# Potent V<sub>2</sub>/V<sub>1a</sub> Vasopressin Antagonists with C-Terminal Ethylenediamine-Linked Retro-Amino Acids<sup>†,‡</sup>

Maurice Manning,\* Jozef Przybylski, & Zbigniew Grzonka, Eleonora Nawrocka,§ Bernard Lammek, & Aleksandra Misicka,<sup>||</sup> and Ling Ling Cheng

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Medical College of Ohio, P.O. Box 10008, Toledo, Ohio 43699-0008

W. Y. Chan

Department of Pharmacology, Cornell University Medical College, New York, New York 10021

# Nga Ching Wo<sup>⊥</sup> and Wilbur H. Sawyer

Department of Pharmacology, College of Physicians and Surgeons of Columbia University, 630 West 168th Street, New York, New York 10032

## Received March 17, 1992

We report the solid-phase synthesis and antagonistic potencies of 25 analogues (1-25) of  $[1-(\beta-mercapto \beta,\beta$ -pentamethylenepropionic acid), 2-O-ethyl-D-tyrosine, 4-valine]arginine-vasopressin (d(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>D-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>-VAVP) (A) and of the related Ile<sup>4</sup> (D) and [D-Phe<sup>2</sup>,Ile<sup>4</sup>] (E) analogues, potent antagonists of the antidiuretic  $(V_2$ -receptor) and of the vasopressor  $(V_{1a}$ -receptor) responses to arginine-vasopressin (AVP). Six of these peptides (1, 13, 17, 19, 21, and 23) have the Pro-Arg-Gly-NH2 tripeptide side chain fully or partially replaced or extended by ethylenediamine (Eda). The remaining 19 peptides have L- or D-amino acids retrolinked to these six C-terminal Eda peptides. Peptides 1, 13, 17, and 19 all have the ring structure of (A). Their side-chain structures are as follows: 1, Eda; 13, Pro-Eda; 17, Pro-Arg-Eda; 19, Arg-Gly-Eda. Peptide 21 is the Pro-Arg-Eda analogue of D; peptide 23 is the Pro-Arg-Gly-Eda analogue of E. Peptide 2 is the retro-Arg analogue of 1. Its side-chain structure is Eda - Arg. Peptides 3-6 are analogues of 2 which have the D-Tyr-(Et)<sup>2</sup> residue replaced by L-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup> (3), D-Phe<sup>2</sup> (4), D-Ile<sup>2</sup> (5), or D-Leu<sup>2</sup> (6), respectively. Peptides 7-12 are analogues of 2 which have the C-terminal retro-Arg replaced in retrofashion by D-Arg (7), Gly (8), Orn (9), D-Orn (10), D-Lys (11), or Arg-Arg (12). Peptides 14-16 have D-Orn (14), D-Lys (15), and D-Arg (16) retrosubstituted to peptide 13. Peptides 18, 20, and 22 are the retro-Arg-substituted analogues of 17, 19, and 21, respectively. Peptides 24 and 25 have Val and D-Val in retrolinkage with 23, respectively. All 25 peptides were examined for agonistic and antagonistic potencies in  $AVP V_2/V_{1a}$  assays. With the exception of peptides 5 and 6, all exhibit potent anti- $V_{1a}$  antagonism, with anti- $V_{1a}$  pA<sub>2</sub> values in the range 7.64-8.33. Peptides 1-25 exhibit the following anti-V<sub>2</sub> pA<sub>2</sub> values: 1, 7.07 ± 0.05; 2, 7.54 ± 0.10; 3, 6.39 ± 0.04; 4, 6.91 ± 0.06; 5,  $\sim$ 5.8; 6, <5.5; 7, 7.95 ± 0.10; 8, 6.59 ± 0.02; 9, 7.55 ± 0.03; 10, 7.24 ± 0.05; 11, 7.76 ± 0.07; 12, 7.61 ± 0.09; 13, 7.64  $\pm$  0.07; 14, 7.92  $\pm$  0.05; 15, 7.78  $\pm$  0.09; 16, 7.92  $\pm$  0.05; 17, 7.79  $\pm$  0.04; 18, 7.68  $\pm$  0.06; 19, 8.03  $\pm$ 0.08; **20**,  $7.87 \pm 0.05$ ; **21**,  $8.00 \pm 0.12$ ; **22**,  $8.10 \pm 0.09$ ; **23**,  $8.10 \pm 0.10$ ; **24**,  $8.10 \pm 0.08$ ; **25**,  $8.09 \pm 0.09$ . Comparison of the anti-V<sub>2</sub> potencies of peptides 1-6 clearly shows the superiority of the D-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup> substitution in leading to retention and enhancement of  $V_2$  antagonism in this series. With only one exception (peptide 8), the retromodified peptides exhibit either full retention and in a number of cases (2, 7, 9-12, 14, and 16) a 1.5-7.5-fold enhancement of  $V_2$  antagonism compared to their respective parent C-terminal Eda peptides. The retro-Arg-substituted Ile<sup>4</sup> peptide 22 exhibits a 2-fold enhancement of anti- $V_2$  potency relative to its Val<sup>4</sup> counterpart 18. The retromodified peptides 24 and 25, which possess extensions at the C-terminal, also exhibit good retention of  $V_2$  antagonism. Many of these retrosubstituted peptides are as potent as the most potent  $V_2$  antagonists reported to date. Some of these may be orally active. These findings point to the usefulness of Eda retromodifications in the design of AVP antagonists. Furthermore they provide useful clues to the design of (a) more potent and selective AVP antagonists and (b) novel photoaffinity and radioiodinated ligands as probes of AVP receptors.

We reported the first effective antagonists of the antidiuretic ( $V_2$ -receptor) responses to arginine-vasopressin (AVP).<sup>1,2</sup> These early  $V_2$  antagonists were also potent vasopressor ( $V_{1a}$ -receptor) antagonists.<sup>2</sup> Since then we and others have carried out extensive structural modifications of the early lead molecules in attempts (a)

to enhance their antiantidiuretic potency, their selectivity, and their oral bioavailability and (b) to determine the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>This paper is dedicated to Professor Ralph Hirschmann on the occasion of his 70th birthday. It is a pleasure to participate in this tribute to his pioneering contributions and inspiring leadership in the peptide field.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Visiting Investigator from University of Gdansk, Poland.

Visiting Investigator from the University of Wroclaw, Poland. Visiting Investigator from the University of Warsaw, Poland.

Present address, Department of Pharmacology, Cornell University Medical College, New York, NY.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Symbols and abbreviations are in accordance with the recommendations of the IUPAC-IUB Commission on Biochemical Nomenclature (Eur. J. Biochem. 1984, 138, 9). All amino acids are in the L configuration unless otherwise noted. Other abbreviations used are as follows:  $d(CH_2)_{5D}$  $Tyr(Et)^2 VAVP$ , [1-( $\beta$ -mercapto- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -pentamethylenepropionic acid), 2-Oethyl-D-tyrosine,4-valine]arginine-vasopressin; d(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>[D-Phe<sup>2</sup>,Ile<sup>4</sup>]AVP,  $[1-(\beta-mercapto-\beta,\beta-pentamethylenepropionic acid), 2-D-phenylalanine, 4-(\beta-mercapto-\beta,\beta-pentamethylenepropionic acid), 2-(\beta-mercapto-\beta,\beta-pentamethylenepropionic acid), 2-(\beta-mercapto-\beta,\beta-pen$  $\begin{array}{l} r_{1} \rightarrow r_{1} \rightarrow r_{2} \rightarrow r_{2}$ vasopressin;  $d(CH_2)_{\delta}[D-Tyr(Et)^2,I]e^4]AVP$ ,  $[1-(\beta-mercapto-\beta,\beta-penta-methylenepropionic acid),2-O-ethyl-D-tyrosine,4-isoleucine]arginine-vasopressin; AVP, arginine-vasopressin; Eda, ethylenediamine; DMF, dimethylformamide; DCC, dicyclohexylcarbodiimide; Boc, tert-butylox$ ycarbonyl; Bzl, benzyl; Tos, tosyl; AcOH, acetic acid; TFA, trifluoroacetic acid; HOBt, N-hydroxybenzotriazole; ONp, p-nitrophenyl ester; EtaN, triethylamine; Et<sub>2</sub>O, diethyl ether, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, methylene chloride.

minimum pharmacophore required for  $V_2/V_{1a}$  antagonism<sup>3-25</sup> (for reviews see refs 26–34). One of the early promising  $V_2/V_{1a}$  antagonists to emerge from these studies was  $[1-\beta$ -mercapto- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -pentamethylenepropionic acid),2-O-ethyl-D-tyrosine,4-valine]arginine-vasopressin (d(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>D-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>VAVP) (A, Table II).<sup>3</sup> d(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>D-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>VAVP has the following structure:



Modifications at position 2 with D-Phe, D-Ile, and D-Leu coupled with Abu or Ile substitutions at position 4 brought about enhancements of anti-V<sub>2</sub> potency and/or anti-V<sub>2</sub>/ anti- $V_{1a}$  selectivity.<sup>4-6</sup> We and others later found that the C-terminal glycine or glycine amide in  $d(CH_2)_{5D}$ -Tyr-(Et)<sup>2</sup>VAVP and/or in related analogues could be deleted or replaced by a wide variety of amino acids and by ethylenediamine (Eda,-NH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>) with full retention of  $V_2$  and  $V_{1a}$  antagonistic potencies.  $^{7\text{--}11,15,16,18}$  It was

 W. H. Synthetic antagonists of in vivo antidiuretic and vasopressor responses to arginine-vasopressin. J. Med. Chem. 1981, 24, 701-706.
 (3) Manning, M.; Olma, A.; Klis, W. A.; Kolodziejczyk, A. M.; Seto, J.; Sawyer, W. H. Design of more potent antagonists of the antidiuretic intervences of the set of th response to arginine-vasopressin. J. Med. Chem. 1982, 25, 45–50. (4) Manning, M.; Klis, W. A.; Olma, A.; Seto, J.; Sawyer, W. H. Design

of more potent and selective antagonists of the antidiuretic responses to arginine-vasopressin devoid of antidiuretic agonism. J. Med. Chem. 1982, 25, 414-419.

(5) Manning, M.; Olma, A.; Klis, W. A.; Seto, J.; Sawyer, W. H. Potent antagonists of the antidiuretic response to arginine-vasopressin based on modifications of  $[1-(\beta-\text{mercapto}-\beta,\beta-\text{cyclopentamethylenepropionic ac-}$ id),2-D-phenylalanine,4-valine]arginine-vasopressin at position 4. J. Med. Chem. 1983, 26, 1607–1613.

(6) Manning, M.; Nawrocka, E.; Misicka, A.; Olma, A.; Klis, W. A.; Seto, J.; Sawyer, W. H. Potent and selective antagonists of the antidiuretic response to arginine-vasopressin based on modifications of  $[1-\beta$ -mercapto- $\beta,\beta$ -pentamethylenepropionic acid), 2-D-isoleucine,4-valine]arginine-va-

p, b-pentamethytenepropionic acid, 2-D-isoleucine, 4-valine Jarginine-va-sopressin at position 4. J. Med. Chem. 1984, 27, 423-429.
(7) Manning, M.; Olma, A.; Klis, W.; Kolodziejczyk, A.; Nawrocka, E.; Misicka, A.; Seto, J.; Sawyer, W. H. Carboxy terminus of vasopressin required for activity but not binding. Nature 1984, 308, 652-653.
(8) Manning, M.; Misicka, A.; Stoev, S.; Nawrocka, E.; Klis, W. A.; Olma, A.; Bankowski, K.; Lammek, B.; Sawyer, W. H. Studies leading to orally active anteroxists of the activity of the distribution of the set of the s

orally active antagonists of the antiduretic (V<sub>2</sub>) and vasopressor (V<sub>1</sub>) responses to arginine vasopressin (AVP). In *Peptides: Structure and Function*; Deber, C. M., Hruby, V. J., Kopple, K. D., Eds.; Pierce Chemical Co.: Rockford, IL, 1985; 599–602.

(9) Manning, M.; Misicka, A.; Olma, A.; Klis, W. A.; Bankowski, K.; Nawrocka, E.; Kruszynski, M.; Kolodziejczyk, A.; Cheng, L.-L.; Seto, J.; Wo, N. C.; and Sawyer, W. H. C-terminal deletions in agonistic and antagonistic analogs of vasopressin that improve their specificities for antidiuretic (V2) and vasopressor (V1) receptors. J. Med. Chem. 1987, 30, 2245-2252.

(10) Manning, M.; Przybylski, J.; Lammek, B.; Grzonka, Z.; Olma, A.; Bankowski, K.; Misicka, A.; Kruszynski, M.; Sawyer, W. H. Surprising findings with some deletion-modified selective V2 antagonists of arginine vasopressin (AVP). In Peptides 1986; Theodoropoulas, D., Ed.; Walter

de Gruyter: Berlin, 1987; pp 459-463. (11) Sawyer, W. H.; Bankowski, K.; Misicka, A.; Nawrocka, E.; Kruszynski, M.; Stoev, S.; Klis, W. A.; Przybylski, J.; Manning, M. Potent <sup>2</sup> vasopressor antagonists with structural changes at their C-terminals. Peptides 1988, 9, 157-63.

 (12) Manning, M.; Przybylski, J.; Olma, A.; Klis, W. A.; Kruszynski,
 M.; Wo, N. C.; Pelton, G. H.; Sawyer, W. H. No requirement of cyclic conformation of antagonists in binding to vasopressin receptors. Nature 1987, 329, 839-840.

(13) Manning, M.; Klis, W. A.; Kruszynski, M.; Przybylski, J.; Olma, A.; Wo, N. C.; Pelton, J. H.; Sawyer, W. H. Novel linear antagonists of the antidiuretic  $(V_2)$  and vasopressor  $(V_1)$  responses to vasopressin. Int.

J. Pept. Protein Res. 1988, 32, 455-467. (14) Sawyer, W. H.; Lammek, B.; Misicka, A.; Kruszynski, M.; Kolodzieczyk, A.; Manning, M. Cyclic and linear vasopressin  $V_1$  and VV<sub>2</sub> antagonists containing arginine in the 4-position. Experientia 1991, 47.83-86.

subsequently found that the entire Pro-Arg-Glv-NH<sub>2</sub> side chain could be deleted and replaced by a series of dialkyl amines and (aminoalkyl)guanidines with good retention of  $V_2/V_{1a}$  antagonistic potencies.<sup>21</sup> In a preliminary communication, we reported that the molecule obtained by the replacement of the entire side chain of  $d(CH_2)_{5D}$ -Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>VAVP by Eda still retained  $\sim 10\%$  of the V<sub>2</sub> antagonism and almost 80% of the  $V_{1a}$  antagonistic potency of the parent, d(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>D-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>VAVP.<sup>27</sup> Thus it was clear from these studies that the side chain in AVP

(15) Huffman, W. F.; Ali, F. E.; Bryan, W. M.; Callahan, J. F.; Moore, M. L.; Silvestri, J. S.; Yim, N. C. F.; Kinter, L. B.; McDonald, J. E.; Ashton-Shue, D.; Stassen, F. L.; Heckman, G. D.; Schmidt, D. B.; Sulat, L. Novel vasopressin analogues that help define a minimum effective antagonist pharmacophore. J. Med. Chem. 1985, 28, 1759–1760. (16) Ali, F. E.; Bryan, W.; Chang, H.; Huffman, W. F.; Moore, M. L.;

Heckman, G.; Kinter, L. B.; McDonald, J.; Schmidt, D.; Ashton-Shue, D.; Stassen, F. L. Potent vasopressin antagonists lacking the proline residue

at position 7. J. Med. Chem. 1986, 29, 984–988. (17) Yim, N.C.F.; Moore, M.L.; Huffman, W.F.; Bryan, H. G.; Chang, H.; Kinter, L. B.; Edwards, R.; Stassen, F. L.; Schmidt, D.; Heckman, G. Potent antagonists of vasopressin antidiuretic activity that lack the  $\beta$ , $\beta$ cyclopentamethylene- $\beta$ -mercaptopropionic acid substitution at position 1. J. Med. Chem. 1986, 29, 2425–2426.

(18) Ali, F. E.; Chang, H.; Huffman, W. F.; Heckman, G.; Kinter, L. B.; Weidley, E. F.; Edwards, R.; Schmidt, D.; Ashton-Shue, D.; Stassen, F. L. Potent vasopressin antagonists modified at the carboxy-terminal tripeptide tail. J. Med. Chem. 1987, 30, 2291-2294.

(19) Moore, M. L.; Albrightson, C.; Brickson, B.; Bryan, H. G.; Caldwell, N.; Callahan, J. F.; Foster, J.; Kinter, L. B.; Newlander, K. A.; Schmidt, D. B.; Sorenson, E.; Stassen, F. L.; Yim, N. C. F.; Huffman, W. F. Dicarbavasopressin antagonist analogues exhibit reduced in vivo agonist

activity. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 1487-1489. (20) Caldwell, N.; Brickson, B.; Kinter, L. B.; Brooks, D. P.; Huffman, W. F.; Stassen, F. L.; Albrightson-Winslow, C. SK&F 105494: A potent antidiuretic hormone antagonist devoid of partial agonist activity in dogs.

J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1988, 247, 897-901. (21) Callahan, J. F.; Ashton-Shue, D.; Bryan, H. G.; Bryan, W. M.; Heckman, G. D.; Kinter, L. B.; McDonald, J. E.; Moore, M. L.; Schmidt, D. B.; Silvestri, J. S.; Stassen, F. L.; Sulat, L.; Yim, N. C. F.; Huffman, W. F. Structure-activity relationships of novel vasopressin antagonists containing C-terminal diaminoalkanes and (aminoalkyl) guanidines. J.

Med. Chem. 1989, 32, 391-396. (22) Skala, G.; Smith, C. W.; Taylor, C. J.; Ludens, J. H. A conformationally constrained vasopressin analog with antidiuretic antagonistic activity. Science 1984, 226, 443-445.

(23) Kasprzykowski, F.; Grzonka, Z.; Slaninova, J.; Barth, T.; Crause, P.; Fahrenholz, F. Synthesis, biological activity and receptor binding affinity of two [8-arginine]vasopressin analogues with inhibitory prop-erties. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1988, 53, 2907-2913. (24) Lammek, B.; Wang, Y. X.; Derdowska, I.; Franco, R.; Gavras, H.

Synthesis and some pharmacological properties of five novel  $V_1 \, \text{or} \, V_1/V_2$ antagonists of AVP. Peptides 1989, 10, 1109–1112. (25) Lammek, B.; Wang, Y. X.; Franco, R.; Gavras, I.; Gavras, H. Two

highly effective  $V_1/V_2$  antagonists of vasopressin containing novel thioacids at position 1. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. **1991**, 43, 40-42.

(26) Manning, M.; Sawyer, W. H. Development of selective agonists and antagonists of vasopressin and oxytocin. In Vasopressin; Schrier,

(27) Manning, M.; Sawyer, W. H. Synthesis and receptor specificities of vasopressin antagonists. J. Card. Pharm. 1986, 8, S29-S35.
 (28) Manning, M.; Bankowski, K.; Sawyer, W. H. Selective agonists

 (29) Maining, M., Bankowski, K., Sawyer, W. H. Selective agoinsts and antagonists of vasopressin. In Vasopressin; Gash, D. M., Boer, G. J., Eds.; Plenum Publishing Co.: New York, 1987; pp 335–368.
 (29) Hruby, V. J.; Smith, C. J. Structure-activity relationships of neurohypophysial peptides. In The peptides; Udenfriend, S., Meienhofer, J., Eds.; Academic Press: Orlando, FL, 1987; Vol. 8 (Chemistry, biology) and medicine of neurohypohysial hormones and their analogs; Smith,

C. W., Ed.), pp 77-207. (30) Lebl, M. Analogs with inhibitory properties. In Handbook of Neurohypophyseal hormone analogs; Jost, K., Lebl, M., Brtnik, F., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL 1988; Vol. 2, Part 1, pp 17-74.

(31) Kinter, L. B.; Huffman, W. F.; Stassen, F. L. Antagonists of the antidiuretic activity of vasopressin. Am. J. Physiol. 1988, 254, F165-F177

(32) Manning, M.; Sawyer, W. H. Discovery, development and some uses of vasopressin and oxytocin antagonists. J. Lab. Clin. Med. 1989, 114, 617-632.

(33) Manning, M.; Sawyer, W. H. Antagonists of vasopressin and oxytocin: Current status and future perspectives. In Vasopressin and Colloque INSERM; Jard, S., Jamison, R., Eds.; John Libbey Eurotext: London, 1991; p 208; pp 297-309.

(34) Laszlo, F. A.; Laszlo, F., Jr.; De Wied, D. Pharmacology and Clinical Perspectives of Vasopressin Antagonists. Pharmacol. Revs. 1991, 43, 73-108.

<sup>(1)</sup> Sawyer, W. H.; Pang, P. K. T.; Seto, J.; McEnroe, M.; Lammek, B.; Manning, M. Vasopressin analogs that antagonize antidiuretic responses by rats to the antidiuretic hormone. *Science* 1981, 212, 49–51. (2) Manning, M.; Lammek, B.; Kolodziejczyk, A. M.; Seto, J.; Sawyer,

Table I. Antiantidiuretic and Antivasopressor Potencies of Position 2 Substituted Arginine-Vasopressin (AVP) Antagonists Having Arginine Attached to the Ring in Retrolinkage via Ethylenediamine (Eda)



			antiantidiure	tic (anti-V <sub>2</sub> )	antivasopressor (anti- $V_{1a}$ )		
no.	х	Y	ED <sup>a</sup> (nmol/kg)	$pA_2^b$	ED <sup>a</sup> (nmol/kg)	pA2 <sup>b</sup>	
1	p-Tyr(Et)	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub> <sup>d</sup>	5.7 ♠ 0.6 <sup>c</sup>	7.07 • 0.05	$0.47 \pm 0.03$	$8.15 \pm 0.03$	
2	D-Tyr(Et)	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH-Arg	$2.1 \pm 0.4$	$7.54 \pm 0.10$	$0.75 \pm 0.02$	7.95 • 0.02	
3	L-Tyr(Et)	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH - Arg	$27 \pm 6$	$6.39 \pm 0.04$	$0.76 \pm 0.14$	$7.97 \pm 0.08$	
4	D-Phe	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH-Arg	$8.4 \pm 1.2$	6.91 • 0.06	$1.7 \pm 0.4$	$7.64 \pm 0.09$	
5	D-Ile	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH - Arg	$\sim 100^{e}$	~5.8°	$47 \pm 6$	6.16 @ 0.06	
6	D-Leu	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←Arg	>234 <sup>e</sup>	<5.5°	58 ± 9	$6.08 \pm 0.08$	

<sup>a</sup> The effective dose is defined as the dose (in nanomoles/kilogram) that reduces the response seen with 2x units of agonist to equal the response seen with x units of agonist administered in the absence of the antagonist. <sup>b</sup> Estimated in vivo  $pA_2$  values represent the negative logarithms of the effective doses divided by the estimated volume of distribution (67 mL/kg). <sup>c</sup> Mean  $\pm$  SE. <sup>d</sup> Preliminary data reported in ref 27. <sup>e</sup> These peptides showed weak agonism as well as antagonism on these assays. Only rough estimates of their EDs and  $pA_{28}$  are shown.

 $V_2/V_{1a}$  antagonists could tolerate a broad degree of structural deletion and modification without serious consequences to receptor binding.

Our findings and those of others that the Eda modification is well tolerated at position 7 as a replacement for the entire Pro-Arg-Gly-NH<sub>2</sub> side chain, at position 8 as a replacement for the Arg-Gly-NH<sub>2</sub> moiety, and at position 9 as a replacement for Gly-NH<sub>2</sub> in d(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>D-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>-VAVP and in related  $V_2/V_{1a}$  antagonists offered the possibility of exploring the effects of amino acid substitutions coupled to the ring of  $d(CH_2)_{5D}$ -Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>VAVP and of related  $V_2/V_{1a}$  antagonists in retrofashion via Eda, Pro-Eda, Pro-Arg-Eda, Arg-Gly-Eda, and Pro-Arg-Gly-Eda linkers. We were particularly intrigued by the possibility that should such modifications be well tolerated. they might in some instances confer greater resistance to enzymatic degradation and might thus enhance oral bioavailability and would also offer a novel approach to AVP antagonist design.

On the basis of earlier findings on the need for a basic residue in the side chain,  $^{15,27}$  we selected arginine for the first retrocoupling to the [ring]Eda<sup>27</sup> derivative 1, where the [ring] is that of  $d(CH_2)_{5D}$ -Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>VAVP(A)<sup>3</sup> to give the [ring]Eda—Arg analogue 2. When preliminary findings with 2 were promising, we wished to obtain the optimal ring before progressing to further Eda and retro-Eda modifications. We thus synthesized the L-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>, D-Phe<sup>2</sup>, D-Ile<sup>2</sup>, and D-Leu<sup>2</sup> analogues of 2. The structures of 2 and of the resulting four [ring]Eda—Arg analogues (3-6) are as follows:



X = D-Tyr(Et (2), L-Tyr(Et) (3), D-Phe (4), D-Ile (5), D-Leu (6)

Examination of the anti-V<sub>2</sub> potencies of these five peptides (Table I) clearly showed that only the D-Tyr-(Et)<sup>2</sup>, containing peptide 2, led to a retromodified antagonist possessing enhanced V<sub>2</sub> antagonism greater than that of the [ring]Eda compound 1.<sup>27</sup> Thus the ring of d(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>D-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>VAVP<sup>3</sup> (A) was selected for further exploration of the effects of Eda and retrosubstituted Eda modifications on V<sub>2</sub> and V<sub>1a</sub> antagonism. Initially we decided to explore the effects of other retro-amino acid replacements for retro-Arg in the [ring]Eda-Arg peptide 2. The retroamino acids selected were D-Arg, Gly, Orn, D-Orn, and D-Lys to give analogues 7-11 (Table II). Analogue 12 (Table II) was designed to determine the effect of the dipeptide Arg-Arg linked in retrofashion to the [ring]Eda compound 1. Replacement of the C-terminal Arg-Gly-NH<sub>2</sub> in d(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>D-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>VAVP by Eda gave compound 13 ([ring]Pro-Eda, Table II) this has been reported independently to be a potent  $V_2$  antagonist.<sup>21</sup> This was modified in retrofashion with D-Orn, D-Lys, and D-Arg to give peptides 14-16 (Table II). Replacement of the C-terminal Gly-NH<sub>2</sub> in d(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>D-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>VAVP by Eda gave the [ring]Pro-Arg-Eda compound 17 (Table II). This was modified in retrofashion with Arg to give peptide 18 (Table II). Extension of the C-terminal Gly in desProd- $(CH_2)_{5D}$ -Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>VAVP<sup>10,16</sup> with an Eda residue gave the [ring]Arg-Gly-Eda peptide 19 (Table II). This was modified in retrofashion with Arg to give peptide 20 (Table II). Peptides 7-20 have the following [ring]Y general structure, where the [ring] is that of  $d(CH_2)_{5D}$ -Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>-VAVP (A, Table II) and Y denotes various modifications of its side-chain Pro-Arg-Gly-NH<sub>2</sub> as noted:



Y = Eda←D-Arg (7), Eda←Giy (8), Eda←Orn (9), Eda← D-Orn (10), Eda←D-Lys (11), Eda←Arg←Arg (12), Pro-Eda (13), Pro-Eda←D-Orn (14), Pro-Eda←D-Lys (15), Pro-Eda←D-Arg (16), Pro-Arg-Eda (17), Pro-Arg-Eda←Arg (18), Arg-Giy-Eda (19), Arg-Giy-Eda←Arg (20)

All of the remaining five peptides are analogues of  $d(CH_2)_{5D}$ -Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>VAVP which have an Ile residue in place of Val at position 4 (Table III). Three of them also have a D-Phe/D-Tyr(Et) interchange at position 2. Peptide 21 (Table III) which terminates in Eda is simply the Ile<sup>4</sup> analogue of 17 (Table II). This was modified in retrofashion with Arg to give peptide 22 which is the Ile<sup>4</sup> analogue of peptide 18 (Table II). Peptide 23 has the C-terminal Gly in the highly potent  $V_2/V_{1a}$  antagonist  $d(CH_2)_5[D-Phe^2,Ile^4]AVP^5$  extended with an Eda residue. Retromodification of this peptide with Val and D-Val gave, respectively, the side-chain extended retromodified pep-

Table II. Antiantidiuretic and Antivasopressor Potencies of C-Terminal Retromodified AVP Antagonists Together with Those of Their Parent C-Terminal Ethylenediamine-Substituted Parent Peptides and of Related AVP Antagonists

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
<u> </u>	<sub>2</sub> -CO-	D-Tyr(E	t)Phe-	Val-	Asn	⊦Çy: ∣	s-Y

		antiantidiuret	ic (anti-V <sub>2</sub> )	antivasopressor (anti- $V_{1a}$ )		
no.	Y	EDª (nmol/kg)	$\mathbf{p} \mathbf{A}_{2^{b}}$	EDª (nmol/kg)	pA2 <sup>b</sup>	
2	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←Arg <sup>d</sup>	2.1 ± 0.4°	$7.54 \pm 0.10$	$0.75 \pm 0.02$	$7.95 \pm 0.02$	
7	$NH(CH_2)_2NH \leftarrow D-Arg$	$0.83 \pm 0.17$	$7.95 \pm 0.10$	$0.47 \pm 0.04$	$8.15 \pm 0.03$	
8	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←Gly	$18 \pm 1$	$6.59 \pm 0.02$	$1.66 \pm 0.10$	$7.61 \pm 0.02$	
9	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←Orn	$1.9 \pm 0.2$	$7.55 \pm 0.03$	$1.06 \pm 0.07$	$7.80 \pm 0.03$	
10	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←D-Orn	$4.0 \pm 0.5$	$7.24 \pm 0.05$	$0.59 \pm 0.08$	8.06 ± 0.06	
11	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←D-Lys	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	$7.76 \pm 0.07$	$0.82 \pm 0.08$	$7.92 \pm 0.04$	
12	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH-Arg-Arg	$1.8 \pm 0.4$	$7.61 \pm 0.09$	$0.86 \pm 0.18$	$7.93 \pm 0.09$	
13	Pro-NH(CH2)2NH2e,	$1.6 \pm 0.2$	$7.64 \pm 0.07$	$0.75 \pm 0.05$	$7.95 \pm 0.03$	
14	Pro-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH-D-Orn	$0.82 \pm 0.10$	$7.92 \pm 0.05$	$0.95 \pm 0.11$	$7.86 \pm 0.01$	
15	Pro-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←D-Lys	$1.2 \pm 0.3$	$7.78 \pm 0.09$	$0.57 \pm 0.07$	8.08 ± 0.08	
16	Pro-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH-D-Arg	$0.81 \pm 0.10$	$7.92 \pm 0.05$	$0.48 \pm 0.05$	$8.15 \pm 0.04$	
( <b>A</b> )	Pro-Arg-Gly-NH2	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	$7.81 \pm 0.07$	$0.45 \pm 0.11$	$8.22 \pm 0.12$	
17	Pro-Arg-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$7.79 \pm 0.04$	$0.69 \pm 0.06$	$8.00 \pm 0.04$	
18	Pro-Arg-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH-Arg	$1.4 \pm 0.2$	$7.68 \pm 0.06$	$0.51 \pm 0.10$	$8.15 \pm 0.03$	
(B)	Arg-Gly-NH <sub>2</sub> <sup>h,j</sup>	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$7.82 \pm 0.07$	$0.56 \pm 0.09$	$8.09 \pm 0.0^{\circ}$	
ίĊί	Pro-Arg-Gly-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub> <sup>k</sup>	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	$7.82 \pm 0.11$	$0.32 \pm 0.04$	$8.33 \pm 0.04$	
19	Arg-Gly-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	$0.65 \pm 0.12$	$8.03 \pm 0.08$	$0.59 \pm 0.05$	8.05 ± 0.04	
20	Arg-Gly-NH(CH <sub>9</sub> ) <sub>9</sub> NH-Arg	$0.91 \pm 0.12$	$7.87 \pm 0.05$	$0.73 \pm 0.06$	$7.96 \pm 0.04$	

ard See corresponding footnotes to Table I. d Data from Table I. e Independent synthesis reported in ref 21. f The data shown here from assays on peptide 13 were erroneously reported in an earlier publication<sup>11</sup> to be from assays on an analogue with the structure here designated as peptide 17. The data given here for peptide 17 are from assays on a subsequent synthesis of this analogue. # V2/V1a antagonist, d(CH2)5D-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>VAVP, data from Manning et al.<sup>3 h</sup> desProd(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>D-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>VAVP, independent synthesis reported in ref 16. <sup>j</sup> Preliminary data reported in ref 10. \* Data from Manning et al., ref 8.

Table III.	Antiantidiuretic and	Antivasopressor	Potencies of C	-Terminal 1	Retromodified A	Analogues of the	Potent AVP	Antagoniste
d(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>5</sub> [D-7]	[yr(Et) <sup>2</sup> ,Ile <sup>4</sup> ]AVP an	d $d(CH_2)_5[D-Phe^2]$	,Ile <sup>4</sup> ]AVP and	Those of T	Their Parent C-	Terminal Eda Pe	ptides	

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	-00	)-X-	Phe	-Ile-	Asn	ႋႍႍၟၭ	s-Pro-	Arg-Z
<u> </u>						-s		

			antiantidiuret	ic (anti-V <sub>2</sub> )	antivasopressor (anti-V <sub>1a</sub> )		
no.	х	Z	EDª (nmol/kg)	$pA_2^b$	EDª (nmol/kg)	$\mathbf{p}A_{2}^{b}$	
(D)	D-Tvr(Et)	Gly-NH <sub>2</sub> <sup>d</sup>	$1.2 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$	$7.75 \pm 0.05$	$1.2 \pm 0.03$	$7.78 \pm 0.09$	
21	D-Tvr(Et)	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub> <sup>e</sup>	$0.77 \pm 0.22$	$8.00 \pm 0.12$	$0.32 \pm 0.04$	8.33 ± 0.06	
22	D-Tvr(Et)	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH-Arg	$0.57 \pm 0.10$	$8.10 \pm 0.09$	$0.36 \pm 0.03$	$8.27 \pm 0.03$	
(E)	D-Phe	Glv-NH	$0.46 \pm 0.07$	$8.24 \pm 0.08$	$0.99 \pm 0.12$	$7.86 \pm 0.05$	
(F)	D-Phe	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	0.55  0.08	$8.11 \pm 0.06$	$0.88 \pm 0.10$	$7.89 \pm 0.04$	
23	D-Phe	Glv-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	$0.57 \pm 0.13$	$8.10 \pm 0.10$	$1.8 \pm 0.03$	$7.58 \pm 0.07$	
24	D-Phe	Gly-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←Val	$0.53 \pm 0.08$	$8.10 \pm 0.08$	$3.3 \pm 0.1$	$7.30 \pm 0.02$	
25	D-Phe	Gly-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←D-Val	$0.60 \pm 0.15$	$8.09 \pm 0.09$	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	$7.77 \pm 0.09$	

a-c See corresponding footnotes to Table I. d (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>[D-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>,lle<sup>4</sup>]AVP, data from Sawyer et al., ref 11. Preliminary data reported in ref 8 and 11. f d(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>[D-Phe<sup>2</sup>,Ile<sup>4</sup>]AVP, data from Manning et al., ref 5. F Preliminary data reported in ref 8.

tides 24 and 25 (Table III). Peptides 21-25 have the following general structures:



We present here the synthesis and antagonistic potencies of the peptides 1-25 designed according to the above rationale. To our knowledge, this use of ethylenediamine as a linker for the retroincorporation of amino acids is unprecedented in the design of AVP antagonists and in peptide design in general.

#### **Peptide Synthesis**

The Merrifield sold-phase method<sup>35-37</sup> was used for the synthesis of the C-terminal ethylenediamine (Eda) protected peptides I, IIIA, IVA, VA, VIA, XIII, XVII, XIX, XXI, and XXIII (Table IV) as previously described.<sup>2-9,38,39</sup> For the syntheses on the resin the appropriate number of cycles of deprotection (with HCl (1 M)/AcOH), neutralization (with 10% Et<sub>3</sub>N/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>), and coupling (by DCC<sup>40</sup>/

<sup>(35)</sup> Merrifield, R. B. Solid phase peptide synthesis. I. The synthesis

of a tetrapeptide. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 2149–2154. (36) Merrifield, R. B. Solid phase peptide synthesis. III. An improved synthesis of bradykinin. Biochemistry 1964, 9, 1385–1390.

<sup>(37)</sup> Stewart, J. M.; Young, J. D. Solid phase peptide synthesis. Pierce Chemical Co.: Rockford, IL, 1984.
(38) Glass, J. D.; Du Vigneaud, V. Solid-phase synthesis and pressor potency of [1-deamino-9-ethylenediamine]-lysine-vasopressin. J. Med. Chem. 1973, 16, 160-161.
(20) M. Ourg, G. Berghamshi, K. Minisha, A. J. angel, P. S. Solid and S. S.

<sup>(39)</sup> Manning, M.; Stoev, S.; Bankowski, K.; Misicka, A.; Lammek, B. Synthesis and some pharmacological properties of potent and selective antagonists of the vasopressor  $(V_1$ -receptor) response to argininevasopressin. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 382-388.

## Table IV. Physicochemical Properties of Protected Peptides I-XXV and IIIA-VIA

C(S-BzI)CH<sub>2</sub>-CO-X-Phe-V-Asn-Cys(BzI)-W

							[α] <sup>40</sup>				
					vield	MP	(C = 1, DMF)		TI	.C <sup>d</sup>	
no. <sup>a,b</sup>	X	v	W	formula	(%)°	(°C)	(deg)	A	В	С	D
I	D-Tyr(Et)	Val	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	C56H74O8N8S2	72.0	218-222	-19.3	0.69	0.62	0.86	0.11
II	D-Tyr(Et)	Val	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH-Z-Arg(Tos)	C77H98O13N12S3	78.0	22 <b>6</b> –228	-14.2	0.85	0.78	0.86	0.90
IIIA	L-Tyr(Et)	Val	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	$C_{56}H_{74}O_8N_8S_2$	88.3	21 <del>9-</del> 221	-30.0	0.53	0.62	0.88	0.05
III	L-Tyr(Et)	Val	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←Z-Arg(Tos)	$C_{77}H_{98}O_{13}N_{12}S_3$	87.2	204-209	-24.6	0.87	0.86	0.81	0.96
IVA	D-Phe	Val	$NH(CH_2)_2NH_2$	$C_{54}H_{70}O_7N_8S_2$	91.6	205-209	-13.0	0.52	0.59	0.81	0.07
IV	D- <b>Phe</b>	Val	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←Z-Arg(Tos)	$C_{75}H_{94}O_{12}N_{12}S_3$	86.2	205-208	-5.3	0.90	0.93	0.89	0.98
VA	D-Ile	Val	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	$C_{51}H_{72}O_7N_8S_2$	86.0	223-225	-9.4	0.52	0.60	0.88	0.07
V	D-Ile	Val	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←Z-Arg(Tos)	$C_{72}H_{96}O_{12}N_{12}S_3$	81.2	207-211	+7.1	0.86	0.90	0.86	0.96
VIA	D-Leu	Val	$NH(CH_2)_2NH_2$	$C_{51}H_{72}O_7N_8S_2$	80.8	208-211	-19.5	0.52	0.60	0.77	0.11
VI	D-Leu	Val	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←Z-Arg(Tos)	$C_{72}H_{96}O_{12}N_{12}S_3$	70.6	194198	+28.2	0.91	0.95	0.87	0.96
VII	D-Tyr(Et)	Val	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←Boc-D-Arg(Tos)	$C_{74}H_{96}O_{13}N_{12}S_3$	79.9	210-212	-12.2		0. <b>99</b>	0.98	0.95
VIII	D-Tyr(Et)	Val	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH+-Z-Gly	$C_{66}H_{83}O_{11}N_9S_2$	64.1	23 <b>8–239</b>	-16.7	0.93		0.93	0.92
IX	D-Tyr(Et)	Val	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH-Z-Orn(Z)	$C_{77}H_{96}O_{13}N_{10}S_2$	63.4	235-237	-13.8	0.00		0.00	0.95
Х	D-Tyr(Et)	Val	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←Boc-D-Orn(Tos)	$C_{73}H_{96}O_{13}N_{10}S_3$	81.0	220-223	-12.0		0.99	0.92	0.94
XI	D-Tyr(Et)	Val	NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←Boc-D-Lys(Z)	$C_{75}H_{100}O_{13}N_{10}S_2$	44.0	214-216	-12.4	0.92		0.95	0.93
XII	D-Tyr(Et)	Val	$NH(CH_2)_2NH \leftarrow Z-Arg(Tos) \leftarrow Arg(Tos)$	$C_{90}H_{116}O_{16}N_{16}S_4$	61.0	172-176	-14.6	0.81	0.86	0.90	0.99
XIII	D-Tyr(Et)	Val	Pro-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	$C_{61}H_{81}O_9N_9S_2$	89.3	189–191	-18.5	0.44	0.50	0.72	0.05
XIV	D-Tyr(Et)	Val	Pro-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←Boc-D-Orn(Z)	$C_{79}H_{95}O_{14}N_{11}S_2$	71.6	191–195	-15.4	0.81	0.90	0.98	0.99
XV	D-Tyr(Et)	Val	Pro-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←Boc-D-Lys(Z)	$C_{80}H_{97}O_{14}N_{11}S_2$	71.3	198-201	-14.1	0.82	0.91	0.97	0.99
XVI	D-Tyr(Et)	Val	Pro-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←Boc-D-Arg(Tos)	C79H107O14N13S3	56.7	183–185	-15.3	0.76	0.88	0.96	0.98
XVII	D-Tyr(Et)	Val	Pro-Arg(Tos)NH(CH <sub>2</sub> )NH <sub>2</sub>	$C_{74}H_{99}O_{12}N_{13}S_3$	50.0	188-192	-17.2	0.43	0.54	0.82	0.12
XVIII	D-Tyr(Et)	Val	Pro-Arg(Tos)NH(CH <sub>2</sub> )NH-Z-Arg(Tos)	$C_{95}H_{123}O_{17}N_{17}S_4$	96.5	168-173	-11.4	0.80	0.84	0.89	0.99
XIX	D-Tyr(Et)	Val	Arg(Tos)-Gly-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	$C_{71}H_{95}O_{12}N_{13}S_3$	91.9	188–190	-3.9	0.59	0.51	0.77	0.09
XX	D-Tyr(Et)	Val	Arg(Tos)-Gly-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH-Arg(Tos)	$C_{92}H_{119}O_{17}N_{17}S_4$	82.2	173-177	-8.5	0.85	0.84	0.92	0.88
XXI	D-Tyr(Et)	Ile	Pro-Arg(Tos)-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	$C_{75}H_{101}O_{13}N_{13}S_3$	22.2	189191	-17.2	0.45		0.75	0.14
XXII	D-Tyr(Et)	Ile	Pro-Arg(Tos)-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH-Z-Arg(Tos)	C <sub>96</sub> H <sub>125</sub> O <sub>17</sub> N <sub>17</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	91.7	182–185	-13.1	0.83	0.81	0.92	0.92
XXIII	D-Phe	Ile	Pro-Arg(Tos)-Gly-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	$C_{75}H_{100}O_{12}N_{14}S_3$	74.8	186-188	-18.4	0.53	0.44	0.79	
XXIV	D- <b>Phe</b>	Ile	Pro-Arg(Tos)-Gly-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NH←Z-Val	$C_{88}H_{115}O_{15}N_{15}S_3$	79.3	214-216	-13.9	0.74	0.86	0.94	0.98
XXV	D-Phe	Ile	Pro-Arg(Tos)-Gly-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> )NH+-Boc-D-Val	$C_{85}H_{117}O_{15}N_{15}S_3$	73.4	198-201	-16.4	0.76	0.87	0.95	0.97

<sup>a</sup> The protected peptides I-XXV are the immediate protected precursors for the free peptides 1-25 given in Tables I-III and V. <sup>b</sup> The protected peptides IIIA-VIA were not converted to their respective free peptides. They were synthesized solely to serve as precursors for their respective protected retro-arginine derivatives III-VI. <sup>c</sup> Yields were calculated on the basis of the amino acid content on the resin in the case of the C-terminal Eda-protected peptides and on the coupling in solution for the protected Eda retrosubstituted peptides. <sup>d</sup> The solvent systems are described in the Experimental Section.

HOBt<sup>41</sup>) were carried out with Boc-Cys(Bzl)-resin, Boc-Pro-resin, Boc-Arg(Tos)-resin or Boc-Gly-resin, as previously described, 2-9,39 to give the respective protected acyl resins. Boc-Asn was incorporated as its nitrophenyl ester:<sup>42</sup>  $\beta$ -(S-benzylthio)- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -pentamethylenepropionic acid<sup>43</sup> was used in the final DCC/HOBt-mediated coupling step in all cases. Cleavage from the resin was by aminolysis with ethylenediamine in methanol as previously described<sup>38,39</sup> to give the appropriate C-terminal Edaprotected peptides I, IIIA-VIA, XIII, XVII, XIX, XXI, and XXIII (Table IV). The protected retromodified peptides II-XII, XIV-XVI, XVIII, XX, XXII, XXIV, and XXV (Table IV) were obtained by acylating the appropriate protected Eda peptide I, IIIA-VIA, XIII, XVII, XIX, XXI, and XXIII with the desired Z- or Boc-protected amino acid derivative in a DCC/HOBt<sup>40,41</sup>-mediated reaction or as a nitrophenyl ester<sup>42</sup> in solution. Na in liquid NH344 was used to deblock each protected precursor (I-XXV, Table IV) as previously described,<sup>2-9,39</sup> and the resulting disulfhydryl compounds were oxidatively cyclized with  $K_3$ [Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>].<sup>45</sup> Purification by gel filtration on Sephadex G-15 in a two-step procedure using 50% and 0.2 M AcOH as eluents, respectively, as previously described<sup>46</sup> gave the free peptides 1–25 (Tables I–III and V).

#### **Bioassay Methods**

Assays for antidiuretic  $V_2$  agonism or antagonism were done by measuring changes in urine flow in water-loaded rats under ethanol anesthesia.<sup>47</sup> Assays for vasopressin  $V_{1a}$ -antagonistic activities were performed by following blood pressure responses in rats anesthetized with urethane and pretreated with phenoxybenzamine.<sup>48</sup> The USP posterior pituitary reference standard was used as a standard in agonistic assays and as an agonist in assays

(47) Sawyer, W. H. Differences in antidiuretic responses of rats to the intravenous administration of lysine and arginine vasopressins. Endocrinology 1958, 63, 694-698.

<sup>(40)</sup> Sheehan, J. C.; Hess, G. P. A new method of forming peptide bond. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1955, 77, 1067-1068.

<sup>(41)</sup> Koenig, W.; Geiger, R. New method for the synthesis of peptides:
activation of the carboxyl group with dicyclohexylcarbodiimide by using
1-hydroxybenzotriazole as additive. *Chem. Ber.* 1970, 103, 788–798.
(42) Bodanszky, M.; du Vigneaud, V. A method of synthesis of long

<sup>(42)</sup> Bodanszky, M.; du Vigneaud, V. A method of synthesis of long peptide chains using a synthesis of oxytocin as an example. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1959, 81, 5688–5691.

<sup>(43)</sup> Nestor, J. J., Jr.; Ferger, M. F.; du Vigneaud, V. [1-( $\beta$ -mercapto- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -pentamethylenepropionic acid]oxytocin, a potent inhibitor of oxytocin. J. Med. Chem. 1975, 18, 284–287.

<sup>(44) (</sup>a) du Vigneaud, V.; Ressler, C.; Swan, J. M.; Roberta, C. W.; Katsoyannis, P. G.; Gordon, S. The synthesis of an octapeptide amide with the hormonal activity of oxytocin. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1953, 75, 4879-4880. (b) du Vigneaud, V.; Ressler, C.; Swan, J. M.; Roberts, C. W.; Katsoyannis, P. G. The synthesis of oxytocin. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1954, 76, 3115-3121.

<sup>(45)</sup> Hope, D. V.; Murti, V. V. S.; du Vigneaud, V. A highly potent analogue of oxytocin, desamino-oxytocin. J. Biol. Chem. 1962, 237, 1563-1566.

<sup>(46)</sup> Manning, M.; Wuu, T. C.; Baxter, J. W. M. The purification of synthetic oxytocin and analogues by gel filtration on Sephadex G-15. J. Chromatog. 1968, 38, 396-398.
(47) Sawyer, W. H. Differences in antidiuretic responses of rats to the

crinology 1958, 63, 694-698. (48) Dekanski, J. The quantitative assay of vasopressin. Br. J. Pharmacol. 1952, 7, 567-572.

Table V. Physicochemical Properties of the Free Peptides 1-25Given in Tables I-III

	vield	$[\alpha]^{25}D$		TLC <sup>c</sup>				
no.	(%) <sup>a,b</sup>	(deg)	A	В	C			
1	11.0	-141.6, c = 0.2	0.41	0.52	0.59			
2	40.3	-124.3, c = 0.3	0.30	0.42	0.56			
3	24.4	-11.5, c = 0.1	0.28	0.32	0.62			
4	39.6	-117.6, c = 0.1	0.26	0.29	0.58			
5	44.3	-113.0, c = 0.1	0.26	0.29	0.57			
6	36.2	-122.0, c = 0.1	0.30	0.29	0.60			
7	20.0	-87.0, c = 0.3	0.29	0.29	0.59			
8	10.2	-121.6, c = 0.1	0.37	0.47	0.57			
9	50.0	-71.6, c = 0.3	0.25	0.25	0.33			
10	13.5	-86.0, c = 0.3	0.27	0.17	0.33			
11	37.0	-118.6, c = 0.3	0.33	0.29	0.38			
12	19.6	-90.4, c = 0.1	0.18	0.07	0.27			
13	10.8	-116.8, c = 0.28	0.36	0.39	0.50			
14	33.2	-107.8, c = 0.46	0.28	0.16	0.26			
15	20.8	-101.9, c = 0.48	0.26	0.10	0.25			
16	14.2	-90.5, c = 0.38	0.29	0.20	0.36			
17	40.0	-99.0, c = 0.1	0.25	0.14	0.34			
18	27.3	-72.5, c = 0.1	0.18	0.05	0.28			
19	40.6	-83.4, c = 0.2	0.25	0.18	0.33			
20	28.5	-63.8, c = 0.1	0.17	0.06	0.25			
21	68.2	-72.0, c = 0.3	0.26	0.16	0.36			
22	31.3	-79.5, c = 0.1	0.17	0.05	0.25			
23	27.6	-39.8, c = 0.3	0.21	0.20	0.32			
24	9.9	-24.0, c = 0.26	0.29	0.20	0.49			
25	37. <del>9</del>	-33.8, c = 0.32	0.28	0.21	0.49			

<sup>a</sup> Yields are based on the amount of protected peptide used in the reduction-reoxidation step in each case and are uncorrected for acetic acid and water content. <sup>b</sup> All peptides gave the expected amino acid analysis ratios after hydrolysis  $\pm 3\%$ . <sup>c</sup> The solvent systems and conditions are given in the Experimental Section.

for antagonism. All injections of agonists and antagonists were given intravenously. In assays for antagonistic activities an "effective dose" (ED) of the antagonist was estimated. The ED is the dose of an antagonist that reduces the response to a subsequent dose of agonist to equal the response to one-half that dose of agonist given in the absence of antagonist. In practice, this is estimated by finding doses of antagonist above and below the ED and interpolating on a log scale.<sup>49</sup> An in vivo  $pA_2$  can be estimated from the ED by dividing the ED by an arbitrarily assumed volume of distribution of 67 mL/kg.<sup>50</sup> When standard errors are presented in Tables I-III, the means reflect results from at least four independent assay groups. None of these peptides exhibited any evident vasopressor agonism. Some peptides showed both agonistic and antagonistic activities on antidiuretic assays. In these instances the presence of agonism precluded reliable measurement of antagonistic potencies. EDs and  $pA_2$ could only be roughly estimated, and means and standard errors are not indicated for these estimates in Table I.

# **Results and Discussion**

The antiantidiuretic (anti- $V_2$ ) and antivasopressor (anti- $V_{1a}$ ) potencies of peptides 1-25 together with those of some related peptides are given in Tables I-III. These 25 new peptides include the 6 parent C-terminal Eda peptides 1, 13, 17, 19, 21, and 23 and their retromodified derivatives. As expected, all of the C-terminal Eda peptides are  $V_2/V_{1a}$ 

antagonists with some being quite potent. Most of the retromodified peptides, with the exception of 3-6 and 8. are potent  $V_2$  antagonists with anti- $V_2$  p $A_2$  values in the range 7.24 (10) to 8.10 (24 and 25). Virtually all of the retromodified peptides, with the exceptions of 5 and 6, are also potent  $V_{1a}$  antagonists, with anti- $V_{1a}$  pA<sub>2</sub> values in the range 7.30 (24) to 8.27 (22). In a number of instances the retromodified peptides exhibited significant enhancement in anti- $V_2$  potency relative to the parent Eda peptide. Thus the retromodified peptides 2, 7, and 9-12 are clearly more potent than the parent Eda peptide 1. Likewise the retromodified peptides 14 and 16 are more potent than the parent Eda peptide 13. In other instances the retromodified peptides are virtually equipotent with the parent Eda peptides. Thus peptide 22 and 21 exhibit virtually identical anti-V<sub>2</sub> potencies. This is also the case for the C-terminal retropeptides 24 and 25 which, with anti- $V_2 p A_2$  value of 8.10 and 8.09 are equipotent with the parent Eda peptide 23 (anti- $V_2 pA_2 = 8.10$ ). Only in one instance is anti- $V_2$  potency clearly decreased in the retromodified peptide 8 which has a  $pA_2$  of 6.59; significantly lower than that of the parent Eda peptide 1. Anti-V<sub>2</sub> potency is not significantly decreased in any peptide containing a basic retro-amino acid at the C-terminus. It is clear that the retromodifications reported here are in general very well tolerated, leading to excellent retention and, in many cases, significant enhancement of anti-V<sub>2</sub> potencies.

Critical Requirement for D-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup> in Tail-Shortened Retromodified  $V_2$  Antagonists (Table I). The parent [ring]Eda peptide (1, Table I) where the ring is that of the potent  $V_2/V_{1a}$  antagonist,  $d(CH_2)_{5D}$ -Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>-VAVP<sup>3</sup> has an anti-V<sub>2</sub>  $pA_2 = 7.07$  and an anti-V<sub>1a</sub>  $pA_2 =$ 8.15. The attachment of an Arg residue in retrofashion to the amino group of the C-terminal Eda residue gave the [ring]Eda←Arg analogue (2, Table II). This peptide has an anti- $V_2 pA_2 = 7.54$  and an anti- $V_{1a} pA_2 = 7.95$ . Thus, in this instance the retro-Arg modification led to a 3-fold enhancement in anti-V2 potency and a slight decrease in anti- $V_{1a}$  potency relative to the parent [ring]Eda peptide 1 (Table I). Compound 2 was further modified at position 2 by replacing D-Tyr(Et) with L-Tyr(Et), D-Phe, D-Ile, and D-Leu, respectively, in an effort to determine the most potent V<sub>2</sub> [ring]-substituted Eda-Arg antagonist to use for further studies of the side chain. The D-Phe<sup>2</sup> and D-Ile<sup>2</sup> substituents were selected because we had previously shown that these modifications led to highly potent  $V_2$ antagonists<sup>4-6</sup> and, in the case of the D-Ile<sup>2</sup> analogues, highly selective as well.<sup>4,6</sup> Examination of the properties of peptides 3-6 (Table I) shows clearly that these are all much less potent  $V_2$  antagonists than peptide 2. The respective anti-V<sub>2</sub>  $pA_2$  values of the L-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>, D-Phe<sup>2</sup>, D-Ile<sup>2</sup>, and D-Leu<sup>2</sup> analogues are  $6.39, 6.91, \sim 5.8$ , and <5.5. Thus with an anti-V<sub>2</sub>  $pA_a = 7.54$ , the D-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup> analogue 2 is respectively about 4 and >100 times more potent than the most and least potent of these four peptides. These findings are in striking contrast to the relative anti-V<sub>2</sub> potencies of the parent  $d(CH_2)_5 X^2 VAVP$  (where X = D-Tyr(Et), L-Tyr(Et), D-Phe, D-Ile, and D-Leu peptides.<sup>3-6</sup> Here the D-Phe<sup>2</sup>, D-Ile<sup>2</sup>, and D-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup> analogues are virtually equipotent and only about twice as potent as the L-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup> and D-Leu<sup>2</sup> analogues. The very low anti- $V_2$ potency of the D-Ile<sup>2</sup> analogue is clearly reiminiscent of the drastic reduction in anti- $V_2$  potency observed upon the deletion of proline from d(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>[D-Ile<sup>2</sup>,Ile<sup>4</sup>]AVP,<sup>10</sup> in

<sup>(49)</sup> Schild, H. O. pA, a new scale of the measurement of drug antagonism. Br. J. Pharmacol. Chemother. 1947, 2, 189-206.
(50) Dyckes, D. F.; Nestor, J. J., Jr.; Ferger, M. F.; du Vigneaud, V.

<sup>(50)</sup> Dyckes, D. F.; Nestor, J. J., Jr.; Ferger, M. F.; du Vigneaud, V. [1- $\beta$ -mercapto- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -diethylpropionic acid]-8-lysine-vasopressin, a potent inhibitor of 8-lysine-vasopressin and of oxytocin. J. Med. Chem. 1974, 17, 250-252.

#### Potent V<sub>2</sub>/V<sub>1a</sub> Vasopressin Antagonists

striking contrast to the effects of proline deletion from  $d(CH_2)_{5D}$ -Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>VAVP<sup>10,16</sup> which did not reduce its anti-V<sub>2</sub> potency. Thus the findings in Table I clearly illustrate the profound influence of the position 2 substituent on the anti-V<sub>2</sub> potencies of side chain deleted analogues of AVP V<sub>2</sub> antagonists. The data in Table I also show the superiority of the D-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup> substituent compared to the other position 2 substituents evaluated here. Hence, we elected to use compound 2 as the model for the design of the peptides shown in Table II.

Effects of L- and D-Amino Acids Retrolinked to [Ring]Eda (1) (Peptides 7-12, Table II). All of the peptides in Table II have the ring structure of the cyclic  $V_2/V_{1a}$  antagonist d(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>D-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>VAVP<sup>3</sup> and differ only in the nature of the side chain (Y) attached to the ring. Thus, as noted above, compound 1 (Table I) is [ring]-Eda and compound 2 is [ring]Eda←Arg. Compounds 7-12 (Table II) are analogues of [ring]Eda—Arg in which the Arg is replaced, respectively, by D-Arg, Gly, Orn, D-Orn, D-Lys, and Arg-Arg. Apart from the retro-Gly peptide 8 all of these retrosubstituted peptides are more potent  $V_2$ antagonists than the parent [ring]Eda peptide (1, Table I). All of the peptides 7–12 are also potent  $V_{1a}$  antagonists. While the retro-D-Arg analogue 7 (anti- $V_2$  pA<sub>2</sub> = 7.95) appears to be about twice as potent as its retro-L-Arg counterpart 2 (anti- $V_2 pA_2 = 7.54$ ) the opposite is true for the retro-L-Orn/D-Orn pair 9 and 10. The relatively low anti- $V_2$  potency of the retro-Gly peptide 8 (anti- $V_2$  pA<sub>2</sub> = 6.59) relative to all of the other [ring]Eda retromodified peptides (2, 7, and 9-12, Table II) is in line with earlier observations about the need for a cationic substituent in the side chain as a prerequisite for  $V_2$  antagonism.<sup>15,27</sup> With anti- $V_2 p A_2$  values of 7.95 and 7.76 the [ring]Eda  $\leftarrow$  D-Arg (7) and [ring]Eda $\leftarrow$ D-Lys (11) side chain deleted analogues are 5–7 times more potent than the [ring]Eda peptide 1 (anti- $V_2 p A_2 - 7.07$ ) and are virtually equipotent with the parent antagonist (A) (anti- $V_2 pA_2 = 7.81$ ) which possesses the entire Pro-Arg-Gly(NH<sub>2</sub>) side chain, thus illustrating the usefulness of this approach in AVP  $V_2$ antagonist design.

Basic D-Amino Acids Retrolinked to [Ring]Pro-Eda (13) Enhance  $V_2$  Antagonism (14–16, Table II). The parent [ring]Pro-Eda peptide (13, Table II) exhibits a significant enhancement in anti-V<sub>2</sub> potency ( $pA_2 = 7.64$ ) compared to its desPro parent [ring]Eda peptide 1 (Table I), anti- $V_2 pA_2 = 7.07$ . This finding confirms an independent observation by others.<sup>21</sup> Peptides 14-16 have D-Orn, D-Lys, and D-Arg linked in retrofashion to [ring]-Pro-Eda. In all three cases, the retromodification is very well tolerated with the retro-D-Orn and retro-D-Arg peptides 14 and 16 being almost twice as potent  $V_2$ antagonists as the parent [ring]Pro-Eda peptide 13. However, comparison of the properties of peptides 14-16 with their desPro[ring]Eda - D-Orn, - D-Lys, and - D-Arg counterpart retropeptides 10, 11, and 7, respectively, shows that in the case of the D-Lys and D-Arg peptides, the addition of a proline residue did not enhance anti- $V_2$ potency. In fact, both of the D-Lys and D-Arg pairs exhibit virtually identical, anti- $V_2 pA_2$  values. However, in the case of the D-Orn pair, peptides 10 and 14, the anti- $V_2$ potency difference is quite striking. With an anti- $V_2 pA_2$ = 7.92 peptide 14 is almost 5 times more potent than peptide 10 (anti- $V_2 p A_2 = 7.24$ ). The high anti- $V_2$  potencies of these D-Orn, D-Lys, and D-Arg peptides (14-16) bodes well for (a) their potential bioavailability, (b) their use as precursors for photoaffinity probes for receptor characterization, and (c) the use of retrosubstituted D-amino acids in the design of more potent AVP  $V_2$  antagonists.

**Retro-Arg Analogue of [Ring]Pro-Arg-Eda** (17) (18, **Table II**). The replacement of the C-terminal glycineamide in  $d(CH_2)_5D$ -Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>VAVP<sup>3</sup> ([ring]Pro-Arg-GlyNH<sub>2</sub> (A), Table II) by Eda gave the [ring]Pro-Arg-Eda compound 17 (Table II). With an anti-V<sub>2</sub>  $pA_2 = 7.79$  this peptide is equipotent with its Gly-NH<sub>2</sub> containing parent (A) (anti-V<sub>2</sub>  $pA_2 = 7.81$ ). The retroaddition of Arg to this peptide to give the [ring]Pro-Arg-Eda - Arg peptide (18, Table II) (anti-V<sub>2</sub>  $pA_2 = 7.68$ ) did not reduce anti-V<sub>2</sub> potency significantly. The investigation of a retro-D-Arg substitution would now appear to be well warranted. The properties of peptide 18 clearly shows that the retroaddition of Arg to the side chain of the [ring]Pro-Arg-Eda peptide 17 is well tolerated with excellent retention of both V<sub>2</sub> and V<sub>1a</sub> antagonism.

[Ring]-Arg-Gly-Eda←Arg (20, Table II) Is Not More Potent than Parent Eda Peptide (19, Table II). The addition of an Eda residue to the known [ring]Arg-Gly-NH<sub>2</sub> peptide (B)<sup>10,16</sup> (Table II) to give the [ring]Arg-Gly-Eda peptide 19 (Table II) did not reduce anti- $V_2$  potency. The former peptide (B) has an anti- $V_2 p A_2 = 7.82$ ,<sup>10</sup> while the Eda-containing peptide (19) exhibits an impressive anti- $V_2 pA_2 = 8.03$ . The retroaddition of Arg to peptide 19 to give the [ring]Arg-Gly-Eda←Arg peptide 20 (Table II) (anti-V<sub>2</sub>  $pA_2 = 7.87$ ) did not reduce anti-V<sub>2</sub> potency significantly. With the exception of the retro-Gly analogue 8 the retro-D-Lys analogue 15 and the retro-L-Arg analogues 18 and 20, all of the remaining nine retro-amino acid Edasubstituted peptides in Table II are significantly more potent than their parent C-terminal Eda peptides. These findings clearly illustrate the usefulness of this approach for the design of  $V_2/V_{1a}$  antagonists and offer very promising clues to the design of even more potent and more selective  $V_2$  antagonists.

Fully Extended Side-Chain Eda and Retrosubstituted Eda-Ile<sup>4</sup> Analogues Exhibit Potent  $V_2/V_{1a}$  Antagonism (Peptides 21-25, Tables III). Table III contains analogues of the potent  $V_2/V_{1a}$  antagonists d(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>[D-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>,Ile<sup>4</sup>]AVP<sup>11</sup> (D) and d(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>[D-Phe<sup>2</sup>,-Ile<sup>4</sup>]AVP<sup>5</sup> (E) in which the C-terminal Gly-NH<sub>2</sub> has been replaced by Eda to give peptide 21 and F, respectively, or extended by Eda to give peptide 23. The C-terminal Eda peptide 21 was further modified by retrolinkage with arginine to give peptide 22. Retroadditions of L-Val and D-Val to peptide 23 resulted in peptides 24 and 25. It will be noted that peptides 21 and 22 differ from peptide 17 and 18 (Table II) only by an Ile/Val interchange at position 4. All of these five peptides, 21-25, are potent  $V_2$ antagonists, possessing anti- $V_2$  pA<sub>2</sub> values of 8.00-8.10. Their anti- $V_{1a} p A_2$  values range from a low of 7.30 for 24 to a high of 8.33 for 21. With an anti- $V_2 pA_2$  value = 8.00 the C-terminal Eda peptide 21 is clearly as potent as its C-terminal Gly-NH<sub>2</sub> parent (D) (anti-V<sub>2</sub>  $pA_2 = 7.75$ ).<sup>11</sup> Furthermore, the retro-Arg modification of 21 was very well tolerated, as evidenced by the high anti- $V_2$  potency  $(pA_2 = 8.10)$  of the resulting retro-Arg analogue 22. Comparison of the anti-V<sub>2</sub> potencies of the retro-Arg, Val<sup>4</sup> analogue (18, Table II) and its retro-Arg.Ile<sup>4</sup> counterpart (22, Table III) points to the superiority of the  $Ile^4$ substitution relative to the Val<sup>4</sup> substitution in leading to enhanced anti- $V_2$  potency in the analogue pair 18 and 22. With an anti-V<sub>2</sub>  $pA_2 = 8.10$ , peptide 22 is over twice as potent as analogue 18. This would not have been predicted on the basis of the anti-V<sub>2</sub> potencies of their C-terminal Gly-NH<sub>2</sub> parents A<sup>3</sup> (Table II) and D<sup>11</sup> (Table III) which exhibit virtually identical anti- $V_2$  potencies. Thus, this finding regarding the relative effectiveness of the Ile4/ Val<sup>4</sup> substitutions may represent a promising clue for the design of retro-type V<sub>2</sub> antagonists. d(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>[D-Phe<sup>2</sup>,Ile<sup>4</sup>]-AVP<sup>5</sup> (E, Table III) with an anti- $V_2 pA_2 = 8.24$ , is one of the most potent V2 antagonists reported to date. Remarkably, as shown here replacement or extension of the C-terminal Gly-NH<sub>2</sub> of E by Eda to give peptides F and 23, respectively, resulted in almost full retention of anti-V<sub>2</sub> potency in each case. The retrocoupling of either L-Val or D-Val to peptide 23 was very well tolerated. The resulting retro-Val and retro-D-Val peptides 24 and 25 exhibit anti- $V_2$  p $A_2$  values of 8.10 and 8.09, respectively. We have previously shown that peptides D and 21 exhibit oral activity.<sup>8</sup> Investigation of the oral activity of analogues 22, 24, and 25 would now appear to be well warranted. These findings also raise many questions with regard to (a) how far the side chain of E or related AVP  $V_2/V_{1a}$ antagonists could be extended and modified with Eda and other dialkylamines and with retro-Eda and other dialkylamine substitutions without loss of  $V_2$  antagonism and (b) what other acyl substituents could be coupled to the side chain via Eda or other dialkylamines with retention of ability to bind to  $V_2$  and  $V_{1a}$  receptors. These findings also offer promising clues to the design of a variety of Eda and other diamine linked retroanalogues of potent, selective, and orally active  $V_2$  and  $V_{1a}$  antagonists including radioiodinated and photoaffinity-labeled derivatives for use as probes of  $V_2$  and  $V_{1a}$  receptors.

# Conclusion

In this manuscript we have attempted to explore the usefulness of a novel approach to the design of AVP  $V_2/$ V<sub>1a</sub> antagonists. This approach is based on an earlier observation from these and other laboratories that ethylenediamine (Eda) can be used to replace the entire side chain or a part of the side chain but especially the C-terminal Gly-NH<sub>2</sub> in potent AVP V<sub>2</sub>/V<sub>1a</sub> antagonists and in  $V_{1a}$  antagonists with partial or full retention of antagonistic potencies.<sup>8,11,15,16,18,27,39</sup> The object of the current study was to expand on these earlier observations and to determine whether attaching one or more amino acids in retrolinkage to  $V_2/V_{1a}$  antagonists possessing a C-terminal Eda substituent would result in molecules possessing equal or enhanced anti- $V_2/V_{1a}$  potencies. Thus this study has focused on two interrelated goals: (i) the synthesis and examination of a variety of side chain shortened and side chain extended AVP V<sub>2</sub>/V<sub>1a</sub> antagonists possessing a C-terminal Eda residue and (ii) the synthesis of selected retro-modified derivatives of these peptides. We have reported here the properties of six C-terminal Eda peptides (1, 13, 17, 19, 21, and 23) (Tables I-III). Peptide 13 has been independently reported.<sup>21</sup> These all exhibit  $V_2$  and  $V_{1a}$  antagonism. Four of these (1, 13, 17, and 19) have the ring of d(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>D-Tyr(Et)<sup>2</sup>VAVP<sup>3</sup> (A, Table II). Their abbreviated structures and corresponding anti- $V_2 p A_2$  values are as follows: [ring]Eda, 7.07(1); [ring]-Pro-Eda, 7.64 (13); [ring]Pro-Arg-Eda, 7.79 (17); [ring]-Arg-Gly-Eda, 8.03 (19). Peptides 21 and 23 are analogues of  $d(CH_2)_5[D-Tyr(Et)^2Ile^4]AVP^{11}$  (D) and  $d(CH_2)_5[D-Tyr(Et)^2Ile^4]AVP^{11}$ Phe<sup>2</sup>,Ile<sup>4</sup>]AVP<sup>5</sup> (E) in which the C-terminal Gly-NH<sub>2</sub> has respectively been replaced by Eda and extended by Eda. Their anti- $V_2$  pA<sub>2</sub> values are 8.00 and 8.10, respectively.

These findings clearly confirm that the Eda modification is very well tolerated at a variety of positions in the AVP  $V_2/V_{1a}$  antagonists studied here. In the second part of the study reported here we have presented the synthsis and antagonistic potencies of analogues of these six C-terminal Eda peptides having a variety of amino acids coupled in retrolinkage to the Eda residue in each case. With an anti- $V_2 pA_2 = 7.54$ , the [ring]Eda—Arg analogue 2 (Table I) with D-Tyr(Et) at position two is clearly the most potent of the five position 2 modified peptides presented in Table I. Thus the [ring]Eda-Arg peptide 2 was the logical choice for the studies reported in Table II aimed at exploring the effect of replacing the retro-Arg in 2 by D-Arg (7), Gly (8), Orn (8), D-Orn (10), D-Lys (11), or Arg-Arg (12) in a retrofashion. Apart from the Gly and D-Orn substitutions, all the remaining analogues, 7, 9, 11, and 12, exhibit excellent retention of  $V_2$  antagonism. With an anti- $V_2$  p $A_2$  = 7.95 the retro-D-Arg derivative 7 is over twice as potent as its L-Arg counterpart 2 (anti- $V_2 pA_2 =$ 7.54). Likewise, with anti- $V_2 pA_2$  of 7.92 the retro-D-Orn (14) and retro-D-Arg (16) analogues exhibit significant enhancements in V<sub>2</sub> antagonism relative to their [ring]-**Pro-Eda parent 13, which has an anti-** $V_2$  p $A_2$  = 7.64. With anti- $V_2$  p $A_2$  values of 8.10, 8.10, and 8.09, the retro-Arg, retro-Val, and retro-D-Val analogues 22, 24, and 25 (Table V) are among the most potent V2 antagonists reported to date. The enhancements in anti- $V_2$  potencies observed for the Ile<sup>4</sup> analogue 22 relative to the Val<sup>4</sup> analogue 18 and for many of the retro-D-amino acid analogues offer promising clues to the design of more potent  $V_2$  antagonists. A number of the peptides reported here may be orally active.

It should also be noted that with only a few exceptions (5 and 6, Table I; 24, Table III) virtually all of the C-terminal Eda peptides and the Eda-linked retrosubstituted amino acid analogues reported here are also potent vasopressor antagonists with anti- $V_{1a}$  pA<sub>2</sub> values in the range 7.64 (4, Table I) to 8.33 (21, Table III). Thus this approach clearly also has merit for the design of potent and selective AVP  $V_{1a}$  antagonists.

To our knowledge the use of Eda as a C-terminal linker for the incorporation of retro-amino acids into biologically active peptides has not been previously reported. The novel findings reported here clearly illustrate the usefulness of this approach for the design of cyclic AVP  $V_2/V_{1a}$ antagonists. In a separate study, to be reported elsewhere, we have also demonstrated the usefulness of this approach in the design of potent linear antagonists of vasopressin.<sup>12,13</sup> The findings reported here offer promising clues to the design of (i) more potent and selective AVP  $V_{1a}$  and  $V_2$ antagonists; (ii) orally active AVP  $V_2$  antagonists; and (iii) photoaffinity and radioiodinated ligands for use as probes of AVP  $V_2$ ,  $V_{1a}$  and  $V_{1b}$  (pituitary) receptors. They may also be of value in the design of antagonists of other biologically active peptides.

## **Experimental Section**

Amino acid derivatives were purchased from Bachem Inc. or from Chemalog. Boc-L-Tyr(Et), Boc-D-Tyr(Et),<sup>51</sup> and  $\beta$ -(benzylthio)- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -pentamethylenepropionic acid<sup>43</sup> were synthesized by previously published procedures. The protected peptides terminating in ethylenediamine (I, XIII, XVII, XIX, XXI, XXIII, and IIIA-VIA, Table IV) were synthesized by the manual solid-

<sup>(51)</sup> Kolodziejczyk, A. M.; Manning, M. A convenient method for O-alkylation of N-substituted, tyrosines using a crown ether. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 1944-1946.

phase method.<sup>2-6,35-37</sup> Chloromethylated resin (Bio-Rad Bio-Beads SX-1) was esterified with Boc-Cys(Bzl), Boc-Pro, Boc-Arg(Tos), and Boc-Gly to an incorporation of 0.5-0.65 mmol/g by the cesium salt method.<sup>52</sup> Boc amino acids (except Bocasparagine) and  $\beta$ -(benzylthio)- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -pentamethylenepropionic acid were coupled by the DCC/HOBt<sup>40,41</sup> procedure in  $CH_2Cl_2$  or in  $CH_2Cl_2/DMF$  (9:1, v/v). Boc-asparagine was coupled as its nitrophenyl ester<sup>42</sup> in DMF. Aminolysis with ethylenediamine and purification of the protected C-terminal Eda peptides was carried out as previously described.<sup>38,39</sup> All the retrocouplings were carried out in dimethylformamide (DMF) anhydrous (99+%), Aldrich Chemical Co. Other solvents and reagents were of analytical grade. For the retroadditions the following amino acid derivatives were coupled to the appropriate protected C-terminal Eda peptide by the DCC/HOBt<sup>40,41</sup> or active ester<sup>42</sup> procedures to give the protected retromodified peptides II-XII, XIV-XVI, XVIII, XX, XXII, XXIV, and XXV (Table IV): Z-Arg(Tos), Boc-D-Arg(Tos), Z-Gly-ONp, Z-Orn(Z) Boc-D-Orn-(Tos), Boc-D-Lys(Z), Boc-D-Val, Z-Val-ONp. The choice of protected amino acid derivative was dictated solely by commercial availability. For the Boc-containing retroprotected peptides, the Boc group was removed with TFA prior to total deblocking with sodium liquid ammonia<sup>44</sup> as previously described.<sup>2-6</sup> The protected retropeptides III-VI were prepared from the appropriate protected Eda peptide IIIA-VIA as for II. The physicochemical properties of the purified protected peptides (I-XXV and IIIA-VIA) are given in Table IV. All protected precursors (I-XXV) were converted to the required free cyclic peptides (1-25) by deblocking with Na/liquid NH3,2-6,44 oxidative cyclization with K<sub>3</sub>[Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>],<sup>45</sup> desalting, and purification in a two-step procedure using gel filtration on Sephadex G-15 as previously described.<sup>46</sup> The physicochemical properties of the free peptides (1-25) are given in Table V. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel precoated plates (0.25 mm, Merck). The following solvent systems were used: (A) butan-1-ol-acetic acidwater (4:1:5, v/v/v, upper phase), (B) butan-1-ol-acetic acidwater (4:1:1, v/v/v), (C) butan-1-ol-acetic acid-water-pyridine (15:3:3:10, v/v/v/v), (D) chloroform-methanol (7:3, v/v). Loads of 10-50  $\mu$ g were applied and chromatograms were a minimum length of 10 cm. The chlorine gas procedure for the KI-starch reagent was used for detection.<sup>37</sup> Optical rotations were measured with a Rudolph Autopol III polarimeter. For amino acid analysis<sup>53</sup> peptides (approximately 0.5 mg) were hydrolyzed with constant boiling hydrochloric acid (500  $\mu$ L) containing a 1% solution of phenol in water (10  $\mu$ L) in evacuated and sealed ampules for 24 h at 110 °C. The analyses were performed on a Beckman System 6300 amino acid analyzer. Molar ratios were referred to Phe or Gly = 1.00. All peptides gave the expected amino acid ratios  $\pm 3\%$ . Melting points of the protected peptides are uncorrected.

Solid-Phase Synthesis. Chloromethylated resin (Chemalog 1% cross-linked S-DVB 200-400 mesh, 0.7-1.00 mmol/g) was esterified with either Boc-Gly, Boc-Pro, Boc-Cys(Bzl), or Boc-Arg(Tos) to an incorporation of approximately 0.5 mmol/g by the cesium salt method.<sup>54</sup> Five, six, seven, or eight cycles of deprotection, neutralization, and coupling were carried out for the synthesis of the required 10 protected peptidyl resins. Aminolysis with ethylenediamine (Eda) in MeOH<sup>38</sup> was used to split the protected peptides (I, XIII, XVII, XIX, XXI, XXIII, and IIIA-VIA) from the resin. All of the protected precursors were purified by the same general method: extraction with hot DMF followed by reprecipitations with H<sub>2</sub>O and EtOH/Et<sub>2</sub>O until adjudged pure by TLC.

[ $(\beta$ -Benzylthio)- $\beta_{,\beta}$ -pentamethylenepropionyl]D-Tyr(Et)-Phe-Val-Asn-Cys(Bzl)-NH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> (I, Table IV). Aminolytic Cleavage.<sup>38,39</sup> Boc-Cys(Bzl) resin (1.05 g, 1.0 mmol) was converted to protected acyl pentapeptidyl resin in five cycles of deprotection, neutralization and coupling (mediated by DCC/ HOBt or active ester) with Boc-Asn-ONp, Boc-Val, Boc-Phe, Boc-D-Tyr(Et), and  $\beta$ -(benzylthio)- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -pentamethylenepropionic acid, respectively. The resulting protected peptidyl resin (1.74 g, 1.0 mmol) was suspended in anhydrous methanol (30 mL) and ethylenediamine (30 mL), freshly distilled from Na) was added; the suspension was stirred at room temperature for 48 h. The solvents were evaporated, and the protected peptide was extracted with hot DMF (ca. 30 mL) and reprecipitated by the addition of hot water (ca. 500 mL). Following overnight storage at 4 °C, the product was collected, dried in vacuo over P2O5, and reprecipitated from hot DMF (5 mL) with EtOH (50 mL) and Et<sub>2</sub>O (ca. 300 mL). The solid was collected and dried in vacuo over  $P_2O_5$  to give the required protected acyl pentapeptide Eda (I, Table IV). With the appropriate Boc amino acid substituted resin as starting material similar procedures were used for the synthesis and purification of the related C-terminal Eda substituted protected peptides (Table IV) required for the synthesis of all of the retrosubstituted protected peptides. The physicochemical properties of the protected C-terminal Eda peptides I, XIII, XVII, XIX, XXI, XXIII, and IIIA-VIA are given in Table IV.

 $[(\beta - (Benzylthio) - \beta, \beta - pentamethylenepropionyl] D-Tyr-$ (Et)-Phe-Val-Asn-Cys(Bzl)-NH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NH←Z-Arg(Tos)(II. Table IV). Retrosynthesis 1. To a cooled (0 °C) solution of Z-Arg(Tos)-OH (370 mg, 0.8 mmol) and HOBt (185 mg, 1.2 mmol) dissolved in 3 mL of DMF was added 165 mg (0.8 mmol) of DCC. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h, whereupon the dicyclohexylurea (DCU) was removed by filtration. The filtrate was added to a solution of  $(CH_2)_5C(S-Bzl)CH_2-CO-D-Tyr(Et)$ -Phe-Val-Asn-Cys(Bzl)-NH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> (I) (210 mg, 0.2 mmol) in DMF (2 mL). Et<sub>3</sub>N was added to give a pH  $\sim$ 7.5. After the mixture was stirred for 18 h at room temperature. MeOH (20 mL) was added followed by  $Et_2O$  (250 mL). The precipitated product was collected after storage overnight at 4 °C. Washing with warm MeOH gave the required protected peptide II, 204 mg, yield 72.0% (II, Table IV). With minor variations this procedure was followed to prepare the protected retromodified peptides III-VI, IX, XVIII, XX, and XXII (Table IV) from the corresponding Eda peptide and the appropriate Z protected amino acid. The protected retropeptides VIII and XXIV were synthesized in DMF using HOBt-assisted active ester couplings of Z-Gly-ONp and Z-Val-ONp, respectively, to the protected Eda peptides I and XXII.

 $[\beta$ -(Benzylthio)- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -pentamethylenepropionyl]D-Tyr(Et)-Phe-Val-Asn-Cys(Bzl)-NH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NH←Boc-D-Arg(Tos) (VII, Table IV). Retrosynthesis 2. To a cooled (0 °C) solution of Boc-D-Arg(Tos) (360 mg, 0.85 mmol) and HOBt (190 mg, 1.25 mmol) dissolved in 3 mL of DMF was added 175 mg (0.8 mmol) of DCC. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h followed by the removal of the precipitated DCU. The resulting filtrate was added to a solution of (CH2)5C(S-Bzl)-CH2-CO-D-Tyr(Et)-Phe-Val-Asn-Cys(Bzl)-NH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> (I) (262 mg, 0.25 mmol) following the procedure used for the protected analogue II to give VII (284 mg, yield 79.9%). Protected peptides X, XI, XIV-XVI, and XXV (Table IV) were prepared in the same way from the corresponding protected Eda peptide and the appropriate Bocprotected amino acids. The Boc group was removed as follows prior to the sodium liquid ammonia reduction. VII was placed in a small tube with a stopper, and 2 mL of TFA was added. The solution was stirred for 30 min followed by the addition of Et<sub>2</sub>O and stirring was continued for 15 min. The solid crystals were collected by filtration, washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O, and dried in vacuo over KOH and  $P_2O_5$  (160 mg, yield 80.4%). This procedure was used for the deblocking of the Boc group from each of the remaining protected peptides X, XI, XIV-XVI, and XXV (Table IV).

[ $(\beta$ -Benzylthio)- $\beta_{\beta}\beta$ -pentamethylenepropionyl]D-Tyr(Et)-Phe-Val-Asn-Cys(Bzl)-NH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NH-Arg(Tos)-Z-Arg-(Tos) (XII, Table IV). Retrosynthesis 3. The double retromodified protected peptide XII was obtained from I in two coupling steps. The first coupling step was made following the procedure utilized for VII using the following intermediates: Boc-Arg(Tos) (525 mg, 1.2 mmol), HOBt (243 mg, 1.8 mmol), DCC (248 mg, 1.2 mmol), and compound I (315 mg, 0.3 mmol). The yield from this first coupling was 393 mg (89.9%). Following deblocking of Boc-Arg(Tos) with TFA as described for VII the resulting TFA salt (390 mg (0.26 mmol) was used directly in the second coupling step as described for II with Z-Arg(Tos) (555

<sup>(52)</sup> Kaiser, F.; Colescott, R. L.; Bossinger, C. D.; Cook, P. J. Color test for detection of free terminal amino groups in the solid-phase synthesis of peptides. *Anal. Biochem.* **1970**, *34*, 595–598.

<sup>(53)</sup> Spackmann, D. H.; Stein, W. H.; Moore, S. Automatic recording apparatus for use in the chromatography of amino acids. *Anal. Chem.* **1958**, *30*, 1190–1206.

<sup>(54)</sup> Gisin, B. F. The preparation of Merrifield-resins through total esterification with cesium salts. *Helv. Chim. Acta*. 1973, 56, 1476-1482.

mg, 1.2 mmol), HOBt (243 mg, 1.8 mmol), and DCC (248 mg, 1.2 mmol) to give XII (330 mg), yield 61%.

[(β-Mercapto-β,β-pentamethylenepropionyl)]D-Tyr(Et)-Phe-Val-Asn-Cys-NH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NH←Arg (2, Tables I and V). A solution of the protected peptide (II, Table II) (160 mg) in sodium-dried ammonia (ca. 500 mL) was treated at the boiling point and with stirring with sodium from a stick of metal contained in a small-bore glass tube until a light-blue color persisted in the solution for ca. 30 s. NH4Cl was added to discharge the color. The ammonia was evaporated, and nitrogen was passed through the flask. After 5 min, the residue was dissolved in degassed aqueous acetic acid (50%, 80 mL) and quickly poured into ice-cold water (ca. 1000 mL). The pH was adjusted to approximately 7.0 with concentrated ammonium hydroxide. Following neutralization, an excess of a solution of potassium ferricyanide (0.01 M, 14 mL) was added gradually with stirring. The yellow solution was stirred for an additional 20 min, and after acidification with 0.2 N AcOH to pH 4, for 10 min with anion-exchange resin (Bio-Rad AG-3, Cl<sup>-</sup> form, 10 g damp weight). The suspension was slowly filtered through a bed of resin (10 g damp weight), and the bed was washed with 0.2 M AcOH  $(3 \times 100 \text{ mL})$ ; the combined filtrate and washings were lyophilized. The resulting powder was desalted on a Sephadex G-15 column (110  $\times$  2.7 cm) eluting with aqueous acetic acid (50%) with a flow rate of 5 mL/h. The eluate was fractionated and monitored for absorbance at 254 nm. The fractions comprising the major peak were checked by TLC, pooled, and lyophilized. The residue was further subjected to gel filtration on a Sephadex G-15 column (100  $\times$  1.5 cm) eluting with aqueous acetic acid (0.2 M) with a flow rate of 4 mL/h. The peptide was eluted in a single peak (absorbance at 254 nm). Lyophilization of pertinent fractions gave the desired analogue (2, wt 27 mg (40.3%) Table V). With minor modifications this procedure was utilized to give the remaining 24 free peptides 1, 3-25) in Table V.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part by research grants from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (No. GM-25280; M.M.), the National Institute of Diabetes, Digestive and Kidney Diseases (No. DK-01940; W.H.S.), and the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (HD-20839; W.Y.C.). We thank Ms. Ann Chlebowski, Ms. Lorrie Newman, and volunteer Mr. Brian Manning for their expert assistance in the preparation of the manuscript.