.18	113
	2.2	0.20	104
	4.4	0.20	118
70.1	0.20	2.1	55
	0.20	4.3	65
	2.2	0.20	70
	3.3	0.20	71

**Table 2.** Second-order rate coefficients,  $k_o$ , for nitrosation of phenol at 25.0 (±0.1) °C

<sup>a</sup> [<sup>2</sup>H<sub>6</sub>]Phenol was the substrate in these runs.



conformed closely to a first-order form. In many cases, 100 points of absorbance and time, spread over 4—6 half-lives, were taken directly into a microcomputer. The best fitting first-order curve through the points gave a root-mean-square deviation between calculated and observed absorbances normally of < 0.002 in absorbance, showing the kinetics to be first-order within the limits of accuracy of the equipment.

The observed first-order rate coefficient, k, contains a minor contribution,  $k_0[N^{III}]$ , for nitrosation (Scheme 1). ( $k_0$  Is the second-order rate coefficient for nitrosation, Table 2.) Values of  $(k - k_0[N^{III}])$ , the first-order rate coefficient for catalysed nitration, are given in Table 3.

Products were analysed by u.v. spectroscopy. The final spectra, after correction for absorbances due to  $N^{III}$  and  $N^V$ , were compared with spectra of *o*- and *p*-nitrophenol. The total yield of these two products was 91–96% and was measured using the absorbance at their isosbestic wavelength. The spectrum could then be fitted satisfactorily as a mixture of these



**Figure 2.** Absorption spectra of *p*-nitrosophenol (*p*-NOP) and *p*-nitrophenol (*p*-NO<sub>2</sub>P) in (*a*) 45.3%  $H_2SO_4$  and (*b*) 26.4%  $H_2SO_4$ 



Figure 3. Variation of the first-order rate coefficient for catalysed nitration,  $k - k_0[N^{III}]$ , with  $[N^V] ([N^{III}] = 7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$ . The concentration of the acids used were: A 40.2, B 37.1, C 34.2, and D 26.4% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>

two products (see Experimental section). The percentage which was o-nitrophenol [% o-NO<sub>2</sub>P(obs)] is reported (Table 4). The results suggest that there are additionally other product(s) in 4-9% yield, which do not absorb strongly in the region 300-400 nm. These have not yet been identified. The yield of onitrophenol was in some cases determined by g.l.c., and was in satisfactory agreement with the spectroscopic results (Table 4).

# Discussion

*Kinetics.*—The first-order rate coefficients for catalysed nitration,  $k - k_0[N^{III}]$ , were found to vary non-linearly with  $[N^{III}]$  and  $[N^V]$  (Figures 3 and 4). No evidence for significant pre-equilibrium formation of a compound formed from phenol and either  $N^{III}$  or  $N^V$  could be found; the initial spectra after back-extrapolation to zero time were indistinguishable from the summed spectra of phenol,  $N^{III}$ , and  $N^V$ , taken individually in the same medium. The explanation advanced <sup>6</sup> for saturation kinetics with regard to  $N^{III}$  in the catalysed nitration of *p*-nitrophenol is not therefore applicable here. The non-linear dependences (Figures 3 and 4) must arise from changes in the rate-determining step.

-111

### Table 3 (continued)

nitration of phen	ol at 25.0 ( $\pm$	oefficients, 0.1) °C	$k - k_0 [N^m]$	, for catalysed	10 <sup>4</sup> [N <sup>III</sup> ]	10² [N <sup>v</sup> ]	$10^4 k^a$	$10^4 (k - k)$	¢₀ [N <sup>III</sup> ])/s <sup>-1</sup>
	· ··		$10^4 (k - k)$	k₀ [N <sup>Ⅲ</sup> ])/s <sup>-1</sup>	mol dm <sup>-3</sup>	mol dm <sup>-3</sup>	s <sup>-1</sup>	, Observed <sup>b</sup>	Calculated
<u>104 [N<sup>m</sup>]</u>	$10^{2}$ [N <sup>v</sup> ]	$\frac{10^4 k^a}{k^a}$		·	40.2% H₂SO₄				
mol dm <sup>-3</sup>	mol dm <sup>-3</sup>	s <sup>-1</sup>	Observed <sup>b</sup>	Calculated <sup>c</sup>	6.8	0.60	26	13.9	15.0
18.8% H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>					18.7	0.50	48	14.3	13.3
10.6	2.9	1.23	0.73	0.78	7.1	0.50	27	14.3	12.8
2.2	4.5	1.02	0.92	1.05	6.8	0.75	29	16.6	18.3
10.6	6.1	1.97	1.47	1.62	13.7	0.50	41	16.7	13.2
2.2	8.5	1.68	1.78	1.75	6.8	0.98	34	22	23
52.0	6.3	4.3	1.89	1.75	0.8	1.50	42	30	34 34
10.6	8.5	2.7	2.2	2.2	9.1	1.55	42 54	38	36
10.6	10.2	2.0	2.7	2.7	12.6	1.53	66	43	37
52	10.2	56	32	2.8	6.8	3.0	67	55	58
52	24	9.9	7.5	6.4	7.1	4.9	89	76	82
					6.8	6.0	105	92	91
26.4% H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>					7.1	6.3	109	96	95
4.5	1.51	2.0	1.45	1.51	/.1	9.8	120	107	119
4.5	2.1	2.5	1.89	2.0	0.8	16.0	156	142	140
4.5	2.6	2.9	2.3	2.4	7.1	24	162	149	163
4.5	3.4	3.5	2.9	3.2	7.1	24	210	196	163
4.3 40	5.1 5.7	4./ // 0	4.Z A A	4.0 4.0					
51	5.8	12.6	6.0	5.9	44.4% H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>				
4.5	10.3	8.0	7.4	8.1	6.8	0.65	70	33	31
51	7.3	14.3	7.7	7.3	6.8	0.81	73	36	38
4.0	11.9	8.6	8.1	8.7	6.8	1.14	87	49	50
8.7	11.6	10.8	9.7	10.1	6.8	2.3	121	84	81
8.8	11.9	10.9	9.8	10.4	0.8	9.8	195	158	159
15.6	11.9	12.6	10.5	11.1	45.3% H.SO.				
4.5	17.1	18.3	11.5	11.6	1.07	77	66	50	61
2.6	27	12.2	11.7	11.6	1.07	39	67	59- 60	55
25	11.9	15.2	12.0	11.5	1.07	10.5	68	61	63
4.0	24	14.0	13.4	13.6	1.07	15.7	75	68	65
4.5	27	16.1	15.5	15.4	1.07	26	77	70	67
8.7	22	19.4	18.3	17.1	1.72	7.7	108	97	93
0.2 9 7	27	19.3	18.4	1/.0	2.5	10.5	136	120	132
12.7	27	21	20	19.0	2.5	10.5	140	124	124
51	22	29	23	22	4.0	10.5	193	166	175
16.2	27	26	24	23	6.6	7.7	300	260	240
26	27	30	26	24	6.6	10.5	320	270	270
32	27	31	27	25	8.8	7.7	350	290	280
44	27	34	28	26	8.8	10.5	360	300	320
26 19/ 11 50 4					<sup>4</sup> Observed first-	order coefficie	ent for the	over-all react	ion. <sup>b</sup> Observe
20.4/0 112504	<i></i>		4.2		first-order rate	coefficient for	catalysed	nitration. ° C	Calculated first
4.0	5.6	4.4	4.3	4.4	order coefficient	for catalysed	1 nitration	, using equat	on (1) and th
4.0	12.0	10.0	9.6	9.6	values of x and	y in Table 5.	'[ <sup>2</sup> H <sub>6</sub> ]Phe	nol was the su	bstrate in thes
15.5	12.0	11.0	10.4	10.2	runs.				
25	12.0	11.6	10.7	10.6					
4.0	25	13.3	13.1	13.0					
21 69/ 11 60					<b></b>				
51.0% H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>					Following p	revious sugg	estions re	garding satu	ration kinetic
6.8	0.56	3.6	1.8	1.8	with regard to	[N] in nit	rous acid	-catalysed ip	so-nitrations,
6.8	0.76	4.3	2.4	2.4	and bearing in	mind both	the simila	ar dependenc	e upon [N <sup>III</sup> ]
0.8 6.8	1.13	5.5 7.6	3.0 5.8	3.5 5 0	and other featu	ires discusse	d below, t	the mechanis	m in Scheme
6.8	85	20	18.6	20	is proposed.		•		
6.8	28	48	46	44	Phenol (P) a	and N <sup>III</sup> form	n in a pre	-equilibrium	step an inter
-		-			mediate (1), al	ways of low	concent	ration, which	i can form p
37.2% H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>					nitrophenol	(p-NOP) [V	can give	ubsequently	enoryl radia
6.9	0.56	5.8	3.0	3.0	(PhO <sup>*</sup> ) and n	tric ovide 7	tan give i The latter	is ovidised	n a reversibl
6.9	0.75	7.0	4.2	4.0	$t = \frac{1}{10} j and m$	which react	ts with E	hO to give	the products
6.9	1.04	8.2	5.5	5.4	a-nitronhenol	$(o-NO_P) \simeq$	nd $n$ -NO	P (Scheme	$\gamma$
6.9	1.89	11.9	9.1	9.3	In order to	$10^{-1}$	the obser	ved first_ord	r kinetice it i
0.9 6 0	4./	21 34	18.5	19.9	necessarv addi	itionally to	nostulate	either that	the $K_{-}$ sten
69	151	46	43	41	always at equil	ibrium <sup>8</sup> or t	hat the ra	dicals PhO a	nd NO do no
0.7	1.2.1	-0	25	71	unujs at equi	iorium, or i			

104 ENIII	10 <sup>2</sup> ГN <sup>V</sup> 1	<b>T</b> (1 11	<i>o</i> -NO <sub>2</sub> P (%)		
$\frac{10 \text{ [N]}}{\text{mol dm}^{-3}}$	$mol dm^{-3}$	of nitrophenols (%) <sup>a</sup>	Observed <sup>b</sup>	Catalysed <sup>c</sup>	
18.9% H₂SO₄		······································			
2.2	32	91	57	59	
1.0	32	91	61	62	
52	32	86	42	54	
10.6	12	95	52	60	
10.6	8.5	91	47	58	
10.6	2.9	90	29	48	
		Average 91		Average 57	
26.5% H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>					
4.0	12	93	55	57	
4.0	13		574		
8.8	12	93	52	55	
4.0	5.7	93	53	56	
4.0	24	94	56	58	
4.0	24		574		
15.6	12	92	47	58	
15.6	13		49 <i>ª</i>		
25	12	92	44	59	
25	12		50 d		
		Average 93		Average 57	
40.2% H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>		-		-	
7.0	0.59	100	30	56	
7.0	4.0	95	48	57	
7.0	11.0	96	51	56	
7.0	16.3	94	51	56	
7.0	25	98	53	57	
7.0	34	96	52	56	
4.0	11.0	96	52	56	
16.2	11.0	96	47	55	
		Average 96		Average 56	

#### Table 4. Product yields in catalysed nitration at 25.0 ( $\pm 0.1$ ) °C

<sup>*a*</sup> Calculated using the final absorbance at the isosbestic wavelength of o- and p-nitrophenol, and based on the starting concentration of phenol. <sup>*b*</sup> Percentage of o-nitrophenol in the nitrophenolic product from the over-all reaction, estimated by u.v. spectroscopy. <sup>c</sup> Percentage of o-nitrophenol formed in the catalysed reaction, calculated using equation (2). <sup>*d*</sup> Overall yield of o-nitrophenol measured by g.l.c., divided by 0.93, the spectroscopically determined fraction of phenol converted into nitrophenols.



 $P + N \xrightarrow{K_1} (I) \xrightarrow{k_d} Ph0' + N0$   $N0 + N^V \xrightarrow{K_2} N0_2 + N^{111}$   $Ph0' + N0_2 \xrightarrow{k_3} o -N0_2P + p -N0_2P$ 

Scheme 2.

$$k - k_{o}[N^{III}] = \frac{[N^{III}][N^{V}]}{x[N^{III}] + y[N^{V}]}$$
(1)  
$$x = 1/K_{1}K_{d}K_{2}k_{3} \qquad (K_{d} = k_{d}/k_{-d})$$
$$y = 1/K_{c}k_{c}$$

**Figure 4.** Rate coefficients for catalysed nitration in 26.4% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. A, Variation of  $k - k_0[N^{III}]$  with  $[N^{III}]$  ( $[N^V] = 0.27 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}$ ). B, Variation of  $k - k_0[N^{III}]$  with  $[N^V]$  ( $[N^{III}] = 4.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}$ )

dissociate from the solvent cage before NO becomes oxidised. The former postulate leads to the simpler kinetic form and is adopted here. Scheme 2, with the  $K_2$  step always at equilibrium, leads to equation (1).

Values of x and y were chosen by least squares to give the best fit between observed and calculated values of  $(k - k_0[N^{III}])$ (Table 3). From x and y are derived the quantities  $K_1K_dK_2k_3$ and  $K_1k_d$  (Table 5). The second-order rate constant  $K_1K_dK_2k_3$ 

**Table 5.** The derived quantities x, y,  $K_1K_dK_2k_3/F$ , and  $K_1k_d$ 

		<u>x<sup>a</sup></u>	$10^3 y^a$		$\frac{K_1 K_d K_2 k_3 / F}{K_1 K_2 K_3 / F}$	$K_1 k_d$
$H_2SO_4$ content (%)	$-H_{o}$	mol dm⁻³ s	mol dm <sup>-3</sup> s	F°	mol <sup>-1</sup> dm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	mol <sup>-1</sup> dm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
18.8	1.02	360	(330)°	0.05	0.052	(3.0) <sup>c</sup>
26.4	1.56	97	130	0.12	0.090	7.7
26.4	1.56	107 <i>ª</i>	1394	0.12	0.081 <sup>d</sup>	7.2 <sup>d</sup>
31.6	1.93	31	80	0.19	0.173	12.5
34.2	2.12	17.9	85	0.23	0.24	11.8
37.1	2.34	9.5	54	0.30	0.35	18.5
40.2	2.53	3.7	33	0.36	0.74	30
44.4	2.90	1.78	31	0.50	1.12	32
45.3	2.98	1.43	15.4	0.54	1.29	65

<sup>a</sup> See equation (1). Error estimated to be  $\pm 15\%$ . <sup>b</sup> The fraction of N<sup>v</sup> present as HNO<sub>3</sub>, *F*, was derived using the formula log ([HNO<sub>3</sub>]/[NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>]) =  $-0.663 H_o - 1.92$ , which follows from least-squares regression of published data<sup>9</sup> for log ([HNO<sub>3</sub>]/[NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>]) upon H<sub>o</sub>. <sup>c</sup> Because of the rather small contributions of the second term in the denominator of equation (1) at this acidity, this value is  $\pm 50\%$ . <sup>d</sup>[<sup>2</sup>H<sub>6</sub>]Phenol used in these runs.



**Figure 5.** Rate profiles for nitrosation  $\log_{10}[k_0/\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ dm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}]$  (A), for catalysed nitration  $\log_{10}[K_1k_d/\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ dm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}]$  (B), and  $\log_{10}[(K_1K_dK_2k_3/F)/\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ dm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}]$  (C), each plotted against the acidity function  $H_0$ 

corresponds to the  $k_3$  step (Scheme 2) being rate-limiting in catalysed nitration, and a reaction which is first-order in phenol and in [N<sup>V</sup>] but zero-order in [N<sup>III</sup>]. Part of the acidity dependence of this quantity arises from the extent of ionisation of nitric acid to nitrate ion in the range of media considered. Literature data for this ionisation<sup>9</sup> were therefore used to calculate the fraction, F, of N<sup>V</sup> present as undissociated nitric acid (Table 5). The acidity dependence of log  $(K_1K_dK_2k_3/F)$ (Figure 5) reflects the ease with which the transition state for the  $k_3$  step is reached, starting from phenol and molecular nitric acid.

The second-order rate constant  $K_1k_d$  corresponds to the  $k_d$  step (Scheme 2) being rate determining, and a reaction which is first-order in phenol and in  $[N^{III}]$  but zero-order in  $[N^v]$ . HNO<sub>2</sub> is the predominant form of  $N^{III}$  in the range of acidity considered, so the acidity dependence of log  $(K_1k_d)$  (Figure 5) is related to forming the transition state for the  $k_d$  step from phenol and HNO<sub>2</sub>.

The rather shallow dependence upon acidity of both  $\log K_1 k_d$ and  $\log (K_1 K_d K_2 k_3 / F)$  is in accord with the mechanism proposed, and forms part of the evidence for it. It arises from the loss of water in the pre-equilibrium  $(K_1)$  step, both the formal molecule of water and those molecules hydrogen-bonded to the reactants.



Alternative explanations not requiring the intermediate (I) have been considered. The acidity dependence of the rate constant for reaction fully enhanced by nitric acid (shown as log  $K_1k_d$  in Figure 5) could also be accounted for, if the rate-limiting step under these conditions was the direct reaction of phenol with protonated nitrous acid, because it is likely that the extent of protonation of nitrous acid like that of other oxygen bases<sup>10</sup> would not increase rapidly with acidity. However, such a mechanism would not account for the very much lower reactivity of anisole<sup>11</sup> under the same conditions. Direct reaction of phenolate anion and nitrosonium ion can also be rejected, because the rate constant for such a step would exceed that for encounter.

The intermediate (I) is thought to have the molecular formula PhONO, but its structure is unknown. It is at present regarded not as one species but as phenyl nitrite and 4-nitro-socyclohexa-2,5-dienone, rapidly equilibrating through a radical pair, as in Scheme 3.

The kinetics of reaction of hexadeuteriophenol were investigated for comparison. Secondary isotope effects are likely to be small and are ignored in this discussion. Nitrosation shows a primary isotope effect  $(k_{o,H}/k_{o,D} = 3.5)$  in accord with previous work.<sup>2</sup> There is no primary isotope effect in catalysed nitration, both  $(K_1k_d)_H/(K_1k_d)_D$  and  $(K_1K_dK_2k_3)_H/(K_1K_dK_2k_3)_D$  being close to unity (Table 5).

These observations are in accord with the mechanism proposed. The absence of an isotope effect when the  $k_3$  step is rate limiting is directly comparable to a similar observation regarding the combination of the *p*-fluorophenoxyl radical with NO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>12</sup> These combinations are clearly not rate-limited by the final proton loss from the Wheland intermediate (in this case 4-nitrocyclohexa-2,5-dienone) as has been suggested recently to be the case in the nitrous acid-catalysed nitration of nitroguiacols.<sup>13</sup> The contrasting behaviour exhibited by the *N*,*N*-

472

dimethyl-*p*-toluidine radical cation in its combination with NO<sub>2</sub> has been discussed.<sup>14</sup>

The products of catalysed nitration can be investigated if account is taken of the accompanying nitrosation-oxidation reaction. The mechanisms proposed (Scheme 2) leads to equation (2) [in which x and y have the same significance as in

$$\frac{\sqrt[6]{o} o \cdot NO_2 P(\text{cat})}{\sqrt[6]{o} o \cdot NO_2 P(\text{obs})} = 1 + \frac{k_o}{[N^V]} (x[N^{III}] + y[N^V]) \quad (2)$$

equation (1)]. This relates the percentage of o-nitrophenol formed in catalysed nitration [% o-NO<sub>2</sub>P(cat)] to that observed in the over-all reaction [% o-NO<sub>2</sub>P(obs)].

Catalysed nitration (Table 4) gives rise to o- and p-nitrophenol with a  $\frac{1}{2} o:p$  ratio of 0.65—0.66, with no evident dependence upon acidity. The products of photochemical reaction of phenol and tetranitromethane are also determined by a step in which the phenoxyl radical combines with NO<sub>2</sub>, and a similar ratio is reported.<sup>15</sup> Observed ratios of spin densities at the o- and p-position in the phenoxyl radical (0.67— 0.68) are also similar.<sup>16</sup>

#### Experimental

*Materials.*—Pure nitric acid was prepared by distillation of fuming nitric acid from concentrated sulphuric acid under vacuum. Sulphuric acid (98%), dichloromethane, phenol, sodium nitrite, *p*-nitrophenol, *o*-nitrophenol, *p*-nitrosophenol, and 4-methyl-2-nitrophenol were AnalaR reagents. Concentrations of diluted sulphuric acid were determined by measurements of density. *p*-Nitrophenol, *o*-nitrophenol, and *p*-nitrosophenol were purified by recrystallisation. Phenol and 4-methyl-2-nitrophenol were distilled before use.

*Kinetics of Nitration.*—These were studied as previously described.<sup>17</sup>

Kinetics of Nitrosation and of Catalysed Nitration.—Known volumes of solutions of phenol in acetic acid and of aqueous sodium nitrite (always added last) were transferred by syringe into a u.v. cell containing aqueous sulphuric acid and, if present, a known concentration of nitric acid at  $25 \,^{\circ}$ C in the thermostatted cell compartment of a Unicam SP 1800 or Perkin-Elmer lambda 5 spectrophotometer. Absorbance–time measurements at the selected wavelength were taken either on a chart recorder or directly by a microcomputer. First-order rate coefficients were computed from measurements over 4—6 half-lives by a non-linear least-squares method.

Product Studies.—Runs made essentially as described above were left for at least seven half-lives. If nitric acid had been absent (nitrosation studies) it was added at this stage and reaction allowed to proceed to completion. Absorbances were recorded at each of 21 different wavelengths in the range 300— 400 nm. Absorbances due to the presence of the nitrous and nitric acids were determined separately and subtracted, to give the corrected product absorbances, A. Absorbances  $A_o$  of onitrophenol and  $A_p$  of p-nitrophenol, each at the same concentration as the initial concentration of phenol in the

#### J. CHEM. SOC. PERKIN TRANS. II 1985

product run, were determined separately. The fraction, Y, of phenol converted into (o-nitrophenol + p-nitrophenol) was estimated as  $A/A_o$  (or  $A/A_p$ ) at the isosbestic wavelength between o- and p-nitrophenol (363 nm in 18.9 and 26.4% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and 362.5 nm in 40.2% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). The figures given in column 3 of Table 4 correspond to 100Y. The percentage of onitrophenol in the nitrophenolic product was estimated at each wavelength as  $100(A - A_p Y)/(A_o Y - A_p Y)$ . The figures given in column 4 of Table 4 are weighted means of this quantity, with weights assigned at each wavelength as  $(A_o - A_p)^2$  Spectra were then reconstituted; root-mean-square deviations between calculated and observed absorbances were found to be in the range  $3-10 \times 10^{-3}$ 

The yield of *o*-nitrophenol was also determined in some cases by g.c. After reaction the mixture was quenched in cold water, the reference standard (4-methyl-2-nitrophenol) was added as a solution in dichloromethane, and the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane ( $1 \times 20 \text{ cm}^3$ ,  $9 \times 10 \text{ cm}^3$ ). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and most of the dichloromethane removed by careful fractional distillation to leave a concentrated solution (2-3 cm<sup>3</sup>) of products. These were analysed on a Pye Unicam G.C.D. chromatograph (flameionisation detector; 120 °C, 2 m PEGA 5% on Chromosorb W, N<sub>2</sub> 40 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>). Retention times of *o*-nitrophenol and the reference standard were 420 and 687 s, respectively.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Professor K. Schofield for many helpful discussions. One of us (U. A.) thanks the Iraqi Government for a maintenance grant.

#### References

- 1 D. S. Ross, G. P. Hum, and W. G. Blucher, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1980, 532.
- 2 B. C. Challis and A. J. Lawson, J. Chem. Soc. B, 1971, 770.
- 3 G. C. Bazanova and A. A. Stottski, Zh. Org. Khim., 1980, 16, 2416.
- 4 U. Al-Obaidi and R. B. Moodie, unpublished work.
- 5 R. G. Coombes, J. G. Golding, and P. Hadjigeorgiou, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1979, 1451.
- 6 A. P. Gosney and M. I. Page, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1980, 1783.
- 7 F. Al-Omran, K. Fujiwara, J. C. Giffney, J. H. Ridd, and S. R. Robinson, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1981, 518.
- 8 We thank Professor J. H. Ridd for drawing our attention to this possibility.
- 9 N. C. Deno, H. H. Peterson, and E. Sacher, J. Phys. Chem., 1961, 65, 199.
- 10 R. A. Cox and K. Yates, Can. J. Chem., 1983, 61, 2225.
- 11 L. R. Dix, unpublished work.
- 12 C. Bloomfield, A. K. Manglik, R. B. Moodie, K. Schofield, and G. D. Tobin, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1983, 75.
- 13 G. V. Bazanova and A. A. Stottski, Zh. Org. Khim., 1983, 19, 780.
- 14 P. Helsby and J. H. Ridd, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1983, 1191.
- 15 S. Seltzer, E. Lam, and L. Packer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 6470.
- 16 W. T. Dixon and R. O. C. Norman, J. Chem. Soc., 1964, 4857; T. J. Stone and W. A. Waters, *ibid.* p. 213.
- 17 A. K. Manglik, R. B. Moodie, K. Schofield, G. D. Tobin, R. G. Coombes, and P. Hadjigeorgiou, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1980, 1606.

Received 5th July 1984: Paper 4/1161