Tetrahedron Letters 54 (2013) 4487-4490

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

TiCl₄ mediated preparation of (*E*)-non-conjugated homoallylic alcohols with α -substituted allylsilanes





etrahedro

Aymara M. M. Albury, Michael P. Jennings*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Alabama, 250 Hackberry Lane, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0336, United States

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 17 May 2013 Revised 5 June 2013 Accepted 11 June 2013 Available online 18 June 2013

Keywords: Allylation Allylsilane Olefination Natural products Diastereoselective synthesis investigated. It has been shown that these reagents readily allow for good yields and high to excellent diastereoselectivities (up to >20:1) for a series of aldehydes, thereby providing a means of preparing non-conjugated (E)-homoallylic alcohols in a single step. © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The allylation of various aldehydes with α -substituted allylsilanes in the presence of TiCl₄ has been

The Lewis acid mediated reaction of allylsilanes with carbonyl compounds has provided the synthetic chemist with a remarkable tool for the regio- and stereospecific preparation of homoallylic alcohols.¹ In 1976. Sakurai and co-workers reported that two α -substituted allylsilanes reacted with an aliphatic aldehyde under Lewis acidic conditions to afford the γ -substituted linear homoallylic alcohols as a non-defined cis- and trans-mixture of olefin geometry.² Later, Kumada investigated the addition of the chiral phenyl α-substituted allylsilane to a variety of aliphatic aldehydes and observed solely the *E* stereochemistry of the conjugated olefin product.^{3,4} Subsequently, Panek and Miyashita have shown that substituted chiral crotylsilanes react with acetals to provide high levels of dr and er for the newly formed stereocenters coupled with selective (*E*)-olefin geometry formation of the α -substituted homoallylic ether products.^{5,6}

While the synthesis of (*E*)-conjugated α -substituted homoallylic alcohols (or ethers) has been disclosed by means of substituted allylsilanes, surprisingly the synthesis of non-conjugated (E)-homoallylic alcohols derived from α -substituted allylsilane reagents has yet to be fully investigated as described in Scheme 1.⁷ In order to obtain such said products prior to this investigation utilizing allylsilanes, further functionalization of the given terminal alkene resident in an unsubstituted homoallylic alcohol would have to be conducted.⁸ A couple of options for this additional functionalization include a cross metathesis with another type I olefin or olefination of the resultant aldehyde (by means of oxidative cleavage of the terminal alkene) via a Julia-Kocieński protocol. Unfortunately, both of these mentioned processes have significant disadvantages.

For example, the terminal olefin moiety of a homoallylic alcohol typically undergoes a non-chemo and diastereoselective crossmetathesis reaction with another type I alkene to afford a low yield of product with modest E/Z selectivities.⁹ Likewise, the Julia-Kocieński olefination would require a minimum of four reaction processes commencing with protection of the alcohol moiety, oxidative cleavage of the terminal alkene, olefination and a final deprotection to unmask the alcohol functional group.¹⁰ Herein, we wish to report on a systematic study leading to the successful direct preparation of non-conjugated (E)-homoallylic alcohols in one step from the parent aldehyde via a Lewis acid mediated addition of α -substituted allylsilanes.

As shown in Scheme 2, preparation of the substituted allylsilanes 5a and 5b utilized vinyl silanes 1a and 1b, which were synthesized based on our previous report.¹¹ Thus, treatment of 1a and **1b** with Pearlman's catalyst [Pd(OH₂)] under an atmosphere of H₂ in EtOH readily provided the saturated α -silvl esters **2a** and 2b in 89% and 83% yields, respectively.¹² Initially, we had hoped to partially reduce the ester moieties of **2a** and **2b** with DIBAL to the corresponding aldehydes. However, we consistently observed over reduction of the carbonyls and after reaction optimization obtained alcohols **3a** and **3b** in yields of >80%. An ensuing oxidation of 3a and 3b with Dess-Martin Periodinane (4) furnished the desired, yet chromatography unstable α -silyl aldehydes which were used directly for the subsequent Wittig olefination. Accordingly, the crude aldehydes were added to the preformed methylene ylide



^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 (205) 348 0351; fax: +1 (205) 348 9104. E-mail address: jenningm@bama.ua.edu (M.P. Jennings).

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2013.06.047



(generated from $Ph_3P^+-CH_3 Br^-$ and nBuLi) and afforded allylsilanes **5a** and **5b** in modest yields of 48% and 45% over two steps from **3a** and **3b**. It is worth noting that the elimination of $Ph_3P=O$ was preferential to that of a Peterson type olefination with a loss of TMSOH.¹³

With the desired allylsilanes in hand, we proceeded to investigate the Lewis acid-mediated addition of **5a** to propanal under a variety of reaction conditions as shown in Table 1. We initiated the inquiry with a couple of objectives in mind. First, we wanted a process that would provide solely the linear homoallylic alcohol and not the ether product (via the acetal or intramolecular cyclization to afford the substituted THF ring) as developed by Panek.¹⁴ Secondly, the yield and E/Z selectivity must mirror or be greater than that of any multi-step approach. With these goals in mind, we initiated our examination by scanning a variety of Lewis Acids. As shown in Table 1, the slow addition of 1.3 equiv of TiCl₄ to a

Table 1

Allylation of propanal with allylsilane ${\bf 5a}$ in the presence of different lewis acids and solvents $^{\rm a}$



 $^{\rm a}$ Reaction ran with 1 equiv of ${\bf 5a},$ 1.3 equiv of Lewis acid, and 1.3 equiv of propanal for 3 h at $-78~^{\circ}{\rm C}.$

^b *E/Z* ratio determined by ¹H NMR (500 MHz) from the purified reaction mixture. ^c Lewis acid was dissolved in THF before being added to the reaction.

solution of **5a** and propanal (1.3 equiv) in CH_2Cl_2 at -78 °C for 3 h provided homoallylic alcohol **6a** in 66% yield with an *E/Z* ratio of 11/1. Exchanging the Lewis acid TiCl₄ for BF₃·OEt₂ dramatically reduced both the yield of **6a** to 7% and diastereoselectivity (5/1) of the newly formed olefin moiety. Similarly, the usage of TMSOTf provided a low 23% yield of **6a**, however the *E/Z* ratio was restored to ~10/1 favoring the *E*-alkene. It is worth noting that under both reaction conditions utilizing BF₃·OEt₂ and TMSOTF, the major product was the substituted THF ring as reported by Panek, Woerpel and Roush.¹⁵ Surprisingly, when we examined SnCl₄ in place of TiCl₄ little to no homoallylic alcohol **6a** was isolated, but complete decomposition of allylsilane **5a** was observed. Correspondingly, the utilization of rare-Earth triflate salts [In(OTf)₃, Sc(OTf)₃ and Er(OTf)₃] as Lewis acids led to limited product formation (<5%) and quantitative re-isolation of starting material **5a**.

Armed with the knowledge that TiCl₄ was the optimal Lewis acid and provided **6a** with the greatest yield (66%) and *E/Z* selectivity (11/1), we sought to further define the reaction scope by examining a potential solvent effect. We exchanged CH₂Cl₂ for a variety of other non-polar solvents (toluene, hexane, and ClCH₂CH₂Cl) and observed significantly reduced yields (7–39%) while maintaining the olefin diastereoselectivity of $\geq 9/1$ for the *E*-alkene. It was a little unexpected that ClCH₂CH₂Cl afforded such a low yield of 17% compared to that of CH₂Cl₂ (66%). With the reaction conditions (1.3 equiv of TiCl₄) in hand as described in Table 1, we desired to further investigate the scope and limitations of both **5a** and **5b** as allylating reagents with an assortment of aldehydes.

Initial treatment of the TBDPS protected aldehyde¹⁶ (derived from 1,3-propanediol) with **5a** in the presence of 1.3 equiv of TiCl₄ provided alcohol **7a** in ~50% yield with an *E/Z* ratio of 13/1 as determined by ¹H NMR while a significant amount of aldehyde remained unreacted (~35–40%). Thus, addition of another 0.2 equiv of TiCl₄ and a second equiv of silane **5a** readily promoted the complete consumption of the aldehyde and afforded **7a** in 82% yield with an *E/Z* ratio of 16/1 as described in Table 2. Based on this improvement in yield and diastereoselectivity of the newly formed alkene, we carried out the remaining allylations with both **5a** and **5b** (2 equiv) utilizing 1.5 equiv of TiCl₄. Hence, treatment of propanal and **5a** with 1.5 equiv of TiCl₄ furnished **6a** in a greater yield of 77% with an increased *E/Z* ratio of 12/1. Under identical reaction conditions, the addition of **5b** to propanal afforded homoallylic alcohol **6b** with

Table 2

Allylation of various aldehydes with allylsilane **5a** and **5b**^{a,b,c}



 $^a\,$ Reaction ran with 2 equiv of 5a/5b, 1.5 equiv of $TiCl_4,$ and 1.0 equiv of aldehyde for 3 h at -78 °C.

^b *E/Z* ratio determined by ¹H NMR (500 MHz) from the purified reaction mixture. ^c Yields are of the isolated, pure compounds.

84% yield coupled with an 11/1:E/Z ratio. Likewise, allylation of the TBDPS protected aldehyde with **5b** provided alcohol **7b** with a very high diastereoselectivity of 16/1 favoring the *E* isomer in 85% yield. Similar to that of both of the other aliphatic aldehydes, allylation of 3-phenyl-1-propanal with 5a readily proceeded to furnish the corresponding homoallylic alcohol **9a** in 69% yield and an *E/Z* ratio of 17/1. Interestingly, attempted allylation of electron neutral and rich aromatic aldehydes with both 5a and 5b failed to provide the desired homoallylic alcohols, vide infra. However, the electron deficient *p*-CF₃-benzaldehyde underwent allylation with both **5a** and **5b** to provide the corresponding homoallylic alcohols **8a** and **8b** in 51% and 35% vields, respectively. However, the *E/Z* ratios for both **8a** and **8b** (8/1 favoring the *E* isomer) were diminished to that of their aliphatic counterparts. As delineated in Table 2, the olefin geometry of **7a** was determined by means of ¹H NMR. The strong 1D NOE for both the methylene allylic and vinylic protons provided convincing evidence for the assigned E-olefin geometry. Based on the NOE experimental results for 7a, the stereochemistry of the remaining homoallylic adducts was assigned by analogy.

As noted above, attempted TiCl₄-mediated allylation of *p*-tolylaldehyde and *p*-anisaldehyde with **5a** under the standardized reaction conditions from Table 2 did not afford the desired homoallylic alcohols. As shown in Scheme 3, the addition of 2 equiv of **5a** to *p*tolylaldehyde (with 1.5 equiv of TiCl₄) afforded the diallylated compound **10a** in 46% yield with an *E/Z* ratio of 9/1.¹⁷ Likewise, treatment of *p*-anisaldehyde under identical conditions as noted furnished the diallylated compound **11a** in 61% yield and a slightly decreased *E/Z* ratio of 8/1.

As reported by Reetz and Keck, allylsilanes and stannanes typically react via a chelation controlled addition to a β -ether carbonyl to furnish the 1,3-anti diol product.^{18,19} With this in mind, we elected to investigate the allylation of the benzyl protected β-hydroxy aldehyde 12 under the previously described reaction conditions with silane 5a. As described in Scheme 4, treatment of 12²⁰ with 1.5 equiv of TiCl₄ presumably formed the six-membered chelated intermediate coupled with the addition of the allylsilane reagent 5a led to the formation of the 1,3-homoallylic alcohol 13 with a diastereomeric ratio of >20:1 with the presumed anti-stereochemistry (based on literature precedent) in 81% yield. In addition, the E/Z ratio of the newly formed alkene was determined to be >20/1 by ¹H NMR. In order to unequivocally determine the diol stereochemistry resident in 13 we subsequently performed a concomitant hydrogenation/hydrogenolysis of both the olefin and benzyl ether with 1 atm of H₂ and 10% Pd(OH)₂ in EtOH to afford diol 14 in 57% yield. Final acetonide formation of 14 under the standard reaction conditions of 2,2-dimethoxypropane and CSA provided acetal 15 in 76% yield.

With **15** in hand, we initially hoped to determine the *anti*-stereochemistry via 1D NOE spectroscopy. Unfortunately, non-resolved ether methine signals at \sim 3.7 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum (500 MHz) did not permit for the preferred NOE experiment. However, close inspection of the ¹³C NMR did provide the necessary information for the positive confirmation of the proposed *anti*-stereochemistry. As noted by Rychnovsky, the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the two geminal methyl groups of an *anti*-acetonide moiety should exhibit pseudo-equivalent signals





Scheme 4.

due to the twist boat conformation at ~25 ppm.²¹ In our specific case, the methyl signals for acetonide **15** overlap at 24.8 ppm (as determined by HSQC) in the ¹³C NMR. Based on literature precedence, we felt confident that the stereochemistry of the 1,3-diol subunit was indeed *anti.*²¹

In conclusion, we have shown, by means of a systematic study, a successful direct preparation of non-conjugated (*E*)-homoallylic alcohols in one step from the parent aldehyde via a Lewis acid mediated addition of α -substituted allylsilanes. Future directions of investigation will include further developments of novel chiral allyl silane reagents derived from our previously reported vinyl silanes and their utilization in target driven synthesis. Results from these studies will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgments

Support for this project was provided by the University of Alabama and in part the National Science Foundation CAREER program under CHE-0845011.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2013.06. 047.

References and notes

1. (a) Fleming, I. Org. React. **1989**, 37, 57; (b) G. Majetich, in: Organic Synthesis: Theory and Application, vol. 1, 1989, p. 173.; (c) Yamamoto, Y.; Asao, N. Chem. Rev. **1993**, 93, 2239; (d) Denmark, S. E.; Almstead, N. G. J. Mex. Chem. Soc. **2009**, 53, 174.

- 2. Hosomi, A.; Sakurai, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 17, 1295.
- (a) Hayashi, T.; Konishi, M.; Ito, H.; Kumada, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4962; (b) Hayashi, T.; Konishi, M.; Kumada, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4963.
- 4. Hayashi, T.; Konishi, M.; Kumada, M. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 281.
- 5. Panek, J. S.; Yang, M. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 5755.
- (a) Wu, J.; Chen, Y.; Panek, J. S. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2112; (b) Komatsu, K.; Tanino, K.; Miyashita, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 4341.
- For an excellent review, see (a) Masse, C. E.; Panek, J. S. Chem. Rev. **1995**, 95, 1293; (b) Osumi, K.; Sugimura, H. Tetrahedron Lett. **1995**, 36, 5789; (c) Brown, M. J.; Harrison, T.; Overman, L. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1991**, 113, 5378; (d) Grese, T. A.; Hutchinson, K. D.; Overman, L. E. J. Org. Chem. **1993**, 58, 2468.
- For reports on using α-substituted allylboranes for the synthesis of homoallylic alcohols with selective *E* olefin geometry, see (a) Peng, F.; Hall, D. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 3070; (b) Hall, D. G. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2008**, *80*, 913; (c) Chen, M.; Roush, W. R. Org. Lett. **2010**, *12*, 2706.
- (a) Vougioukalakis, G. C.; Grubbs, R. H. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1746; (b) Chatterjee, A. K.; Choi, T. L.; Sanders, D. P.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11360.
- (a) Blakemore, P. R.; Cole, W. J.; Kocieński, P. J.; Morley, A. Synlett. 1998, 26; (b) Blakemore, P. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2002, 2563.
- 11. Mueller Hendrix, A. J.; Jennings, M. P. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2750.
- 12. Pearlman, W. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1967, 8, 1663.
- 13. Peterson, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 780.
- (a) Panek, J.; Yang, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 9868; (b) Panek, J.; Beresis, R. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 809.
- (a) Peng, Z. H.; Woerpel, K. A. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2945; (b) Peng, Z. H.; Woerpel, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6018; (c) Heitzman, C. L.; Lambert, W. T.; Mertz, E.; Shotwell, J. B.; Tinsley, J. M.; Va, P.; Roush, W. R. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2405.
- Druais, V.; Hall, M. J.; Corsi, C.; Wendeborn, S. V.; Meyer, C.; Cossy, J. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 935.
- (a) Albaugh-Robertson, P.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. J. Org. Chem. **1983**, 48, 5288;
 (b) Durand, A.-C.; Brahmi, L.; Lahrech, M.; Hacini, S.; Santelli, M. Synth. Commun. **1825**, 2005, 35.
- (a) Reetz, M. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 556; (b) Reetz, M. T. Acc. Chem. Res. 1993, 26, 462.
- 19. Keck, G. E.; Castellino, S.; Wiley, M. R. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 5478.
- 20. Kurosu, M.; Lorca, M. Synlett 2005, 1109.
- 21. Rychnovsky, S. D.; Rogers, B.; Yang, G. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 3511.