

Accepted Article

Title: Reductive Decarboxylative Alkynylation of N-Hydroxyphthalimide Esters with Bromoalkynes

Authors: Liangbin Huang, Astrid M. Olivares, and Daniel John Weix

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 10.1002/anie.201706781 Angew. Chem. 10.1002/ange.201706781

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201706781 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.201706781

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

Reductive Decarboxylative Alkynylation of *N*-Hydroxyphthalimide Esters with Bromoalkynes

Liangbin Huang,^[a] Astrid M. Olivares,^[a] and Daniel J. Weix*^[a]

Abstract: A new method for the synthesis of terminal and internal alkynes from the nickel-catalyzed decarboxylative coupling of *N*-hydroxyphthalimide esters (NHP esters) and bromoalkynes is presented. This reductive cross-electrophile coupling is the first to use a C(sp)-X electrophile, and appears to proceed via an alkynylnickel intermediate. The internal alkyne products are obtained in 41-95% yield without the need for a photocatalyst, light, or strong oxidant. The reaction displays a broad scope of carboxylic acid and alkyne coupling partners and can tolerate an array of functional groups including a carbamate N-H, halogen, nitrile, olefin, ketone, and ester. Mechanistic studies suggest that this process does not involve an alkynylmanganese reagent and involves nickel-mediated bond formation.

Cross-electrophile coupling^[1] has recently been shown to be a general approach to the formation of C-C bonds and the number and type of electrophiles that can be cross-coupled has grown rapidly in the past decade. The formation of $C(sp^3)-C(sp^2)$ bonds has been the most studied,^{[2],[3]} but the selective formation of $C(sp^2)-C(sp^2)^{[4]}$ and $C(sp^3)-C(sp^3)^{[5]}$ bonds has also been demonstrated (Scheme 1). In particular, the coupling of aryl^[2] and vinyl halides^[3] with alkyl halides has proven to be a useful alternative to other cross-coupling approaches.^[6] In contrast, cross-electrophile coupling to form $C(sp)-C(sp^x)$ bonds has not been demonstrated (Scheme 1), even though bromoalkynes are easily generated from terminal alkynes.^{[7] [8]}



Scheme 1. Types of bonds formed by reductive cross-electrophile coupling.

This absence in the literature could be related to a number of potential problems. First, the high reactivity of bromoalkynes could pose a selectivity problem.^[9] Second, the low steric bulk of the alkyne could lead to rapid transmetalation and homocoupling to form diynes. Finally, alkynes can act as radical acceptors and strong ligands, potentially leading to catalyst inhibition and side reactions.

We were motivated to explore the reactivity of alkynyl electrophiles in order to develop a new synthesis of alkylated alkynes from carboxylic acids. The most often used solution for

[a]	Dr. L. Huang, A. M. Olivares, Prof. Dr. D. J. Weix
	Department of Chemistry
	University of Rochester
	Rochester, New York 14627-0216, USA
	E-mail: daniel.weix@rochester.edu
	Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

this transformation is through the Corey-Fuchs reaction^[10] or the Seyferth-Gilbert homologation^[11] of aldehydes to terminal alkynes. While this approach has proven useful, it often requires the synthesis of the aldehyde from the more abundant carboxylic acid strong base to effect the rearrangement, and additional steps if an internal alkyne is desired.





Scheme 2. Comparison of alkynylation strategies.

We envisioned a more convergent approach, the decarboxylative coupling of an N-hydroxyphthalimide (NHP) ester with an alkynyl bromide (Scheme 2).[12].[13] Strategically, this approach differs from the above strategy because it avoids the aldehyde intermediate. Additionally, the alkyne component can be substituted, allowing for direct synthesis of functionalized internal alkynes. While this exact transformation is unknown, related strategies have been investigated recently. For example, the oxidative decarboxylation of aliphatic acids to form alkyl radicals with subsequent capture by alkynyl electrophiles.^[14] Concurrent with these studies, Fu reported on the coupling of terminal alkynes with α -amino NHP esters^[15] and Baran reported on the coupling of N-hydroxytetrachlorophthalimide (TCNHPI) esters with alkynylzinc or magnesium reagents.^[16] Our proposed approach would avoid the need for organometallic reagents and the more expensive TCNHPI^[17] without being limited to α -amino acid derivatives.^[15] Although this approach would use alkyne electrophiles, bromoalkynes^[7] are more easily prepared than ethynylbenziodoxolones^[18] or alkynyl sulfones.^[14a-c]

Initial results using conditions we reported for the coupling of iodoarenes to NHP esters^[13a] resulted in a low yield of the crossproduct (Table 1, entry 1, **3a**) and a large amount of the homodimer (**diyne**). Two changes solved this selectivity problem (entries 1-3): changing the reductant from Zn to Mn (from 11 to 43% yield) and adding in LiBr as an additive (43% to 78% yield).^[4c, 19] Examination of several other salts showed that both LiCl and LiBr improve the yield of cross-product at the expense of diyne formation. Stoichiometric amounts of LiBr were required to observe a beneficial effect (entries 8 and 9). Small improvements in yield were obtained when using an excess of either coupling partner (entries 10 and 11).

COMMUNICATION

Control experiments showed that both nickel and ligand were essential for this transformation (entries 12 and 13). A redox active ester appears to be required because **1b** was not consumed under these reaction conditions (entry 14). Other redox-active esters (HOBt or HOAt esters **1c** and **1d**) were also not consumed under these conditions (entry 14).

Table 1. Optimization of the decarboxylative alkynylation.



[a] Corrected GC yield using n-dodecane as the internal standard; isolated yield in parentheses.

Under the optimized conditions, various alkyl NHP esters and bromoalkynes were cross-coupled successfully in 41-95% yield (Table 2). Functional group compatibility is promising, and the reaction tolerates ketones (3b and 3c), esters (3d) and the N-H of secondary carbamates (3e). The high reactivity of the NHP esters compared to other alkyl electrophiles was demonstrated in product 3f, where an alkyl bromide was tolerated, albeit in moderate yield. Another advantage of the NHP esters is that readily available amino acids can be easily converted into useful alkynyl amino acids (3g) and alkynyl amines (3k and 3m). Propargylglycine, obtainable from 3g by routine deprotection, has been made on large scale to support pharmaceutical synthesis.^[20] Our route, from aspartic acid, is an attractive alternative to the chiral auxiliary approach that was recently reported.^[21] Linoleic acid was coupled to form 3i in high yield without isomerization of the Z-olefins. Although these reactions were set up in a glovebox for convenience, the chemistry could be run on preparative scale (5 mmol NHP ester) on the benchtop without the need for strict exclusion of moisture and air. Subsequent removal of the TIPS protecting group with nBu₄NF (1 M in THF) gave the terminal alkyne in 84% yield over the two steps.

 α -Branched redox-active esters were suitable substrates for the alkynylation reaction (**3j-n**), but reactions with dibranched substrates did not form product. The value of using an aliphatic acid as a source for the alkyl moiety was demonstrated with these compounds, as the corresponding alkyl halides are not commercially available or fail to couple.^[22] For example, 3halotetrahydrofurans, 2-halopyrrolidines, and 2-amido alkyl halides are not easily accessible starting materials, whereas the corresponding aliphatic acids are relatively affordable and can be successfully transformed into the alkynylation products in moderate to good yields (**3j**, **3k** and **3m**).

Next, the scope of the bromoalkynes was tested in our reductive coupling method. Both the alkyl substituted and the phenyl substituted bromoalkynes were coupled with 3,3-dimethylbutanoic acid NHP ester in good yields (**3o** and **3p**). Several functional groups can be appended onto the alkyl chain of the bromoalkynes including malonate (**3q**), OTBS (**3r**), cyanide (**3s**), phthalimide (**3t**), and alkene (**3u**), without preventing product formation.

Not all substrates tested coupled in high yield under these conditions. An NHP ester with a free carboxylic acid and a bromoalkyne with a free hydroxyl group resulted in low yields. Finally, NHP esters that would generate an allylic or benzylic radical failed to give alkynylation products.

Table 2. Substrate scope of NHP-ester and bromoalkyne.



[a] This reaction was run on 3.3 mmol scale and the crude product was deprotected with TBAF before isolation. Yield is over two steps. [b] Reaction run at 50 $^{\circ}$ C. [c] Reaction run at lower concentration (1.8 mL of NMP).

Three potential mechanisms were proposed: 1) in-situ formation of an alkynyl manganese reagent and subsequent nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling,^[16] 2) nickel-catalyzed radical generation^{[13b, 23],[13a]} and outer-sphere addition of the free radical to the bromoalkyne;^[14a-c] 3) sequential reaction of two electrophiles with the nickel catalyst and bond-formation at nickel.^[24] At this time, we can rule out the first two mechanistic possibilities (*vide infra*).

COMMUNICATION

Given the high reactivity of alkynyl bromides and the positive effect of LiBr on the reaction outcome, an additive known to increase the rate of insertion of Mn or Zn,[25] we suspected an alkynylmanganese reagent might be an intermediate. However, when silvlated bromoalkyne 2a was subjected to standard conditions without adding nickel or ligand (Scheme 3a), the bromoalkyne was not consumed and only a trace of hydrodebrominated alkyne was observed. This shows that direct insertion of Mn into the C-Br bond is slow and suggests that Mn serves to reduce a nickel intermediate. Although we are unsure of the role of LiBr in minimizing formation of diyne, our own work and that of Osakada and Yamamoto^[26] suggests that diyne arises from dialkynylnickel(II) intermediates. Added LiBr could slow ligand exchange between alkynylnickel(II) intermediates, inhibiting diyne formation.





Two experiments suggested the presence of a free alkyl radical in the reaction. The NHP ester of cyclopropylacetic acid (**1v**) reacted to form the rearranged product exclusively (Scheme 3b).^{[24], [27-28]} In addition, a standard reaction run in the presence of TEMPO formed both the expected cross product and alkylated TEMPO (Scheme 3c).^[27a] This product required nickel, confirming that nickel is required for radical generation.

While these results are consistent with mechanism two, the coupling of a free-radical with the bromoalkyne to form product is unlikely for three reasons. First, it has been reported that this process is not high yielding.^[14a, 14c, 18] Second, our studies have shown that the ligand on nickel is essential to bond formation but not consumption of the NHP ester (Table 1, entry 12).^[13a] Third, the major challenge during reaction optimization was too-rapid consumption of the bromoalkyne and subsequent diyne formation,

a process that requires an alkynylnickel intermediate (Table 1 and Scheme 3d).

Finally, we briefly studied the reactivity of two possible nickel intermediates to shed light on the mechanism (Scheme 3d). The nickel(0) complex (dtbbpy)Ni⁰(cod) reacted rapidly with both electrophiles at rt, in each case forming an as-yet unidentified organonickel intermediate (**5** and **6**).^[29] Both of these intermediates, either after isolation and washing to remove excess electrophile or without isolation, react with the complementary electrophile to form cross-product.^{[22e],[30]} While these studies demonstrate that an inner-sphere, nickel-mediated mechanism is possible, further studies will be required before a complete catalytic cycle can be proposed.^[24]

In conclusion, the reductive alkynylation to form a C(sp)-C(sp³ bond has been achieved, opening a new class of reactions to cross-electrophile coupling and demonstrating that even highly reactive electrophiles can be coupled selectively. The resulting method provides a convenient and direct synthesis of a wide variety of internal alkynes from convenient precursors and useful alternative to methods that proceed via olefination or use alkyl halides.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge funding from the NIH NIGMS (R01 GM097243 to DJW) and the NSF (NSF DGE-1419118 to AMO). DJW is a Camille Dreyfus Teacher-Scholar. Additional funding from Novartis, Pfizer, and Boehringer Ingelheim is also gratefully acknowledged. Matthew Goldfogel, Amanda Spiewak, and Keywan Johnson (Univ. of Rochester) are acknowledged for assistance with substrate synthesis. Theresa lannuzzi is thanked for assistance with EPR spectroscopy experiments.

Keywords: Cross-electrophile coupling • Homogeneous catalysis • Alkynes • Alkylation • Cross-coupling

Reference:

- a) C. E. I. Knappke, S. Grupe, D. Gärtner, M. Corpet, C. Gosmini, A. Jacobi von Wangelin, *Chem Eur. J.* 2014, *20*, 6828-6842; b) D. J. Weix, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2015, *48*, 1767-1775; c) J. Gu, X. Wang, W. Xue, H. Gong, *Org. Chem. Front.* 2015, *2*, 1411-1421; d) T. Moragas, A. Correa, R. Martin, *Chem Eur. J.* 2014, *20*, 8242-8258.
- [2] a) A. Krasovskiy, C. Duplais, B. H. Lipshutz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 15592-15593; b) M. Amatore, C. Gosmini, Chem Eur. J. 2010, 16, 5848-5852; c) D. A. Everson, R. Shrestha, D. J. Weix, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 920-921; d) E. C. Hansen, D. J. Pedro, A. C. Wotal, N. J. Gower, J. D. Nelson, S. Caron, D. J. Weix, Nat. Chem. 2016, 8, 1126-1130; e) X. Wang, S. Wang, W. Xue, H. Gong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 11562-11565; f) X. Li, Z. Feng, Z.-X. Jiang, X. Zhang, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 5570-5573.
- a) K. A. Johnson, S. Biswas, D. J. Weix, *Chem Eur. J.* 2016, *22*, 7399-7402; b) V. Krasovskaya, A. Krasovskiy, A. Bhattacharjya, B. H. Lipshutz, *Chem. Commun.* 2011, *47*, 5717-5719; c) A. Krasovskiy, C. Duplais, B. H. Lipshutz, *Org. Lett.* 2010, *12*, 4742-4744; d) C. Qiu, K. Yao, X. Zhang, H. Gong, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2016, *14*, 11332-11335.
- [4] a) P. Gomes, C. Gosmini, J. Périchon, *Tetrahedron* 2003, 59, 2999-3002;
 b) M. Amatore, C. Gosmini, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 2089-2092; *Angew. Chem.* 2008, 120, 2119-2122; c) L. K. G. Ackerman, M. M. Lovell,
 D. J. Weix, *Nature* 2015, 524, 454-457; d) J. Liu, Q. Ren, X. Zhang, H.
 Gong, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, 55, 15544-15548; *Angew. Chem.* 2016, 128, 15773-15777.

COMMUNICATION

- [5] a) X. Qian, A. Auffrant, A. Felouat, C. Gosmini, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 10402-10405; *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 10586-10589; b) X.
 Yu, T. Yang, S. Wang, H. Xu, H. Gong, *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 2138-2141;
 c) L. L. Anka-Lufford, M. R. Prinsell, D. J. Weix, *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 9989-10000; d) H. Xu, C. Zhao, Q. Qian, W. Deng, H. Gong, *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 4022-4029.
- [6] a) G. A. Molander, K. M. Traister, B. T. O'Neill, *J. Org. Chem.* 2014, *79*, 5771-5780; b) G. A. Molander, K. M. Traister, B. T. O'Neill, *J. Org. Chem.* 2015, *80*, 2907-2911; c) Y. Fegheh-Hassanpour, T. Arif, H. O. Sintim, H. H. Al Mamari, D. M. Hodgson, *Org. Lett.* 2017.
- a) H. Hofmeister, K. Annen, H. Laurent, R. Wiechert, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1984**, *23*, 727-729; *Angew. Chem.* **1984**, *96*, 720-722; b) L. Brandsma, H. D. Verkruijsse, *Synthesis* **1990**, 984-985.
- [8] a) J. P. Brand, J. Waser, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2012, *41*, 4165-4179; b) W.
 Wu, H. Jiang, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2014, *47*, 2483-2504.
- a) T. Thaler, L.-N. Guo, P. Mayer, P. Knochel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.
 2011, 50, 2174-2177; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 2222-2225; b) M. Corpet, X.-Z. Bai, C. Gosmini, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 2937-2942.
- a) N. B. Desai, N. McKelvie, F. Ramirez, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 1745-1747; b) E. J. Corey, P. L. Fuchs, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1972, 13, 3769-3772; c) D. Habrant, V. Rauhala, A. M. P. Koskinen, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 2007-2017.
- a) D. Seyferth, R. S. Marmor, P. Hilbert, J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 1379-1386; b) S. Ohira, Synth. Commun. 1989, 19, 561-564; c) S. Müller, B. Liepold, G. J. Roth, H. J. Bestmann, Synlett 1996, 521-522.
- [12] a) K. Okada, K. Okamoto, M. Oda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 8736-8738; b) K. Okada, K. Okamoto, M. Oda, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 1636-1637; c) K. Okada, K. Okamoto, N. Morita, K. Okubo, M. Oda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 9401-9402; d) M. J. Schnermann, L. E. Overman, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9576-9580; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 9714-9718; e) G. Pratsch, G. L. Lackner, L. E. Overman, J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 6025-6036.
- [13] a) K. M. M. Huihui, J. A. Caputo, Z. Melchor, A. M. Olivares, A. M. Spiewak, K. A. Johnson, T. A. DiBenedetto, S. Kim, L. K. G. Ackerman, D. J. Weix, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 5016-5019; b) F. Toriyama, J. Cornella, L. Wimmer, T.-G. Chen, D. D. Dixon, G. Creech, P. S. Baran, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 11132-11135.
- [14] a) F. Le Vaillant, T. Courant, J. Waser, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11200-11204; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 11352-11356; b) J. Yang, J. Zhang, L. Qi, C. Hu, Y. Chen, Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 5275-5278; c) Q.-Q. Zhou, W. Guo, W. Ding, X. Wu, X. Chen, L.-Q. Lu, W.-J. Xiao, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11196-11199; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 11348-11351; d) C. Yang, J.-D. Yang, Y.-H. Li, X. Li, J.-P. Cheng, J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 12357-12363.
- [15] H. Zhang, P. Zhang, M. Jiang, H. Yang, H. Fu, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 1016-1019.
- [16] J. M. Smith, T. Qin, R. R. Merchant, J. T. Edwards, L. R. Malins, Z. Liu, G. Che, Z. Shen, S. A. Shaw, M. D. Eastgate, P. S. Baran, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *DOI:* 10.1002/anie.201705107; *Angew. Chem.* **2017**, 10.1002/ange.201705107.
- [17] Currently, TCNHPI is two orders of magnitude more expensive than NHP. Sigma-Aldrich prices: \$42/mole for NHP vs. \$2560/mole for TCNHPI.
- [18] X. Liu, Z. Wang, X. Cheng, C. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 14330-14333.
- [19] a) C. Zhao, X. Jia, X. Wang, H. Gong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 17645-17651; b) N. Suzuki, J. L. Hofstra, K. E. Poremba, S. E. Reisman, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 2150-2153.
- [20] G. Sun, M. Wei, Z. Luo, Y. Liu, Z. Chen, Z. Wang, Org. Process Res. Dev. 2016, 20, 2074-2079.
- [21] a) Y. N. Belokon, A. G. Bulychev, S. V. Vitt, Y. T. Struchkov, A. S. Batsanov, T. V. Timofeeva, V. A. Tsyryapkin, M. G. Ryzhov, L. A. Lysova,

J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, *107*, 4252-4259; b) Y. N. Belokon, V. I. Bakhmutov, N. I. Chernoglazova, K. A. Kochetkov, S. V. Vitt, N. S. Garbalinskaya, V. M. Belikov, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1988**, 305-312; c) S. Collet, P. Bauchat, R. Danion-Bougot, D. Danion, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1998**, *9*, 2121-2131; d) D. Houck, J. Luis Aceña, V. A. Soloshonok, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2012**, *95*, 2672-2679.

- [22] a) M. Eckhardt, G. C. Fu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 13642-13643;
 b) G. Altenhoff, S. Würtz, F. Glorius, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, 47, 2925-2928; c) O. Vechorkin, D. Barmaz, V. Proust, X. Hu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 12078-12079; d) J. Yi, X. Lu, Y.-Y. Sun, B. Xiao, L. Liu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 12409-12413; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 12635-12639; e) P. M. Pérez García, P. Ren, R. Scopelliti, X. Hu, ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 1164-1171.
- a) J. Cornella, J. T. Edwards, T. Qin, S. Kawamura, J. Wang, C.-M. Pan, [23] R. Gianatassio, M. Schmidt, M. D. Eastgate, P. S. Baran, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 2174-2177; b) T. Qin, J. Cornella, C. Li, L. R. Malins, J. T. Edwards, S. Kawamura, B. D. Maxwell, M. D. Eastgate, P. S. Baran, Science 2016, 353, 801-805; c) J. Wang, T. Qin, T.-G. Chen, L. Wimmer, J. T. Edwards, J. Cornella, B. Vokits, S. A. Shaw, P. S. Baran, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 9676-9679; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 9828-9831; d) J. T. Edwards, R. R. Merchant, K. S. McClymont, K. W. Knouse, T. Qin, L. R. Malins, B. Vokits, S. A. Shaw, D.-H. Bao, F.-L. Wei, T. Zhou, M. D. Eastgate, P. S. Baran, Nature 2017, doi:10.1038/nature22307; e) T. Qin, L. R. Malins, J. T. Edwards, R. R. Merchant, A. J. E. Novak, J. Z. Zhong, R. B. Mills, M. Yan, C. Yuan, M. D. Eastgate, P. S. Baran, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 260-265; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 3367-3371; f) F. Sandfort, M. J. O'Neill, J. Cornella, L. Wimmer, P. S. Baran, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 3319-3323; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 3367-3371.
- [24] S. Biswas, D. J. Weix, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 16192-16197.
- [25] a) C. Feng, D. W. Cunningham, Q. T. Easter, S. A. Blum, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. 2016, 138, 11156-11159; b) A. Krasovskiy, V. Malakhov, A. Gavryushin, P. Knochel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006, 45, 6040-6044; *Angew. Chem.* 2006, 118, 6186–6190; c) F. M. Piller, P. Appukkuttan, A. Gavryushin, M. Helm, P. Knochel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 6802-6806; *Angew. Chem.* 2008, 120, 6907–6911; d) S. Sase, M. Jaric, A. Metzger, V. Malakhov, P. Knochel, *J. Org. Chem.* 2008, 73, 7380-7382; e) C. Sämann, M. A. Schade, S. Yamada, P. Knochel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, 52, 9495-9499; *Angew. Chem.* 2013, 125, 9673–9677; f) H. Fillon, C. Gosmini, J. Périchon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, 125, 3867-3870.
- [26] a) K. Osakada, T. Yamamoto, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2000, *198*, 379-399;
 b) T. Yamamoto, S. Wakabayashi, K. Osakada, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1992, *428*, 223-237.
- [27] a) R. J. Kinney, W. D. Jones, R. G. Bergman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1978, 100, 7902-7915; b) C. E. Ash, P. W. Hurd, M. Y. Darensbourg, M. Newcomb, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1987, 109, 3313-3317.
- [28] Note that cyclopropylnickel intermediates are also known to rearrange via a presumed non-radical process. a) P. A. Pinke, R. D. Stauffer, R. G. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 4229-4234; b) A. Masarwa, I. Marek, Chem.--Eur. J. 2010, 16, 9712-9721; c) I. Nakamura, Y. Yamamoto, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2002, 344, 111-129.
- [29] For further details on these experiments and preliminary characterization of the intermediates, see the Supporting Information. Although the organonickel intermediates are paramagnetic, EPR data suggests a integer-spin complex, such as tetrahedral or octahedral nickel(II), and not a ½-integer spin complex.
- [30] Similar to this situation, both alkylnickel(II) and arylnickel(II) intermediates are known to react with the complementary electrophile to form alkylated arenes. See ref. 24 and references cited therein.

COMMUNICATION

COMMUNICATION



Liangbin Huang, Astrid M. Olivares, and Daniel J. Weix*

Page No. – Page No.

Reductive Decarboxylative Alk of *N*-Hydroxyphthalimide Ester Bromoalkynes

A new method for the synthesis of terminal and internal alkynes from the nickel-catalyzed decarboxylative coupling of *N*-hydroxyphthalimide esters (NHP esters) and bromoalkynes is presented. This reductive cross-electrophile coupling is the first to use a Csp-X electrophile, and appears to proceed via an alkynylnickel intermediate. The internal alkyne products are obtained in 41-95% yield without the need for a photocatalyst, light, or strong oxidant. The reaction displays a broad scope of carboxylic acid and alkyne coupling partners and can tolerate an array of functional groups including a carbamate N-H, halogen, nitrile, olefin, ketone, and ester. Mechanistic studies suggest that this process does not involve an alkynylmanganese reagent and involves nickel-mediated bond formation.