Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 7623-7625

COMMUNICATION

Control of selectivity in the generation and reactions of oxonium ylides[†]

Deana M. Jaber, Ryan N. Burgin, Matthew Hepler, Peter Zavalij and Michael P. Doyle*

Received 26th April 2011, Accepted 23rd May 2011 DOI: 10.1039/c1cc12443a

Dirhodium catalyzed reactions of aryl-substituted tetrahydropyranone diazoacetoacetates produce ylide intermediates that unexpectedly yield two oxabicyclo[4.2.1]-nonane diastereoisomers, but a single diastereoisomer is formed by increasing the steric bulk of the aryl substituent.

The synthesis and controlled reactions of oxonium ylides formed through catalytic reactions of diazocarbonyl compounds with ethers¹ have high potential for the construction of diverse natural products.² In our search for viable substrates that could take advantage of oxonium ylide chemistry, we considered the tetrahydro-4-pyranone framework 3 which is accessible in a two step synthetic process from synthetically available reactants (Scheme 1). The hetero-Diels-Alder reaction has numerous variants,³ including those that are highly enantioselective.⁴ The subsequent Mukaiyama-Michael reaction has recently been reported to occur in high yield⁵ and exceptional diastereocontrol is well known in Lewis acid catalyzed reactions of 1 with silvl enol ethers.⁶ We anticipated then that transition metal catalyzed reaction of 3 would form oxonium ylide 4 and from this reaction intermediate the resultant [1,2]-rearrangement product 5 would be obtained with high selectivity.7 Consistent with this expectation, West and coworkers have reported that a similar sixmembered ring diazoketone underwent [1,2]-rearrangement with complete stereoretention, which occurred in competition with C-H insertion and elimination (Scheme 2).^{8b} The structural oxabicvclo[4.2.1]nonane framework is found in a number of



Scheme 1 Strategy for the synthesis of the oxabicyclo[4.2.1]-nonane.



Scheme 2 Stereoretentive [1,2] rearrangement and C-H insertion.



Scheme 3 Coupled hetero-Diels–Alder and highly diastereoselective Mukaiyama–Michael reactions.

natural products, 9 and a limited number of approaches have been used for their synthesis. 10

Phenyldihydropyranone **6a** was prepared by $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ mediated hetero-Diels–Alder reaction between benzaldehyde and Danishefsky's diene.¹¹ This process was followed by the Mukaiyama–Michael reaction of **6a** with methyl 3-(*tert*-butyldimethylsilanoxy)-2-diazo-3-butenoate **2** catalyzed by Zn(OTf)₂ (1.0 mol%) in refluxing dichloromethane.⁵ After hydrolysis and purification, **7a** was isolated in 99% yield (Scheme 3) and was determined to be solely the *trans* isomer by NOE analysis (see supporting information†). This high stereocontrol is general for reactions of **2** with all 6-substituted 5,6-dihydro-4-pyranones.

Dinitrogen extrusion catalyzed by 1.0 mol% of dirhodium (perfluorobutyrate) $[Rh_2(pfb)_4]$ in refluxing dichloromethane produced, after chromatography, a white solid that was the oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonan-4,8-dione product **8** in 77% isolated yield (Scheme 4). No evidence was obtained for the product from C–H insertion into the C–H bond adjacent to the phenyl substituent of **7a**. Because the *trans* isomer **7a** was the reactant, and analogous to the process described in Scheme 2, we expected that the final product would also have that same stereochemistry. However, the reaction resulted in a mixture of two stereoisomers in a 71:29



Scheme 4 Products from Rh(II) catalyzed dinitrogen extrusion with diazo substituted tetrahydropyranones.

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA. E-mail: mdoyle3@umd.edu † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: General procedure for compounds 6a-e, 7a-e and 8a-e. Characterization data and ¹H and ¹³C NMR for all oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonanes. CCDC 826314 and 826315. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c1cc12443a



Fig. 1 Views of (a) *syn-8a* and (b) *anti-8a* showing the anisotropic atomic displacement ellipsoids for the non-hydrogen atoms at the 30% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are displayed with an arbitrarily small radius.

molar ratio that were chromatographically and spectrally distinguishable. The isomer ratio was invariant with common ligands on dirhodium (tfa = trifluoroacetate, OAc, cap = caprolactamate) that cover a broad range of electronic influences.¹² Solvent and temperature influences were also minor.¹³ ¹H NMR spectroscopic evaluation showed that the two compounds were constitutionally identical and had the same connectivity. Spectral analysis provided their identification as the *anti* and *syn* stereoisomers of 1-carbomethoxy-2-phenyl-9-oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonan-4,8-dione **8a**, but only through the crystal structures of the major isomer (71% of the total) and the minor isomer (29% of total) could their identities as the major and minor isomers be established (Fig. 1). Note that the original *trans*-stereochemistry of the reactant **7a** (positions 2 and 6) is formally inverted in forming *anti*-**8a**.

We investigated the influence of substituents at the paraposition on the benzene ring in 7 on the ratio of syn-8a to anti-8a. The results from this investigation are reported in Table 1. The catalytic dinitrogen extrusion/metal carbene-derived reactions of 7 with the strongly electron-withdrawing p-NO₂ 7b and p-CF₃ 7c substituents were remarkably clean, and isolation of anti-8 and syn-8 from both substrates was achieved in very high yield. Compounds 7d and 7e, which have electron-donating para substituents, produced elimination products trans-9d and cis-9e (Fig. 2) in competition with [1,2]-rearrangement products anti-8 and syn-8. The ratios of syn-8(d or e) to anti-8(d or e) from 7d or 7e were the same, within experimental error, as those from 7a with the same catalyst. Also, the *trans*-9(d and e) to *cis*-9(d and e) ratios were remarkably similar to those of the corresponding ratios of syn-8a to anti-8a, suggesting that the diastereoselection established in the formation of 8 and 9 could both be determined in the ylide formation step.

How did this apparent "isomerism" arise? The most common explanation is that the cause of this erosion of stereochemical

 Table 1 Influence of phenyl substituents on product ratio^a

Entry	Z =	Yield 8, $\%^b$	syn-8: anti-8 ^c	Yield 9, $\%^d$	trans-9 : cis-9
1	Н	77	71:29	Trace	_
2	NO_2	94	74:26	Trace	
3	CF ₃	92	74:26	Trace	
4	Me	55	69:31	14	64:36
5	OMe	22	69:31	16	58:42

^{*a*} Reactions were performed in refluxing CH₂Cl₂ for 2 h using 1.0 mol% of Rh₂(pfb)₄. Results reported are averages of two or more reactions. ^{*b*} Weight yield of isolated *anti-8* and *syn-8* products following chromatographic separation. ^{*c*} Product ratio determined by ¹H NMR analysis with variance of $\pm 4\%$. ^{*d*} NMR yield of *trans-9* and *cis-9* products determined by the use of benzaldehyde as an internal standard.



Fig. 2 Elimination products from reactions of 7d (Z = Me) and 7e (Z = OMe) with $Rh_2(pfb)_4$.

retention is a stepwise mechanism involving radical or ion pairs in the formation of **8** from the ylide precursor.^{8,14} The lack of product dependence on catalyst suggests that the catalyst is not bound to the ylide during the product forming step. In the case of diazoacetoacetate **7** the stepwise mechanism would involve ylide formation followed by homolytic or heterolytic cleavage of the benzyl-oxygen bond, then bond rotation at the benzyl carbon and ring closure to form the observed *anti-8* and *syn-8* products. However, the absence of a substituent effect on the isomer ratio and the production of a single ylide-derived isomer from a comparable diazoketone (Scheme 2) suggests that other factors may be operating, one of which could be conformational (*e.g.*, one conformational isomer of **7** forming *syn-8* while a second conformer of **7** forms *anti-8*).

To evaluate the influence of conformational factors several bulky aryl groups of **7** were examined for their suitability in ylide formation and [1,2]-rearrangement. The mesityl (**10**) and the 9-anthranyl (**12**) derivatives were screened in the dirhodium(II) catalyzed dinitrogen extrusion reaction. Single ylide-derived isomers [*syn*-**11** from **10** (eqn (1)) and *syn*-**13** from **12** (eqn (2))¹⁵] were observed without evidence for the anti-isomer, as determined by ¹H NMR data, but they were formed in less than 50% yield as mixtures with other reaction products. However, dirhodium(II)-catalyzed reaction of the 2,6-dimethyl-4-nitrophenyl derivative (**14**) proved to be relatively free of competing reactions, and dinitrogen extrusion of **14**, catalyzed by Rh₂(pfb)₄, formed *syn*-**15** in 77% isolated yield without a measurable contribution (¹H NMR) from the potential *anti*-**15** diastereomer (eqn (3)).



In conclusion, dirhodium carboxylate catalyzed ylide generation with aryl-substituted tetrahydropyranone diazo-acetoacetates and their subsequent [1,2]-rearrangement forms two diastereoisomers with the oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonane framework. para-Substituents on the 2-substituted aromatic ring were expected to influence the stability of intermediates for this reaction if formed from the ylide by homolytic or heterolytic cleavage, but the ratio of these two diastereoisomers was independent of *para*-substituents. However, using the same catalysts and conditions with aryl-substituted tetrahydropyranone diazoacetoacetates in which the steric bulk of the 2-arvl group is substantially increased produced a single diastereoisomer. The importance of the size of the aryl group, coupled with the absence of a substituent effect on the ratio of oxabicyclo[4.2.1]-nonane diastereomers suggests that conformational influences may be responsible for the apparent isomerism; in this case each reacting conformer forms a different ylide-derived intermediate by reaction of the rhodium carbene with either the axial or equatorial lone pair of electrons on oxygen. Rearrangement of each of these two non-equilibrating ylides would then form a distinct diastereoisomeric product. However, our results do not rule out the long held dissociation-rearrangement-recombination pathway,8 and further investigations are underway to delineate the mechanism of this and related transformations.

We are grateful to the National Institutes of Health (GM 465030) for their support of this research.

Notes and references

- (a) A.-H. Li, L.-X. Dai and V. K. Aggarwal, Chem. Rev., 1997, 97, 2341–2372; (b) M. P. Doyle, M. A. McKervey and T. Ye, Modern Catalytic Methods for Organic Synthesis with Diazo Compounds, Wiley, New York, 1998; (c) L. Yet, Chem. Rev., 2000, 100, 2963–3008; (d) Nitrogen, Oxygen and Sulfur Ylide Chemistry. A Practical Approach in Chemistry, ed. J. S. Clark, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002; (e) F. G. West, Modern Rhodium-Catalyzed Organic Reactions, ed. P. A. Evans, Wiley-VCH, New York, 2005, ch. 18; (f) G. Desimoni, G. Faita and K. A. Jørgensen, Chem. Rev., 2006, 106, 3561–3651; (g) H. Wee and G. H. Andrew, Curr. Org. Synth., 2006, 3, 499–555; (h) J. B. Sweeney, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 1027–1038.
- 2 (a) F. P. Marmsäter and F. G. West, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 5144–5145; (b) J. S. Clark, T. C. Fessard and C. Wilson, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 1773–1776; (c) J. S. Clark, C. A. Baxter and J. L. Castro, Synthesis, 2005, 3398–3404; (d) J. S. Clark, T. C. Fessard and G. A. Whitlock, Tetrahedron, 2005, 62, 73–78; (e) T. Yakura, W. Muramatsu and J. Uenishi, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 2005, 53, 989–994; (f) J. S. Clark, S. T. Hayes, C. Wilson and L. Gobbi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 437–440; (g) J. S. Clark, C. A. Baxter, A. G. Dossetter and W. G. Poigny, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 1040–1055; (h) D. M. Hodgson, D. Angrish, S. P. Erickson, J. Kloesges and C. H. Lee, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 5553–5556.
- 3 (a) L. F. Tietze and G. Kettschau, in Stereoselective Heterocyclic Synthesis, ed. I. P. Metz, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997; (b) K. C. Nicolaou and E. J. Sorensen, in Classics in Total Synthesis: Targets, Strategies, Methods, ed. H. Waldmann, VCH, New York, 1996; (c) D. L. Boger and S. H. Weinreb, in Hetero-Diels-Alder Methodology in Organic Synthesis, ed. H. H. Wasserman, Academic Press, San Diego, 1996; (d) K. A. Jørgensen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2000, **39**, 3558–3588; (e) K. Maruoka, in Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis, ed. I. Ojima, VCH, New York, 2000; (f) S. Reymond and J. Cossy, Chem. Rev., 2008, **108**, 5359–5406.
- 4 (a) E. N. Jacobsen, T. F. Jamison and A. G. Dossetter, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1999, 38, 2398–2400; (b) M. P. Doyle, I. M. Phillips and W. Hu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 5366–5367; (c) K. Ding, J. Long, J. Hu, S. Xiaoqiang and B. Ji, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 10–11; (d) M. Anada, T. Washio, N. Shimada, S. Kitagaki, M. Nakajima, M. Shiro and S. Hashimoto, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 2665–2668; (e) M. P. Doyle, M. Valenzuela and P. Huang, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2004, 101, 5391–5395; (f) A. K. Unni, N. Takenaka,

H. Yamamoto and V. H. Rawal, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, **127**, 1336–1337; (g) D. E. Chavez and E. N. Jacobsen, Org. Synth., 2005, **82**, 34–42; (h) X. Li, X. Meng, H. Su, X. Wu and D. Xu, Synlett, 2008, **6**, 857–860; (i) H. Du, X. Zhang, Z. Wang, H. Bao, T. You and K. Ding, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2008, **13**, 2248–2254; (j) Y. Watanabe, T. Washio, N. Shimada, M. Anada and S. Hashimoto, Chem. Commun., 2009, 7294–7296; (k) H. Pellissier, Tetrahedron, 2009, **65**, 2839–2877.

- 5 Y. Liu, Yu. Zhang, N. Jee and M. P. Doyle, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 1605–1608.
- 6 (a) M. Anada, T. Washio, Y. Watanabe, K. Takeda and S. Hashimoto, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, 6850–6854;
 (b) J. C. Jewett and V. H. Rawal, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, 46, 6502–6504; (c) A. B. Smith III, T. M. Razler, J. P. Ciavarri, T. Hirose and T. Ishikawa, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, 7, 4399–4402;
 (d) Y. Yamashita, S. Saito, H. Ishitani and S. Kobayashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, 125, 3783–3798.
- 7 Representative examples for [1,2]-rearrangements of oxonium ylides: (a) M. P. Doyle, D. G. Ene, D. C. Forbes and J. S. Tedrow, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **38**, 4367–4370; (b) A. Oku and M. Numata, J. Org. Chem., 2000, **65**, 1899–1906; (c) N. P. Karche, S. M. Jachak and D. D. Dhavale, J. Org. Chem., 2001, **66**, 6323–6332; (d) S. J. Clark, G. Whitlock, S. Jiang and N. Onvia, Chem. Commun., 2003, 2578–2579; (e) F. P. Marmsäter, G. K. Murphy and F. G. West, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, **125**, 14724–14725; (f) Y. Sawada, T. Mori and A. Oku, J. Org. Chem., 2003, **68**, 10040–10045; (g) M. P. Doyle, K. Kundu and A. Russell, Org. Lett., 2005, **7**, 5171–5174; (h) J. S. Clark, S. B. Walls, C. Wilson, S. P. East and M. J. Drysdale, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, 323–327.
- 8 (a) W. Kirmse, P. V. Chiem and V. Schurig, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1985, 26, 197–200; (b) F. G. West, T. H. Eberlein and R. W. Tester, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1993, 2857–2859; (c) F. G. West and B. N. Naidu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, 116, 8420–8421; (d) F. P. Marmsäter, J. A. Vanecko and F. G. West, *Org. Lett.*, 2004, 6, 1657–1660; (e) A. Oku, Y. Sawada, M. Schroeder, I. Higashikubo, T. Yoshida and S. Ohki, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, 69, 1331–1336; (f) G. K. Murphy and F. G. West, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, 7, 1801–1804.
- 9 (a) P. Cai, A. T. McPhail, E. Krainer, B. Katz, C. Pearce, C. Boros, B. Caceres, D. Smith and D. R. Houck, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1999, 40, 1479–1482; (b) K.-I. Takao, G. Watanabe, H. Yasui and K.-I. Tadano, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, 4, 2941–2943; (c) W.-L. Xiao, Y.-Q. Gong, R. R. Wang, Z.-Y. Weng, X. Luo, X.-N. Li, G.-Y. Yang, F. He, J.-X. Pu, L.-M. Yang, Y.-T. Zheng, Y. Lu and H.-D. Sun, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2009, 72, 1678–1681.
- (a) R. Benhaddou, S. Czernecki and G. Ville, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 4612–4616; (b) J. Ramnauth, O. Poulin, S. S. Bratovanov, S. Rakhit and S. P. Maddaford, Org. Lett., 2001, 3, 2571–2573; (c) F. P. Marmsäter, G. K. Murphy and F. G. West, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 14724–14725; (d) K.-I. Takao, H. Yasui, S. Yamamoto, D. Sasaki, S. Kawasaki, G. Watanabe and K.-I. Tadano, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 8789–8795; (e) G. Kumaraswamy, G. Ramakrishna, P. Naresh, B. Jagadeesh and B. Sridhar, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 8468–8471.
- 11 (a) S. J. Danishefsky, J. F. Kerwin Jr and S. Kobayashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 358–360; (b) S. J. Danishefsky, E. Larson, D. Askin and N. Kato, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 1246–1255.
- (a) A. Padwa, D. J. Austin, S. F. Hornbuckle, M. A. Semones, M. P. Doyle and M. N. Protopopova, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 1874–1896; (b) A. Padwa and D. J. Austin, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 1797–1815.
- 13 Reactions were performed in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature, at 40 °C in toluene and in refluxing DCE all at the same reaction time.
- 14 (a) R. W. Jemison and D. G. Morris, *Chem. Commun.*, 1969, 1226–1227; (b) M. J. S. Dewar and C. Ramsden, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans. 1*, 1974, 1839–1844; (c) W. D. Ollis, M. Rey and I. O. Sutherland, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1975, 543–545; (d) W. D. Ollis, M. Rey and I. O. Sutherland, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1983, 1009–1027; (e) I. G. Starà, M. Tichy, J. Závada and V. Hanus, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 5084–5088.
- 15 In this case, the yield was lower with Rh₂(pfb)₄ (31%).