

Thioether-Directed Selective C4 C–H Alkenylation of Indoles under Rhodium Catalysis

Chandrababu Naidu Kona,[†] Yuji Nishii,[‡] and Masahiro Miura^{*,†}®

[†]Department of Applied Chemistry and [‡]Frontier Research Base for Global Young Researchers, Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A thioether-directed Rh(III)-catalyzed C4 selective C–H alkenylation of indoles via the formation of 5-membered metallacycle intermediates is reported. This protocol allows a wide functional group compatibility and broad substrate scope. The directing group can be readily removed or transformed into other functional groups after the C–H functionalization event. The catalytic method is also applicable to related heterocyclic systems involving benzo-[b]thiophene and benzo[b]furan scaffolds.



T he indole motif is one of the most widely studied heterocycles to date because of its unique biological activities as well as substantial importance in material science. The past decades have witnessed a rapid advancement in novel synthetic methods for the elaboration of an indole scaffold to meet the increasing demand in the medicinal chemistry field.¹

Meanwhile, transition-metal-catalyzed regioselective aromatic C-H bond functionalization has attracted much interest due to its atom- and step-economic benefits.² For the functionalization of the indole nucleus,^{3,4} the C4–C7-selective reactions over the C2-C3 positions have been a challenging task since the benzo ring has inherently poor reactivity relative to the pyrrole skeleton. The direct C-H activation at the C4 position among others has been achieved with the aid of directing groups placed at the C3 position. As an early example, Jia and co-workers reported a Pd-catalyzed direct C4 alkenylation of tryptophan derivatives where the amine moiety could act as the directing function.⁵ Besides this seminal achievement, a series of direct C4 functionalization methods were developed with Pd, Ru, Ir, and Rh catalysts mainly employing carbonyl-based directing groups.⁴ In these reactions, formation of 6-membered metallacycles rather than 5membered ones is the key to attaining significant regioselectivity (Figure 1a).

In recent years, considerable attention has been paid to thioether directing groups owing to their labile nature. They can be easily removed under reductive conditions⁶ or catalytically converted into other synthetically useful functions.⁷ Our group has been developing Rh-catalyzed direct C– H functionalization reactions using sulfur-containing directing groups⁸ and recently reported a thioether-directed *peri*-selective C–H alkenylation of acenes though a 5-membered metallacycle.⁹ Consequently, we have taken steps to develop a C4-selective functionalization of indole derivatives employing thioether directing groups. We herein describe an effective Rh-catalyzed direct C4 alkenylation protocol. This catalytic system



Figure 1. Schematic representation of regioselective C–H activation of indole derivatives.

exhibits tolerance of a wide range of coupling substrates, and thereafter, the sulfur directing group is readily converted into other functional groups (Figure 1b). Furthermore, related heterocyclic motifs such as benzo[b]thiophene and benzo[b]-furan can be accommodated.

We commenced our investigation with the optimization study for a model reaction of 1-methyl-3-(methylthio)indole (1a)¹⁰ with butyl acrylate (2c) in the presence of a Rh(III) catalyst (Table 1). Initially, the C4-alkenylated product 3ac was obtained in 35% yield by using a cationic complex [Cp*Rh(CH₃CN)₃][SbF₆]₂ (5 mol %) together with AgOAc (10 mol %) and Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O (1.0 equiv) in MeOH at 100 °C (entry 1). Solvent screening was then conducted and the yield was improved to 55% with DCE (entry 3), whereas increase in the reaction temperature to 120 °C had a minimal

Received: June 29, 2018



Table 1. Optimization Studies



^aReaction conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol), **2a** (0.8 mmol), $[Cp*Rh-(CH_3CN)_3][SbF_6]_2$ (5.0 mol %), AgOAc (10 mol %), and Cu(OAc)_2·H_2O (0.2 mmol) in solvent (2 mL). ^bReaction conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol), **2a** (0.8 mmol), $[Cp*RhCl_2]_2$ (2.5 mol %), AgSbF_6 (10 mol %), and Cu(OAc)_2·H_2O (0.2 mmol) in solvent (2 mL). ^c2.0 equiv (0.4 mmol) of Cu(OAc)_2·H_2O was used; ^dIsolated yield; nd = not detected.

impact on the reaction efficiency (entry 4). After further modifications, the highest 76% yield was achieved by employing a $[Cp*RhCl_2]_2/AgSbF_6$ catalyst at 95 °C (entry 5). A small increase in the yield of **3ac** was found by use of 2.0 equiv of the Cu(II) oxidant (entry 6). Decreasing the amount of DCE to 1.0 mL did not affect the product yield, while it considerably dropped to 54% by using 4.0 mL of the solvent (not shown).

With the optimized conditions in hand, we examined the scope of alkenes using 1a as the representative substrate (Scheme 1). With acrylates 2a-g, the corresponding C4-

Scheme 1. Substrate Scope for Alkenes^a



^aAll reactions were carried out on a 0.2 mmol scale.

alkenylated products 3aa-ag were selectively obtained in good to high yields. The regioselectivity and *trans*-configuration of the alkene moiety was further evidenced by the single-crystal X-ray analysis of 3af. Additionally, the present reaction system was applicable to acrylonitrile (2h), vinyl phosphonate (2i), and styrene derivatives 2j-1 and allowed us to deliver the corresponding C4-alkenylated products in moderate to high yields. The reaction with ethyl crotonate did not occur.

We next turned our attention to the effect of protecting groups and examined the reaction of indoles bearing benzyl (Bn, **1b**), *p*-methoxybenzyl (PMB, **1c**), and *p*-tolylsulfonyl (Ts, **1d**) groups on the nitrogen atom (Scheme 2). The relatively





^aAll reactions were carried out on a 0.2 mmol scale.

electron-rich indoles **1b** and **1c** reacted smoothly to furnish the alkenylated products **3bc** and **3cc** in 95% and 96% yields, respectively. While the electron-withdrawing Ts on **1d** retarded the reaction with acrylate **2c** as well as with styrene **2j**, the desired compounds **3dc** and **3dj** were produced in synthetically usable yields. It is worth noting that these protecting groups are of genuine synthetic utility, as they can be readily removed under mild conditions.

Subsequently, we conducted a quick survey on the scope of thioether directing groups. Under the standard reaction conditions, the directing ability of the SCy (Cy = cyclohexyl) and SPh groups was tested with acrylates. Interestingly, we found that the SCy group also acted as an efficient directing group with exclusive C4 selectivity to give the desired products **3ec**, **3ed**, and **3eg** in excellent yields. In contrast, the use of an SPh directing group in **1f** resulted in the considerable drop of yields in the reaction with **2c** (47%) and **2d** (49%). The present catalytic protocol was successfully scaled up; when the reaction of indole **1a** with acrylate **2c** was carried out on a 1.0 mmol scale, the coupling product **3ac** was isolated in 81% yield as the sole product (Scheme 3).

We next investigated the scope of various functionalized indoles with butyl acrylate (2c) (Scheme 4). Indoles bearing 5-Cl 1e and 5-Br 1f were well tolerated under the conditions and converted into the C4-alkenylated products 3gc and 3hc as the

Scheme 3. Scale-up Experiment



Scheme 4. Substrate Scope for Indoles^a



^{*a*}All reactions were carried out on a 50 mg scale.

mixture of E/Z isomers. In contrast, 5-OMe indole 3i was obtained exclusively in the *E* form with a good yield.

In the case of the indoles with a functionality on the C6 position, the reaction proceeded smoothly to afford products 3jc-lc in 90–96% yields. A benzo-fused indole 2m as well as C7-substituted indoles 2n-p were also suitable substrates for the present reaction and were effectively transformed to the corresponding products 3mc-pc in excellent yields. The structure of 3pc was unambiguously determined by X-ray crystallography. It should be noted that phenyl and 2-naphthyl substituents on the C2 position did not affect the regioselectivity, giving 3qc and 3rc as the single products, and no reaction on the C2 aryl rings was observed.

It is worth noting that some of the present products were found to be highly luminescent. Compounds **3af** and **3pc** are representative and showed their emission maxima at 529 nm in CHCl₃ solutions with quantum yields of 0.59 and 0.45, respectively (see the Supporting Information).

The significance of the current method was not limited to indoles, but it could be adapted to benzo[b]thiophene and benzo[b]furan derivatives (Scheme 5). The reactions of 4 with 2c and 2j and of 6 with 2c afforded the corresponding products 5c, 5j, and 7c in moderate to high yields, demonstrating the generality of the present catalytic protocol.

In order to demonstrate the potential advantage of the methylthio directing group, we have performed some derivatization reactions of **3aj** as the representative compound (Scheme 6). It can be easily oxidized by using H_2O_2/Tf_2O to the corresponding sulfoxide **3aj-1**.¹¹ Interestingly, when the









indole was oxidized with $I_2/NaHCO_3$ in the presence of water, an isatin (indole-2,3-dione) derivative **3aj-2** was obtained as the major product along with **3aj-1**.¹² The methylthio group can be removed by selective reduction using Ni(COD)₂ and triethylsilane, giving **3aj-3**.^{6a} Additionally, the methylthio group was successfully converted to methyl (**3aj-4**) and phenyl (**3aj-5**) groups by a Ni-catalyzed coupling reaction with the corresponding Grignard reagents.¹³

In summary, we have developed a thioether directed C4selective C–H alkenylation of indoles under rhodium catalysis. The protocol allows a broad substrate scope and can be extended to the other heterocycle systems such as benzo[b]furan and benzo[b]thiophene derivatives. Some of the products exhibit relatively strong fluorescence. Additionally, the thioether directing group can be readily removed or transformed into other functional groups, acting as a traceless directing group.

Organic Letters

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.8b02038.

Detailed experimental procedures, spectroscopic data, NMR spectra (PDF)

Accession Codes

CCDC 1852275 and 1852277–1852278 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/ cif, or by emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: miura@chem.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp.

oncib

Masahiro Miura: 0000-0001-8288-6439

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP 17H06092 (Grant-in-Aid for Specially Promoted Research) to M.M.

REFERENCES

For recent reviews, see: (a) Kaushik, N. K.; Kaushik, N.; Attri,
 P.; Kumar, N.; Kim, C. H.; Verma, A. K.; Choi, E. H. Molecules 2013,
 18, 6620. (b) Zhang, M. Z.; Chen, Q.; Yang, G.-F. Eur. J. Med. Chem.
 2015, 89, 421. (c) Chadha, N.; Silakari, O. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2017,
 134, 159.

(2) For selected recent reviews on C-H functionalization, see:
(a) Wencel-Delord, J.; Glorius, F. Nat. Chem. 2013, 5, 369. (b) Miura, M.; Satoh, T.; Hirano, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2014, 87, 751.
(c) Chen, Z.; Wang, B.; Zhang, J.; Yu, W.; Liu, Z.; Zhang, Y. Org. Chem. Front. 2015, 2, 1107. (d) Boyarskiy, V. P.; Ryabukhin, D. S.; Bokach, N. A.; Vasilyev, A. V. Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 5894. (e) Gulías, M.; Mascareñas, J. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 11000. (f) Wei, Y.; Hu, P.; Zhang, M.; Su, W. Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 8864.

(3) For recent reviews on regioselective C-H functionalization of indoles, see: (a) Leitch, J. A.; Bhonoah, Y.; Frost, C. G. ACS Catal. **2017**, 7, 5618. (b) Petrini, M. Chem. - Eur. J. **2017**, 23, 16115.

(4) Selected recent papers on regioselective C-H functionalization of indoles. At C2 and C4: (a) Lanke, V.; Bettadapur, K. R.; Prabhu, K. R. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 5496. (b) Maity, S.; Karmakar, U.; Samanta, R. Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 12197. (c) Chen, X.; Zheng, G.; Li, Y.; Song, G.; Li, X. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 6184. (d) Chen, H.; Lin, C.; Xiong, C.; Liu, Z.; Zhang, Y. Org. Chem. Front. 2017, 4, 455. (e) Zhou, T.; Li, B.; Wang, B. Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 6343. (f) Biswas, A.; Samanta, R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 2018, 1426. (g) Okada, T.; Sakai, A.; Hinoue, T.; Satoh, T.; Hayashi, Y.; Kawauchi, S.; Chandrababunaidu, K.; Miura, M. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 5639. At C4: (h) Lv, J.; Wang, B.; Yuan, K.; Wang, Y.; Jia, Y. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 3664. (i) Lanke, V.; Prabhu, K. R. Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 5117. At C5: (j) Yang, Y.; Gao, P.; Zhao, Y.; Shi, Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 3966. At C6: (k) Feng, Y.; Holte, D.; Zoller, J.; Umemiya, S.; Simke, L. R.; Baran, P. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 10160. (1) Yang, Y.; Li, R.; Zhao, Y.; Zhao, D.; Shi, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 8734. At C7: (m) Xu, L.; Zhang, C.; He, Y.;

Tan, L.; Ma, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 321. (n) Borah, A. J.; Shi, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 6062.

(5) Liu, Q.; Li, Q.; Ma, Y.; Jia, Y. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4528.

(6) (a) Barbero, N.; Martin, R. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 796.
(b) Matsumura, T.; Niwa, T.; Nakada, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 4313.

(7) Recent reviews on catalytic C-S bond transformations:
(a) Wang, L.; He, W.; Yu, Z. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 599.
(b) Modha, S. G.; Mehta, V. P.; van der Eycken, E. V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 5042.
(c) Pan, F.; Shi, Z.-J. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 280.
(d) Gao, K.; Otsuka, S.; Baralle, A.; Nogi, K.; Yorimitsu, H.; Osuka, A. Yuki Gosei Kagaku Kyokaishi 2016, 74, 1119.
(e) Ortgies, D. H.; Hassanpour, A.; Chen, F.; Woo, S.; Forgione, P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 2016, 408.

(8) (a) Unoh, Y.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 704. (b) Yokoyama, Y.; Unoh, Y.; Bohmann, R. A.; Satoh, T.; Hirano, K.; Bolm, C.; Miura, M. Chem. Lett. 2015, 44, 1104. (c) Unoh, Y.; Satoh, T.; Hirano, K.; Miura, M. ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 6634. (d) Unoh, Y.; Yokoyama, Y.; Satoh, T.; Hirano, K.; Miura, M. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 5436.

(9) Shigeno, M.; Nishii, Y.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2018, 7, 1334.

(10) Tudge, M.; Tamiya, M.; Savarin, C.; Humphrey, G. R. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 565.

(11) Khodaei, M. M.; Bahrami, K.; Karimi, A. Synthesis 2008, 2008, 1682.

(12) Liu, P.; Guo, J.; Wei, W.; Liu, X.; Sun, P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 2016, 2105.

(13) (a) Baralle, A.; Otsuka, S.; Guérin, V.; Murakami, K.; Yorimitsu, H.; Osuka, A. *Synlett* **2015**, *26*, 327. (b) Murakami, K.; Yorimitsu, H.; Osuka, A. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **2014**, *87*, 1349.