Tetrahedron Letters 52 (2011) 3732-3735

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

Site-selective Suzuki-Miyaura reactions of 2,3,5-tribromo-N-methylpyrrole

Serge-Mithérand Tengho Toguem^a, Olumide Fatunsin^a, Alexander Villinger^a, Peter Langer^{a,b,*}

^a Institut für Chemie, Universität Rostock, Albert-Einstein-Str. 3a, 18059 Rostock, Germany ^b Leibniz-Institut für Katalyse e. V. An der Universität Rostock, Albert-Einstein-Str. 29a, 18059 Rostock, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 19 March 2011 Revised 6 May 2011 Accepted 10 May 2011 Available online 24 May 2011

Keywords: Catalysis Palladium Suzuki-Miyaura reaction Site-selectivity Pyrroles

The pyrrole system is of great importance in organic chemistry, due to its occurrence in many natural products and pharmacologically active molecules.¹ For example, a pyrrole core structure is present in marine natural products, such as the lamellarines, storniamide A, ningalin A and halitulin, which show considerable potential for the treatment of various cancers and AIDS.² Pyrroles also occur in the structure of atorvastatin (lipitor), an oral drug which lowers the level of cholesterol in the blood.³ They are also found in the natural product porphobilinogen, a trisubstituted pyrrole which is a biosynthetic precursor of many natural products such as haemoglobin.⁴ Structurally more simple pyrroles have been also isolated as natural products, for example, pyrrolnitrin, isolated from *Pseudomonas pyrrocinia*, which possesses potent antifungal and antibiotic activities (Chart 1).⁵

Site-selective palladium(0)-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of polyhalogenated heterocycles provide an efficient approach to more complex substituted derivatives.^{6,7} This methodology has been applied also to the synthesis of natural products and pharmaceuticals.⁸ Schröter and Bach studied Suzuki–Miyaura (S–M) reactions of 2,3,4-tribromopyrrole-5-carboxylate and of 2,3-dibromo-5-nitropyrrole and observed site-selectivity in favour of position 2.⁹ Handy and co-workers reported site-selective one-pot double S–M reactions of 4,5-dibromopyrroles using ligand free conditions.¹⁰ Beaumard and co-workers reported one-pot S–M reactions of 2,5-dibromo-*N*-Boc-pyrrole.¹¹

Recently, we have reported site-selective S–M reactions of various polyhalogenated heterocycles, such as tetrabromo-N-

* Corresponding author. Fax: +49 381 4986412. E-mail address: peter.langer@uni-rostock.de (P. Langer). methylpyrrole, tetrabromothiophene, tetrabromo-selenophene, tribromopyrazoles, and tribromothiophenes. The site-selectivity of such reactions is controlled by electronic and steric effects. Directing groups at sites neighbouring the reactive position also play a significant role in the selectivity. Herein, we report what are, to the best of our knowledge, the first site-selective Suzuki-Miyaura reactions of 2,3,5-tribromo-*N*-methylpyrrole. These reactions provide a convenient approach to novel 5-aryl-2,3-dibromo-*N*-methylpyrroles, 2,5-diaryl-3-bromo-*N*-methylpyrroles and 2,3,5-triaryl-*N*-methylpyrrole.

The first Suzuki–Miyaura reactions of 2,3,5-tribromo-N-methylpyrrole are reported. These reactions pro-

ceed with very good site-selectivity in favour of position 5 which is more reactive than position 2, due to

steric reasons. The second attack occurs at position 2 which is more electron deficient than position 3.

2,3,5-Tribromo-*N*-methyl-pyrrole **2** was prepared, following a known procedure,¹² by reaction of *N*-methylpryrrole (**1**) with NBS (3.1 equiv) in THF (Scheme 1).

The S–M reaction of **2** with 1.1 equiv of various arylboronic acids afforded 5-aryl-2,3-dibromo-*N*-methylpyrroles **3a–g** in 43–82% yields (Scheme 2, Table 1).¹³ The reactions proceeded with









© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter \odot 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2011.05.044



Scheme 1. Synthesis of **2.** Reagents and Conditions: **1** (1.0 equiv), NBS (3.1 equiv), THF, $-78 \rightarrow 20$ °C, 12 h.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of **3a–g** and **4a**. Reagents and Conditions: (i) **2** (1.0 equiv), $Ar^{1}B(OH)_{2}$ (1.1 equiv), $Pd(PPh_{3})_{4}$ (5 mol %), $K_{3}PO_{4}$ (4.0 equiv), 1.4-dioxane/toluene = 1:1, 100 °C, 8 h; (ii) **3d** (1.0 equiv), $Ar^{2}B(OH)_{2}$ (3 equiv), $Pd(PPh_{3})_{4}$ (5 mol %), $K_{3}PO_{4}(4.0 equiv)$, toluene, 110 °C, 36 h.

very good site-selectivity in favour of position 5. The relatively low yield of **3a** can be explained by the steric hindrance of 2,6di(methoxy)phenylboronic acid. Good yields were obtained for nearly all products when Pd(PPh₃)₄ (5 mol %) and K₃PO₄ (4.0 equiv) were employed as the catalyst and as the base, respectively, when 1.1 equiv of the boronic acid was used and when the reaction was carried out at 100 °C (8 h). The temperature should not be too high and the reaction time not too long to avoid multiple coupling. Employment of a solvent mixture dioxane/toluene proved to be important, due to reasons of solubility of the boronic acids. Analysis of the crude product mixture (GC–MS, ¹H NMR) shows that small amounts of products derived from double-coupling are present which could, however, be removed by chromatography. The structure of **3a** was independently confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis (Fig. 1).¹⁴

The S–M reaction of **3d** with 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid afforded the 2,3,5-triaryl-*N*-methylpyrrole **4a** in 74% yield (Scheme 2, Table 2). The best yield of this compound was obtained when an excess of the boronic acid was employed (3.0 equiv) and when the reaction time was extended to 36 h and the temperature elevated to 110 °C. The yield of the one-pot synthesis of **4a** from **2** (sequential addition of the boronic acids) was less than the yield of the stepwise process. Therefore, the one-pot synthesis was not further studied.

Table 1	
Synthesis of 5-aryl-2,3-dibromo- <i>N</i> -methylpyrroles	3a-g

3	Ar ¹	T [°C]	<i>t</i> [h]	(%) (3) ^a
a	2,6-(MeO) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	90	8	43
b	4-MeC ₆ H ₄	100	8	82
с	4-EtC ₆ H ₄	100	6	73
d	$4-tBuC_6H_4$	90	8	64
e	2-(MeO)C ₆ H ₄	90	8	61
f	$3,5-Me_2C_6H_3$	110	6	58
g	3-FC ₆ H ₄	90	8	51

^a Yields of isolated products.



Figure 1. Ortep plot of 3a.

Table 2Synthesis of 2,3,5-triaryl-N-methylpyrrole 4a

4	Ar ¹	Ar ²	(%) (4) ^a
a	$4-tBuC_6H_4$	4-(MeO)C ₆ H ₄	74
3	<u>.</u>		

^a Yields of isolated products.



Scheme 3. Synthesis of **5a–d** and **6a,b.** Reagents and Conditions: (i) **2** (1.0 equiv), $Ar^{1}B(OH)_{2}$ (2.3 equiv), $Pd(PPh_{3})_{4}$ (5 mol %), $K_{3}PO_{4}$ (4.0 equiv), 1,4-dioxane/toluene = 1:1, 100 °C, 12 h; (ii) **5d,f** (1.0 equiv), $Ar^{2}B(OH)_{2}$ (2.0 equiv), $Pd(PPh_{3})_{4}$ (5 mol %), $K_{3}PO_{4}$ (4.0 equiv), toluene, 110 °C, 36 h.

Table 3	
Synthesis of 2,5-diaryl-3-bromo-N-methylpyrroles 5a-f	

5	Ar ¹	(%) (5) ^a
a	3-(MeO)C ₆ H ₄	53
b	$3,5-Me_2C_6H_3$	42
с	$2-(EtO)C_6H_4$	45
d	4-(MeO)C ₆ H ₄	58
e	2,6-(MeO) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	40
f	$4-tBuC_6H_4$	37

^a Yields of isolated products.

 Table 4

 Synthesis of 2,3,5-triaryl-N-methylpyrroles 6a,b

6	Ar ¹	Ar ²	(%) (6) ^a
a	$\begin{array}{l} 4-(\text{MeO})\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\\ 4-t\text{BuC}_6\text{H}_4 \end{array}$	4-ClC ₆ H ₄	72
b		2-(EtO)C ₆ H ₄	83

^a Yields of isolated products.



Figure 2. Ortep plot of 6a.



Scheme 4. Synthesis of **7a–f.** Reagents and Conditions: (i) 2 (1.0 equiv), $ArB(OH)_2$ (4.0 equiv), $Pd(OAc)_2$ (5 mol %)/P(Cy)₃ (10 mol %), K_3PO_4 (4.0 equiv), toluene, 110 °C, 36 h.



Scheme 5. Synthesis of **8.** Reagents and Conditions: (i) **3d** (1.0 equiv), $Ar^2B(OH)_2$ (1.1 equiv), Pd(PPh₃)₄ (5 mol %), K₃PO₄ (4.0 equiv), 1,4-dioxane/toluene = 1:1, 100 °C, 6 h; (ii) Ar³B(OH)₂ (2.0 equiv), K₃PO₄ (2.0 equiv), 110 °C, 24 h.

The S–M reaction of **2** with 2.3 equiv of arylboronic acids gave 2,5-diaryl-3-bromo-*N*-methylpyrroles **5a–f** in 40–53% yields (Scheme 3, Table 3).¹⁵ The reactions were carried out at 100 °C (12 h). The S–M reactions of **5d,f** with 2.0 equiv of arylboronic acids afforded the 2,3,5-triaryl-*N*-methylpyrroles **6a,b** (Scheme 3, Table 4). Similar to the synthesis of **4a**, the yield of the one-pot synthesis of **6a** was lower compared to the stepwise synthesis. The structure of **6a** was independently confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis (Fig. 2).¹⁴

The moderate yields of compounds **5a–f** can be explained by the need to separate small amounts of trisubstituted compounds and of starting material by chromatography. Due to close R_f values, the separations were difficult and resulted in a decrease of the yield.

The S–M reaction of **2** with 4.0 equiv of arylboronic acids resulted in the formation of the 2,3,5-triarylpyrroles **7a–f** in

 Table 5

 Synthesis of 2,3,5-triaryl-N-methylpyrroles 7a-f

7	Ar ¹	(%) (7) ^a
a	$4-MeC_6H_4$	68
b	$4-(MeO)C_6H_4$	89
с	$3-(MeO)C_6H_4$	76
d	$4-tBuC_6H_4$	72
e	2,3,4-(MeO) ₃ C ₆ H ₂	92
f	$3-FC_6H_4$	62

^a Yields of isolated products; Cy = cyclohexyl.

Table 6

Synthesis of 2,3,5-triaryl-N-methylpyrrole 8a

	Ar ¹	Ar ²	Ar ³	(%)(8)
8%	$4-tBuC_6H_4$	2-(MeO)C ₆ H ₄	4-Et C ₆ H ₄	43

^aYields of isolated products.



Figure 3. Possible explanation for the site-selectivity of the reactions of 2.

68–92% yields (Scheme 4, Table 4).¹⁶ The reactions were carried using $Pd(OAc)_2/P(Cy)_3$ (Cy = cyclohexyl) which gave better yields than $Pd(PPh_3)_4$.

The reaction of 5-aryl-3,4-dibromopyrazoles **4** with arylboronic acids **3a,b,d,g,o** afforded the 3,4,5-triarylpyrazoles **7a–i** in 74–92% yield (Scheme 5, Table 5).

One-pot reaction of **3d** with two different arylboronic acids, which were sequentially added, gave 2,3,5-triaryl-*N*-methylpyrrole **8** containg three different aryl groups in 43% yield (Scheme 5, Table 6).

The site-selectivites can be explained by electronic and steric parameters.^{6,17} Position 5 is the most reactive because it is more electron deficient than position 3 and less sterically hindered than position 2 (Fig. 3). From the electronic viewpoint, positions 2 and 5 are similar.

In conclusion, we have reported the first Suzuki–Miyaura reactions of 2,3,5-tribromo-*N*-methylpyrrole. The reactions provide a convenient and site-selective approach to various arylated pyrroles which are not readily available by other methods.

Acknowledgments

The financial support by the DAAD (scholarship for S.-M. T.-T. and Stibet scholarship for O. F.) and by the State of Mecklenburg-Vorpommern (scholarship for O. F.) is gratefully acknowledged.

References and notes

- (a) Jones, R. A.; Bean, G. P. The chemistry of pyrrole; Academic Press: London, 1977; (b)Pyrroles Part 1; Jones, R. A., Ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1990; (c) Jefford, C. W. Curr. Org. Chem. 2000, 4, 205; (d) Banwell, M. G.; Beck, D. A. S.; Stanislawski, P. C.; Sydnes, M. O.; Taylor, R. M. Curr. Org. Chem. 2005, 9, 1589; (e) Baumann, K. L.; Butler, D. E.; Deering, C. F.; Mennen, K. E.; Millar, A.; Nanninga, T. N.; Palmer, C. W.; Roth, B. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 2283; (f) Sternberg, E. D.; Dolphin, D.; Brückner, C. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 4151; (g) Sato, A.; McNulty, L.; Cox, K.; Kim, S.; Scott, A.; Daniell, K.; Summerville, K.; Price, C.; Hudson, S.; Kiakos, K.; Hartley, J. A.; Asao, T.; Lee, M. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 3903.
- (a) Bailly, C. Curr. Med. Chem. Anti-Cancer Agents 2004, 4, 363; (b) Handy, S. T.; Zhang, Y. Org. Prep. Proceed. Int. 2005, 37, 411; (c) Pla, D.; Marchal, A.; Olsen, C. A.; Albericio, F.; Álvarez, M. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 8231.
- Li, J. J.; Gribble, G. W. In Palladium in Heterocyclic Chemistry Tetrahedron Organic Chemistry Series; Elsevier Science Ltd.: Oxford, 2000; vol. 20, Chapter 2.
- (a) Cox, M.; Lehninger, A. L.; Nelson, D. R. Lehninger principles of biochemistry; Worth Publishers: New York, 2000; (b) De Leon, C. Y.; Ganem, B. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 7731; (c) Danso-Danquah, R. E.; Scott, A. I. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 8195.
- 5. van Pée, K.-H.; Ligon, J. M. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2000, 17, 157.
- For reviews of cross-coupling reactions of polyhalogenated heterocycles, see: (a) Schröter, S.; Stock, C.; Bach, T. *Tetrahedron* 2005, 61, 2245; (b) Schnürch, M.; Flasik, R.; Khan, A. F.; Spina, M.; Mihovilovic, M. D.; Stanetty, P. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2006, 3283; (c) Wang, R.; Manabe, K. *Synthesis* 2009, 1405.
- For work from our laboratories, see: (a) Dang, T. T.; Dang, T. T.; Ahmad, R.; Reinke, H.; Langer, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 1698; (b) Dang, T. T.; Villinger, A.; Langer, P. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 2109; (c) Hussain, M.; Nguyen, T. H.; Langer, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 3929; (d) Tengho Toguem, S.-M.; Hussain, M.; Malik, I.; Villinger, A.; Langer, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 4962; (e) Hussain, M.; Malik, I.; Langer, P. Synlett 2009, 2691; (f) Dang, T. T.; Dang, T. T.; Rasool, N.; Villinger, A.; Langer, P. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 1595; (g) Ullah, I.; Khera, R. A.; Hussain, M.; Langer, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 4651; (h) Nawaz, M.; Farooq, M. I.; Obaid-Ur-Rahman, A.; Khera, R. A.; Villinger, A.; Langer, P. Synlett 2010, 150; (i) Toguem, S.-M. T.; Villinger, A.; Langer, P. Synlett 2009, 3311; (j) Toguem, S.-M. T.; Villinger, A.; Langer, P. Synlett 2010, 909.
- 8. Fairlamb, I. J. S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 1036.
- 9. Schröter, S.; Bach, T. Synlett 2005, 1957.
- (a) Handy, S. T.; Sabatini, J. J. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1537; (b) Handy, S. T.; Zhang, Y. N. Synthesis 2006, 3883.
- 11. Beaumard, F.; Dauban, P.; Dodd, R. H. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1801.
- 12. Gilow, H. M.; Burton, D. E. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 2221.
- 13. Synthesis of 5-aryl-2,3-dibromo-N-methylpyrroles 3a-f: To a mixture of 2 (0.159 g, 0.5 mmol), aryl boronic acid (0.55 mmol), and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (5 mol %) was added a mixture of 1,4-dioxane and toluene (1:1; 5 mL) and K₃PO₄ (4.0 equiv, 424 mg) under an argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at 100 C for 8 h and was subsequently allowed to cool to 20 C. The solution was poured into H₂O and EtOAc (25 mL each) and the organic and the aqueous layers were separated. The latter was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 25 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (flash silica gel, eluent: *n*-heptane). Synthesis of 2,3-dibromo-5-(3,5-dimethylphenyl)-1-methyl-1H-pyrrole (3f).

Starting with **2** (0.159 g, 0.5 mmol) and 3,5-dimethylphenylboronic acid (0.082 g, 0.55 mmol), **3f** was isolated (0.099 g, 58%) as a colourless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, acetone-*d*₆): $\delta = 2.21$ (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.22 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.50 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 6.16 (s, 1H, CH_{pyrrole}), 6.92 (s, 3H, Ar). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, acetone-*d*₆): $\delta = 21.3$ (2CH₃), 35.4 (NCH₃), 98.4, 105.5 (CBr), 111.3 (CH), 127.4 (2CH), 130.3 (CH), 132.9, 137.7 (C), 138.9 (2C). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): $\nu = 3120, 2947, 2915, 2855$ (w), 1600, 1466, 1450, 1372, 1322, 1302, 1273 (m), 1205, 1181 (w), 1086, 1036, 950 (m), 899 (w), 851, 840, 775, 695 (s), 663, 602 (m). GC/MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%) = 343 (100) [M⁺ (⁸¹Br, ⁷⁹Br)], 183 (10), 168 (13). HRMS (EI, 70 eV): m/z [M⁺ (⁸¹Br, ⁷⁹Br)] calcd for C₁₃H₁₃NBr₂: 342.93888; found: 342.939847.

- CCDC-824509 (3a) and CCDC-824510 (6a) contain all crystallographic details of this publication and is available free of charge at www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/ retrieving.html or can be ordered from the following address: Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, GB-Cambridge CB21EZ; Fax: (+44)1223-336-033; or deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.
- 15. Synthesis of 2,5-diaryl-3-bromo-N-methylpyrroles 5a-f. To a mixture of 2 (0.159 g, 0.5 mmol), aryl boronic acid (1.15 mmol), and $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ (5 mol %) was added a mixture of 1,4-dioxane and toluene (1:1; 5 mL) and K₃PO₄ (4.0 equiv, 424 mg) under an argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 12 h and was subsequently allowed to cool to 20 °C. The solution was poured into H₂O and EtOAc (25 mL each) and the organic and the aqueous layers were separated. The latter was extracted with EtOAc (3 imes25 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (flash silica gel, eluent: heptanes/ EtOAc). Synthesis of 3-bromo-2,5-bis(3,5-dimethylphenyl)-1-methyl-1H-pyrrole (5b). Starting with 2 (0.159 g, 0.5 mmol) and 3,5-dimethylphenylboronic acid (0.172 g, 1.15 mmol), 5b was isolated (0.077 g, 42%) as a colourless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ = 2.34 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.34 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.35 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.36 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.48 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 6.26 (s, 1H, CH_{pyrrole}), 7.00 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.04 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.10 (s, 4H, Ar). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, acetone- d_6): $\delta = 21.3$ (2CH₃), 21.4 (2CH₃), 35.0 (NCH₃), 95.9 (CBr), 111.4 (CH), 127.4 (2CH), 129.1 (2CH), 129.8, 130.2 (CH), 132.2, 133.3, 134.1, 136.9 (C), 138.6 (2C), 138.8 (2C). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): v= 3005, 2918, 2856, 1711, 1679, 1663 (w), 1600, 1462 (m), 1376, 1330, 1270, (%) = 367 (99) [M⁺ (⁷⁹Br)], 272 (10). HRMS (EI, 70 eV): m/z [M⁺ (⁷⁹Br)] calcd for C₂₁H₂₂NBr: 367.09301; found: 367.09300.
- Synthesis of 2,3,5-triaryl-N-methylpyrroles **7a-f**: In a pressure tube (glass bomb) a suspension of Pd(OAc)₂ (12 mg, 0.05 mmol, 5 mol %) and TCHP (28.04 mg, 0.10 mmol, 10 mol %) in Toluene (5 mL) was purged with Ar and stirred at 20 C to give a brownish solution. To the stirred solution were added 2 (0.159 g, 0.5 mmol), arylboronic acid (2.0 mmol), and K₂PO₄ (4.0 equiv, 424 mg) under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at 110 °C for 36 h and was subsequently allowed to cool to 20 °C. The solution was poured into H₂O and EtOAc (25 mL each) and the organic and the aqueous layer were separated. The latter was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 25 \text{ mL})$, dried (Na_2SO_4) , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (flash silica gel, eluent: heptanes/EtOAc). Synthesis of 2,3,5tris(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-1H-pyrrol (**7b**). Starting with **2** (0.159 g, 0.5 mmol) and 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid (0.304 g, 2.0 mmol), **7b** was isolated (0.177 g, 89%) as a brownish oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ = 3.30 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 3.59 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.71 (s, 6H, 2OCH₃), 6.19 (s, 1H, $CH_{Pyrrole}$), 6.60 (dd, J = 2.1, 6.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.84 (dd, J = 2.1, 6.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.88 (dd, J = 2.1, 6.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.88 (dd, J = 2.1, 6.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.98 (dd, J = 2.1, 6.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.12 (dd, J = 2.1, 6.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.12 (dd, J = 2.1, 6.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.31 (dd, J = 2.1, 6.7 Hz, 2H, Ar). ¹³C NMR (62 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ = 33.7 (NCH₃), 55.4, 55.5, 55.5 (OCH₃), 108.5 (CH), 114.3 (2CH), 114.7 (2CH), 114.8 (2CH), 122.7, 126.7, 127.1 (C), 129.3 (2CH), 130.4 (C), 130.7 (2CH), 131.8 (C), 133.1 (2CH), 135.5, 158.4, 159.8, 160.1 (C). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): v = 3004, 2952, (c), 153.1 (2CH), 153.3, 156.4, 155.6, 160.1 (C), 18 (KB), (11), v = 5004, 2552, 2930, 2833, 1608, 1600, 1574, 1562 (w), 1517, 1497, 1461, 1440 (m), 1373, 1343, 1304 (w), 1285 (m), 1239, 1170 (s), 1108 (m), 1026, 832 (s), 806, 790 (m), 752, 650 (w), 585, 534 (m). GC/MS (EI, 70 eV): <math>m/z (%) = 399 (100) [M⁺], 384 (35). HRMS (EI, 70 eV): m/z [M⁺] calcd for C₂₆H₂₅O₃N: 399.18290; found: 399 18262
- For a simple guide to predict the regioselectivity based on ¹H NMR chemical shifts of the non-halogenated parent compound, see: Handy, S. T.; Zhang, Y. *Chem. Commun.* 2006, 299.