

Article

## Ligand-Promoted Meta-C–H Amination and Alkynylation

Peng Wang, Gen-Cheng Li, Pankaj Jain, Marcus E. Farmer, Jian He, Peng-Xiang Shen, and Jin-Quan Yu

*J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b08942 • Publication Date (Web): 07 Oct 2016

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on October 7, 2016

### Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

# Ligand-Promoted *Meta*-C–H Amination and Alkynylation

Peng Wang,<sup>†</sup> Gen-Cheng Li,<sup>†</sup> Pankaj Jain, Marcus E. Farmer, Jian He, Peng-Xiang Shen, Jin-Quan Yu<sup>\*</sup>

*Department of Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 N. Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, California 92037*

\*yu200@scripps.edu


**RECEIVED DATE (to be automatically inserted after your manuscript is accepted if required according to the journal that you are submitting your paper to)**

**Abstract:** *Meta*-C–H amination and *meta*-C–H alkynylation of aniline and phenol substrates using a modified norbornene (methyl bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene-2-carboxylate) as a transient mediator has been developed for the first time. Both the identification of a mono-protected 3-amino-2-hydroxypyridine/pyridone type ligand and the use of a modified norbornene as a mediator are crucial for the realization of these two unprecedented *meta*-C–H transformations. A variety of substrates are compatible with both *meta*-C–H amination and *meta*-C–H alkynylation. Amination and alkynylation of heterocyclic substrates including indole, indoline, and indazole afford the desired products in moderate to high yields.

## 1. Introduction

*Meta*-C–H functionalization of arenes poses a particularly interesting challenge due to the distal and geometric relationship between the *meta*-C–H bond and the existing functional group.<sup>1</sup> Previously, *meta*-selectivity has been obtained using sterically guided catalysts when concerning 1,2- and 1,3-disubstituted arenes.<sup>2</sup> Limited success on *meta*-selective C–H olefination of mono-substituted electron-deficient arenes

1 using electronic bias has also been reported.<sup>3</sup> Inspired by the numerous reports concerning directed *ortho*-  
2 C–H activation of arenes, various strategies have been developed that use existing functional groups to  
3 direct *meta*-C–H activation.<sup>4-6</sup> For example, by engineering the spatial relationship of a directing group  
4 to a *meta*-C–H bond, our group and others have achieved a number of *meta*-C–H functionalization  
5 reactions using a U-shaped template.<sup>4</sup> More recently, our group<sup>7a</sup> and Dong's group<sup>7b</sup> have utilized  
6 directed *ortho*-palladation to achieve *meta*-C–H activation reactions<sup>7</sup> by synchronizing the palladacycle  
7 intermediate with Catellani's norbornene-mediated relay process (Figure 1a).<sup>8</sup> Owing to the development  
8 of improved norbornene mediators and ligands, the scope of *meta*-C–H arylation and alkylation using this  
9 approach has been substantially expanded.<sup>7c,7e</sup> In principle, this approach should be compatible with any  
10 substrate containing an effective *ortho*-directing group, thus rendering this approach potentially broadly  
11 applicable. However, the transformations which have been reported using this approach are currently  
12 limited to either alkylation or arylation. To address the feasibility of overcoming this limitation, we  
13 initiated efforts to develop other transformations using this approach. Herein, we disclose the first protocol  
14 for *meta*-C–H amination and *meta*-C–H alkynylation (Figure 1b). To date, *ortho*-C–H alkynylation has  
15 not been demonstrated in the Catellani type reactions.<sup>8</sup> Key to the success of these transformations is use  
16 of a modified norbornene and mono-protected 3-amino-2-hydroxy pyridine ligands. These results indicate  
17 that a transient mediator can be used in combination with the proper ligand and palladium catalyst to  
18 achieve a broad range of *meta*-C–H functionalization reactions that are rich with diversity in both substrate  
19 and transformation.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60


Figure 1. *Meta*-C–H Amination and Alkynylation

## 2. Results and Discussion

### 2.1 *meta*-C–H amination

Catalytic C–H amination has attracted much attention due to the importance of amines in medicinal and materials chemistry.<sup>9</sup> Several transition metals, such as Ir, Ru, Rh, Pd, Fe, Co, and Cu, have been used for this purpose to afford amines and amides directly from C–H bonds.<sup>10</sup> However, direct C–H amination at remote positions has not yet been reported. Based on the previous finding that 3-acetylamino-2-hydroxy pyridine based ligands can promote the *meta*-arylation of anilines with a broad substrate scope, we chose the aniline **1a** as a model substrate to examine the feasibility of developing a *meta*-C–H amination reaction using norbornene as a transient mediator. 3-Amino anilines provided by this methodology are potentially useful synthetic intermediates for many drug molecules (Figure 2).<sup>11</sup>

Figure 2. Biologically active 3-amino anilines scaffold



Based on our previous work concerning *ortho*-C–H amination using *O*-benzoyl hydroxylmorpholine as the aminating reagent,<sup>12</sup> we found that reaction of **1a** with this aminating reagent in the presence of 10 mol% Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, 20 mol% 3-acetylamino-2-hydroxy pyridine ligand (**L1**), K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (3.0 equiv.) and AgOAc (3.0 equiv.) in 1,2-dichloroethane using 2-norbornene as a mediator afforded *meta*-aminated product **3a** in 13% yield. It is worth noting that no *meta*-product was observed in the absence of ligand or base under similar conditions (see SI for more information). Unfortunately, further screening of bases, solvents, and oxidants did not significantly improve the yield (see SI for more information). Next, we screened various norbornene derivatives in an attempt to improve the efficiency of this reaction and found a modified norbornene, NBE-CO<sub>2</sub>Me (methyl bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene-2-carboxylate),<sup>7c</sup> to be the most efficient mediator. Using this modified norbornene, dichloromethane was found to be a superior solvent affording the amination product **3a** in 52% yield in the presence of 10 mol% of ligand (**L1**, Table 1). The significant increase in yield observed with this modified norbornene is likely due to suppression of the competitively formed benzocyclobutane side product, as previously reported.<sup>7c</sup> Under these newly established conditions, we performed the reaction in the absence of ligand and observed the aminated product **3a**

1 could be obtained in 19% NMR yield. This result clearly demonstrates the 3-acetylamino-2-hydroxy  
2 pyridine ligand (**L1**) dramatically increases the efficiency of this reaction.  
3  
4


5 To facilitate systematic investigations of the influence of 3-amino-2-hydroxy pyridine-based ligands  
6 on this reaction, we developed a more practical synthetic procedure to obtain multiple grams of NBE-  
7 CO<sub>2</sub>Me from 5-norbornene-2,3-dicarboxylic anhydride (\$68.75/500 gram, from TCI) (See SI, 65% yield  
8 over four steps). With the modified norbornene in hand, a wide range of 2-hydroxy pyridine ligands were  
9 examined. Replacement of the OH group on the ligand with an SH group (**L4**) gave only 5% yield of the  
10 desired product, which we hypothesize is due to the strong coordination of sulfur to palladium. Methyl  
11 substitution at the 4- or 5-position of the ligand did not drastically alter the efficiency of the ligand, while  
12 substitution at the 6 position (**L5**) led to a significant decrease in the activity. A slightly lower yield was  
13 observed when the ligand with fluorine at the 5-position (**L8**) was tested. A trifluoromethyl group at the  
14 5-position of the ligand improved the yield of the reaction to 63% (**L9**). 3-amino-2-hydroxy quinoline  
15 (**L10**) afforded the product in 37% yield, presumably due to the steric similarity of **L10** and **L5**, further  
16 confirming the intolerance of substitution at the 6-position. Interestingly, 3-acetylamino-4-hydroxy  
17 pyridine (**L11**) can also promote this reaction, though to a lesser extent, affording the desired product in  
18 30% yield. Notably, the protecting group on the amine slightly affects the activity of the ligand (**L1** vs **L2**  
19 and **L3**). With **L9** being the most promising ligand, we used this scaffold to further screen various  
20 protecting groups on the amine. Carbonate-based protecting groups slightly increased the yield (**L12** and  
21 **L13**); however, sulfonyl and benzoyl-protected ligands decreased the yield (**L14** and **L15**). Interestingly,  
22 introduction of sterics on the benzoyl group restored the ligand's activity (**L17**), which indicates that  
23 sterics on the protecting group is important. We next examined a variety of acetyl protecting groups (**L18**-  
24 **L28**). Gratifyingly, bulkier acetyl protecting groups such as pivaloyl (**L24**) and 1-adamantanecarbonyl  
25 (**L28**) significantly improved the reaction efficiency providing 70% and 78% yield respectively. With the  
26 optimal ligand **L28** identified, we performed a second round of optimizations of the reaction parameters  
27 and found that a decrease in the amount of *O*-benzoyl hydroxylmorpholine (**2a**) to 1.5 equivalents  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1 amination reaction. The role of the free N–H in the ligand is unclear at this stage though we hypothesize  
2 that mono-protected 3-amino-2-hydroxypyridine ligands might coordinate with Pd(II) through the  
3 pyridone motif as a carboxylate surrogate in some steps of this reaction and as a *bis*-dentate ligand (similar  
4 to the mono-protected amino acid ligands)<sup>7e</sup> in others.  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 With the optimized conditions in hand, the generality of the *meta*-C–H amination was investigated. A  
11 variety of functional groups, such as MeS, MeO, BnBocN, F, Cl, Br, ester and ketone, are well tolerated  
12 providing the desired *meta*-C–H aminated products in synthetically useful yields (**3b-3m**). Substrates  
13 bearing functional groups at the *ortho*- and *para*-positions are also compatible with this amination  
14 protocol (**3n-q**), though the *para*-substituted and simple aniline substrates provided both the mono- and  
15 di-aminated products. 1-Naphthylamine (**3r**) and multiple substituted amines (**3s-3u**) are suitable  
16 substrates for this transformation. To our delight, heterocyclic amines containing indole, indoline, and  
17 indazole scaffolds are tolerated in this reaction, affording the desired *meta*-aminated products in moderate  
18 to high yields (**3v-y**).  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32 Having evaluated the substrate scope of aniline derivatives that are compatible with this amination  
33 reaction, we next turned our focus towards the scope of aminating reagents (Table 3). Using **1a** as the  
34 model substrate, a range of aminating reagents were investigated. Piperazine, thiomorpholine,  
35 thiomorpholine 1,1-dioxide, and 2,6-dimethylmorpholine, all of which are privileged motifs in drug  
36 discovery, couple smoothly under the reaction conditions to provide the desired products in good yields  
37 (**4a-4d**). For the medicinally important piperidine moieties, various functional groups were well tolerated  
38 on the piperidine backbone including TBS-protected hydroxyl groups, esters, phthalimido (Phth)-  
39 protected amino groups, and ketones (**4d-4k**). It is worth noting that the piperidin-4-one derived aminating  
40 reagent (**4k**) is compatible in this *meta*-amination reaction giving the desired product in 53% yield. The  
41 compatibility of this substrate is important as this motif can be readily transformed to the free amine  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60


Table 2. *Meta*-Amination of Anilines<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: **1** (0.1 mmol), **2a** (31.0 mg, 0.15 mmol),  $\text{Pd(OAc)}_2$  (2.2 mg, 10 mol%), **L28** (10 mol%),  $\text{NBE-CO}_2\text{Me}$  (21.4 mg, 1.5 equiv.),  $\text{AgOAc}$  (33.4 mg, 2.0 equiv.),  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (1.0 mL),  $100\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , Air, 24 h. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield.

<sup>c</sup> $\text{Pd(OAc)}_2$  (3.4 mg, 15 mol%), **L28** (15 mol%),  $\text{NBE-CO}_2\text{Me}$  (21.4 mg, 1.5 equiv.),  $\text{AgOAc}$  (50.1 mg, 3.0 equiv.) were used. <sup>d</sup>The selectivity of mono- and di-products was determined by  $^1\text{H NMR}$ .

in one step (see SI for more information). Unfortunately, aminating reagents with pyrrolidine, azepane and acyclic dialkylamine scaffolds were not effective and resulted in poor yields under the reaction conditions.


**Table 3. Scope of the amines<sup>a,b</sup>**



<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: **1a** (35.6 mg, 0.1 mmol), Aminating reagent (1.5 equiv.),  $Pd(OAc)_2$  (15 mol%), **L28** (15 mol%),  $NBE-CO_2Me$  (42.8 mg, 3 equiv.),  $AgOAc$  (50.1 mg, 3.0 equiv.),  $CH_2Cl_2$  (1.0 mL),  $100\text{ }^\circ C$ , Air, 24 h. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield.


<sup>c</sup> $Pd(OAc)_2$  (10 mol%), **L28** (10 mol%),  $NBE-CO_2Me$  (21.4 mg, 1.5 equiv.),  $AgOAc$  (33.4 mg, 2.0 equiv.) were used.

Phenol substrates bearing the same directing group can also be utilized in this *meta*-C–H amination reaction under similar conditions, although the conversions are slightly lower when compared to aniline substrates (**6a-f**, Table 4). A few aminating reagents were tested with phenol substrate **5e**, giving the desired products in moderate to good yields (**6g-6i**).

**Table 4. Meta-Amination of Phenols<sup>a,b</sup>**

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: **5** (0.1 mmol), **2** (0.15 mmol), Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (2.2 mg, 10 mol%), **L28** (10 mol%), NBE-CO<sub>2</sub>Me (21.4 mg, 1.5 equiv.), AgOAc (33.4 mg, 2.0 equiv.), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.0 mL), 100 °C, Air, 24 h. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield. <sup>c</sup>The selectivity of mono- and di-products was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

To demonstrate the utility of this reaction on a laboratory preparative scale, we conducted the reaction on gram scale and **3a** was obtained in 74% yield (Scheme 1). Although mild oxidative conditions to remove this directing group have been reported,<sup>7e</sup> a simple one-step strategy is always desired. We have demonstrated the removal of the Boc-protecting group and the pyridine directing group simultaneously by treating the aminated product **3i** with hydrobromic acid at 100 °C. This one-step protocol provides the free amine **7** in 83% yield (see SI for more information). Notably, the 3-fluoro-5-morpholinoaniline **7** is a key intermediate in the synthesis of **8**, a BRAF inhibitor.<sup>13</sup>


Scheme 1. Synthetic application of *meta*-C–H amination of anilines2.2 *Meta*-C–H alkylation

Aryl alkynes are privileged structural motifs found in natural products, pharmaceuticals, and materials.<sup>14</sup> They also act as valuable precursors participating in many cross-coupling, metathesis, and cycloaddition reactions.<sup>15</sup> In the last few decades, the Sonogashira coupling has been extensively studied and represents one of the most important methods to synthesize aryl alkynes in both academic and industrial settings.<sup>16</sup> Consequently, installation of alkyne groups *via* C–H alkylation has attracted significant interest and has been studied using terminal alkynes,<sup>17</sup> alkynyl halides,<sup>18</sup> and hypervalent iodine reagents.<sup>19</sup> However, *meta*-C–H alkylation has not been reported to date. The lack of precedents using alkynyl coupling partners as electrophiles in the Catellani reaction also attests to the challenge of developing *meta*-C–H alkylation using norbornene as a transient mediator.<sup>8</sup> Encouraged by the success of *meta*-C–H amination reactions using norbornene as transient mediator, we envisioned that *meta*-alkynylation could be achieved through a Pd(II)/Pd(IV) process using an alkynyl bromide as the electrophile. To our great delight, 25% yield of the *meta*-alkynylated product **10a** was obtained, accompanied by 14% yield of the *ortho*-alkynylated product **10a'** in the presence of 10 mol% Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, 20 mol% ligand **L9**, alkynyl bromide **9** (2.0 equiv.), LiF (2.0 equiv.) and Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (1.5 equiv.) in 1,2-dichloroethane at 95 °C using NBE-CO<sub>2</sub>Me as a mediator. Interestingly, the *ortho*-alkynylated product

can also be obtained in this protocol due to the high reactivity of alkynyl bromides. After a thorough investigation of solvents, oxidants, additives and ligands, we found the selectivity of *meta*- to *ortho*-products can be improved to >11:1 using TFA-protected ligand **L18**, allowing the desired *meta*-alkynylated product to be obtained in 71% isolated yield. The yield can be further improved to 75% by increasing the temperature to 100 °C (see SI for more information).

We next evaluated the scope of this *meta*-alkynylation reaction under the optimal conditions (see Table 5). Functional groups such as Me, MeO, Benzyl, Ph, F, Cl, and Br, are well tolerated under the reaction conditions affording the *meta*-alkynylated products **10a-h** in moderate to good yields. Indoline and indazole-containing amines are also compatible with this protocol and the desired products **10i** and **10j** could be obtained in 53% and 46% yield respectively. The scope of alkyl and aryl alkynes is also investigated. Only various bulky silyl-protected alkynyl bromides give *meta*-alkynylated products in good yields. The simple alkyl and aryl alkynyl bromides led to trace products (See SI).

**Table 5. *Meta*-Alkynylation of Anilines<sup>a,b</sup>**



<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: **1** (0.1 mmol), **9** (52.2 mg, 0.2 mmol), Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (2.2 mg, 10 mol%), **L18** (8.2 mg, 30 mol%), NBE-CO<sub>2</sub>Me (38.0 mg, 2.5 equiv.), Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (41.3 mg, 1.5 equiv.), LiF (5.2 mg, 0.2 mmol), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.0 mL), 100 °C, Air, 24 h. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield.

### 3. Conclusion

In summary, Pd(II)-catalyzed *meta*-C–H amination and *meta*-C–H alkylation have been developed for the first time, indicating that norbornene mediated *meta*-C–H functionalization is a highly general platform that is compatible with a wide variety of transformations. Both the mono-protected 3-amino-2-hydroxypyridine ligands and the modified norbornene (NBE-CO<sub>2</sub>Me) are crucial to realize these transformations. High yields and a broad substrate scope have been achieved for both *meta*-C–H amination and *meta*-C–H alkylation reactions using either *N*-benzoyloxyamines or alkynyl bromides as electrophilic reagents. Future efforts will focus on improving the efficiency of these transformations, as well as exploring new transformations which have not yet been demonstrated in *meta*-C–H functionalization using this strategy.

### 4. Experimental Section

#### 4.1 General procedure for amination of anilines:

Substrate **1** (0.1 mmol), **2a** (0.15 mmol), Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (2.2 mg, 10 mol%), **L28** (2.2 mg, 10 mol%), AgOAc (33.4 mg, 0.2 mmol), NBE-CO<sub>2</sub>Me (21.6 mg, 0.15 mmol), K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (62.8 mg, 0.3 mmol) and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.0 mL) were added to a 2-dram vial. The vial was capped and closed tightly. Then the reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 24 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was passed through a pad of Celite with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> as the eluent to remove the insoluble precipitate. The resulting solution was concentrated and purified by preparative TLC to afford the desired product **3**.

#### 4.2 General procedure for alkylation of anilines:

Substrate **1** (0.1 mmol), alkylation reagent **9** (52.2 mg, 0.2 mmol), Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (2.2 mg, 10 mol%), **L18** (8.2 mg, 30 mol%), Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (41.3 mg, 0.15 mmol), NBE-CO<sub>2</sub>Me (38.0 mg, 0.25 mmol), LiF (5.2 mg, 0.2 mmol) and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.0 mL) were added to a 2-dram vial. The vial was capped and closed tightly. Then the reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 24 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the

1 mixture was passed through a pad of Celite with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> as the eluent to remove the insoluble precipitate.

2  
3 The resulting solution was concentrated and purified by preparative TLC to afford the desired product **10**.

4  
5 **Corresponding author.** [\\*yu200@scripps.edu](mailto:yu200@scripps.edu)

6  
7  
8 **Author Contributions.** †P.W. and G.-C.L. contributed equally to this work.

9  
10  
11 **Notes.** The authors declare no competing financial interest.

12  
13  
14  
15 **Acknowledgements.** We gratefully acknowledge The Scripps Research Institute and the NIH (NIGMS,  
16 2R01 GM102265) for financial support. Tyler G. St. Denis is thanked for editorial assistance.

17  
18  
19  
20  
21 **Supporting Information Available.** Detailed experimental procedures, characterization of new  
22 compounds. This material is available free of charge via the internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

## 23 24 25 26 27 28 **References**

- 29  
30 1. Accounts for remote C–H activation: a) Schranck, J.; Tlili, A.; Beller, M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*  
31 **2014**, *53*, 9426. b) Yang, J. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2015**, *13*, 1930. c) Li, J.; Ackermann, L. *Nat.*  
32 *Chem.* **2015**, *7*, 686. d) Li, J.; Sarkar, S. D.; Ackermann, L. *Top. Organomet. Chem.* **2016**, *55*, 217.  
33  
34 2. a) Ishiyama, T.; Takagi, J.; Ishida, K.; Miyaura, N. Anastasi, N. R.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem.*  
35 *Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 390. b) Cho, J.-Y.; Tse, M. K.; Holmes, D.; Maleczka Jr., R. E.; Smith III, M. R.  
36 *Science* **2002**, *295*, 305. c) Maleczka, R. E., Jr.; Shi, F.; Holmes, D.; Smith, M. R., III. *J. Am. Chem.*  
37 *Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 7792. d) Cheng, C.; Hartwig, J. F. *Science* **2014**, *343*, 853. e) Saito, Y.; Segawa,  
38 Y.; Itami, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 5193.  
39  
40 3. a) Zhang, Y.-H.; Shi, B.-F.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 5072. b) Wang, Y.-N.; Guo,  
41 X.-Q.; Zhu, X.-H.; Zhong, R.; Cai, L.-H.; Hou, X.-F. *Chem. Commun.*, **2012**, *48*, 10437.  
42  
43 4. For select examples of template directed *meta*-C–H functionalization, see: a) Leow, D.; Li, G.,  
44 Mei, T.-S.; Yu, J.-Q. *Nature* **2012**, *486*, 518. b) Wan, L.; Dastbaravardeh, N.; Li, G.; Yu, J.-Q. *J.*  
45 *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 18056. c) Tang, R.; Li, G.; Yu, J.-Q. *Nature* **2014**, *507*, 215. d) Yang,  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1 G.; Lindovska, P.; Zhu, D.; Kim, J.; Wang, P.; Tang, R.-Y.; Movassaghi, M.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem.*  
2 *Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 10807. e) Chu, L.; Shang, M.; Tanaka, K.; Chen, Q.; Pissarnitski, N.; Streckfuss,  
3 E.; Yu, J.-Q. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2015**, *1*, 394. f) Kuninobu, Y.; Ida, H.; Nishi, M.; Kanai, M. *Nat. Chem.*  
4 **2015**, *7*, 712. g) Li, S.; Cai, L.; Ji, H.; Yang, L.; Li, G. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 10443. For examples  
5 of template directed *para*-C–H functionalization, see: h) Bag, S.; Patra, T.; Modak, A.; Deb, A.;  
6 Maity, S.; Dutta, U.; Dey, A.; Kancherla, R.; Maji, A.; Hazra, A.; Bera, M.; Maiti, D. *J. Am. Chem.*  
7 *Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 11888. i) Patra, T.; Bag, S.; Kancherla, R.; Mondal, A.; Dey, A.; Pimparkar, S.;  
8 Agasti, S.; Modak, A.; Maiti, D. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 7751.
- 9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19 5. For examples of Ru(II) catalyzed *meta*-C–H functionalization *via ortho*-cyclometallation, see: a)  
20 Saidi, O.; Marafie, J.; Ledger, A. E. W.; Liu, P. M.; Mahon, M. F.; Kociok-Köhn, G.; Whittlesey,  
21 M. K.; Frost, C. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 19298. b) Hofmann, N.; Ackermann, L. *J. Am.*  
22 *Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 5877. c) Li, J.; Warratz, S.; Zell, D., De Sarkar, S.; Ishikawa, E. E.;  
23 Ackermann, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 13894. d) Teskey, C. J.; Lui, A. Y. W.; Greaney, M.  
24 F. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 11677. e) Paterson, A. J.; St. John-Campbell, S.; Mahon, M.  
25 F.; Press, N. J.; Frost, C. G. *Chem. Comm.* **2015**, *51*, 12807. f) Fan, Z.; Ni, J.; Zhang, A. *J. Am.*  
26 *Chem. Soc.*, **2016**, *138*, 8470. For example of Ru(II) catalyzed *para*-C–H functionalization, see:  
27 g) Liu, W.; Ackermann, L. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 3484.
- 28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40 6. For selected examples using copper and aryl iodoniums to achieve *meta*-C–H arylation, see: a)  
41 Phipps, R. J.; Gaunt, M. J. *Science* **2009**, *323*, 1593. b) Duong, H. A.; Gilligan, R. E.; Cooke, M.  
42 L.; Phipps, R. J.; Gaunt, M. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *50*, 463. c) Yang, Y.; Li, R.; Zhao, Y.;  
43 Zhao, D.; Shi, Z.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 8734. For an example of using CO<sub>2</sub> as a traceless  
44 directing group, see: d) Luo, J.; Preciado, S.; Larrosa, I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *136*, 4109. For  
45 an example using deprotonation, see: e) Martinez-Martinez, A. J.; Kennedy, A. R.; Mulvey, R. E.;  
46 O'Hara, C. T. *Science* **2014**, *346*, 834.
- 47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57 7. a) Wang, X.-C.; Gong, W.; Fang, L.-Z.; Zhu, R.-Y.; Li, S.; Engle, K. M.; Yu, J.-Q. *Nature* **2015**,  
58 *519*, 334. b) Dong, Z.; Wang, J.; Dong, G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 5887. c) Shen, P.-X.;

- 1 Wang, X.-C.; Wang, P.; Zhu, R.-Y.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 11574. d) Han, J.; Zhang,  
2 L.; Zhu, Y.; Zheng, Y.; Chen, X.; Huang, Z.-B.; Shi, D.-Q.; Zhao, Y. *Chem. Comm.* **2016**, *52*, 6903.  
3  
4  
5 e) Wang, P.; Farmer, M. E.; Huo, X.; Jain, P.; Shen, P.-X.; Ishoey, M.; Bradner, J. E.; Wisniewski,  
6 S. R.; Eastgate, M. E.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 9269.  
7  
8  
9  
10 8. For reviews on norbornene mediated *ortho*-C–H functionalizations, see: a) Catellani, M. *Top.*  
11 *Organomet. Chem.* **2005**, *14*, 21. b) Martins, A., Mariampillai, B.; Lautens, M. *Top. Curr. Chem.*  
12 **2010**, *292*, 1. c) Ye, J.; Lautens, M. *Nat. Chem.* **2015**, *7*, 863. d) Della Ca', N.; Fontana, M.; Motti,  
13 E.; Catellani, M. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2016**, *49*, 1389. For selected examples: e) Catellani, M.; Frignani,  
14 F.; Rangoni, A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1997**, *36*, 119. f) Lautens, M.; Piguel, S. *Angew. Chem. Int.*  
15 *Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 1045. g) Faccini, F.; Motti, E.; Catellani, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 78. h)  
16 Bressy, C.; Alberico, D.; Lautens, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 13148. i) Mariampillai, B.;  
17 Alliot, J.; Li, M.; Lautens, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 15372. j) Rudolph, A.; Rackelmann,  
18 N.; Lautens, M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 1485. k) Dong, Z.; Dong, G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*  
19 **2013**, *135*, 18350. l) Dong, Z.; Wang, J.; Ren, Z.; Dong, G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*,  
20 12664. m) Huang, Y.; Zhu, R.; Zhao, K.; Gu, Z. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 12669. n) Shi,  
21 H.; Babinski, D. J.; Ritter, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 3775. o) Sun, F.; Li, M.; He, C.; Wang,  
22 B.; Li, B.; Sui, X.; Gu, Z. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2016**, *138*, 7456. For other system using norbornene  
23 as a transient mediator: p) Jiao, L.; Bach, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 12990. q) Jiao, L.;  
24 Herdtweck, E.; Bach, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 14563.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45 9. a) Hili, R.; Yudin, A. K. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2006**, *2*, 284. b) Lawrence, S. A. *Amines: Synthesis*  
46 *Properties and Applications*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 2004; pp 265–305. c)  
47 *Amino Group Chemistry, From Synthesis to the Life Sciences*; Ricci, A., Ed.; Wiley-VCH:  
48 Weinheim, 2007.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55 10. a) Davies, H. M. L.; Manning, J. R. *Nature* **2008**, *451*, 417. b) Zalatan, D. N.; Du Bois, J. *Top.*  
56 *Curr. Chem.* **2010**, *292*, 347. c) Cho, S. H.; Kim, J. Y.; Kwak, J.; Chang, S. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**,  
57 *40*, 5068. d) Jeffrey, J. L.; Sarpong, R.; *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 4092; e) Louillat, M.-L.; Patureau, F.  
58  
59  
60

- 1 W. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 901. f) Zatulochnaya, O. V.; Gevorgyan, V. *Nat. Chem.* **2014**, *6*,  
2 661. g) Thirunavukkarasu, V. S.; Kozhushkov, S. I.; Ackermann, L. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*,  
3 29. h) Jiao, J.; Murakami, K.; Itami, K. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 610. i) Kim, H.; Chang, S. *ACS Catal.*,  
4  
5  
6  
7 **2016**, *6*, 234.  
8
- 9  
10 11. a) Barlaam, B.; Ducray, R.; Lambert-van der Brempt, C.; Ple, P.; Bardelle, C.; Brooks, N.;  
11 Coleman, T.; Cross, D.; Kettle, J. G.; Read, J. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2011**, *21*, 2207. b) Turski,  
12 L.; Huth, A.; Sheardown, M.; McDonald, F.; Neuhaus, R.; Schneider, H. H.; Dirnagl, U.; Wiegand,  
13 F.; Jacobsen, P.; Ottow, E. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1998**, *95*, 10960. c) Witherington, J.;  
14 Abberley, L.; Briggs, M. A.; Collis, K.; Dean, D. K.; Gaiba, A.; King, N. P.; Kraus, H.; Shuker,  
15 N.; Steadman, J. G. A.; Takle, A. K.; Sanger, G.; Wadsworth, G.; Butler, S.; McKay, F.; Muir, A.;  
16 Winborn, K.; Heightman, T. D. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2008**, *18*, 2203. d) López-Rodríguez,  
17 M. L.; Morcillo, M. J.; Fernández, E.; Rosado, M. L.; Orensanz, L.; Beneytez, M. E.; Manzanares,  
18 J.; Fuentes, J. A.; Schaper, K. J. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1999**, *9*, 1679. e) Dugan, B. J.; Gingrich,  
19 D. E.; Mesaros, E. F.; Milkiewicz, K. L.; Curry, M. A.; Zulli, A. L.; Dobrzanski, P.; Serdikoff, C.;  
20 Jan, M.; Angeles, T. S.; Albom, M. S.; Mason, J. L.; Aimone, L. D.; Meyer, S. L.; Huang, Z.;  
21 Wells-Knecht, K. J.; Ator, M. A.; Ruggeri, B. A.; Dorsey, B. D. *J. Med. Chem.* **2012**, *55*, 5243. f)  
22 Bromidge, S. M.; Brown, A. M.; Clarke, S. E.; Dodgson, K.; Gager, T.; Grassam, H. L.; Jeffrey,  
23 P. M.; Joiner, G. F.; King, F. D.; Middlemiss, D. N.; Moss, S. F.; Newman, H.; Riley, G.;  
24 Routledge, C.; Wyman, P. *J. Med. Chem.* **1999**, *42*, 202.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44
- 45 12. a) Yoo, E. J.; Ma, S.; Mei, T.-S.; Chan, K. S. L.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 7652. b)  
46 Zhu, D.; Yang, G.; He, J.; Chu, L.; Chen, G.; Gong, W.; Chen, K.; Eastgate, M. D.; Yu, J.-Q. *Angew.*  
47 *Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 2497. c) He, J.; Shigenari, T.; Yu, J.-Q. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*,  
48 6545.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53
- 54 13. Niculescu-Duvaz, D.; Gaulon, C.; Dijkstra, H. P.; NiculescuDuvaz, I.; Zambon, A.; Menard, D.;  
55 Suijkerbuijk, B. M.; Nourry, A.; Davies, L.; Manne, H.; Friedlos, F.; Ogilvie, L.; Hedley, D.;  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1 Whittaker, S.; Kirk, R.; Gill, A.; Taylor, R. D.; Raynaud, F. I.; Moreno-Farre, J.; Marais, R.;  
2 Springer, C. J. *J. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *52*, 2255.
- 3  
4  
5 14. *Acetylene Chemistry: Chemistry, Biology and Material Science*; Diederich, F., Stang, P. J.,  
6 Tykwinski, R. R., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2005.
- 7  
8  
9  
10 15. a) Fürstner, A.; Davies, P. W. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 2307. b) Kolb, H. C.; Finn, M. G.; Sharpless,  
11 K. B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 2004. c) Finn, M. G.; Fokin, V. V. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2010**,  
12 39, 1231. d) Brand, J. P.; Waser, J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 4165. e) Chinchilla, R.; Najera, C.  
13 *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 1783.
- 14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19 16. a) Sonogashira, K. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2002**, *653*, 46. b) Negishi, E.; Anastasia, L. *Chem. Rev.*  
20 **2003**, *103*, 1979. c) King, A. O.; Yasuda, N. *Top. Organomet. Chem.* **2004**, *6*, 205. d) Doucet, H.;  
21 Hierso, J.-C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 834. e) Plenio, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*,  
22 6954. f) Chinchilla, R.; Najera, C. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 5084.
- 23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29 17. For C–H alkynylation with terminal alkynes, see: a) Wei, Y.; Zhao, H.; Kan, J.; Su, W.; Hong, M.  
30 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 2522. b) Matsuyama, N.; Kitahara, M.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura,  
31 M. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 2358. c) de Haro, T.; Nevado, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 1512. d)  
32 Yang, L.; Zhao, L.; Li, C.-J. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 4184. e) Kim, S. H.; Yoon, J.; Chang, S.  
33 *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 1474. f) Jie, X.; Shang, Y.; Hu, P.; Su, W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*,  
34 3630. g) Shang, M.; Wang, H.-L.; Sun, S.-Z.; Dai H.-X.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2014**, *136*,  
35 11590; h) Zhou, J.; Shi, J.; Qi, Z.; Li, X.; Xu, H. E.; Yi, W. *ACS Catal.*, **2015**, *5*, 6999.
- 36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45 18. For C–H alkynylation with alkynyl halides as alkynylated reagents, see: a) Kobayashi, K.;  
46 Arisawa, M.; Yamaguchi, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 8528. b) Seregin, I. V.; Ryabova, V.;  
47 Gevorgyan, V. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 7742. c) Tobisu, M.; Ano, Y.; Chatani, N. *Org. Lett.*  
48 **2009**, *11*, 3250. d) Matsuyama, N.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 4156. e)  
49 Dudnik, A. S.; Gevorgyan, V. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 2096. f) Ano, Y.; Tobisu, M.;  
50 Chatani, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 12984. g) Ano, Y.; Tobisu, M.; Chatani, N. *Org. Lett.*  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1           **2012**, *14*, 354. h) Ano, Y.; Tobisu, M.; Chatani, N. *Synlett* **2012**, *23*, 2763. i) He, J.; Wasa, M.;  
2  
3           Chan, K. S. L.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2013**, *135*, 3387.

- 4  
5           19. For C–H alkynylation with hypervalent iodine reagents as alkynylated reagents, see: a) Brand, J.  
6  
7           P.; Charpentier, J.; Waser, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 9346. b) Brand, J. P.; Waser, J.  
8  
9           *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 7304. c) Feng, C.; Loh, T.-P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*,  
10  
11           2722. d) Xie, F.; Qi, Z.; Yu, S.; Li, X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 4780. e) Wang, H.; Xie, F.;  
12  
13           Qi, Z.; Li, X. *Org. Lett.*, **2015**, *17*, 920. f) Waser, J. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2015**, *373*, 187.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## TOC Graphic

