#### Tetrahedron 66 (2010) 9159-9164

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Tetrahedron

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tet

# Effect of ring fusion stereochemistry on double bond geometry. Unexpected formation of nine-membered cyclic ether with *E*-configurated double bond through RCM

Subrata Ghosh\*, Md. Firoj Hossain, Chanchal K. Malik, Soumitra Maity

Department of Organic Chemistry, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Jadavpur, Kolkata, West Bengal 700032, India

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 August 2010 Received in revised form 27 September 2010 Accepted 27 September 2010 Available online 1 October 2010

Keywords: Diels—Alder reaction Oxygen heterocycles Metathesis Stereocontrol

#### ABSTRACT

Formation of a nine-membered cyclic ether with *E*-configurated double bond was observed during construction of 5-9-5 tricycles through RCM of dienes. Ring fusion stereochemistry in the products oxonenes was found to have profound influence on the olefin geometry. *cis-anti-cis* 5-9-5 tricycle was obtained with *Z*-configurated olefin while *cis-syn-cis* 5-9-5 system was obtained with *E*- as well as *Z*-configurated double bond with the former predominating.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Nine-membered cyclic ethers are present in a variety of marine natural products. The bicyclic ethers isolaurallene 1,<sup>1</sup> neolaurallene 2,<sup>2</sup> polycyclic ethers<sup>3</sup> brevetoxin-A, and ciguatoxins are representative examples. All of these compounds contain at least one nine-membered ring with *Z*-configurated double bond within the ring. Many of these compounds exhibit interesting biological activities. Thus synthesis of medium-sized cyclic ethers has received considerable attention.<sup>4</sup> Construction of medium-sized rings (8–10) through ring closure of the corresponding linear precursors is extremely difficult<sup>5</sup> because of transannular interactions in the transition state. A number of indirect but efficient methodologies<sup>6</sup> have thus been developed for their synthesis.



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 33 2473 4971; fax: +91 33 2473 2805; e-mail address: ocsg@iacs.res.in (S. Ghosh).

Ring closing metathesis (RCM)<sup>7</sup> of acyclic precursors with terminal alkene units has emerged as a powerful tool for direct synthesis of cycloalkenes of different ring sizes. Generally in RCM eight- and nine-membered carbo-, and hetero-cyclic rings are obtained in high yields with *Z*-configurated olefin. To date there is only one example reported<sup>8</sup> in literature for the formation of *trans*cyclooctene through RCM. Although there are a number of reports on the synthesis of nine-membered carbocycles<sup>9</sup> and cyclic ethers<sup>10</sup> through RCM, all of them produce carba-and oxa-cycles with *Z*-configurated olefin.



In continuation to our interest in the application of RCM reaction in organic synthesis,<sup>11</sup> we became interested in RCM of dienes **3**. RCM of dienes **3** is expected to produce the tricycles **4** with nine-membered cyclic ether having *Z*-olefinic geometry. We herein present<sup>12</sup> the results of RCM of the dienes **3** demonstrating that the relative stereochemistry at the ring fusion at both ends of the





<sup>0040-4020/\$ –</sup> see front matter @ 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2010.09.084

central ring to be formed influences the olefin geometry significantly resulting in the synthesis of nine-membered cyclic ether with *E*-configurated double bond. To the best of our knowledge this is the first example of the formation of oxonene with an *E*-olefinic geometry through RCM.<sup>13</sup>

#### 2. Results and discussion

For the synthesis of the dienes **3a** and **3b**, we planned to employ ring opening metathesis of the appropriate norbornene derivatives prepared from the dienophile **9**. The dienophile **9** was prepared as follows (Scheme 1). The hydroxyl group in diacetone glucose **5** was transformed to the allyl ether **6**<sup>10f</sup> on reaction of its sodium salt with allyl bromide. Selective removal of the 5,6-acetonide moiety and periodate cleavage of the vicinal diol resulted in the known aldehyde **7**.<sup>10f</sup> Reaction of this aldehyde with vinyl magnesium bromide afforded the carbinol **8** as a single diastereomer in 70% yield. Assignment of stereochemistry to the newly created stereocentre in **8** was based on addition of vinyl magnesium bromide on the face opposite to the allyloxy group as the other face of the aldehyde unit is blocked by the allyloxy group. Dess–Martin periodinane oxidation of the carbinol **8** produced the enone **9** in excellent yield.



**Scheme 1.** Reagents and conditions: (i) NaH, HMPA, THF,  $CH_2=CHCH_2Br$ , rt, 83%; (ii) (a) 75% aq HOAc, 12 h, 78%, (b) NaIO<sub>4</sub>,  $CH_3CN/H_2O$  (3:1), 2 h, 70%; (iii)  $CH_2=CHMgBr$ , THF, -78 °C to rt, 5 h, 70%, (iv) DMP,  $CH_2CI_2$ , 1 h, 90%; (v) cyclopentadiene,  $ZnCI_2$ ,  $CH_2CI_2$ , -78 °C, 5 h, 95%; (vi) cyclopentadiene,  $CH_2CI_2$ , 0 °C $\rightarrow$ rt, 5h, 81%.

Diels—Alder reaction of the enone **9** with cyclopentadiene may proceed from the *Re*-face as well as from the *Si*-face to produce either the adduct **10** or **11**. When the enone **9** was treated with cyclopentadiene in the presence of anhydrous ZnCl<sub>2</sub> as catalyst, the reaction was found to be highly diastereoselective producing exclusively the adduct **10** in 95% isolated yield as an oil. The overall structure of the product could be discerned from NMR spectral data. However, it was difficult to distinguish the structure **10** from **11** based on only NMR spectral data. At this stage we decided to prepare an analogue of **10** as a crystallography. Indeed a solid analogue **15** was prepared as follows (Scheme 2). Acid induced deprotection of the 5,6-acetonide moiety of the known glucofuranose derivative **12**<sup>14</sup> followed by periodate cleavage of the resulting vicinal diol afforded the aldehyde **13**. Addition of vinyl magnesium bromide to the aldehyde **13** and subsequent oxidation of the carbinol afforded the required enone **14**. Diels–Alder reaction of the enone **14** with cyclopentadiene in the presence of anhydrous ZnCl<sub>2</sub> as catalyst was found to be highly diastereoselective producing exclusively the adduct **15** in 92% isolated yield. Removal of PMB protecting group afforded the hydroxy compound **16** as a crystalline solid, mp 112–114 °C. The structure of the adduct **16** was established by determination of X-ray crystal structure (Fig. 1).<sup>15</sup> The crystal structure of **16** clearly reveals that diene added to the *Re*-face of the enone **14**. With establishment of the structure of the adduct **15**, the structure of the Diels–Alder adduct of the enone **9** was assigned the structure as depicted in **10**.



**Scheme 2.** Reagents and conditions: (i) (a) 75% aq HOAc, 12 h, 80%, (b) NaIO<sub>4</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>CN/ H<sub>2</sub>O (3:1), 2 h, 73%; (ii) (a) CH<sub>2</sub>=CHMgBr, THF, -78 °C to rt, 5h, 68%; (b) DMP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 1 h, 95%; (iii) cyclopentadiene, ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -78 °C, 5 h, 92%; (iv) DDQ, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O (18:1), 4 h, 87%.



Fig. 1. ORTEP diagram of compound 16.

It may be noted that the *Re*-face of the dienophile **9** is blocked by the alkoxy group and Diels—Alder reaction was expected to take place by addition on the unhindered *Si*-face of the enone **9** to give the adduct **11**. It appeared that the catalyst played an important role in dictating the facial selectivity. So we decided to carry out Diels—Alder reaction of the enone **9** with cyclopentadiene without using any catalyst. To our delight a Diels—Alder Adduct was obtained as a liquid in 81% yield. This adduct was found to be different than the adduct **10** as revealed from NMR spectral data. This adduct was assigned the structure **11** arising out of the addition of the diene on the unhindered *Si*-face. The change in facial selectivity in presence of Lewis acid as catalyst may be attributed as follows. Initially the enone **9** forms a metal co-ordinated species **17**. Rotation along the  $C_4$ – $C_5$  bond in the complex **17** forms a tight five-membered metal chelate **18**. Chelate formation is probably the driving force for this rotation. During this process the *Re*-face of the diene is no longer blocked and addition took place through chelate **18** leading to the product **10**.



With the norbornene derivatives **10** and **11** ready in hand, we focused on their ring opening metathesis (Scheme 3). The adduct **10** was first subjected to metathesis. Exposure of a dichloromethane solution of **10** with Grubbs' first generation catalyst **22** in the presence of ethylene at rt led complete consumption of the starting material within 15 min and afforded, after purification through column chromatography, mainly the triene **3a** in 81% yield. That the compound **3a** is the ring opened product was easily ascertained from the appearance of three olefinic methines at  $\delta$  133.3, 139.0, 141.9, and three methylenes at 113.6, 115.7, and 118.6 in its <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum. Similarly treatment of the adduct **11** in dichloromethane solution with Grubbs' first generation catalyst at rt in the presence of ethylene underwent smooth ring opening to afford the triene **3b** in 96% yield.



**Scheme 3.** Reagents and conditions: (i) catalyst **22** (5 mol %), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, rt, 6 h, 78%; (ii) catalyst **22** (10 mol %), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, rt, 1 h, 96%; (iii) catalyst **23** (6 mol %), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, rt, 1 h, 96%; (iii) catalyst **23** (6 mol %), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, rt, 12 h, 29% (for **21**) and 21% (for **20**).

The triene **3a** on treatment with the catalyst **22** for 6 h at rt afforded the tricycle **19** in 78% yield. Assignment of *Z*-olefinic geometry in the nine-membered ring in **19** could be easily made from the coupling constant (J=10.5 Hz) of the C<sub>6</sub> and C<sub>7</sub> olefinic protons at  $\delta$  5.51 (dt, J=4, 10.5 Hz) and 5.57 (dt, J=2, 10.5 Hz). The triene **3b** failed to undergo ring closure with the catalyst **22** even after prolonged heating in toluene. However, the triene **3b** could be cyclized

on treating a dichloromethane solution with the Grubbs' second generation catalyst 23 at rt for 12 h. Careful chromatography of the product led to isolation of the tricycles 20 (21%) and 21 (29%) along with a dimeric compound (14%, not characterized). cis-Olefinic geometry in **20** was confirmed by the coupling constant (*J*=11 Hz) between C<sub>6</sub> and C<sub>7</sub> H's, which appeared at  $\delta$  5.45 (*J*=0.6, 3.4, and 11.4 Hz) and 5.80 (J=2.4, 9, and 11 Hz). The cis-olefinic geometry in 20 was further confirmed by analysis of its 2D NMR (HSOC, COSY, and NOESY) spectra (Fig. 2). Analysis of <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **21** revealed that both the  $C_6$  and  $C_7$  olefinic protons appeared as an overlapping multiplet at  $\delta$  5.63–5.71. It was difficult to determine the coupling constant values for the ring olefinic protons from this complex pattern. Thus we had to take resort to 2D NMR spectra. While the COSY and NOESY (Fig. 2) spectra of **20** showed a strong correlation between C<sub>6</sub> and C<sub>7</sub> protons, no such correlation was observed between the ring alkene protons in its COSY and NOESY spectra (Supplementary data). This confirmed that the ninemembered cyclic ether in 21 contains an E-configurated olefin.



Fig. 2. COSY and NOESY analysis of 20 and 21.

The diene **3a** differs from **3b** in the stereochemistry of the fivemembered ring fused to the nine-membered ring to be formed during RCM. Remarkably this subtle difference in structures of the diene precursors leads to the formation of thermodynamically less stable *E*-alkene in the oxonene. Indeed DFT calculation<sup>16</sup> of energy associated with the *cis*-oxonene **19** formed from RCM of **3a** shows it be energetically much more stable by 5.62 Kcal/mol than its transanalogue. Thus as expected *cis*-oxonene **19** was formed. On the other hand the *trans*-oxonene **21** is energetically more stable by 3.40 Kcal/mol over the *cis*-oxonene **20** both of which are obtained by RCM of the diene **3b**. In this case the energy difference between **20** and **21** is less compared to that between **19** and its *trans*-analogue. Thus formation of *trans*-oxonene **20** and **21**, respectively.

#### 3. Conclusion

We have demonstrated that nine-membered cyclic ether with thermodynamically less stable *E*-configurated geometry<sup>13</sup> can be formed through RCM reaction of diene. It is not the strain energy associated with incorporation of *trans*-alkene in the ring but probably the overall stability of the resulting ring system that dictates the olefin geometry. In the present example, *cis-syn-cis* stereochemistry of the ring junction at both ends of the nine-membered ring made *E*-configurated oxonene more stable than the corresponding *Z*-analogue.

#### 4. Experimental section

#### 4.1. General

Melting points were taken in open capillaries in sulfuric acid bath and are uncorrected. Petroleum ether refers to the fraction having bp 60-80 °C. A usual work up of the reaction mixture consists of extraction with diethyl ether, washing with brine, drying over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and removal of the solvent in vacuo. Column chromatography was carried out with silica gel (60–120 mesh). Peak positions in <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra are indicated in parts per million downfield from internal TMS in  $\delta$  units. NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution at 300, 500, and 600 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and 75, 125 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C on Bruker-Avance DPX<sub>300</sub>, DPX<sub>500</sub>, DPX<sub>600</sub> instruments. <sup>13</sup>C Peaks assignment is based on DEPT experiment. IR spectra were recorded as liquid film for liquids and in KBr plate for solids on Shimadzu FTIR-8300 instrument. Optical rotations were measured using Jasco P-1020 digital polarimeter and  $[\alpha]_D$  values are given in units of  $10^{-1}$  deg cm<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>. Mass spectra were measured in a QTOF I (quadrupole-hexapole-TOF) mass spectrometer with an orthogonal Z-spray-electrospray interface on Micro (YA-263) mass spectrometer (Manchester, UK). Unless otherwise indicated, all reactions were carried out under a blanket of Ar.

4.1.1. 1-((3aR,5R,6S,6aR)-6-(Allyloxy)-tetrahydro-2,2-dimethylfuro [2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)prop-2-en-1-ol 8. To a magnetically stirred solution of the known aldehyde 7 (900 mg, 3.95 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) at -78 °C was added vinyl magnesium bromide (1.0 M solution in THF, 5.9 mmol, 5.9 mL) dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at that temperature for 1 h. The temperature of the reaction mixture was slowly raised to rt. After stirring for 4 h at rt it was quenched with saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution (1.0 mL). Usual work up of the reaction mixture and purification of the crude residue by column chromatography (25% Et<sub>2</sub>O/petroleum ether) afforded the alcohol **8** (785 mg, 70%) as colorless oil; R<sub>f</sub> (30% EtOAc/petroleum ether) 0.7;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{24}$  –41.96 (*c* 5.95, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR  $\nu_{max}$  (liquid film) 3477, 2987, 2935, 1737, 1643, 1454, 1427, 1375 cm<sup>-1</sup>; δ<sub>H</sub> (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 6.00-5.95 (1H, m, =CH), 5.91 (1H, d, / 2.8 Hz, O-CH-O), 5.88-5.77 (1H, m, =CH), 5.35 (1H, d, J 17.4 Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.25 (1H, d, J 17.4 Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.17 (2H, d, J 10.5 Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.49 (1H, d, J 3.5 Hz, CH), 4.43 (1H, d, J 5.1 Hz, CH), 4.11 (1H, dd, J 11.8, 5.21 Hz), 3.92–4.01 (3H, m), 2.99 (1H, br s, OH), 1.41 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.25 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta_{C}$  (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 137.8, 133.5, 118.3, 115.9, 111.6, 105.1, 82.6, 81.9, 81.5, 71.1, 70.5, 26.7, 26.3; HRMS (ESI) (m/z):  $[M+Na]^+$ , found 279.1209. C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na requires 279.1208.

4.1.2. 1-((3aR,5S,6R,6aR)-6-(Allyloxy)-tetrahydro-2,2-dimethylfuro [2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)prop-2-en-1-one **9**. A solution of the hydroxy compound 8 (100 mg, 0.391 mmol) in anhydrous dichloromethane (10 mL) was degassed by bubbling Ar and DMP (329 mg, 0.781 mmol) was added to it. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h and quenched by adding dropwise (1:1 mixture of saturated aqueous sodium thiosulfate and saturated sodium bicarbonate) till a transparent solution is obtained. The compound was extracted with ether and concentrated under vacuum and purified by column chromatography (15% Et<sub>2</sub>O/petroleum ether) to afford the enone **9** (89 mg, 90%) as colorless oil;  $R_f$  (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether) 0.8;  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  –50.5 (*c* 1.36, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR  $\nu_{max}$  (liquid film) 2991, 2939, 1710, 1610, 1446, 1377 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 6.76 (1H, dd, J 17.4, 10.6 Hz, =CH), 6.29 (1H, d, J 17.3 Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.04 (1H, d, J 3.5 Hz, O-CH-O), 5.68 (1H, dd, J 10.4, 1.4 Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.73-5.63 (1H, m, =CH), 5.18–5.08 (2H, m, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.74 (1H, d, J 3.5 Hz, O-CH-CO), 4.53 (1H, d, J 3.5 Hz, O–CH), 4.21 (1H, d, J 3.5 Hz, CH–O–CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.98 (1H, dd, J 12.8, 5.3 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.86 (1H, dd, J 12.9, 5.5 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.43  $(3H, s, CH_3), 1.28 (3H, s, CH_3); \delta_C (75 MHz, CDCl_3) 196.0, 133.2, 132.2,$ 128.7, 117.8, 112.3, 105.8, 84.8, 83.4, 82.1, 71.3, 26.9, 26.3; HRMS (ESI) (*m*/*z*): [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, found 277.1050. C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na requires 277.1052.

4.1.3. ((3aR,5S,6R,6aR)-6-(Allyloxy)-2,2-dimethyltetrahydrofuro [2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)((1S,2S,4S)-bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-yl) methanone**10**. To a magnetically stirred solution of the dienophile **9** (100 mg, 0.393 mmol) in DCM (5 mL) at -78 °C, ZnCl<sub>2</sub> (106 mg,

0.779 mmol) was added and stirred for 30 min followed by addition of freshly distilled cyclopentadiene (78 mg, 1.18 mmol). After stirring for 5 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with brine (0.2 mL) and worked up in the usual way to afford, after column chromatography (10% Et<sub>2</sub>O/petroleum ether), the adduct **10** (120 mg, 95%);  $R_f$  (15% EtOAc/petroleum ether) 0.8;  $[\alpha]_D^{26}$  –112 (*c* 0.28, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR  $v_{\rm max}$  (liquid film) 2976, 2937, 1708, 1381 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 6.12 (1H, dd, / 5.3, 3.1 Hz, =CH), 6.05 (1H, d, / 3.6 Hz, O-CH-O), 5.83 (1H, dd, / 5.4, 2.2 Hz, =CH), 5.79-5.74 (1H, m, =CH), 5.27-5.16 (2H, m, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.65 (1H, d, / 3.6 Hz, O-CH-CO), 4.54 (1H, d, / 3.8 Hz, OCH), 4.19 (1H, d, / 3.6 Hz, CH-O-CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.03 (1H, dd, / 12.7, 5.3 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.94 (1H, dd, / 12.8, 5.8 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.39–3.33 (1H, m, CH), 3.23 (1H, br s, CH), 2.85 (1H, br s, CH), 1.82-1.74 (1H, ddd, J 11.9, 8.9, 3.6 Hz), 1.45 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.42–1.37 (2H, m), 1.31 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.28 (1H, m); δ<sub>C</sub> (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 208.1, 137.1, 133.7, 132.3, 118.2, 112.2, 105.7, 85.6, 83.1, 82.0, 71.4, 50.1, 48.7, 46.2, 42.8, 28.8, 26.9, 26.4; HRMS (ESI) (*m*/*z*): [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, found 343.1525. C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na requires 343.1521.

4.1.4. ((3aR,5S,6R,6aR)-6-(Allyloxy)-tetrahydro-2,2-dimethylfuro [2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)(bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-yl)methanone 11. To a stirred solution of the dienophile 9 (200 mg, 0.787 mmol) in dry THF (5 mL) at 0 °C, freshly distilled cyclopentadiene (156 mg, 2.36 mmol) was added dropwise. Stirring was continued for 1 h at 0 °C and 6 h at rt. Solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography (12% Et<sub>2</sub>O/petroleum ether) to afford the cycloadduct **11** (204 mg, 81%); *R*<sub>f</sub> (20% EtOAc/ petroleum ether) 0.85;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 6.16 (1H, dd, J 5.5, 3.1 Hz, =CH), 6.08 (1H, d, / 3.6 Hz, O-CH-O), 5.80-5.77 (1H, m, =CH), 5.75-5.68 (1H, m, =CH), 5.22-5.14 (2H, m, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.56 (1H, d, / 3.5 Hz, O-CH-CO), 4.54 (1H, d, / 3.4 Hz, OCH), 4.15 (1H, d, / 3.5 Hz, CH-OCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.00 (1H, dd, / 12.9, 5.1 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.89 (1H, dd, / 12.7, 5.4 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.46-3.42 (1H, m, CH-CO), 3.41 (1H, br s, CH), 2.89 (1H, br s, CH), 1.72-1.64 (1H, m), 1.48 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.42-1.37 (2H, m), 1.33 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.27–1.22 (1H, m);  $\delta_{C}$  (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 209.2, 137.6, 133.7, 131.5, 117.8, 112.3, 106.1, 85.7, 84.0, 82.2, 71.7, 50.3, 48.9, 46.3, 43.0, 27.7, 27.0, 26.4; HRMS (ESI) (*m*/*z*): [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, found 343.1524. C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na requires 343.1521.

4.1.5. (3aR,5S,6S,6aR)-6-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-tetrahydro-2,2dimethylfuro[2,3-d][1,3]dioxole-5-carbaldehyde 13. A solution of the acetonide 12 (2.0 g, 2.63 mmol) and aqueous acetic acid (75%, 10 mL) was stirred at rt for 12 h. The resulting solution was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residual mass was purified by column chromatography (40% EtOAc/petroleum ether) to afford the corresponding diol (1.43 g, 80%) as colorless oil;  $R_f$  (50% EtOAc/petroleum ether) 0.5;  $[\alpha]_D^{26}$  –32.7 (*c* 6.4, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR  $\nu_{max}$ (liquid film) 3439, 2987, 2937, 1612, 1514, 1416, 1379 cm $^{-1}$ ;  $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 7.24 (2H, d, J 8.3 Hz, Ar-H), 6.85 (2H, d, J 8.4 Hz, Ar-H), 5.88 (1H, d, J 3.5 Hz, O-CH-O), 4.62-4.55 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.47 (1H, d, / 11.3 Hz, CH), 4.09-4.06 (2H, m), 3.98-3.97 (1H, m), 3.75 (4H, br s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.64 (1H, dd, / 11.4, 5.2 Hz, CH), 3.08 (2H br s, OH), 1.45 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.28 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>); δ<sub>C</sub> (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 159.5, 129.5 (2C), 129.3, 114.0 (2C), 111.7, 105.1, 82.2, 81.5, 79.9, 71.8, 69.1, 64.2, 55.2, 26.7, 26.2; HRMS (ESI) (*m*/*z*): [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, found 363.1422. C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>7</sub>Na requires 363.1420.

To a magnetically stirred ice-cold solution of the diol (2.5 g, 7.35 mmol) obtained as above in acetonitrile/water (3:1, 24 mL) was added NaIO<sub>4</sub> (2.36 g, 11.03 mmol) in several portions. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 0 °C for 2 h. The precipitated white solid was filtered off after washing it thoroughly with diethyl ether. Usual work up of the filtrate afforded the title aldehyde **13** (1.60 g, 73%) as colorless liquid; IR  $\nu_{max}$  (liquid film) 2978, 2928, 1737, 1610, 1587, 1514, 1373 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 9.56 (1H, s, CHO), 7.10 (2H, d, *J* 8.5 Hz, Ar–H), 6.79 (2H, d, *J* 8.5 Hz, Ar–H), 6.03 (1H, d, *J* 3.4 Hz, O–CH–O), 4.55 (1H, d, *J* 3.4 Hz, CH), 4.48 (1H, br s, CH), 4.46

(1H, d, *J* 11.6 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.33 (1H, d, *J* 11.6 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.24 (1H, d, *J* 3.7 Hz, CH–OPMB), 3.72 (3H, s, OMe), 1.39 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.25 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 200.1, 159.7, 129.6 (2C), 128.7, 114.0 (2C), 112.6, 106.3, 84.7, 83.3, 82.3, 72.2, 55.4, 27.1, 26.4; HRMS (ESI) (*m/z*): [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, found 331.1156. C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na requires 331.1158.

4.1.6. 1-((3aR.5S.6R.6aR)-6-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-tetrahydro-2,2-dimethylfuro[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)prop-2-en-1-one 14. Following the procedure described for 8, the aldehyde 13 (2.23 g, 7.2 mmol) in dry THF (15 mL) was reacted with vinyl magnesium bromide (15 mL, 15 mmol, 1.0 M in THF) to afford the corresponding carbinol (1.63 g, 68%) as colorless oil;  $R_f$  (30% EtOAc/ petroleum ether) 0.5;  $[\alpha]_D^{26}$  –42.6 (c 5.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR  $\nu_{max}$  (liquid film) 3498, 2987, 2935, 1612, 1585, 1514, 1463, 1375 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 7.22 (2H, d, J 7.6 Hz, Ar–H), 6.86 (2H, d, J 7.1 Hz, Ar–H), 5.96 (1H, d, J 2.6 Hz, CH), 5.84–5.73 (1H, m), 5.39 (1H, d, J 17.1 Hz), 5.17 (1H, d, J 10.6 Hz), 4.61–4.57 (2H, m), 4.47 (1H, t, J 5.9 Hz), 4.37 (1H, d, J 11.3 Hz), 4.03–4.01 (1H, m), 3.90 (1H, br s), 3.77 (3H, s), 2.52 (1H, br s, OH), 1.46 (3H, s), 1.30 (3H, s); δ<sub>C</sub> (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 159.5, 135.8, 129.6 (2C), 129.0, 116.9, 113.9 (2C), 111.8, 105.0, 83.1, 82.2, 82.1, 71.6, 70.9, 55.2, 26.8, 26.3; HRMS (ESI) (*m*/*z*): [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, found 359.1475. C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na requires 359.1471.

Following the procedure described for **9**, the hydroxy compound obtained as above (1.7 g, 5.06 mmol) in dry DCM (25 mL) was oxidized with DMP (2.6 g, 6.07 mmol) to afford the enone **14** (1.61 g, 95%) as colorless liquid;  $R_f$  (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether) 0.7;  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  –52.8 (*c* 2.6, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR  $\nu_{max}$  (liquid film) 2988, 2936, 1698, 1612, 1514, 1403, 1383 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\delta_H$  (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 7.1 (2H, d, *J* 8.5 Hz, Ar–H), 6.82 (2H, d, *J* 8.7 Hz, Ar–H), 6.76–6.71 (1H, m, =CH), 6.33 (1H, d, *J* 17.4 Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.07 (1H, d, *J* 3.5 Hz, O–CH–O), 5.68 (1H, d, *J* 10.1 Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.78 (1H, d, *J* 3.6 Hz, O–CH–CO), 4.57 (1H, d, *J* 3.5 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 4.28 (1H, d, *J* 3.5 Hz, CH–OCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 3.76 (3H, s, OMe), 1.45 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.30 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta_C$  (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 195.1, 159.5, 132.4, 129.5 (2C), 128.9, 128.6, 113.8 (2C), 112.3, 105.9, 84.9, 83.2, 82.2, 72.1, 55.3, 27.0, 26.4; HRMS (ESI) (*m*/*z*): [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, found 357.1314.

4.1.7. ((1S,2S,4S)-Bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-yl(3aR,5S,6R,6aR)-6-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-2,2-dimethyltetrahydrofuro[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)methanone 15. The enone 14 (270 mg, 0.81 mmol) was allowed to react with cyclopentadiene (267 mg, 4.04 mmol) in presence of ZnCl<sub>2</sub> (120 mg, 0.882 mmol) to afford after column chromatography the adduct 15 (297 mg, 92%); R<sub>f</sub> (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether) 0.8;  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  –88.4 (c 3.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR  $\nu_{max}$  (liquid film) 2972, 2938, 1705, 1612, 1585, 1514, 1456, 1375 cm  $^{-1}$ ;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 7.12 (2H, d, J 8.5 Hz, Ar-H), 6.80 (2H, d, J 8.5 Hz, Ar-H), 6.04 (1H, dd, J 5.2, 3.1 Hz, =CH), 6.00 (1H, d, J 3.6 Hz, O-CH-O), 5.70 (1H, dd, J 5.4, 2.4 Hz, =CH), 4.62 (1H, d, J 3.6 Hz, O-CH-CO), 4.51 (1H, d, J 3.6 Hz, OCH), 4.47 (1H, d, J 11.6 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 4.34 (1H, d, J 11.6 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 4.20 (1H, d, / 3.60 Hz, CH–O–CH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 3.71 (3H, s, OMe), 3.16-3.12 (1H, m, CH-CO), 3.10 (1H, br s, CH), 2.77 (1H, br s, CH), 1.72-1.64 (1H, m), 1.39 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.37-1.27 (1H, m), 1.25 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.18–1.13 (2H, m); δ<sub>C</sub> (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 207.5, 159.6, 137.1, 132.2, 129.7 (2C), 129.0, 113.9 (2C), 112.1, 105.6, 85.4, 82.8, 81.8, 72.0, 55.3, 50.0, 48.8, 46.2, 42.6, 28.6, 27.0, 26.4; HRMS (ESI) (m/z): [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, found 423.1788. C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na requires 423.1783.

4.1.8. (Bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-yl)((3aR,6R,6aR)-tetrahydro-6hydroxy-2,2-dimethylfuro[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)methanone **16**. To a stirred solution of **15** (320 mg, 0.8 mmol) in DCM (8.1 mL) and water (0.5 mL) was added DDQ (272 mg, 1.2 mmol) at rt. After 3 h precipitated solid was removed by decantation and washed with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL). The combined CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution was then washed with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, water and saturated NaCl, and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Evaporation of the solvent in vacuo gave an oil, which was chromatographed on silica gel to give a white solid **16** (195 mg, 87%), mp 112–114 °C;  $R_f$  (30% EtOAc/petroleum ether) 0.5;  $[\alpha]_D^{26}$  –146.21 (*c* 2.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR  $\nu_{max}$  (KBr plate) 3442, 2985, 2965, 1710, 1384, 1374 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\delta_H$  (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 6.15 (1H, dd, *J* 5.4, 3.0 Hz, =CH), 6.07 (1H, d, *J* 3.5 Hz, O–CH–O), 5.84 (1H, dd, *J* 5.4, 2.6 Hz, =CH), 4.69 (1H, d, *J* 3.0 Hz, O–CH–CO), 4.56 (1H, d, *J* 3.4 Hz, OCH), 4.52 (1H, br s, CH–OH), 3.41–3.35 (1H, m), 3.33 (1H, br s, CH), 3.10 (1H, br s, CH), 2.90 (1H, br s, CH), 2.10 (1H, br s, OH), 1.86–1.78 (1H, m), 1.49 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.47–1.43 (2H, m), 1.33 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta_C$  (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)209.8; 137.6, 131.9, 112.1, 105.4, 86.0, 84.6, 76.1, 50.1, 49.6, 46.4, 42.8, 28.4, 27.0, 26.3; HRMS (ESI) (*m*/*z*): [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, found 303.1205. C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na requires 303.1208.

4.1.9. Synthesis of the tricycle 19. Grubbs' first generation catalyst (15 mg, 0.018 mmol) was dissolved in degassed CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL) and was added through a syringe to a solution of the norbornene derivative 10 (200 mg, 0.62 mmol) in degassed CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL). This solution was then purged with ethylene and stirred at rt for 15 min under an ethylene atmosphere. The solvent was then removed by rotary evaporation and purified by column chromatography (10% Et<sub>2</sub>O/petroleum ether) to afford the compound **3a** (176 mg, 81%) as colorless oil;  $R_f$  (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether) 0.6; IR  $\nu_{max}$  (liquid film) 2983, 2937, 1707, 1373 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 6.03 (1H, d, J 3.5 Hz, O-CH-O), 5.88-5.66 (3H, m, =CH), 5.26-5.17 (3H, m, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.05-4.89 (3H, m, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.73 (1H, d, J 3.8 Hz, O-CH-CO), 4.50 (1H, d, J 3.6 Hz, -O-CH-), 4.25 (1H, d, J 3.8 Hz, -O-CH-), 4.06 (1H, dd, J 12.6, 5.3 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.88 (1H, dd, J 12.7, 6.1 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.22 (1H, q, J 8.1 Hz), 2.91–2.80 (1H, m), 2.60–2.46 (1H, m), 1.98–1.79 (3H, m), 1.61–1.49 (1H, m), 1.44 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.31 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta_C$ (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 205.9, 141.9, 139.0, 133.3, 118.6, 115.7, 113.6, 112.3, 105.7, 86.1, 82.8, 81.9, 71.1, 51.4, 48.0, 44.0, 39.6, 34.9, 27.1, 26.5.

A solution of the triene 3a (100 mg, 0.28 mmol) in dichloromethane (40 mL) was treated with Grubbs' first generation catalyst 22 (12 mg, 0.014 mmol) under Ar atmosphere and stirred at rt for 6 h. The residual mass obtained after removal of solvent was chromatographed (12% Et<sub>2</sub>O/petroleum ether) to afford **19** (71 mg, 78%) as colorless liquid;  $R_f$  (15% EtOAc/petroleum ether) 0.85;  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -77.6 (c 2.4, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR v<sub>max</sub> (liquid film) 2983, 2937, 1707, 1373 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 6.09 (1H, d, J 3.2 Hz, O–CH–O), 5.85 (1H, tdd, J 18.0, 10.0, 7.2 Hz, =CH), 5.57 (1H, dt, J 10.5, 2.0 Hz, =CH), 5.51 (1H, dt, J 10.5, 4.0 Hz, =CH), 5.06 (1H, d, J 17.1 Hz, = CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.96 (1H, d, J 10.3 Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.53 (1H, d, J 3.1 Hz, O-CH-CO), 4.40 (1H, d, J 15.0 Hz,), 4.33 (1H, d, J 2.3 Hz), 4.04 (1H, d, J 1.7 Hz), 4.00 (1H, q, J 9.6 Hz), 3.82 (1H, dd, J 15.0, 10.6 Hz), 3.42 (1H, quintet, J 8.7 Hz), 2.56 (1H, sextet, J 8.6 Hz), 2.19 (1H, td, J 7.6, 6.4 Hz), 1.82 (2H, t, J 10.0 Hz), 1.48 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.40–1.36 (1H, m), 1.33 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>); δ<sub>C</sub> (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 211.8, 141.7, 141.6, 125.2, 113.7, 112.8, 105.9, 86.3, 85.5, 84.3, 68.6, 51.1, 44.4, 41.2, 40.3, 35.8, 26.9, 26.5; HRMS (ESI) (m/z):  $[M+Na]^+$ , found 343.1524. C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na requires 343.1521.

4.1.10. ((3aR,5S,6R,6aR)-6-(Allyloxy)-2,2-dimethyltetrahydrofuro [2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)((1R,2R,4R)-2,4-divinylcyclopentyl)methanone **3b**. To a solution of **11** (60 mg, 0.19 mmol) in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (30 mL) under ethylene atmosphere at rt was added a solution of the catalyst 22 (15 mg, 0.018 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL). After stirring for 1 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated. Column chromatography of the residue (10% Et<sub>2</sub>O/petroleum ether) gave the ring opened product **3b** (59 mg, 96%) as colorless oil;  $R_f$  (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether) 0.7;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (liquid film) 2971, 1709, 1442 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 6.04 (1H, d, J 3.5 Hz, O-CH-O), 5.88-5.61 (3H, m), 5.21-5.13 (2H, m), 5.04-4.98 (2H, m), 4.92-4.87 (2H, m), 4.50 (1H, d, J 3.5 Hz, O-CH-CO), 4.41 (1H, d, J 3.4 Hz, OCH), 4.14 (1H, d, J 3.4 Hz, CH-O-CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.02-3.86 (2H, m), 3.71-3.62 (1H, m), 3.10 (1H, quintet, J 8.5 Hz, CH), 2.55-2.46 (1H, m), 2.06-1.97 (1H, m), 1.91–1.62 (3H, m), 1.42 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.31 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>); δ<sub>C</sub> (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 210.8, 142.2, 139.8, 133.8, 117.8, 114.9, 113.4, 112.3, 106.1, 85.9,

9164

84.0, 82.1, 71.7, 52.6, 45.6, 43.5, 39.0, 33.6, 27.1, 26.6; HRMS (ESI) (*m*/*z*): [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, found 371.1839. C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na requires 371.1834.

4.1.11. Synthesis of tricycles 20 and 21. Following the metathesis procedure described above, the ring opened product **3b** (42 mg, 0.12 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) was treated with the catalyst 23 (6 mg, 6 mol %) in argon atmosphere (12 h) to afford, after column chromatography (35% Et<sub>2</sub>O/petroleum ether), the tricvcles **20** (8 mg, 21%) and **21** (11 mg, 29%) as colorless oil. Compound **20**; *R*<sub>f</sub>  $(30\% \text{ EtOAc/petroleum ether}) 0.35; [\alpha]_D^{27} - 5.4 (c 1.2, CHCl_3); IR \nu_{max}$ (liquid film) 3076, 2986, 2935, 1726, 1641 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 6.09 (1H, d, / 3.6 Hz, O-CH-O), 5.80 (1H, ddd, / 11, 9, 2.4 Hz, =CH-), 5.82-5.74 (1H, m, =CH-), 5.45 (1H, ddd, J 11.4, 3.4, 0.6 Hz, =CH-), 5.06 (1H, td, *J* 17.1, 1.8 Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.95 (1H, td, *J* 10.3, 1.2 Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.88 (1H, d, J 3.7 Hz, OCH), 4.58 (1H, d, J 3.7 Hz, OCH), 4.53 (1H, d, / 3.5 Hz, OCH), 4.38 (1H, td, / 15.5, 3.0 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.94 (1H, dd, J 15.4, 5.3 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.08-3.03 (1H, m), 2.89-2.80 (2H, m), 2.12-2.05 (2H, m), 1.53 (1H, dd, J 11.4, 10.8 Hz), 1.47 (3H, s), 1.32 (3H, s), 1.28–1.22 (1H, m); δ<sub>C</sub> (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 207.3, 140.9, 140.8, 124.6, 114.1, 112.4, 106.3, 87.7, 82.6, 78.4, 65.7, 50.6, 45.5, 45.4, 42.9, 36.8, 27.2, 26.5; HRMS (ESI) (*m*/*z*): [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, found 343.1523. C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na requires 343.1521. Compound **21**; *R*<sub>f</sub> (30% EtOAc/petroleum ether) 0.40;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{26}$  –21.4 (*c* 1.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR  $\nu_{max}$  (liquid film) 3077, 2984, 2938, 1724, 1641 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 6.00 (1H, d, / 3.6 Hz, O-CH-O), 5.82 (1H, ddd, J 17.4, 10.2, 7.2 Hz, =CH-), 5.72-5.63 (2H, m, CH=CH), 5.06 (1H, td, J 17.4, 1.2 Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.97 (1H, ddd, / 10.3, 1.5, 0.6 Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.73 (1H, d, / 2.4 Hz, OCH), 4.65 (1H, d, / 2.4 Hz, OCH), 4.52 (1H, d, / 3.0 Hz, OCH), 4.24 (1H, dd, / 12.6, 6.0 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.86 (1H, dd, / 12.5, 9.5 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.52-3.42 (2H, m, CH-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.62-2.53 (1H, m), 2.23-2.16 (1H, m), 2.04-1.97 (1H, m), 1.93-1.83 (1H, m), 1.60-1.52 (1H, m), 1.54 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.31 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>); δ<sub>C</sub> (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 205.9, 141.0, 140.6, 128.0, 114.2, 112.2, 104.8, 89.6, 87.3, 85.1, 68.9, 53.4, 44.1, 40.8, 39.7, 37.2, 27.0, 26.4; HRMS (ESI) (*m*/*z*): [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, found 343.1525. C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na requires 343.1521.

## Acknowledgements

SG thanks the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India for a Ramanna Fellowship. MFH and CKM thank CSIR, New Delhi for Research Fellowships. Financial support from DST for National Single Crystal X-Ray Diffractometer facility at Department of Inorganic Chemistry is gratefully acknowledged.

### Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found online at doi:10.1016/j.tet.2010.09.084. These data include MOL files and InChIKeys of the most important compounds described in this article.

#### **References and notes**

- Kurata, K.; Furusaki, A.; Suehiro, K.; Katayama, C.; Suzuki, T. Chem. Lett. 1982, 1031–1034.
- Notaro, G.; Piccialli, V.; Sica, D.; Mayol, L.; Giordano, F. J. Nat. Prod. 1992, 55, 626–632.
- (a) Nakata, T. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 4314–4347; (b) Inoue, M. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 4379–4405; (c) Isobe, M.; Hamajima, A. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2010, 27, 1204–1226.
- 4. Maier, M. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 2073-2077.
- 5. Illuminati, G.; Mandolini, L. Acc. Chem. Res. 1981, 14, 95-102.
- (a) Overman, L. E.; Thompson, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 2248–2256;
  (b) Rychnovsky, S. D.; Dahanukar, V. H. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 7648–7649; (c) Berger, D.; Overman, L. E.; Renhowe, P. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119,

2446–2452; (d) Nicolaou, K. C.; Yang, Z.; Ouellette, M.; Shi, G.-Q.; Gärtner, P.; Gunzner, J. L.; Agrios, K. A.; Huber, R.; Chadha, R.; Huang, D. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 8105–8106.

- For excellent accounts on RCM see: (a) Grubbs, R. H.; Miller, S. J.; Fu, G. C. Acc. Chem. Res. 1995, 28, 446–452; (b) Fürstner, A. Top. Catal. 1997, 4, 285–299; (c) Schuster, M.; Blechert, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1997, 36, 2036–2056; (d) Grubbs, R. H.; Chang, S. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 4413–4450; (e) Armstrong, S. K. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 371–388; (f) Fürstner, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3012–3043; (g) Kotha, S.; Sreenivasachary, N. Indian J. Chem. 2001, 40B, 763–780; (h) Deiters, A.; Martin, S. F. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 2199–2238; (i) Nicolaou, K. C.; Bulger, P. G.; Sarlah, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4490–4527; (j) Ghosh, S.; Ghosh, S.; Sarkar, N. J. Chem. Sci. 2006, 118, 223–235; (k) Chattopadhyay, S. K.; Karmakar, S.; Biswas, T.; Majumdar, K. C.; Rahaman, H.; Roy, B. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 3919–3952 For recent works see: (l) Kotha, S.; Mandal, K. Chem.—Asian J. 2009, 4, 354–362; (m) Mehta, G.; Likhite, N. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 5263–5266; (n) Srikrishna, A.; Pardeshi, V. H.; Satyanarayana, G. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2010, 21, 746–750.
- Bourgeois, D.; Pancrazi, A.; Ricard, L.; Prunet, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 726–728.
- (a) Paquette, L. A.; Edmondson, S. D.; Monck, N.; Rogers, R. D. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 3255–3265; (b) Paquette, L. A.; Yang, J.; Long, Y. O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6542–6543; (c) Rodriguez, J. R.; Castedo, L.; Mascarenas, J. L. Chem.–Eur. J. 2002, 8, 2923–2930; (d) Yang, J.; Long, Y. O.; Paquette, L. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 1567–1574; (e) Malik, C. K.; Yadav, R. N.; Drew, M. G. B.; Ghosh, S. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 1957–1963.
- (a) Clark, J. S.; Kettle, J. G. Tetrahedron Lett. **1997**, 38, 127–130; (b) Oishi, T.; Nagumo, Y.; Hirama, M. Chem. Commun. **1998**, 1041–1042; (c) Crimmins, M. T.; Choy, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, 121, 5653–5660; (d) Holt, D. J.; Barker, W. D.; Jenkins, P. R.; Panda, J.; Ghosh, S. J. Org. Chem. **2000**, 65, 482–493; (e) Crimmins, M. T.; Emmitte, K. A.; Choy, A. L. Tetrahedron **2002**, 58, 1817–1834; (f) Kaliappan, K. P.; Kumar, N. Tetrahedron Lett. **2003**, 44, 379–381.
- (a) Nayek, A.; Banerjee, S.; Sinha, S.; Ghosh, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 6457–6460; (b) Banerjee, S.; Ghosh, S.; Sinha, S.; Ghosh, S. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 4199–4202; (c) Ghosh, S.; Sinha, S.; Drew, M. G. B. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3781–3784; (d) Ghosh, S.; Bhaumik, T.; Sarkar, N.; Nayek, A. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 9687–9694; (e) Maity, S.; Ghosh, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 3355–3358; (f) Malik, C. K.; Ghosh, S. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2537–2540; (g) Maity, S.; Ghosh, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 1133–1136; (h) Mondal, S.; Malik, C. K.; Ghosh, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 5649–5651; (i) Malik, C. K.; Hossain, M. F.; Ghosh, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 3063–3066; (j) Mondal, S.; Yadav, R. N.; Ghosh, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 3063–3066; (j) Maity, S.; Ghosh, S. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 9202–9210; (l) Matcha, K.; Maity, S.; Malik, C. K.; Ghosh, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 2754–2757.
- 12. A portion of this work appeared as a preliminary communication: see Ref. 11.
- Synthesis of a nine-membered lactone with *E*-olefinic geometry through RCM has been reported recently. See: Ramirez-Fernandez, J.; Collado, I. G.; Hernandez-Galan, R. Synlett 2008, 3, 339–342.
- 14. Czernecki, S.; Gorson, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 19, 4113-4114.
- 15. Crystal data for compound **16**: A plate shaped colorless crystal  $(0.3 \times 0.24 \times 0.08)$  was analyzed. C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>5</sub>,  $M_r$ =280.31, monoclinic, space group P2(1) (no. 4) a=10.337(4), b=5.798(2), c=13.054(5) Å,  $\beta$ =113.295(5), V=718.6(5) Å<sub>3</sub>, T=100 K, Z=2.  $\rho_{calcd}$ =1.295 g cm<sup>-3</sup>. F(000)=300,  $\lambda$  (Mo K $\alpha$ )=0.71073 Å,  $\mu$  Mo K $\alpha$ /mm<sup>-1</sup>=0.097, 3193 reflections measured, 761 observed (I>2 $\sigma(I)$ ) 187 parameters;  $R_{int}$ =0.0345,  $R_1$ =0.0305;  $wR_2$ =0.0753 (I>2 $\sigma(I)$ ),  $R_1$ =0.0347;  $wR_2$ =0. 0780 (all data) with GOF=1.045. X-ray single crystal data were collected using Mo K $\alpha$  ( $\lambda$ =0.7107 Å) radiation on a SMART APEX diffractometer equipped with CCD area detector. The structure was solved by direct method and refined in a routine manner. Crystallographic data for compound 16 has been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center as supplementary publication no. CCDC 708798. Copies of the data can be obtained, free of charge, on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK. Fax: +44 1223 336033. e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.
- The ground state energy calculations for the oxonenes 19, 20 and 21 were 16. computed by using DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) levels with GAUSSIAN software: Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. Gaussian 03, Revision B.01; Gaussian: Pittsburgh, PA, 2003.