Preferred Formation of *anti*-Housane (Retention) in the Sensitized Photodenitrogenation of Cyclopentane-Annellated DBH-Type Azoalkanes through Long-Range Steric Effects in the Cyclization of the Triplet Cyclopentane-1,3-diyl Diradicals

Waldemar Adam,*^[a] Manfred Diedering,^[a] and Vicente Martí^[a]

Keywords: DBH / Azoalkanes / Photolysis / Triplet diradical / Long-range steric effects / Diastereoselectivity

The photolysis of the cyclopentene- and cyclopentane-annellated DBH-type azoalkanes 1a and 1b affords under singlet conditions (high-temperature direct photolysis) predominantly the inverted housanes syn-2 in similar amounts for both derivatives 2a and 2b. Under triplet conditions (low-temperature direct or benzophenone-sensitized photolysis), the photolysis leads to the retained housane *anti-2* as the major diastereomer, but with a substantial difference in the syn/*anti*-housane ratio for 2a (38:62) and for 2b (6:94). This significant difference in the *anti* stereoselectivity of the triplet

Introduction

Since its discovery in 1967,^[1] the preferred formation of inverted housane in the denitrogenation of exo-deuterated diazabicyclo[2.2.1]heptene ([D₂]DBH) has been an issue of intensive mechanistic discussion.^[2-6] Our recent work on the cyclopentene-annellated DBH derivative 1a has helped to clarify the complexity of the double inversion process (Scheme 1).^[7] We found that in the direct photolysis at elevated temperature (singlet pathway), the inverted housane syn-2a is produced as the major diastereomer from the intermediary diazenyl diradical ¹DZ through the S_H2 process.^[7] In a competitive pathway, the ¹DR intermediate is formed by elimination of N_2 from the ¹DZ species and affords both the inverted (syn-2a) and retained (anti-2a) housanes. The product distribution in the direct photolysis is strongly temperature-dependent: While at elevated temperature the syn-2a housane is slightly favored (synlanti ratio 62:38 at +40 °C), the anti-2a housane dominates slightly at subambient temperature (synlanti ratio 39:61 at -75 °C). This observation has been explained by intersystem crossing (ISC) of the n, π^* -excited azoalkane, namely ¹1(n, π^*) to ³1(n, π^*), which competes with the C–N bond cleavage in the singlet pathway. Confirmation of the triplet pathway was achieved by benzophenone-sensitized photolysis which afforded the same synlanti-2a ratio as in the low-temperature direct photolysis. Thus, at low temperature, ISC is favpathway is mechanistically rationalized in terms of longrange steric interactions between the annellated ring and the *gem*-dimethyl-substituted methylene bridge during the cyclization of the cyclopentane-1,3-diyl triplet diradical ³**DR** after ISC. In contrast, the denitrogenation of the intermediary diazenyl diradical ¹**DZ** along the S_H2 pathway (inversion) for the singlet process is quite insensitive to these remote steric effects.

(© Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 69451 Weinheim, Germany, 2003)

ored over the C–N bond cleavage and the resulting tripletexcited ³1(n, π^*) azoalkane loses N₂ to produce the planar cyclopentane-1,3-diyl triplet diradical ³DR. The latter leads on ISC to a mixture of *syn/anti-2a* housanes; at high temperature, the S_H2 process prevails to afford the *syn* product through inversion.



Scheme 1

The slight preference of the *anti-2a* housane in the triplet pathway has been attributed to a steric interaction between the annellated cyclopentene ring and the *gem*-dimethyl-substituted methylene bridge during the ring closure of the planar nitrogen-free triplet diradical ³DR. Indeed, AM1 calculations had confirmed that the *anti-2a* diastereomer is by about 6 kcal/mol of lower energy than the more strained

^[a] Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Würzburg, Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg, Germany

syn-housane.^[8] Thus, only a small fraction (< 0.5 kcal/mol) of this energy bias in favor of the *anti-2a* product is utilized in the transition state for cyclization, which is a consequence of the low (< 3 kcal/mol) energy barrier for the ${}^{3}\text{DR} \rightarrow 2a$ process. It follows that an increase of the steric interactions of the remote annellated ring and the gem-dimethyl-substituted methylene bridge should raise the energy barrier of the ${}^{3}DR \rightarrow syn-2a$ cyclization and, consequently, the formation of anti-housane should be enhanced. That this is actually the case, we demonstrate herein for the photodenitrogenation of the saturated azoalkane derivative **1b**. Whereas in the direct photolysis (singlet-excited process), the synlanti-2b housane ratio is nominally affected by this structural change, for the benzophenone-sensitized photolysis (triplet-excited process) essentially exclusively the anti-2b housane is observed. This is the first example of such a high extent of retention for the cyclization of a nitrogen-free ³**DR** triplet diradical of the cyclopentane-1,3-diyl type.

Results

The known azoalkanes **1a**,**b** were prepared according to the Hünig route.^[9] Upon irradiation with the 351-nm line of an argon-ion laser, their denitrogenation led exclusively to the *syn* and *anti* diastereomers of the housanes **2a**,**b**. The housanes *anti*-**2a** and *syn*-**2a** were obtained as reported,^[7] the unknown housanes *anti*-**2b** and *syn*-**2b** were fully characterized by spectroscopic methods in analogy to **2a**. The quantum yield of denitrogenation of the azoalkane **1a** was determined to be $\Phi_{rel}(\mathbf{1a}) = 0.84 \pm 0.03$ relative to **1b** $[\Phi_{rel}(\mathbf{1b}) = 1.0]$, see Exp. Sect. for details.

Although the *synlanti* ratios of the housane **2a** in the denitrogenation of the azoalkane **1a** had been determined before,^[7] the photolyses were carried out in parallel with azoalkane **1b** under identical conditions, to enable direct comparison. The *synlanti* product ratios for the direct, triplet-sensitized (benzophenone), and quenched (*trans*piperylene) photolyses are given in Table 1. The temperature was varied from -75 to +40 °C for the three irradiation modes (for additional data, see Table 2). Control experiments established that no thermal isomerization of the housane *syn-2* to the thermodynamically favored *anti-2* (AM1 calculation indicates ca. 6 kcal/mol) had taken place even at +40 °C.

Expectedly, for both azoalkanes, similar trends with respect to the photolysis mode are displayed: Within the experimental error, the *syn/anti*-housane ratios are the same for the elevated-temperature (40 °C) direct (Entry 1) and the *trans*-piperylene-quenched photolysis (Entry 3), while the low-temperature (-75 °C) direct photolysis (Entry 2) gave the same ratios as the benzophenone-sensitized one (Entry 4). For both azoalkanes, the *syn*-housane is slightly preferred (**2a**: 62%; **2b**: 53%; Entry 1) in the direct photolyses as well as in the quenched photolyses at 40 °C (Entry 4). However, a substantial difference is observed between the product ratios for both azoalkanes in the lowtemperature direct and sensitized photolysis, for which the *syn/anti* ratios are 39:61 for **2a** and 6:94 for **2b** (Entry 4).

The activation parameters for the *syn*-to-*anti* isomerization of the housane **2b** were determined by following the decrease of *syn*-housane at five temperatures in the range from +70 to +110 °C by ¹H NMR spectrosopy to be $E_a =$ 26 ± 2 kcal/mol and log $A = 12.1 \pm 0.9$ s⁻¹ (see Table 3). The values for the housanes **2a** were previously measured to be $E_a = 29 \pm 2$ kcal/mol and log $A = 12.6 \pm 0.9$ s^{-1.[7]}

Discussion

The azoalkanes 1a and 1b are very similar in structure, the only difference lies in the annellated ring, which is cyclopentene in 1a but cyclopentane in 1b. Nevertheless, this apparently small structural variation is responsible for a substantial difference in the synlanti-housane product ratio of both azoalkanes, provided the photolysis conditions are appropriate. In particular, under singlet conditions (Table 1), i.e., elevated-temperature direct (Entry 1) or quenched (Entry 3) photolysis, both azoalkanes 1a and 1b afford in slight preference the thermodynamically less favored (supported by AM1 calculations and complete thermal syn-toanti isomerization) inverted syn diastereomer (Entries 1 and 3). This is the expected behavior for the photochemical denitrogenation,^[1a,1b,5d] which is taken as experimental evidence for the intervention of a singlet diazenyl diradical ¹DZ in the $S_{\rm H2}$ process that leads to the inverted syn-2 housane. As for the effect of the annellated ring, namely cyclopentene

Table 1. Product studies of the photochemical denitrogenation of azoalkanes 1a and 1b (the corresponding irradiations of both azoalkanes were run parallel under identical conditions)

Entry	Photolysis conditions ^[a]	Temperature [°C]	Product ratio ^[b]	
			synlanti (2a)	synlanti (2b)
1	direct	40	62:38	53:47
2	direct	-75	38:62	6:94
3	quenched	40	65:35	54:46
4	sensitized	40	39:61	6:94

^[a] In [D₈]toluene; for the direct and *trans*-piperylene-quenched (1 M) photolyses, the 351-nm (0.8 W) line of the argon-ion laser was used, for the benzophenone-sensitized (1 M) one, the 333-nm (0.6 W) line. ^[b] Determined by ¹H NMR analysis, normalized to 100%, error ± 5 of the stated values; hexamethyldisiloxane as internal standard; mass balance $\geq 95\%$.

FULL PAPER

in **1a** or cyclopentane in **1b**, backside displacement of N_2 in the respective singlet diazenyl diradicals ${}^1DZ(1a)$ and ${}^1DZ(1b)$ is essentially insensitive to such structural variation during the inversion process.

In contrast, the photolytic deazetation of the azoalkanes 1 under triplet conditions, i.e., low-temperature direct or benzophenone-sensitized photodenitrogenation, leads to a small preference of the anti-2a housane from the unsaturated azoalkane 1a (Entries 2 and 4), while the retention product anti-2b is formed almost exclusively for the saturated derivative 1b under the same conditions. The fact that the *anti*-housane (retention) is preferred by far is unusual, since normally the triplet denitrogenation of simple DBH derivatives has furnished about equal amounts of retained (ret) and inverted (inv) housanes, as shown for a few cases below (Figure 1).^[5d] The data show that the *ret/inv* ratio of housanes is ca. 50:50, but certainly no preference for retention is expressed. This lack of stereoselectivity of the triplet denitrogenation has been rationalized in terms of a planar nitrogen-free triplet diradical ³DR (Scheme 1). The low energy barrier (< 3 kcal/mol) for cyclization by intersystem crossing accounts for the small if not negligible substituent effects.^[2,10] Thus, the fact that the triplet denitrogenation of the azoalkane 1b affords almost exclusively (inv/ret ratio of 6:94) the retained housane *anti*-2b is an exception.



Figure 1. Diastereoselectivity (*ret/inv* ratio) for the triplet denitrogenation of simple DBH derivatives

What is the reason for this anti stereoselectivity in the triplet pathway? As mentioned before, the significant difference between the azoalkane 1a and 1b lies in the annellated ring. This structural variation becomes important during the cyclization of the nitrogen-free planar triplet diradical ³**DR** (Scheme 2).^[10,11] After intersystem crossing (isc) to the singlet diradical ¹DR, the direction of the ring closure to the diastereomeric housanes 2 is controlled by steric effects between the gem-dimethyl-substituted methylene bridge with the annellated ring. This steric interaction is stronger for the bulkier annellated cyclopentane ring during the puckering motion of the ring closure in the resulting ¹**DR**(1**b**) diradical such that the *anti*-2**b** (path a) rather than *syn-2b* (path b) is generated in high preference (Scheme 2). For the annellated cyclopentene ring in the ${}^{1}DR(1a)$ diradical, the puckering motion is less obstructed during the ring closure to the housane syn-2a by such steric effects and a relatively large amount of inverted product is obtained (syn/ anti ratio 39:61).

From the *synlanti*-housane ratios of the triplet pathway we may calculate by means of the Arrhenius equation the difference in the energy barriers for the ring closure of the ¹**DZ** diradical to the two housane diastereomers. The ΔE_a value is 0.24 \pm 0.04 kcal/mol for ¹**DR**(1a) and for ¹**DR**(1b) it is 1.4 \pm 0.3 (Figure 2). The difference in the steric strain



Scheme 2

between the *gem*-dimethyl-substituted methylene bridge and the annellated ring manifests itself not only in the *syn/anti* diastereoselectivity of the triplet photolysis, but also in the activation barrier for the thermal *syn*-to-*anti* isomerization of the diastereomeric housanes; it is by ca. 3 kcal/mol smaller for the saturated housane **2b** (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Energy profiles [kcal/mol] for the *syn/anti*-housane formation in the thermal *syn*-to-*anti* isomerisation of the housanes 2a,bthrough the singlet diradical ¹DR (solid curve) and in the tripletsensitized and direct (-75 °C) photochemical denitrogenation of the azoalkanes 1a,b after isc of the triplet diradical ³DR (dashed curve)

Conclusion

In summary, in this study we have shown the influence of long-range steric interactions on the synlanti-housane distribution in the photolyses of the DBH-type azoalkanes 1a and 1b. This steric effect derives from the structural difference between cyclopentene and cyclopentane annellation. While the ring closure of the diazenyl diradical ¹DZ in the S_{H2} process (inversion) is relatively insensitive to such remote steric interaction for the direct photolysis (singlet pathway), it promotes cyclization of the planar nitrogenfree ³**DR** diradical preferably to the *anti*-housane (retention) in the triplet process. Despite the high degree of retention in this triplet photolysis, this does not constitute a case of "stereochemical memory", as demonstrated for the direct photolysis (singlet) of related bridgehead-substituted cyclopentene-annellated DBH-type azoalkanes,^[12] because in the triplet process the planar nitrogen-free triplet diradical ³DR intervenes, which has lost all of the original stereochemical information. The high anti diastereoselectivity of the present case is the consequence of remote steric interactions in the transition state for the triplet cyclization ${}^{3}DR \rightarrow syn-2b$.

Experimental Section

General Aspects: NMR spectra were measured with a Bruker AC200 or AC250 in $[D_8]$ toluene with hexamethyldisiloaxane as internal standard. UV absorption spectra were recorded with a Hitachi U 3200 spectrophotometer. Elemental analyses were performed by the Microanalytical Division of the Institute of Inorganic Chemistry (University of Würzburg). Photolyses were carried out at the 333-nm and 351-nm laser lines of a continuous-wave argon-ion laser (INNOVA 100, Coherent Company).

Synthesis of Housane 2b: A sample of $(1\alpha,4\alpha,4\alpha\alpha,7\alpha\alpha)$ -4,4a,5,6,7,7a-hexahydro-8,8-dimethyl-1,4-methano-1*H*-cyclopenta-[*d*]pyridazin (1b) (50.0 mg, 0.304 mmol) was dissolved in pentane (ca. 0.7 mL), transferred to an NMR tube, deareated by purging with a slow stream of argon for 10 min, and irradiated at the 333nm, 351-nm and 364-nm lines of the argon-ion laser at 20 °C for ca. 10 min. The pale yellow photolysate was passed through a short column of basic alumina (ca. 2.0 g), the solvent evaporated (20 °C, 20 mbar) and the diastereomeric mixture of housanes 2b was obtained in quantitative yield as colorless oils, which could not be separated by column chromatography. Thermolysis at elevated temperature (> 90 °C) led to the pure *anti*-2b diastereomer. The housane *syn*-2b was spectroscopically characterized directly in the mixture of diastereomers.

endo-3,3-Dimethyltricyclo[3.3.0.0.^{2,4}]octane *(anti-2b):* ¹H NMR (250 MHz, [D₈]toluene): $\delta = 0.75$ (s, 2 H), 0.87 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.19 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.30–1.80 (m, 5H), 1.94–2.22 (m, 3 H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, [D₈]toluene): $\delta = 14.3$ (q), 21.2 (s), 24.6 (q), 25.7 (t), 29.7 (d), 31.7 (t), 38.8 (d) ppm.

Table 2. Product studies of the photochemical denitrogenation of azoalkanes **1a** and **1b** (the corresponding irradiations of both azoalkanes were run in parallel under identical conditions)

Entry	Azoalkane	Photolysis	Temperature	Time	Conversion	Product ratio
		conditions ^[a]	[°C]	[min]	(%) ^[b]	syn-2/anti-2
1		direct	40	10	> 95	62:38
2		direct	10	10	85	58:42
3		direct	20	15	82	48:52
4	\mathbf{i}	direct	50	20	75	41:59
5	N	direct	75	30	79	38:62
6	<u>A</u> N	quenched	40	10	94	65:35
7		quenched	-20	15	47	53:47
8	1a	quenched	75	30	11	38:62
9		sensitized	40	20	50	39:61
10		sensitized	-20	30	49	39:61
11		sensitized	-75	60	10	39:61
12		direct	40	10	72	53:47
13		direct	10	10	64	46:54
14		direct	-20	15	72	33:67
15	\checkmark	direct	-50	20	76	15:85
16	-AN	direct	75	30	83	6:94
17	<u>N</u>	quenched	40	10	59	54:46
18	E) "	quenched	20	15	42	44:56
19	1b	quenched	-75	30	12	23:77
20		sensitized	40	20	54	6:94
21		sensitized	20	30	56	2:98
22		sensitized	75	60	16	2:98

^[a] In [D₈]toluene; for the direct and *trans*-piperylene-quenched (1 M) photolyses, the 351-nm (0.8 W) line of the argon-ion laser was used, for the benzophenone-sensitized (1 M), the 333-nm (0.6 W) line. ^[b] Determined by ¹H NMR analysis; normalized to 100%; mass balance $\geq 95\%$; hexamethyldisiloxane as internal standard; error $\pm 5\%$ of the stated values.

exo-3,3-Dimethyltricyclo[3.3.0.0.^{2,4}]octane (*syn*-2b): ¹H NMR (200 MHz, [D₈]toluene): $\delta = 0.73$ (s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.48 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 2.66–2.80 (m, 2 H) ppm; the remaining peaks overlap with signals of the *anti* diastereomer. ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, [D₈]toluene): $\delta = 19.3$ (q), 24.1 (s), 27.9 (t), 28.6 (d), 32.0 (t), 37.3 (d) ppm; the remaining peaks overlap with signals of the *anti* diastereomer.

Diastereomeric mixture of *synlanti***-2b:** IR (neat): $\tilde{\nu} = 3012$, 2945, 2869, 1454, 1376, 1255, 1117, 1020, 884, 811 cm⁻¹. $C_{10}H_{16}$ (136.2): calcd. C 88.16, H 11.84; found C 87.80, H 12.09.

Product Studies of the Azoalkanes 1: For the direct photolyses, a sample of the azoalkane **1** (**1a**: ca. 0.074 mmol; **1b**: ca. 0.117 mmol; about the same optical density) in $[D_8]$ toluene (0.6 mL) and of hexamethyldisiloxane (2 µL) was transferred to an NMR tube, deareated by purging with a slow stream of argon for 10 min, and irradiated at the 351-nm laser line (0.8 W; widened to ca. 5 cm by a lens) of the argon-ion laser at the temperature specified in Table 2. For the quenching experiments, *trans*-piperylene (1 M) was employed as triplet quencher. In the triplet-sensitized photolyses, benzophenone (1 M) was used as sensitizer and irradiated at the 333-nm laser line (0.6 W). The results are listed in Table 2.

Synthesis and Photolysis of the Azoalkanes 1c and 1d: The saturated azoalkanes 1c and 1d, which are Me- and Ph-substituted on the bridgehead positions were prepared as described in the literature.^[9,13] Their photolysis afforded under all conditions exclusively the known housanes *anti*-2c,d.^[14]



Determination of Relative Photolysis Quantum Yields of the Azoalkanes 1a,b: The relative quantum yields have been determined by a method which has been used for similar azoalkane derivatives.^[15] Aliquots (ca. 2.8 mL) of degassed toluene solutions of azoalkanes **1a** and **1b** (absorbance ca. 0.60 at $\lambda = 351$ nm) were placed into UV cuvettes $(1 \times 1 \text{ cm})$ and irradiated at equal time intervals of ca. 1 s with the 351-nm widened beam of the argon-ion laser. The laser light intensity was adjusted by using the intensity regulation $(\pm 0.05\%)$, supplied by the instrument. The irradiation was conducted up to 7-11 data points per experiment. The decreasing absorbance (A) versus irradiation time was monitored by UV spectrophotometry. The plots of log $[(10^{A}_{0} - 1)/(10^{A} - 1)]$ versus irradiation time were linear with correlation coefficients (R^2) greater than 0.98. The relative photoreaction quantum yields (Φ_{rel}) were calculated from the ratio of the slopes $S(1a)/S(1b) = \varepsilon_{1a}\Phi_{1a}/(\varepsilon_{1b}\Phi_{1b})$, where ϵ_{1a} and ϵ_{1b} are the extinction coefficients of the azoalkanes 1a and 1b at the irradiation wavelength of 351 nm ($\epsilon_{1a} = 174 \text{ m}^{-1}$ cm⁻¹; $\varepsilon_{1b} = 110 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). The relative quantum yields have been determined to be $\Phi_{rel}(1a) = 0.84 \pm 0.03 \cdot \Phi_{rel}(1b)$.

Determination of the Activation Parameters for the Thermal syn-toanti Isomerization of the Housane 2b: The activation energies and the log A values for the syn-to-anti isomerization of the housanes 2 were obtained from the first-order kinetics of the thermolysis of the syn diastereomer (a synlanti diastereomeric mixture of the housane was used) to the persistent anti-housanes (Table 3). The time profile of the amount of syn- and anti-housanes was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy as a function of temperature. From this data, the rate constants of isomerzation were evaluated according to first-order kinetics and the activation parameters calculated by means of the Arrhenius equation.

FULL PAPER

Table 3. Activation parameters for the *syn*-to-*anti* isomerization of the housane **2a** (the amount of *syn*- and *anti*-housanes was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy with naphthalene as internal standard; error $\pm 5\%$ of the stated values)

Entry	Temperature [°C]	$k \cdot 10^5 [s^{-1}]$	$E_{\rm a}$ [kcal mol ⁻¹]	$\log_{[s^{-1}]}^{\log A}$
1	70	2.8		
2	80	5.3		
3	90	21.4	26 ± 2	12.1 ± 0.9
4	100	55.3		
5	110	129.4		

Acknowledgments

The generous financial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the Volkswagen Stiftung and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie gratefully appreciated. V. M. is grateful for a Marie-Curie fellowship from the European Commission.

- ^[1] [^{1a]} W. R. Roth, M. Martin, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1967, 702, 1–7. [^{1b]} W. R. Roth, M. Martin, Tetrahedron Lett. 1967, 47, 4695–4698. [^{1c]} E. L. Allred, R. L. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 6766–6775.
- ^[2] C. D. Sherill, E. T. Seidl, H. F. Schaefer III, J. Phys. Chem. 1992, 96, 3712-3716.

- ^[3] D. C. Sorescu, D. L. Thompson, L. M. Raff, J. Chem. Phys. 1995, 102, 7910-7924.
- [4] N. Yamamoto, M. Olivucci, P. Celani, F. Bernardi, M. A. Robb, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 2391-2407.
- ^[5] [^{sa]} W. Adam, T. Oppenländer, G. Zang, J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 3303-3312.
 ^[5b] J. S. Adams, R. B. Weisman, P. S. Engel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 9115-9121.
 ^[5c] C. J. S. M. Simpson, G. J. Wilson, W. Adam, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 4728-4732.
 ^[5d] W. Adam, U. Denninger, R. Finzel, F. Kita, H. Platsch, H. Walter, G. Zang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 5027-5035.
- ^[6] M. B. Reyes, B. K. Carpenter, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 10163-10176.
- [7] W. Adam, H. García, V. Martí, J. N. Moorthy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 9475–9476.
- [8] W. Adam, H. M. Harrer, W. M. Nau, K. Peters, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 3786–3797.
- [9] K. Beck, A. Höhn, S. Hünig, F. Prokschy, Chem. Ber. 1984, 117, 517-533.
- [10] [10a] S. L. Buchwalter, G. L. Closs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 3857–3858.
 [10b] S. L. Buchwalter, G. L. Closs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 4688–4694.
- ^[11] W. Adam, H. M. Harrer, F. Kita, H.-G. Korth, W. M. Nau, J. Org. Chem. **1997**, 62, 1419–1426.
- [^{12]} W. Adam, H. García, M. Diedering, V. Martí, M. Olivucci, E. Palomares, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 12192-12199.
- [13] [13a] K. Beck, S. Hünig, *Chem. Ber.* 1987, 120, 477–483. [13b]
 K. Beck, S. Hünig, F.-G. Klärner, P. Kraft, U. Artschwager-Perl, *Chem. Ber.* 1987, 120, 2041–2151.
- ^[14] W. Adam, T. Heidenfelder, C. Sahin, *Synthesis* **1995**, 1163-1170.
- ^[15] W. Adam, G. Fragale, D. Klapstein, W. N. Nau, J. Wirz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, 117, 12578–12592.

Received August 29, 2002 [I02484]