SPECIAL ISSUE PAPER



Mei-Hui Huang¹ | Xue-Ru Zou¹ | Lan-Chang Liang^{1,2}

¹Department of Chemistry, National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

²Department of Medicinal and Applied Chemistry, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

Correspondence

Lan-Chang Liang, Department of Chemistry, National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung 80424, Taiwan. Email: lcliang@mail.nsysu.edu.tw

Funding information

Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan, Grant/Award Number: MOST 108-2113-M-110-007

Abstract

In situ lithiation of $HN(o-C_6H_4PPh_2)_2$ (H[1a]) or $HN(o-C_6H_4PiPr_2)_2$ (H[1b]) with *n*BuLi in THF at $-35^{\circ}C$ followed by addition of $[Ir(\mu-Cl)(COD)]_2$ (COD = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) in toluene at $-35^{\circ}C$ generates 5-coordinate [1a]Ir (η^4 -COD) (2a) or 4-coordinate [1b]Ir(η^2 -COD) (2b), respectively. Oxidative addition of N-H in H[1b] to $[Ir(\mu-Cl)(COD)]_2$ affords square pyramidal [1b]Ir (H)(Cl) (3b). Metathetical reaction of 3b with LiBHEt₃ in the presence of 1 atm of H₂ in toluene produces [1b]Ir(H)₂ (4b). Both 2a and 4b are active for catalytic hydrogenation of olefins and alkynes under extremely mild conditions.

K E Y W O R D S

amido, hydrogenation, iridium, PNP

1 | INTRODUCTION

Hydrogenation of unsaturated hydrocarbons is one of the most important transition metal-catalyzed reactions in industry.^[1] The search for effective catalysts in this regard continues to constitute an active area of exploratory research.^[2-8] Wilkinson catalyst, RhCl(PPh₃)₃,^[9,10] and its cationic variations, $[M(diene)L_2]^+$ (M = Rh, Ir; $L = phosphine, phosphite, arsine, pyridine),^{[11-19]} repre$ sent pioneering success. Upon hydrogenation of olefins, these group 9 catalyst precursors were proved to transform into dihydride and olefin derivatives in catalytic cycles. We are currently exploring reaction chemistry employing amido PNP complexes.^[20-23] We suppose that iridium olefin or dihydride complexes of [N(o- $C_{6}H_{4}PPh_{2})_{2}^{-}$ (1a) and $[N(o-C_{6}H_{4}PiPr_{2})_{2}]^{-}$ (1b) are potential catalysts for hydrogenation of olefins and alkynes. In this contribution, we aim to synthesize these complexes and demonstrate their catalytic competence. Though iridium complexes similar to those of 1a and 1b

© 2019 The Chemical Society Located in Taipei & Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

are known,^[24–26] their activities in hydrogenation catalysis have yet to be explored.

2 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The reactions of $[Ir(\mu-Cl)(COD)]_2$ (COD = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) with [1a]Li(THF)₂ or [1b]Li(THF), either isolated or prepared in situ, in toluene at -35° C afford [1a]Ir $(\eta^4$ -COD) (2a) or [1b]Ir $(\eta^2$ -COD) (2b), respectively (Scheme 1). As indicated by the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of reaction aliquots, the generation of 2a is clean but that of 2b is not. The isolated yield of the former is therefore nearly quantitative while that of the latter is poor to moderate. Interestingly, **2a** shows a singlet resonance in the ³¹P {¹H} NMR spectrum at 14.7 ppm whereas **2b** reveals an AB quartet resonance in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum centered at 28.8 ppm, implicating different coordination geometries for these PNP iridium complexes. A diagnostic difference in solution NMR spectra of these complexes concerns signals ascribable to unbound HC=CH found in the ¹H NMR spectrum of 2b. The COD ligand in 2a is therefore bound

Dedicated to Professor Chien-Hong Cheng on the occasion of his 70th birthday.

2

to iridium in a η^4 fashion whereas that in **2b** is η^2 . Though divergent, these results are consistent with the electronic and steric nature of their corresponding PNP ligands; **1a** is not only less electron-releasing but also less bulky than **1b**,^[27-32] making **2a** an 18-electron species while **2b** a 16-electron complex.

Yellow crystals of **2a** suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were grown by slow evaporation of a concentrated benzene solution at room temperature while orange crystals of **2b** were grown from a concentrated pentane solution at -35° C. Figures 1 and 2 depict their



SCHEME 1 Synthesis of iridium COD complexes 2



FIGURE 1 Molecular structure of **2a** with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 35% probability level. All hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity

molecular structures. Selected bond distances and angles are summarized in Table 1.

As illustrated, **2a** is a η^4 -COD complex whereas **2b** is a η^2 -COD derivative. The coordination geometry of **2a** is best described as trigonal bipyramidal where the 1a ligand is bound to iridium in a facial coordination mode, contrasting with what has been usually found for PNP as a pincer ligand.^[33-38] The dihedral angle between two N-Ir-P planes is 120.21°. The N donor in **1a** and one of the C=C bonds in COD are disposed axially, having the N-Ir-centroid(C37-C38) angle of 173.39°. The iridium center is displaced by 0.266 Å toward the axial olefinic donor from the ideal equatorial plane defined by P1-P2-C41-C42, due likely to the constrained η^4 -COD ring that confines the centroid(C37-C38)-Ir-centroid(C41-C42) angle of 85.31°. The displacement of iridium toward olefin instead of amide is consistent with the π -base nature of a monovalent group 9 metal that electronically prefers a π -acid to a π -base. In good agreement with higher s character in orbital hybridization composed of equatorial donors than axial counterparts, the Ir-centroid(C41-C42) distance of 2.014 Å is shorter than the Ir-centroid(C37-C38) distance of 2.069 Å. The C41-C42 bond distance of 1.439(7) Å is therefore longer than the C37-C38 bond distance of 1.417 (7) Å due to better back bonding from Ir(I) to the former.

In contrast to the facial PNP found in 2a, the 1b ligand in 2b is bound typically in a meridional fashion. The dihedral angle between two N-Ir-P planes is 177.84°. The core structure of 2b is best described as square planar. Its bond distances and angles are not exceptional.



FIGURE 2 Molecular structure of **2b** with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 35% probability level. All hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity

TABLE 1Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg) of 2a, 2b, 3b, and 4b^a

Compound	2a	2b ^b	3b	4b
Ir-N	2.104(4)	2.065(5)	2.035(9)	2.060(6)
Ir-P	2.2965(11)	2.292(2)	2.290(3)	2.270(2)
	2.3607(11)	2.306(2)	2.297(3)	2.275(2)
Ir-X	2.069	2.034	2.349(4)	
	2.014			
C=C	1.417(7)	1.436(15)		
	1.439(7)			
P-Ir-P	117.55(4)	162.28(7)	164.54(12)	167.69(7)
N-Ir-P	78.42(10)	81.41(17)	83.1(3)	84.17(17)
	82.47(11)	81.01(17)	82.3(3)	83.52(17)
P-Ir-X	105.79	85.47	96.55(14)	
	116.17	112.03	98.48(14)	
	99.67			
	122.02			
N-Ir-X	88.25	166.72	174.5(3)	
	173.39			
X-Ir-X	85.31			

^aX represents Cl or the centroid of a bound C=C bond.

^bData refer to the major conformation due to a disordered COD.



SCHEME 2 Synthesis of iridium hydride complexes of **1b**

The rather unsatisfactory synthesis of **2b** implicates the necessity of a second approach to iridium complexes of **1b**. Oxidative addition of H[**1b**] to $[Ir(\mu-Cl)(COD)]_2$ in benzene at room temperature generates forest green [**1b**] Ir(H)(Cl) (**3b**) in nearly quantitative yield (Scheme 2). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **3b** shows a diagnostic triplet resonance for its hydride ligand at -45.2 ppm with ²J_{HP} of 14 Hz. Subsequent metathetical reaction of **3b** with LiBHEt₃ in the presence of 1 atm of H₂ in toluene at room temperature produces red crystalline [**1b**]Ir(H)₂ (**4b**). Its hydride ligands resonate in the ¹H NMR spectrum at -25.5 ppm.

Crystals of **3b** suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were grown by layering pentane on top of a concentrated diethyl ether solution at -35° C while those of **4b** were grown from a concentrated diethyl ether solution at -35° C. Figures 3 and 4 depict their molecular structures. Similar to **2b**, the **1b** ligand in **3b** and **4b** is also bound in a meridional manner. The coordination geometry of **3b** is best described as square pyramidal with its hydride ligand at the apical position whereas that of **4b** is trigonal bipyramidal. Bond distances and angles of these complexes are not atypical.

The reactivity of complexes **2a** and **4b** was assessed with respect to catalytic hydrogenation of unsaturated hydrocarbons. As summarized in Table 2, these complexes are active catalyst precursors to hydrogenate olefins and alkynes under extremely mild conditions.

Styrene is successfully hydrogenated to give ethylbenzene with turnover numbers (TONs) up to 800 (entries 1–6) under the conditions examined. Carrying an ester functional group, *n*-butyl acrylate is quantitatively converted to *n*-butyl propanoate (entries 7–8). In contrast to these terminal olefins, internal alkenes are much less reactive due to their steric hindrance. The conversions of cyclohex-2-en-1-one, *cis*-cyclooctene (COE), and COD are poor to moderate (entries 9–14) though these reactions proceed cleanly.

Both terminal and internal alkynes are satisfactorily hydrogenated, having quantitative conversions to afford their corresponding products composed of alkenes and



FIGURE 3 Molecular structure of **3b** with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 35% probability level. All hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity

alkanes (entries 15–18). The consequence that alkynes and *n*-butyl acrylate are more reactive than other unsaturated substrates examined in this study is ascribable to higher π acidity of the former. Interestingly, stilbene, though an internal olefin that is produced from hydrogenation of diphenylacetylene (entries 17–18), is more reactive than styrene (entries 15–16). Attempts to hydrogenate nitriles,^[39–46] however, were not successful (entries 19–22). Collectively, the catalytic activity of **2a** is comparable to that of **4b**. Unsaturated substrates bearing aryl, ester, and ketone functional groups are compatible but nitriles are not.

3 | EXPERIMENTAL

3.1 | General procedures

Unless otherwise specified, all experiments were performed under nitrogen using standard Schlenk or glovebox techniques. All solvents were reagent grade or better and purified by standard methods. Compounds H[1a],^[20,28] H[1b],^[28] [1a]Li(THF)₂,^[20,28] [1b]Li(THF),^[28] and [Ir(μ -Cl)(COD)]₂^[47] were prepared following reported procedures. All other



FIGURE 4 Molecular structure of **4b** with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 35% probability level. All hydrogen atoms except hydrides are omitted for clarity

chemicals were obtained from commercial vendors and used as received. Unless otherwise noted, all NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature in specified solvents on Varian Unity or **Bruker AV** instruments. Chemical shifts (δ) are listed as parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane. Coupling constants (J) and peak widths at half-height $(\Delta v_{1/2})$ are listed in hertz. ¹H NMR spectra are referenced using the residual solvent peak at δ 7.16 for C₆D₆. ¹³C NMR spectra are referenced using the internal solvent peak at δ 128.39 for C₆D₆. The assignment of the carbon atoms for all new compounds is based on the DEPT ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. ³¹P NMR spectra are referenced externally using 85% H₃PO₄ at δ 0. Routine coupling constants are not listed. Elemental analysis was performed on a Heraeus CHN-O Rapid analyzer. The hydrogenation reactions were analyzed by GC on a Varian chrompack CP-3800 instrument equipped with a CP-Sil 5 CB chrompack capillary column. Conversions and yields were calculated versus decane as the internal standard. The identity of the hydrogenated products was confirmed by comparison with authentic samples.

3.2 | X-ray crystallography

Data were collected on a diffractometer with graphitemonochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.7107$ Å).



Entry	Substrate	Cat. (mol%)	Time (hr)	Conv. (%)	Product(s)	Yield (%)	TON ^b
1	Styrene	2a (0.5)	1	19	Ethylbenzene	19	38
2	Styrene	4b (0.5)	1	7	Ethylbenzene	7	14
3	Styrene	2a (0.5)	24	95	Ethylbenzene	95	190
4	Styrene	4b (0.5)	24	91	Ethylbenzene	91	182
5	Styrene	2a (0.05)	72	30	Ethylbenzene	30	600
6	Styrene	4b (0.05)	72	40	Ethylbenzene	40	800
7	<i>n</i> -Butyl acrylate	2a (0.5)	24	100	<i>n</i> -Butyl propanoate	100	200
8	<i>n</i> -Butyl acrylate	4b (0.5)	24	100	<i>n</i> -Butyl propanoate	100	200
9	Cyclohex-2-en-1-one	2a (0.5)	24	45	Cyclohexanone	45	90
10	Cyclohex-2-en-1-one	4b (0.5)	24	5	Cyclohexanone	5	10
11	cis-Cyclooctene	2a (0.5)	24	11	Cyclooctane	11	22
12	cis-Cyclooctene	4b (0.5)	24	10	Cyclooctane	10	20
13	1,5-Cyclooctadiene	2a (0.5)	24	29	cis-Cyclooctene	9	98
					Cyclooctane	20	
14	1,5-Cyclooctadiene	4b (0.5)	24	16	cis-Cyclooctene	14	36
					Cyclooctane	2	
15	Phenylacetylene	2a (0.5)	24	100	Styrene	60	280
					Ethylbenzene	40	
16	Phenylacetylene	4b (0.5)	24	100	Styrene	55	290
					Ethylbenzene	45	
17	Diphenylacetylene	2a (0.5)	24	100	Stilbene	12	376
					Dibenzyl	88	
18	Diphenylacetylene	4b (0.5)	24	100	Stilbene	2	396
					Dibenzyl	98	
19	Benzonitrile	2a (0.5)	24	0	NA	0	0
20	Benzonitrile	4b (0.5)	24	0	NA	0	0
21	Benzyl cyanide	2a (0.5)	24	0	NA	0	0
22	Benzyl cyanide	4b (0.5)	24	0	NA	0	0

^aConversions, product identity, and yields were characterized by GC with decane as the internal standard, average of two runs. Reaction time was not optimized.

^bSum of reduced bond order from olefins or alkynes per catalyst precursor.

Structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full matrix least squares procedures against F^2 using SHELXL-97^[48] or SHELXL-2014.^[49] All full-weight nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions. The COD ligand in **2b** is disordered in a ratio of 60:40 over two conformations. CCDC 1961799–1961802 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/ data_request/cif.

3.3 | Synthesis of [1a]Ir(η^4 -COD) (2a)

Solid [1a]Li(THF)₂ (102 mg, 0.148 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (4 ml) and cooled to -35° C. To this was added solid $[Ir(\mu-Cl)(COD)]_2$ (50 mg, 0.074 mmol, 0.5 equiv).

5

The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 hr and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The solid residue was extracted with benzene (5 ml), filtered through a pad of Celite, and evaporated to dryness. The solid thus obtained was washed with pentane $(1 \text{ ml} \times 2)$ and dried in vacuo, affording the product as a yellowish-orange solid; yield 122 mg (98%). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , 500 MHz) δ 7.75 (m, 4, Ar), 7.49 (t, 2, Ar), 7.46 (m, 2, Ar), 7.37 (t, 4, Ar), 7.10 (m, 6, Ar), 6.88 (t, 2, Ar), 6.82 (t, 2, Ar), 6.75 (t, 4, Ar), 6.60 (t, 2, Ar), 3.77 (m, 2, CH=CHCH₂), 3.17 (m, 2, CH=CHCH₂), 2.01 (m, 2, CH=CHCH₂), 1.58 (m, 2, CH=CHCH₂), 1.21 (m, 2, CH=CHCH₂), 1.11 (m, 2, CH=CHCH₂). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR $(C_6D_6, 202.31 \text{ MHz}) \delta 14.65 (\Delta v_{1/2} = 4.54).$ ¹³C{¹H} NMR $(C_6D_6, 125.70 \text{ MHz}) \delta 164.42 \text{ (d, } J_{CP} = 27.48, \text{ C}), 139.32 \text{ (dd,}$ $J_{\rm CP} = 43.87$ and 5.41, C), 137.79 (d, $J_{\rm CP} = 29.24$, C), 133.92 (vt, $J_{\rm CP} = 7.28$, CH), 133.47 (s, CH), 133.09 (vt, $J_{\rm CP} = 5.40$, CH), 130.02 (d, $J_{CP} = 53.97$, C), 130.21 (s, CH), 129.42 (s, CH), 129.13 (s, CH), 128.50 (vt, $J_{CP} = 11.04$, CH), 128.45 (vt, $J_{\rm CP}$ = 7.28, CH), 120.02 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ = 8.16, CH), 118.53 (d, $J_{\rm CP} = 2.76$, CH), 65.80 (s, CH=CHCH₂), 61.01 (dd, $J_{\rm CP} = 28.41$ and 5.41, CH=CHCH₂), 32.73 (s, CH=CHCH₂), 32.25 (s, CH=CHCH₂). Anal. Calcd. for C₄₄H₄₀IrNP₂: C, 63.14; H, 4.82; N, 1.67. Found: C, 63.25; H, 4.88; N, 1.76.

3.4 | Synthesis of [1b]Ir(η^2 -COD) (2b)

Procedures were similar to those of **2a** with the employment of [**1b**]Li(THF) in the place of [**1a**]Li(THF)₂, affording the product as an orange solid; yield 40%. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 200 MHz) δ 7.74 (d, 2, Ar), 6.99 (m, 4, Ar), 6.56 (t, 2, Ar), 6.06 (m, 1, unbound HC=CH), 5.82 (m, 1, unbound HC=CH), 3.35 (m, 2, bound HC=CH), 2.91 (m, 1, CHMe₂), 2.65 (m, 1, CHMe₂), 2.51 (m, 2, CHMe₂), 2.30 (br s, 8, CH₂ and CHMe₂), 1.72 (m, 4, CH₂), 1.33 (br s, 12, CHMe₂), 1.01 (br s, 8, CH₂ and CHMe₂). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 80.95 MHz) δ 28.77 (AB quartet). Anal. Calcd. for C₃₂H₄₈IrNP₂: C, 54.84; H, 6.90; N, 2.00. Found: C, 55.13; H, 7.12; N, 1.95.

3.5 | Synthesis of [1b]Ir(H)(Cl) (3b)

Solid H[**1b**] (300 mg, 0.747 mmol) was dissolved in benzene (10 ml). To this was added solid $[Ir(\mu-Cl)(COD)]_2$ (251 mg, 0.373 mmol, 0.5 equiv) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The solid residue was dissolved in a mixture of pentane (8 ml) and diethyl ether (4 ml). The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to ca. 0.5 ml. The solid thus precipitated was collected and dried in vacuo to afford the product as a forest green solid; yield 445 mg (95%). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 500 MHz)

δ 7.87 (d, 2, Ar), 7.04 (m, 2, Ar), 6.87 (t, 2, Ar), 6.52 (t, 2, Ar), 2.90 (m, 2, CHMe₂), 2.44 (m, 2, CHMe₂), 1.35 (dt, 6, CHMe₂), 1.18 (dt, 6, CHMe₂), 1.05 (dt, 6, CHMe₂), 0.94 (dt, 6, CHMe₂), -45.18 (t, 1, ${}^{2}J_{\rm HP} = 14$, IrH). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR (C₆D₆, 202.31 MHz) δ 44.17 ($\Delta v_{1/2} = 30.3$). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (C₆D₆, 125.70 MHz) δ 165.71 (t, $J_{\rm CP} = 6.4$, C), 133.14 (s, CH), 130.87 (s, CH), 122.42 (t, $J_{\rm CP} = 21.08$, C), 118.15 (s, CH), 117.57 (s, CH), 27.38 (t, $J_{\rm CP} = 13.68$, CHMe₂), 25.11 (t, $J_{\rm CP} = 15.56$, CHMe₂), 18.86 (s, CHMe₂), 18.49 (s, CHMe₂). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₄H₃₇ClIrNP₂: C, 45.82; H, 5.93; N, 2.23. Found: C, 45.94; H, 6.12; N, 1.95.

3.6 | Synthesis of [1b]Ir(H)₂ (4b)

To a toluene solution (2 ml) of 3b (59 mg, 0.093 mmol) was added LiBHEt₃ (0.1 ml, 1.0 M in THF, 0.1 mmol) at room temperature. The reaction solution was degassed with three times of freeze-pump-thaw cycles and charged with H_2 (1 atm) at room temperature. After being stirred at room temperature overnight, the reaction solution was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The product was extracted with diethyl ether (10 ml). The ether extract was filtered through a pad of Celite and evaporated to dryness to afford the product as a red solid; yield 51 mg (92%). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 500 MHz) δ 7.88 (d, 2, Ar), 7.07 (m, 4, Ar), 6.60 (t, 2, Ar), 2.11 (m, 4, CHMe₂), 1.18 (dd, 12, CHMe₂), 0.96 (dd, 12, CHMe₂), -25.51 (t, 2, ${}^{2}J_{HP} = 11.5$, IrH₂). ${}^{31}P$ {¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 202.31 MHz) δ 58.71 ($\Delta v_{1/2}$ = 33.9). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 125.70 MHz) δ 166.76 (t, $J_{CP} = 10.98$, C), 133.93 (s, CH), 131.40 (s, CH), 126.90 (t, $J_{CP} = 19.70$, C), 118.68 (s, CH), 115.94 (t, $J_{\rm CP}$ = 5.02, CH), 25.73 (t, ${}^{1}J_{CP} = 15.31, CHMe_{2}$, 20.46 (t, $J_{CP} = 3.20, CHMe_{2}$), 18.88 (s, CHMe₂). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₄H₃₈IrNP₂: C, 48.47; H, 6.44; N, 2.36. Found: C, 48.59; H, 6.56; N, 2.16.

3.7 | General procedures for catalytic hydrogenation of olefins and alkynes

A Schlenk flask was charged with a benzene solution (2 ml) of **2a** or **4b** (0.6 mM), olefin or alkyne, decane, and a magnetic stir bar (Table 2). The reaction solution was degassed with three times of freeze-pump-thaw cycles and charged with H_2 (1 atm) at room temperature. The reaction solution was stirred at room temperature for a prescribed period of time and an aliquot was taken for GC analysis.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

We have prepared amido PNP complexes of iridium by oxidative addition and metathetical reactions. The facial coordination mode of PNP found in 5-coordinate **2a** is unusual. Consistent with the electronic and steric nature of these PNP ligands, COD in **2a** is bound in a η^4 fashion whereas that in **2b** is η^2 . Not only were formally monovalent **2a** and **2b** prepared, but trivalent **3b** and **4b** were also characterized. Both **2a** and **4b** are active in catalytic hydrogenation of olefins and alkynes under extremely mild conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan for financial support (MOST 108-2113-M-110-007) and Mr. Ting-Shen Kuo (NTNU) for assistance on X-ray crystallography.

ORCID

Lan-Chang Liang ^b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8185-2824

REFERENCES

- H.-U. Blaser, F. Spindler, M. Thommen, in *The handbook of homogeneous hydrogenation* (Eds: J. G. de Vries, C. J. Elsevier), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany **2008**, p. 1279.
- [2] T. Zell, D. Milstein, Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 1979.
- [3] P. J. Chirik, Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 1687.
- [4] R. H. Morris, Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 1494.
- [5] S. Chakraborty, P. Bhattacharya, H. G. Dai, H. R. Guan, Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 1995.
- [6] S. Kaufhold, L. Petermann, R. Staehle, S. Rau, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2015, 304, 73.
- [7] R. Noyori, S. Hashiguchi, Acc. Chem. Res. 1997, 30, 97.
- [8] C. Pettinari, F. Marchetti, D. Martini, in *Comprehensive coordination chemistry II* (Eds: J. A. McCleverty, T. J. Meyer), Pergamon, Turkey, Oxford **2003**, p. 75.
- [9] J. A. Osborn, F. H. Jardine, J. F. Young, G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc. A 1966, 1711.
- [10] J. A. Osborn, G. Wilkinson, J. J. Mrowca, Inorg. Synth. 1967, 10, 67.
- [11] J. R. Shapley, R. R. Schrock, J. A. Osborn, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 2816.
- [12] R. R. Schrock, J. A. Osborn, J. Chem. Soc. D 1970, 567.
- [13] R. R. Schrock, J. A. Osborn, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 2397.
- [14] R. R. Schrock, J. A. Osborn, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 3089.
- [15] R. R. Schrock, J. A. Osborn, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 2134.
- [16] R. R. Schrock, J. A. Osborn, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 2143.
- [17] R. R. Schrock, J. A. Osborn, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 4450.
- [18] R. Crabtree, Acc. Chem. Res. 1979, 12, 331.
- [19] R. H. Crabtree, S. M. Morehouse, J. M. Quirk, *Inorg. Synth.* 1986, 24, 173.
- [20] L.-C. Liang, J.-M. Lin, C.-H. Hung, Organometallics 2003, 22, 3007.
- [21] L.-C. Liang, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 1152.
- [22] X.-R. Zou, S.-M. Liao, K.-W. Huang, L.-C. Liang, Chem. Lett. 2019, 48, 811.
- [23] C. Yao, P. Chakraborty, E. Aresu, H. Li, C. Guan, C. Zhou, L.-C. Liang, K.-W. Huang, *Dalton Trans.* 2018, 47, 16057.
- [24] E. Calimano, T. D. Tilley, Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 9250.

- [25] O. V. Ozerov, C. Y. Guo, V. A. Papkov, B. M. Foxman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 4792.
- [26] L. Fan, S. Parkin, O. V. Ozerov, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 16772.
- [27] L.-C. Liang, P.-S. Chien, Y.-L. Huang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 15562.
- [28] L.-C. Liang, P.-S. Chien, J.-M. Lin, M.-H. Huang, Y.-L. Huang, J.-H. Liao, Organometallics 2006, 25, 1399.
- [29] L.-C. Liang, P.-S. Chien, P.-Y. Lee, Organometallics 2008, 27, 3082.
- [30] L.-C. Liang, Y.-T. Hung, Y.-L. Huang, P.-S. Chien, P.-Y. Lee, W.-C. Chen, Organometallics 2012, 31, 700.
- [31] Y.-T. Hung, M.-T. Chen, M.-H. Huang, T.-Y. Kao, Y.-S. Liu, L.-C. Liang, *Inorg. Chem. Front.* **2014**, *1*, 405.
- [32] L.-C. Liang, P.-S. Chien, L.-H. Song, J. Organomet. Chem. 2016, 804, 30.
- [33] M.-H. Huang, L.-C. Liang, Organometallics 2004, 23, 2813.
- [34] L.-C. Liang, J.-M. Lin, W.-Y. Lee, Chem. Commun. 2005, 2462.
- [35] L.-C. Liang, P.-S. Chien, P.-Y. Lee, J.-M. Lin, Y.-L. Huang, *Dalton Trans.* 2008, 3320.
- [36] P.-Y. Lee, L.-C. Liang, Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 5480.
- [37] L.-C. Liang, C.-W. Li, P.-Y. Lee, C.-H. Chang, H. Man Lee, Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 9004.
- [38] L.-C. Liang, P.-S. Chien, Y.-C. Hsiao, C.-W. Li, C.-H. Chang, J. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 696, 3961.
- [39] H. Li, A. Al-Dakhil, D. Lupp, S. S. Gholap, Z. Lai, L.-C. Liang, K.-W. Huang, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 6430.
- [40] D. B. Bagal, B. M. Bhanage, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 883.
- [41] S. Chakraborty, D. Milstein, ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 3968.
- [42] S. Chakraborty, G. Leitus, D. Milstein, *Chem. Commun.* 2016, 52, 1812.
- [43] S. Elangovan, C. Topf, S. Fischer, H. J. Jiao, A. Spannenberg,
 W. Baumann, R. Ludwig, K. Junge, M. Beller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 8809.
- [44] A. Mukherjee, D. Srimani, S. Chakraborty, Y. Ben-David, D. Milstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 8888.
- [45] C. Bornschein, S. Werkmeister, B. Wendt, H. J. Jiao, E. Alberico, W. Baumann, H. Junge, K. Junge, M. Beller, *Nat. Commun.* 2014, 5, 4111.
- [46] S. Chakraborty, H. Berke, ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 2191.
- [47] J. L. Herde, J. C. Lambert, C. V. Senoff, M. A. Cushing, *Inorg. Synth.* 1974, 15, 18.
- [48] G. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst. A 2008, 64, 112.
- [49] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst. C 2015, 71, 3.

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES

Mei-Hui Huang received her MS in 2008 from National Sun Yat-sen University. Her research under the guidance of Professor Lan-Chang Liang was focused on coordination chemistry and homogeneous catalysis employing main group and transition metal complexes of amido phosphine derived chelates. She is currently a PhD student at the King Abdullah University of Science and Technology with Professor Kuo-Wei Huang.

7

Xue-Ru Zou is currently carrying out her PhD studies at National Sun Yat-sen University under the guidance of Professor Lan-Chang Liang after obtaining BS degree in 2015 from Chia Nan University of Pharmacy and Science. Her research interests center around organometallic chemistry and homogeneous catalysis with metal complexes of phenolate or anilide phosphine chelates.

Lan-Chang Liang is a Distinguished Professor of Chemistry at National Sun Yat-sen University and a joint Professor of Medicinal and Applied Chemistry at Kaohsiung Medical University, Taiwan. He undertook his PhD studies in 1995-1999 at Massachusetts Institute of Technology with Professor Richard R. Schrock on early transition metal chemistry of complexes containing amido chelates. After a postdoctoral stay with Professor T. Daniel P. Stack at Stanford University studying functional molecular models of copper oxygenases, he began his independent career in 2000 at NSYSU where his research program focuses on the development and application of new mismatched coordination compounds, particularly those competent in inert chemical bond activation and subsequent catalytic functionalization. His work has been recognized by Thieme Chemistry Journals Award (2006), one of top international inorganic chemists under 40 years of age (Inorganica Chimica Acta 2007), and Chemical Society of Japan with Distinguished Lectureship Award (2008).

How to cite this article: Huang M-H, Zou X-R, Liang L-C. Amido PNP complexes of iridium: Synthesis and catalytic olefin and alkyne hydrogenation. *J Chin Chem Soc.* 2019;1–8. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1002/jccs.201900464