Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 24 (2014) 5439-5445

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmcl



Discovery and optimization of novel small-molecule HIV-1 entry inhibitors using field-based virtual screening and bioisosteric replacement



Marina Tuyishime^a, Matt Danish^a, Amy Princiotto^b, Marie K. Mankowski^c, Rae Lawrence^d, Henry-Georges Lombart^e, Kirill Esikov^e, Joel Berniac^e, Kuang Liang^f, Ji Jingjing^f, Roger G. Ptak^c, Navid Madani^b, Simon Cocklin^{a,*}

^a Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, Drexel University College of Medicine, Rooms 10302-10306, 245 North 15th Street, Philadelphia, PA, USA

^b Department of Cancer Immunology and AIDS, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Division of AIDS, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

^c Department of Infectious Disease Research, Southern Research Institute, 431 Aviation Way, Frederick, MD 21701, USA ^d Cresset, New Cambridge House, Bassingbourn Road, Litlington, Cambridgeshire, UK

^e AsisChem, Inc., 313 Pleasant St. Watertown, MA 02472, USA

^f HD Biosciences Co., Ltd, 590 Ruiqing Road, Zhangjiang East Campus, Pudong, Shanghai 201201, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 July 2014 Revised 6 October 2014 Accepted 8 October 2014 Available online 16 October 2014

Keywords:

Field-based virtual screening Three-dimensional virtual screening HIV-1 Env Antiviral Bioisostere Entry inhibitor Field-based scaffold hopping

ABSTRACT

With the emergence of drug-resistant strains and the cumulative toxicities associated with current therapies, demand remains for new inhibitors of HIV-1 replication. The inhibition of HIV-1 entry is an attractive, yet underexploited therapeutic approach with implications for salvage and preexposure prophylactic regimens, as well as topical microbicides. Using the combination of a field-derived bioactive conformation template to perform virtual screening and iterative bioisosteric replacements, coupled with in silico predictions of absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion, we have identified new leads for HIV-1 entry inhibitors.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

With the emergence of drug-resistant strains and the cumulative toxicities associated with current therapies, demand remains for new inhibitors of HIV-1 replication. The inhibition of HIV-1 entry is an attractive, yet underexploited therapeutic approach with implications for salvage and preexposure prophylactic regimens, as well as topical microbicides. The entry of HIV-1 into permissible cells is a complex series of events orchestrated by

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 215 762 7234 (Office), +1 215 762 4979 (Lab); fax: +1 215 762 4452.

E-mail address: scocklin@drexelmed.edu (S. Cocklin).

the viral envelope glycoprotein complex, the only exposed viral components on the virion surface. Being the only viral products accessible to the host cell immune system, the Env glycoproteins gp120 and gp41 have evolved several strategies to mask functionally important regions from the neutralizing antibody response. These strategies include the presence of surface-exposed variable loops on gp120, a high degree of glycosylation, the lability and defectiveness of many envelope glycoprotein spikes (possible immunologic decoys), and conformational flexibility.¹⁻⁴

The Env complex is organized on the virion surface as trimeric spikes composed of three gp120 molecules noncovalently linked to three gp41 molecules. The heavily glycosylated surface gp120⁵ contains a core composed of conserved regions (C1-C5) and hypervariable regions that are mostly disulfide-constrained, surfaceexposed loop structures (V1-V5) that retain a large degree of flexibility.^{4,6-9} The transmembrane glycoprotein gp41 contains the fusion peptide that is inserted into the membrane of the target cells,¹⁰ as well as two heptad repeat (HR) domains (aminoterminal

Abbreviations: ADME, absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion; AMLV, amphotropic murine leukemia virus; BBB, blood-brain barrier; BIF, bioisostere factor: CNS, central nervous system: DCM, dichloromethane: DiEA, diisopropylethylamine; DIPEA, N,N-diisopropylethylamine; DMF, dimethylformamide; EtOAc, ethyl acetate; HATU, hexafluorophosphate; hERG, human ether-à-go-gorelated gene; HIA, human intestinal absorption; HPLC, high-performance liquid chromatography; HR, heptad repeat; IC₅₀, half-maximal inhibitory concentration; PBMCs, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; Pd/C, palladium on carbon; TC₅₀, halfmaximal toxic concentration; TFA, trifluoroacetic acid; THF, tetrahydrofuran.

or HR1 and carboxyterminal or HR2) that are implicated in the formation of a six-helix-bundle fusion intermediate via a conformational change following receptor interaction. HIV-1 infection usually occurs only after two sequential and specific binding steps: first, the Env gp120 protein binding to the CD4 antigen present in CD4⁺ T cells, monocyte/macrophages, and other immune and nonimmune cells; and second, gp120 binding to a member of the chemokine receptor subfamily, within the large G protein–coupled family of receptors, mainly CCR5 and/or CXCR4.

Advances in knowledge of the molecular mechanisms of HIV-1 entry have allowed the discovery and development of molecules that target discrete steps in the entry process and have shown success in the clinic. Successful examples include maraviroc (Selzentry; Pfizer, New York, NY), which binds to CCR5 and blocks the interaction of the Env complex with the coreceptor, and enfuvirtide (Fuzeon; Hoffman-La Roche, Nutley, NJ), which binds to gp41 and stops the fusion of the viral and cell membrane. However, as of yet, no gp120-targeted therapies have been approved for use in the clinic.

In the HIV-1 entry field, two main Env-targeted inhibitor chemotypes predominate: the NBD-556 analogues¹¹ and the BMS-378806 analogues (Fig. 1).¹² NBD-556 and its analogues bind to the conserved CD4-binding site in gp120 and block the interaction of the Env complex with cellular CD4.^{13–15} The binding site for BMS-378806 and its analogues is poorly understood, and based on resistance mutation data it may actually be a composite site composed of regions of gp120 and g41.¹⁶ The mechanism of action of BMS-378806 and its derivatives is also under debate, with some studies claiming a CD4 binding inhibition mechanism and others describing an allosteric mechanism that prevents the propagation of the receptor binding signals from gp120 to gp41.^{17,18} Given the huge therapeutic potential of inhibiting HIV-1 entry, the development of new chemotypes that target viral entry with broad activities is highly desirable. In this study we describe the use of high-content field-based pharmacophore screening as a first step in identifying new chemotypes for this inhibitor class.

To date, the piperazine-based entry inhibitors as first described by Bristol–Myers Squibb¹² are the most broadly acting and potent HIV-1 entry inhibitors. This indicates that the binding site for these compounds, although currently not well described, is well conserved and available for targeting on the virion and that compounds such as BMS-663068 may have great therapeutic value. In fact, the entry inhibitor BMS-663068 recently performed favorably in a phase IIb clinical trial (presented at the Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, March 3–March 6, 2014, Boston, MA).

Although the agents are potent and have a broad therapeutic spectrum, the piperazine class of entry inhibitors developed by Bristol–Myers Squibb has been plagued by the problems of low solubility and poor intrinsic dissolution properties. To circumvent these issues, a prodrug approach was adopted. BMS-663068 is a phosphonooxymethyl prodrug of BMS-626529^{14,16–18} designed to have increased solubility in the gut. The prodrug is thought to be cleaved by alkaline phosphatase, located on the luminal surface of the small intestine brush border membranes, releasing BMS-626529, which is then rapidly absorbed.¹⁹ Despite the success of this approach, an entry inhibitor candidate with more intrinsic druglike properties would be preferable.

As a first step towards discovering entry inhibitor candidates with intrinsic druglike properties, we conducted a series of fieldbased three-dimensional similarity virtual screening experiments using Blaze (Cresset, Litlington, UK) with a high-content fieldbased pharmacophore template derived from BMS-626529^{17,19-21} (Forge/FieldTemplater, Cresset) in order to identify novel scaffolds that could function as entry inhibitors. To perform a Blaze virtual screen, an active ligand in its three-dimensional bioactive conformation should be used as a search query. In the absence of structural information, a binding mode hypothesis may be calculated.

As no structural information is currently available for BMS-626529 in its target-bound state, we used FieldTemplater (Forge) to determine a hypothesis for the three-dimensional conformation adopted in binding to the target using field and shape information to create a template using compounds BMS-626529, BMS-488043, and BMS-378806.^{16,22} The FieldTemplater-derived hypothesis for the bioactive conformation was then annotated with its calculated field points, resulting in a three-dimensional field point pattern. The field point pattern provides a condensed representation of the compound's shape, electrostatics, and hydrophobicity. It is well established that when two diverse structures have conformations with similar field point patterns, they are experienced by the



Figure 1. Structures of entry inhibitors developed by Bristol-Myers Squibb and the New York Blood Bank. The chemical structures were drawn with ChemAxon software (Budapest, Hungary).

receptor in a similar fashion.^{23–33} The field-based alignment for the three selected templating molecules is shown in Figure 2.

The field point pattern for the hypothesized three-dimensional bioactive conformation of BMS-626529 was subsequently used to query a database of approximately 6 million commercially available compounds using Blaze. The steps involved in the Blaze virtual screening procedure are shown schematically in Figure 3. The Blaze procedure resulted in the rank ordering of the top 1000 Blaze library compounds whose three-dimensional arrangements of field points had similarities to that of the BMS-626529 template structure. Fifty compounds were chosen and purchased for biological testing using the single-round infection assay.³⁴ The structures of the selected compounds are shown as Supplementary data. Those compounds found to be active and specific, as judged by their activity against HIV- 1_{YU-2} Env pseudotyped and amphotropic murine leukemia virus (AMLV) Env pseudotyped HIV-1 virus, are shown in Table 1. Five compounds with specific anti-HIV-1 activity were discovered using this approach with half-maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC₅₀) in the range of $13-150 \mu$ M. Compound **11** was found to be the most potent, inhibiting HIV-1_{YU-2} with an IC_{50} value of 13.1 ± 1.7 μ M.

The active and specific compounds identified from this study, despite showing a degree of chemical diversity, all share a piperazine core comparable to the Bristol–Myers Squibb compounds. Therefore, we investigated whether the piperazine core could be replaced with another moiety that would function in the same capacity but be chemically distinct. Pfizer reported a study in which replacement of an *N*-methylpiperazine in a lead compound, JNJ-7777120, with 2-methyloctahydropyrrolo[3,4-c]pyrrole led to a compound that retained binding affinity and selectivity but also had improved resistance to metabolism.³⁵ We therefore decided to determine whether this dipyrrolidine group could successfully replace the piperazine in compound **11**. In silico bioisostere replacement (scaffold-hopping) experiments using Spark (Cresset, UK) conducted to assess the potential for this group to substitute the piperazine in **11** indicated that it may be a viable, if not imperfect replacement. This conclusion was based upon the value of the calculated bioisostere factor (BIF%), a rescaled score, which indicates how much better or worse the replacement is compared with simply capping the attachment point(s) with hydrogen(s). Positive BIF% values indicate good bioisosteres: negative values correspond to replacements where the geometry of the original molecule is reproduced; however, the fragment is not a good mimic of the replaced part.

A BIF% of 57 was obtained for the dipyrrolidine group, and therefore this replacement for piperazine was investigated. Substitution of the piperazine core in **11** with 2-methyloctahydropyrrolo[3,4-c]pyrrole yielded compound **SC04** ([5-(1,2-dihydro



Figure 2. (a) Field-based template A containing a single conformation of compounds BMS-378806 (pink), BMS-488043 (lime green), and BMS-626529 (teal green) aligned based on their three-dimensional field point patterns. Negatively charged field points are shown in blue; positively charged field points are red; van der Waals/shape field points are displayed in yellow; centers of hydrophobicity are shown in orange. (b) Conformation 69 of BMS-626529 with its associated field points. This conformation was common to the top three templates identified and was used as the query structure for the Blaze (Cresset, Litlington, UK) field-based virtual screen.



Figure 3. Steps involved in a Blaze (Cresset, Litlington, UK) field-based virtual screen experiment. (1) An active molecule is selected and converted to a relevant bioactive conformation. (2) Field points are added to this search ligand in the specified conformation to produce the Blaze pharmacophore seed. (3) The Blaze search query consists of the field point pattern of the pharmacophore seed, which is used to search the Blaze database by alignment of every structure based on field point patterns (of its up to 100 conformations). (4) Top scoring compounds are retrieved as three-dimensional alignments to the search query, along with their score (molecular similarity based on 50% shape, 50% fields).

Table 1

Structure and potency of compounds identified within this study with specific activity against HIV-1 YU-2



Compound

Structure and potency of second-generation compounds based on the dipyrrolidine core scaffold





acenaphthylene-5-carbonyl)-1,3,3a,4,6,6a-hexahydropyrrolo [3,4-c]pyrrol-2-yl]-phenyl-methanone]), a novel compound that retains the properties of 11, albeit with lower potency (IC₅₀ HIV-1_{YU-2} = 70 ± 6 μ M; IC₅₀ HIV-1_{JR-CSF} = 100 ± 30 μ M).

Having identified a new scaffold, we focused on redesigning compound SC04 to improve its potency and to remove any potential toxophores. The head region of **SC04**, like compound **11**, is an acenaphthene group, which may be carcinogenic owing to its potential to intercalate into DNA sequences. Moreover, several studies by Bristol-Myers Squib have demonstrated that this head region greatly influences the potency of compounds in the piperazine class. Subsequently, in order to improve the potency of SC04, we downloaded from PubChem 453 compounds that displayed similarity to BMS-488043. These compounds were exhaustively fragmented (Spark DBGen, Cresset, UK), and we used the resulting fragments in iterative Spark experiments, seeking fragments that could function as bioisosteric substitutions for the acenaphthene



Chemical structures were drawn with ChemAxon software (Budapest, Hungary). AMLV = amphotropic murine leukemia virus; NA = not active over concentration range tested; ND = not determined.

moiety but with greater potential for hydrogen bonding interactions. This resulted in the identification of two head groups, 7-chloro-4-methoxy-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine and 4,7-dimethoxy-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine, with BIF% values (compared with acenaphthene) of 57 and 64, respectively. Two compounds bearing these head groups were synthesized (SC07 and SC08) and assessed in the single-round infection assay. Owing to the relative decreased sensitivity of HIV-1_{JR-CSF} to **SC04**, as compared with HIV-1_{YU-2}, this isolate was selected for use in potency optimization. Both compounds were specific to HIV-1 (no inhibition of AMLV-pseudotyped HIV-1) and inhibited HIV-1_{JR-CSF} pseudotyped HIV-1 virus with IC₅₀ values of 0.98 ± 0.06 μ M and 0.09 ± 0.01 μ M, for **SC07** and **SC08**, respectively (Table 2).

The head group of **SC08** is the same as in BMS-488043.^{36,37} Replacement of the methoxy in the 7 position on the azaindole ring with a methyltriazole greatly improved the potency of the compound, resulting in the creation of BMS-626529.^{38,39} Therefore, we explored this substitution in **SC08** to determine whether it would result in a similar enhancement of potency (**SC11**). **SC11** was synthesized and tested for specificity and activity against HIV-1_{JR-CSF} using the single-round infection assay. **SC11** displayed greatly enhanced potency as compared with **SC08**, retaining HIV-1 specificity and inhibiting HIV-1_{JR-CSF} with an IC₅₀ value of 0.0008 ± 0.0004 μ M (Table 2).

Synthesis and assessment of **SC11** revealed that the dipyrrolidine core can support compounds of nanomolar potency that prevent HIV-1 entry. The next step was to analyze the predicted ADME properties (absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion) of this compound and compare them with those of the BMS piperazine-based entry inhibitors (Fig. 4). To accomplish this comparison, we used a combination of computationally guided bioisosteric replacement using Spark (focusing on the terminal phenyl group of **SC11**) and in silico prediction of druglike metrics of the results as implemented in the oral non-central nervous system (CNS) drug profile in StarDrop 5.5 (Optibrium, Ltd, Cambridge, UK).⁴⁰

Optibrium's oral non-CNS drug profile is composed of the following metrics: $\log S$ (intrinsic aqueous solubility); classification for human intestinal absorption; $\log P$ (octanol/water); hERG (human ether-à-go-go-related gene) pIC₅₀ (mammalian cells); cytochrome P450 CYP2D6 classification; cytochrome P450 CYP2C9 pK_i values; classification of P-glycoprotein transport; classification of blood–brain barrier (BBB) penetration; and predicted BBB penetration value. The models and their respective importance to the profile are shown in Figure 4a.

Details for the specific models are provided in the StarDrop Reference Guide from Optibrium and are online at the StarDrop FAQs (http://www.optibrium.com/community/faq/adme-qsar-models). A probabilistic scoring algorithm⁴¹ is then used to combine the model predictions in the oral non-CNS drug profile into an overall score.



Figure 4. (a) The individual models that comprise the oral non-CNS (central nervous system) drug profile and their respective importance to the profile. HIA = human intestinal absorption; hERG (human ether-à-go-go-related gene); IC₅₀ = half-maximal inhibitory concentration; BBB = blood-brain barrier. (b) Plot showing the StarDrop (Optibrium, Ltd, Cambridge, UK)–derived log*S* versus the score from a multimetric oral non-CNS profile for the BMS and SC compounds.

Table 3
Therapeutic spectrum of SC26 against highly prevalent HIV-1 subtypes and HIV-2

Virus isolate	Subtype	IC ₅₀ (μM)	ΤC ₅₀ (μM)	Antiviral index (TC ₅₀ /IC ₅₀)
92UG037 91US004 94US_33931N 98US_MSC5016 99UG_A07412M1 HIV-2 CDC310319	A B C D	25.2 ± 6.9 0.195 ± 0.012 0.0006 ± 0.0005 3.9 ± 0.8 0.49 ± 0.06 >100	>100	>3.97 >513 >166,666 >25.6 >204

 IC_{50} = half-maximal inhibitory concentration; NA = not applicable; TC_{50} = half-maximal toxic concentration.

This combined Spark/StarDrop analysis suggested that a simple replacement of phenyl with cyclohexene may be a good transformation to perform in order to improve ADME properties while retaining the potency associated with the dipyrrolidine scaffold. StarDrop analysis of the cyclohexene variant of **SC11** (designated **SC26**) versus BMS-663068 using the oral non-CNS drug profile provided scores for **SC26** and BMS-663068 of 0.3815 \pm 0.2323 and 0.0799 \pm 0.1014, respectively (Fig. 4b). For reference, scores range from 0 to 1, with 0 suggesting extremely non-druglike, and 1 suggesting the perfect drug.

Given two oral non-CNS drug profile scores with errors corresponding to 1 standard deviation:

 $X_1 \pm \sigma_1$

 $X_2 \pm \sigma_2;$

and assuming that the error is normally distributed, the difference in the scores will take a normal <u>distribution</u> with mean $X_1 - X_2$ and standard deviation given by $\sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}$. Therefore, the probability that $X_1 > X_2$ is the probability that $X_1 - X_2 > 0$ was calculated in Excel (Microsoft; Redmond, WA) using the NORMDIST function. This analysis predicted an 88% likelihood that **SC26** has better druglike properties than BMS-663068. Thus, we synthesized compound **SC26** and subjected it to specificity and potency analysis using the single-round infection assay. Gratifyingly, this compound exhibited IC₅₀ values of 2.0 ± 0.1 nM and 0.6 ± 0.01 nM against HIV-1_{IR-CSF} and HIV-1_{HXBC2}, respectively, (Table 2).

Because it demonstrated good potency against two isolates in the single-round infection assay as well as specificity to HIV-1, we next sought to quantify the potency of SC26 against the fully infectious virus. Moreover, this assay was performed using healthy, primary cells to gain further insight into potential toxicities of the compound towards natural target cells. Additionally, as a key issue in the development of novel HIV drugs is their ability to inhibit the replication of genetically diverse isolates (especially isolates from the most globally prevalent subtypes A, B, C, and D), we chose to assess the potency of SC26 to inhibit the replication of isolates from subtypes A, B, C, and D in primary human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs).⁴²⁻⁴⁴ Concomitantly, we assessed the toxicity of SC26 to the PBMCs using an MTS assay. The results of these analyses are summarized in Table 3. As can be observed from the IC₅₀ values, the potency of SC26 against different isolates ranges quite dramatically, most likely reflecting differences in the binding site between subtypes. Moreover, SC26 had no effect on the replication of an HIV-2 isolate, mirroring the specificity analyses using the AMLV pseudotyped recombinant HIV-1 virus, and further demonstrating specificity to HIV-1.

In summary, we have identified a novel scaffold for the HIV-1 Env-directed entry inhibitors using field-based computational methods and multiparameter optimization. The most potent in the new class of dipyrrolodine-scaffolded entry inhibitors displayed potency comparable to the BMS piperazine-based entry inhibitors but was characterized by an improved predicted ADME profile. Like the BMS piperazine-based entry inhibitors, the new dipyrrolidine scaffold displayed varying degrees of potency against HIV-1 isolates from different subtypes, probably reflecting isolate-specific differences in the binding site on Env. Further investigation and determination of the exact binding site of these class of entry inhibitors will undoubtedly be invaluable in the redesign and optimization of such compounds, while illuminating a new site of vulnerability in the Env complex of HIV-1. Taken as a whole, these results extend the chemotypes for this class of HIV-1 inhibitor and validate the use of multiparameter optimization using high-content three-dimensional field-based models, bioisosteric replacement, and consideration of druglike metrics in drug design.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by NIH/NIAID grant 1R21AI104354-01A1 (Cocklin, PI) and W. W. Smith Charitable Trust (Four Falls Corporate Center, Gladwyne, PA 19035, USA) grant #A1301 (Cocklin, PI). We thank Diana Winters (Academic Publishing Services, Drexel University College of Medicine) for proofreading the manuscript.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.10. 027.

References and notes

- Kwong, P. D.; Doyle, M. L.; Casper, D. J.; Cicala, C.; Leavitt, S. A.; Majeed, S.; Steenbeke, T. D.; Venturi, M.; Chaiken, I.; Fung, M.; Katinger, H.; Parren, P. W.; Robinson, J.; Van Ryk, D.; Wang, L.; Burton, D. R.; Freire, E.; Wyatt, R.; Sodroski, J.; Hendrickson, W. A.; Arthos, J. Nature **2002**, 420, 678.
- Modrow, S.; Hahn, B. H.; Shaw, G. M.; Gallo, R. C.; Wong-Staal, F.; Wolf, H. J. Virol. 1987, 61, 570.
- Wei, X.; Decker, J. M.; Wang, S.; Hui, H.; Kappes, J. C.; Wu, X.; Salazar-Gonzalez, J. F.; Salazar, M. G.; Kilby, J. M.; Saag, M. S.; Komarova, N. L.; Nowak, M. A.; Hahn, B. H.; Kwong, P. D.; Shaw, G. M. *Nature* **2003**, 422, 307.
- 4. Wyatt, R.; Sodroski, J. Science 1884, 1998, 280.
- Leonard, C. K.; Spellman, M. W.; Riddle, L.; Harris, R. J.; Thomas, J. N.; Gregory, T. J. J. Biol. Chem. 1990, 265, 10373.
- Kwong, P. D.; Wyatt, R.; Robinson, J.; Sweet, R. W.; Sodroski, J.; Hendrickson, W. A. Nature 1998, 393, 648.
- Rizzuto, C. D.; Wyatt, R.; Hernandez-Ramos, N.; Sun, Y.; Kwong, P. D.; Hendrickson, W. A.; Sodroski, J. Science 1949, 1998, 280.
- Wyatt, R.; Kwong, P. D.; Desjardins, E.; Sweet, R. W.; Robinson, J.; Hendrickson, W. A.; Sodroski, J. G. Nature 1998, 393, 705.
- 9. Moore, J. P.; Sattentau, Q. J.; Wyatt, R.; Sodroski, J. J. Virol. 1994, 68, 469.
- Bosch, M. L.; Earl, P. L.; Fargnoli, K.; Picciafuoco, S.; Giombini, F.; Wong-Staal, F.; Franchini, G. Science 1989, 244, 694.
- Zhao, Q.; Ma, L.; Jiang, S.; Lu, H.; Liu, S.; He, Y.; Strick, N.; Neamati, N.; Debnath, A. K. Virology **2005**, 339, 213.
- Wang, T.; Zhang, Z.; Wallace, O. B.; Deshpande, M.; Fang, H.; Yang, Z.; Zadjura, L. M.; Tweedie, D. L.; Huang, S.; Zhao, F.; Ranadive, S.; Robinson, B. S.; Gong, Y. F.; Ricarrdi, K.; Spicer, T. P.; Deminie, C.; Rose, R.; Wang, H. G.; Blair, W. S.; Shi, P. Y.; Lin, P. F.; Colonno, R. J.; Meanwell, N. A. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 4236.
- Kwon, Y. D.; LaLonde, J. M.; Yang, Y.; Elban, M. A.; Sugawara, A.; Courter, J. R.; Jones, D. M.; Smith, A. B., 3rd; Debnath, A. K.; Kwong, P. D. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e85940.
- Courter, J. R.; Madani, N.; Sodroski, J.; Schon, A.; Freire, E.; Kwong, P. D.; Hendrickson, W. A.; Chaiken, I. M.; Lalonde, J. M.; Smith, A. B. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1228.
- Kwon, Y. D.; Finzi, A.; Wu, X.; Dogo-Isonagie, C.; Lee, L. K.; Moore, L. R.; Schmidt, S. D.; Stuckey, J.; Yang, Y.; Zhou, T.; Zhu, J.; Vicic, D. A.; Debnath, A. K.; Shapiro, L.; Bewley, C. A.; Mascola, J. R.; Sodroski, J. G.; Kwong, P. D. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2012**, *109*, 5663.
- Lin, P. F.; Blair, W.; Wang, T.; Spicer, T.; Guo, Q.; Zhou, N.; Gong, Y. F.; Wang, H. G.; Rose, R.; Yamanaka, G.; Robinson, B.; Li, C. B.; Fridell, R.; Deminie, C.; Demers, G.; Yang, Z.; Zadjura, L.; Meanwell, N.; Colonno, R. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2003, 100, 11013.
- Li, Z.; Zhou, N.; Sun, Y.; Ray, N.; Lataillade, M.; Hanna, G. J.; Krystal, M. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2013, 57, 4172.
- Si, Z.; Madani, N.; Cox, J. M.; Chruma, J. J.; Klein, J. C.; Schon, A.; Phan, N.; Wang, L.; Biorn, A. C.; Cocklin, S.; Chaiken, I.; Freire, E.; Smith, A. B., 3rd; Sodroski, J. G. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2004, 101, 5036.

- Nowicka-Sans, B.; Gong, Y. F.; McAuliffe, B.; Dicker, I.; Ho, H. T.; Zhou, N.; Eggers, B.; Lin, P. F.; Ray, N.; Wind-Rotolo, M.; Zhu, L.; Majumdar, A.; Stock, D.; Lataillade, M.; Hanna, G. J.; Matiskella, J. D.; Ueda, Y.; Wang, T.; Kadow, J. F.; Meanwell, N. A.; Krystal, M. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2012**, *56*, 3498.
- Charpentier, C.; Larrouy, L.; Visseaux, B.; Landman, R.; Levittas, M.; Storto, A.; Damond, F.; Yazdanpanah, Y.; Yeni, P.; Brun-Vezinet, F.; Descamps, D. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 2012, 67, 1459.
- 21. Singh, I. P.; Chauthe, S. K. Expert Opin. Ther. Pat. 2011, 21, 399.
- 22. Yang, Z.; Zadjura, L. M.; Marino, A. M.; D'Arienzo, C. J.; Malinowski, J.; Gesenberg, C.; Lin, P. F.; Colonno, R. J.; Wang, T.; Kadow, J. F.; Meanwell, N. A.; Hansel, S. B. J. Pharm. Sci. 2010, 99, 2135.
- Cheeseright, T.; Mackey, M.; Rose, S.; Vinter, A. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2006, 46, 665.
- 24. Cheeseright, T.; Mackey, M.; Rose, S.; Vinter, A. *Expert Opin. Drug Discov.* 2007, 2, 131.
- 25. Cheeseright, T. J.; Holm, M.; Lehmann, F.; Luik, S.; Gottert, M.; Melville, J. L.; Laufer, S. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 4200.
- Cheeseright, T. J.; Mackey, M. D.; Melville, J. L.; Vinter, J. G. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2008, 48, 2108.
- Cheeseright, T. J.; Mackey, M. D.; Scoffin, R. A. Curr. Comput. Aided Drug Des. 2011, 7, 190.
- Collins, J. C.; Armstrong, A.; Chapman, K. L.; Cordingley, H. C.; Jaxa-Chamiec, A. A.; Judd, K. E.; Mann, D. J.; Scott, K. A.; Tralau-Stewart, C. J.; Low, C. M. R. MedChemComm 2013, 4, 1148.
- Kirpotina, L. N.; Khlebnikov, A. I.; Schepetkin, I. A.; Ye, R. D.; Rabiet, M. J.; Jutila, M. A.; Quinn, M. T. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2010, 77, 159.
- Low, C. M.; Buck, I. M.; Cooke, T.; Cushnir, J. R.; Kalindjian, S. B.; Kotecha, A.; Pether, M. J.; Shankley, N. P.; Vinter, J. G.; Wright, L. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 6790.
- 31. Low, C. M.; Vinter, J. G. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 565.
- 32. Mackey, M. D.; Melville, J. L. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2009, 49, 1154.
- 33. Webster, S. P.; Binnie, M.; McConnell, K. M.; Sooy, K.; Ward, P.; Greaney, M. F.; Vinter, A.; Pallin, T. D.; Dyke, H. J.; Gill, M. I.; Warner, I.; Seckl, J. R.; Walker, B. R. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2010, 20, 3265.

- Biorn, A. C.; Cocklin, S.; Madani, N.; Si, Z.; Ivanovic, T.; Samanen, J.; Van Ryk, D. I.; Pantophlet, R.; Burton, D. R.; Freire, E.; Sodroski, J.; Chaiken, I. M. *Biochemistry* 1928, 2004, 43.
- Mowbray, C. E.; Bell, A. S.; Clarke, N. P.; Collins, M.; Jones, R. M.; Lane, C. A.; Liu, W. L.; Newman, S. D.; Paradowski, M.; Schenck, E. J.; Selby, M. D.; Swain, N. A.; Williams, D. H. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2011**, *21*, 6596.
- Yang, Z.; Zadjura, L. M.; Marino, A. M.; D'Arienz, C. J.; Malinowski, J.; Gesenberg, C.; Lin, P. F.; Colonno, R. J.; Wang, T.; Kadow, J. F.; Meanwell, N. A.; Hansel, S. B. *J. Pharm. Sci.* **2010**, *99*, 2135.
- 37. Wang, T.; Yin, Z. W.; Zhang, Z. X.; Bender, J. A.; Yang, Z.; Johnson, G.; Yang, Z.; Zadjura, L. M.; D'Arieno, C. J.; Parker, D. D.; Gesenberg, C.; Yamanaka, G. A.; Gong, Y. F.; Ho, H. T.; Fang, H.; Zhou, N. N.; McAuliffe, B. V.; Eggers, B. J.; Fan, L.; Nowicka-Sans, B.; Dicker, I. B.; Gao, Q.; Colonno, R. J.; Lin, P. F.; Meanwell, N. A.; Kadow, J. F. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 7778.
- Li, Z. F.; Zhou, N. N.; Sun, Y. N.; Ray, N.; Lataillade, M.; Hanna, G. J.; Krystal, M. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2013, 57, 4172.
- 39. Nowicka-Sans, B.; Gong, Y. F.; McAuliffe, B.; Dicker, I.; Ho, H. T.; Zhou, N. N.; Eggers, B.; Lin, P. F.; Ray, N.; Wind-Rotolo, M.; Zhu, L.; Majumdar, A.; Stock, D.; Lataillade, M.; Hanna, G. J.; Matiskella, J. D.; Ueda, Y.; Wang, T.; Kadow, J. F.; Meanwell, N. A.; Krystal, M. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2012, 56, 3498.
- 40. Segall, M.; Champness, E.; Obrezanova, O.; Leeding, C. Chem. Biodivers. 2009, 6, 2144.
- 41. Segall, M. D. Curr. Pharm. Des. 2012, 18, 1292.
- Zentner, I.; Sierra, L. J.; Fraser, A. K.; Maciunas, L.; Mankowski, M. K.; Vinnik, A.; Fedichev, P.; Ptak, R. G.; Martin-Garcia, J.; Cocklin, S. *ChemMedChem* 2013, 8, 426.
- Zentner, I.; Sierra, L. J.; Maciunas, L.; Vinnik, A.; Fedichev, P.; Mankowski, M. K.; Ptak, R. G.; Martin-Garcia, J.; Cocklin, S. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2013, 23, 1132.
- 44. Kortagere, S.; Madani, N.; Mankowski, M. K.; Schon, A.; Zentner, I.; Swaminathan, G.; Princiotto, A.; Anthony, K.; Oza, A.; Sierra, L. J.; Passic, S. R.; Wang, X.; Jones, D. M.; Stavale, E.; Krebs, F. C.; Martin-Garcia, J.; Freire, E.; Ptak, R. G.; Sodroski, J.; Cocklin, S.; Smith, A. B., 3rd J. Virol. 2012, 86, 8472.