Insertion of (E)-(1,2-dihalovinyl)lithium and (2-haloethynyl)lithium into zirconacycles

David Norton,^a Richard J. Whitby*a and Ed Griffen^b

- ^a School of Chemistry, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK SO17 1BJ. E-mail: rjw1@soton.ac
- ^b AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, UK SK10 4TG

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 4th March 2004, Accepted 31st March 2004 First published as an Advance Article on the web 23rd April 2004

Insertion of (*E*)-(1,2-dihalovinyl)lithium into zirconacyclopentenes was followed by elimination of halide to afford an alkyne which inserts intramolecularly into the resulting carbon-zirconocenium bond to give a methylenecyclopentene, whereas insertion of (2-haloethynyl)lithium gives the same product *via* a zirconocene alkenylidene.

We have shown that insertion of a range of carbenoids (1-lithio-1-halo species) provides a useful method for further elaboration of 5-membered zirconacycles. We have also described the insertion of (E)-(1,2-dichlorovinyl)lithium (1) into acyclic organozirconocene chlorides to afford terminal alkynes via elimination of the initially formed β -chloroalkenylzirconium species (Scheme 1). We now report unexpected products when the equivalent insertion was applied to the elaboration of zirconacycles.

Zirconacyclopentenes **2** were formed by intramolecular cocyclisation of 1,6- or 1,7-enynes using zirconocene(but-1-ene) 3a (**2a-d**) or by addition of alkynes to *in situ* generated zirconocene(ethylene) (**2e,f**). 3b The zirconacycles were cooled to -78 °C before addition of (*E*)-1,2-dichloroethene followed by dropwise addition of lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) to generate the carbenoid 1^4 *in situ*. Aqueous work-up gave a mixture of the expected alkynes **3** and the unexpected methylidenecyclopentenes **4** (Scheme 2; Table 1, entries 1, 3–5, 8, 11). The ratios of **3**:4 were estimated by GC† of crude reaction products since compounds **4** partially decomposed on chromatography. In cases where the methylidenecyclopentenes **4** could not be obtained analytically pure, the stable derivatives **5** were formed by *in situ* hydroboration/oxidation.

A reasonable mechanism for the formation of **4** is insertion of carbenoid **1** into **2** to afford six-membered zirconacycle **7**, which eliminates the anti-periplanar chloride to give the zirconocenium

$$Cp_{2}Zr \xrightarrow{R} \xrightarrow{Cl} \xrightarrow{Li} \xrightarrow{ZrCp_{2}Cl} \xrightarrow{-Cp_{2}ZrCl_{2}} \xrightarrow{R}$$

Scheme 1 Insertion of (E)-(1,2-dichlorovinyl)lithium into acyclic organozirconocenes.

Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: (i) XCH=CHX, LDA, -78 °C, THF; (ii) MeOH/NaHCO₃ (aq.); (iii) 9-BBN (1 equiv.) or BH₃·SMe₂ (0.33 equiv.), THF; (iv) NaOH, H₂O₂.

species **8** (Scheme 3). There is now competition between trapping of the zirconocenium species with an anion to give a stable species which affords **3** on work up, and intramolecular insertion of the initially formed alkyne into the carbon–zirconocenium bond to afford **9** and hence methylidenecyclopentene **4** on work-up. Both the presence and stereochemistry of the carbon-zirconium bond in **9e** were confirmed by deuteration (MeOD–D₂O) to afford **14e**. We have observed a similar intramolecular insertion of an alkyne into a carbon–zirconocenium bond during elaboration of cyclic η^3 -propargyl zirconacycles with aldehydes/BF₃·Et₂O⁵ and related intermolecular additions are known.⁶

Ring strain in bicyclo[3.3.0]octenes inhibits formation of the cyclisation products **4a** and **c** compared with the unstrained bicyclo[4.3.0]nonene (**4b**) and monocycles **4d** and **4e** (Table 1, entries 1 and 4, *cf*. 3, 8 and 11).

We then examined the use of 1,2-dibromoethene, commercially available as a 2:1 mixture of (Z):(E) stereoisomers, as a carbenoid precursor, and found that it substantially increased the ratio of 4:3 (Table 1, entries 2, 6, 7, 9, 10). The result was synthetically useful, but rather surprising as we would expect (Z)-(1,2-dibromovinyl)lithium to eliminate LiBr very rapidly to afford bromoethyne. Furthermore working up the reaction from 2e with MeOD-D₂O afforded 4e with complete deuterium incorporation at one of the methylene positions, and remarkably around 85% incorporation at the other i.e. approximately a 15:85 mixture of 14e and 15e (Scheme 3) was formed. To clarify matters we treated (Z)-1,2-dichloroethene with 2 equiv. LDA at −78 °C for 10 min to afford (2-chloroethynyl)lithium, as confirmed by trapping an aliquot with PhMe₂SiCl. Subsequent addition of a pre-cooled solution of the zirconacycle 2e and stirring at -78 °C gave good conversion into the methylenecyclopentene 4e after quenching. Work-up with MeOD–D₂O gave > 95% deuterium incorporation at both methylene protons (*i.e.* **15e**).

A mechanism which explains the formation of cyclised products 4 by insertion of 1-lithio-2-haloethyne, and in particular the formation of bis-deuterated compound 15 on work-up with D₂O is given in Scheme 3. The rearrangement of 10 to 11 has precedent

Table 1 Insertions into zirconacyclopentenes

Substi	rateReagent ^a	Ratio of 3 : 4 ^b	Yield 3 ^c (%)	Yield 4 ^c (%)	Yield 5 ^c (%)
2a	Cl (2 equiv.)	3:5	26	_	_
2a	Br (2 equiv.)	0:1		_	36
2b	Cl (2 equiv.)	0:1		_	41
2c	Cl (2 equiv.)	2:3	27	17	_
2c	Cl (4 equiv.)	2:7	33	32	_
2c	Br (2 equiv.)	1:22		66	_
2c	Br (2 equiv.)	1:22	_	_	36
2d	Cl (2 equiv.)	1:3	33	38	_
2d	Br (2 equiv.)	1:7	15	24	_
2d	Br (2 equiv.)	1:7	_	_	40
2e	Cl (1 equiv.)	0:1		74^d	38
2e	Cl* (1 equiv.)	0:1		56^d	
	2a 2a 2b 2c 2c 2c 2c 2d 2d 2d 2e	2a Br (2 equiv.) 2b Cl (2 equiv.) 2c Cl (2 equiv.) 2c Cl (4 equiv.) 2c Br (2 equiv.) 2d Cl (2 equiv.) 2d Br (2 equiv.) 2d Br (2 equiv.) 2d Br (2 equiv.) 2d Br (2 equiv.) 2d Cl (1 equiv.)	2a Cl (2 equiv.) 3:5 2a Br (2 equiv.) 0:1 2b Cl (2 equiv.) 0:1 2c Cl (2 equiv.) 2:3 2c Cl (4 equiv.) 2:7 2c Br (2 equiv.) 1:22 2c Br (2 equiv.) 1:3 2d Br (2 equiv.) 1:7 2d Br (2 equiv.) 1:7 2d Br (2 equiv.) 0:1 2e Cl (1 equiv.) 0:1	2a Cl (2 equiv.) 3:5 26 2a Br (2 equiv.) 0:1 — 2b Cl (2 equiv.) 0:1 — 2c Cl (2 equiv.) 2:3 27 2c Cl (4 equiv.) 2:7 33 2c Br (2 equiv.) 1:22 — 2c Br (2 equiv.) 1:22 — 2d Cl (2 equiv.) 1:3 33 2d Br (2 equiv.) 1:7 15 2d Br (2 equiv.) 1:7 — 2e Cl (1 equiv.) 0:1 2e Cl* (1 equiv.) 0:1	2a Cl (2 equiv.) 3:5 26 — 2a Br (2 equiv.) 0:1 — — 2b Cl (2 equiv.) 0:1 — — 2c Cl (2 equiv.) 2:3 27 17 2c Cl (4 equiv.) 2:7 33 32 2c Br (2 equiv.) 1:22 — 66 2c Br (2 equiv.) 1:3 33 38 2d Cl (2 equiv.) 1:7 15 24 2d Br (2 equiv.) 1:7 — — 2e Cl (1 equiv.) 0:1 74 ^d 2e Cl* (1 equiv.) 0:1 56 ^d

 a LDA (1 equiv.) added to: Cl = (E)-HClC=CHCl, Br = 1:2 (E)-:(Z)-HBrC=CHBr, Cl* = ClC=CH. b Determined by GC of the crude reaction mixture. c Isolated yields from the enyne or alkyne precursors of zirconacycles 2. d NMR yield.

Scheme 3 Two mechanisms for formation of methylenecyclopentenes.

from the work of Negishi on insertion of lithiated aryl alkynes into zirconacycles, although the latter requires hours at room temperature. Elimination of chloride from 11 is analogous to the known rearrangement of 2-chlorozirconacyclopropanes. The rearrangement of 12 to the zirconocene alkenylidene 13 is unprecedented but, calculations indicate, thermodynamically favourable. Zirconium alkenylidene complexes have not previously been reported even as intermediates, although zirconium alkylidenes are known. It is likely that 13 will dimerise to form a 1,3-bis(zircona)cyclobutane, though we could not observe it by NMR spectroscopy.

Insertion of carbenoid 1 into zirconacyclopentane 16, derived by co-cyclisation of 4,4-bis(methoxymethyl)-1,6-heptadiene with zirconocene(1-butene), followed by protic quench at -70 °C yielded alkyne 18 (43%) together with the bis-alkyne 19 (7%) (Scheme 4). Two equivalents of the carbenoid were required for optimum yields. Warming the reaction mixture to room temperature for 16 h before quenching gave predominantly 19 (49%, *cf.* 11% 18) which suggests the complex 17 incorporating a second molecule of carbenoid as the major neutral intermediate formed, and that room temperature was required for its rearrangement/elimination. ¹⁰ Isolated yields of 18 and 19 were 45 and 37% under the respective conditions. No cyclisation to form the methylidenecyclopentane 24 analogous to the formation of 4 occurred.‡ Insertion of (2-chlor-

Scheme 4 R = CH₂OMe. Reagents and conditions: (i) (E)-HClC=CHCl; (ii) LDA, -78 °C; (iii) MeOA, A₂O (A = H, D); (iv) 25 °C, 2 h; (v) ClC=CLi.

oethynyl)lithium also gave **18** (41% isolated yield), but unexpectedly also cyclohexene **21** (16% yield). Work-up of the reaction mixture with MeOD–D₂O gave the bis-deuterated product **22** (>95% D). The most likely mechanism is insertion of CIC=CLi into **16** to give zirconacycloheptyne **20** which unlike the analogous zirconacycle **12** does not rearrange to the alkenylidene **25**.‡ Protonation of the alkyne moiety of **20** could induce cyclisation to afford **23** and hence **21/22**. The ratio of **18** to **21** formed does not change significantly when the reaction mixture is kept at room temperature for 2 h before quenching implying that cyclisation only occurs on work-up. Bis-alkyne **19** is not formed even when a large excess of CIC=CLi is used.

In conclusion we have discovered several novel transformations of zirconacycles which imply the formation of unprecedented zirconocene alkenylidene and 1-zircona-2-cycloheptyne intermediates, as well as useful multi-component coupling reactions, in the insertion of (E)-(1,2-dihalovinyl)lithium and (2-haloethynyl)lithium into zirconacycles.

We thank AstraZeneca and the EPSRC for a CASE studentship to D. N.

Notes and references

- † GC carried out on Hewlett Packard 6890 with 30 m HP5 column, He as carrier gas, 80–250 °C at 25 °C min⁻¹, FID detection.
- ‡ DFT calculations carried out with B3LYP/6-31G* method using Spartan04 for Windows (Wavefuntion Inc.) indicate that **20** is 26 kJ mol^{-1} more stable than **25** whereas **12** (R¹ = R² = Me, R³ = R⁴ = H) is 62 kJ mol^{-1} less stable than **13**.
- § Identity of 21, and absence of 24 were confirmed by their independent synthesis
- N. Vicart and R. J. Whitby, *Chem. Commun.*, 1999, 1241; G. J. Gordon, T. Luker, M. W. Tuckett and R. J. Whitby, *Tetrahedron*, 2000, **56**, 2113;
 S. M. Fillery, G. J. Gordon, T. Luker and R. J. Whitby, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1997, **69**, 633.
- 2 A. Kasatkin and R. J. Whitby, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 7039.
- (a) E. Negishi, S. J. Holmes, J. M. Tour, J. A. Miller, F. E. Cederbaum,
 D. R. Swanson and T. Takahashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 3336;
 (b) Z. F. Xi, R. Hara and T. Takahashi, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 4444
- 4 G. Kobrich and K. Flory, Chem. Ber., 1966, 99, 1773.
- 5 G. J. Gordon and R. J. Whitby, Chem. Commun., 1997, 1321.
- 6 S. Yamanoi, H. Ohrui, K. Seki, T. Matsumoto and K. Suzuki, Tetrahedron Lett., 1999, 40, 8407.
- 7 Y. Dumond and E. Negishi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 11 223.
- 8 R. Choukroun, J. Zhao, C. Lorber, P. Cassoux and B. Donnadieu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 1511.
- 9 R. Beckhaus, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1997, 36, 686.
- 10 K. Kasai, Y. Liu, R. Hara and T. Takahashi, Chem. Commun., 1998, 1989.