Structural Studies on Mesitylaluminum Addition Compounds

Mark S. Lalama, Jeff Kampf, David G. Dick, and John P. Oliver*

Department of Chemistry, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48202

Received May 5, 1994[®]

Four mesitylaluminum derivatives, $Me_{3}Al(4-picoline)(C_{7}H_{8})_{0.5}(1)$, $EtMe_{2}Al\cdotTHF(2)$, $Me_{2}-Picoline)(C_{7}H_{8})_{0.5}(1)$, $EtMe_{2}-Picoline)(C_{7}H_{8})_{0.5}(1)$ ClAl THF (3), and $[Mes_2Al(u-Cl)]_2$ (4) (Mes = 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl), have been prepared and their structures determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction methods. Compound 1 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group C2/c (No. 15): a = 43.784(15) Å, b = 8.901(1) Å, c = 16.760(2) Å, $\beta = 104.02(2)^{\circ}$, Z = 8, R = 10, and $R_{w} = 9.9$. Compound 2 crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $P2_12_12_1$ (No. 19): a = 12.057(9) Å, b = 13.490(3) Å, c = 14.037-(2) Å, Z = 4, R = 5.4, and $R_w = 3.9$. The halide derivative 3 crystallizes in the primitive monoclinic space group $P2_1/a$ (No. 14): a = 17.18(1) Å, b = 14.754(2) Å, c = 17.316(2) Å, β = 92.74(3)°, Z = 8, R = 6.5, and $R_w = 2.4$. The base-free compound 4 exists as a dimer and crystallizes in the space group $P2_1/c$ (No. 14): a = 12.288(2) Å, b = 15.824(3) Å, c = 9.095(2)Å, $\beta = 108.43(2)^\circ$, Z = 4, R = 5.4, and $R_w = 5.9$. The distortion associated with 1, 2, and 3 relative to the parent monomeric molecule, Mes₃Al, is discussed with respect to substitution of the mesityl group for the Cl and Et ligands.

Introduction

Bulky substituents have been widely used in main group chemistry to stabilize highly reactive compounds or species with unique structures.¹⁻⁶ The synthesis of such compounds is of current interest due to their novel chemistry and structural features. For example, the halide complexes have been demonstrated to be valuable precursors to divalent Group 3 compounds.⁷⁻¹⁰ We have established that Mes₃Al is a three-coordinate, monomeric molecule in which the mesityl group affords a very bulky unit with the ortho methyl groups providing significant steric hindrance/protection of the metal center and preventing formation of the commonly observed carbon-bridged aluminum dimer.³ The molecule was shown to have an open pocket on either side of the aluminum atom which might accommodate a basic moiety. Seidel established that the THF adduct, Mes₃Al-THF,¹¹ can be formed, and our examination of its structure shows that the THF molecule binds directly to the aluminum.¹² The geometry of the resulting addition compound is distorted from that of other simple adducts. To further explore the effects of the base size and substitution on the geometry around the aluminum atom, we have prepared and structurally characterized

- (4) Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Escudié, J.; Couret, C.; Declercq, J.-P.;
 Dubourg, A.; Satgé, J. Organometallics 1993, 12, 1674.
 (5) Beachley, O. T., Jr.; Churchill, M. R.; Pazik, J. C.; Ziller, J. W.
- Organometallics 1986, 5, 1814.
 - (6) Baines, K. M.; Cooke, J. A. Organometallics 1992, 11, 3487.
 (7) Uhl, W. Z. Naturforsch. 1988, 43b, 1113.
- (8) Pluta, C.; Pörschke, K.-R.; Krüger, C.; Hildenbrand, K. Angew.
- Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 388. (9) Uhl, W.; Vester, A.; Kaim, W.; Poppe, J. J. Organomet. Chem.
- (10) Wehmschulte, R. J.; Ruhlandt-Senge, K.; Olmstead, M. M.;
 (10) Wehmschulte, R. J.; Ruhlandt-Senge, K.; Olmstead, M. M.;
 Hope, H.; Sturgeon, B. E.; Power, P. P. Inorg. Chem. 1993, 32, 2983.
 (11) Seidel, W. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1985, 524, 101.

(12) De Mel, V. S. J.; Oliver, J. P. Organometallics 1989, 8, 827.

 Mes_3Al -(4-picoline)(C_7H_8)_{0.5} (1), EtMes_2Al-THF (2), Mes_2-ClAl·THF (3), and $[Mes_2Al(\mu-Cl)]_2$ (4). The structural observations resulting from this work are discussed with respect to related Group 13 organometallic complexes containing sterically-demanding ligands.

Experimental Section

(A) General Procedures and Information. The compounds under investigation are both air and water sensitive, so all reactions and manipulations were carried out using standard Schlenk line and glovebox techniques. Argon was purified by passing it through a series of columns containing Deox catalyst (Alfa), sodium hydroxide, and calcium chloride. All solvents used were dried using standard techniques,¹³ and all glassware was oven dried. The alkylaluminum halides, EtAlCl₂ (1.8 M solution in toluene), MeAlCl₂ (1.0 M solution in hexane), 4-picoline, and 2-bromomesitylene were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. Mes₃Al, Mes₃Al·THF, and MesMgBr were prepared according to the literature methods.^{3,12} Aluminum trichloride was purchased from EM Science and sublimed using a high-vacuum system prior to use. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a General Electric QE 300 NMR or a Varian Gemini-300 spectrometer at ambient temperature. The proton chemical shifts were referenced to $C_6 D_5 H$ (δ = 7.15 ppm), and the carbon resonances were referenced to C_6D_6 ($\delta = 128.0$ ppm). Elemental analyses on selected compounds were performed by Galbraith Laboratories, Knoxville, TN. Melting points were recorded on a Haake-Buchler apparatus in sealed capillaries and are reported uncorrected. Mass spectrometry data were obtained on a Kratos MS80 RFA mass spectrometer with electron impact ionization at 70 eV, and IR spectra were recorded as Nujol mulls on a Nicolet DX20 FTIR spectrometer.

Synthesis of $Mes_3Al(4-picoline)(C_7H_8)_{0.5}$ (1). The THF adduct of Mes₃Al (0.500 g, 1.09 mmol) was dissolved in 50 mL of toluene. A 0.11 mL (1.1 mmol) portion of 4-picoline was added at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred overnight. The resulting solution was concentrated, yielding a white product which was recrystallized from hot toluene: mp 169 °C dec; ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, δ , ppm) 1.37 (s, 3H, 4-CH₃-

^{*} Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, December 1, 1994. Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, December 1, 1994.
(1) Leman, J. T.; Barron, A. R. Organometallics 1989, 8, 2214.
(2) Petrie, M. A.; Olmstead, M. M.; Hope, H.; Bartlett, R. A.; Power,
P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 3221.
(3) Jerius, J. T.; Hahn, J. M.; Rahman, A. F. M. M.; Mols, O.; Ilsley,
W. H.; Oliver, J. P. Organometallics 1986, 5, 1812.
(4) Ranajvonistovo, H. Facudiá, J.: Courset, C.: Declarge, L.P.

⁽¹³⁾ Shriver, D. F.; Drezdzon, M. A. The Manipulation of Air-Sensitive Compounds; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1986.

pyridine), 2.10 (s, 1.5H, PhCH₃), 2.26 (s, 9H, p-Me of Mes), 2.44 (s, 18H, o-Me of Mes), 5.96 (d, 2H, $J_{H-H} = 5$ Hz, m-H of 4-picoline), 6.89 (s, 6H, m-H of Mes), 6.99-7.13 (m, 2.5H, toluene), 8.41 (d, 2H, $J_{H-H} = 5$ Hz, o-H of 4-picoline); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (C_6D_6 , δ , ppm) 21.26 (*p*-Me of Mes), 25.94 (*o*-Me of Mes), 21.36 (Me-pyridine), 20.55 (PhMe), 125.06, 125.64, 128.32, 128.50, 129.27, 136.29, 146.18, 149.41, 150.24 (aryl).

Synthesis of EtMes₂Al·THF (2). A solution of EtAlCl₂ in toluene (1.8 M, 58.2 mL, 103 mmol) was added dropwise to 2 equiv of the mesityl Grignard reagent at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 h and stirred overnight. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the crude product extracted twice with hot toluene ($\sim 300 \text{ mL}$). The volume of the toluene extract was reduced by 50%, resulting in the precipitation of a crude product identified primarily as magnesium salts. The supernatant was decanted and the toluene removed under vacuum, yielding a white solid which was washed with pentane. The solid was recrystallized from benzene at approximately 5 °C, collected, and identified as EtMes₂Al·THF: yield, 75%; mp 166–169 °C; ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, δ, ppm) 0.50 (q, 2H, -CH₂CH₃), 1.07 (m, 4H, 3,4-H of THF), 1.21 (t, 3H, -CH₂CH₃), 2.25 (s, 6H, p-Me), 2.43 (s, 12H, o-Me), $3.57 \text{ (m, 4H, 2,5-H of THF)}, 6.85 \text{ (s, 4H, }m\text{-}H\text{)}; {}^{13}\text{C NMR} (C_6D_6,$ δ, ppm) 7.02 (-CH₂CH₃), 10.65 (-CH₂CH₃), 21.28 (p-Me), 24.84 (THF), 25.50 (o-Me), 71.40 (THF), 127.69 (m-Ar), 136.22 (p-Ar), 145.39 (o-Ar), 147.06 (ipso-C). Anal. Calcd (found) for C₂₄H₃₅AlO: C, 78.65 (76.42); H, 9.63 (9.28).

Synthesis of Mes₂ClAl-THF (3). A solution of AlCl₃ in THF was prepared by placing THF (~150 mL) in a flask which was then cooled to -78 °C. The AlCl₃ (13.30 g, 100 mmol) was added slowly with stirring. The solution was brought to room temperature and added dropwise to 2 equiv of the mesityl Grignard solution at 0 °C. After addition of the aluminum halide, the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and then was refluxed for 2 h and allowed to stir overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the remaining solid was extracted with warm toluene (~ 60 °C, \sim 800 mL). Concentration of this solution followed by addition of pentane yielded a white solid which was recrystallized from toluene at -20 °C and identified as Mes₂ClAl-THF: yield, 70%; mp 155–159 °C; ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , δ , ppm) 1.01 (m, 4H, 3,4-H of THF), 2.22 (s, 6H, p-Me), 2.56 (s, 12H, o-Me), 3.67 (m, 4H, 2, 5-H of THF), 6.85 (s, 4H, m-H); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, δ, ppm) 20.90 (p-Me), 24.41 (THF), 25.37 (o-Me), 72.39 (THF), 127.88 (m-Ar), 137.18 (p-Ar), 142.90 (ipso-C), 145.41 (o-Ar). Anal. Calcd (found) for C₂₂H₃₀AlClO: C, 70.86 (69.75), H, 8.11 (8.11).

Preparation of [Mes_2Al(\mu-Cl)]_2 (4). Trimesitylaluminum (0.500 g, 1.30 mmol) was added to 0.5 equiv of AlCl₃ in benzene. The mixture was stirred overnight, during which time the trihalide disappeared. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was recrystallized from hexane at -20 °C: yield, 0.670 g, 57%; mp 160-161 °C; ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, δ , ppm) 2.10 (s, 6H, *p*-Me of Mes), 2.44 (s, 12H, o-Me of Mes), 6.67 (s, 4H, aryl of Mes); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, δ, ppm) 21.19 (p-Me of Mes), 25.15 (o-Me of Mes), 127.38, 128.32, 139.30, 145.34 (aryl); IR (Nujol, ν , cm⁻¹) 1603 (s), 1057 (m), 1027 (m), 951 (w), 845 (s), 614 (s), 541 (m); MS (EI, m/e, relative intensity) 549 (M^+ – Cl – Me – H, 0.1), 446 (M^+ – Mes - Cl, 0.5, 300 ($Mes_2AlCl, 14.5$), 285 ($Mes_2AlCl - Me, 3.4$), 265 (Mes₂Al, 36.7), 181 (MesAlCl, 6.8).

Synthesis of Mes₂MeAl·THF (5). A 1.0 M hexane solution of MeAlCl₂ (51.5 mL, 52 mmol) was added dropwise to 2 equiv of MesMgBr in THF at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 h and stirred overnight. The product was isolated using the procedure described for 3: yield, 75%; mp 136–140 °C; ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, δ , ppm) ~0.06 (s, 3H, AlCH₃), 0.96 (m, 4H, THF), 2.27 (s, 6H, p-Me), 2.48 (s, 12H, o-Me), 3.50 (br, 4H, THF), 6.89 (s, 4H, m-H); 13 C NMR (C₆D₆, δ , ppm) -4.46 (AlCH₃), 21.26 (p-Me), 24.75 (THF), 25.61 (o-Me), 71.52 (THF), 127.79 (m-Ar), 136.35 (p-Ar), 145.46 (o-Ar), 147.37 (ipso-**C**).

(B) X-ray Data Collection and Structure Refinement. Crystals of suitable quality were prepared using the procedures described above. Crystals of 1-4 were sealed in thinwalled glass capillaries under an argon atmosphere. Diffraction data for 1 and 4 were collected on a Nicolet $P2_1$ diffractometer using Mo K α radiation. Data for 2 were collected on a Nicolet P3/V diffractometer using Cu radiation while data for 3 were obtained from a Nicolet R3 diffractometer using Mo Ka radiation. The initial orientation matrices were obtained from machine-centered reflections selected from rotation photographs. These data were used to determine the crystal systems. Rotation photographs around each axis were consistent with monoclinic (1, 3, 4) and orthorhombic (2)crystal systems. Ultimately, 25 high-angle reflections were used to determine the final cell constants and orientation matrices. Systematic absences indicated that 1 could belong to the space group C2/c or Cc. Wilson statistics suggested a centrosymmetric structure, and therefore the space group C2/cwas chosen. The assignment of this space group was confirmed by successful refinement of the structure. The space groups $P2_12_12_1$, $P2_1/a$ and $P2_1/c$ were unambiguously determined from systematic absences for 2, 3, and 4, respectively. No absorption corrections were applied to the data since in all cases the absorption coefficients are small $(1-8 \text{ cm}^{-1})$. Three standard reflections were collected every 97 reflections, revealing that 1, 2, 3, and 4 decayed 1, 3, 7, and 6%, respectively. Selected X-ray data and structural parameters are listed in Table 1.

For 2 and 3, the data reduction was carried out using SHELXTL programs,¹⁴ and the data refinement was performed with SHELX-76.15 Structures 1 and 4 were refined using SHELXTL PC.¹⁶ Scattering factors¹⁷ for neutral non-hydrogen atoms were used and the data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. Direct methods were used to determine the heavy atom positions for all four compounds. The remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located from successive difference Fourier map calculations. Full-matrix, least-squares refinement of positional and thermal parameters for nonhydrogen atoms was carried out by minimizing the function $\sum (w|F_{\rm o}| - |F_{\rm c}|)^2$. The methyl groups were treated as rigid rotors, with a C-H bond distance of 0.96 Å and H-C-H angles of 109.4°. Their isotropic thermal parameters were fixed either at 1.2 times the isotropic thermal parameter of the contiguous carbon atom (2 and 3) or at 0.08 $Å^2$ (1 and 4). All hydrogen atom positional parameters were allowed to ride with their parent carbon atoms during subsequent refinement.

In the final cycles of refinement in 1, the Al and N atoms were refined anisotropically. All other atoms were refined isotropically because of the limited size of the data set. In 2, 3, and 4, all non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The asymmetric unit of 1 contains one independent molecule and one-half of a molecule of toluene in the asymmetric unit, the atoms of which occupy general positions. The toluene molecule was disordered and was located near the center of inversion. Its position was located from a difference Fourier map. It occupied two positions with interchange of the toluene methyl group. It was refined as a rigid group, with $d_{ring} =$ 1.395 ± 0.05 Å and $d_{\text{methyl}} = 0.96$ Å, with a 50% occupancy factor for the two orientations of the toluene. One and two independent molecules occur in the asymmetric units of 2 and 3, respectively, and one-half of the dimer in the asymmetric unit of 4. All observed reflections were used for the refinement of 1, but three, six, and two reflections were excluded during the refinement of 2, 3, and 4, respectively. The residual

⁽¹⁴⁾ Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXTL; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Federal Republic of Germany, 1978.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Sheldrick, G. M. SHELX-76; University Chemical Laboratory: Cambridge, England, 1976. (16) SHELXTL PC; Siemens Analytical X-Ray Instruments, Inc.:

Madison, WI, 1990.

⁽¹⁷⁾ International Tables for X-ray Crystallography; Kynoch: Bir-mingham, England, 1974; Vol. IV (present distributor, D. Reidel: Dordrecht).

Table 1. Selected Experimental Parameters for the X-ray Diffraction Study of Mes₃Al·(4-picoline)(C₇H₈)_{0.5} (1), EtMes₂Al·THF(2), Mes₂ClAl·THF (3), and [Mes₂Al(μ -Cl)]₂ (4)

	1	2	3	4
formula	C36.5H40NA1	C ₂₄ H ₃₅ OA1	C ₂₂ H ₃₀ OClAl	C ₁₈ H ₂₂ AlCl
mol wt	519.71	366.52	372.91	300.81
space group	C2/c (No. 15)	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ 2 ₁ 2 ₁ (No. 19)	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>a</i> (No. 14)	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>c</i> (No. 14)
a (Å)	43.784(15)	12.057(9)	17.18(1)	12.288(2)
b (Å)	8.901(1)	13.490(3)	14.754(2)	15.824(3)
c (Å)	16.760(2)	14.027(2)	17.316(2)	9.095(2)
β (deg)	104.02(2)	90.00	92.74(3)	108.43(2)
$V(Å^3)$	6337(2)	2281(2)	4384(3)	1678.2(5)
Z	8	4	8	4
D_{calc} (g/cm ³)	1.089	1.067	1.130	1.191
radiation (Å)	Μο Κα	Cu Ka	Μο Κα	Μο Κα
2θ range (deg)	4.5-45.0	0-110	5-50	5-50
F(000) (electrons)	2232	800	1600	640
no. of data collected	4310	3297	7935	3201
no. of unique reflns	4172	2882	7074	2945
no. of obsd reflns	1875 ($F_{\rm o} \ge 4\sigma F_{\rm o}$)	2465 ($F_{o} \geq 4\sigma F_{o}$)	$3396 (F_o \geq 3\sigma F_o)$	1450 ($F_0 \ge 4\sigma F_0$)
μ (cm ⁻¹)	0.88	8.04	2.18	2.69
refined variables	326	236	452	175
R^a	0.10	0.0542 (0.0561) ^c	0.065	0.054
R_{w}^{b}	0.099	0.0391 (0.0405) ^c	0.024	0.059
max shift/esd	0.000	0.003	0.002	0.000

 ${}^{a}R = \sum (|F_{o}| - |F_{c}|)/\sum |F_{o}|$. ${}^{b}R_{w} = [\sum w(|F_{o}| - |F_{c}|)^{2}/\sum w|F_{o}|^{2}]^{1/2}$. c Bracketed values are for the opposite enantiomer.

electron densities of 1-4 are of no chemical significance. Positional and thermal parameters of the non-hydrogen atoms are presented in Tables 2-5. Selected bond distances and angles are given in Table 6. Complete listings of crystal and X-ray data collection parameters, bond distances and angles, hydrogen atom parameters, and anisotropic thermal parameters are deposited as supplementary material.

Results and Discussion

The monomeric aluminum compounds EtMes₂Al-THF (2), $Mes_2ClAl \cdot THF$ (3), and $Mes_2MeAl \cdot THF$ (5) were prepared by reaction of the Grignard reagent, MesMgBr, in THF with EtAlCl₂, AlCl₃, and MeAlCl₂, respectively. The chloro-bridged dimer $[Mes_2Al(\mu-Cl)]_2$ (4) was obtained through the exchange reaction of Mes₃Al and AlCl₃ in benzene. The halide adduct 3 was prepared previously from MgMes₂ and AlCl₃.¹¹ The picoline derivative Mes₃Al·(4-picoline)(C₇H₈)_{0.5} (1) was obtained by the displacement of the THF in Mes₃Al-THF by addition of 4-picoline. These five products are obtained in good yields and in pure form as colorless crystalline materials by recrystallization from hydrocarbon solvents. The adducts are readily characterized by their ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. Integration of the ¹H NMR spectra reveal that 1:1 adducts are formed with THF and 4-picoline. The proton and carbon chemical shifts of the mesityl, ethyl, and methyl ligands occur in the expected regions. Coordination of THF is indicated by the large upfield shift of the 3,4-protons from 1.73 ppm in free THF to 0.96-1.07 ppm for 2, 3, and 5. This observation has been noted for several aluminum compounds containing halide, silyl, and organic ligands.¹⁸ Large upfield shifts are also noted for the proton resonances of 1. The methyl group and ortho protons of 4-picoline move upfield by approximately 1.0 ppm to 1.37 and 5.96 ppm, respectively.

All of these compounds are sensitive to moisture and oxygen. They possess greater kinetic stability than the base-free compounds, and the mixed ligand derivatives 2, 3, and 5 can be isolated in reasonably pure form,

 Table 2. Atomic Coordinates (×10⁴) and Isotropic Thermal Parameters (×10³) for the Non-Hydrogen Atoms of Mes₃Al•(4-picoline) (1)

Atom	x	у	z	$U_{eq}{}^a$
Al(1)	3841(1)	1731(4)	7931(2)	38(1)
N(1)	3675(2)	0(9)	7143(4)	37(4)
C(1)	4021(2)	3360(11)	7356(5)	34(3)
C(2)	4223(2)	4360(12)	7865(6)	38(3)
C(3)	4421(3)	5372(12)	7588(6)	47(3)
C(4)	4424(3)	5378(12)	6750(6)	45(3)
C(5)	4222(3)	4473(12)	6230(6)	44(3)
C(6)	4022(2)	3494(12)	6502(6)	39(3)
C(7)	4240(3)	4460(14)	8788(6)	57(3)
C(8)	4651(3)	6425(14)	6458(7)	70(4)
C(9)	3801(3)	2593(13)	5847(7)	58(3)
C(11)	3422(2)	2090(12)	8221(6)	38(3)
C(12)	3254(2)	3447(13)	8104(6)	44(3)
C(13)	2945(3)	3586(14)	8208(7)	63(4)
C(14)	2803(3)	2370(14)	8478(7)	61(4)
C(15)	2963(3)	1022(14)	8611(7)	62(4)
C(16)	3266(3)	867(12)	8486(6)	45(3)
C(17)	3385(3)	4862(13)	7799(7)	67(4)
C(18)	2469(3)	2504(18)	8590(9)	111(6)
C(19)	3406(3)	-693(12)	8667(7)	58(4)
C(21)	4196(2)	736(11)	8720(6)	37(3)
C(22)	4452(2)	45(12)	8470(6)	37(3)
C(23)	4664(3)	-880(13)	8956(6)	49(3)
C(24)	4651(2)	-1247(12)	9754(7)	47(3)
C(25)	4433(3)	-488(12)	10060(6)	45(3)
C(26)	4211(2)	445(12)	9572(6)	37(3)
C(27)	4505(3)	424(13)	7605(6)	54(3)
C(28)	4881(3)	-2348(13)	10278(7)	67(4)
C(29)	3990(2)	1285(12)	9993(6)	51(3)
C(31)	3817(2)	-1336(13)	7181(6)	40(3)
C(32)	3698(2)	-2513(12)	6654(6)	39(3)
C(33)	3423(3)	-2318(12)	6079(6)	42(3)
C(34)	3266(2)	-972(12)	6043(6)	43(3)
C(35)	3398(3)	130(12)	6593(6)	43(3)
C(36)	3293(3)	-3555(14)	5484(8)	79(4)
C(40) (Tol)	2482(3)	2585(24)	4405(5)	50
C(41) (Tol)	2747(3)	2892(25)	5031(7)	50
C(42) (Tol)	2729(3)	2811(25)	5853(6)	50
C(43) (Tol)	2457(4)	2245(27)	6039(6)	50
C(44) (Tol)	2210(3)	1712(23)	5414(8)	50
C(45) (Tol)	2228(4)	1826(25)	4590(7)	50
C(46) (Tol)	2483(4)	2864(26)	3497(6)	50

 ${}^{a}U_{eq} = {}^{1}/_{3}\sum_{i}\sum_{j}U_{ij} a_{i} * a_{j} * \overline{a}_{i}\overline{a}_{j}.$

showing their stability toward redistribution of the organic groups. The compounds have slight solubility

⁽¹⁸⁾ Sierra, M. L.; de Mel, V. S. J.; Oliver, J. P. Organometallics 1989, 8, 2312.

Table 3. Atomic Coordinates and Isotropic Thermal Parameters for the Non-Hydrogen Atoms of EtMes₂Al-THF (2)

		(/		
Atom	x	У	z	$U_{eq}{}^a$
Al1	0.5047(1)	0.36047(9)	0.11641(9)	0.0635(5)
C1	0.5094(5)	0.5036(3)	0.0784(3)	0.064(2)
C2	0.4154(4)	0.5385(4)	0.0281(3)	0.070(2)
C3	0.4090(5)	0.6346(4)	-0.0068(4)	0.084(2)
C4	0.4923(6)	0.7006(4)	0.0047(4)	0.085(2)
C5	0.5850(5)	0.6719(4)	0.0541(3)	0.081(2)
C6	0.5941(4)	0.5743(4)	0.0919(3)	0.071(2)
C7	0.3180(4)	0.4703(4)	0.0091(4)	0.109(3)
C8	0.4862(5)	0.8064(3)	-0.0348(3)	0.121(3)
C9	0.7006(4)	0.5473(4)	0.1449(4)	0.101(3)
C10	0.5183(4)	0.2646(3)	0.0064(3)	0.062(2)
C11	0.4819(5)	0.1654(4)	0.0145(4)	0.081(2)
C12	0.4923(5)	0.0971(4)	-0.0589(4)	0.096(3)
C13	0.5382(5)	0.1217(5)	-0.1426(4)	0.099(3)
C14	0.5772(5)	0.2165(4)	-0.1554(4)	0.089(2)
C15	0.5659(4)	0.2867(4)	-0.0803(4)	0.072(2)
C16	0.4298(5)	0.1313(4)	0.1089(4)	0.138(3)
C17	0.5484(6)	0.0462(4)	-0.2234(4)	0.171(4)
C18	0.6133(4)	0.3885(4)	-0.0987(3)	0.102(3)
C19	0.3900(4)	0.3413(4)	0.2163(3)	0.083(2)
C20	0.3683(5)	0.4251(5)	0.2768(4)	0.140(4)
O 1	0.6369(3)	0.3202(3)	0.1842(2)	0.075(1)
C21	0.7317(4)	0.2670(4)	0.1442(4)	0.097(3)
C22	0.7881(5)	0.2192(5)	0.2265(5)	0.130(3)
C23	0.7561(6)	0.2772(7)	0.3080(4)	0.192(5)
C24	0.6478(5)	0.3203(5)	0.2881(4)	0.121(3)

 ${}^{a}U_{\mathrm{eq}} = {}^{1}/_{3}\sum_{i}\sum_{j}U_{ij} a_{i}^{*}a_{j}^{*}\bar{a}_{i}\bar{a}_{j}.$



Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of Mes₃Al-(4-picoline)(C_7H_8)_{0.5} (1) with 30% thermal ellipsoids. The hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. The lattice solvent molecule is not shown.

in hexane, moderate solubilty in aromatic solvents, and high solubility in polar solvents.

The results of the crystallographic study show that the three addition compounds $Mes_3Al\cdot(4-picoline)$ - $(C_7H_8)_{0.5}$ (1), EtMes_2Al·THF (2), and Mes_2ClAl·THF (3) have structures comparable to those of other amine and ether trialkyl- and triarylaluminum adducts.¹⁹ A more detailed analysis of each of these structures follows. In 1, a single molecular unit of Mes_3Al-(4-picoline) along with one-half of a molecule of toluene constitutes the asymmetric unit. The presence of the toluene has been confirmed by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy, but the coordinates for this molecule did not refine well. An ORTEP drawing of 1 is shown in Figure 1. The ORTEP diagrams for 2 and 3 are shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. The geometry around the Al atom in each

Table 4. Atomic Coordinates and Isotropic Thermal Parameters for the Non-Hydrogen Atoms of Mes₂ClAl-THF (3)

Atom	x	у	z	$U_{ m eq}{}^a$
Al1	0.8566(1)	0.0287(1)	0.5943(1)	0.0632(9)
Cl1	0.9733(1)	0.0696(1)	0.64062(9)	0.0639(7)
C1	0.8673(4)	-0.1020(4)	0.5678(4)	0.055(3)
C2	0.8588(4)	-0.1418(5)	0.4932(4)	0.056(3)
C3	0.8841(4)	-0.2298(5)	0.4803(4)	0.064(3)
C4	0.9157(4)	-0.2836(5)	0.5385(5)	0.066(3)
C5	0.9196(4)	-0.2491(5)	0.6129(4)	0.069(3)
C6	0.8970(4)	-0.1607(5)	0.6273(4)	0.066(3)
C7	0.8206(4)	-0.0918(4)	0.4257(3)	0.089(4)
C8	0.9461(4)	-0.3781(4)	0.5212(4)	0.105(4)
C9	0.9010(4)	-0.1295(4)	0.7109(4)	0.104(4)
C10	0.7689(4)	0.0814(5)	0.6506(4)	0.064(3)
C11	0.7702(5)	0.1700(5)	0.6821(4)	0.068(4)
C12	0.7064(6)	0.2050(5)	0.7179(4)	0.075(4)
C13	0.6385(6)	0.1587(6)	0.7243(5)	0.086(4)
C14	0.6341(5)	0.0725(6)	0.6939(5)	0.090(4)
C15	0.6977(5)	0.0351(5)	0.6585(4)	0.075(4)
C16	0.8404(4)	0.2305(5)	0.6751(4)	0.104(4)
C17	0.5694(5)	0.2027(5)	0.7600(4)	0.129(5)
C18	0.6855(4)	-0.0612(5)	0.6276(4)	0.113(4)
01	0.8520(3)	0.1007(3)	0.5039(3)	0.065(2)
C19	0.9183(4)	0.1216(5)	0.4552(4)	0.084(4)
C20	0.8814(6)	0.1692(7)	0.3866(5)	0.157(6)
C21	0.8030(6)	0.1891(7)	0.3999(6)	0,143(6)
C22	0.7805(4)	0.1464(5)	0.4708(5)	0.103(4)
A12	0.8834(1)	0.1869(1)	0.0690(1)	0.0593(9)
C12	1.0076(1)	0.1516(1)	0.0863(1)	0.0724(8)
C23	0.8827(4)	0.3193(4)	0.0502(4)	0.055(3)
C24	0.8604(4)	0.3653(5)	-0.0185(4)	0.066(3)
C25	0.8718(4)	0.4587(5)	~0.0257(4)	0.072(4)
C26	0.9027(4)	0.5107(5)	0.0329(5)	0.072(4)
C27	0.9219(4)	0.4674(6)	0.1011(4)	0.078(4)
C28	0.9120(4)	0.3743(5)	0.1111(4)	0.063(3)
C29	0.8222(4)	0.3154(4)	-0.0877(4)	0.121(4)
C30	0.9163(4)	0.6115(4)	0.0232(4)	0.105(4)
C31	0.9331(4)	0.3327(4)	0.1899(4)	0.099(4)
C32	0.8091(4)	0.1303(5)	0.1389(4)	0.054(3)
C33	0.8193(4)	0.0443(5)	0.1729(4)	0.062(3)
C34	0.7644(5)	0.0061(5)	0.2195(4)	0.070(4)
C35	0.6961(5)	0.0498(6)	0.2338(4)	0.070(4)
C36	0.6840(5)	0.1333(5)	0.2000(4)	0.080(4)
C37	0.7390(5)	0.1738(5)	0.1544(4)	0.065(3)
C38	0.8912(4)	-0.0134(4)	0.1599(4)	0,102(4)
C39	0.6352(4)	0.0060(5)	0.2823(4)	0.115(4)
C40	0.7166(4)	0.2659(4)	0.1202(4)	0.098(4)
O2	0.8646(3)	0.1191(3)	-0.0232(3)	0.063(2)
C41	0.9205(4)	0.1023(5)	-0.0822(4)	0.094(4)
C42	0.8749(6)	0.0625(8)	-0.1474(5)	0.136(6)
C43	0.8055(7)	0.0243(7)	-0.1177(6)	0.161(7)
C44	0.7927(4)	0.0677(5)	-0.0441(5)	0.106(4)

 ${}^{a}U_{eq} = {}^{1}/_{3}\sum_{i}\sum_{j}U_{ij} a_{i} * a_{j} * \bar{a}_{i}\bar{a}_{j}.$

of these can be described as a distorted tetrahedron. The six angles at the Al atom fall in the range of 94.2(4)-121.4(3)° for 1 and are similar to those observed in Mes₃-Al-THF,¹² which fall between 91.4 and 120.3°. In 2 and 3, where one of the Mes moieties has been replaced by an ethyl group or chlorine atom, the angles fall in the range of 97.4(2)-120.8(2)° for 2 and 98.6(2)-124.8(3)° for 3. This wide distribution of angles can be attributed to steric interactions between the mesityl groups or the other substituents bound to the aluminum. The C-Al-N, C-Al-O, and Cl-Al-O angles for 1, 2, and 3 are listed in Table 6. The average C-Al-N angle is 102°. In 2 the average of the C–Al–O angles is 103.5° , and in 3 the average value of the C-Al-O angles is 106.4° , but if the Cl-Al-O angles are included, the average ligand to oxygen angle becomes 103.4°. The corresponding C-Al-C and C-Al-O angles in Mes₃Al·THF average 116.2 and 101.5° ,¹² and those in $(Me_3Al)_2 C_4H_8O_2$,

⁽¹⁹⁾ Oliver, J. P. In *The Chemistry of the Metal-Carbon Bond*; Hartley, F. R., Patai, S., Eds.; John Wiley: New York, 1985; Vol. 2, pp 789-826.



Figure 2. ORTEP diagram of EtMes₂Al-THF (2) with 50% thermal ellipsoids. The hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Table 5. Atomic Coordinates (×104) and Isotropic Thermal Parameters $(\times 10^3)$ for the Non-Hydrogen Atoms of $[Mes_2Al(\mu-Cl)]_2$ (4)

Atom	x	y	z	$U_{eq}{}^a$
Al(1)	-1197(1)	119(1)	567(2)	36(1)
Cl (1)	539(1)	830(1)	898(1)	43(1)
C(1)	-2354(3)	921(3)	-672(5)	35(2)
C(2)	-2369(4)	1720(3)	12(5)	40(2)
C(3)	-3158(4)	2330(3)	-735(6)	46(2)
C(4)	-3952(4)	2184(3)	-2166(6)	49(2)
C(5)	-3960(3)	1401(3)	-2832(5)	45(2)
C(6)	-3195(3)	774(3)	-2126(5)	39(2)
C(7)	-1566(4)	1920(3)	1607(5)	55(2)
C(8)	-4796(4)	2878(4)	-2946(7)	76(3)
C(9)	-3311(4)	-77(3)	-2928(6)	62(2)
C(11)	-1389(3)	-525(3)	2317(5)	37(2)
C(12)	-480(3)	-932(3)	3456(5)	38(2)
C(13)	-697(4)	-1481(3)	4527(5)	44(2)
C(14)	-1795(4)	-1635(3)	4571(5)	43(2)
C(15)	-2682(4)	-1223(3)	3498(5)	45(2)
C(16)	-2511(3)	-680(3)	2386(5)	43(2)
C(17)	756(3)	-773(3)	3577(5)	54(2)
C(18)	-2017(5)	-2247(3)	5712(6)	63(2)
CID	-3574(4)	-208(4)	1253(7)	78(3)

 $^{a}U_{eq} = \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i}\sum_{j}U_{ij} a_{i}^{*}a_{j}^{*}\bar{a}_{i}\bar{a}_{j}$



Figure 3. ORTEP diagram of Mes₂ClAl·THF (3) with 50% thermal ellipsoids. The hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

116.8 and 100.4°.²⁰ The deviations between the smallest L-Al-L (94°) and the largest (125°) angles in 1, 2, and 3 are nearly the same (Table 6) and are similar to that in Mes₃Al·THF.¹² Further, there is no pattern discernible in the distribution. These findings lead to the conclusion that the structures of addition compounds with two or three mesityl groups bound to the aluminum are determined by the steric requirements of the mesityl group. The sum of the L-Al-L angles (L = C, Cl)

provides a measure of the planarity of the L₃Al unit. In 1, 2, and 3, the sum is 347.8, 344.6, and 343.7° (av). These values are typical for aluminum addition compounds, with the small decrease indicating a small decrease in the steric interaction with substitution of Et or Cl for a Mes group.

The Al-C distances of 1-4 (Table 6) are in the range of 2.004–2.033 Å for the organic derivatives and 1.966 to 1.993 Å for those containing a chlorine atom and are typical of Al-C single bond lengths for a variety of organoaluminum compounds as noted earlier.^{3,21} The average Al-Cl bond length in 3, 2.206 Å, is longer by \sim 0.05 Å than that of MesAlCl₂ THF²¹ and \sim 0.05 Å longer than the bond in $AlCl_3$ -2THF²² but is within the range expected for single Al-Cl bonds. The Al-N distance in 1 (2.045(8) Å) is similar to the Al–N distance in Me₃Al-quinuclidine (2.06(1) Å),²³ but longer than the distances found in Me₂IAl·NMe₃ (2.02 Å),²⁴ Cs⁺- $[Me_{3}Al\cdot N_{3}]^{-}$ (1.97(1) Å)²⁵ and Me₃Al·NCCH₃ (2.02(1) Å).²⁶

The Al–O distances in $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ are 1.934(4) and 1.894-(5) Å (av) and are listed, along with Al–O distances for other adducts, in Table 7. The Al-O bond distances listed fall into three groups: those associated with trialkyl addition compounds with Al-O bond distances greater than 2.0 Å, those with aryl groups bound to aluminum which fall in the broad range of 1.9–1.97 Å and those with a halogen atom bound to aluminum with Al-O distances less than 1.9 Å. The Lewis acidity of the compounds follows this same pattern, with increasing acidity corresponding to decreasing Al-O bond length. On this basis, the increased Lewis acidity of 3accounts for the shorter Al–O distance observed in this compound. The Al-O distance in MesAlCl₂ THF, at 1.852(1) Å, is the shortest in the mesityl derivatives while (Trip)AlBr₂·OEt₂ shows an Al-O distance of 1.865(11) Å.²¹ The C-Al-O angle also appears to be correlated with the Lewis acidity of the aluminum derivative. As the acidity of the metal increases, the Al-O length decreases, and a corresponding increase in the C-Al-O angle is observed. Steric repulsion between the base and the mesityl groups does not affect the Al–O distance of ether adducts. In the adducts Me₃-Al·OMe227 and (Me3Al)2p-dioxane,20 the Al-O bond distances are 2.014(14) and 2.02(2) Å, respectively, while shorter distances are found for Bz_3Al ·OEt₂²⁸ (1.901(4) Å) and $(o-Tol)_3Al+OEt_2^{29}$ (1.928(3) Å). In the mesityl derivatives Mes₃Al·THF,¹² 2, and 3, the Al-O bond distances are 1.969(5), 1.934(4), and 1.898(5) Å, respectively.

The structural determination of 4 completes the series Mes_2MCl (M = Al, Ga, In). An ORTEP diagram is

(21) Petrie, M. A.; Power, P. P.; Dias, H. V. R.; Ruhlandt-Senge, K.; Waggoner, K. M.; Wehmschulte, R. J. Organometallics **1993**, *12*, 1086. (22) Cowley, A. H.; Cushner, M. C.; Davis, R. E.; Riley, P. E. Inorg.

Chem. 1981, 20, 1179. (23) Whitt, C. D.; Parker, L. M.; Atwood, J. L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1971, 32, 291.

(24) Atwood, J. L.; Milton, P. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 52, 275. (25) Atwood, J. L.; Newberry, W. R. J., III. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 87, 1.

(26) Atwood, J. L.; Seale, S. K.; Roberts, D. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 51, 105.

(27) Haaland, A.; Samdal, S.; Stokkeland, O.; Weidlein, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 134, 165.

(28) Rahman, A. F. M. M.; Siddiqui, K. F.; Oliver, J. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1987. 319, 161.

(29) Barber, M.; Liptak, D.; Oliver, J. P. Organometallics 1982, 1, 1307.

⁽²⁰⁾ Atwood, J. L.; Stucky, G. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 5362.

Table 6. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for Mes₃Al-(4-picoline)(C₇H₈)_{0.5} (1), EtMes₂Al-THF (2), MesClAl-THF(3), and [Mes₂Al(µ-Cl)]₂ (4)

		$Mes_2ClAl-THF(3)$							
Mes ₃ Al•(4-picoline)(C ₇ H ₈) _{0.5} (1)		EtMes ₂ Al·THF (2)		molecule 1		molecule 2		$[Mes_2Al(\mu-Cl)]_2$ (4)	
Al-C1 Al-C11 Al-C19 Al-N	2.005(11) 2.033(12) 1.990(10) 2.045(8)	Al-C1 Al-C10 Al-C19 Al-O	2.004(4) 2.020(5) 1.986(5) 1.934(4)	Al1-C1 Al1-C10 Al1-Cl1 Al1-O1	1.993(7) 1.992(8) 2.207(3) 1.890(5)	A12-C23 A12-C32 A12-C12 A12-O2	1.980(7) 1.985(7) 2.204(3) 1.898(5)	Al1-C1 Al1-C11 Al1-C11 Al1-C11 Al1-C11A	1.972(4) 1.966(5) 2.346(2) 2.315(2)
Cl-Al-C11 C1-Al-C21 C11-Al-C21 C1-Al-N C11-Al-N C21-Al-N	118.9(4) 107.8(4) 121.1(4) 110.7(4) 94.2(4) 101.1(4)	C1-Al-C10 C1-Al-C19 C10-Al-C19 C1-Al-O C10-Al-O C10-Al-O C19-Al-O	114.3(2) 109.5(2) 120.8(2) 112.2(2) 97.4(2) 101.0(2)	C1-Al1-Cl0 Cl0-Al1-Cl1 Cl-Al1-Cl1 Cl-Al1-Cl1 Cl0-Al1-Ol Cl0-Al1-Ol	124.8(3) 114.3(2) 104.8(2) 110.7(3) 100.5(3) 98.6(2)	C23-Al2-C32 C32-Al2-Cl2 C23-Al2-Cl2 C23-Al2-Cl2 C32-Al2-O2 C32-Al2-O2 Cl2-Al2-O2	121.1(3) 117.7(2) 104.7(2) 112.5(3) 101.7(2) 96.6(2)	C1-A11-C11 C11-A11-C11A A11-C11-A11A	123.1(2) 85.2(1) 94.8(1)



Figure 4. ORTEP diagram of $[Mes_2Al(\mu-Cl)]_2$ (4) with 30% thermal ellipsoids. The hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

 Table 7. Structural Parameters of Aluminum Adducts as a Function of Lewis Acidity

Compound	C-Al-O (deg)	Al–O (Å)	Al-C (Å)
Me ₃ Al•OMe ₂ ^a	98.7	2.014	1.973
(Me ₃ Al) ₂ ·p-dioxane ^b	100.4	2.02	1.97
Mes ₃ Al THF ^c	101.3	1.969	2.017
Ph ₂ AlSi(SiMe ₃) ₃ ·THF ^d	103.2	1.927	1.985
EtMes ₂ Al•THF ^e	103.5	1.934	2.012, 1.986 (Et)
(o-Tol)3Al•OEt2f	103.8	1.928	1.990
Bz ₃ Al•OEt ₂ ^g	105.6	1.901	1.986
Mes ₂ ClAl•THF ^e	106.4	1.894	1.988
TripAlBr ₂ •OEt ₂ ^h	107.6	1.865	1.976
MesAlCl ₂ ·THF ^h	115.2	1.852	1.969

^{*a*} Reference 27. ^{*b*} Reference 20. ^{*c*} Reference 12. ^{*d*} Reference 18. ^{*e*} This work. ^{*f*} Reference 29. ^{*g*} Reference 28. ^{*h*} Reference 21.

shown in Figure 4. Like the Ga and In analogues, 4 exists in the solid state as a dimer containing a planar Al_2Cl_2 core. It is isomorphous with the gallium analogue.²¹ The Al-Cl distances are 2.346(2) and 2.315(2) Å, and, like the Ga compound, the core is asymmetric. This feature has also been noted for $[Trip_2Al(\mu-Br)]_2$.²¹ $[Mes_2In(\mu-Cl)]_2$ displays equivalent In-Cl bonds.¹ The average Al-Cl distance of 4 (2.329) is longer than the single Al-Cl bond in compounds such as 3 (2.206(4) Å), EtCl_2Al-P(SiMe_3)_3 (2.114(4) and 2.156(4) Å), and *i*-Bu₂-ClAl-P(SiMe_3)_3 (2.179(4) Å)³⁰ but comparable to the distances observed for the bridging halides such as those in $[Me_2Al(\mu-Cl)]_2$ (2.303 Å).³¹ The endocyclic Al-Cl-Al and Cl-Al-Cl angles are 90.6 and 89.4° and the exocyclic C–Al–C angle is 126.9°. The comparable endocyclic angles in the three compounds, [Mes₂M(μ -Cl)]₂ (M = Al, Ga, and In), are 94.8, 95.9, and 96.5° (M– Cl–M) and 85.2, 84.1, and 83.5° (Cl–M–Cl), and the C–M–C angles are 122.7(2), 126.6(2), and 131.0°, respectively. In [Trip₂Al(μ -Br)]₂, the exocyclic angle is 130.0(4)°.²¹ These observations indicate that the intramolecular interactions between the Ar groups in [Ar₂M(μ -X)]₂ have very little effect on either the endoor the exocyclic angles in these compounds.

There are four major factors which determine the stability of Group 13 addition compounds: the Lewis acidity of the metal, which follows the order Al > Ga >In; the modification of the Lewis acidity by the substituents bound to the metal, which decreases from X > Ar > R (X = halogen; Ar = aryl, R = alkyl); the basicity of the ligand; and the steric interactions, which include those on the metal and that between the incoming base and the substituents bound to the metal. In the present system, the adducts Mes₃Al·OEt₂, Mes₃-Al-THF, and Mes₃Al-4-picoline increase in stability as a function of the basicity of the ligand. Diethyl ether and THF can be removed readily, while 4-picoline cannot be removed without additional decomposition of the compound. This order follows that expected for the bases. The heavier homologues Mes₃Ga⁵ and Mes₃In¹ do not form stable adducts with Et₂O or THF. Similarly, in the neopentyl series, Np₃Al binds Et₂O,³² Np₃-Ga does not form a stable adduct with Et_2O but does with THF,³³ and Np₃In forms addition compounds only with very strong bases such as NMe₃.³⁴ The latter sequence follows the order of acidity expected for the metals.

Study of the organoaluminum derivatives in the absence of a coordinating ligand provides additional insight into the steric interactions. The ability of these compounds to form dimeric or trimeric aggregates is well established. The stability of the dimer in the series $[Ph_2Al(\mu-Ph)]_2 \ge [(2-MeC_6H_4)_2Al(\mu-2MeC_6H_4)]_2 > Mes_3$ -Al decreases in the order shown, with Mes_3Al observed only as a monomer. The observation that $[Mes_2Al(\mu-Cl)]_2$ and $[Mes_2Ga(\mu-Cl)]_2$ are dimeric with chlorine bridges supports the earlier suggestion that the steric interference that prevents dimerization occurs at the bridging site. With more sterically demanding ligands,

⁽³⁰⁾ Wells, R. L.; McPhail, A. T.; Self, M. F.; Laske, J. A. Organometallics 1993, 12, 3333.

⁽³¹⁾ Brendhaugen, K.; Haaland, A.; Novak, D. P. Acta Chem. Scand. 1974, A28, 45.

⁽³²⁾ Beachley, O. T., Jr.; Victoriano, L. Organometallics 1988, 7, 63.
(33) Beachley, O. T., Jr.; Pazik, J. C. Organometallics 1988, 7, 1516.
(34) Beachley, O. T., Jr.; Spiegel, E. F.; Kopasz, J. P.; Rogers, R. D.

Organometallics 1989, 8, 1915.

Structure of Mesitylaluminum Addition Compounds

such as those found in $Trip_2GaCl^{21}$ and Mes^*_2InBr ,³⁵ the halide derivatives are monomeric, indicating that the bulky substituents interact, preventing bridge formation.

Study of the $Ar_nR_{3-n}Al$ systems such as Mes₂MeAl and Mes₂EtAl is complicated by the exchange of groups and the possible formation of dimeric species with alkyl and/or aryl bridges. It is clear from the formation of the mixed species **2** and **5** stabilized by THF that mixed derivatives can be prepared.

In other compounds with bulky substituents, such as Np₃Al and (Me₃SiCH₂)₃Al, which are isostructural, the neopentyl derivative is monomeric,³² while the (trimethylsilyl)methyl derivative is involved in a monomer-dimer equilibrium in solution.³⁶ Substitution of a hydride for one of the bulky substituents permits the

formation of an equilibrium between dimers and trimers for $[Np_2Al(\mu\text{-}H)]_n{}^{32}$ and the formation of trimers for $(Me_3\text{-}SiCH_2)_2AlH.{}^{37}$

The mixed mesityl-alkyl derivatives remain to be studied, but with the reduced steric requirements of the methyl group, one may find associated species in solution.

Supplementary Material Available: Complete listings of crystal and X-ray data collection parameters, bond distances and angles, anisotropic thermal parameters for the non-hydrogen atoms, and atomic coordinates and isotropic thermal parameters for the hydrogen atoms (22 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

OM940350S

⁽³⁵⁾ Rahbarnoohi, H.; Heeg, M. J.; Oliver, J. P. Organometallics 1994, 13, 2123.

⁽³⁶⁾ Nyathi, J. Z.; Ressner, J. M.; Smith, J. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 70, 35.

⁽³⁷⁾ Beachley, O. T., Jr.; Tessier-Youngs, C. Organometallics 1983, 2, 796.