Aryldiazonium Salts Serve as a Dual Synthon: Construction of Fully Substituted Pyrazoles via Rongalite-Mediated Three-Component **Radical Annulation Reaction**

Miao Wang,^{†,‡} Bo-Cheng Tang,[‡] Jia-Chen Xiang,[‡] Xiang-Long Chen,[‡] Jin-Tian Ma,[‡] Yan-Dong Wu,[‡] and An-Xin Wu^{*,‡}

[†]College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Henan Key Laboratory of Function-Oriented Porous Materials, Luoyang Normal University, Luoyang 471022, P. R. China

[‡]Key Laboratory of Pesticide & Chemical Biology, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Central China Normal University, Hubei Wuhan 430079, P. R. China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A highly efficient rongalite-mediated threecomponent radical annulation reaction to furnish fully substituted pyrazoles from aryldiazonium salts and α , β unsaturated aldehydes or ketones under metal- and oxidantfree conditions at room temperature has been developed. In this transformation, aryldiazonium salts served as the precursor of both the aryl and aryl hydrazine units. Mechanistic investigations indicated that rongalite could act as a radical initiator and reducing reagent simultaneously in the reaction.



ryldiazonium salts are a class of inexpensive and readily A available chemicals that have been used as versatile building blocks for a broad range of organic transformations.^{1,2} According to the final form of the products, the reactions of aryldiazonium salts can be roughly divided into two subgroups: (i) Nitrogen-removal reactions and (ii) nitrogen-retention reactions. In the former subgroup, owing to aryldiazonium salts having a strong tendency to lose N2, they have been used as aryl precursors in transformations such as C-C bond construction through Pschorr biaryltricycle synthesis³ and Meerwein-type alkene functionalizations⁴ (Scheme 1A(a)), C-X bond construction (X = halogen), through Balz-Schiemann-type reactions (F)⁵ and Sandmeyer-type reactions (Cl, Br)⁶ (Scheme 1A(b)), and to generate $C-S^7$, $C-P^8$, and $C-B^9$ bonds (Schemes 1A(c)-(e)). In the latter subgroup, aryldiazonium salts can act as highly active electrophiles in Japp-Klingemann-type reactions¹⁰ and N-N bond formation reaction with amidine 10c (Scheme 1A(f)), as radical receptors in radical addition reactions¹¹ (Scheme 1A(g)), and generate highly active intermediates in situ for subsequent cycloaddition reactions¹² (Scheme 1A(h)). However, examples combining both nitrogen-removal and nitrogen-retention reactions are rare. In 2015, Heinrich and co-workers reported a baseinduced Meerwein-type carboamination reaction of alkenes with aryldiazonium salts, where aryldiazonium salts acted as both aryl precursors and radical receptors¹³ (Scheme 1B). Recently, Wu¹⁴ and Tu¹⁵ reported novel reactions in which aryldiazonium salts acted as dual synthons. Despite these advances, the development of aryldiazonium salts for use as dual synthons in new multicomponent radical annulation

reactions that allow efficient construction of useful heterocycles is still in demand. Herein, we report a novel rongalitemediated three-component radical annulation reaction to construct fully substituted pyrazoles (Scheme 1C). Notably, rongalite acts simultaneously as a radical initiator and reducing agent in the reaction. To our knowledge, this is the first example of aryldiazonium salts serving as dual synthons to participate in radical annulation reactions to obtain fully substituted pyrazoles, acting as the precursor of both the aryl and aryl hydrazine units. This synthetic method provides a simple and straightforward approach to construct diverse pyrazole derivatives, which are privileged scaffolds present in synthetic¹⁶ and natural products of medicinal interest,¹⁷ such as Celebrex (a selective COX-2 inhibitor),^{18a} Lonazolac and Difenamizole (nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs),^{18b} and Novalgin (an analgesic).^{18c}

Initially, we explored the optimal conditions of this reaction using benzenediazonium tetrafluoroborate (1a) and benzylideneacetone (2a) as the model substrates (Table 1). Pleasingly, when we performed the reaction with rongalite in ethanol at room temperature, 5-methyl-1,3,4-triphenyl-1Hpyrazole (3a) could be obtained in 28% yield (entry 1). Encouraged by this promising experimental result, we then systematically screened the reaction conditions to obtain 3a in higher yield. First, different solvents were examined, such as DCE, PhMe, CHCl₃, MeCN, DMSO, acetone, 1,4-dioxane, and THF, and the results showed that DMSO was screened as

Received: September 10, 2019

Scheme 1. Reactions of Aryldiazonium Salts



Table 1. Reaction Optimization^a

(N ₂ BF ₄ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	O Rongali Solvent, t	te emp 3a	
entry	solvent	1a:2a	temp (°C)	yield ^b (%)
1	EtOH	1.0:1.0	rt	28
2	DCE	1.0:1.0	rt	0
3	PhMe	1.0:1.0	rt	0
4	CHCl ₃	1.0:1.0	rt	0
5	MeCN	1.0:1.0	rt	0
6	DMSO	1.0:1.0	rt	55
7	Acetone	1.0:1.0	rt	0
8	1,4-Dioxane	1.0:1.0	rt	0
9	THF	1.0:1.0	rt	0
10	DMSO	1.0:1.5	rt	63
11	DMSO	1.0:2.0	rt	71
12	DMSO	1.0:2.5	rt	60
13	DMSO	2.0:1.0	rt	51
14	DMSO	1.0:2.0	40	70
15	DMSO	1.0:2.0	50	68
16	DMSO	1.0:2.0	60	67
17 ^c	DMSO	1.0:2.0	rt	68
18 ^d	DMSO	1.0:2.0	rt	61

^aReaction conditions: **1a** (1.0 mmol), **2a** and rongalite (2.0 mmol) in solvent (3.0 mL) heated within 30 min. ^bIsolated yields based on **1a**. ^cRongalite (1.5 mmol). ^dRongalite (2.5 mmol).

the optimal reaction solvent (entries 2-9). Subsequently, the molar ratios of the two reactants 1a and 2a were also

investigated; reaction with 1a:2a (1:2) gave the best result (entries 10–13). Various temperatures were then screened, showing that room temperature was the best choice (entries 14–16). Finally, the dose of rongalite was evaluated (entries 17–18), with the results showing that 2.0 equiv of rongalite were optimal for this reaction.

Subsequently, we systematically evaluated the substrate scope of this radical annulation reaction in optimal reaction conditions (Scheme 2). Pleasingly, the results showed that the





^{*a*}Reaction conditions: 1 (1.0 mmol), 2 (2.0 mmol), and rongalite (2.0 mmol) in 3.0 mL DMSO at room temperature within 30 min. ^{*b*}Isolated yields based on 1.

reaction was applicable for a variety of aryldiazonium tetrafluoroborates. Aryldiazonium tetrafluoroborates bearing an electron-neutral (4-H), electron-donating (4-Me, 4-OMe), and electron-withdrawing (3-CN, 4-CN, 4-CO₂Me, 4-CO₂Et, 4-CO₂*n*Bu, and 4-SO₂Me) substituent on their phenyl ring could all be successfully achieved, affording the corresponding polysubstituted pyrazoles in moderate to good yields (62-81%, 3a-3i). The optimal conditions were also tolerated with halogenated (4-F, 2-Cl, 4-Cl, 4-Br, and 4-I) substrates (55-70%, 3j-3n), which provide opportunities for further functionalization. Moreover, the optimal conditions were also applicable for heteroaryl aryldiazonium tetrafluoroborates, such as 3-carboxylate-2-thiophenyl, affording the corresponding polysubstituted pyrazoles in moderate yield (52%, 30). In addition, the exact structure of 3e was further confirmed by single-crystal X-ray crystallography (see the Supporting Information (SI)).

Encouraged by these results, we next examined the scope of α,β -unsaturated aldehydes and ketones (2) (Scheme 3). Pleasingly, α,β -unsaturated aldehydes with electron-donating (4-Me, 2-OMe) and electron-withdrawing (4-F, 4-Cl) Scheme 3. Substrates Scope of $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -Unsaturated Aldehydes and Ketones^{*ab*}



^aReaction conditions: **1g** (1.0 mmol), **2** (2.0 mmol), and rongalite (2.0 mmol) in 3.0 mL of DMSO at room temperature within 30 min. ^bIsolated yields based on **1g**.

substituents on their phenyl ring could all be successfully achieved, affording polysubstituted pyrazoles in moderate to good yields (61-72%, 4a-4d). The optimal conditions were also applicable for α , β -unsaturated ketones, such as benzylide-neacetone and chalcone, affording the polysubstituted pyrazoles in moderate to good yields (48-82%, 4e-4h).

After the scope of this radical annulation reaction established, we then focus on evaluating the reaction mechanism (Scheme 4). When 4-(ethoxycarbonyl)benzene-

Scheme 4. Control Experiments



diazonium tetrafluoroborate (1g), benzylideneacetone (2a), and rongalite were treated with a radical capture reagent, such as, TEMPO, butylhydroxytoluene (BHT), or hydroquinone, the reaction was strongly inhibited, with no desired product (4e) obtained in the presence of TEMPO and BHT and only a 16% yield of 4e obtained in the presence of hydroquinone (Scheme 4a). Especially, in the reaction of adding TEMPO, the TEMPO-PhCO₂Et adduct 5 was detected by GC-MS analysis, indicating that this reaction may proceed through the radical process. To confirm the reduction ability of rongalite toward aryldiazo compounds, the reaction of (*E*)-1,2diphenyldiazene (6) and rongalite in DMSO was performed, affording corresponding reduction product 1,2-diphenylhydrazine (7) in 85% yield (Scheme 4b). This indicated that aryldiazo compounds could be reduced to hydrazine by rongalite.

Based on our experimental observations and related literature reports,¹⁹ we propose a possible mechanism for this radical reaction using benzenediazonium tetrafluoroborate (1a) and benzylideneacetone (2a) as an example in Scheme 5.

Scheme 5. Possible Mechanism



First, rongalite was decomposed to generate a HSO_2^{-} anion, with the release of formaldehyde. At the same time, aryldiazonium cation 1a then combined with a HSO_2^{-} anion to form the complex **A** with the help of electrostatic interaction.^{19c} The phenyl radical **B** and HSO_2° radical were generated by a single-electron transfer reaction from complex **A**. Next, the Meerwein-type arylation of phenyl radical **B** and benzylideneacetone (2a) provides radical **C**, which can then be trapped by another molecule of 1a to afford radical cation **D**. Subsequently, a single-electron transfer occurs at **D** with the HSO₂[•] radical to generate diazo intermediate **E**,^{19d} which is then reduced to corresponding hydrazine intermediate **F**. Finally, intermediate **F** undergoes sequential annulation and aromatization to generate the corresponding pyrazole product **3a**.

Moreover, we have also explored the applications of this three-component radical annulation reaction, as shown in Scheme 6. This method is very meaningful for incorporating a

Scheme 6. Late-Stage Modification



pyrazole ring into natural products which contained an aniline backbone. We conducted the late-stage modification with naturally occurring coumarin 120 and obtained the corresponding pyrazole **4i** in 68% yield.

In conclusion, we demonstrate an efficient fully substituted pyrazoles synthesis from aryldiazonium tetrafluoroborates and α , β -unsaturated aldehydes or ketones under metal- and oxidant-free conditions at room temperature. Notably,

Organic Letters

rongalite acts simultaneously as the radical initiator and reducing agent in this reaction, while aryldiazonium tetrafluoroborates serve as dual synthons. This pyrazoles synthesis is green and practicable, with wide substance scope. Further studies to develop new multicomponent radical tandem reactions by using rongalite as a versatile reagent for other important heterocycles are being explored in our lab.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.9b03212.

Experimental procedures, product characterizations, crystallographic data, and copies of the 1 H and 13 C NMR spectra (PDF)

Accession Codes

CCDC 1888713 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, or by emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: chwuax@mail.ccnu.edu.cn. ORCID ©

An-Xin Wu: 0000-0001-7673-210X

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 21472056, 21602070, and 21772051) for financial support. This work was also supported by the 111 Project B17019.

REFERENCES

(1) Reviews on aryldiazonium salts: (a) Galli, C. Chem. Rev. **1988**, 88, 765. (b) Roglans, A.; Pla-Quintana, A.; Moreno-Mañas, M. Chem. Rev. **2006**, 106, 4622. (c) Hari, D. P.; König, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2013**, 52, 4734. (d) Mo, F.; Qiu, D.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Acc. Chem. Res. **2018**, 51, 496. (e) Felpin, F. X.; Sengupta, S. Chem. Soc. Rev. **2019**, 48, 1150.

(2) For recent work on aryldiazonium salts, see: (a) Fu, W.; Xu, F.;
Fu, Y.; Zhu, M.; Yu, J.; Xu, C.; Zou, D. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 12202.
(b) Zheng, D.; An, Y.; Li, Z.; Wu, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 2451. (c) Zheng, D.; Yu, J.; Wu, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 11925–11929. (d) Qin, X. Y.; He, L.; Li, J.; Hao, W. J.; Tu, S. J.; Jiang, B. Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 3227. (e) Liu, S.; Chen, K.; Hao, W. J.; Tu, X. C.; Tu, S. J.; Jiang, B. J. Org. Chem. 2019, 84, 1964.
(f) Wang, A. F.; Hao, W. J.; Zhu, Y. L.; Li, G.; Zhou, P.; Tu, S. J.; Jiang, B. ACS Omega 2018, 3, 1482. (g) Zhou, K.; Zhang, J.; Qiu, G.; Wu, J. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 275. (h) Liu, T.; Zhou, W.; Wu, J. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 6638.

(3) (a) Pschorr, R. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1896, 29, 496. (b) Leake, P. H. Chem. Rev. 1956, 56, 27.

(4) (a) Meerwein, H.; Büchner, E.; van Emster, K. J. Prakt. Chem. 1939, 152, 237. (b) Rondestvedt, C. S. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 2618– 2620. (c) Yao, C. J.; Sun, Q.; Rastogi, N.; König, B. ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 2935. (d) Ni, Z.; Huang, X.; Pan, Y. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 2612. (e) Heinrich, M. R.; Wetzel, A.; Kirschstein, M. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3833. (f) Callonnec, F. L.; Fouquet, E.; Felpin, F. X. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2646.

(5) (a) Balz, G.; Schiemann, G. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. B 1927, 60, 1186. (b) Laali, K. K.; Gettwert, V. J. J. Fluorine Chem. 2001, 107, 31.
(6) (a) Sandmeyer, T. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1884, 17, 1633.
(b) Liu, Q.; Sun, B.; Liu, Z.; Kao, Y.; Dong, B. W.; Jiang, S. D.; Li, F.; Liu, G.; Yang, Y.; Mo, F. Chem. Sci. 2018, 9, 8731. (c) Leas, D. A.; Dong, Y.; Vennerstrom, J. L.; Stack, D. E. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 2518.
(d) Vanicek, S.; Kopacka, H.; Wurst, K.; Müller, T.; Hassenrück, C.; Winter, R. F.; Bildstein, B. Organometallics 2016, 35, 2101.

(7) (a) Naveen, N.; Sengupta, S.; Chandrasekaran, S. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 3562. (b) Wang, W.; Zhang, S.; Zhao, H.; Wang, S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2018, 16, 8565. (c) Zhou, K.; Zhang, J.; Qiu, G.; Wu, J. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 275. (d) Chatterjee, T.; Bhadra, S.; Ranu, B. C. Green Chem. 2011, 13, 1837.

(8) (a) Wang, S.; Qiu, D.; Mo, F.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 11603. (b) He, Y.; Wu, H.; Toste, F. D. Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 1194.

(9) (a) Yu, J.; Zhang, L.; Yan, G. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 2625.
(b) Mo, F.; Jiang, Y.; Qiu, D.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 1846. (c) Zhu, C.; Yamane, M. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4560. (d) Qiu, D.; Jin, L.; Zheng, Z.; Meng, H.; Mo, F.; Wang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 1923.

(10) (a) Frank, R. L.; Phillips, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1949, 71, 2804. (b) Yao, H. C.; Resnick, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 3514.
(c) Ramanathan, M.; Wang, Y. H.; Liu, S. T. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 5886. (11) Yu, X. L.; Chen, J. R.; Chen, D. Z.; Xiao, W. Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 8275.

(12) (a) Shao, Y.; Zheng, H.; Qian, J.; Wan, X. Org. Lett. **2018**, 20, 2412. (b) Deng, G. B.; Li, H. B.; Yang, X. H.; Song, R. J.; Hu, M.; Li, J. H. Org. Lett. **2016**, 18, 2012.

(13) Kindt, S.; Wicht, K.; Heinrich, M. R. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 6122.
(14) An, Y.; Wu, J. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 6028.

(15) Liu, F.; Wang, J. Y.; Zhou, P.; Li, G.; Hao, W. J.; Tu, S. J.; Jiang, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2017**, 56, 15570.

(16) For general reviews on the synthesis of pyrazoles and its derivatives, see: (a) Janin, Y. L. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 3924.
(b) Fustero, S.; Sánchez-Roselló, M.; Barrio, P.; Simón-Fuentes, A. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 6984.

(17) (a) Weber, A.; Casini, A.; Heine, A.; Kuhn, D.; Supuran, C. T.;
Scozzafava, A.; Klebe, G. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 550. (b) Habeeb, A.
G.; Praveen Rao, P. N.; Knaus, E. E. J. Med. Chem. 2001, 44, 3039.
(c) Liu, J. J.; Sun, J.; Fang, Y. B.; Yang, Y. A.; Jiao, R. H.; Zhu, H. L.
Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 998. (d) Abdel-Magid, A. F. ACS Med.
Chem. Lett. 2014, 5, 730.

(18) (a) Sui, Z.; Guan, J.; Ferro, M. P.; McCoy, K.; Wachter, M. P.; Murray, W. V.; Singer, M.; Steber, M.; Ritchie, D. M.; Argentieri, D. C. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2000, 10, 601. (b) Raulf, M.; König, W. Immunopharmacology 1990, 19, 103. (c) Hearn, L.; Derry, S.; Moore, R. A. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 2016, 4, CD011421.

(19) (a) Kotha, S.; Khedkar, P. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 1650.
(b) Wang, Z. L.; Tang, R. Y.; Luo, P. S.; Deng, C. L.; Zhong, P.; Li, J. H. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 10670. (c) Wang, M.; Tang, B. C.; Wang, J. G.; Xiang, J. C.; Guan, A. Y.; Huang, P. P.; Guo, W. Y.; Wu, Y. D.; Wu, A. X. Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 7641. (d) Chandrasekaran, S.; Venkateswarlu, C. Synthesis 2015, 47, 395.