#### Tetrahedron 70 (2014) 4208-4212

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Tetrahedron

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tet

# Palladium-catalyzed decarboxylative allylic alkylation of diastereomeric $\beta$ -ketoesters

Sandy Ma, Corey M. Reeves, Robert A. Craig, II, Brian M. Stoltz\*

Warren and Katharine Schlinger Laboratory for Chemistry and Chemical Engineering Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, United States

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 21 February 2014 Received in revised form 10 March 2014 Accepted 12 March 2014 Available online 18 March 2014

Dedicated to Professor Sarah Reisman on receipt of the Tetrahedron Young Investigator Award

Keywords: Decarboxylative Allylic Alkylation Ketoesters Palladium

#### 1. Introduction

The concept of stereoablative enantioconvergent catalysis is illustrated by the use of  $\alpha$ -quaternary  $\beta$ -ketoesters in the palladiumcatalyzed decarboxylative allylic alkylation and is a subject of interest to our laboratories.<sup>1</sup> Typical stereomutative enantioconvergent processes, such as dynamic kinetic resolution, require a pre-equilibration epimerization of starting material **A** followed by enantioselective conversion to product **B** (pathway I, Scheme 1). Quaternary stereocenters are not typically epimerizable and, thus, in the case of our previous studies<sup>1</sup> we believe another pathway is operative, wherein both enantiomers of the starting material A convert irreversibly to prochiral intermediate C. This prochiral intermediate C can then preferentially form one enantiomer of product **B** under the influence of a chiral catalyst (pathway II, Scheme 1). This alternate pathway has been termed stereoablative enantioconvergent catalysis. The lability of the stereogenic center is illustrated with quaternary  $\beta$ -ketoesters, where enolate formation destroys the stereochemical information at the  $\alpha$ -position.

#### ABSTRACT

The palladium-catalyzed decarboxylative allylic alkylation of diastereomeric  $\beta$ -ketoesters derived from 4-*tert*-butylcyclohexanone is described. These experiments were performed to elucidate our understanding of stereoablative enantioconvergent catalysis. A detailed analysis of the product distribution, including stereochemical outcome of the products, is included. These studies also reveal an interesting example of selectivity that is governed by competing modes of substrate and catalyst control.

stereomutative

enantioconvergen

catalysis

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.



stereoablative

enantioconvergent catalysis

Scheme 1. Stereomutative versus stereoablative enantioconvergent catalysis.

To provide evidence for stereoablative enantioconvergent catalysis, we envisioned using diastereomeric  $\beta$ -ketoesters **1** and **2** as substrates for the palladium-catalyzed decarboxylative allylic alkylation (Scheme 2). The stereoablative hypothesis is supported if both  $\beta$ -ketoesters afford similar diastereomeric product ratios as the stereochemistry at  $\alpha$ -position of the  $\beta$ -ketoester is not expected to influence the outcome of the reaction.





Tetrahedror

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail address: stoltz@caltech.edu (B.M. Stoltz).



Scheme 2. Proposed experiment to test stereoablation hypothesis.

#### 2. Results and discussion

#### 2.1. Experimental results

Diastereomeric  $\beta$ -ketoesters **1** and **2** were prepared by straightforward methods previously employed in our laboratory.<sup>2</sup> With  $\beta$ -ketoesters **1** and **2** in hand, we treated each  $\beta$ -ketoester with Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub> and (*S*)-*t*-Bu-PHOX. We observed similar product yields, diastereomeric ratios, and enantioselectivities for the asymmetric decarboxylative allylic alkylation of both **1** and **2** (Scheme 3). Thus, our results support the formation of an enolate wherein the stereochemistry at the  $\alpha$ -position of the  $\beta$ -ketoester starting material does not influence the outcome of the reaction. The relative and absolute stereochemistry of the products **3** and **4** were determined by X-ray diffraction of their corresponding crystalline semicarbazone derivatives obtained from the asymmetric variant of the decarboxylative allylic alkylation (Scheme 4).<sup>2</sup>





Scheme 4. Determination of relative and absolute stereochemistry.

However, two other interesting observations were made during these experiments. Minor product **4** had significantly greater enantiomeric excess than that of major product **3** (97% ee vs 39% ee). Furthermore, decarboxylative allylic alkylation of **2** was two times

faster than the decarboxylative allylic alkylation of **1**, which is counter-intuitive because stereoelectronic arguments would predict that **1** should react at a greater rate as orbital overlap between the carbonyl carbon and the  $\alpha$ -carbon is maximized when the carboxyl is in the axial position.

To confirm the observed difference in their relative rates of reaction, **1** and **2** were treated with an achiral catalyst (Scheme 5). Decarboxylative allylic alkylation of **1** and **2** using PPh<sub>3</sub> as ligand gave the same major and minor products as those observed in the enantioselective case. Furthermore, the difference in relative rate of reaction was more dramatic in this case; the decarboxylative allylic alkylation of **2** was 5.3 times faster than that observed for **1**.<sup>3,4</sup>



Scheme 5. Racemic palladium-catalyzed decarboxylative allylic alkylation of  $\beta$ -ketoester.

## 2.2. Rationalization for lack of stereoelectronic control in decarboxylation of diastereomeric $\beta$ -ketoacids

The surprising observation that  $\beta$ -ketoester **2**, which has the ester group in an equatorial position, is more reactive for allylic decarboxylation than  $\beta$ -ketoester **1**, which has the ester group in an axial position, contradicts stereoelectronic control arguments. However, this contradiction has also been observed in the decarboxylation of diastereometic  $\beta$ -ketoacids.<sup>5</sup>

Based on stereoelectronic arguments,  $\beta$ -ketoacid **7** would be predicted to be more reactive because an axially positioned carboxyl group allows for continuous overlap of the incipient p-orbital with the p-orbital on the carbonyl carbon (Fig. 1). However, Pollack has reported that  $\beta$ -ketoacid **8** decarboxylates 3-fold faster than **7** in acidic media.<sup>5</sup> Under basic conditions, the relative rate of reactions is more striking:  $\beta$ -ketoacid **8** decarboxylates 15- to 20-fold faster than **7**.



Fig. 1. Kinetic experiments on rate of decarboxylation of β-ketoacids.

Under acidic conditions, Pollack has proposed that the transition state for decarboxylation is a six-membered intermediate, in which the O–H bond rests in the same plane as the original C(3)-C(2)=O bond (Fig. 2).<sup>5</sup> The C(1)-C(3) bond that is broken sits perpendicular to this plane, which allows for the continuous overlap of the incipient p-orbital with the  $\pi$  of the carbonyl bond. The proposed transition states for  $\beta$ -ketoacids **7** and **8** are shown in Fig. 2, where the cyclohexene is shown in a half chair conformation with the *tert*-butyl group in the equatorial position and the methyl group in the plane of the carbon–carbon double bond. If the energies of the transition states are similar, then the relative rate of



Fig. 2. Proposed transition states under acidic conditions.

decarboxylation is determined by the relative stabilities of  $\beta$ ketoacids **7** and **8**. Based on A values derived from cyclohexanes (Me=1.70 kcal/mol, COOH=1.35 kcal/mol),  $\beta$ -ketoacid **8** is higher in energy; therefore, it should be more reactive.

Rationalization of the relative rate of decarboxylation of  $\beta$ -ketoacids **7** and **8** under basic conditions is based on a similar argument. Decarboxylation of the carboxylate anions of  $\beta$ -ketoacids has not been investigated thoroughly, but studies on the decarboxylation of benzoylacetic acids have suggested that the transition state is late on the reaction coordinate; thus, the transition state resembles the enolate product (Fig. 3).<sup>6</sup> We can apply this observation to the decarboxylation of carboxylates **7a** and **8a**. If steric interactions with the axial hydrogens are ignored in the transition states shown in Fig. 3, these two transition states should have similar energies, and the relative rates of reaction reflect the differences in energy of carboxylates **7a** and **8a**.



Fig. 3. Proposed transition states under basic conditions.

To predict the relative energy of **7a** and **8a**, the dipole–dipole repulsions between the carboxylate and the carbonyl oxygen should be considered (Fig. 4). For **8a**, the dipole–dipole repulsion is more significant; hence, **8a** is less stable and will decarboxylate more readily. Evidence that this dipole-dipole interaction is significant can be found in the  $pK_a$  values of **7** and **8**. Acid **8** has a higher  $pK_a$  than **7** (5.79 vs 5.29 in 70% MeOH in water at 0 °C).<sup>6</sup>



Fig. 4. Dipole-dipole repulsions.

### 2.3. Rationalization for faster relative rate of reaction for $\beta\text{-}$ ketoesters

A possible explanation for the increased reactivity of  $\beta$ -ketoester **2** relative to its diastereomer assumes that the palladium  $\pi$ -allyl complex is formed prior to decarboxylation, and that both of these events occur in a stepwise fashion. It is proposed that formation of

a carboxylate intermediate occurs and that unfavorable dipole-dipole repulsion, such as those shown in Fig. 4, for the carboxylate derived from **2** would make it the more reactive diastereomer. The formation of a palladium carboxylate intermediate in our decarboxylative allylic alkylation has been supported by subsequent mechanistic studies performed by our laboratories.<sup>7</sup> Furthermore, the possibility that Pd(II) species formed in situ facilitate decarboxylation via Lewis acid type coordination (in a manner analogous to the Brønsted acid shown in Fig. 2), can not be precluded.

#### 2.4. Rationale for greater enantioselectivity in minor product

The second interesting observation made in our asymmetric decarboxylative allylation of diastereomeric  $\beta$ -ketoesters is that the minor product **4** had much greater enantiomeric excess than major product **3**. We believe that this observation can be attributed to competing modes of control. To help illustrate our point, we must consider all four possible products and their relative abundance after the asymmetric decarboxylative allylic alkylation of  $\beta$ -ketoester **1** (Scheme 3). The diastereomers and their enantiomers are shown in Fig. 5, and the relative percentages of each of the products are shown in Fig. 6.



Fig. 5. All possible products from asymmetric decarboxylative allylic alkylation of  $\beta$ -ketoester 1.



\*Percentages shown are relative to 93% yield and are not absolute

Fig. 6. Product distribution of asymmetric decarboxylative allylic alkylation of  $\beta\text{-ke-toester}$  1.

One type of control believed to be operative is demonstrated in the non-enantioselective alkylation of 4-*tert*-butylcyclohexanone enolates, which are known to have an innate selectivity for one product (i.e., substrate control, Fig. 7). As shown in Scheme 6, the electrophile can be attacked by the putative enolate from either its top face or bottom face. Studies by House and co-workers have shown that the electrophile and the *tert*-butyl group have a trans relationship in the major product.<sup>8</sup> Approach from the bottom face will force the cyclohexane ring into a twist boat conformation and will install the electrophile on the same face as the *tert*-butyl group. On the other hand, approach from the top face will install the electrophile trans to the *tert*-butyl group and will lead directly to the product's chair conformation. The rationale for the favored trans relationship between the electrophile and the *tert*-butyl group is that the chair-like transition state is lower in energy than



 $M_{C}$ : matched catalyst control,  $m_{C}$ : mismatched catalyst control \* The percentages are relative to 93% yield and are not absolute.





Scheme 6. Stereoselective alkylation of tert-butylcyclohexanones.

the transition state in a twist boat conformation. Furthermore, although the cis relationship between the electrophile and *tert*-butyl group affords a more thermodynamically stable product because both groups will be in equatorial positions, this reaction is under kinetic control. Thus, if we apply this model for diastereoselectivity to  $\beta$ -ketoesters **1** and **2**, then the preferred products should have the allyl group trans to the *tert*-butyl group (Scheme 7), a general trend that is observed for our decarboxylative allylic alkylation with PPh<sub>3</sub> (Scheme 5).



Scheme 7. Predicted products as dictated by mode of control.

The other source of control arises from the catalyst (i.e., catalyst control, Fig. 7). In previous experiments, we have found that (S)-*t*-Bu-PHOX will generally prefer to incorporate the allyl group from the *Re* face;<sup>7</sup> the predicted products are shown in Scheme 7. The high enantioselectivity observed for the minor product can be

explained by considering the relative amount of each product and the competing modes of control (Fig. 6). Ketone (2R,4S)-**3** comprises 52.5% of the product mixture and is the product that is generated under doubly matched<sup>9</sup> (catalyst and substrate) diastereocontrol. Ketone (2S,4R)-**3** accounts for 22.5% of the product mixture and is formed under singly matched (substrate) diastereocontrol. Thus, only ca. 56% of the combined major diastereoisomers benefit from catalyst control and, therefore, this product exhibits depressed ee. Ketone (2R,4R)-**4**, the major enantiomer of the minor product, is approximately 24% of the product mixture and is the product of singly matched catalyst control. Ketone (2S,4S)-**4** is less than 1% of the product mixture and is the doubly mismatched product. The minor diastereomer, therefore, is almost exclusively under catalyst control: this is reflected in the very high ee observed (96% ee).

From our studies of the asymmetric decarboxylative allylic alkylation of  $\beta$ -ketoesters derived 4-*tert*-butylcyclohexanone, we observe a situation in which substrate and catalyst controls match or mismatch to deliver ketones **3** and **4**, with varying degrees of enantiopurity. This conclusion is supported by the observation that the relative ratios of the products that are generated under singly matched diastereocontrol ((2*R*,4*R*)-**4** and (2*S*,4*R*)-**3**) are similar. The competition of these two types of control, one dictated by the catalyst and one dictated by the substrate, impart excellent enantioselectivity to the minor product but only moderate enantioselectivity to the major product (Fig. 7).<sup>10</sup>

#### 3. Conclusion

Our studies on the decarboxylative allylic alkylation of diastereomeric  $\beta$ -ketoesters derived from 4-*tert*-butylcyclohexanone support our hypothesis of a stereoablative enantioconvergent catalytic process. These studies also reveal an interesting example of selectivity that is governed by competing modes of substrate and catalyst control and support an interesting model for relative rates of decarboxylation for diastereomeric  $\beta$ -keto carboxylates.

#### 4. Selected experimentals

#### 4.1. General

A representative procedure for the decarboxylative allylic alkylation of diastereomeric  $\beta$ -ketoesters:

Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub> (67 mg, 0.07 mmol) and (*S*)-*t*-Bu-PHOX (73.8 mg, 0.19 mmol) were combined in a round bottom flask. The vial was evacuated for 10 min prior to addition of THF (88 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 30 min prior to addition of  $\beta$ -ketoester **2** (739 mg, 2.93 mmol) via syringe and the reaction was monitored by TLC. Once the reaction was complete, the mixture was concentrated. Isolation of products was accomplished by column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 10% ether in pentane).

#### 4.2. Allyl (1*R*,5*S*)-5-(*tert*-butyl)-1-methyl-2-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxylate (1)

Allyl (1R,5S)-5-(*tert*-butyl)-1-methyl-2-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxylate (**1**) was prepared according to a known procedure, see Ref. 1 for details. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.89 (m, *J*=10.2 Hz, 16.2 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (m, *J*=7.4 Hz, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (m, *J*=10.2 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (m, 2H), 2.49 (m, 3H), 2.02 (m, 1H), 1.57–1.18 (m, 3H), 1.29 (s, 3H), 0.90 (s, 9H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  208.6, 173.2, 131.7, 119.2, 66.0, 56.5, 44.4, 40.6, 39.9, 32.5, 28.6, 27.7, 21.8; IR (neat film, NaCl) 2961, 2865, 1717, 1229, 1140 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS *m/z* calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup>: 252.1726, found 252.1714.

### 4.3. Allyl (15,55)-5-(*tert*-butyl)-1-methyl-2-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxylate (2)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (dddd, *J*=17.4 Hz, 10.5 Hz, 5.7 Hz, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (dq, *J*=17.4 Hz, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (dq, *J*=10.5 Hz, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (dt, *J*=5.7 Hz, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 2.45 (m, 2H), 2.21 (t, *J*=12.6, 1H), 2.02 (m, 1H), 1.84 (dt, *J*=13.5 Hz, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 1.59 (m, 2H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 0.93 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 210.5, 173.2, 132.2, 118.3, 66.0, 57.4, 41.9, 38.0, 37.0, 32.6, 27.6, 26.8, 21.0; IR (neat film, NaCl) 2958, 2876, 1740, 1712, 1459, 1367, 1249, 1227, 1165, 1112 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS *m/z* calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup>: 252.1726, found 252.1718.

## 4.4. (*trans*)-2-Allyl-4-(*tert*-butyl)-2-methylcyclohexan-1-one (3)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 5.64 (m, 1H), 5.04 (m, 2H), 2.38–2.23 (m, 4H), 2.03 (m, 1H), 1.84 (m, 1H), 1.70 (m, 1H), 1.42–1.13 (m, 2H), 1.00 (s, 3H), 0.89 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 216.0, 133.0, 118.5, 48.2, 42.0, 40.1, 38.5, 32.4, 28.3, 27.7, 22.7; IR (neat film, NaCl) 2962, 2870, 1709, 1366, 912 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS *m/z* calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O [M]<sup>+</sup>: 208.1827, found 208.1825;  $[\alpha]_D^{25.6}$  –30.00 (*c* 1.08, hexane).

#### 4.5. (cis)-2-Allyl-4-(tert-butyl)-2-methylcyclohexan-1-one (4)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 5.77 (m, 1H), 5.03 (m, 2H), 2.50 (m, 1H), 2.28 (m, 2H), 2.16 (m, 1H), 2.00 (m, 1H), 1.64 (m, 2H), 1.42 (m, 2H), 1.14 (s, 3H), 0.89 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 216.2, 135.0, 117.9, 47.3, 43.3, 42.3, 38.9, 38.5, 32.5, 27.8, 27.7, 24.2; IR (neat film, NaCl) 2963, 2870, 1709, 1366, 912 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS *m/z* calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O [M]<sup>+</sup>: 208.1827, found 208.1836;  $[\alpha]_D^{25.7}$  +77.81° (*c* 0.105, hexane).

#### 4.6. (*E*)-2-((2*R*,4*S*)-2-Allyl-4-(*tert*-butyl)-2-methylcyclohexylidene)-*N*-((1*S*,2*S*,3*S*,5*R*)-2,6,6-trimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-3yl)hydrazine-1-carboxamide (5)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.83 (br s, 1H), 6.08 (d, *J*=9 Hz, 1H), 5.65 (m, 1H), 5.05 (m, 2H), 4.17 (m, 1H), 2.63 (m, 2H), 2.41 (m, 1H), 2.24 (m, 3H), 1.84 (m, 6H), 1.53 (m, 2H), 1.22 (s, 3H), 1.15 (app. dd, *J*=7.2 Hz, 1.0 Hz, 4H), 1.10 (s, 3H), 1.05 (s, 3H), 0.88 (d, 1H, *J*=9.9 Hz), 0.85 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 156.8, 156.0, 134.1, 117.8, 48.3, 48.2, 48.0, 47.1, 42.7, 41.9, 41.8, 39.8, 38.6, 38.1, 35.5, 32.5, 28.2, 27.6, 27.0, 25.0, 23.6, 22.6, 21.0; IR (neat film, NaCl) 3406, 3194, 3075, 2962, 1672, 1526 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS *m/z* calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>43</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O [M+H]<sup>+</sup>: 402.3484, found 402.3487;  $[\alpha]_D^{25.0}$  +15.31° (*c* 0.2250, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

#### 4.7. (*E*)-2-((2*R*,4*R*)-2-Allyl-4-(*tert*-butyl)-2-methylcyclohexylidene)-*N*-((1*S*,2*S*,3*S*,5*R*)-2,6,6-trimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-3 yl)hydrazine-1-carboxamide (6)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.42 (br s, 1H), 6.08 (d, J=9 Hz, 1H), 5.94 (m, 1H), 5.04 (m, 2H), 4.17 (m, 1H), 2.71 (m, 2H), 2.37 (m, 3H), 1.91 (m, 5H), 1.55 (m, 3H), 1.21 (s, 3H), 1.12 (d, J=7.5, 3H), 1.10 (s, 3H), 1.04 (s, 3H), 0.87 (d, J=9.9 Hz, 1H), 0.84 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz,

CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  157.3, 156.1, 136.4, 116.6, 48.2, 46.9, 45.1, 42.3, 41.9, 41.3, 39.4, 38.6, 38.0, 35.5, 32.5, 28.2, 27.6, 26.9, 25.6, 23.6, 22.9, 21.0; IR (neat film, NaCl) 3400, 3194, 2952, 2873, 1672, 1526 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS *m/z* calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>43</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O [M+H]<sup>+</sup>: 402.3484, found 402.3491; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25.1</sup>+29.73° (*c* 0.2550, hexane).

#### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank NIH-NIGMS (R01GM080269), Abbott Laboratories (\_100001316), Amgen (\_100002429),Merck, Bristol-Myers Squibb (\_100002491), Boehringer Ingelheim (\_100001003), the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (\_100000936) and Caltech for financial support. C.M.R. gratefully acknowledges the Rose Hill Foundation for pre-doctoral funding. R.A.C. gratefully acknowledges the support of this work provided by a fellowship from the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health (\_100000002) under Award Number F31CA174359. Mr. Lawrence Henling and Dr. Michael Day are gratefully acknowledged for X-ray crystallographic structural determination. The Bruker KAPPA APEXII X-ray diffractometer was purchased via an NSF CRIF:MU award to the California Institute of Technology, CHE-0639094.

#### Supplementary data

NMR spectra for compounds **1–4**, as well as details on the stereochemical assignment of compounds **1** and **2**. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2014.03.042.

#### **References and notes**

- Mohr, J. T.; Behenna, D. C.; Harned, A. M.; Stoltz, B. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 6924–6927.
- 2. Please see Supplementary data for more information.
- 3. The relative rate of consumption of starting material was determined by comparing the negative slopes of  $Ln[\beta-ketoester]$  versus time for  $\beta$ -ketoesters **1** and **2**.
- 4. Tsuji and co-workers previously reported the allylic alkylation of diastereomeric β-ketoesters 1 and 2 using PPh<sub>3</sub> as ligand. Unfortunately, their findings as presented are unclear, as the relative stereochemistry between *tert*butyl and α-substituents is not explicitly delineated. See, Tsuji, J.; Yamada, T.; Minami, L.; Yuhara, M.; Nisar, M.; Shimizu, I. J. Org. Chem. **1987**, 52, 2988–2995.
- Kayser, R. H.; Brault, M.; Pollack, R. M.; Bantia, S.; Sadoff, S. F. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4497–4502.
- Pollack, R. M. In Transition States of Biochemical Processes; Gandour, R. D., Schowen, R. L., Eds.; Plenum: New York, NY, 1978; p 467.
- (a) Keith, J. A.; Behenna, D. C.; Mohr, J. T.; Ma, S.; Marinescu, S. C.; Oxgaard, J.; Stoltz, B. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 11876–11877; (b) Sherden, N. H.; Behenna, D. C.; Virgil, S. C.; Stoltz, B. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 6840–6843; (c) Behenna, D. C.; Mohr, J. T.; Sherden, N. H.; Marinescu, S. C.; Harned, A. M.; Tani, K.; Seto, M.; Ma, S.; Novák, Z.; Krout, M. R.; McFadden, R. M.; Roizen, J. L.; Enquist, J. A., Jr.; White, D. E.; Levine, S. R.; Petrova, K.; Iwashita, A.; Virgil, S. C.; Stoltz, B. M. Chem.—Eur, J. 2011, 17, 14199–14223; (d) Keith, J. A.; Behenna, D. C.; Sherden, N. H.; Mohr, J. T.; Ma, S.; Marinescu, S. C.; Nielsen, R. J.; Oxgaard, J.; Stoltz, B. M.; Goddard, W. A., III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 19050–19060.
- 8. House, H. O.; Tefertiller, B. A.; Olmstead, H. D. J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 935–942.
- Ko, S. Y.; Lee, A. W. M.; Masamune, S.; Reed, L. A.; Sharpless, K. B.; Walker, F. J. Science 1983, 949–951.
- 10. It should be noted that the percentages introduced in Fig. 7 are normalized to 100, and that the actual yield of the reaction of 93%. Unreacted starting material or non-selective protonation products are assumed to account for the remaining *4R*-*t*-Bu isomer absent from the allylic alkylation product mixture.